	Page 442
	TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 8, 2011
1	
2	
3	TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
4	MEETING
5	SUQUAMISH, WASHINGTON
6	VOLUME III
7	
8	SUQUAMISH CLEARWATER CASINO RESORT
9	15347 SUQUAMISH WAY NORTHEAST
10	CHIEF KITSAP HALL
11	DECEMBER 8, 2011
12	8:11 A.M.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Job No. NJ366120
25	TRANSCRIBED BY: MARY L. GREEN, CCR 2981

Г

SUQUAMISH, WASHINGTON; DECEMBER 8, 2011 8:11 A.M. --000--MR. FISHER: Good morning, everybody. Welcome to if I have the count right day nine of our work together. So we do need to talk about our agenda for the day in part, because I'm thinking we do not have a full day's worth of work ahead of us. We should talk about that. We do need to pick up where we left

11 off at the end of yesterday at 543.12 on the player 12 tracking system.

13 Before we get into any of that, anybody have anything on your mind that you want to bring up with 14 the group from yesterday or that you thought about 15 16 overnight? Tom.

17 MR. WILSON: I consulted this morning with some of our tribal leadership as well as our chief 18 19 executive officer of gaming enterprise just to talk 20 about this concept of the player tracking and the 21 follow-up to our discussion on promotions, and there's 22 no doubt that we are all in agreement that we need to have some controls around them, but it is definitely a 23 sovereignty issue as far as my tribe is concerned that 24 25 the regulation of those activities or even the control,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1	that those are viewed as business activities, and there
2	would even be discussion at the tribal gaming office
3	level in terms of how we would regulate or not regulate
4	those particular types of things.
5	In difference from the NIGC standpoint, I need
6	to go on record that we view those as sovereignty
7	issues and that they're best left up to the tribe to
8	determine how they're going to manage the risks
9	associated with that.
10	MR. FISHER: Matt.
11	MR. MORGAN: I thought about this a lot
12	last night on this subject. I completely agree that
13	you operate a gaming operation, and if you have clear
14	tracking, it is an area that needs to be controlled.
15	My dilemma as always is who has the authority to
16	control it?
17	One of the things I thought about this
18	morning, because I told you yesterday I feel really
19	split sometimes, you know, on this. I look at it from
20	a lawyer's perspective sometimes, and sometimes I look
21	at it from a regulator's perspective to try to come to
22	terms with what we're doing or what I do as the
23	commissioner at Chickasaw.
24	As a representative of the Chickasaw Nation, I
25	don't think I could support, you know, this being in a

1	federal regulation. With that being said, I do want to
2	bring up and make sure the group understands if we do
3	say that, NIGC, this is not your area, that doesn't
4	mean it's not anybody's area.
5	You know, Former Chairman Colby had this term
6	he liked to call the federal family. One of the things
7	we talk about in Indian gaming is that we are the most
8	regulated gaming industry in the world when you look at
9	federal, if you have compact and joint relations with
10	the state, tribal level.
11	There's lots of groups that possibly have
12	authority over this area, and just because we say,
13	NIGC, you don't have the authority doesn't mean that we
14	may not have to deal with one of your brothers from the
15	federal government when you start talking about DOJ or
16	IRA or a multitude of others that we deal with in
17	gaming law on a day-to-day basis.
18	The other part of that is, because I do like
19	Phil's approach. He'd always give deference to the
20	tribal regulator. We're kind of in the background
21	overlooking stuff with the federal family.
22	That being said, one of the things I heard
23	yesterday was a concern about the agency being very
24	focused on enforcement. I think, you know, if the NIGC
25	changes some of its issues, changes some of its thought

processes and becomes more of an agency of technical assistance, training, that just because -- I think this is where the discussion comes up with the class 3 world. Just because you may not have the ability to enforce something doesn't mean it's not a good idea to train on it and provide assistance on it and maybe even speak to it.

8 I know there are several within that federal 9 family that feel that unless I can come in and issue an 10 NOV or enforce, then what's the use of talking about 11 it? I think there's great utility on speaking on some 12 of these subjects that we all agree need to be 13 controlled.

While I still believe you may not have the authority to do it, somebody needs to do it. It's a good thing to speak to to make sure that at a local level somebody is looking at these kinds of things.

I think you'll even see this when we get into class 2 gaming systems, because remember that's a system whether this subject touches that and what kind of effect it may or may not have. There's other avenues to reach your goal other than through a regulation.

24 MR. FISHER: Anybody else? Anything on 25 your mind? Do you want to start by talking a little

1	bit about the agenda for the day? We are scheduled to
2	go to 5:00 p.m. It appears to me that we don't have a
3	full day's worth of work ahead of us, which means that
4	we might end up getting done early.
5	We have at least on my list we have 543.12,
6	which we spent a fair amount of time talking about
7	yesterday and which may or may not go quickly. We
8	talked about doing the bingo guidance document, and
9	NIGC is prepared to do that, right?
10	MR. LITTLE: Uh-huh.
11	MR. FISHER: Then we have a couple of
12	housekeeping things to deal with including projecting
13	ahead a little bit partly for the January meeting,
14	maybe even a little further ahead.
15	There was some discussion, Dan, before you got
16	here about because I mentioned I don't think we need
17	we might not need nine full meeting days to get
18	through the rest of our work as laid out on the work
19	plan, and so people were more than willing to jettison
20	Kansas.
21	MR. CULLOO: To replace with Florida.
22	MR. FISHER: So we need to talk a little
23	bit about how many meeting days we have left and
24	whether people should be making arrangements for those
25	days or not and then anything else we need to cover.

1	Even with all of that, that could still be
2	just about a half a day's worth of time with a wild
3	guess estimate. It could take longer. It could be
4	shorter. That's what I think we need to cover before
5	we're done today.
6	MR. LITTLE: That sounds fine to me.
7	MR. FISHER: What about everybody else?
8	What are you thinking that we need to do?
9	MR. RAMOS: I have one issue with the
10	February meeting. I don't think a date has been set on
11	the February meeting yet.
12	MR. LITTLE: It has not, and that's
13	because we're still trying to tie down a location, and
14	we're pretty close to that, so I think you should have
15	some information here within the next week or so.
16	MR. FISHER: Anybody else have anything
17	else on the agenda or what we need to cover today
	before we're done for the day? So I'll just keep
18	before we re done for the day: So I II just keep
18 19	checking with you around kind of whether there are
19	checking with you around kind of whether there are
19 20	checking with you around kind of whether there are other things we need to do and how we're doing timewise
19 20 21	checking with you around kind of whether there are other things we need to do and how we're doing timewise in terms of these various topics. Tom.
19 20 21 22	checking with you around kind of whether there are other things we need to do and how we're doing timewise in terms of these various topics. Tom. MR. WILSON: I do since the checklist

1	MR. FISHER: Tom, I sent it out at the
2	end of the day yesterday. We're having some e-mail
3	transmission glitches, and there are at least some
4	people around the table who did not receive it yet.
5	Michelle?
б	MS. STACONA: I can't even open it.
7	MR. FISHER: It's a zip file. It's in a
8	zip file because it's a lot of documents.
9	MS. STACONA: I prefer not to talk about
10	it before I get a chance to open it.
11	MR. FISHER: We may need to put that on
12	the agenda for the next meeting. I didn't get any
13	bounce back messages. I got one bounce back message,
14	which was the result of a bad e-mail address, which I
15	fixed, so I haven't gotten any server rejects yet, but
16	obviously if you haven't gotten it in a short period of
17	time or if you can't open it for some reason, let me
18	know and we'll figure out a different way to send it
19	off to people.
20	MR. LITTLE: I prefer we probably put
21	this on our website, but if it's going to change,
22	should we wait or should we put what's up there now?
23	Should we put the disclosure?
24	MS. LASH: They're definitely not
25	anywhere near final form.

Page 450 MR. FISHER: I did not send it to read 1 2 it to put on the web based on your message. MS. LASH: I actually haven't even had a 3 chance to look at it either, so maybe we should wait 4 5 until we have a chance to discuss it. MR. LITTLE: Because if we could get 6 7 everyone to go online and download it --MS. LASH: They'd go what's this? 8 MR. WILSON: There's fundamental issues 9 10 with those checklists. MS. LASH: I can only reiterate what I 11 12 was told that they were in the process of working on it 13 and then had conversations with your office that clearly the checklists were going to change as the 14 documenting evolved, so everything just kind of 15 16 stopped, so where they are is just kind of a middle of 17 the process state right now. MR. FISHER: It sounds like they're in 18 19 the working form and they're not ready to be posted. 20 MR. LITTLE: I definitely don't want to confuse people. Brian might go and download them and 21 22 get a different copy. MR. FISHER: Anything else before we 23 24 turn back to 543.12? Okay. So when we broke for the evening yesterday, we hadn't had a discussion about 25

1	what to recommend for 543.12, and we asked that
2	everybody think about this overnight, figure out what
3	it is you wanted to do, so maybe we should just check
4	in. People can just check in about what you're
5	thinking or we can do kind of a vote test if that's
6	what you prefer. How would you like to proceed?
7	MR. McGHEE: I understand where
8	everybody's coming from. Do they have authority? Do
9	they not? At the same time, we have to consider the
10	fact that even though we may recommend that they don't
11	have authority for this and that's our recommendation
12	and they decide that they're going to publish it
13	anyway, the only way that would be hassled out is in
14	some kind of challenge.
15	Do we really want to be able to just our
16	recommendation be that we don't think you have the
17	authority or should it be we don't think you have the
18	authority, however, if you choose to exert what you
19	think is your authority, here's our recommendations,
20	because otherwise you're going to send forth a document
21	that you haven't even given any comment on that could
22	end up being in the Federal Register at the end of the
23	day, and we haven't made any comments on their copies,

24 which is what we're here for is to advise on the

25 comments.

1	I don't know at the end of the day, like I
2	said, if we recommend to do whatever but not have any
3	input on it, then you risk a document being published
4	that you basically didn't say anything about.
5	MR. CULLOO: Daniel's question has
6	always raised kind of a red flag in my mind, the
7	process as far as the NIGC with their recommendations.
8	What I heard yesterday was at least concern on your
9	part, Daniel, that if we choose not to put something
10	in, it could be a concern. Is it realistic I think was
11	your word.
12	What it tells me is that what Daniel said is
13	that we could maybe go test it and say we don't want it
14	in there, and then NIGC could come back later and say
15	we believe something should be in there.
16	The question is at what point does NIGC during
17	this process say we think this should be in there and
18	not this? Is it wait until the whole project is done,
19	we make the recommendations, we're gone, and then you
20	guys sit down as a group and go through it and say we
21	like this, we don't think this is strong enough? What
22	step is that process happening with NIGC?
23	MR. LITTLE: I'm just one of three
24	commissioners, so I only have one out of three votes.
25	We're going to thoroughly review everything that this

1 committee provides us.

2	You know, I don't think it would be a good
3	exercise of everyone's expertise around here for me to
4	make a decision right now that yes or no we're not
5	going to go there. We want to hear all the options.
6	That was the reason for my saying that and the chairman
7	saying that two days ago is that we need to have
8	realistic expectations of what we can get accomplished
9	here.
10	MR. CULLOO: Is the full commission not
11	going to meet until we're done with the process or each
12	time?
13	MR. LITTLE: We have discussed these,
14	although we haven't received any recommendations yet.
15	I'm not sure where those are. You know, I do updates
16	for them, and they know the progress of where we are,
17	you know, with this meeting and, you know, some of the
18	topics and, you know, high points that we've covered
19	here, but we're not we're going to review everything
20	in the whole before we make decisions, because as we've
21	already seen, things that we're doing in one section
22	has consequences and effects on another section here.
23	You know, we still have six or eight more
24	sections to cover, so we'll definitely wait until, you
25	know, we conclude going through all these sections

1	until we can make the best possible decision.
2	MS. LASH: I think this is a good point
3	in the road to just touch on what Matt said earlier.
4	There are clearly areas where we're discussing topics
5	that are outside the jurisdiction of the NIGC, this
6	being one of them, and it's also important to point out
7	that it is valuable for tribes to have guidance and
8	direction on some of these topics and I think what we
9	did yesterday with the promotions indicating that a
10	bulletin would be appropriate and we could go into
11	detail on the specifics of something of the topics and
12	the areas that should be included for guidance on
13	topics like player tracking or promotions for use by
14	the NIGC in formulating their final product.
15	But I just think we need to keep in mind that
16	I think it's inappropriate that we recommend that
17	something be a regulation when there's not any
18	jurisdiction in that area.
19	MR. McGHEE: I was saying that you may
20	recommend that it not be regulation, but I definitely
21	wouldn't let it pass by with that alone without
22	discussing in case they don't accept it.
23	MS. LASH: We should discuss it and have
24	the appropriate detail that gives you a comfort level.
25	They're on the right track for what the tribes would

1 need to see.

2 MR. WILSON: Just to follow up on the comment you made, is it beneficial, then, because there 3 is such a time constraint in trying to get all of this 4 5 done, recognizing that that's one of the paramount goals that you have for areas that we have already 6 discussed, so let's say 547, the technical standards, 7 would it be beneficial, then, that we get those to you 8 and would the assumption be that NIGC would start those 9 10 in the pipe process, you know, federal review process, everything, as opposed to waiting until we're totally 11 12 done with everything?

13 MR. LITTLE: I did hear there was some 14 discussion going on about that, and on the surface, you 15 know, it sounds fine to me. I'm just not sure if this 16 group is going to come across an area as we continue it 17 along, because there are some, you know, references to the technical standards. Will there be any changes 18 19 that you may want to go back and touch upon? I don't 20 know.

You know, if the group decides they want to wrap up those recommendations and send them to us, you know, I think we'd definitely like to look at them. We've got some, you know, I'm sure some flexibility in there that if you do come across some changes, you

know, later on in this process here that we can adjust. 1 It will take us a little while to go through 2 them and then formulate, you know, a draft in the way 3 that we've done these in the past. We've probably got 4 5 about six or eight in the pipeline in some form, whether it be a draft. The chair was here on Monday. 6 7 He was consulting on our proposed rules and some of our draft rules we have out there. 8 9 We had generally in the past put things in a 10 draft form on our website and then -- before we formally published them, so we haven't made any 11 12 decisions yet, but I assume we may try to go along that 13 path if time permits. It could be helpful if the committee decides they want to get those to us early. 14 MR. FISHER: Do you know how you would 15 16 like to receive them officially? What official stamp 17 do you need from the group? Who does it get transmitted to? What form does it have to be in? 18 Have you thought anything about that? 19 20 MR. LITTLE: I don't think so, no. I'll 21 leave that up to the committee, I would assume. 2.2 MR. FISHER: Because it will become part of the public record, so if there is any guidance to 23 the group about what it needs to say and how it needs 24 to be presented, then they would need that now sooner 25

rather than later. 1 2 MR. HOENING: There's no required 3 protocol right now. We can certainly come up with something. 4 5 MR. FISHER: Think about if there is something that you need the group to do to say this is 6 7 our final work product and here it is that represents the group's work. 8 9 MR. MAGEE: I'd like to discuss maybe 10 not at this meeting but a couple meetings down the road 11 how we package this and get it ready for submittal. 12 MR. FISHER: It actually is on the work 13 plan, but it's -- it was on the work plan, I think, for the meeting 5. We're obviously getting there faster. 14 I think it should go on the agenda for the next 15 16 meeting, because the technical standards document, once 17 you see all the compilation of all the changes, that document could be ready to submit by the next meeting. 18 19 MR. MAGEE: Are we going to do like a 20 final review to make sure we're all in agreement? 21 MR. FISHER: Absolutely. 22 MR. McGHEE: If I know what we're thinking along the lines of this is in the authority, 23 this is outside the authority, I mean, if the group 24 takes on that approach, then it probably needs to be 25

1 discussed that if that is the one view, then that also 2 means -- does that mean lines of credit isn't up for 3 discussion and deposit accounts isn't up for 4 discussion?

5 Because it's the same concept. Those are not 6 things that have to be regulated. I mean, not 7 regulated or not -- excuse me. You're looking at 8 possibly one, two, three, four other sections or at 9 least three other sections we haven't even discussed 10 that we will need to discuss.

I just want to know if the same way of 11 12 thinking that way let's go ahead and identify the areas 13 that that would infringe upon so we know. If I'm going to go back and ask my opinion about player tracking, 14 I'm going to ask them about comp services and lines of 15 16 credit, not just one, instead of getting there and 17 saying this is one of the other things. Can we talk about that? 18

MR. MAGEE: I think outside of the jurisdiction it's appropriate to be part of the regulation or not there is the practical reality that some of these areas are problematic, and player tracking, promotional marketing, there are inherent problems that surface with this.

25

Although they may not be right for part of

their regulations, what Robin said is maybe they will 1 be part of some sort of guidance document and/or 2 bulletin. Yesterday we even shot down the bulletin. 3 Just thinking out loud on this and trying to 4 5 think of the approaches to this, I'm trying to think of and put it in perspective of the jurisdiction and also 6 7 put it in real perspective in real time the practical nature of the issues. 8 9 In my mind, if I can prevent a start-up 10 property or another property having to face, you know, 11 the same problems that we went through, you know, learn 12 from it and go through guidance documents or some sort 13 of bulletin. I don't know. That's what I'm thinking. I get the legal side of it, but I also understand the 14 practical side of it as well. 15

MR. FISHER: Daniel, when would you propose that we talk about what you just said? Before we leave today?

MR. McGHEE: Yeah, because what I'm getting is an overall -- I think each tribe or each person here is going to have to have an opinion not just on player tracking. It's going to have to be on the concept of NIGC's jurisdiction in some of these areas.

25

So maybe at least so I'll know by the next

1	meeting we've identified these four areas that we need
2	to talk with my tribe about and see if they're on board
3	with so when I come back here I can say my tribe is in
4	agreement that we don't think you have the authority or
5	jurisdiction or we wish you had on these areas, because
6	it's not not player tracking. It's the concept we're
7	talking about here. I'd rather know that before I
8	leave.
9	MS. LASH: Maybe it's better to hold
10	that off as well and just stay where we were with going
11	over bingo and give everybody a chance to speak with
12	their tribal leadership and legal counsel and kind of
13	maybe come back with more a confident level.
14	MR. McGHEE: I'd just as soon not talk
15	about player tracking anymore.
16	MR. LITTLE: Everybody agreed and it was
17	very clear in the nomination process for this position
18	that you came here with the ability to speak on behalf
19	of the tribe.
20	MR. McGHEE: I thought I was here to
21	defy you on these sections right here that you had on
22	the agenda. That's what I was going to do.
23	MR. FISHER: Tom, then Jason.
24	MR. WILSON: What I'd like to propose is
25	that on those areas Daniel, I agree that a vacuum is

never a good thing, and by not talking about these 1 2 things, there could be the appearance that there is a vacuum, and as much as this is about educating people 3 as well as deciding on things, it seems to me that on 4 5 those areas, I certainly would be very comfortable advocating that on those areas where we don't believe 6 7 that NIGC has the regulatory authority that the discussion still take place about the inherent risks 8 9 and controls that should be in place but the 10 recommendation being that NIGC take a training role in training tribes on the risks in those areas as opposed 11 12 to regulating, and for me, that then causes the 13 discussion to happen amongst the group and people to 14 become educated about the risks that are inherent in those things but allows me to then from my tribe's 15 16 perspective endorse the concepts without endorsing that it needs to be regulated from a federal level. 17 That would be my approach to trying to work 18 19 through this issue on those topics where we think 20 there's a jurisdictional sovereignty issue. 21 MR. FISHER: Matt. 2.2 MR. MORGAN: I agree with what Tom said. Just as a point of reference for the group, while it 23 was before my time in the gaming, originally the first 24 25 internal controls stated were offered by subcommittee

1 of NIGC as best practices because of this very subject, 2 things that needed to be done but who had the authority 3 to do it, so they got around that issue by issuing them 4 as guidance for tribes to take up.

5 It was only when the NIGC kind of jumped on 6 the band wagon and said, yes, but we need 7 enforceability and switched it to regulations that some 8 of this tension came up, you know, because, you know, 9 even then the industry theory that you needed best 10 practices throughout the entire country in order to run 11 your operation.

12 That's one of those dynamics that had been 13 going on for 20-plus years on what is the role of the 14 NIGC? Do they have the authority and can we get there 15 through bulletins and guidance as opposed to 16 regulations?

I wholeheartedly endorse Tom's point. If you want to talk about guidance documents and training and things that need to be covered out there for smaller, for newer, as refresher, keep in your mind as you're running your operation. I can get behind that statement.

23 MR. WILSON: I have one follow-up on 24 that. In my discussions this morning with my peeps, 25 one of the things that we talked about -- and this has

been certainly something that I have been advocating at 1 our tribe and in my dealings with other tribes -- is 2 that there has been a general lack of tribes taking 3 advantage of the regulatory authority that they have to 4 5 move some of these issues forward, and what I mean by that is that -- and our tribe was no exception in this 6 regard -- that when the minimum internal controls were 7 put out, and, you know, ideally this was all supposed 8 9 to be a framework with which tribes would build off of, 10 but what happened in so many cases is the MICS were 11 adopted and then that was it. It just stopped at that 12 point.

Every tribe through IGRA has the ability to determine the level of additional regulatory oversight that they wish to grant to the NIGC through ordinance, so sometimes I think that we tend to forget that as a sovereign, you have the ability to bring in additional regulatory oversight from different things. I mean, that certainly happens through the compacting process.

So for us in our discussions this morning, we recognize that there's an obligation of our tribe to step up to the plate and take responsibility for those things that we have sovereign control over, and I just think sometimes that that message gets lost in tribes that there are avenues out there for which you can

achieve some of the things, so for a tribe that really 1 does not have the expertise or have the ability to do 2 some of these things that are being advocated, they do 3 have the ability to increase regulatory oversight or 4 5 invite the NIGC in to provide that from a regulatory standpoint. 6 7 So I just want everybody to recognize that there are avenues out there that I think sometimes get 8 lost in the discussion. 9 10 MR. FISHER: What does that mean for 11 what we should do right now? Should we talk about 12 543.12 or do we need to come to a resolution about how 13 to address this depending on what the decision is in 14 543.12? 15 MR. WILSON: I would move again that, 16 you know, if this furthers to get the conversation 17 forward, then I would propose formally to the group that those items where we do not have consensus in 18 19 terms of sovereignty issue that we still hold 20 discussion and debate for benefit of education and 21 understanding of the issues but that we approach it 2.2 from that our recommendation would be an educational 23 role as opposed to a regulatory role for dealing with 24 those issues. 25 MR. FISHER: So are people willing to do

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

that? We need some response to Tom's suggestion.
 Brian.

3 MR. CALLAGHAN: The comical statement I 4 made yesterday about the soft regulations is the idea 5 as I see this is below the line guidance. Certainly 6 you don't have any -- certain opinions say that you 7 don't have the regulatory authority over this 8 particular area.

9 However, I agree and I think the purpose of 10 this brain trust here is to come up with a minimum 11 internal control standard. I see this as there's 12 regulatory requirements and also a complete grouping of 13 MICS that can be addressed, and that is below the fold. 14 That's below the line.

Those are the areas where the NIGC would go in 15 16 and audit, and then there would be that NOV aspect, and 17 then the other aspect of this is the best practices, which could very well extend upon things that we have 18 19 not touched upon that are still critical to the 20 operation. 21 MR. FISHER: There's a couple of 22 different ways to go. 23 MR. MAGEE: I think yesterday when we 24 left we were just about to test this for a vote.

MR. FISHER: Uh-huh. The question where

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

25

1	we were at the end of the day yesterday if I'm
2	remembering correctly was to test like we did with the
3	promotions whether to two questions, whether to
4	basically remove this section from the recommendation
5	or to delete this section, and then if so, should there
6	be any recommendation with respect to guidance or a
7	bulletin?
8	We talked about either doing them separately
9	or doing them combined. If we're going to do it, I
10	think it would be easier to do it separately, separate
11	it out. So the first question would be what do people
12	think about either including this or removing it and
13	then depending on what the answer is figure out whether
14	we need to talk about guidance or bulletin.
15	Are people willing to test it? So if you
16	support deleting 543.12 as presented in the TGWG
17	document from the tax recommendations, raise your hand.
18	Is that a hand raised, Daniel?
19	MR. McGHEE: No. This is whatever.
20	MR. FISHER: That got us everyone but
21	three. Kathy, was your hand up?
22	MS. HAMEL: (Shaking head).
23	MR. FISHER: That's everybody but four.
24	For those of you that let's just check. Those of
25	you that didn't support it, do you oppose it? If you

1	oppose it, raise your hand. That would be zero. If
2	you're willing to abstain, raise your hand. That got
3	three. That's called you're not ready yet. What it
4	means is we don't have consensus if you're not ready
5	to.
6	MR. McGHEE: I abstained.
7	MR. FISHER: If you abstained, then that
8	means that we have consensus to delete this. So then
9	the question is as we did with the promotions, what's
10	your do you want to present any guidance a
11	recommendation to NIGC either with respect to issuance
12	of guidance or a bulletin for this for the player
13	tracking system subject?
14	MR. CULLOO: Could someone explain to me
15	the different things it can be? I understand the
16	bulletins, and the question was raised to some people
17	the bulletin becomes a reg. What are the options? You
18	don't post guidance.
19	MR. LITTLE: I think bulletins are
20	almost like a vehicle for providing in essence a
21	guidance. It's, I think, a common nomenclature. We
22	call them bulletins, and it's basically a best practice
23	representation, guidance.
24	MR. HOENING: You can find guidance in
25	other places on our website. The introduction to the

1 environmental public health and safety section has some 2 pointers on there, and some people would argue that's 3 guidance.

4 It's not anything that has force and effect of 5 law as a regulation would, and it may not rise to the 6 level that some people are saying, but essentially a 7 bulletin is a form of guidance. There's no force and 8 effect of law. It's not enforceable by the agency, so 9 it is basically a form of guidance, but the guidance 10 could theoretically take all kinds of forms.

MR. McGHEE: How much of your resources would you put on training and putting out guidance and bulletins on something that you don't have authority to do? Then you start spending money or time on things that's not even in the realm -- if it's being not in your authority, why would you do it?

MR. LITTLE: That's a very good point, and we do do training on things outside of the regulatory authority we have. We train on what the tribes request we train. You know, we have the expertise, and if a tribe comes to us and asks us for something, we're going to do everything we can to try to provide that training.

24 One, it's required by law, so it's something 25 we have to do, and it's something that we feel strongly

that we should do. It's good for the industry. It's 1 2 good for our underlying goal of, you know, maintaining compliance. That's where we want to be. That's the 3 effort of this commission. 4 5 You know, we made some recent organizational changes. We have a new division of compliance for a б 7 reason, because that's the underlying goal is to have everyone in compliance so we don't have to take 8 9 enforcement action. 10 MR. McGHEE: If it's not for your 11 authority --12 MR. LITTLE: It complies with the laws 13 and the regulations. 14 MR. McGHEE: I'm saying if they want 15 bulletins and training on something that's not a 16 regulation or authority, would NIGC still put time and 17 effort into something that's not a reg or within your 18 authority? 19 MR. LITTLE: Yes. We have done that, 20 and I assume we'll continue to do that. 21 MR. FISHER: Did that answer your 22 question, Leo? MR. CULLOO: Yeah. It sounds like 23 you're saying that some levels at a higher standard or 24 25 level of where a bulletin gives an expectation, has a

1	little more behind it than, say, another format.
2	MR. HOENING: I can't speak for how
3	people in the industry interpret bulletins versus any
4	other kind of guidance. I can only say that the
5	bulletins don't carry any more force and effect than
6	any other kind of guidance. It is all just a kind of
7	guidance.
8	MR. McGHEE: I've always viewed them as
9	recommendations, opinions, you know.
10	MR. FISHER: Matthew.
11	MR. MORGAN: Sort of on this topic, you
12	know, I agree with Mike that protocol comes forward and
13	you have lots of vehicles to deliver that message. If
14	hearing some of the conversation yesterday on people
15	being apprehensive of what you do with some of these
16	things, I think that did go back to, you know Dan, I
17	think you and your commission are doing a wonderful
18	job, and you all are changing some of the environment,
19	and you all are moving down the road the way I see as
20	proper. I want to make sure that you understand I do
21	think you all are doing a good job, and I know it takes
22	time in order to effect change.
23	In years past, when we look at your make-up of
24	enforcement versus training, even today, you know, as,
25	you know, what is your training budget and how many

1 resources have you put into training, you know, as you
2 begin that change in your culture, my hope is that
3 people get more comfortable seeing your office as not a
4 hammer but somebody to go to as a resource in order to
5 help them, assist them, get to places where as an
6 industry we need to be.

You know, I hope bulletins or guides or whatever you want to call them become more commonplace and that we do work in partnership with all of your resources out there, everybody in the federal, you know.

I know you started talking more to your state counterparts and some of the state regulatory bodies to see how they do it in the industry, because sometimes that's helpful to see how other people in the industry, whether it's commercial gaming or charitable gaming, do something and is that applicable in tribal gaming?

Mostly you work with your tribal partners. You're regulatory bodies. You're elected officials. You're tribal law enforcement, because there are some great resources out there to be had and to make sure that we as the industry rise up to the level that we need to be meeting.

24 When I go out and look, I think we're doing 25 really well. I think you see that just based on the

1	lack of publicity as a whole that the industry sees.
2	That's a pat on the back to everybody, operational,
3	regulatory, at every level that's doing a good job, but
4	that change in culture and I know it takes time.
5	Like I say, I think you're doing a good job. When
6	People begin to view you all a little differently, I
7	think that's going to be helpful down the road.
8	MR. LITTLE: I appreciate those words
9	that you said, and training is a major focus of this
10	commission. We've identified four major priorities,
11	and that is training and technical assistance is one of
12	them, and it's done for a reason.
13	You know, I think in the past the commission
14	has kind of struggled getting kind of set up a
15	structure because of the historic structure of the
16	organization. We had a division of one person that was
17	our director of training, and that was the only person
18	in training, and basically we would set up trainings,
19	and he did a good job at that, but then he would have
20	to rely on the other divisions to volunteer their staff
21	to provide the training. We have a limited staff. To
22	dedicate them to do training was an additional, you
23	know, task that was not kind of, you know, often
24	scheduled into their overall work requirements.
25	Now, I don't want to confuse training with

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1	technical assistance. They're two different things.
2	Our staff, including Rest and our compliance officers
3	that we call field investigators, when they go out in
4	the field and they're doing their site visits and they
5	meet with a tribe and they come across an issue, they
6	do technical assistance immediately whether it's
7	organizing ad hoc training.
8	These guys are great, and they do a wonderful
9	job. I've witnessed what they do, and they're
10	dedicated and very helpful, and we'll continue to do
11	that and expand more upon that.
12	But a lot of it has to do with the
13	relationship those folks in the field have with their
14	tribes. In different parts of the country, it's
15	fantastic. In other areas, you know, it could be
16	improved, so we're working on that and just kind of
17	getting the message down to our staff that this
18	training and technical assistance is a vital component
19	of their responsibility.
20	I appreciate those comments, and thank you.
21	It does take time, but I think we're getting there.
22	MR. CALLAGHAN: With the technology
23	today and conceptually with the idea that somebody may
24	not want to raise their hand directly and I
25	recommended this before is online training. Is

1	there some way that we could go in and even and this
2	may be going to Nth degree, but even a help desk or a
3	help desk e-mail communication where someone came up
4	with a question, a technical question with definitely
5	online training. That's the biggest bang for my buck
6	is acquiring slot training. IGT advantage we can do
7	online training.
8	There's a number out there, and I think I
9	thought that typically when you take a look at your
10	resources, if you're to go in that direction, I think
11	it would be incredible.
12	MR. LITTLE: All great ideas, and we
13	have talked about this before. We've got our staff
14	working on it. We do have some structural issues with
15	our own capability, our technology capabilities within
16	the agency. We're working on areas where we can
17	partner with some of our sister agencies, including the
18	Department of Interior, to tap into some of their IT
19	capabilities, utilizing webinars and online training.
20	We're looking as far as partnering, you know.
21	There's no reason why we can't partner with some of the
22	tribal associations that are doing training. A lot of
23	folks do great training. Partnering with them,
24	partnering with some of the manufacturers in the
25	industry, some of the testing labs, you know.

There's nothing out there to say that we're the only folks that can provide the training. There's a lot of other organizations that are doing it that are being responsible members of this industry, and there's no reason why we can't partner with them and look for areas.

We've all got one goal, and that is to, you know, help this industry, you know, continue to provide strong regulation and identify areas where we can look for improvement, so we're working on that. It's definitely something I think we're all in agreement on, and we'll continue to kind of update you guys as we make progress on that.

MR. FISHER: Are we ready to see if there's something that you want to recommend now to NIGC with respect to the player tracking systems? Bear in mind that we have said people want to talk about the general concept, and I have it on the list -- that concept on the list of things to talk about at the next meeting.

21 MR. LITTLE: Can I make one statement? 22 There's been a lot of conversation about, you know, 23 sovereignty and authorities of the commission. Like I 24 said yesterday, I'm not going to talk about our 25 authority. You know, don't confuse my silence with,

1	you know, just agreeing with what's been said, but it's
2	really important to me, that, you know, these
3	discussions are, you know, gone through. I'm
4	interested in hearing what everybody has to say.
5	How you decide to make your recommendations,
6	you know, I'll leave that up to you. However, it is
7	very helpful to discuss the issues, you know. Then
8	whether or not you decide you don't think it's
9	something within our authority, I don't want to discuss
10	that, but, you know, it is helpful for us to hear the
11	discussions on the matters.
12	MR. WILSON: You know, it seems
13	yesterday that we certainly had a consensus that the
14	guidance needed to be stronger, and so, I mean, I think
15	that is something that could be voted on. Whatever
16	form the guidance takes, it seemed yesterday that we
17	were all in agreement that that could be a better
18	document and that there's definitely issues there that
19	need to be addressed from a guidance perspective. When
20	I use the term guidance in this context, I don't mean a
21	regulatory attached guidance but best practices.
22	MR. FISHER: So what would that do
23	you want to talk about what would be included or just
24	the general recommendations of including of saying
25	that NIGC should publish best practices or something

1	like that? Do you want to do the overall thing or do
2	you want to do what it would also include?
3	MR. WILSON: I don't know. I just
4	recall yesterday we had this general I mean, I for
5	one did not disagree with any of the comments that NIGC
6	had about concerns with the risks associated with
7	player tracking system, so I agree that those concerns
8	are valid and that any guidance or training that could
9	be done would be a beneficial thing.
10	MR. MORGAN: From my chair, I think it
11	is useful to say that the guidance best practice
12	document or whatever term you want to use needs to be
13	stronger.
14	If you got these expertise around the table
15	and people have specific ideas or at least general
16	areas that need to be stronger in this area, if there's
17	something that needs to be included to some of the
18	concerns that you brought up, I think it would be
19	appropriate at the very least to list the subject or
20	areas that you may see some weaknesses in while you
21	have us assembled, because to me yesterday there were
22	clear ideas that people thought clearly there was some
23	weaknesses in the documents, and they're probably
24	better to articulate what the weaknesses were.
25	I don't think we have to get into a line by

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company
1	line discussion of what the document needs to say,
2	because conceptually this area needs to be
3	strengthened, and we missed this whatever that may be
4	may be helpful in that regards.
5	MR. FISHER: Maybe we should just spend
6	a few minutes fleshing that out and then we can come
7	back and see what people think of the idea of making a
8	general recommendation on guidance, bulletins, or
9	training to NIGC. Unfortunately, I had that whole list
10	in a recommendation, and when the recommendation went
11	in a different direction, I deleted it all.
12	MR. WILSON: If we need to go back
13	through the document, then let's.
14	MR. FISHER: I think it would be useful
15	just to do that, because there are some specific things
16	that they recommended, and that list got incorporated,
17	and there was a general comment that you made, Tom,
18	about emphasizing the importance of this area and the
19	potential for abuse or something similar to that.
20	MR. MAGEE: Robert, it seems like a
21	point of order is that we need to determine whether
22	we're going to as a group determine whether the
23	guidance document is needed or not before we go down
24	and start going through line by line in the guidance
25	document. In the end we may not include it and it will

Page 479 be a waste of time. Let's determine that first. Are 1 we going to include a bulletin or a guide document in 2 3 lieu of? MR. McGHEE: We didn't include a 4 5 bulletin in the promotions. We said no bulletin. MR. WILSON: Let's ask the question, 6 7 then. MR. FISHER: Let's test it. The 8 9 question is if you support recommending to NIGC that 10 there be guidance or bulletin or best practices for player tracking systems, raise your hand. 11 12 MR. McGHEE: At the very least? Is that 13 what you're asking? 14 MR. FISHER: As a minimum, yeah. So 15 that got everybody except one person. If you're 16 opposed, raise your hand. If you're willing to 17 abstain, please raise your hand. 18 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I think I'm only 19 comfortable recommending such if we actually were to 20 sit down and write up the kind of exact bulletin to say we recommend a bulletin and this is what we're 21 22 recommending, this bulletin in these words. MR. MAGEE: I kind of agree with that. 23 We should actually see it in written form. 24 25 MR. FISHER: What that means is that we

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

Page 480 1 need to task someone or --2 MR. McGHEE: Did she abstain? 3 MR. FISHER: She did. MR. McGHEE: So we had a consensus? 4 5 MR. FISHER: Correct. We need to ask if somebody or task a small group of folks to go and 6 7 develop a draft of what the guidance or bulletin or whatever the recommendation with look like and bring 8 9 that back to the full committee unless you want to 10 spend the time --11 MR. LITTLE: We already have a guidance. 12 MS. LASH: I think the working group's 13 guidance gives guidance. That's a good starting point. Do we just want to say are there areas that need 14 strengthened or are there areas in the guidance here or 15 16 would we recommend this as the guidance? 17 MR. FISHER: Maybe I should have framed the question for consensus differently. That's a good 18 19 question, because the discussion yesterday was around 20 strengthening the guidance that was presented. Robin's 21 question is in essence do you want to test whether the 2.2 TGWG guidance document is good the way it is or whether it needs to be strengthened? Do you want to test that? 23 24 MR. WILSON: In the context that that 25 document -- that the vote on that is that it's a

1	non-regulatory guidance document. I mean, I think it
2	just needs to be clear so there isn't confusion down
3	the road about because everything else talks about
4	these guidance documents. We've already stated that
5	they have to be part and parcel to
6	MR. CULLOO: Best practice guidance.
7	MR. WEST: I have one comment. If it
8	was all in the guidance document, it seems like it
9	would since the if you will the risk phase area is
10	in the standards, would those need to be pulled down
11	out of the standards into the guidance as sort of a
12	framework for the more detailed guidance that's
13	actually in the existing guidance documents?
14	It kind of flows together, and that would be
15	my only recommendation. Since you're identifying the
16	risks and the standards, it seems like you'd want to
17	publish those in the guidance document.
18	MR. FISHER: Daniel.
19	MR. McGHEE: I just want to put it out
20	there that I'm getting mixed messages, because it's
21	like we're going to delete a promotion section but
22	then, no, let's not do guidance documents. Those
23	almost end up being a regulation or something.
24	So we vote not to do a bulletin or guidance
25	document on that section, and then we come to this

1	section and delete it, and then we say, yes, let's do a
2	guidance document. It's like what do we want?
3	One minute we feel this way.
4	If you delete promotion, you think it's a risk
5	but not in this jurisdiction but it's important, we
6	should have a bulletin, and then we vote not to have a
7	bulletin, and then the next day we do the same thing.
8	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I think we should
9	treat them all the same way. If we do this, we need to
10	backtrack it
11	MR. WILSON: I agree. I think we've
12	evolved, and I think we've realized from yesterday to
13	today that there is value to this, and I just think
14	we've evolved. I agree with Leo that that we should
15	treat it all the same when we run into these issues,
16	which hence goes back to my recommendation that for
17	those things that we find are a sovereignty issue that
18	we agree that they be handled in this manner, in this
19	guidance or best practice manner. If we have to go
20	back and revisit promotions to go on record to make
21	that statement, then we probably should.
22	MR. FISHER: What do you think of that,
23	Daniel?
24	MR. McGHEE: Yes. I agree.
25	MR. FISHER: What do other people think?

1 I just need to get some sense. The suggestion is to treat them the same, which is in part -- part of the 2 evolution has been the acknowledgment that it presents 3 a sovereignty issue, there's an authority issue, and 4 5 that as I typed up on the screen there that it's a suggestion for non-regulatory approach. That's what 6 7 I'm hearing. That's different than what we talked about 8

9 yesterday, because one of the reasons why people didn't 10 support -- I can go back and show you -- guidance on 11 promotions was because of the potential for it to move 12 into a regulatory -- take on a regulatory character if 13 you will or become part of the regulations.

If anybody disagrees with treating them 14 15 similarly, each of those -- any section that we have 16 for sovereignty reasons or authority reasons suggested 17 or recommended that it not be included, that we treat all those areas the same with respect to best practices 18 19 and guidance, if you disagree with that, I need you to say so now so that we can figure out whether we're all 20 21 in alignment to move forward with that or whether 22 there's anything more we need to do before we move 23 forward. If you disagree with that, please say so now. 24 Nobody disagreed. This means -- how would you like -- do you want to flesh this out as a group what 25

1	these would look like or in what way you're going to
2	build on or strengthen what the TGWG has proposed or
3	would you like to ask some folks to do that?
4	MR. MORGAN: Before we get to that, what
5	I heard from Rest was kind of go to general best
6	practices. Make should I understood the rest. You had
7	concern that the guidance documents in becoming a best
8	practices document may not be able to stand by itself
9	without something
10	MR. WEST: I think it might, but if
11	you're providing information and guidance to a tribe,
12	it would seem like you would want to identify the risk
13	that you've identified in the standards.
14	MR. MORGAN: If we're going to get
15	uniformity in how we present that document, that may be
16	something within that document that you do identify the
17	risk or the concern you have, and this is best
18	practices of how you address that concern. Is that
19	your issue?
20	MR. WEST: I just think since they're
21	combined, to only present one part of it may not be as
22	informative as you'd want it to be.
23	MR. WILSON: As I see it, in the
24	standard for player tracking or promotions, there are
25	some things that are identified as must haves as part

of if it were a regulation, so to the same extent that 1 2 in guidance those components should not be included in the guidance document, because they tie part of the 3 rationale of why you have all this guidance, and 4 5 without identifying that you should have these components, that should be an inherent part of the best 6 7 practice document, because they are part and parcel to one another. 8

9 MR. MORGAN: I did understand where he 10 was going. My point was to try to lead him to the 11 conclusion to make sure that the group understood that 12 just because you don't have the standard doesn't mean 13 you can't have the best practices, and because now we've shifted our thought process and the purpose of 14 the document is a little bit different, there's a way 15 16 to incorporate those concerns into a best practices 17 document. I understood where he was going. I just 18 wanted to make sure we were on the same page.

MR. FISHER: Brian.
MR. CALLAGHAN: When we first started,
one of the thoughts I had and still have is that this
is great for what we're doing because we have a defined
purpose, but I also thought and respectfully suggest
that the NIGC convene another TAC of directors of
internal audit or you could still have Kathy there and

others to discuss best practices and minimum internal
 controls, and then maybe you could come up with a
 document based on that.

We're charged with taking a look at a little bit of a different level of things rather than getting it down into should, shall, how many people does it take to do a drop? I thought it may be beneficial to the NIGC as well to convene a group of directors of internal audit and maybe come up with some kind of a white paper based on that.

MR. FISHER: You'll take that under advisement? MR. LITTLE: Absolutely. MR. FISHER: Do you want to do the work

15 in the whole group or do you want to task a smaller 16 group to come back with recommendations to the whole 17 group?

MR. LITTLE: Would that be today? Dan's leaving at 10:00. You could task him with that. MR. CULLOO: Personally, I'd like the opportunity to go back to my facility and think about this and talk to some of my staff and say, look, what are your suggestions? It's at the local level it's got to succeed.

MR. FISHER: We could task a small group

25

1 to serve as a coordinator in essence to gather that 2 information from the rest of the members of the 3 committee and present something back. Dan, are you 4 volunteering?

5 MR. McGHEE: No. I was just thinking 6 that we want to discuss the bingo guidelines, so maybe 7 because we've been going around in circles I suggest we 8 go to something that we know exactly what we want to do 9 with it, and this whole issue that the agenda committee 10 can decide when would be the best time to address that 11 at a future time.

I think we've established the core part of it that when it was voted on that guidance documents begun and that we recommended that it not be included in the reg. Anything else, maybe we could leave that up to the agenda planning committee how to address that.

As long as we're not going back to the regulation, I'm all good and we're just talking about guidance documentation, because I was here for that, but I'd at least like to get into that.

21 MR. FISHER: Let's see if I can do this, 22 because at some point or another we got to do this. 23 Who is willing to work on this off-line?

24 MR. McGHEE: This is a more detailed 25 document of what we already have? Is that what they're

1	asking to do?
2	MR. FISHER: Yeah.
3	MR. McGHEE: Taking the NIGC's comments.
4	MR. FISHER: Change it and bring back a
5	proposal to the group. There's Jeff and Christina.
6	That's enough for right now. Then we can figure out
7	how to do it. Thanks for volunteering. I appreciate
8	it.
9	Why don't we I know we went around and
10	around a little bit. We've changed some things that we
11	did yesterday. We're about to embark on a new
12	direction with some of these things, and we want to
13	talk about the bingo guidance before Daniel has to
14	leave. It's time to move there. Before we do that,
15	why don't we take a short break, short meaning by my
16	clock we're going to start up again at 9:30.
17	(Recess taken.)
18	MR. FISHER: I wrote up on the screen
19	here on the next line an action item around doing the
20	best practices guidance, and after everybody
21	volunteered, Daniel also said he would work, and so
22	that will get going. Why don't we now turn our
23	attention to the bingo guidance.
24	MR. LITTLE: I think at the last meeting
25	we went over this, but I think it was decided that you

1	only want to talk about the standard and not the
2	guidance, and I appreciate you all indulging us to kind
3	of go back and not talk about the guidance. I think
4	we're working off the comparison document that we
5	provided you at the last meeting just so that we're
6	pretty clear. I hope everybody still has it.
7	MR. FISHER: I guess I could put it up
8	on the screen too.
9	MR. WHEATLEY: Please.
10	MR. LITTLE: I think what our interest
11	in talking about the guidance is kind of an overall
12	kind of philosophy on this particular section. I'm
13	going to let Rest kind of expand on it a little bit
14	more. Some generalized questions are hopefully you
15	can help us understand. I'm going to let Rest.
16	MR. WEST: This is my opinion as far as
17	the standards themselves that basically grew out of the
18	original MICS standards which were developed basically
19	to cover paper bingo.
20	The standard and the guidance documents as far
21	as the codes by NIGC are not basically any different
22	than those that are in the Federal Register right now
23	that were supposed to go into effect. Now they've been
24	postponed to October of 2012.
25	My concern is how does the small gaming

1	operation or how does the gaming operation represented
2	here if you're purely a class 2 facility, you have
3	electronic bingo machines or electronic bingo player
4	terminals, whatever the nomenclature is, how do you
5	take the standards and the guidance developed by the
6	TGWG and develop adequate control procedures for
7	electronic gaming?

I haven't looked at the checklist developed by 8 9 the TAC. I don't know if they segregate the paper and 10 electronic, the differences between the two. It's always been an issue with the tribes that are in class 11 12 2 or have adopted -- even the ones that have adopted 13 the 543.7. How do they comply with the standards if they're purely operating electronic bingo player 14 terminals? 15

MR. McGHEE: What I found when we were 16 17 looking through this, because we do have class 2, is a lot of the things that are asking to be done in the 18 19 bingo section, whether it be paper bingo or electronic 20 bingo, is still a requirement. It's just that now you 21 rely on the electronic that -- the player interface or 2.2 the server is doing a lot of what you may have manually 23 done before.

24 So when I read through it, I would say, well, 25 it's saying that bingo is asking for this, and then I

would say, well, our system does that, so I'd meet that requirement.

Then any other thing that we're used to --3 kind of the crossover is covered in the server section, 4 5 you know. Not the server section. What is it called? I forget the new section. Service systems and 6 7 something. It's covered there, because I have now incorporated a system or server into my bingo game 8 9 play, so I use that section and this bingo section and 10 still comply.

11 So a lot of what's here as I was going through 12 it that asks to be done is done. It's just now done 13 electronically. I can pull it up from a server or a 14 report or a log versus usually it was done this way.

So I wanted to be sure -- and I was helping write some of the regs for this -- that there was nothing here that would be, oh, well, I can or cannot do that because it's paper and it says gaming, and to the best of my determination, they crossed over just fine.

21 MR. WEST: I have a different opinion. 22 I think it would be some of the operations that don't 23 have the expertise and having being working in class 2 24 so long -- I don't know if anybody else has looked into 25 the standards and see how they can develop adequate

1	internal control procedures for electronic bingo or
2	not. I think a lot of operations have difficulty
3	taking the 543.7 that's in the Federal Register and
4	developing adequate controls. I know we struggled with
5	543.7 to see what we determined was applicable to paper
6	bingo versus electronic bingo.
7	MR. McGHEE: With this one?
8	MR. WEST: The Federal Register.
9	MS. HAMEL: I think there's maybe
10	being a completely class 2 facility is that the bingo
11	section on its own doesn't identify all the controls of
12	controlling bingo. So much of it is in the server
13	section and is pushed to accounting and auditing and to
14	drop and count.
15	So standing on its own, it may not look like
16	it covers all the controls, but those other controls do
17	encompass the full controls that the standards that
18	need to be set for controlling bingo.
19	MR. WEST: So have you developed
20	principles or procedures at your facility just for
21	electronic bingo?
22	MS. HAMEL: Uh-huh.
23	MR. WEST: And they would follow what
24	TGWG has recommended?
25	MS. HAMEL: Ours haven't been changed to

1	this particular format, but we still cover all of the
2	standards as recommended here.
3	MR. WEST: I think moving things to
4	different areas is also added to the complexity and the
5	concern that I have for a lot of the gaming operations'
6	ability to develop and determine what is necessary to
7	develop internal controls for electronic bingo versus
8	paper bingo.

9 MS. LASH: Maybe that's where the 10 checklist could become more helpful since we have 11 reorganized and moved things. You could have your 12 checklist of the areas and then direct through the 13 checklist the different sections where it is.

MR. FISHER: Matthew, then Tom. MR. MORGAN: My comment has to come back to I know you go back and you look at how bingo is played, but when you talk about internal controls for the game of bingo, it was defined in IGRA, so you're working within that limitation first.

There's three things. Bingo, you have court cases that back up there's only three elements of bingo. When you start going to player terminals, some are hand-held and session games and paper games, but trying to develop internal controls that meet all three of those at a minimum level, that is difficult

1 sometimes, because, again, you have to encompass all 2 three of those type of activities in bingo. I think Kathy was right in that, you know, for 3 the gaming section, if you're just talking about 4 5 electronic player terminal bingo, you know, you do have to look at accounting, drop and count, some of the 6 7 other sections incorporated with that that goes along with a player terminal, because after you get outside 8 9 those three elements of bingo, you get into a 10 conversation of a technological aid. This aids in your 11 play. You don't have to use it, but it's an aid. 12 How you deal with those aids to bingo, you 13 know, is trying to look at technological invasions while at the same time not leaving anything in the past 14 behind that you once did, because anything you can do 15 16 at an electronic player terminal fundamentally you did 17 in a paper bingo game. Sometimes that can be a little difficult, 18 complex if you're looking at how many operations you 19 run, because a lot of operations these days don't run 20 paper bingo sessions today. You look at it and you say 21 22 could you do that in a paper bingo game? 23 The answer is yes. You do it by some other 24 means. That's the reason you do incorporate some of these sections into -- you don't read it in a vacuum by 25

itself. You have to read the document in its entirety. 1 MR. McGHEE: A lot of it is the main 2 addition to this bingo section was the technological 3 aids to play bingo. You say what are the main 4 5 properties of bingo that need to be controlled, and you label those out and say controls need to be in place 6 7 for those. Then when you get into the actual guidance 8 9 document where it talks about technological aids, then 10 it talks about issues with shipping and receiving and then issues with access credentials and recordkeeping 11 12 and audit processes for electronic gaming, testing. 13 There's a whole area devoted just to when it becomes electronic, because at the end of the day, 14 bingo is bingo. The process is bingo. Then when you 15 16 add electronics to it, then you have to start thinking 17 about that, which is why the technological aids section of the quide talks about that. It goes into what added 18 19 risks are now there because you have technological 20 aids? Whether it's done adequately or not, it's addressed in here. 21 2.2 MR. WILSON: I would tend to agree with Rest that one of the problems that I see fundamentally 23 24 with the format of the TGWG document is that it lacks

25

maybe a sufficient amount of cross-referencing, because

what I see could occur, and I think what you're speaking to is that somebody turns to the section on bingo and the assumption is that everybody's going to read this document in totality in order to conclude upon whether their controls -- that they've covered all the controls.

7 What your documents do is every transaction has a life cycle cradle to grave, so theoretically the 8 9 entire document covers the life cycle of these various 10 gaming activities and things, but I think that there needs to be a clear one-to-one relationship in order to 11 12 capture that entire life cycle to ensure that you have 13 adopted all of the controls, because as you pointed out, it's not just the game. 14

You know, you've got an accounting aspect to it. You've got all these various aspects that there needs to be a good tie to lead the reader to understand that this isn't everything in this piece but you've got to refer and make sure that you have included all these other components in order to have a complete control structure of the life cycle.

22 MR. MORGAN: 543.3, system of internal 23 controls, when you're setting up something, you don't 24 go in and just look at bingo, not when you're setting 25 it up. You look at what is required for the totality

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 of the system. That's why 543 says you have to adopt 2 internal control standards to cover the areas that are 3 covered in this part, all the parts. That is the 4 point.

5 So when you go into a specific subject matter, 6 you're reading on that subject matter, but you can't 7 read it without looking at that 543.3 document. Again, 8 that's the reason we stress. That is so important when 9 you read that first part. People actually gloss over 10 it and go right to the subject matter. That is why we 11 put in there a system of internal controls to cover.

Fundamentally class 2 is that you can't have a player terminal. It is a system. It has to be linked. You have to have player participation. If you don't have a system, you don't have class 2.

Early on we get into downloadable. Downloadable is a new big thing in class 3. It was already set up with a player. You know, you put money in. It goes back to the server. You have a game server. You have a money server. You have servers in the back. It's always been a system when you run a class 2 electronic player terminal.

It's always been that way. If you go in to look at historically how you did it, this is not a new concept. This was the concept. If you just go back

1	and read that 543.3, that point of reference you're
2	looking at, that is what sets you off and you
3	understand you do have that system of internal controls
4	for no matter what you do. If that's cards or bingo,
5	pull tabs, whatever gaming actives you decide to take
6	up, it does include that.

7 MR. WILSON: Just to follow up on that, I'm not stating that it doesn't state that. What I'm 8 9 saying is that -- and we see this in our organization 10 with our comment packet and various things. We have a 11 compact, and we've got four or five appendices to the 12 compact, and there is no clear reference that this item 13 is talked about here but it's also talked about over 14 here, and if you don't read the over here part, you're 15 going to miss something.

16 All I'm suggesting is that human nature, as 17 you just said, people often gloss over that first part, so I'm merely stating that to the extent that you can 18 19 minimize human nature in glossing over that first part 20 and ensure throughout the document that there's a clear 21 tie between all the components that you need would be 2.2 beneficial to the document format that it's in now being more successful. 23

24 MR. LITTLE: I know we talked about this 25 a number of times. I think getting back to Rest's

1 original point about -- I know the underlying goal or 2 the concept of this was to organize things in a better 3 fashion to make them easier, you know, for -- you know, 4 to follow a grouping type of things so there's some 5 continuity.

6 But I think one of the issues that Rest had 7 raised is that, you know, we have to create standards 8 and regulations that encompass the entire industry, and 9 I think what we're looking at is how do we ensure that, 10 you know, facilities from varying levels of maturity 11 are able to comply with this and not miss anything like 12 Tom was saying there?

Maybe like he was saying there needs to be a better effort on references. In this one here, the only reference is to the accounting section, but there are some areas that probably it would be helpful. I don't know if that's something that could be looked at again or if there's any way to beef it up.

MR. MORGAN: I will say going to your point, Tom, there was always discussion with redundancy in there, and one of the reasons we thought the MICS as written right now are so thick is, one, you have a lot of procedures in there, and, two, there's a lot of redundancy in there. When you look at it operationally or regulatorily and you tell your staff go read this

and you bring a document like this, they drop their head and go, oh, my goodness. Whether we covered the subjects adequately and are there places where you need to be redundant was a question that came up several times.

We did try to put in points of reference. On 6 7 this one, internal controls was very big in our mind that, hey, we're putting out a cue here that says you 8 9 need more than just this subject matter, because 10 otherwise if you go into pull tabs, within the pull tabs section, are you going to list out every subject 11 12 you need to operate a pull tab or are you going to 13 organize it the way that we decided to organize it was 14 you organize it by subject matter with some type of 15 pointers?

We're looking around the table saying is it clear enough to you, to you, to you? We may have got their concerns in, but we may have missed somebody, because, again, it wasn't a national -- everybody wasn't included just because of how the effort was placed.

That could be a concern here, because sometimes we were overly redundant sometimes, because we wanted to stress the point. That may be a concern. MR. WILSON: I'm not advocating

1 redundancy. I'm just advocating pointers to move 2 people to ensure that they know. I'm trying to 3 eliminate all of the human aspects of things that we 4 all deal with every day, and that's people not knowing, 5 you know.

So to the extent -- I mean, what I envision in 6 7 my mind not in the document, but if I were, let's say, going to be training my folks on this document, I would 8 9 show the life cycle of this, envision a flow chart, and 10 here's what this transaction does, and here's all the different things that it touches to where ultimately 11 12 that's why you have to have these controls in these 13 different areas, and I think that the document does 14 that.

I would just want to make sure that for those areas or those tribes even that don't have the level of sophistication perhaps to get to that point that there are clear pointers that ensure to the best possible way that they can get there.

20MR. FISHER: We got Daniel and then21Matthew.

22 MR. McGHEE: I see your point, but I 23 guess I'm missing what you're saying is actually 24 lacking, because nowhere would you really need to 25 point, because you don't point to a section and the

1 other section.

It's relevant that if you're dealing with money and bingo that you're going to have to -- if you're dealing with a system that you're going to have to consult the IT section. It's inherent. I don't see any less or more pointers in this section than I do in any other section that you have to refer to the same things for.

9 The process or life cycle going through here, 10 unless someone could point them out, then I would be better off that are here. You know what I mean? 11 12 Because it's a gaming system or it has a drop box, 13 there's going to be a drop. Why do we have to put in here please refer to the drop when it may not be in the 14 other section we need to refer to a drop because it's 15 16 common knowledge.

People dealing with bingo are no less familiar than people dealing with card games. I don't think we have to completely spell it out in here just because it's bingo.

21 MR. FISHER: I don't know which one of 22 the two of you got there first.

23 MS. HAMEL: I have a suggestion. When 24 the TGWG worked on these individual regulations, we saw 25 as we went on that there was what we call boilerplate

language that we eventually went back to each section
 and entered. An example would be internal control
 procedures, computer application variances, and
 supervision.

5 Does there need to be a fourth -- a fifth boilerplate that says that it's each operation's б 7 responsibility to check if we're in bingo, patron deposit accounts, cage involved, lines of credit, 8 9 security -- I mean, is that enough direction in the 10 introduction of the regulation rather than requrgitating the same information as part of the 11 12 boilerplate? The fifth thing you have to do is go 13 check those other sections and comply. 14 MR. CULLOO: That's a really good idea. MS. HAMEL: It could be a fifth 15 16 boilerplate. That's everyone's responsibility to check 17 surveillance, drop account, auditing and accounting, complimentary, service security. 18 19 MR. LITTLE: Would that reach your goal 20 of trying to simplify this for a regulator where I 21 think currently it's basically in a reg, you read it, 22 where now you're flipping back and forth?

23 MR. McGHEE: Because the cage like I
 24 said reflects certain things.

MR. FISHER: Go ahead, Kathy.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

25

MS. HAMEL: It's only 30 pages long.Somebody could read it in an hour.

MR. LITTLE: The standard is. However, the guidance won't be. There will be -- it will be a pretty sizable document. Then that will have to be whether or not the TGRA adopts that guidance into their own guidance, so it will be -- I think it's going to be a lot more complex than just a 30-page document.

9 MR. McGHEE: You need somebody to 10 identify maybe where that cross-reference is lacking in 11 the guidance document to help me understand maybe what 12 the real issue is. I know we're talking about the 13 concept of it, but I haven't seen any details of this 14 is lacking this. You know what I mean?

MR. MORGAN: Two things. I keep wondering is it good enough or is it clear enough, which is a very subjective standard that slides back and forth depending on your experience or maybe your operations, so whether it's clear enough is sometimes hard to pinpoint. That's where other training, technical aspects, networking will be relied upon.

22 My worry there sometimes is when you start 23 putting in references is how do I read the document? 24 Do I read that document saying you put in every 25 reference so that document is all encompassing and what

1 if you missed one? Is it not required at that point to 2 go look?

Two, I keep going back to that how system of 3 internal controls is defined. When you start talking 4 5 about overall operational framework for gaming operations, it uses some of the language that you're 6 7 concerned about, and that's that thought, the idea behind that system of internal controls document that, 8 9 you know, after you have your MICS and you have your --10 you still have to go down and get into your policies and procedures. That's exactly how you carry that out. 11 12 It needs to be more detail. 13 MS. HAMEL: It's far more detailed than 14 the quides document. 15 MR. FISHER: It might be useful to 16 acknowledge that Daniel is going to leave us right now. 17 MR. LITTLE: I do appreciate the goal of trying to streamline this. I'm not trying to -- I'm 18 just trying to be maybe asking pointed questions. I 19 20 know the effort, and I really respect it, and I know 21 you guys did a good job at trying to organize it so it 22 is clear and that everything flows and there's no -- we even came across where you've got the same item written 23

- 24 differently in two different sections. So I do
- 25 understand that. Please don't take my comments as --

1	MS. HAMEL: No.
2	MR. FISHER: It's also that partly their
3	reading it, people who worked on it all that time have
4	a better appreciation for what it is you were trying to
5	accomplish and how all the pieces fit together, and now
6	you're getting people who are reading it kind of cold
7	and looking at the whole thing and asking these kind of
8	questions.
9	MR. WILSON: This brings up an
10	interesting point, because something that Brian
11	mentioned yesterday. See, to me, the true test of any
12	of this is you put it in use and you see what all
13	doesn't work, because when you sit in a room and you
14	conceptualize, it all makes perfect sense, but
15	oftentimes when it's implemented it does not go the way
16	that everybody envisioned simply because somebody
17	interpreted this, somebody did this, or somebody didn't
18	know.
19	This concept of a pilot program, when you're
20	talking about a different way of approaching that is
21	intended to be more efficient, more effective, it seems
22	to me that a pilot program to help work out some of the

24 because at the end of the day, what I don't want is 25 something that ends up getting published that then

bugs if you will or a beta test would be beneficial,

23

1 afterwards we're all saying, well, how come and what 2 about this and why wasn't this considered or that but 3 then we're stuck with it at that point because it's a 4 regulation now.

5 If there is some way to pilot test before as part of the something, the process, that would be 6 7 great, because I can tell you that sitting in a room and going through this document, I can't tell you that 8 9 the concept that is hoped to be achieved can actually 10 work the way that you're anticipating until it's tried. 11 MR. MORGAN: I will tell you that at my 12 place when you did publish the 2008, we tried to be 13 proactive and adopted and tried to marry 542 and 543 together. The issue that we ran into we're not 14 operating under 542 or 543. It was trying to make 543 15

16 line up with 542, so, okay, I'm doing it correctly 17 under 543, but now I'm out of compliance with 542. 18 That was our -- the conflict between those two 19 standards was the area that we had the most trouble 20 with.

21 Me saying that, we have 5,000 plus electronic 22 terminals. We have two session bingo games, and at my 23 place they tell me I don't have challenges. I've had 24 lots of opportunities for trial and error, so I'm not 25 in a position that Rest is looking at for a new gaming

1	operation. We're in a little bit different position.
2	This did work in the guidance and helped us
3	develop something there. My issue was reading it to
4	make sure that on the board because I have class 2 and
5	class 3 electronic games, making sure that I met both
6	standards. That's where my trouble came in.
7	MR. FISHER: Did you have other
8	questions or comments?
9	MR. WEST: I want to reiterate that to
10	me the standards are based on and did an adequate job
11	in the past of providing controls for the standard for
12	paper bingo, and I think they've kind of been massaged
13	and a few things have been added by the committee back
14	in 2007 or 2008, whenever it was; that basically what's
15	published in the Federal Register, also what is
16	basically in proposed draft on the website, I don't see
17	any significant changes between the two documents.
18	So, again, I have trouble seeing how an
19	operation with not a good background, I guess, in class
20	2 gaming or maybe not the level of expertise could
21	develop adequate internal control procedures can take
22	even a 543.7 documents in the Federal Register or the
23	TGWG document and do a good job of safeguarding their
24	revenue stream.
25	MR. CULLOO: My experience, Rest, you

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1	keep going back to inexperienced organizations or
2	start-ups. When I start up when I was TGWG
3	director, I had nothing to go by except documents with
4	this and with the state. Invariably what I'd do is go
5	to other organizations and ask counterparts. Hey, I
6	don't really follow this somewhere. Could you kind of
7	explain to me how this works, kind of how it flows?
8	I think invariably most people, I would think,
9	if they can't grasp concepts, they're going to seek
10	advice. Now, granted there will be some that won't,
11	but you can't help everyone that doesn't want to be
12	helped, and I think it's incumbent upon people when
13	they get to the point they got to develop a set of
14	controls that everyone I ever known that's been
15	involved in something new seeks advice from
16	counterparts that had experience in it.
17	A lot of what I got was internal controls from
18	my counterparts, and I adapted it to my specific
19	organization understanding the minimum controls I had
20	to meet and then take what they have and incorporate it
21	in. I don't think you can write a document that
22	everyone is going to comprehend. I just don't think
23	it's possible.
24	MR. WEST: I think you can provide
25	proper guidance, and I think there's a lot of

1 operations in my opinion that do not go outside CP 2 quidance. Whether they don't want to, the reasons for that, they're all various and sundry. 3 To me, the purpose of this to me is to provide 4 5 the proper amount of information whether you're doing paper bingo. Electronic bingo I think there's some 6 challenges. I don't know if the committee here have 7 taken these standards to sit down and see if they can 8 9 follow them and determine which standards and quidance 10 is appropriate for paper versus electronic. I don't know if that's been done or not. 11 12 MR. FISHER: Tom. And then is that your 13 card up, Matthew? 14 MR. MORGAN: Yeah. I'm trying to stay 15 out of Tom's way. 16 MR. WILSON: I just want to touch upon 17 this concept, though, of regulating to the least -- the lowest common denominator. The problem with that is 18 19 that if a tribe elects to get into gaming, inherently there are certain things that they have to do, and if 20 they don't do those things, they need to, but it 21 22 shouldn't be incumbent upon another organization that is doing the things that they're supposed to do -- I 23

24 mean, the issue in my mind becomes one of you have to 25 take responsibility for what comes with what you ask

1 for, and if you're going to get into gaming, then at 2 some point there's a personal responsibility that that 3 tribe has to take on to develop the systems of internal 4 controls that has to happen.

5 We went through this similar debate in the 6 SOCS(phonetic) world, which was, well, how is it that a 7 company like Boeing that can spend \$80 million on 8 SOCS(phonetic) compliance but the mom and pop operation 9 that happens to be a public company doesn't have 10 \$80 million to come into compliance.

So there does have to be, I think, this 11 12 balance of how does an entity like this achieve, and I 13 think that that's the whole safe harbor aspect, which is, okay, if you can't figure it out, here is the safe 14 harbor that says, well, if you do this, because there 15 16 certainly are those tribes that probably need what I 17 would call the off-the-shelf solution to be able to do 18 that.

But to the extent that a tribe isn't owning up to their responsibility to have internal controls, I look at that as a different issue that regulation can't address. I mean, that gets to that whole enforcement side of you got to step up to the plate and meet this minimum, and if a tribe isn't, I don't want to be penalized through regulation to put something in place

to ensure that that tribe is meeting their
 responsibilities.

MR. MORGAN: Rest, I mean, you've been in the industry a long time. You've been working at this a long time. If I hear your comments correctly, you know, your statement was that the tribal gaming work group document wasn't much different from the 2010 or the 2008 and that you thought it adequately covered paper but maybe not electronics.

10 With your years of experience and with your 11 expertise you have in the agency, if you see some 12 weaknesses in the document, do you have suggestions in 13 areas where they're weak, because if you've seen this time and time again, I know you want knowledge coming 14 this way, but I would like some knowledge coming this 15 16 way too, because clearly we saw some things in here we 17 thought we addressed, and if you're seeing weaknesses somewhere, I really would like to hear where it is or 18 19 what you're seeing just for purposes of discussion and 20 dialogue.

21 MR. MAGEE: Maybe a subcommittee should 22 be formed with Rest to flesh out what the issues are 23 and come to some sort of agreement or guidance 24 document, because the risk of not doing that, we could 25 have NIGC developing what we fear would be regs that

would be not in the best interest of the industry. 1 2 That's my suggestion. 3 MR. MORGAN: That's a good question. MS. HAMEL: I quess if it was so 4 5 lacking, why was it published before? I don't mean that to be sarcastic, but... 6 7 MR. WEST: I don't know. You'd have to ask the prior commission. 8 9 MR. MORGAN: I agree that that's useful 10 in the sense of how we operate too. We are cast with getting documents coming, ready to discuss on bingo. I 11 12 don't feel like you all came prepared as much on bingo, 13 which is really a very important section. 14 I mean, we've had great discussion on other subjects, but it seems like the most important subject 15 16 for class 2 -- I felt that way in South Dakota that, 17 you know, do you have concerns, where they're at, what points do you want to hear? Maybe we just haven't got 18 19 into them far enough yet, but from my chair, I haven't 20 heard enough back on this subject. 21 I hear you have concerns, but I'm really not 22 for sure what those concerns are or where those weaknesses are specifically even conceptually 23 specifically that we can talk about, because bingo 24 really is a large concern, you know. If we don't get 25
bingo right here, we can do some serious damage to the industry.

MR. FISHER: I don't know if this fits 3 with what you're asking for, Matthew, but when I look 4 5 at what's on the screen and the bingo section in terms of the NIGC comments on the guidance documents, there 6 7 are some very specific comments in there, and so I don't know if it would be useful to go through each of 8 9 those comments in the bingo section like we've done for 10 other sections or whether there are some specific 11 things that it would be more useful to focus on.

12 Why don't we take -- maybe we should take a 13 short break right now. Let NIGC confer. Give people a 14 chance to check out who want to check out, and then 15 reconvene in about 15 minutes.

(Recess taken.)

MR. FISHER: When we paused, we were at
the point of --

MR. LITTLE: Talking about bingo. Rest has another item that he would like to talk about, but I think what we struggle -- we're understanding the whole concept that Matthew and Kathy has helped expand upon this being an entire system.

24 What I think would be helpful for us is Rest 25 wants to talk on one specific subject, but it would be

16

helpful to us if we maybe raise this issue, maybe table
 it until after we go through the remaining sections,
 because we have reference to guidance, and it had been
 a very difficult time to compare these documents.

5 Mike and his staff and audits with Rest and 6 his staff, this has been a large group operation, and 7 trying to find where there's adequate references and --8 because some of the components have been moved have 9 been addressed in the accounting section and patron 10 deposit accounts, so there's different areas.

11 It would be helpful for us if let's go through 12 those sections first, and then we will ask that we 13 maybe revisit this again, and in the meantime, we'll go 14 through it, and we heard from a couple folks that you guys would like specific areas where we feel that there 15 16 could be components that were overlooked or other areas 17 that could be beefed up or maybe even just the opposite. I think we did touch upon some of the 18 19 controls for handling pay-outs that we thought maybe 20 were a little too strict in the guidance.

I think that's what we were asking if you can maybe move on or table this after Rest talks about the one item he wants to talk about and then revisit it after we go through the remaining sections.

25

MS. LASH: And this is something

1	separate, but to keep in mind while we're moving
2	forward with this process, I want to make it really
3	clear to the TAC and to the NIGC the checklists that
4	were sent in were not a work product endorsed by the
5	Tribal Gaming Work Group. There were some
6	subcommittees that were trying to attempt checklists to
7	begin the process. I've not seen them. I don't know
8	if Matt's seen them.
9	We cannot say that this is a Tribal Gaming
10	Work Group document, because it is not. It was just a
11	starting point that a subcommittee made an attempt to
12	begin. None of us have seen them or worked on them or
13	reviewed them or approved them.
14	So really I think it's better that your group
15	as you go through the document make your own
16	checklists, and that's what Rest is familiar with doing
17	with his compliance position, so I think it's safer
18	that you rely on your own checklists and not those.
19	MR. FISHER: Rest.
20	MR. WEST: Just a couple things that
21	have been kind of dropped. This is not meant to be
22	comprehensive of everything that I cannot locate at
23	this time. The voucher system section, was that moved
24	from bingo to where?
25	MR. FISHER: Who can answer that for the

Page 517 1 TGWG? MR. MORGAN: I think Daniel could. 2 MR. WHEATLEY: You don't want to answer 3 for him? 4 5 MR. FISHER: No. He gave back the card. MR. CULLOO: Too much responsibility. 6 7 MR. WEST: It says here that they were covered in 543.14 in this document. 8 MR. LITTLE: What we'll do is we'll go 9 10 through these and basically go through the bingo the areas where we identify that something has been 11 12 dropped. We'll go look and make the reference and then go into the other section and look at it and make 13 14 comments. 15 MR. FISHER: From a systems approach, 16 right? So figuring out how all the pieces fit 17 together? 18 MR. LITTLE: Exactly. 19 MR. WEST: Another point, is statistical analysis a game performance for bingo? I don't see 20 21 where it ended up in the TGWG document or guidance. 22 MR. FISHER: Statistical analysis for? MR. WEST: Game performance. It's an 23 important control and management tool. 24 25 MR. FISHER: Where is it in your

Page 518 existing regulation? 1 2 MR. WEST: It's under accounting in the document. 3 I6. MR. LITTLE: No. He's looking at the 4 5 2008, right? MR. FISHER: Shouldn't there be a 6 7 cross-reference in the TGWG document about where that 8 went? 9 MR. WILSON: What document are we 10 referencing? 11 MR. WEST: It's a general question. Is 12 there any guidance or standards in the TGWG documents 13 related to statistical analysis of gaming performance for bingo? 14 MR. MORGAN: I don't remember sitting 15 16 here today if there's anything. I can tell you some of 17 the discussion around, you know, when we talk about conflicts between 542 and 543 on the statistical 18 19 analysis of game performance and how you determine your 20 actual and how that relates to theoretical class 3 21 stand-alone machine is so much different on a bingo 2.2 basis, and that's one of the inherent conflicts that we got into. I don't remember how we addressed it or if 23 24 they decided to leave it out. 25 Going back to that difference, that is always

1	one of our issues for a class 2 electronic machine,
2	because you can't sit down at a player terminal and say
3	what is your actual versus theoretical on this machine,
4	because it's not it's a game. How many machines is
5	that game played on? Where in the cycle are you on
6	that game?
7	It has lots of impact on it, and maybe people
8	in operations could talk to the usefulness of it, but I
9	could look back through it and call some people and ask
10	them to better clarify that, because I do remember we
11	had large discussions around statistical analysis and
12	its usefulness. I just don't recall if we put it
13	somewhere else or if we deleted it entirely.
14	MS. LASH: I think we could revisit that
15	obviously not today, but if you give us the points, we
16	can revisit with the group and come back with better
17	answers.
18	MR. WEST: There's a section in the
19	proposed and also the published document on statistical
20	reporting. Honestly, I'm not very happy with the way
21	it was developed in here, but I think that the concept
22	of statistical reporting is very important.
23	MR. WILSON: Are you looking at the
24	accounting section, item 4, where it talks about a
25	monthly audit to include 3 percent variance? Is that

1	what you're referencing?					
2						
	MR. WEST: I'm referencing the section					
3	actually which was probably the starting point for the					
4	TGWG. It's not this document, but it's identical to					
5	this document, I would think, that's being proposed,					
6	the 2010 draft. If it isn't, it should be in there.					
7	MR. LITTLE: I don't think everybody's					
8	got a copy of the 2008 regulation that's been					
9	postponed. 2008 had been postponed, and then we've got					
10	a 2010 draft that's on our website, but that's never					
11	been published or proposed. It's just a draft on the					
12	website.					
13	MR. FISHER: So there's follow-up that					
14	needs to be done there. Rest, did you have anything					
15	else?					
16	MR. WEST: No.					
17	MS. HAMEL: Rest, in 2010, was it in					
18	bingo or					
19	MR. LITTLE: So I'm clear, it's the 2010					
20	draft. It's not a proposal.					
21	MR. MORGAN: Where is it located in that					
22	document?					
23	MR. FISHER: We could look.					
24	MR. LITTLE: Can you get on our website?					
25	MR. FISHER: Sure.					

1	MR. WEST: On the 2010 document, it was				
2	moved to the accounting and audit of 543.50, and it's				
3	listed as performance analysis.				
4	MR. WILSON: Did you say 50 or 15?				
5	MR. WEST: 50. It's just something to				
6	put on the table for the next meeting, I guess.				
7	MR. WILSON: Just for my own				
8	clarification, there's two pieces to this comment,				
9	then. One is that the section 543.50, which is titled				
10	revenue audit, in there it talks about some of this				
11	analysis that should be taking place, but that is				
12	lacking in totality in the TGWG document, and then the				
13	second part is that what is in the 2010 document in				
14	your opinion is probably not sufficient anyhow?				
15	MR. WEST: Correct. It could be in the				
16	TGWG document. I just haven't found it. I'm not				
17	saying it's not in there.				
18	MR. FISHER: What's the subsection?				
19	MR. WEST: Statistical performance.				
20	That's where it was.				
21	MR. FISHER: There's nothing in there				
22	about statistical performance. Statistical reporting,				
23	is that what you're talking about, Rest?				
24	MR. WEST: Yeah.				
25	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: It's in the				

Page 522 guidance documents for accounting on page 10. 1 2 MR. FISHER: Tab L in the notebook, page 10, section 43I. 3 4 MR. WILSON: That's the same one we 5 talked about yesterday. 6 MR. FISHER: Right. 7 MR. WEST: I think this gets back to trying to cross-reference where the accounting and 8 9 auditing sections are. 10 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: And this goes back 11 to the pull tabs and card games. 12 MR. LITTLE: Do we need to look at the 13 guidance documents here to see if that's sufficient? 14 MR. WEST: The bingo? 15 MR. LITTLE: No. The accounting. 16 MR. WEST: I think it's probably lacking 17 in both documents. I'm just putting it on the table for the members of the committee to look at to see if 18 19 the guidance or the standards need to be expanded. 20 MR. WILSON: Rest, the problem I have 21 with saying that it's lacking, again, we're not getting 22 -- obviously it was submitted and the working group thinks that it meets it, so I'm just having difficulty 23 24 if you look at it without more feedback about what 25 specific --

Page 523 MR. LITTLE: This is one of the items 1 we'll cover. We'll address this before the next 2 3 meeting. MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: It might be 4 lacking, but how effective is it the way it is now? 5 You're not just looking at that one machine, so how do 6 7 you effectively analyze it? MR. FISHER: Anything more we need to do 8 9 on bingo right at this point? 10 MR. WEST: No. 11 MR. LITTLE: We're good. Thank you. 12 Anybody else? 13 MR. FISHER: Anybody else have anything else on bingo, the bingo quidance you want to bring up? 14 I did make a note on the action item list that we would 15 16 revisit the bingo guidance after we've looked at the 17 other sections. Is there more we need to do that we can do right now on the MICS on 543 or have we worked 18 19 our way through all that for now and we should turn our 20 attention to moving into closing out? 21 MR. WILSON: Are we going to have a 22 public comment? I don't know of 23 MR. FISHER: Yes. anything more that we can do on the MICS right now, so 24 25 if anybody has anything else to bring up, please do so.

1	So we're obviously way ahead of schedule, and so do you
2	want to do the public comment create the opportunity
3	for public comment before we do our housekeeping and
4	kind of closing session? Yes?
5	Why don't we do our opportunity for public
6	comment right now, so this is an invitation for anyone
7	in the audience who would like to present direct public
8	comment to the committee to do so now. Step forward.
9	Do you want a microphone, Sheila?
10	SHEILA: I don't know. Can you hear me?
11	I've never been accused of needing a microphone. I'm
12	from Oklahoma Gaming Association. I just want to let
13	you know that the transcripts for Rapid City are back.
14	We will post them NIGC will post them on Monday.
15	Would you like me to send you each individual copies or
16	would you just like to pull them off NIGC's website?
17	MS. LASH: I think we discussed that
18	issue the last meeting, and we're supposed to get our
19	information from them.
20	SHEILA: That's fine. For those people
21	who want to go to OIGA's website, it will also be
22	posted on there, so multiple places for you to get it.
23	MR. FISHER: Thank you. Anyone else
24	wish to provide public comment to the committee?
25	MR. GREEN: Yes.

	Page 525
1	MR. FISHER: We pushed that up on our
2	schedule, so now would be the time.
3	MR. GREEN: I apologize for stepping
4	out. I had a phone call from another one of my
5	keepers. That's what they refer to me most of the
6	time.
7	MR. FISHER: Can you tell us who you are
8	for the record?
9	MR. GREEN: My name is Jess Green. By
10	trade I am an attorney. I work for many tribes,
11	including two of the TAC members at this table. I am
12	in fact a qualified expert for one of the TAC members
13	at this table being an employee by trial resolution and
14	specific designation of their election. We have
15	furnished that and we had it ready, and I think we are
16	the only ones that have done that and I think the only
17	qualified expert that has made that kind of delivery.
18	What I would like to speak about is the NIGC
19	jurisdiction issue on player tracking. I'm often
20	brought to the table when everybody is at the table and
21	in a biased position, and one of the first things I do
22	that may be unusual is I step back about ten steps and
23	I walk around the table and try to see the issue that
24	is in front of everyone and try to see it from their
25	perspective, and that's a little different, but I like

to win when I have a confrontation, and if you don't understand everyone's positions and all of the issues and all of the risks, you can't understand how to have a confrontation and prevail. Lots of times you have to tell your client you can't win this. We need to find a way to save face and go home.

7 This issue about NIGC jurisdiction of player tracking is not an issue that the tribes can't win. 8 9 It's an issue that overwhelmingly they can. No one 10 here for the TAC wants to be accused of surrender of 11 any sovereignty to the NIGC over these course of 12 events. Please note NIGC did not originate this. This 13 concept didn't come from the folks we have now. They do find themselves drug to the table with it, and 14 they're going to need some kind of response. 15

16 I would also point out that one of the things 17 that Tom continues to emphasize is that the TAC is focused op the risk-based regulation. This is all 18 19 about risk, and, Tom, I'm not making fun of you. I 20 really think that's the most important thing that's 21 been said here. If you have risk-based regulation, 22 there's no risk because you took care of the risk. If you take care of all the risk, there's no -- there's 23 nothing to discuss on a wide scale. 24

25

The NIGC has, I believe, for this issue at

1 this time failed to evaluate all the risks and document 2 them in their attempt to assert authority over player 3 tracking. The NIGC has in 25USAB134 been given carte 4 blanche (inaudible) that is the class 2 that is defined 5 in.

If you expand that so it includes this, you б 7 got carte blanche to go copy everything. If you go copy everything in our facilities, your \$60,000 8 9 employees can basically go to Comanches and copy our 10 player tracking information, go to Chickasaws at Wind Star that's a half a mile long, the world's third 11 12 larger casino, and copy, go over to Choctaw and copy 13 our player tracking, and when Texas, if they came 14 online in a commercial endeavor, he might not lose that when he left your employ and go from being a \$60,000 15 16 employee to a \$2 million a year employee for at least 17 two or three years at that Texas facility.

18 There is a risk of NIGC copying that 19 information, especially when you don't have 20 jurisdiction. That's what I'm concerned about. That's 21 a concern here that I think tribal sovereigns need to 22 not forget in this is that that player tracking 23 information would be an emerging Internet market, has 24 enormous value.

25

And with due respect to the NIGC, if the

1	information is lost and it's an NIGC agent that gets
2	that loss of that information, how do we get our money?
3	How do we get what it cost us? Again, if Alabama were
4	to legalize some of their charitable gaming operations
5	and anybody had information of their player tracking
6	information, that would be enormously valuable.
7	MR. FISHER: I need to ask you to
8	respectfully wrap up your comments.
9	MR. GREEN: In sum, there are risks for
10	all of us, and, again, NIGC does not need to exercise
11	jurisdiction in a gray area that puts risk on the NIGC,
12	because just as tribal enterprises can create huge
13	repercussions if we screw up, if it's one of your
14	people that screws us, the repercussions will be on the
15	NIGC.
16	MR. FISHER: Thank you. Anybody on the
17	committee have any questions or anything in response to
18	anything that you've heard in public comment? Anyone
19	else in the audience wish to give public comment
20	directly to the committee? No one stepped forward, so
21	that will bring the public comment period to a close.
22	Let's move into what's on the agenda as our
23	housekeeping, what we need to be doing, looking ahead
24	for our next meeting. I started to capture a list of
25	the action items up on the screen here, including some

of the additional agenda topics for the January meeting that the agenda planning group can sort through. If we have time, the agenda planning group may meet this afternoon before we clear out of here. Otherwise we'll be doing it by conference call.

6 We talked about developing a best practices 7 guidance non-regulatory version, and Christina and Jeff 8 and Daniel also volunteered to do that, and they're 9 prepared to do something to bring back to the group 10 before the January meeting. Then the last thing I had 11 on my list was Matt checking on the statistical 12 analysis of game performance from the TGWG perspective.

Is there any other action item that got mentioned during the meeting that I didn't capture up here that I need to put up here? I know you can't see the whole thing all at once. Do you want me to go from the top again?

MS. STACONA: When will we get the final meeting summary from the first meeting and the next meeting in a draft?

21 MR. FISHER: The draft for October and 22 November will go out to the committee sometime next 23 week. I talked briefly to two of the people on the 24 drafting committee, so I'm probably going to run the 25 November summary past them, the draft of it for them to

see the format and things before it goes out to the
 group, so that might come a little bit later in the
 week.

So next week you should receive the draft -the revised draft October summary and the initial draft of the November summary. Then it will take me probably another week or two to get this one done. Partly how fast this one goes depends on whether the set-up we've done on the November summary is good or whether I need to make changes to that.

11Anything else to add to the list here?12MS. LASH: Voucher systems, did we find13that?

MR. FISHER: We figured that out. Anybody have anything else? Then I'll put this list into the December meeting summary so that we capture that list.

18 So then let me just check on the agenda to see if there's anything else we needed to do. We talked 19 about the topics for the next meeting. So now we're up 20 at the point where we bring the meeting to a close and 21 22 give everybody an opportunity to make any concluding 23 remarks or anything that you want to say as we bring this meeting to a close, so I think every meeting I've 24 started at the left and worked our way around, so maybe 25

this time we should start on the right and work our way
 around to the left.

3 MS. THOMAS: So I don't actually have to say repetitive stuff. I actually don't really have 4 5 anything to say in closing. This entire process for me has been a huge learning experience just in the 6 different areas where different tribes have concerns in 7 how their regs are put together, so I think a lot of 8 9 this discussion is great for me to take back home and 10 say this particular tribe sees this issue or this tribe sees this issue. How does it affect us? For me I 11 12 think that's great.

MR. FISHER: Thanks.

MR. CULLOO: I'd also like to say that I think again going around the room and listening to the advice and input of my fellow TAC members who certainly has experiences that I don't have is very beneficial to me as I move forward through my operation as we develop some of these internal controls and SOPs and regulations, so I enjoyed it.

MS. HAMEL: Well, I think the last two and a half days have been incredible, and we've had great exchange. I think probably by the end of Monday I was worried that we wouldn't get this done in a year, and the progress we made yesterday and today has been

13

incredible, so I think it's been a good experience and
 showing a lot of great progress.

3 MR. MORGAN: I echo the comments. I 4 enjoyed the dialogue. I always learn something from 5 these meetings. I appreciate how the group is evolving 6 and how the dialogue that goes on with each subject is 7 very helpful.

8 MR. WILSON: I ditto everything, and I'm 9 hopeful that with whatever course corrections we've 10 made from this meeting that our next meeting won't 11 start with a scolding and that we are now on track with 12 NIGC's expectation that you're getting out of this what 13 you need to get out of this in order to conclude on the 14 matters.

MR. CALLAGHAN: I enjoyed it. I secondwhat they said and wish everybody happy holidays.

MS. CHINO: I think I said at the last meeting I appreciate everybody's support and everybody's advice and issues that I'm learning on, so it's been an experience. Other than that, I say the same thing as everyone else.

MS. STACONA: Same thing here. I appreciate everybody's dialogue, and I too am learning a lot and want to thank Leo for inviting us out to his casino.

Page 533 MR. CULLOO: Sorry I confused the dates 1 2 on that. MS. STACONA: That's okay. It's good to 3 get out and see other properties. I enjoyed spending 4 5 the off hours with everybody getting to know everybody, so thanks. 6 7 MR. MAGEE: I echo all the sentiments around the room. It is a great learning experience. 8 It's great to hear from other individuals on the TAC. 9 10 It is great socializing in a non-work environment getting to know everybody. 11 12 MR. GARVIN: Thanks to everybody. 13 MS. LASH: I think this is a really good 14 meeting. I too appreciate all the input from the other TAC members, and I mirror Matt's comments that we've 15 16 evolved and learned and are moving in the right 17 direction. We have important work to do, and I think everybody is doing a great job so far. 18 19 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: We continue to be 20 very impressed with the effort of the TAC, and we've taken very serious the tasks that we've been given. 21 22 Then despite some of the procedural drama that we've had at the start of what seems like every meeting, the 23 24 latter part of our meetings have been very successful 25 and we accomplished a lot.

Then I kind of wanted to just go back to our 1 Connecticut meeting. I was looking through my notes, 2 and we made this long list of principles, and I don't 3 want us to lose sight of those principles, and I'd like 4 5 to maybe see them maybe kind of up or in the background at every meeting so we can remember that when we're 6 going through these sections that we made these things 7 that we want to remember when we're going through each 8 9 session that we don't lose sight of those principles 10 that are really important to all of us that we made. I was just thinking about that, because on 11 12 that list of those principles we had a lot of things 13 about technology and the technological advances that 14 are going to emerge in the future, and it is so important for us to remember those technological 15 16 advances that are going to come about, and we have to 17 remember that in five years, are parts even going to be applicable or are they going to be left in the dust? 18 19 In five years, is it going to be a player tracking system or is it going to be something brand new? 20 We 21 have to remember those as we go through those things. 22 That's why it's so important for the regs to be broad enough for tribal gaming. 23

Then I just want to thank Leo very much for inviting us to his casino. The food was fantastic.

1	The staff was great. They were so nice. I want to
2	thank Michelle for the coffee every morning. It's so
3	wonderful. And then I want to say we had a great what
4	seemed like team building exercise last night. A whole
5	bunch of us, we all played trivia, and we all worked as
6	a team, and we had so much fun.
7	MR. WHEATLEY: I really enjoyed
8	especially this meeting. I thought we had some great
9	exchanges and a lot of good substance was discussed,
10	and a lot of conceptual ideas that went around the room
11	that were really important were brought on the table,
12	so I look forward to tackling the same future types of
13	issues in the next few meetings. Thank you.
14	MR. RAMOS: I don't have anything to add
15	that hasn't already been said, but thank you, Leo, for
16	dinner.
17	MR. FISHER: I would echo that. It was
18	great. Thank you.
19	MR. LITTLE: On behalf of Chairman
20	Stevens and Vice Chairman Cochran, the commission wants
21	to thank all of you for once again taking time away
22	from your family and your operations. I know a lot of
23	you have a lot of work at home. You know, in our
24	breaks you're there checking your e-mail, making calls.
25	You still got issues outside of what we're doing here,

so once again, the commission really appreciates the
 dedication that you've all given to this.

Mia makes a really good point about the 3 importance of what we're doing here and the long-term 4 5 effort. You know, this issue or this issue began, you know, because a result of a court action in, again, the 6 7 early 2000s, you know, and there still isn't a regulation here, so, you know, we need to be looking 8 how it's going to affect this industry, you know, not 9 10 just one year, two years, but five years and further 11 and make sure that. It's a challenge that we look at 12 all the time. How do we make one regulation fit a very 13 diverse industry?

I just want to make a point to thank the 14 Suguamish tribe for hosting this event. Their 15 16 hospitality has been great. This is a wonderful 17 facility. I think one of the best things about my job is I get to come out and see all the wonderful 18 19 facilities. You all know this, because you work at It's always nice get out here from the northeast 20 them. 21 to see another beautiful part of our country.

I want to thank our staff of Rest and Mike and Rita from our staff. We have a lot of folks. A lot of our audit folks are working on these comparisons. A lot of our folks have spent a lot of time. I always

1 want to make sure I recognize these folks, because without them, we can't do our job. 2 3 Leo, I guess I could thank you for hosting the event. I wasn't there, but thanks for taking care of 4 5 this. I really appreciate the hospitality that was shown there. I think that's about it. I want to wish 6 7 everybody happy holidays, safe travels. Brian, thank you for the gift. We'll play that often, I'm sure. 8 9 Thank you everyone. Have a safe trip home. 10 MR. FISHER: Thank you everybody. We're adjourned. 11 (Meeting concluded at 11:27 a.m.) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

# [10 - ahead]

1	464:12,14 466:16	accounts 458:3	adjust 456:1
1	<b>543.14</b> 517:8	503:8 515:10	adopt 497:1
<b>10</b> 522:1,3	<b>543.3</b> 496:22 497:7	accused 524:11	adopted 463:11
<b>10:00</b> 486:19	498:1	526:10	490:12,12 496:13
<b>11:27</b> 537:12		<b>achieve</b> 464:1	,
<b>15</b> 514:15 521:4	<b>543.50</b> 521:2,9		507:13
<b>15347</b> 442:9	<b>543.7</b> 492:3,5	511:12	adopts 504:6
2	508:22	achieved 507:9	advances 534:13,16
<b>2</b> 446:19 490:2,12	<b>543.7.</b> 490:13	acknowledge	advantage 463:4
490:17 491:23	<b>547</b> 455:7	505:16	474:6
490:17 491:25	<b>5:00</b> 447:2	acknowledgment	advice 509:10,15
,	6	483:3	531:16 532:19
497:22 508:4,20	<b>60,000</b> 527:8,15	acquiring 474:6	advise 451:24
513:16 519:1 527:4	8	action 469:9 488:19	advisement 486:12
527:16		523:15 528:25	advisory 442:1,3
<b>20</b> 462:13	<b>8</b> 442:1,11 443:1	529:13 536:6	advocated 464:3
<b>2000s</b> 536:7	<b>80</b> 511:7,10	actives 498:5	advocating 461:6
<b>2007</b> 508:14	<b>8:11</b> 442:12 443:2	activities 443:25	463:1 500:25 501:1
<b>2008</b> 507:12 508:14	9	444:1 494:2 496:10	<b>affect</b> 531:11 536:9
512:8 518:5 520:8,9	<b>9:30</b> 488:16	actual 495:8 518:20	afternoon 529:4
<b>2010</b> 512:7 520:6,10	a	519:3	agencies 474:17
520:17,19 521:1,13		ad 473:7	<b>agency</b> 445:23 446:1
<b>2011</b> 442:1,11 443:1	<b>a.m.</b> 442:12 443:2	adapted 509:18	468:8 474:16
<b>2012</b> 489:24	537:12	<b>add</b> 495:16 530:11	512:11
<b>25usab134</b> 527:3	ability 446:4 460:18	535:14	<b>agenda</b> 443:7 447:1
<b>2981</b> 442:25	463:13,17 464:2,4	added 493:4 495:18	448:17 449:12
3	493:6	508:13	457:15 460:22
<b>3</b> 446:3 497:17	able 451:15 484:8	addition 495:3	487:9,16 528:22
508:5 518:20	499:11 511:17	additional 463:14	529:1,2,3 530:18
519:25	absolutely 457:21	463:17 472:22	agent 528:1
<b>30</b> 504:1,8	486:13	529:1	<b>ago</b> 453:7
4	abstain 467:2	<b>address</b> 449:14	<b>agree</b> 444:12 446:12
	479:17 480:2	464:13 484:18	460:25 461:22
<b>4</b> 519:24	abstained 467:6,7	487:10,16 511:22	465:9 470:12 477:7
<b>43i</b> 522:3	abuse 478:19	523:2	479:23 482:11,14
5	accept 454:22	addressed 465:13	482:18,24 495:22
<b>5</b> 457:14	access 495:11	476:19 495:21	513:9
<b>5,000</b> 507:21	accomplish 506:5	512:17 515:9	<b>agreed</b> 460:16
<b>50</b> 521:4,5	accomplished 453:8	518:23	agreeing 476:1
<b>542</b> 507:13,15,16,17	533:25	adequate 490:6	agreement 443:22
518:18	account 503:17	491:25 492:4	457:20 460:4
<b>543</b> 497:1 507:13,15	accounting 492:13	508:10,21 515:7	475:11 476:17
507:15,17 518:18	494:6 496:15	adequately 495:20	512:23
523:18	499:15 503:17	500:3 512:8	<b>ahead</b> 443:9 447:3
<b>543.12</b> 443:11 447:5	515:9 518:2 519:24	adjourned 537:11	447:13,14 458:12
450:24 451:1	521:2 522:1,8,15		503:25 524:1

528:23 aid 494:10,11 aids 494:10,12 495:4,9,17,20approaches 459:5 appropriate 454:10 454:24 458:20association 524:12 associations 474:22 469:20497:19,21,25 498:25 503:1,22 504:17 505:3 508:13 509:1alabama 528:3 alignment 483:21 allows 461:15 495:25 510:5477:19 510:10 approved 516:13 445:12 454:18assumption 455:9 496:3518:25 519:9,16 522:7,10 524:13amount 447:6 495:25 510:5445:12 454:18 455:16 465:8attached 476:21 527:2525:22 529:9 531:9 534:1analysis 517:20,22 518:13,19 519:11481:9 495:13523:20508:19 534:5
aids494:10,12appropriate454:10assume456:12,21504:17 505:3495:4,9,17,20454:24 458:20469:20508:13 509:1alabama528:3477:19 510:10assumption455:9alignment483:21approved516:13496:3allows461:15area444:14 445:3,4attachedamount447:6445:12 454:18attempt516:6,11495:25 510:5455:16 465:8527:2534:1analysis517:20,22477:16 478:2,18attention488:23
495:4,9,17,20454:24 458:20469:20508:13 509:1alabama 528:3477:19 510:10assumption 455:9513:20 517:5alignment 483:21approved 516:13496:3518:25 519:9,16allows 461:15area 444:14 445:3,4attached 476:21522:7,10 524:13amount 447:6445:12 454:18attempt 516:6,11525:22 529:9 531:9495:25 510:5455:16 465:8527:2534:1analysis 517:20,22477:16 478:2,18attention 488:23background 445:20
alabama528:3477:19 510:10assumption455:9513:20 517:5alignment483:21approved516:13496:3518:25 519:9,16allows461:15area444:14 445:3,4attached476:21amount447:6445:12 454:18attempt516:6,11525:22 529:9 531:9495:25 510:5455:16 465:8527:2534:1analysis517:20,22477:16 478:2,18attention488:23
alignment483:21approved516:13496:3518:25519:9,16allows461:15area444:14445:3,4attached476:21522:7,10524:13amount447:6445:12455:16465:8527:2534:1495:25517:20,22477:16478:2,18attention488:23background445:20
allows461:15area444:14445:3,4attached476:21522:7,10524:13amount447:6445:12451:12451:12451:12451:12451:12451:12451:12495:25510:5455:16465:8527:2534:1534:1analysis517:20,22477:16478:2,18attention488:23background445:20
amount447:6445:12 454:18attempt516:6,11525:22 529:9 531:9495:25 510:5455:16 465:8527:2534:1analysis517:20,22477:16 478:2,18attention488:23background445:20
495:25 510:5455:16 465:8527:2534:1analysis517:20,22477:16 478:2,18attention488:23background445:20
analysis        517:20,22        477:16        478:2,18        attention        488:23        background        445:20
518.13 19 519.11 481.9 495.13 523.20 508.19 534.5
521:3,11 529:12 507:19 528:11 <b>attorney</b> 525:10 <b>backtrack</b> 482:10
<b>analyze</b> 523:7 <b>areas</b> 454:4,12 <b>audience</b> 524:7 <b>bad</b> 449:14
<b>answer</b> 466:13 455:6 458:12,22 528:19 <b>balance</b> 511:12
469:21 494:23 459:24 460:1,5,25 <b>audit</b> 465:16 485:25 <b>band</b> 462:6
516:25 517:3 461:5,6,11 465:15 486:9 495:12 <b>bang</b> 474:5
answers519:17473:15474:16519:25521:2,10based450:2471:25
<b>anticipating</b> 507:10 475:6,9 477:16,20 536:24 486:3,10 508:10
<b>anybody</b> 443:13 480:14,15 483:18 <b>auditing</b> 492:13 526:18,21
446:24      448:16      493:4,12      497:2      503:17      522:9      basically      452:4
483:14 491:24      499:16 501:13,16      audits 515:5      466:4 467:22 468:9
523:12,13,25 528:5        512:13 515:10,15        authorities        475:23        472:18 489:17,18
528:16 530:15        515:16 517:11        authority        444:15        489:21 503:21
anybody's        445:4        531:7        445:12,13        446:15        508:14,16        517:10
anymore460:15argue468:2451:8,11,17,18,19527:9
anyway        451:13        arrangements        457:23,24 460:4        basis        445:17 518:22
apologize        525:3        447:24        461:7 462:2,14        bear        475:16
appearance        461:2        articulate        477:24        463:4        465:7        468:13        beautiful        536:21
appears        447:2        asked        451:1        468:16,19        469:11        becoming        484:7
appendices        498:11        asking        479:13        488:1        469:16,18        475:25        beef        499:18
applicable        471:17        490:18,25 505:19        476:9 483:4,16        beefed        515:17
492:5 534:18      506:7 514:4 515:21      527:2      began 536:5
application        503:3        asks        468:21        491:12        avenues        446:22        begun        487:13
appreciate        472:8        aspect        465:16,17        463:25        464:8        behalf        460:18
473:20 488:7 489:2 496:15 511:13 <b>b</b> 535:19
505:17 532:5,18,23 aspects 496:16 back 449:13,13 believe 446:14
533:14 537:5 501:3 504:21 450:24 452:14 452:15 461:6
<b>appreciates</b> 536:1 <b>assembled</b> 477:21 455:19.458:14 526:25
<b>appreciation</b> 506:4 <b>assert</b> 527:2 $460:3,13,470:16$ <b>beneficial</b> 455:3,8
apprehensive assist 4/1:5 472:2,478:7.12 47/1:9 486:7 498:22
470:15 assistance 446:2,6 480:9 482:16 20 506:23 531:17
<b>approach</b> 445:19 472:11 473:1,6,18 483:10 486:16 21 <b>benefit</b> 464:20
457:25 461:18 associated 444:9 487.3 17 488.4 best 444:7 454:1
464:21 483:6 477:6 489:3 493:15 16 21 462:1,9 465:17
517:15 467:22 476:21,25

## [best - clear]

477:11 479:10	hourse 440.12.12	511:17 519:9 525:4	<b>change</b> 449:21
477:11 479:10 481:6 482:19	<b>bounce</b> 449:13,13 <b>box</b> 502:12	529:5	450:14 470:22
			430:14 470:22 471:2 472:4 488:4
483:18 484:5,7,17	brain 465:10	<b>callaghan</b> 465:3	
485:6,13,16 486:1	brand 534:20	473:22 485:20	<b>changed</b> 488:10
487:10 488:20	break 488:15	532:15	492:25
491:19 501:18	514:13	<b>called</b> 467:3 491:5	changes 445:25,25
513:1 529:6 536:17	breaks 535:24	calls 535:24	455:18,25 457:17
beta 506:23	brian 450:21 465:2	capabilities 474:15	469:6 508:17
<b>better</b> 460:9 476:17	485:19 506:10	474:19	530:10
477:24 499:2,14	537:7	capability 474:15	changing 470:18
502:11 506:4	<b>briefly</b> 448:23	<b>capture</b> 496:12	character 483:12
516:14 519:10,16	529:23	528:24 529:14	charged 486:4
<b>biased</b> 525:21	<b>bring</b> 443:14 445:2	530:16	charitable 471:16
<b>big</b> 497:17 500:7	463:17 480:8 488:4	card 502:18 510:13	528:4
biggest 474:5	500:1 523:14,25	517:5 522:11	<b>chart</b> 501:9
<b>bingo</b> 447:8 460:11	528:21 529:9	<b>cards</b> 498:4	<b>check</b> 451:3,4
487:6 488:13,23	530:21,23	care 526:22,23	466:24 503:7,13,16
489:19 490:3,3,14	<b>brings</b> 506:9	537:4	514:14,14 530:18
490:19,19,20,25	broad 534:22	<b>carry</b> 470:5 505:11	checking 448:19
491:8,9 492:1,6,6	broke 450:24	<b>carte</b> 527:3,7	529:11 535:24
492:10,12,18,21	brothers 445:14	case 454:22	<b>checklist</b> 448:22,25
493:7,8,16,18,20,22	<b>brought</b> 477:18	<b>cases</b> 463:10 493:21	490:8 493:10,12,13
494:2,5,9,12,17,21	525:20 535:11	casino 442:8 527:12	<b>checklists</b> 450:10,14
494:22 495:3,4,5,15	<b>buck</b> 474:5	532:25 534:25	516:3,6,16,18
495:15,15 496:3,24	<b>budget</b> 470:25	<b>cast</b> 513:10	chickasaw 444:23
498:4 502:3,17,20	<b>bugs</b> 506:23	<b>causes</b> 461:12	444:24
503:7 507:22	<b>build</b> 463:9 484:2	ccr 442:25	chickasaws 527:10
508:12 510:6,6	building 535:4	certain 465:6	<b>chief</b> 442:10 443:18
513:11,12,24 514:1	<b>bulletin</b> 454:10	503:24 510:20	<b>chino</b> 532:17
514:5,9,19 516:24	459:3,3,13 466:7,14	certainly 457:3	<b>choctaw</b> 527:12
517:10,20 518:14	467:12,17 468:7	461:5 463:1,19	<b>choose</b> 451:18 452:9
518:21 520:18	469:25 479:2,5,5,10	465:5 476:13	christina 488:5
522:14 523:9,14,14	479:20,21,22 480:7	511:16 531:16	529:7
523:16	481:24 482:6,7	<b>chair</b> 456:6 477:10	circles 487:7
<b>bit</b> 447:1,13,23	bulletins 462:15	513:19	<b>city</b> 524:13
485:15 486:5	467:16,19,22	chairman 445:5	clarification 521:8
488:10 489:13	468:13 469:15	453:6 535:19,20	<b>clarify</b> 519:10
508:1 530:2	470:3,5 471:7 478:8	challenge 451:14	<b>class</b> 446:3,19 490:2
<b>blanche</b> 527:4,7	<b>bunch</b> 535:5	536:11	490:11,17 491:23
<b>board</b> 460:2 508:4	business 444:1	challenges 507:23	492:10 497:12,15
<b>bodies</b> 471:13,19	с	510:7	497:17,22 508:4,5
boeing 511:7	cage 503:8,23	<b>chance</b> 449:10 450:4	508:19 513:16
boilerplate 502:25	call 445:6 467:22	450:5 460:11	518:20 519:1 527:4
503:6,12,16	471:8 473:3 502:25	514:14	<b>clear</b> 444:13 460:17
			477:22 481:2 489:6

496:11 498:12,20	comments 448:24	compliance 469:3,6	concluded 537:12
500:17 501:18	451:23,25 473:20	469:8 473:2 507:17	concluding 530:22
504:16,19 505:22	477:5 488:3 505:25	511:8,10 516:17	conclusion 485:11
516:3 520:19 529:4	508:8 512:5 514:6,7	complies 469:12	<b>confer</b> 514:13
<b>clearly</b> 450:14 454:4	514:9 517:14 528:8	complimentary	conference 529:5
477:22 512:16	532:3 533:15	503:18	confident 460:13
clearwater 442:8	commercial 471:16	<b>comply</b> 490:13	<b>conflict</b> 507:18
<b>client</b> 526:5	527:14	491:10 499:11	<b>conflicts</b> 518:18,22
<b>clock</b> 488:16	commission 453:10	503:13	confrontation 526:1
<b>close</b> 448:14 528:21	469:4 470:17	component 473:18	526:4
530:21,24	472:10,13 475:23	components 485:2,6	<b>confuse</b> 450:21
closing 523:20 524:4	513:8 535:20 536:1	496:20 498:21	472:25 475:25
531:5	commissioner	515:8,16	confused 533:1
<b>cochran</b> 535:20	444:23	comprehend 509:22	confusion 481:2
codes 489:21	commissioners	comprehensive	connecticut 534:2
coffee 535:2	452:24	516:22	consensus 464:18
<b>colby</b> 445:5	committee 442:1,3	computer 503:3	467:4,8 476:13
<b>cold</b> 506:6	453:1 456:14,21	<b>concept</b> 443:20	480:4,18
comanches 527:9	480:9 487:3,9,16	458:5 459:23 460:6	consequences
combined 466:9	508:13 510:7	475:18,19 497:25	453:22
484:21	522:18 524:8,24	497:25 499:2	consider 451:9
come 444:21 446:9	528:17,20 529:22	504:13 506:19	considered 507:2
452:14 455:16,25	529:24	507:9 510:17	constraint 455:4
457:3 460:3,13	<b>common</b> 467:21	514:22 519:21	consult 502:5
464:12 465:10	502:16 510:18	526:13	consulted 443:17
473:5 478:6 481:25	commonplace 471:8	<b>concepts</b> 461:16	consulting 456:7
486:2,9,16 493:15	communication	509:9	<b>context</b> 476:20
507:1 511:10	474:3	conceptual 535:10	480:24
512:23 519:16	comp 458:15	conceptualize	<b>continue</b> 455:16
526:13 530:2	<b>comp</b> 438.13 <b>compact</b> 445:9	506:14	469:20 473:10
534:16 536:18	498:11,12	conceptually 473:23	
<b>comes</b> 446:3 468:21	<b>compacting</b> 463:19	478:2 513:23	<b>continues</b> 526:17
470:12 510:25	<b>compacting</b> 403.17 <b>company</b> 511:7,9	<b>concern</b> 445:23	continuity 499:5
<b>comfort</b> 454:24	<b>compary</b> 511.7,9 <b>compare</b> 515:4	452:8,10 484:7,17	<b>control</b> 443:25
comfortable 461:5	comparison 489:4	484:18 489:25	444:16 463:23
471:3 479:19	comparison 489.4	493:5 500:22,24	465:11 490:6 492:1
<b>comical</b> 465:3	-	513:25 527:21	496:20 497:2 503:2
	compilation 457:17		
<b>coming</b> 451:8	<b>complete</b> 465:12	<b>concerned</b> 443:24	508:21 517:24
512:14,15 513:11	496:20	505:7 527:20	<b>controlled</b> 444:14
<b>comment</b> 451:21	<b>completely</b> 444:12	<b>concerns</b> 477:6,7,18	446:13 495:5
455:3 478:17 481:7	492:10 502:19	485:16 500:18	controlling 492:12
493:15 498:10	<b>complex</b> 494:19	513:17,21,22 531:7	492:18
521:8 523:22 524:2	504:8	<b>conclude</b> 453:25	controls 443:23
524:3,6,8,24 528:18	complexity 493:4	496:4 532:13	461:9,25 463:7
528:19,21			486:2 492:4,11,16
		. ~	

## [controls - differences]

492:16,17 493:7,17	<b>covered</b> 453:18	<b>day</b> 443:6,8 445:17	<b>delete</b> 466:5 467:8
493:24 495:6 496:5	462:19 491:4,7	445:17 447:1	481:21 482:1,4
496:6,13,23 497:11	496:5 497:3 500:2	448:18 449:2	<b>deleted</b> 478:11
498:3 500:7 501:12	512:8 517:8	451:23 452:1 466:1	519:13
505:4,8 508:11	<b>covers</b> 492:16 496:9	482:7 495:14 501:4	<b>deleting</b> 466:16
509:14,17,19 511:4	<b>cp</b> 510:1	506:24	<b>deliver</b> 470:13
511:20 515:19	<b>cradle</b> 496:8	<b>day's</b> 443:9 447:3	delivery 525:17
531:19	create 499:7 524:2	448:2	denominator 510:18
<b>convene</b> 485:24	528:12	<b>days</b> 447:17,23,25	department 474:18
486:8	credentials 495:11	453:7 494:20	depending 464:13
conversation 464:16	<b>credit</b> 458:2,16	531:22	466:13 504:18
470:14 475:22	503:8	<b>deal</b> 445:14,16	depends 530:8
494:10	critical 465:19	447:12 494:12	<b>deposit</b> 458:3 503:8
conversations	<b>cross</b> 495:25 504:10	501:4	515:10
450:13	518:7 522:8	dealing 464:23	designation 525:14
coordinator 487:1	<b>crossed</b> 491:19	502:2,4,17,18	<b>desk</b> 474:2,3
<b>copies</b> 451:23	crossover 491:4	dealings 463:2	despite 533:22
524:15	<b>cue</b> 500:8	<b>debate</b> 464:20 511:5	<b>detail</b> 454:11,24
<b>copy</b> 450:22 520:8	<b>culloo</b> 447:21 452:5	<b>december</b> 442:1,11	505:12
527:7,8,9,12,12	453:10 467:14	443:1 530:16	detailed 481:12
<b>copying</b> 527:18	469:23 481:6	<b>decide</b> 451:12 476:5	487:24 505:13
<b>core</b> 487:12	486:20 503:14	476:8 487:10 498:5	details 504:13
<b>correct</b> 480:5	508:25 517:6	<b>decided</b> 488:25	determination
521:15	531:14 533:1	500:13 518:24	491:19
corrections 532:9	<b>culture</b> 471:2 472:4	decides 455:21	determine 444:8
correctly 466:2	currently 503:21	456:14	463:14 478:21,22
507:16 512:5	<b>cycle</b> 496:8,9,12,21	deciding 461:4	479:1 493:6 510:9
<b>cost</b> 528:3	501:9 502:9 519:5	decision 453:4 454:1	518:19
<b>counsel</b> 460:12	d	464:13	determined 492:5
<b>count</b> 443:6 492:14	<b>dakota</b> 513:16	decisions 453:20	<b>develop</b> 480:7 490:6
494:6	damage 514:1	456:12	491:25 493:6,7,24
counterparts 471:13	dan 447:15 470:16	dedicate 472:22	508:3,21 509:13
509:5,16,18	487:3	dedicated 473:10	511:3 531:18
<b>country</b> 462:10	<b>dan's</b> 486:18	dedication 536:2	developed 489:18
473:14 536:21	daniel 452:9,12	deference 445:19	490:5,8 492:19
<b>couple</b> 447:11	459:16 460:25	<b>defined</b> 485:22	519:21
457:10 465:21	466:18 481:18	493:18 505:4 527:4	developing 492:4
515:14 516:20	482:23 488:13,21	definitely 443:23	512:25 529:6
<b>course</b> 526:11 532:9	501:20 505:16	449:24 450:20	<b>devoted</b> 495:13
<b>court</b> 493:20 536:6	517:2 529:8	453:24 454:20	dialogue 512:20
<b>cover</b> 447:25 448:4	daniel's 452:5	455:23 474:4	532:4,6,23
448:17 453:24	date 448:10	475:11 476:18	difference 444:5
489:19 493:1 497:2	dates 533:1	<b>defy</b> 460:21	518:25
497:11 523:2		<b>degree</b> 474:2	differences 490:10

## [different - emphasizing]

			1
different 449:18	discussion 443:21	484:7 487:13	easier 466:10 499:3
450:22 463:18	444:2 446:3 447:15	489:20 496:7	<b>echo</b> 532:3 533:7
465:22 467:15	450:25 455:14	508:17,22 509:3	535:17
473:1,14 478:11	458:3,4 461:8,13	513:11 514:6 515:4	educated 461:14
483:8 485:15 486:5	464:9,20 478:1	518:12 522:1,13,17	educating 461:3
489:21 491:21	480:19 499:20	doing 444:22 447:8	education 464:20
493:4,13 501:11,13	512:19 513:14	448:20 453:21	educational 464:22
505:24 506:20	518:17 531:9	466:8,9 470:17,21	<b>effect</b> 446:21 468:4
508:1 511:21 512:7	discussions 462:24	471:24 472:3,5	468:8 470:5,22
515:10 518:21	463:20 476:3,11	473:4 474:22 475:3	489:23
525:25 531:7,7	519:11	485:22 488:19	effective 506:21
differently 472:6	<b>ditto</b> 532:8	490:22 507:16	523:5
480:18 505:24	<b>diverse</b> 536:13	510:5,23 512:24	effectively 523:7
difficult 493:25	division 469:6	516:16 528:23	effects 453:22
494:18 515:4	472:16	529:5 533:18	efficient 506:21
difficulty 492:2	divisions 472:20	535:25 536:4	effort 469:4,17
522:23	document 447:8	doj 445:15	499:14 500:20
<b>dilemma</b> 444:15	451:20 452:3	doubt 443:22	505:20 533:20
<b>dinner</b> 535:16	457:16,18 459:2	<b>download</b> 450:7,21	536:5
direct 493:12 524:7	466:17 476:18	downloadable	eight 453:23 456:5
direction 454:8	477:12 478:1,13,23	497:16,17	either 450:4 466:8
474:10 478:11	478:25 479:2	draft 456:3,6,8,10	466:12 467:11
488:12 503:9	480:22,25 481:1,8	480:7 508:16 520:6	<b>elected</b> 471:19
533:17	481:17,25 482:2	520:10,11,20	election 525:14
directly 473:24	484:8,15,16 485:3,7	529:20,21,25 530:4	<b>electronic</b> 490:3,3,7
528:20	485:15,17 486:3	530:5,5	490:10,14,19,21
<b>director</b> 472:17	487:25 489:4 495:1	drafting 529:24	492:1,6,21 493:7
509:3	495:9,24 496:4,9	drama 533:22	494:5,16 495:12,14
directors 485:24	497:7 498:20,22	drop 486:7 492:14	497:22 507:21
486:8	500:1 501:7,8,13	494:6 500:1 502:12	508:5 510:6,10
disagree 477:5	504:5,8,11,23,24,25	502:13,14,15	519:1
483:19,23	505:8,14 507:8	503:17	electronically
disagreed 483:24	508:23 509:21	dropped 516:21	491:13
disagrees 483:14	512:7,12,24 516:10	517:12	electronics 495:16
disclosure 449:23	516:15 517:8,21	<b>drug</b> 526:14	512:9
discuss 450:5	518:3,7,9 519:19	due 527:25	elects 510:19
454:23 457:9	520:4,5,22 521:1,12	dust 534:18	elements 493:21
458:10 476:7,9	521:13,16 527:1	dynamics 462:12	494:9
486:1 487:6 513:11	documentation	e	eliminate 501:3
526:24	487:19		embark 488:11
discussed 453:13	documenting	<b>e</b> 449:2,14 474:3	<b>emerge</b> 534:14
455:7 458:1,9	450:15	535:24	emerging 527:23
524:17 535:9	documents 449:8	<b>earlier</b> 454:3	emphasize 526:17
discussing 454:4,22	459:12 462:18	early 447:4 456:14	emphasizing 478:18
,	477:23 481:4,13,22	497:16 536:7	
	,,,,,,,,,		

## [employ - fisher]

<b>employ</b> 527:15	<b>error</b> 507:24	existing 481:13	fashion 499:3
employee 525:13	especially 527:19	518:1	<b>fast</b> 530:8
527:16,16	535:8	<b>expand</b> 473:11	<b>faster</b> 457:14
employees 527:9	<b>essence</b> 467:20	489:13 514:22	<b>fear</b> 512:25
encompass 492:17	480:21 487:1	527:6	<b>february</b> 448:10,11
494:1 499:8	essentially 468:6	expanded 522:19	<b>federal</b> 445:1,6,9,15
encompassing	established 487:12	expectation 469:25	445:21 446:8
504:25	estimate 448:3	532:12	451:22 455:10
endeavor 527:14	evaluate 527:1	expectations 453:8	461:17 471:10
<b>ended</b> 517:21	<b>evening</b> 450:25	experience 504:18	489:22 492:3,8
<b>endorse</b> 461:16	event 536:15 537:4	508:25 509:16	508:15,22
462:17	events 526:12	512:10 531:6 532:1	feedback 522:24
endorsed 516:4	eventually 503:1	532:20 533:8	<b>feel</b> 444:18 446:9
endorsing 461:16	everybody 443:5	experiences 531:17	468:25 482:3
ends 506:25	448:7 451:2 460:11	expert 525:12,17	513:12 515:15
<b>enforce</b> 446:5,10	460:16 464:7	expertise 453:3	<b>fellow</b> 531:16
enforceability 462:7	466:23 471:10	464:2 468:21	<b>felt</b> 513:16
enforceable 468:8	472:2 476:4 479:15	477:14 491:23	<b>field</b> 473:3,4,13
enforcement 445:24	488:20 489:6	508:20 512:11	<b>fifth</b> 503:5,12,15
469:9 470:24	500:19 506:16	<b>explain</b> 467:14	figure 449:18 451:2
471:20 511:22	525:20 530:22	509:7	466:13 483:20
<b>enjoyed</b> 531:20	532:16 533:5,5,11	<b>extend</b> 465:18	488:6 511:14
532:4,15 533:4	533:12,18 537:7,10	<b>extent</b> 485:1 498:18	<b>figured</b> 530:14
535:7	everybody's 451:8	501:6 511:19	<b>figuring</b> 517:16
enormous 527:24	496:3 520:7 532:18	f	<b>file</b> 449:7,8
enormously 528:6	532:19,23	<b>face</b> 459:10 526:6	<b>final</b> 449:25 454:14
<b>ensure</b> 496:12	everyone's 453:3	facilities 499:10	457:7,20 529:18
498:20 499:9 501:2	503:16 526:2	527:8 536:19	<b>find</b> 467:24 482:17
501:18 512:1	evolution 483:3	<b>facility</b> 486:21 490:2	515:7 526:5,14
entered 503:2	evolved 450:15	492:10,20 527:17	530:12
enterprise 443:19	482:12,14 533:16	536:17	<b>fine</b> 448:6 455:15
enterprises 528:12	evolving 532:5	<b>fact</b> 451:10 525:12	491:20 524:20
<b>entire</b> 462:10 496:9	exact 479:20	failed 527:1	first 461:24 466:11
496:12 499:8	exactly 487:8	fair 447:6	479:1 485:20
514:23 531:5	505:11 517:18	familiar 502:17	493:19 497:9
entirely 519:13	example 503:2	516:16	498:17,19 502:22
entirety 495:1	exception 463:6	<b>family</b> 445:6,21	515:12 525:21
<b>entity</b> 511:12	exchange 531:23	446:9 535:22	529:19
environment 470:18	exchanges 535:9	fantastic 473:15	<b>fisher</b> 443:5 444:10
533:10	<b>excuse</b> 458:7	534:25	446:24 447:11,22
environmental	executive 443:19	<b>far</b> 443:24 452:7	448:7,16 449:1,7,11
468:1	exercise 453:3	474:20 489:16,20	450:1,18,23 456:15
<b>envision</b> 501:6,9	528:10 535:4	505:13 513:19	456:22 457:5,12,21
envisioned 506:16	<b>exert</b> 451:18	533:18	459:16 460:23
		555.10	461:21 464:10,25

465:21,25 466:20	526:13 536:23,24	fundamental 450:9	498:25 506:6,25
466:23 467:7	536:25 537:1	fundamentally	513:11 522:21
469:21 470:10	follow 443:21 455:2	494:16 495:23	532:12 533:5,11
475:14 476:22	462:23 492:23	497:12	<b>gift</b> 537:8
478:5,14 479:8,14	498:7 499:4 509:6	furnished 525:15	give 445:19 460:11
479:25 480:3,5,17	510:9 520:13	<b>further</b> 447:14	514:13 519:15
481:18 482:22,25	<b>food</b> 534:25	536:10	528:19 530:22
485:19 486:11,14	force 468:4,7 470:5	<b>furthers</b> 464:16	given 451:21 527:3
486:25 487:21	forget 463:16 491:6	<b>future</b> 487:11	533:21 536:2
488:2,4,18 489:7	527:22	534:14 535:12	gives 454:24 469:25
493:14 501:20	form 449:25 450:19	g	480:13
502:21 503:25	456:5,10,18 468:7,9		glitches 449:3
505:15 506:2 508:7	476:16 479:24	game 491:8 493:18	gloss 497:9 498:17
510:12 514:3,17	formally 456:11	494:17,22 496:14	glossing 498:19
516:19,25 517:5,15	464:17	497:19 517:20,23	<b>go</b> 444:6 447:2,7
517:22,25 518:6	format 470:1 493:1	518:19 519:4,5,6	450:7,8,21 452:13
520:13,23,25	495:24 498:22	529:12	452:20 453:5
521:18,21 522:2,6	530:1	games 493:23,23	454:10 455:19
523:8,13,23 524:23	<b>formed</b> 512:22	502:18 507:22	456:2,12 457:15
525:1,7 528:7,16	<b>former</b> 445:5	508:5 522:11	458:12,14 459:12
529:21 530:14	forms 468:10	gaming 443:19	465:15,22 470:16
531:13 535:17	formulate 456:3	444:2,13 445:7,8,17	471:4,24 473:3
537:10	formulating 454:14	446:19 461:24	474:1,10 478:12,23
<b>fit</b> 506:5 517:16	<b>forth</b> 451:20 503:22	471:16,16,17	480:6 482:19,20
536:12	504:18	489:25 490:1,7 491:18 493:5 494:4	483:10 484:5
<b>fits</b> 514:3	forward 463:5	491:18 495:3 494:4	486:21 487:8 489:3
<b>five</b> 498:11 534:17	464:17 470:12		489:23 493:16
534:19 536:10	483:21,23 516:2	498:5 502:12 505:5	496:24 497:5,10,23
<b>fixed</b> 449:15	524:8 528:20	507:25 508:20 510:19 511:1 512:6	497:25 499:25
<b>flag</b> 452:6	531:18 535:12	516:5,9 518:13	500:2,10 503:12,25
<b>flesh</b> 483:25 512:22	<b>found</b> 490:16	,	505:2,10 506:15
fleshing 478:6	521:16	524:12 528:4 534:23	509:3,4 510:1 514:8
flexibility 455:24	four 458:8 460:1	<b>garvin</b> 533:12	515:2,11,13,24
flipping 503:22	466:23 472:10	gather 487:1	516:15 517:9,10,12
<b>florida</b> 447:21	498:11	general 463:3	517:13 524:21
<b>flow</b> 501:9	<b>fourth</b> 503:5	475:18 476:24	526:6 527:7,7,9,10
<b>flows</b> 481:14 505:22	<b>framed</b> 480:17	477:4,15 478:8,17	527:12,15 529:16
509:7	framework 463:9	484:5 518:11	529:22 534:1,21
focus 472:9 514:11	481:12 505:5	generalized 489:14	goal 446:22 469:2,7
<b>focused</b> 445:24	<b>front</b> 525:24	generally 456:9	475:7 499:1 503:19
526:18	<b>full</b> 443:9 447:3,17	getting 447:4 457:14	505:17
<b>fold</b> 465:13	453:10 480:9	458:16 459:20	goals 455:6
folks 473:13 474:23	492:17	472:14 473:17,21	<b>goes</b> 482:16 494:7
475:2 480:6 484:3	<b>fun</b> 526:19 535:6	481:20 486:5	495:18 497:19
501:8 515:14		T01.20 T00.J	522:10 530:1,8

## [goes - hopefully]

532:6	gray 528:11	484:7,11 485:2,3,4	haves 484:25
going 444:8 449:21	great 446:11 471:21	487:13,19 488:13	head 466:22 500:2
450:14 451:12,20	473:8 474:12,23	488:20,23 489:2,3	<b>health</b> 468:1
452:25 453:5,11,19	485:22 507:7	489:11,20 490:5	hear 453:5 455:13
453:25 455:14,16	513:14 531:9,12,23	495:8 504:4,6,7,11	476:10 512:5,18
457:19 458:13,15	532:2 533:8,9,10,18	508:2 509:25 510:2	513:18,21 524:10
459:21,22 460:10	535:1,3,8,18 536:16	510:9 512:23 514:6	533:9
460:22 462:13	green 442:25 524:25	515:3,20 517:21	heard 445:22 452:8
466:9 468:22 472:7	525:3,9,9 528:9	518:12 522:1,13,19	484:5 513:20
474:2 475:24	grew 489:17	523:14,16 529:7	515:14 528:18
478:22,24 479:2	group 443:15 445:2	guide 479:2 495:18	hearing 470:14
481:21 484:1,14	448:25 452:20	guidelines 487:6	476:4 483:7
485:10,17 487:7,17	455:16,21 456:17	guides 471:7 505:14	held 493:23
488:16,22 489:13	456:24 457:6,24	guys 452:20 473:8	help 471:5 474:2,3
489:15 491:11	461:13,23 464:17	475:12 505:21	475:8 489:15
493:22 496:3	478:22 480:6	515:15	504:11 506:22
498:15 499:19	483:25 485:11	h	509:11
500:11,12 501:8	486:8,15,16,17,25	half 448:2 527:11	helped 508:2 509:12
502:3,4,9,13 504:7	488:5 512:7 515:6		514:22
505:3,16 507:8	516:5,10,14 519:16	531:22	<b>helpful</b> 456:13
509:1,9,22 511:1	522:22 529:2,3,9	hall 442:10	471:15 472:7
518:25 523:21	530:2 532:5	hamel 466:22 492:9	473:10 476:7,10
526:15 529:24	group's 457:8	492:22,25 502:23	478:4 493:10
531:15 534:7,8,14	480:12	503:15 504:1	499:16 514:24
534:16,17,18,19,20	grouping 465:12	505:13 506:1 513:4	515:1,11 532:7
536:9	499:4	520:17 531:21	helping 491:15
<b>good</b> 443:5 446:5,16	groups 445:11	hammer 471:4	hey 500:8 509:5
453:2 454:2 461:1	guess 448:3 489:7	hand 466:17,18,21	<b>high</b> 453:18
468:17 469:1,2	501:23 508:19	467:1,2 473:24	<b>higher</b> 469:24
470:21 472:3,5,19	513:4 521:6 537:3	479:11,16,17	historic 472:15
480:13,18,22	guidance 447:8	493:23	historically 497:24
487:18 496:17	454:7,12 456:23	handled 482:18	hoc 473:7
503:14 504:16	459:2,12 462:4,15	handling 515:19	hoening 457:2
505:21 508:19,23	462:18 465:5 466:6	<b>happen</b> 461:13 511:4	467:24 470:2
513:3 523:11 530:9	466:14 467:10,12		hold 460:9 464:19
532:1 533:3,13	467:18,21,23,24	happened 463:10	holidays 532:16
535:9 536:3	468:3,7,9,9,12	happening 452:22	537:7
goodness 500:2	470:4,6,7 476:14,16	<b>happens</b> 463:19	home 526:6 531:9
<b>gotten</b> 449:15,16	476:19,20,21 477:8	511:9 hanny 510:20	535:23 537:9
government 445:15	477:11 478:8,23,24	<b>happy</b> 519:20 532:16 537:7	honestly 519:20
<b>grant</b> 463:15	479:10 480:7,11,13	harbor 511:13,15	hope 471:2,7 489:6
<b>granted</b> 509:10	480:13,15,16,20,22	hard 504:20	<b>hoped</b> 507:9
grasp 509:9	481:1,4,6,8,11,12,13	hard 304:20 hassled 451:13	hopeful 532:9
grave 496:8	481:17,22,24 482:2	Hassieu 431.13	hopefully 489:14
	482:19 483:10,19		

## [hospitality - jurisdiction]

h	522.17 524.10 15	•	445.1C
hospitality 536:16	533:17 534:10,15	inexperienced 509:1	<b>ira</b> 445:16
537:5	534:22 535:11	information 448:15	<b>issuance</b> 467:11
hosting 536:15	impressed 533:20	484:11 487:2	issue 443:24 446:9
537:3	improved 473:16	503:11 510:5	448:9 461:19,20
hour 504:2	improvement	524:19 527:10,19	462:3 464:19 473:5
hours 533:5	475:10	527:23 528:1,2,5,6	482:17 483:4,4
housekeeping	inappropriate	informative 484:22	484:19 487:9
447:12 524:3	454:16	infringe 458:13	490:11 504:12
528:23	inaudible 527:4	inherent 458:23	507:14 508:3
<b>huge</b> 528:12 531:6	include 477:2	461:8,14 485:6	510:24 511:21
<b>huh</b> 447:10 465:25	478:25 479:2,4	502:5 518:22	515:1 524:18
492:22	498:6 519:25	inherently 510:19	525:19,23 526:7,8,9
human 498:16,19	included 454:12	initial 530:5	526:25 531:10,11
501:3	476:23 477:17	<b>input</b> 452:3 531:16	536:5,5
i	483:17 485:2	533:14	issues 444:7 445:25
<b>i6</b> 518:3	487:14 496:19	intended 506:21	450:9 459:8 463:5
idea 446:5 465:4	500:20	interest 489:10	464:21,24 474:14
473:23 478:7	includes 527:6	513:1	476:7,18 482:15
503:14 505:7	including 447:12	interested 476:4	495:10,11 499:6
<b>ideally</b> 463:8	466:12 473:2	interesting 506:10	512:22 519:1 526:2
ideas 474:12 477:15	474:17 476:24	interface 490:21	532:19 535:13,25
477:22 535:10	525:11 528:25	interior 474:18	issuing 462:3
<b>identical</b> 520:4	incorporate 485:16	internal 461:25	item 488:19 498:12
identified 460:1	494:24 509:20	463:7 465:11	505:23 514:20
	incorporated	485:25 486:1,9	515:23 519:24
472:10 484:13,25	478:16 491:8 494:7	492:1 493:7,17,24	523:15 529:13
identify 458:12	increase 464:4	496:22 497:2,11	items 464:18 523:1
475:9 484:12,16	incredible 474:11	498:3 500:7 503:2	528:25
492:11 504:10	531:22 532:1	505:4,8 508:21	j
517:11	incumbent 509:12	509:17 511:3,20	
identifying 481:15	510:22	531:19	<b>january</b> 447:13
485:5	indian 445:7	internet 527:23	529:1,10
<b>igra</b> 463:13 493:18	indicating 454:9	interpret 470:3	<b>jason</b> 460:23
igt 474:6	individual 502:24	interpreted 506:17	<b>jeff</b> 488:5 529:7
<b>iii</b> 442:6	524:15	introduction 467:25	<b>jess</b> 525:9
immediately 473:6	individuals 533:9	503:10	jettison 447:19
<b>impact</b> 519:7	indulging 489:2	<b>invariably</b> 509:4,8	<b>job</b> 442:24 470:18
implemented	industry 445:8	invasions 494:13	470:21 472:3,5,19
506:15	462:9 469:1 470:3	investigators 473:3	473:9 505:21
importance 478:18	471:6,14,15,22	invitation 524:6	508:10,23 533:18
536:4	472:1 474:25 475:4	<b>invite</b> 464:5	536:17 537:2
important 454:6	475:8 499:8 512:4	<b>inviting</b> 532:24	<b>joint</b> 445:9
476:2 482:5 497:8	513:1 514:2 536:9	534:25	<b>jumped</b> 462:5
513:13,15 517:24			jurisdiction 454:5
519:22 526:20	536:13	<b>involved</b> 503:8	454:18 458:20
		509:15	

# [jurisdiction - longer]

459:6,23 460:5	471:7,11,12 472:4	515:25 519:14	<b>line</b> 465:5,14 477:25
482:5 525:19 526:7	472:13,23,23	524:17 530:12	478:1,24,24 487:23
527:20 528:11	473:15 474:20,25	533:13	488:19 507:16
jurisdictional	475:8,8,22,25 476:1	<b>law</b> 445:17 468:5,8	<b>lines</b> 457:23 458:2
461:20	476:2,3,6,7,10,12	468:24 471:20	458:15 503:8
k	477:3 487:8 488:9	laws 469:12	linked 497:13
kansas 447:20	490:9 491:5,24	lawyer's 444:20	<b>list</b> 447:5 475:18,19
kathy 466:21 485:25	492:4 493:16 494:3	<b>lead</b> 485:10 496:17	477:19 478:9,16
494:3 503:25	494:5,13 496:15	leadership 443:18	500:11 523:15
514:22	497:18 498:24	460:12	528:24 529:11
keep 448:18 454:15	499:1,3,3,7,10,17	<b>learn</b> 459:11 532:4	530:11,15,17 534:3
462:20 504:15	501:2,5 502:11,21	<b>learned</b> 533:16	534:12
505:3 509:1 516:1	504:12,14 505:9,20	learning 531:6	<b>listed</b> 521:3
keepers 525:5	505:20 506:18	532:19,23 533:8	listening 531:15
kind 445:20 446:20	510:7,11 512:6,14	leave 456:21 459:18	little 446:25 447:10
448:19 450:15,16	513:7,17,25 514:3,8	460:8 476:6 487:15	447:13,14,22 448:6
451:5,14 452:6	516:7 518:17	488:14 505:16	448:12 449:20
460:12 462:5 470:4	523:23 524:10,13	518:24	450:6,20 452:23
470:6,6 472:14,14	529:15 533:5,11	<b>leaving</b> 486:19	453:13 455:13
472:23 473:16	535:22,23 536:5,6,7	494:14	456:2,20 460:16
475:12 479:20,23	536:8,9,19	<b>left</b> 443:10 444:7	467:19 468:17
481:14 484:5 486:9	knowing 501:4	447:23 465:24	469:12,19 470:1
489:2,11,12,13	knowledge 502:16	527:15 530:25	472:6,8 474:12
491:4 506:6,7	512:14,15	531:2 534:18	475:21 480:11
508:12 509:6,7	<b>known</b> 509:14	legal 459:14 460:12	485:15 486:4,13,18
516:21 524:4	1	legalize 528:4	488:10,24 489:10
525:17 526:15	<b>1</b> 442:25 522:2	<b>leo</b> 469:22 482:14	489:13 494:18
534:1,5	label 495:6	532:24 534:24	498:24 503:19
<b>kinds</b> 446:17 468:10	labs 474:25	535:15 537:3	504:3 505:17 508:1
kitsap 442:10	lack 463:3 472:1	<b>level</b> 444:3 445:10	514:19 515:20
know 444:19,25	lacking 501:24	446:17 454:24	517:9,18 518:4
445:5,24 446:8	504:10,14 513:5	460:13 461:17	520:7,19,24 522:12
448:24 449:18	521:12 522:16,21	463:14 468:6	522:15 523:1,11
452:1 453:2,15,16	523:5	469:25 471:22	525:25 530:2
453:17,17,18,23,25	lacks 495:24	472:3 486:5,23	535:19
455:10,15,17,20,21	<b>laid</b> 447:18	493:25 501:16	local 446:16 486:23
455:23,24 456:1,3	language 503:1	508:20	locate 516:22
456:15 457:22	505:6	levels 469:24 499:10	located 520:21
458:11,13 459:10	large 513:25 515:6	lieu 479:3	location 448:13
459:11,13,25 460:7	519:11	life 496:8,9,12,21	log 491:14
462:8,8 463:8	larger 527:12	501:9 502:9	long 487:17 491:24
464:16 468:20	lash 449:24 450:3,8	<b>liked</b> 445:6	504:1 512:4,5
469:2,5 470:9,12,16	450:11 454:2,23	<b>limitation</b> 493:19	527:11 534:3 536:4
470:21,24,25 471:1	460:9 480:12 493:9	<b>limited</b> 472:21	<b>longer</b> 448:3

## [look - monday]

			500.15
look 444:19,20	m	470:8 479:4,12	533:15
445:8 450:4 455:23	<b>machine</b> 518:21	480:2,4 481:19	mentioned 447:16
470:23 471:24	519:1,3 523:6	482:24 487:5,24	506:11 529:14
474:9 475:5,9 480:8	machines 490:3	488:3 490:16 492:7	<b>merely</b> 498:18
484:1 486:4,22	519:4	495:2 501:22	message 449:13
492:15 493:16	magee 457:9,19	503:23 504:9	450:2 463:24
494:6,13,21 496:24	458:19 465:23	<b>mean</b> 445:4,13	470:13 473:17
496:25 497:24	478:20 479:23	446:5 457:24 458:2	messages 449:13
499:24 505:2	512:21 533:7	458:6 463:5,18	481:20
511:21 514:4	mail 449:2,14 474:3	464:10 476:14,20	<b>met</b> 508:5
517:12,13 519:9	535:24	477:4 481:1 485:12	<b>mia</b> 536:3
520:23 522:12,18	main 495:2,4	501:6 502:11 503:9	michelle 449:5
522:24 535:12		504:14 510:24	535:2
536:11	<b>maintaining</b> 469:2 <b>major</b> 472:9,10	511:22 512:3 513:5	microphone 524:9
<b>looked</b> 490:8 491:24	-	513:14	524:11
499:17 523:16	making 447:24	meaning 488:15	mics 463:10 465:13
<b>looking</b> 446:17	478:7 508:5 526:19	means 447:3 458:2	489:18 499:21
458:7 474:20	535:24	467:4,8 479:25	505:9 523:18,24
490:17 494:19	manage 444:8	483:24 494:24	<b>middle</b> 450:16
497:7 498:2 499:9	<b>management</b> 517:24	<b>meant</b> 516:21	<b>mike</b> 470:12 515:5
500:16 506:7	manner 482:18,19	meet 453:11 473:5	536:22
507:25 518:4	manually 490:22	491:1 493:24	mile 527:11
519:23 523:6	manufacturers	509:20 511:23	<b>million</b> 511:7,10
528:23 534:2 536:8	474:24	529:3	527:16
lose 527:14 534:4,9	market 527:23	meeting 442:4	mind 443:14 446:25
loss 528:2	marketing 458:23	447:13,17,23	452:6 454:15 459:9
lost 463:24 464:9	marry 507:13	448:10,11 449:12	462:20 475:17
528:1	mary 442:25	453:17 457:10,14	500:7 501:7 510:24
lot 444:11 449:8	massaged 508:12	457:16,18 460:1	516:1
473:12 474:22	matt 444:10 454:3	471:23 475:20	<b>minimize</b> 498:19
475:3,22 490:18,22	461:21 529:11	488:24 489:5 512:1	<b>minimum</b> 463:7
491:11 492:2 493:5	matt's 516:8 533:15	521:6 523:3 524:18	465:10 479:14
494:20 495:2	matter 497:5,6,10	528:24 529:1,10,14	486:1 493:25
499:22,23 504:8	498:4 500:9,14	529:19,19,20	509:19 511:24
509:17,25 531:8	<b>matters</b> 476:11	530:16,20,21,24,24	minute 482:3
532:2,24 533:25	532:14	530:10,20,21,24,24	<b>minutes</b> 478:6
532:2,24 555:25	<b>matthew</b> 470:10	533:14,23 534:2,6	514:15
535:23 536:23,23	493:14 501:21	535:14,25 554.2,0	mirror 533:15
536:25,25	510:13 514:4,22	meetings 457:10	<b>mirror</b> 555:15 <b>missed</b> 478:3 500:18
lots 445:11 470:13	<b>maturity</b> 499:10	532:5 533:24	505:1
	<b>mcghee</b> 451:7		
507:24 519:7 526:4	454:19 457:22	535:13	missing 501:23
loud 459:4	459:19 460:14,20	meets 522:23	<b>mixed</b> 481:20
lowest 510:18	466:19 467:6	members 475:4	mom 511:8
	468:11 469:10,14	487:2 522:18	<b>monday</b> 456:6
		525:11,12 531:16	524:14 531:23

<b>money</b> 468:14	462:19 464:12	489:21 512:25	oftentimes 506:15
497:18,20 502:3	465:1 466:14 471:6	514:6,13 516:3	<b>oh</b> 491:17 500:2
528:2	471:23 476:19	524:14 525:18	oiga's 524:21
<b>monthly</b> 519:25	477:16 478:12,21	526:7,11,12,25	okay 450:24 507:16
<b>morgan</b> 444:11	480:1,5,14 481:10	527:3,18,25 528:1	511:14 533:3
461:22 470:11	482:9 483:1,19,22	528:10,11,15	oklahoma 524:12
477:10 484:4,14	492:18 495:5,6	nigc's 459:23 488:3	<b>once</b> 457:16 494:15
485:9 493:15	498:21 500:3,9,12	524:16 532:12	529:16 535:21
496:22 499:19	501:24 502:15	night 444:12 535:4	536:1
504:15 507:11	503:5 504:9 510:21	nine 443:6 447:17	<b>ones</b> 490:12 525:16
510:14 512:3 513:3	511:16 522:12,19	nj366120 442:24	<b>online</b> 450:7 473:25
513:9 517:2 518:15	523:8,17 526:5,15	nomenclature	474:5,7,19 527:14
520:21 532:3	527:21 528:7,10,23	467:21 490:4	000 443:3
<b>morning</b> 443:5,17	529:15 530:9	nomination 460:17	<b>op</b> 526:18
444:18 462:24	532:13 536:8	<b>non</b> 481:1 483:6	<b>open</b> 449:6,10,17
463:20 535:2	<b>needed</b> 462:2,9	529:7 533:10	operate 444:13
<b>move</b> 463:5 464:15	476:14 478:23	northeast 442:9	500:12 513:10
483:11,21,22	530:19	536:20	operating 490:14
488:14 501:1	needing 524:11	<b>note</b> 523:15 526:12	507:15
515:22 528:22	<b>needs</b> 444:14 446:15	notebook 522:2	operation 444:13
531:18	456:24,24 457:25	<b>notes</b> 534:2	462:11,21 465:20
<b>moved</b> 493:11 515:8	461:17 477:12,17	<b>nov</b> 446:10 465:16	490:1,1 508:1,19
516:23 521:2	478:1,2 480:23	november 529:22	511:8 515:6 531:18
<b>moving</b> 470:19	481:2 496:11,17	529:25 530:6,9	operation's 503:6
493:3 516:1 523:20	499:13 505:12	<b>nth</b> 474:2	operational 472:2
533:16	520:14	<b>number</b> 474:8	505:5
multiple 524:22	networking 504:21	498:25	operationally
<b>multitude</b> 445:16	<b>never</b> 461:1 520:10	0	499:24
n	524:11	obligation 463:21	operations 491:22
name 525:9	<b>new</b> 469:6 488:11	obviously 449:16	492:2 493:5 494:19
nation 444:24	491:6 497:17,24	457:14 519:15	494:20 504:19
national 500:19	507:25 509:15	522:22 524:1	505:6 510:1 519:8
<b>nature</b> 459:8 498:16	534:20	<b>occur</b> 496:1	528:4 535:22
498:19	<b>newer</b> 462:20	october 489:24	<b>opinion</b> 458:14
near 449:25	<b>nice</b> 535:1 536:20	529:21 530:5	459:21 489:16
necessary 493:6	<b>nigc</b> 444:5 445:3,13	<b>offered</b> 461:25	491:21 510:1
need 443:7,10,22	445:24 447:9 452:7	office 444:2 450:13	521:14
444:5 446:12	452:14,16,22 454:5	471:3	opinions 465:6
447:16,17,22,25	454:14 455:9 461:7	<b>officer</b> 443:19	470:9
448:4,8,17,20	461:10 462:1,5,14	officers 473:2	opportunities
449:11 453:7	463:15 464:5	official 456:16	507:24
454:15 455:1	465:15 467:11	officially 456:16	opportunity 486:21
456:17,25 457:6	469:16 475:16	officials 471:19	524:2,5 530:22
458:10 460:1 462:6	476:25 477:5 478:9		<b>oppose</b> 466:25 467:1
	479:9 485:24 486:8		

		500 7 515 0	
opposed 455:11	р	patron 503:7 515:9	<b>pilot</b> 506:19,22
461:11 462:15	<b>p.m.</b> 447:2	paused 514:17	507:5
464:23 479:16	package 457:11	<b>pay</b> 515:19	pinpoint 504:20
opposite 515:18	packet 498:10	<b>peeps</b> 462:24	<b>pipe</b> 455:10
options 453:5	page 485:18 504:8	penalized 511:25	pipeline 456:5
467:17	522:1,2	<b>people</b> 447:19,24	<b>place</b> 461:8,9 495:6
<b>order</b> 462:10 470:22	pages 504:1	449:4,19 450:21	507:12,23 511:25
471:4 478:21 496:4	paper 486:10	451:4 461:3,13	521:11
496:11,20 532:13	489:19 490:9,19	464:25 466:11,15	<b>placed</b> 500:21
ordinance 463:15	491:18 492:5 493:8	467:16 468:2,6	places 467:25 471:5
organization 472:16	493:23 494:17,21	470:3,14 471:3,15	500:3 524:22
498:9 509:19	494:22 508:12	472:6 475:17	<b>plan</b> 447:19 457:13
510:22	510:6,10 512:9	477:15,22 478:7	457:13
organizational	paramount 455:5	482:25 483:9 486:6	planning 487:16
469:5	parcel 481:5 485:7	497:9 498:17 501:2	529:2,3
organizations 475:3	part 443:8 445:18	501:4 502:17,18	plate 463:22 511:23
509:1,5	452:9 456:22	506:3,6 509:8,12	<b>play</b> 491:9 494:11
organize 499:2	458:20,25 459:2	514:13 519:7,9	495:4 537:8
500:13,13,14	438.20,23 439.2	524:20 528:14	played 493:17 519:5
505:21	481.3 485.2,2,13	529:23	535:5
organizing 473:7		percent 519:25	<b>player</b> 443:11,20
original 489:18	487:12 497:3,9	<b>perfect</b> 506:14	454:13 458:14,22
499:1	498:14,17,19	performance 517:20	459:22 460:6,15
originally 461:24	503:11 507:6	517:23 518:13,19	467:12 475:16
originate 526:12	521:13 533:24	521:3,19,22 529:12	477:7 479:11
outs 515:19	536:21	<b>period</b> 449:16	484:24 490:3,14,21
outside 454:5	participation	528:21	493:22 494:5,8,16
457:24 458:19	497:14	<b>permits</b> 456:13	497:13,14,18,22
468:18 494:8 510:1	particular 444:4	person 459:21	519:2 525:19 526:7
535:25	465:8 489:12 493:1	472:16,17 479:15	527:2,10,13,22
overall 459:20	531:10	personal 511:2	528:5 534:19
472:24 477:1	partly 447:13 506:2	personally 486:20	please 479:17
489:11 505:5	530:7	perspective 444:20	483:23 489:9
overlooked 515:16	partner 474:17,21	444:21 459:6,7	502:14 505:25
overlooking 445:21	475:5	461:16 476:19	523:25 526:12
overly 500:23	partnering 474:20	525:25 529:12	<b>plus</b> 462:13 507:21
overnight 443:16	474:23,24	phase 481:9	<b>point</b> 452:16 454:2
451:2	partners 471:18	phil's 445:19	454:6 461:23
<b>oversight</b> 463:14,18	partnership 471:9	philosophy 489:12	462:17 463:12
464:4	parts 473:14 497:3	philosophy 489:12 phone 525:4	468:17 478:21
	534:17	<b>phonetic</b> 511:6,8	408:17 478:21 480:13 485:10
overwhelmingly	pass 454:21	· ·	
526:9	<b>pat</b> 472:2	<b>pick</b> 443:10	487:22 497:4 498:1
owning 511:19	path 456:13	<b>piece</b> 496:18	499:1,20 500:24
		pieces 506:5 517:16	501:17,22,25,25
		521:8	502:10 505:1

## [point - quickly]

	1	1	
506:10 507:3	prepared 447:9	<b>product</b> 454:14	published 452:3
509:13 511:2	513:12 529:9	457:7 516:4	456:11 506:25
514:18 516:11	<b>present</b> 467:10	<b>program</b> 506:19,22	508:15 513:5
517:19 520:3 523:9	484:15,21 487:3	progress 453:16	519:19 520:11
526:16 530:21	524:7	475:13 531:25	<b>pull</b> 491:13 498:5
536:3,14	presented 456:25	532:2	500:10,10,12
<b>pointed</b> 496:13	466:16 480:20	<b>project</b> 452:18	522:11 524:16
505:19	presents 483:3	projecting 447:12	<b>pulled</b> 481:10
pointers 468:2	<b>pretty</b> 448:14 489:6	promotion 481:21	<b>purely</b> 490:2,14
500:15 501:1,18	504:5	482:4	<b>purpose</b> 465:9
502:6	prevail 526:4	promotional 458:23	485:14,23 510:4
points 453:18 500:6	prevent 459:9	promotions 443:21	purposes 512:19
513:18 519:15	principles 492:20	454:9,13 466:3	<b>pushed</b> 492:13
<b>policies</b> 505:10	534:3,4,9,12	467:9 479:5 482:20	525:1
<b>pop</b> 511:8	<b>prior</b> 513:8	483:11 484:24	<b>put</b> 449:11,20,22,23
<b>position</b> 460:17	priorities 472:10	<b>proper</b> 470:20	450:2 452:9 456:9
507:25 508:1	proactive 507:13	509:25 510:5	459:6,7 463:8
516:17 525:21	probably 449:20	properties 495:5	468:12 469:16
positions 526:2	456:4 457:25	533:4	471:1 481:19 489:7
possible 454:1	477:23 482:21	<b>property</b> 459:10,10	497:11,18 500:6
501:18 509:23	499:16 511:16	proposal 488:5	502:13 504:24
possibly 445:11	520:3 521:14	520:20	506:12 511:25
458:8	522:16 529:24	<b>propose</b> 459:17	519:12 521:6
<b>post</b> 467:18 524:14	530:6 531:23	460:24 464:17	529:15 530:15
524:14	<b>problem</b> 510:18	proposed 456:7	531:8
<b>posted</b> 450:19	522:20	484:2 508:16	<b>puts</b> 528:11
524:22	problematic 458:22	519:19 520:5,11	<b>putting</b> 468:12
postponed 489:24	problems 458:24	protocol 457:3	500:8 504:23
520:9,9	459:11 495:23	470:12	522:17
potential 478:19	procedural 533:22	<b>provide</b> 446:6 464:5	q
483:11	procedures 490:6	468:23 472:21	qualified 525:12,17
practical 458:21	492:1,20 499:23	475:2,8 509:24	question 452:5,16
459:7,15	503:3 505:11	510:4 524:24	465:25 466:11
<b>practice</b> 467:22	508:21	provided 489:5	467:9,16 469:22
477:11 481:6	proceed 451:6	provides 453:1	474:4,4 479:6,9
482:19 485:7	process 450:12,17	providing 467:20	480:18,19,21 500:4
practices 462:1,10	452:7,17,22 453:11	484:11 508:11	513:3 518:11
465:17 476:21,25	455:10,10 456:1	<b>public</b> 456:23 468:1	questions 466:3
479:10 483:18	460:17 463:19	511:9 523:22 524:2	489:14 505:19
484:6,8,18 485:13	485:14 495:15	524:3,5,7,24 528:18	506:8 508:8 528:17
485:16 486:1	502:9 507:6 516:2,7	528:19,21	quickly 447:7
488:20 529:6	531:5	publicity 472:1	
prefer 449:9,20	processes 446:1	<b>publish</b> 451:12	
451:6	495:12	476:25 481:17	
		507:12	

	<b>reasons</b> 483:9,16,16	reference 461:23	regulatory 461:7
r	499:21 510:2	498:1,12 499:15	463:4,14,18 464:4,5
<b>raise</b> 466:17 467:1,2	recall 477:4 519:12	500:6 504:10,25	464:23 465:7,12
473:24 479:11,16	receive 449:4	515:3 517:12 518:7	468:19 471:13,19
479:17 515:1		515.5 517.12 518.7	472:3 476:21 481:1
raised 452:6 466:18	456:16 530:4		
467:16 499:7	received 453:14	references 455:17	483:6,12,12 529:7
ramos 448:9 535:14	receiving 495:10	499:14 504:23	regurgitating
<b>ran</b> 507:14	recess 488:17	515:7	503:11
rapid 524:13	514:16	referencing 495:25	reiterate 450:11
rationale 485:4	recognize 463:21	518:10 520:1,2	508:9
<b>reach</b> 446:22 503:19	464:7 537:1	reflects 503:24	rejects 449:15
read 450:1 490:24	recognizing 455:5	refresher 462:20	<b>related</b> 518:13
494:25 495:1 496:4	recommend 451:1	<b>reg</b> 467:17 469:17	relates 518:20
497:7,9 498:1,14	451:10 452:2	487:15 503:21	relations 445:9
499:25 503:21	454:16,20 475:15	<b>regard</b> 463:7	relationship 473:13
504:2,23,24	479:21 480:16	regards 478:4	496:11
<b>reader</b> 496:17	recommendation	register 451:22	relevant 502:2
reading 497:6 506:3	451:11,16 461:10	489:22 492:3,8	<b>relied</b> 504:21
506:6 508:3	464:22 466:4,6	508:15,22	<b>rely</b> 472:20 490:21
ready 450:19 457:11	467:11 478:8,10,10	<b>regs</b> 491:16 512:25	516:18
457:18 467:3,4	480:8 481:15	531:8 534:22	remaining 515:2,24
475:14 513:11	482:16	<b>regulate</b> 444:3,3	remarks 530:23
525:15	recommendations	regulated 445:8	remember 446:19
real 459:7,7 504:12	451:19 452:7,19	458:6,7 461:17	518:15,23 519:10
realistic 452:10	453:14 455:22	regulating 461:12	534:6,8,15,17,21
453:8	466:17 470:9 476:5	510:17	remembering 466:2
reality 458:21	476:24 486:16	regulation 443:25	<b>remove</b> 466:4
realized 482:12	recommended	445:1 446:23	removing 466:12
really 444:18 451:15	473:25 478:16	454:17,20 458:21	reorganized 493:11
464:1 471:25 476:2	483:17 487:14	468:5 469:16 475:9	repercussions
501:24 503:14	492:24 493:2	481:23 485:1	528:13,14
505:20 509:6	recommending	487:18 503:10	repetitive 531:4
512:18 513:13,21	479:9,19,22	507:4 511:21,25	<b>replace</b> 447:21
513:25 516:2,14	reconvene 514:15	518:1 520:8 526:18	<b>report</b> 491:14
526:20 531:4	<b>record</b> 444:6 456:23	526:21 536:8,12	<b>reporting</b> 519:20,22
520:20 531:4	482:20 525:8	regulations 459:1	521:22
	recordkeeping	462:7,16 465:4	representation
535:7,11 536:1,3	495:11	469:13 483:13	467:23
537:5	<b>red</b> 452:6	499:8 502:24	representative
realm 468:15	redundancy 499:20	531:20	444:24
reason 449:17 453:6	499:24 501:1	regulator 445:20	represented 490:1
469:7 472:12	<b>redundant</b> 500:4,23	503:20	represents 457:7
474:21 475:5	<b>refer</b> 496:19 502:7	regulator's 444:21	request 468:20
494:24 497:8	502:14,15 525:5	regulatorily 499:25	required 457:2
			468:24 496:25
			100121 190120

## [required - serious]

505:1	<b>revisit</b> 482:20	safeguarding	sections 453:24,25
requirement 490:20	515:13,23 519:14	508:23	458:8,9 460:21
491:2	519:16 523:16	safer 516:17	493:13 494:7,25
requirements	<b>right</b> 443:6 447:9	safety 468:1	503:13 505:24
465:12 472:24	450:17 453:4	sarcastic 513:6	514:10 515:2,12,24
resolution 464:12	454:25 457:3	save 526:6	522:9 523:17 534:7
525:13	458:25 460:21	saw 502:24 512:16	<b>security</b> 503:9,18
<b>resort</b> 442:8	464:11 488:6	saying 453:6,7	<b>see</b> 446:18 455:1
resource 471:4	489:22 494:3	454:19 458:17	457:17 460:2 465:5
<b>resources</b> 468:11	497:10 499:22	468:6 469:14,24	465:11 470:19
471:1,10,21 474:10	505:16 514:1,13	476:24 490:25	471:14,15,25
<b>respect</b> 466:6	517:16 518:5 522:6	498:9 499:12,13	475:14 477:20
467:11 475:16	523:9,18,24 524:6	500:16 501:23	478:7 479:24
483:18 505:20	531:1 533:16	504:24 507:1,21	484:23 487:21
527:25	<b>rise</b> 468:5 471:22	521:17 522:21	491:25 492:5
respectfully 485:23	<b>risk</b> 452:3 481:9	says 491:18 497:1	495:23 496:1 498:9
528:8	482:4 484:12,17	500:8 503:6 511:15	501:22 502:5
response 465:1	512:24 526:18,19	517:7	506:11,12 508:16
526:15 528:17	526:21,22,22,23	scale 526:24	510:8 512:11
responsibilities	527:18 528:11	schedule 524:1	517:20 522:13,18
512:2	<b>risks</b> 444:8 461:8,11	525:2	525:23,24 529:15
responsibility	461:14 477:6	scheduled 447:1	530:1,18 533:4
463:22 473:19	481:16 495:19	472:24	534:5 536:18,21
503:7,16 510:25	526:3 527:1 528:9	scolding 532:11	seeing 471:3 508:18
511:2,20 517:6	<b>rita</b> 536:23	screen 483:5 488:18	512:17,19
responsible 475:4	<b>road</b> 454:3 457:10	489:8 514:5 528:25	seek 509:9
rest 447:18 473:2	470:19 472:7 481:3	screw 528:13	seeks 509:15
484:5,6 487:2	<b>robert</b> 478:20	screws 528:14	seen 453:21 504:13
489:13,15 495:23	<b>robin</b> 459:1	second 521:13	512:13 516:7,8,12
499:6 507:25	<b>robin's</b> 480:20	532:15	sees 472:1 531:10,11
508:25 512:3,22	<b>role</b> 461:10 462:13	section 453:21,22	segregate 490:9
514:19,24 515:5,22	464:23,23	466:4,5 468:1	send 449:18 450:1
516:16,19 520:14	room 506:13 507:7	481:21,25 482:1	451:20 455:22
520:17 521:23	531:15 533:8	483:15 489:12	524:15
522:20 536:22	535:10	490:19 491:4,5,6,9	sense 483:1 506:14
rest's 498:25	<b>rules</b> 456:7,8	491:9 492:11,13	513:10
result 449:14 536:6	<b>run</b> 462:10 482:15	494:4 495:3,17	sent 448:23 449:1
<b>revenue</b> 508:24	494:20,20 497:21	496:2 499:15	516:4
521:10	529:24	500:11 501:25	sentiments 533:7
<b>review</b> 452:25	<b>running</b> 462:21	502:1,5,6,7,15	<b>separate</b> 466:10
453:19 455:10	S	503:1 513:13 514:5	516:1
457:20	<b>safe</b> 511:13,14 537:7	514:9 515:9 516:23	separately 466:8,10
<b>reviewed</b> 516:13	<b>Sale</b> 511:13,14 557:7 537:9	517:13 519:18,24	serious 514:1
revised 530:5	331.9	520:2 521:9 522:3	533:21

## [serve - struggle]

407 1	• 452.02.456.5	505.14	4 4 1 471 10
serve 487:1	six 453:23 456:5	525:14	started 471:12
server 449:15	sizable 504:5	specifically 513:23	485:20 528:24
490:22 491:4,5,8,13	slides 504:17	513:24	530:25
492:12 497:19,20	<b>slot</b> 474:6	specifics 454:11	starting 480:13
497:20	<b>small</b> 480:6 486:25	<b>spell</b> 502:19	516:11 520:3
servers 497:20	489:25	<b>spend</b> 478:5 480:10	state 445:10 450:17
<b>service</b> 491:6 503:18	<b>smaller</b> 462:19	511:7	471:12,13 498:8
<b>services</b> 458:15	486:15	spending 468:14	509:4
session 493:23	socializing 533:10	533:4	stated 461:25 481:4
507:22 524:4 534:9	<b>socs</b> 511:6,8	<b>spent</b> 447:6 536:25	statement 462:22
sessions 494:21	<b>soft</b> 465:4	<b>split</b> 444:19	465:3 475:21
<b>set</b> 448:10 472:14,18	<b>solution</b> 511:17	<b>stacona</b> 449:6,9	482:21 512:6
492:18 497:18	somebody 446:15	529:18 532:22	stating 498:8,18
509:13 530:8	446:17 471:4	533:3	statistical 517:19,22
sets 498:2	473:23 480:6 496:2	staff 472:20,21	518:13,18 519:11
setting 496:23,24	500:18 504:2,9	473:2,17 474:13	519:19,22 521:19
<b>shaking</b> 466:22	506:16,17,17	486:22 499:25	521:22,22 529:11
<b>share</b> 448:25	<b>soon</b> 460:14	515:5,6 535:1	stay 460:10 510:14
<b>sheila</b> 524:9,10,20	<b>sooner</b> 456:25	536:22,23	<b>step</b> 452:22 463:22
<b>shelf</b> 511:17	sophistication	stamp 456:16	511:23 524:8
<b>shifted</b> 485:14	501:17	stand 484:8 518:21	525:22
<b>shipping</b> 495:10	<b>sops</b> 531:19	standard 465:11	<b>stepped</b> 528:20
<b>short</b> 449:16 488:15	<b>sorry</b> 533:1	469:24 484:24	stepping 525:3
488:15 514:13	<b>sort</b> 459:2,12 470:11	485:12 489:1,20	<b>steps</b> 525:22
shorter 448:4	481:11 512:23	504:3,17 508:11	stevens 535:20
<b>shot</b> 459:3	529:2	standards 455:7,18	<b>stopped</b> 450:16
<b>show</b> 483:10 501:9	<b>sounds</b> 448:6 450:18	457:16 481:10,11	463:11
showing 532:2	455:15 469:23	481:16 484:13	<b>stream</b> 508:24
<b>shown</b> 537:6	south 513:16	489:17,18 490:5,13	streamline 505:18
<b>side</b> 459:14,15	<b>sovereign</b> 463:17,23	491:25 492:17	strengthen 484:2
511:23	sovereigns 527:21	493:2 497:2 499:7	strengthened 478:3
<b>sight</b> 534:4,9	sovereignty 443:24	507:19 508:6,10	480:15,23
significant 508:17	444:6 461:20	510:8,9 518:12	strengthening
<b>silence</b> 475:25	464:19 475:23	522:19	480:20
<b>similar</b> 478:19	482:17 483:4,16	standing 492:15	stress 497:8 500:24
511:5	526:11	standpoint 444:5	strict 515:20
similarly 483:15	<b>speak</b> 446:7,16	464:6	<b>strong</b> 452:21 475:9
<b>simplify</b> 503:20	460:11,18 470:2	star 527:11	<b>stronger</b> 476:14
<b>simply</b> 506:16	525:18	start 445:15 446:25	477:13,16
sister 474:17	speaking 446:11	455:9 459:9 468:14	strongly 468:25
sit 452:20 479:20	496:2	478:24 488:16	structural 474:14
506:13 510:8 519:2	<b>specific</b> 477:15	493:22 495:16	<b>structure</b> 472:15,15
site 473:4	478:15 497:5	504:22 505:4 509:2	496:21
sitting 507:7 518:15	509:18 514:7,10,25	509:2 531:1 532:11	struggle 514:21
	515:15 522:25	533:23	

## [struggled - tgwg]

structure 472.14	aun and 162.9	40 ablin a 525.10	40
<b>struggled</b> 472:14 492:4	supposed 463:8	tackling 535:12	tap 474:18
	489:23 510:23	tahdooahnippah	task 472:23 480:1,6
stuck 507:3	524:18	479:18 482:8	486:15,19,25
stuff 445:21 531:4	suquamish 442:5,8	521:25 522:10	tasks 533:21
subcommittee	442:9 443:1 536:15	523:4 533:19	tax 466:17
461:25 512:21	<b>sure</b> 445:2 446:16	take 448:3 456:2	team 535:4,6
516:11	453:15 455:15,24	461:8,10 462:4	technical 446:1
subcommittees	457:20 470:20	463:22 468:10	455:7,18 457:16
516:6	471:21 485:11,18	469:8 473:21 474:9	472:11 473:1,6,18
<b>subject</b> 444:12	491:15 496:19	483:12 486:7,11	474:4 504:21
446:20 462:1	501:15 508:4,5	488:15 490:5 498:5	technological
467:13 477:19	513:22 520:25	505:25 508:21	494:10,13 495:3,9
497:5,6,10 500:9,11	536:11 537:1,8	509:20 510:25	495:17,19 534:13
500:14 513:15,20	<b>surface</b> 455:14	511:3 514:12,12	534:15
514:25 532:6	458:24	526:23 530:6 531:9	technology 473:22
subjective 504:17	<b>surrender</b> 526:10	taken 488:17 510:8	474:15 534:13
<b>subjects</b> 446:12	surveillance 503:17	514:16 533:21	tell 499:25 507:7,8
500:3 513:15	switched 462:7	takes 457:25 470:21	507:11,23 518:16
<b>submit</b> 457:18	<b>system</b> 443:12	472:4 476:16	525:7 526:5
submittal 457:11	446:20 467:13	talk 443:7,10,19	tells 452:12
submitted 522:22	477:7 491:1,8	445:7 447:22	<b>ten</b> 525:22
subsection 521:18	496:22 497:1,11,13	448:23 449:9	<b>tend</b> 463:16 495:22
substance 535:9	497:15,21 498:3	458:17 459:17	tension 462:8
succeed 486:24	502:4,12 505:3,8	460:2,14 462:18	term 445:5 476:20
successful 498:23	514:23 516:23	464:11 466:14	477:12 536:4
533:24	534:20	475:17,19,24	terminal 494:5,8,16
sufficient 495:25	systems 446:19	476:23 486:22	497:13,22 519:2
521:14 522:13	475:16 479:11	488:13 489:1,3	terminals 490:4,15
suggest 485:23	491:6 511:3 517:15	493:17 513:24	493:22 507:22
487:7	530:12	514:20,25 515:23	terms 444:3,22
suggested 483:16	t	518:17 519:8	448:21 464:19
suggesting 498:16	tab 500:12 522:2	talked 447:8 462:25	514:5
suggestion 465:1	table 449:4 477:14	466:8 474:13 483:8	<b>test</b> 451:5 452:13
483:1,6 502:23		498:13,13,24 522:5	465:24 466:2,15
513:2	500:16 515:1,22	529:6,23 530:19	479:8 480:21,23
suggestions 486:23	521:6 522:17	talking 445:15	506:11,23 507:5
512:12	525:11,13,20,20,23	446:10,25 447:6	testing 474:25
<b>sum</b> 528:9	526:14 535:11	460:7 461:1 471:12	495:12
summary 529:19,25	tabs 498:5 500:10	487:18 489:11	texas 527:13,17
530:5,6,9,16	500:11 522:11	494:4 504:12 505:4	tgra 504:6
<b>sundry</b> 510:3	tac 485:24 490:9	506:20 514:19	tgwg 466:16 480:22
supervision 503:4	516:3 525:11,12	521:23	484:2 490:6 492:24
<b>support</b> 444:25	526:10,17 531:16	talks 481:3 495:9,10	495:24 502:24
466:16,25 479:9	533:9,15,20	495:18 515:22	508:23 509:2 517:1
483:10 532:18		519:24 521:10	517:21 518:7,12

	1	1	1
520:4 521:12,16	think 444:25 445:24	534:11	told 444:18 450:12
529:12	446:2,11,18 447:16	thinks 522:23	tom 443:16 448:21
<b>thank</b> 473:20	448:4,10,14 451:2	third 527:11	449:1 460:23
523:11 524:23	451:16,17,19	<b>thomas</b> 531:3	461:22 478:17
528:16 532:24	452:10,17,21 453:2	thoroughly 452:25	493:14 499:12,20
534:24 535:2,13,15	454:2,8,15,16	<b>thought</b> 443:15	510:12 526:17,19
535:18,21 536:14	455:23 456:20	444:11,17 445:25	tom's 462:17 465:1
536:22 537:3,7,9,10	457:5,13,15 458:19	456:19 460:20	510:15
thanks 488:7 531:13	459:5,5,20 460:4	474:9 477:22	tool 517:24
533:6,12 537:4	461:19 463:16,24	485:14,23 486:7	top 529:17
theoretical 518:20	464:8 465:9,23	499:21 505:7 512:8	<b>topic</b> 470:11
519:3	466:10,12 467:19	512:17 515:19	topics 448:21
theoretically 468:10	467:21 470:16,17	535:8	453:18 454:4,8,11
496:8	470:21 471:24,25	thoughts 485:21	454:13 461:19
<b>theory</b> 462:9	472:5,7,13 473:21	three 452:23,24	529:1 530:20
thick 499:22	474:8,10 475:11	458:8,9 466:21	totality 496:4,25
thing 446:16 461:1	476:8,14 477:10,18	467:3 493:20,21,24	521:12
477:1,9 482:7 491:3	477:25 478:7,14	494:2,9 527:17	totally 455:11
497:17 503:12	479:18 480:12	tie 448:13 485:3	touch 454:3 455:19
506:7 526:20	481:1 482:4,8,11,12	496:17 498:21	510:16 515:18
529:10,16 532:21	482:13,22,25	<b>time</b> 447:6 448:2	touched 465:19
532:22	484:10,20 486:21	449:17 451:9	touches 446:20
things 444:4,17	487:12 488:24,25	453:12 455:4	501:11
445:6,22 446:17	489:3,10 491:22	456:13 459:7	track 454:25 532:11
447:12 448:20	492:2,9 493:3 494:3	461:24 468:14	tracking 443:12,20
453:21 456:9 458:6	496:1,10 498:25	469:16 470:22	444:14 454:13
458:17 461:2,4,15	499:6,9 501:13	472:4 473:21 479:1	458:14,23 459:22
462:2,19,25 463:18	502:18 503:21	480:10 487:10,11	460:6,15 467:13
463:23 464:1,3	504:7 508:12 509:8	488:14 494:14	475:16 477:7
465:18 467:15	509:8,12,21,22,24	506:3 512:4,5,14,14	479:11 484:24
468:14,18 470:16	509:25 510:6	515:4 516:23 525:2	525:19 526:8 527:3
473:1 475:19	511:11,13 514:21	525:6 527:1 529:3	527:10,13,22 528:5
478:15 482:17	514:24 515:18,21	531:1 535:21	534:19
484:25 486:5	516:14,17 517:2	536:12,25	trade 525:10
488:10,12 490:18	519:14,21 520:5,7	times 498:25 500:5	<b>train</b> 446:6 468:19
493:3,11,20 496:10	522:7,16 524:17	526:4	468:20
498:10 499:2,4	525:15,16 526:20	timewise 448:20	training 446:2
501:3,11 502:8	527:21 530:24	titled 521:9	461:10,11 462:18
503:24 504:15	531:8,12,15,21,23	today 448:5,17	468:12,18,23
508:13 510:20,21	532:1,17 533:13,17	459:18 470:24	469:15 470:24,25
510:23 512:16	536:17 537:6	473:23 482:13	471:1 472:9,11,17
514:11 516:20	thinking 443:8	486:18 494:21	472:18,21,22,25
525:21 526:16	448:8 451:5 457:23	518:16 519:15	473:7,18,25 474:5,6
530:1 534:7,12,21	458:12 459:4,13	531:25	474:7,19,22,23
536:17	487:5 495:16		475:2 477:8 478:9

# [training - want]

501:8 504:20	trying 448:13 455:4	unfortunately 478:9	<b>volume</b> 442:6
trainings 472:18	459:4,5 461:18	uniformity 484:15	volunteer 472:20
transaction 496:7	493:24 494:13	unusual 525:22	volunteered 488:21
501:10	501:2 503:20	<b>update</b> 475:12	529:8
transcribed 442:25	505:18,18,19,21	<b>updates</b> 453:15	volunteering 487:4
transcripts 524:13	506:4 507:15	<b>ups</b> 509:2	488:7
transmission 449:3	510:14 515:7 516:6	<b>use</b> 446:10 454:13	<b>vote</b> 451:5 465:24
transmitted 456:18	522:8	476:20 477:12	480:25 481:24
travels 537:7	<b>turn</b> 450:24 488:22	491:9 494:11	482:6
<b>treat</b> 482:9,15 483:2	523:19	506:12	<b>voted</b> 476:15 487:13
483:17	<b>turns</b> 496:2	<b>useful</b> 477:11	<b>votes</b> 452:24
treating 483:14	<b>two</b> 453:7 458:8	478:14 505:15	<b>voucher</b> 516:23
trial 507:24 525:13	466:3 473:1 490:10	513:9 514:8,11	530:12
tribal 442:1,3	499:23 502:22	usefulness 519:8,12	W
443:18 444:2	504:15 505:3,24	<b>uses</b> 505:6	wagon 462:6
445:10,20 460:12	507:18,22 508:17	usually 491:14	wagon 402.0 wait 449:22 450:4
471:17,18,20	521:8 525:11	<b>utility</b> 446:11	452:18 453:24
474:22 512:6 516:5	527:17 529:23	utilizing 474:19	waiting 455:11
516:9 527:21	530:7 531:21	v	walk 525:23
528:12 534:23	536:10	<b>vacuum</b> 460:25	want 443:14 445:1
tribe 443:24 444:7	<b>type</b> 494:2 499:4	461:3 494:25	446:25 450:20
459:20 460:2,3,19	500:14	valid 477:8	451:15 452:13
463:2,6,13,21 464:1	<b>typed</b> 483:5	valuable 454:7	453:5 455:19,21
468:21 473:5	<b>types</b> 444:4 535:12	528:6	456:14 458:11
484:11 510:19	typically 474:9	value 482:13 527:24	462:18 464:7
511:3,19,24 512:1	u	variance 519:25	467:10 469:3,14
531:10,10 536:15	<b>uh</b> 447:10 465:25	variances 503:3	470:20 471:8
tribe's 461:15	492:22	various 448:21	472:25 473:24
tribes 454:7,25	ultimately 501:11	496:9,16 498:10	475:15,17 476:9,23
461:11 462:4 463:2	underlying 469:2,7	510:3	477:1,2,12 480:9,14
463:3,9,24 468:20	499:1	varying 499:10	480:21,23 481:16
473:14 490:11	understand 451:7	<b>vehicle</b> 467:20	481:19 482:2
501:16 511:16	459:14 467:15	vehicles 470:13	483:25 484:12,22
525:10 526:8 531:7	470:20 485:9	version 529:7	486:14,15 487:6,8
tried 507:10,12,13	489:15 496:17	versus 470:3,24	488:12 489:1
trip 537:9	498:3 504:11	491:14 492:6 493:7	501:15 506:24
trivia 535:5	505:25 526:2,3	510:10 519:3	508:9 509:11 510:2
trouble 507:19	understanding	vice 535:20	510:16 511:24
508:6,18	464:21 509:19	view 444:6 458:1	512:14 513:18
<b>true</b> 506:11	514:21	472:6	514:14 516:2 517:3
<b>trust</b> 465:10	understands 445:2	viewed 444:1 470:8	523:14 524:2,9,12
<b>try</b> 444:21 456:12	understood 484:6	visits 473:4	524:21 529:16
468:22 485:10	485:11,17	visits 473:18	530:23 532:24
500:6 525:23,24	102.11,17	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	534:4,8,24 535:1,3
			007.7,0,27 000.1,0

526.14 22 527.1 6	week 449.15 520.22	witnessed 472.0	510.14 521.24
536:14,22 537:1,6 wanted 451:3	week 448:15 529:23	witnessed 473:9	510:14 521:24
	530:3,4,7	wonderful 470:17	<b>year</b> 527:16 531:24
485:18 491:15	welcome 443:6	473:8 535:3 536:16	536:10
500:24 534:1	went 459:11 478:10	536:18	years 462:13 470:23
wants 514:25	488:9,25 502:25	wondering 504:16	512:10 527:17
515:23 526:10	503:1 511:5 518:8	word 452:11	534:17,19 536:10
535:20	535:10	words 472:8 479:22	536:10
washington 442:5	west 481:7 484:10	work 443:7,9 447:3	<b>yesterday</b> 443:11,15
443:1	484:20 489:16	447:18,18 457:7,8	444:18 445:23
<b>waste</b> 479:1	491:21 492:8,19,23	457:12,13 461:18	447:7 448:23 449:2
way 442:9 449:18	493:3 508:9 509:24	471:9,18 472:24	450:25 452:8 454:9
451:13 456:3	513:7 516:20 517:7	486:14 487:23	459:3 465:4,23
458:11,12 470:19	517:19,23 518:2,11	488:21 506:13,22	466:1 470:14
474:1 480:22 482:3	519:18 520:2,16	507:10 508:2 512:7	475:24 476:13,16
482:9 484:1 485:15	521:1,5,15,19,24	516:4,5,10 525:10	477:4,21 480:19
491:14 497:23	522:7,14,16 523:10	531:1 533:10,17	482:12 483:9
499:18 500:13	wheatley 489:9	535:23 536:19	488:11 506:11
501:18 506:15,20	517:3 535:7	<b>worked</b> 502:24	522:5 531:25
507:5,10 510:15	<b>white</b> 486:10	506:3 516:12	Z
512:15,16 513:16	wholeheartedly	523:18 530:25	<b>zero</b> 467:1
519:20 523:5,19	462:17	535:5	<b>zip</b> 449:7,8
524:1 526:6 530:25	<b>wide</b> 526:24	working 450:12,19	zip 449.7,0
531:1	<b>wild</b> 448:2	473:16 474:14,16	
ways 465:22	<b>willing</b> 447:19	475:10 480:12	
we've 453:18,20	464:25 466:15	489:4 491:23	
455:24 456:4,4	467:2 479:16	493:19 512:4	
460:1 472:10	487:23	522:22 536:24	
474:13 475:7 481:4	wilson 443:17	works 509:7	
482:11,12,14	448:22 450:9 455:2	world 445:8 446:4	
485:14 487:7,12	460:24 462:23	511:6	
488:10 498:11	464:15 476:12	world's 527:11	
513:14 514:9 520:9	477:3 478:12 479:6	<b>worried</b> 531:24	
523:16 530:8	480:24 482:11	worry 504:22	
531:22 532:9	484:23 495:22	worth 443:9 447:3	
533:15,20,21,22	498:7 500:25 506:9	448:2	
weak 512:13	510:16 518:9	wrap 455:22 528:8	
weaknesses 477:20	519:23 521:4,7	write 479:20 491:16	
477:23,24 512:12	522:4,20 523:21	509:21	
512:17 513:23	532:8	<b>written</b> 479:24	
<b>web</b> 450:2	win 526:1,5,8	499:22 505:23	
webinars 474:19	wind 527:10	wrote 488:18	
<b>website</b> 449:21	wish 460:5 463:15	y	
456:10 467:25	524:24 528:19		-
508:16 520:10,12	532:16 537:6	yeah 459:19 469:23	
520:24 524:16,21		479:14 488:2	
- 7			