

**September 19, 2019** 

## Via First Class Mail and Email

Rob Roy Smith Suite 3700, 1420 Fifth Avenue Seattle, WA 981010

Re: Review of Transaction Documents for the Snoqualmie Indian Tribe

Dear Mr. Smith:

This letter responds to your August 19, 2019 request for the National Indian Gaming Commission's Office of General Counsel to review agreements between the Snoqualmie Indian Tribe and Bank of America, N.A. Specifically, you have asked for my opinion whether the agreements are management contracts or collateral agreements requiring the NIGC Chair's approval under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (IGRA). You also asked for my opinion whether the agreements violate IGRA's requirement that the Tribe have the sole proprietary interest in its gaming activity.

In my review, I considered the following submissions (collectively, "the Transaction Documents") all of which are unexecuted, but were represented to be in substantially final form:

- Credit Agreement (marked "SMRH-4818-9129-0524.6");
- Exhibits to Credit Agreement (marked "SMRH:4822-2681-6928.3");
- Security Agreement (marked "SMRH 4836-4457-9740.4"):
- Intellectual Property Security Agreement (marked "SMRH-4812-6857-2573.3").

The Transaction Documents contain terms similar to other agreements the Office of General Counsel has already reviewed and analyzed, which are available on the NIGC's website. Applying the same analysis here, it is my opinion that the Transaction Documents are not management contracts and do not require the approval of the NIGC Chair. It is also my opinion that they do not violate IGRA's sole proprietary interest requirement.

It is my understanding that the drafts are represented to be in substantially final form, and if the Transaction Documents change in any material way prior to closing or are inconsistent with assumptions made herein, this opinion shall not apply. Further, this opinion is limited to

Mr. Rob Roy Smith Re: Review of Transaction Documents for the Snoqualmie Indian Tribe September 19, 2019 Page 2 of 2

the Transaction Documents listed above. This opinion does not include or extend to any other agreements not submitted for review.

Please note that it is my intent that this letter be released to the public through the NIGC's website. If you have any objection to this disclosure, please provide a written statement explaining the grounds for the objection and highlighting the information that you believe should be withheld. If you object on the grounds that the information qualifies as confidential commercial information subject to withholding under Exemption Four of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), please be advised that any withholding should be analyzed under the standard set forth in *Food Marketing Institute v. Argus Leader Media*. Any claim of confidentiality should also be supported with "a statement or certification by an officer or authorized representative of the submitter." Please submit any written objection to FOIASubmitterReply@nigc.gov within thirty (30) days of the date of this letter. After this time elapses, the letter will be made public and objections will no longer be considered.

If you have any further questions, please contact me at (202) 632-7003.

Sincerely,

Michael Hoenig General Counsel

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See 25 C.F.R. § 517.7(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Food Marketing Institute v. Argus Leader Media, No. 18-481, 2019 WL 2570624, at \*7 (U.S., June 24, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See 25 C.F.R. § 517.7(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Id.