

December 20, 2019

Allyson G. Saunders Saunders Law 9854 National Blvd. No. 283 Los Angeles, CA 90034

Re: Review of Loan Documents between the Bishop Paiute Tribe and Umpqua Bank

Dear Ms. Saunders:

This letter responds to your November 5, 2019 request on behalf of the Bishop Paiute Tribe for the National Indian Gaming Commission's Office of General Counsel to review Loan Documents between the Tribe and Umpqua Bank. Specifically, you have asked for my opinion whether the Loan Documents constitute a management contract or collateral agreement to a management contract requiring the NIGC Chair's approval under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, and whether they violate IGRA's requirement that a tribe have the sole proprietary interest in its gaming operation.

In my review, I considered the following submission (collectively referred to as "the Loan Documents"):

- Loan Agreement between Bishop Paiute Tribe as Borrower and Umpqua Bank as Lender, Marked as OHS Draft 11-5-19 on the first page;
- Exhibits, document number 4144-4470-4800.2;
- Security Agreement, marked as OHS DRAFT 11/5/19, document number 4132-5594-2432.2;
- Guarantee Agreement, marked OHS DRAFT 11/15/19 on the first page;
- Promissory Note, document number 4155-3575-4016.1.

The Loan Documents contain terms similar to other agreements the Office of General Counsel has reviewed and analyzed that are available on the NIGC website. Applying the same analysis here, it is my opinion that the Loan Documents are not management contracts or collateral agreements to a management contract, and do not require the approval of the Chair. It is also my opinion that the Loan Documents do not violate IGRA's sole proprietary interest requirement.

It is my understanding that the Loan Documents are represented to be in substantially final form with respect to terms affecting this opinion. If they change in any material way prior to closing, this opinion shall not apply. Further, this opinion is limited to the Loan Documents listed above and does not include or extend to any other agreements or documents not submitted for review.

Please note that it is my intent that this letter be released to the public through the NIGC's website. If you have any objection to this disclosure, please provide a written statement explaining the grounds for the objection and highlighting the information that you believe should be withheld. See 25 C.F.R. § 517.7(c). If you object on the grounds that the information qualifies as confidential commercial information subject to withholding under Exemption Four of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4), please be advised that any withholding should be analyzed under the standard set forth in Food Marketing Institute v. Argus Leader Media, No. 18-481, 2019 WL 2570624, at *7 (U.S., June 24, 2019). Any claim of confidentiality should also be supported with "a statement or certification by an officer or authorized representative of the submitter." See 25 C.F.R. § 517.7(d). Please submit any written objection to FOIASubmitterReply@nigc.gov within thirty (30) days of the date of this letter. After this time elapses, the letter will be made public and objections will no longer be considered. Id.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (202) 632-7003.

Sincerely,

Michael Hoenig General Counsel

Michael Am -