	Page 1
1	NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION
2	REGIONAL TRIBAL CONSULTATION
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings held at
13	U.S. Grant Hotel, 326 Broadway, San Diego, California,
14	commencing at 9:12 a.m., on Tuesday, January 11, 2011,
15	before R. Denise Marlow, RPR, CLR, CSR No. 11631.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Job No. NJ303769
25	Pages 1 - 136

Г

Tuesday, January 11, 2011; 9:12 A.M. San Diego, California

CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: To begin, I'd like to
introduce Chairman Daniel Tucker from the Sycuan Band of
Kumeyaay Indians to open our meeting.

7 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Good morning, everyone.
8 Welcome to Sycuan. Good morning, Chairwoman Stevens,
9 commissioners and staff and fellow tribal leaders. We
10 are Sycuan Band of Tribes. Sycuan Band of Kumeyaay
11 Nation welcomes you to San Diego, and more importantly,
12 welcome to the U.S. Grant, aboriginal home to all the
13 Kumeyaay people.

The NIGC and their staff have a very important role to play in tribal government gaming and the protection of tribal assets and preservation of tribal sovereignty. While the relationship between the tribes and NIGC may not have always been perfect in the past, I am personally encouraged by the leadership of Chairwoman Stevens, truly a breath of fresh air.

I want to thank you for reaching out to the tribes and undertaking a comprehensive review of all regulations that the NIGC has adopted pursuant to IGRA. And I look forward -- we all look forward to taking the tribal-NIGC partnership to a new level in the month and

1

2

3

1 year to come.

2	So we ask our creator for some blessings.
3	Grand Father, we come to this day and thank you
4	for this day of life. We ask that You protect us and
5	guide us and make decisions that are very important to
6	us all. What know we do here is very important, to
7	protect what we have, protect our sovereignty, protect
8	each and every one of us throughout this day. We ask
9	for that guidance, and we ask that You do help us to
10	understand what's at stake here. We ask that the
11	leadership here in this room today would do the right
12	thing and with the NIGC, their leadership, that we all
13	work together. So we ask for forgiveness for the many
14	times that we fall short, and we ask that you protect us
15	and guide us in the manner that is pleasing to You.
16	And all this we pray in the name of Your son,
17	Jesus Christ.
18	(Amen)
19	Welcome to the U.S. Grant.
20	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you.
21	Thank you again, Chairman Tucker.
22	My name is Tracie Stevens, and I'm a member of
23	the Tulalip Tribes from Washington State. And I'm the
24	chairwoman of the National Indian Gaming Commission, as
25	you all know.

I just want to say welcome. I want to say, with deep appreciation, thanks to Sycuan for hosting this event. We endeavor to use tribal facilities when and where it's possible, and they have been gracious hosts and have been great to work with. So it's a beautiful facility you have here, and we thank you very much on behalf of the commission.

I'd also like to take this opportunity to 8 recognize the staff that we have here with us also. 9 10 Commissioner Dan Little, he'll be providing a few 11 comments here after I'm finished. We also have our 12 regional director Eric -- I think they're all out here 13 now, but our regional director Eric. We also have some 14 field investigators, Frank, and Manny, many of which you 15 know, and their assistants Angela and Kim. So without 16 their support we would not have been able to put this 17 together. So they're doing an outstanding job, and from where I come from, it's really important to thank the 18 19 people that do the work that help us to do our jobs. So 20 thanks to our staff that are here.

I'd also like to recognize former commissioners.
I bet you-all didn't think I was going to do this.
Former Commissioner Norm De Rosiers, right down here;
Liz Homer, don't know where she got off to -- Liz Homer,
there she is; and Terry Poust. I know she's here as

well. So we appreciate your attendence on behalf of
 those you represent.

3 You know, many of you have probably heard me speak in many different conferences as we've developed 4 5 our strategies that have led us up to today. And I have shared before, and we've established together as a 6 7 commission, four major initiatives that we've identified and will be working on during our time in office, one, 8 9 consultation and relationship building. And today's 10 meeting represents a new day for the NIGC and how we 11 conduct consultations with tribes on matters that affect 12 tribes as a whole across the country. What we do has a 13 different effect on all tribes across the country. And it's beneficial for us to sit together in this open 14 forum to hear how it affects tribes in different regions 15 16 and try to do the best we can for the majority as we 17 move forward.

Our second initiative is technical assistance and training. And we're examining how we can improve our services to tribes with regard to that, and there will be more to come in the upcoming months on how we evaluate and analyze our current program.

Third, and that's the purpose of today's meeting, is a regulatory review, a comprehensive -- as Chairman Tucker mentioned, a comprehensive review of 1 existing regulations or the need for additional or 2 further regulations. And I'll talk more about that in a 3 moment.

And the final initiative is agency operations review. And that's sort of our look inward at how we operate as an agency to ensure that we are effectively and efficiently meeting our obligations under the law and making sure that we're providing as much as we can to tribes.

Much of what we do and what we're doing are things that we ask that tribes do: Looking at budgets, looking at operations, ensuring streamlined efforts and, for us, eliminating redundancies or duplication or finding and identifying gaps.

But back to the initiative that we're here for 15 16 today, which is regulatory review, as I said, this 17 meeting is the inaugural meeting on this process. And Lael will talk more specifically about this notice of 18 19 inquiry that we published in November. But we use the 20 notice of inquiry as a method to communication with 21 tribes before we take up rule-making. This is a new 2.2 step that we've identified as a possible way to meet the 23 requirements of the executive order on consultation and 24 coordination with tribes, Executive Order 13175, to talk about the need for regulations before we actually 25

1 initiate a rule-making process. That's what this 2 discussion and the notice of inquiry is intended to do in the next several consultations that we will have 3 4 after this meeting. We have seven more that we will do 5 over the next three or four weeks in different regions in the country so we can hear from tribes in different 6 7 regions and hear from them what their priorities are with regard to regulatory review. 8

9 As I said, this is a different format, this 10 group format. And as I said, it provides us an 11 opportunity to hear how changes affect all tribes and 12 come up with solutions together.

What I would say about today's session is -- and again, Lael will talk about this -- is that we're talking about which regulations in what order prioritywise and how do we go about this, not necessarily a line-by-line drafting session, because that will come later as we develop a process to address regulations.

20 We do have a person, a transcriber down here on 21 the end. She will ask that anyone who has comments give 22 their name and who they're with. But this will all be 23 considered as we move forward and we're done with our 24 initial meetings with tribes about how to go about this 25 review.

1 So what I would say is that this is just the beginning of what we're going to do over the next 2 several years. And we do have very limited time. 3 As you know, our appointments are only three years. 4 I'm 5 six months into mine. Stephanie Cochran, vice chair, is a year into hers. And Dan is eight months into his. 6 7 And many of you know regulatory review can be a long process, or just the process of rule-making itself. So 8 9 we do want to move forward as quickly as we can but as 10 thoroughly as we can.

I will have Lael talk about the NOI 11 12 specifically, or in review of the NOI. But what I want 13 to say right up front is, you know, we're going to have 14 a PowerPoint that's just going to review the NOI. And 15 you're not going to sit up here and listen to me drone 16 on and on and justify what I'm trying to do here. Today 17 is meant for you. Today is meant for the tribes to give 18 us some solutions and to give us some ideas on how to 19 proceed, tell us what your priorities are for protecting the industry together. 20

You know, we'll talk more about how this process will go as Lael discusses the notice of inquiry and that the outcome of this will be a plan. In a few months we'll have a plan that we'll be very transparent about and say, This is what we've heard from you, and this is

how we're going to proceed, these regulations, in this order, through this process. And all of that will be very transparent, so that's what we want to hear from from you today. We look forward to working with everyone as we move forward. We believe that the only way that we can protect this industry is together and in open dialogue.

8 Now, we may not always agree on everything, but 9 if we do disagree, we'll tell you why, and we're 10 certainly going to listen to solutions and alternatives. 11 And that's really all I have to say. I don't -- you-all 12 don't need to sit and listen to me talk about what we've 13 already published. Today is for you.

But before we move on and have Lael talk about the notice of inquiry, I'm going to have Dan Little, associate commissioner, provide a few words.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Good morning,
everyone. I'll be very brief. Welcome you all. Thank
you for coming today.

This is my second visit to Southern California. We were in Rincon last summer, and I really, you know, like coming out here. If there's anything that I can kind of reiterate is that this whole process was created from the commission, things that we've heard from tribal leaders, from operators, from our staff. And these are just questions. They're not positions that the
 commission has taken.

I know this is something that, you know, we are not accustomed to on how this commission operates. So as we enter this process, please just keep that in mind. What you see written is not the position that the commission has taken. These are just questions to stimulate some discussion.

9 So just want to thank you all for coming, and I 10 really look forward to hearing what everybody has to 11 say. Thank you.

12 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Okay. I will then 13 introduce Lael Echo-Hawk. She's a member of the Pawnee 14 Nation out of Oklahoma, and she will be sort of our 15 traffic control today. She's also the point of contact, 16 as you'll see in the notice of inquiry, and also through 17 the PowerPoint.

You know, I know we get a lot of criticism about PowerPoints, but they are actually useful, you know, as a summary and as a reminder. And we endeavor to change how we do PowerPoints. And she'll be handling PowerPoint today to discuss, and as I said, she'll be doing traffic control to solicit comments and keep the conversation going.

25

So, Lael?

1 MS. ECHO-HAWK: Good morning. I just wanted to 2 also introduce myself, Lael Echo-hawk. I'm a member the Kitkehaki Band of the Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma. 3 I'm an 4 attorney, formerly worked at the Tulalip Tribes for 6 5 1/2 years, where I was also tasked with some major revisions of the tribal code. So this is a familiar 6 7 process to me except that I'm doing it for the federal government, which is very unfamiliar for me. So as we 8 9 move forward, this is going to be a learning experience 10 for everybody. But I'm excited, and I'm very grateful 11 for the opportunity that I have been given to help sort 12 of spearhead this process. Having sat on the other side 13 of the table for the past 6 1/2 years, I know that it is 14 a process, and I know that it's one that I personally 15 had some thoughts about when I was working for a tribe. 16 And I'm hopeful that the process that we come up with 17 today and into the future is going to be one that we 18 truly can have tribal input and we truly can hear what 19 the tribes have to say and go forward based on our 20 relationships and working together collaboratively. 21 So this is my contact information. You guys 2.2 will see me at all of these and in D.C. anytime you're 23 there. Please don't hesitate to reach out to us. You

25 regulation review, all the e-mails go here to this

can reach me at that e-mail, but when it comes to

24

1 e-mail Web address.

2	So why regulatory review? Aside from being one
3	of the initiatives of the commission, we knew coming in
4	that there were a number of regulations, and we heard
5	as the commission was out this summer doing their
6	consultation, we heard that regulatory review was sorely
7	needed and that we needed to take a new look at what was
8	happening in the regs. IGRA provides that the NIGC, the
9	commission, enact and revise, amend the regulations and
10	implement IGRA. And so this is why we're doing it now.
11	We also have a process in the federal government
12	kind of governed by the this executive order here,
13	12866, and the Regulatory Flexibility Act that requires
14	all agencies to submit a semiannual regulatory review.
15	So that happens in April, and then in September we send
16	it in. So April is the date that we're shooting for.
17	It's what we're required to do by the executive order of
18	the Regulatory Flexibility Act. So that's why we sent
19	the notice out in November, and our deadline for us and
20	for you to know what our plan is will be in April.
21	But before we did this, before we went about
22	setting this agenda, we were very, very concerned and
23	we're very you know, as Chairwoman Stevens has said
24	over and over again, that consultation for this
25	commission is very, very important and that we want to

hear what tribes have to say, and we want to hear what you have to say about something as important as regulatory revision. We want to hear what your thoughts are on how to go forward with that before we actually begin doing revisions of our own.

In the past this agency, like many other 6 7 agencies that deal with tribes, and not just tribes, but lots of agencies simply send out a notice of a proposed 8 rule-making. And before we did that, we wanted to reach 9 10 out to tribes. We wanted to really make the spirit and 11 the mandate President Obama has given to federal 12 agencies when dealing with tribes to consult, to engage 13 in regular, meaningful consultation and collaboration. So this is our attempt at that and to -- hopefully it's 14 something that's new and that it is really meaningful. 15

16 The executive order, the section in the 17 executive order that was really sort of impactful to us 18 in the agency was this No. (C)(3) here that, in 19 determining whether to establish federal standards, that we consult with tribal officials as to the need for 20 21 those standards and any alternatives to them, so that's 2.2 what we're doing today. This is what we're doing. 23 We're checking with you before we begin creating some 24 new federal standards. We want to hear if you have alternatives in mind, but that's really what this notice 25

1 of inquiry process is about.

So what is a notice of inquiry? And I know I'm moving quickly, but if I'm talking too fast, raise your hand, and I'll slow down. But I don't want to be up here blabbing at you. We really want to hear from tribes. So I'm going to move through this fairly quickly.

A notice of inquiry is a tool that is used in 8 9 many other agencies. The FCC, the copyright office, Library of Congress, they send out those notices of 10 11 inquiry to their constituents and to the industry and 12 say, Hey, we're thinking about or we've heard that there 13 are some concerns in a particular regulation or policy. Here's what we hear. What do you think about that? And 14 it's trying to solicit input before a rule is drafted, 15 16 before a policy is changed. And so that's what we're 17 That's what this is all about. doing.

And I know the notice of inquiry process is new. 18 19 I've never seen it used before in any agency that deals with tribes. But I think it's a really good way of 20 21 reaching out before we begin to change policy based on, you know, maybe our limited scope being in the federal 2.2 23 agency. Tribes have a much -- you have a different 24 picture than we do, and we want to hear what your 25 perceptions are before we begin creating policy.

1 So our notice of inquiry asks three questions: What, when, and how? So the what is what regulations. 2 What regulations need addressed? You know, we've heard, 3 and you'll see as we move further into this, we've heard 4 5 a number of different regulations. We may have missed something. We may have included something that we heard 6 7 that doesn't really need to be addressed. But that's what we're asking. What regulations do we need to be 8 9 looking at, and then what? So what is the order of 10 priority where -- what regulations are sort of pressing 11 and need to be addressed immediately? What are some of 12 these sort of lower-level priority regulations that need 13 to be addressed but still need to be looked at, and then 14 how?

15 One of the criticisms that we've heard and 16 critiqued is that the tribal advisory committee process 17 has not been very successful in the past couple years. 18 And so is that a process that we use? Do we use 19 negotiated ** rule-making? Do we jut simply put forward a notice of proposed rule-making and go forward with 20 written comments? We don't have an answer for that. 21 2.2 We're looking for tribes to give us some guidance on how we go about making regulation revisions. So those are 23 24 the three primary questions that we ask in a notice of inquiry: What regulations, what priority, and then how 25

1 do we go about doing it?

2 So we've had eight consultations. I'm not going to read through all these, but suffice to say for the 3 next four weeks we'll be very, very busy traveling 4 5 around. And the point of this was that we wanted to come out into Indian ** Country. We wanted to come and 6 7 meet you where you're at, put the burden of the travel 8 on us, and really go into each region and hear what your 9 thoughts are. So if you can participate at this one and 10 you can come to D.C. as well, we'd love to see you, but 11 that was the idea behind this little dog and pony show. 12 We're on for the next four weeks.

13 So the NOI was published November 18th. Comment period closes on February 12th. You can submit your 14 15 comments to that req.review@niqc.gov e-mail. You can 16 give us a packet like I received from San Manuel today. 17 If you want to see a good example of some comments that 18 were given recently, if you go to the Web site and you 19 click the button that says "tribal consultation," it will say "2010, 2011 reg review." If you click on that, 20 21 that Web site is going to have everything associated 2.2 with this process on there. Every comment we receive 23 will be on there. All these transcripts of these 24 meetings will be posted online. Any executive summaries 25 we do will be posted online. We want to be as open and

1 as accountable and as transparent to you as we can. So you can dig through that Web site. I imagine it's going 2 to get significantly larger, but San Manuel, the gaming 3 commission has provided some comments, and they did --4 5 the format that they used was very helpful for us because it does say, you know, these regulations, this 6 7 is a priority level. And then they did talk about what the process that they thought. So if you want to see 8 9 sort of an example, if you're kind of curious on how 10 this might look when you comment, that's posted on the 11 Web site.

12 So say it one time, say it twice, say it a 13 hundred times. These are suggestions only. What is 14 included in the notice of inquiry, which you should have 15 all had a copy of on these desks, the commission has 16 taken no position, suggestions only, on which 17 regulations should be revised. It's very important, something we should talk about when we have our 18 19 leadership meetings at the commission. But these are things that we have heard. But there's absolutely 20 21 nothing set in stone. We want to hear from you and set 2.2 an agenda based on tribal concerns, industry concerns, regulatory concerns from our side and try to be as 23 24 democratic as possible while getting the most done. We really have a short period of time, and this process can 25

1 take a while. So we want to make sure that we get as 2 much done and as much meaningful work and important work 3 done as possible.

So quickly, you quys have the PowerPoint to also 4 5 follow along in the notice of inquiry. Section 4 sort of went through the concerns that we've heard when we 6 7 were on the previous consultation over the summer. 8 Section 502, there were a couple definitions that we 9 thought might need looking at: Fees, calendar year 10 versus fiscal year, GAAP definitions, late payment 11 system for late fee submittals in lieu of enforcement 12 Again, these are just suggestions, and so kind action. 13 of beef up that particular regulation.

You know, one of the other things that we heard about the net revenue section was that we might need to address the bulletin that we submit, gaming revenue bulletin. So we'd like to hear comments from the tribes as to whether or not that's something they would like to see us work on during this process.

Self regulation, we've heard a lot about the self regulation reg. Just about every time I talk to someone about regulation, somebody says something about this reg. And so we're interested in hearing what you have to say about the use of it, how it can -- or if it needs to be made better.

This Part 523, it seems like we've heard that 1 2 it's not -- no longer needed. 3 531, we've got management -- some significant comments were made about management contracts and 4 5 collateral agreements having to do with sole proprietary interest concerns that have been circulating around 6 7 Indian Country for some time now. So these issues are included in that section as well. 8 9 Background information for ** Class 2 and ** 10 Class 3. 11 Another issue that's come up is proceedings 12 before the commission. I'm an attorney. I like to know 13 what the process is. And I know that in that particular 14 ** req it's not laid out with much specificity. So if 15 that's something that concerns you and your tribal 16 government, then please comment on that as well. 17 MICS and technical standards, Class 2, Class 3, I understand there's a meeting following this meeting 18 that will address that. But, of course, this has been 19 the raging issue on Indian Country and one of the things 20 21 that I was doing for about four years before I came to 2.2 D.C. What do we do with Class 2 MICS? What do we do with the current draft of the Class 2 MICS? 23 24 Technical standards and regulations, we have already heard they need updated. So we need to hear 25

1 from you on that. That's a major issue.

The pilot program for background investigations, do we need to formalize that into a regulation? Fingerprinting of nonprimary management officials, key employees just would be an option for tribes. Someone sent that in and wanted to include it.

We've heard quite a bit about the facility
license regulation. So if you have concerns about that,
that's also something that we have been hearing.

10 Inspection and access to records at offsite 11 locations, we've heard concerns that tribes, even tribal 12 governments, seeking to get, say, some information from 13 their management company and NIGC's ability to subpoena 14 information from those third-party locations.

Enforcement, whether or not the chair should have the authority or the discretion to withdraw an NOV once it's been issued.

18 So those are the issues that we have heard that 19 just had to to with the current regulations that we have 20 today.

Potential new regulations, we've heard a number of suggestions on those too. Do we formalize a process for how we revise regulations, how we set up a tribal advisory committee? Or, you know, how do we select the members? So this is something that we're very, very

interested in hearing. We want to include tribes as
 much as possible. How do we do it? Does it need a
 regulation? We do want to hear from tribes on that.

4 Sole proprietary interest, this is kind of a 5 common thing these days, at least in our offices. We've had numerous tribes coming to us with this issue, and so 6 7 the question came up: Do we have a regulation then to identify when in the NIGC, when under IGRA is the sole 8 9 proprietary interest provision violated, and then to 10 provide an option for tribes at their discretion if a 11 tribe wants to submit their information to us, and then 12 we would make a determination.

Important here to note that this wouldn't be something the NIGC would just go out and do, but it would be something that tribes would bring to the NIGC. We do want to hear about this. It is something that we talk about regularly in the offices.

18 Communication policy, this has been a topic of 19 concern for us. How do we communicate with you? Do we 20 have these kind of sessions? Do we have individual 21 meetings? What is our process?

You know, sometimes this is a very formal setting. This is a government-to-government consultation. But there are times when, you know, we have a field investigator talking to, you know, another

1 inspector at the TGRA. How do we do that? How do we make sure you get all the information that you need and 2 that we have all the information that we need, formally 3 4 and informally? And it is a process. I've heard from 5 commissioners, and I've heard from tribal leaders, you know, sort of, well, the government office got your 6 7 letter, but we didn't get your letter, so we didn't know. How do we do this so that we're communicating 8 9 well and communicating often and that everyone has the 10 information that they need as we move forward?

11 Buy Indian Act, heard a lot about this. T know 12 there's the initiative, buy Indian initiatives in the 13 tribal gaming industry, but this would require the NIGC give preference to qualified Indian-owned businesses 14 when purchasing goods or services. Kind of like what 15 16 we're trying to do here, wanted to make sure that as we 17 go forward, we're at tribally-owned facilities, but that 18 goes down into the agency as we're procuring things.

Once again, these are suggestions only. The commission has taken no position, and we won't take a position until we hear from you. And it's that this is -- we do want to hear suggestions, and we want to make sure that we're being as neutral as possible until we gather all that information and we can make an informed decision on where we need to go from here.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 So we talked a little bit about this, what we're doing to revise the regulations, by what method. One of 2 the things we talked about is, you know, we know about 3 the MICS and Class 2, Class 3 technical standards and 4 5 those issues. We know that it's something that is out there, and it needs to be addressed somehow. 6 But we 7 don't want to get bogged down in that process and neglect the other things that need to happen. And so do 8 9 we have parallel tracks?

10 I'm figuring that I'm going to end up on the 11 road quite a bit over the next 2 1/2 years. But our 12 commitment to you, my commitment to you, is that we'll 13 work as hard as we can to get as much done over the next 14 2 1/2 years, and whatever that takes. If I give up my 15 apartment and end up sleeping in hotels for the next 2 16 1/2 years, we'll do it because there's a lot of work 17 that needs to be done.

18 So once again, back to the executive order, 19 there's another position in there that we really like and I think will be helpful to tribes as we move 20 Section 3(c)(2) says, "When undertaking to 21 forward. 2.2 formulate and implement policies that have tribal 23 implications, agencies shall, where possible, defer to Indian tribes to establish standards." So this is your 24 opportunity to provide us alternatives, to establish 25

1 those standards. And we have an obligation under this 2 executive order and President Obama's mandate to look at 3 those standards and to, when possible, defer to tribes. 4 So please don't forget this provision. It's important 5 to us, and it's important to you.

Comment period closes February 12th. It's an 6 7 86-day comment period. All written comments and the 8 consultations transcripts are going to be posted on the 9 Web site. Once again, there's a new button on the Web 10 site. It says "Tribal Consultations." If you click on 11 that, it says "Regulation Review 2011." And all the 12 information that pertains to this process will be posted 13 on there. Anything we receive will be posted on there: 14 The federal register notices, the press releases, 15 everything. All of our agency material will be online. 16 I anticipate we'll put this PowerPoint on there as well 17 so people can see what we're doing as we go along -- as 18 we're going through these consultations

19 Comments can be sent by e-mail to req.review@niqc.qov. You can also hand them to me. 20 You 21 can mail them to us. You can FedEx them to us. You can Pony Express them, whatever you need to do to get them 2.2 23 to us. And then they will all be -- anything that we 24 receive, once again, will be posted on the Web site. 25 This is a commitment by the commission. Every

1 comment received will be reviewed and considered. We're going to look at everything that you send us. 2 The regulatory review agenda will be accompanied with an 3 explanation for how we set that agenda, why decisions 4 5 were made that were made to go forward with one regulation and maybe not another. We anticipate -- I 6 7 mean, we're trying to do the right thing. But if there are disagreements in what the tribes -- what their 8 9 suggestion was, and we didn't go with that, we'll explain why. You'll know what our thought process was 10 11 and that this decision-making process is going to be as 12 transparent as possible. Contact me. Contact the 13 commission. We look forward to speaking with you about this as we move forward. 14

So the agenda will be finalized in April of 2011, and then the substantive work begins. Then we begin line by line amending regulations and going through that process. That's really going to be the bulk of the work. But once we get this agenda set, we can go forward and really begin making those changes.

So logistics, there's one seat here, and there's a seat back there, I guess, looks like, on the table. If there's tribal leaders in the room and you would like to sit at the table, please come take these seats. We are going to take a break in a minute, and, you know, we

1 can kind of reset. There's a microphone back in the 2 left-hand corner here. If you're at the table, we need 3 you to press the microphone button on so the red light 4 is on. Only three * mics can be on at the same time, 5 though. So just be aware of that as you're making your 6 comments.

7 Please speak into the ** mic. We need you to state your name and what tribe you belong to or what 8 9 organization you represent if you're an attorney. But 10 because we're recording and transcribing all of this, we 11 do need that information. And at some point I may have 12 to * waive you down and be like, Could you please 13 restate your name? Our lovely transcriber up here is 14 going to be very diligent about trying to listen and 15 remember, but she has a lot of work to do, and so we're 16 we really need that for the record.

So are there any questions yet?

18 Good. Okay. Well, with that, I'm done talking. 19 And we'd love to hear from you. We do want this to be a dialogue. Chairwoman Stevens and Commissioner Little 20 21 are not going to sit back there silently -- well, Dan 2.2 definitely won't sit back, but we do want this to be a 23 dialogue. And the conversation, keep in mind we are 24 recording it and it is being transcribed, and so we 25 might need to slow down at places. But as much as

17

1 possible, we want to have a conversation.

2 And so with that, thank you very much for your attention. If you need anything, if you need copies of 3 documents or anything, then we're here to help you, 4 5 myself, Frank, Eric. Please let us know. 6 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, Lael. 7 And I'll have Lael be traffic control because it's hard to see everybody. So, you know, for comments 8 9 we'll try to make sure and not be disrespectful, but 10 we're going to try to be able to see if somebody has a 11 comment, try to get to everybody. 12 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Good morning. My name is 13 Robert Smith. I'm chairman of the Pala Band of Luiseno 14 Indians. I'd like to thank you for doing this 15 consultation and following through with the executive 16 order. 17 I'd first like to say I think the MICS is good. That's why we have amended our ordinance in Pala. 18 It's 19 good for tribe to establish this good industry standard. It's also good for the patrons and the integrity of the 20 21 So again, I look forward, my staff looks forward qame. 2.2 to working with the commissioners and step by step to 23 make this thing work for everybody. 24 Thank you. 25 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes, sir.

1 CHAIRMAN LOMBARDI: Michael Lombardi, chairman 2 of the Augustine Desert Cahuilla Band Gaming Commission. We would like to endorse the comments of Chairman Robert 3 Smith regarding the MICS. The MICS has been a blessing 4 5 to California tribes in terms of structuring and developing our tribal gaming commissions. However, we 6 7 do have a tribal-state compact with the State of California, and we're hoping that today some of the 8 discussion will allow us to educate the commission more 9 10 fully on the unique situation in California where we 11 have literally adopted as a statewide regulation a 12 uniform minimum internal control standard regulation. 13 Took us two years and a lot of fur flying. But today your May 2003 version of the minimum internal control 14 standard is the guide and regulatory document in the 15 State of California. And there is confusion about the 16 17 role of the National Indian Gaming Commission in areas of enforcement issue. Its notices of violation is an 18 19 example. So we've got a double layer of regulation in 20 California.

We'd like to talk about clarification, particularly in view of the CRIT decision and the recent decision of the * Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals regarding Rincon. It creates problems and confusion for our tribal governments as to exactly who has the authority to issue a notice of violation in reference to
 a MICS.

With that said, the MICS has been, as Chairman Smith said, a blessing to the tribes. But it is a two-edge sword. And our view is that the relationship between our tribe and the state should prevail in the area of enforcement of minimum internal control standard issues and that the National Indian Gaming Commission's prole should be advisory.

10 If you publish another MICS, we would hope that it would be in the form of a bulletin. The tribes would 11 12 be at their discretion to adopt, because our primary 13 oversight regulatory relationship in our tribal-state compact is with the State of California in reference to 14 15 the operation of Class 3 gaming activities. So we're 16 hoping to have some discussion on that topic as well 17 today.

18

Thank you.

19 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Can I ask you a question? 20 Chairman Lombardi? You mentioned the May 2003 21 standards. That was several years ago, at a time when I 2.2 believe there was no separation between Class 2 and 23 Class 3 in terms of the minimum internal control 24 standards. They've now -- when you're talking about MICS, are you talking about Class 3 with regard to the 25

1 state?

2 Actually that's a --CHAIRMAN LOMBARDI: Yes. 3 Chairwoman, that's an interesting question. And I don't speak for any of the other tribes, but it is our 4 5 position that the State of California actually has no regulatory authority over Class 2 gaming in the state of 6 7 California. Rather, that is the proper function of the Tribal Gaming Commission. Nothing that I've read on my 8 9 opinion ever conferred authority to the State of California to regulate Class 2 gaming on reservations. 10 11 It was only Class 3 gaming. So that's the interesting part of this * conundrum, if you will, is our 12 13 relationship with you is primarily in the area of your regulating Class 2 gaming and our oversight role. 14 And the regulation of Class 3 gaming would be the bailiwick 15 16 of the state. At least that's how I understand it. And 17 we've got some brilliant legal minds here that can * 18 elucidate that further. But if there's some way that we 19 can avoid creating unnecessary confusion as to which regulatory agency has authority in California, that 20 21 would make the future ever so much easier for our tribal 2.2 leaders. I hope that answered your question. Yeah. 23 I just wanted to CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: 24 clarify when you say MICS, you know the difference

25 between II and III, and at one point in time as you were

1 referencing May 2003, before they were split apart into 2 two separate documents. As many of you know, the II standards went into effect or were published and will be 3 effective next October unless we hear otherwise. And 4 5 also the Class 3 went through a tribal advisory committee that has a pending draft that we put on hold 6 7 while we go through this process. So I just wanted to clarify between II and III and what you would like to 8 9 have done in terms of their separation, their being 10 together, because you're not the only -- California's 11 not the only state with reference to NIGC standards that 12 were in place years ago. And that sort of changes the 13 game.

14 CHAIRMAN LOMBARDI: In the case of Augustine, 15 which I think is common in California, we were most 16 relieved that the decision was made for the National 17 Indian Gaming Commission to not add definition or 18 classification regulations because the plain language of 19 the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act necessitated that you not do that. But the technical standards have been very 20 helpful. Our tribe has adopted those technical 21 standards, and today we operate both Class 3 and Class 2 2.2 23 games on our floor based on our gaming commission's 24 determination that those games, in fact, are Class 2. And so there is a division, and we don't think that 25

1 2 there's a necessity for any more Class 2 regulations, to be quite frank about it. It's working quite well.

And I just want to add that this was an issue in 3 4 California last year. The Sycuan Band conducted the 5 first ever public hearing of a tribal gaming commission to address this question and took copious amounts of 6 7 expert testimony. Based on that, our tribe used their Class 2 regulation that clearly elucidates the steps the 8 9 Tribal Gaming Commission must take when making a 10 determination as to whether a game is Class 2 and can be 11 licensed for operation on our floors as such.

12 The State of California doesn't like it. They 13 would like to have that authority. But the law doesn't give it to them. So it is -- not being a lawyer, it is 14 difficult to parcel this. But these are some of the 15 16 complex issues that I think that we're trying, and 17 there's 59 tribes engaged in gaming in the state of California. There's 110 tribes in the state. 18 Those 19 tribes that don't have gaming benefit from gaming 20 through the revenue sharing trust fund. So everybody 21 sitting at this table has an interest in the resolution 2.2 of these questions. And we are all coming at it from 23 slightly different perspectives. That's the California 24 way.

25

CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes?

1	MR. POWLESS: My name is Mark Powless. I'm the
2	gaming commission director for the Big Sandy Band of
3	Western Mono Indians here in California. And I would
4	like to say good morning to you and the fellow
5	commissioner sitting there, and I'd like to thank the
6	Syquan Band for hosting this meeting here, and I
7	appreciate that. I'd also like to extend greetings to
8	the fellow tribes that are here.
9	What I'd like to do, as much as we're talking
10	about the Class 3, our MICS technical standards and
11	minimal internal control standards, I'd like to make a
12	few comments with regards to that.
13	This particular area is a primary concern for
14	Big Sandy. As you are aware, being located in
15	California, the federal MICS has become an issue with
16	regard to the tribal-state compact association
17	regulation referred to in CGCC8 that we're dealing with
18	here in California. As you may also be aware, the state

18 here in California. As you may also be aware, the state 19 regulation provides a process whereby tribes can opt for 20 the NIGC to be the audit oversight entity in regard to 21 the MICS.

Not all tribes agree with the process or CGCC8.
We are of the opinion that Class 3 remains a
tribal-state compact issue. Of course, Big Sandy
recognizes that internal control standards are extremely

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

important to the Indian gaming industry due to its intent to provide obvious protection of tribal assets as well as providing for the integrity of the tribe's gaming operation. In addition, Big Sandy also recognizes that there needs to be consistency in the auditing process of internal control standards in Indian gaming.

Experience has shown that many tribes that offer 8 9 Class 3 gaming have used the NIGC bulletins as a clear 10 direction to follow certain guidelines and have used 11 said bulletins as primary guidelines for the purposes of 12 regulating Indian gaming. Big Sandy believes that the 13 tribes that offer gaming are just as technically 14 knowledgeable and professional as most nongaming 15 commercial gaming entities are and are very aware that 16 if they do not institute their own tribal internal 17 control standards, that they would be, in effect, "shooting themselves in the foot" and "killing the 18 19 qolden qoose."

Big Sandy looks to industry standards in the gaming area, and if it does not have the expertise or technical ability in various gaming areas, it retains someone or some entity to carry out what is needed to protect its gaming for its tribal membership. It is Big Sandy's belief that most of the other tribes perform the

same due diligence in relation to following and
 instituting the industry standards and guidelines,
 whether or not a regulation requires it.

Should Part 542 Class 3 MICS be eliminated and 4 5 replaced with guidelines, it is Big Sandy's opinion that the tribes will continue to institute industry standard 6 internal control areas of Class 3. Also to those tribes 7 who have incorporated 542 Class 3 MICS in their 8 9 ordinance or it is part of their compact, there may be 10 resulting challenges, but we believe that most tribes 11 have appropriate internal control standards in place and 12 that the internal control guidelines would be used to 13 supplement what is in previously adopted ordinances.

14 Based upon the above explanation, Big Sandy believes that Class 3 MICS should be developed by a 15 16 tribal advisory group process because of the ongoing 17 changes in the gaming industry and the differences in tribal gaming operations and the importance of 18 19 considering tribal experiences in any guidelines issued. 20 More importantly, Big Sandy would recommend that said 21 internal control standards be issued as guidelines and 2.2 that they would be provided to the tribes in a bulletin 23 format and be updated on a regular basis and issued as 24 quidelines. Technology is changing so quickly that governmental notice and rule-making process is not only 25

1 too slow to keep up with said changes but may prove to
2 be more costly in the long run. Therefore, Big Sandy
3 recommends that Class 3 MICS issues should be considered
4 one of the top four areas to have NIGC regulatory
5 review.

6

7

16

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, sir.

8 CHAIRMAN MAZZETTI: Good morning. My name is Bo 9 Mazzetti, chairman of the Rincon Band Luiseno Indians. 10 I'd like to also thank our fine friends from Sycuan for 11 their hosting this meeting, and you folks for coming 12 this distance. We appreciate it.

I would like to turn this over at this time to our attorney general, Mr. Scott Crowell, tribal attorney general, to provide our position on the issues.

Thank you.

MR. CROWELL: Thank you. And, you know, again,thanks for this opportunity.

I want to point out how much you have Rincon's attention here today. In addition to Chairman Mazzetti, Councilman Steve Stallings and Councilman Kenneth Kolb are in the audience. I don't know if Stephanie Spence --

CHAIRMAN MAZZETTI: Yes, she is. The fullcouncil is present.
1 CROWELL: The full council is present? MR. The 2 full commission is present. Chairman Delisle Calac, Don Calac, and Lorie * Bergman, our commission executive 3 director * Les Stanley is also here. And I believe our 4 5 general manager, * Janet Beronio is here. So we have your attention. 6 7 You know, thank you for the opportunity to address the NIGC on the important issues identified in 8 the published notice of inquiry. As Bo stated, I'm 9 10 Scott Crowell. I'm here today on behalf of the Rincon 11 Band, which I've had the privilege of representing and 12 the honor of representing for more than 15 years. 13 My comments today are preliminary. I say "preliminary" because, frankly, the notice of inquiry 14 15 covers such a large swath of issues, that we are not yet 16 prepared to answer many of the specific questions, 17 although our remarks today will address several of them. 18 I have to change glasses. I'm getting old. 19 Rincon will observe and listen to the consultation sessions such that we will then submit a 20 21 more detailed written response at a later date and 2.2 perhaps submit additional comments at a later 23 consultation session. I will focus my comments today on 24 four priority issues, which the Rincon Band hopes that you take into consideration. And you'll see that we 25

have differing views already. I will spend most of my
 time, by far, on Priority No. 1 because it is the
 overarching concern of the Rincon Band.

Priority No. 1 is adhere to the limits of the
NIGC statutory authority as set out in IGRA and is
articulated by the several court decisions in CRIT
versus NIGC.

I want to put this in some historical context. 8 9 The tribes have had their issues with the original NIGC 10 chairman Anthony Hope. He was certainly hostile to the 11 tribes, and the present opportunity to revisit the 12 definition regulations promulgated by the Hope 13 commission is welcome and overdue. But Anthony Hope got 14 one key principle correct which all subsequent 15 commissions, with the exception possibly of the Monteau 16 commission, got wrong and which the Hogan commissions 17 got seriously wrong. The regulation of Class 3 gaming 18 is to be governed by the compact agreements reached 19 between tribal and state governments at the negotiation It is not the province of the NIGC. 20 table. The Hogan 21 commissions took a product of the NCAI/NIGA task force, which was inspired by the tribe's self-governing desire 2.2 23 to pursue the goal of self-regulation and to share 24 resources and information amongst tribes. And then he converted those into NIGC mandatory regulations with the 25

1 ever-present threat of severe enforcement action in the form of large fines and closure orders. Tribes warned 2 Mr. Hogan at the time that he was exceeding his 3 4 statutory authority. He did it anyway. While imploring 5 with tribes to refrain from suing over the regulations, he stated that this would be the outer boundaries of 6 7 NIGC's encroachment into Class 3 gaming. CRIT sued the NIGC, and Rincon weighed in as amicus beginning with the 8 9 initial decision with the administrative law judge, 10 along with the growing number of tribes at the District 11 Court, and ultimately NIGA weighed in before the D.C. 12 Appeals Court. It was my honor to co-author the NIGA 13 amicus brief along with Frank Lawrence of the Holland & 14 Knight firm.

15 At every level of the litigation -- the ALG, the 16 Federal District Court, and ultimately the D.C. Court of 17 Appeals -- concluded that IGRA was straightforward in defining the parameters of NIGC authority, and that did 18 19 not include Class 3 gaming. The Hogan commission was so frustrated with the bright line drawn by the appeals 20 21 court, it filed a motion for reconsideration alleging 2.2 that NIGC could still assume the authority through other 23 means, such as approval of gaming ordinances and 24 incorporation into tribal-state compacts and possibly other avenues; motion for reconsideration denied. 25

1 Despite the clear decision, the Hogan commissions continued an illegal agenda, thus circumventing the 2 decisions and direction of the federal courts. 3 This includes the present practice of promulgating Class 3 4 5 MICS anyway, albeit as a voluntary suggestion, approving ordinances that fiat regulatory authority to the NIGC 6 7 that is not based in the statute, and using tribal fees paid to NIGC for unauthorized and improper purposes 8 9 relating to the voluntary compliance of Class 3 MICS. 10 Hogan promised that his intrusion into Class 3 11 regulation would end with the MICS, only to take two 12 unsuccessful stabs and then a third successful 13 encroachment with a new regulation that places NIGC in 14 the position to second-quess tribal governmental 15 decisions regarding health, safety, and welfare and 16 empowering the NIGC to compel tribes to change their 17 laws to meet NIGC's unqualified, paternalistic, and 18 arbitrary standards. These regulations go far beyond 19 NIGC authority under IGRA and far beyond the direction 20 and decisions of the federal courts.

Former Chairman Hogan dismissed the tribes' fears, suggesting that except in extreme circumstances, as long as he was chairman, the NIGC would not actually use the self-appointed authority to compel tribes to adopt or amend laws in a laundry list of areas:

Emergency preparedness -- accidents, injuries, medical 1 2 emergencies, natural and other disasters, fire, and security threats -- construction, maintenance and 3 operations, drinking water and food, hazardous 4 5 materials, sanitation, waste disposal. Now, that sounds hauntingly familiar to his statements that NIGC would 6 7 not aggressively enforce the Class 3 MICS. Such a position is scary in that he was not contemplating the 8 9 enabling of potential abuses of future commissionions. 10 Now, we applaud the appointments of the Obama 11 administration to this commission. We can lose sleep at 12 night contemplating the would-have-been appointments of 13 a McCain administration. Our fears are well grounded. 14 IGRA does allow the NIGC to require facility licenses, but that authority under IGRA does not empower the NIGC 15 16 to impose its paternalistic governance preferences upon 17 tribes.

It is refreshing that the NOI references the 18 19 CRIT decision in its introductory statements. It is disappointing that the environment health and safety 20 21 regulations are framed and buried under the subset of 2.2 facility licensing regulations. They should be singled 23 out in the laundry issues that need to be reviewed. The 24 Rincon Band believes these regulations not only should be added to the list but that the issue establishing 25

parameters of NIGC authority into Class 3 games should
 be placed as the highest priority to be addressed by the
 NIQC first, going forward.

The notice of inquiry properly notes that the 4 5 NIGC Class 3 MICS have taken on a life of their own. NIGC has approved ordinances expressly empowering the 6 7 NIGC to promulgate and enforce them. Several compacts refer to NIGC MICS as a baseline for compact standards. 8 9 I have even given prior testimony suggesting a level of 10 tolerance to NIGC continuing down this read so along as 11 it was clear that the MICS are purely advisory and that 12 NIGC staff be limited to providing technical assistance. 13 In hindsight, I was wrong. In hindsight, it is clear that the Hogan commissions had a deliberate and zealous 14 agenda to circumvent and riddle the bright line drawn by 15 16 IGRA and the federal courts such that the NIGC is the 17 overlord of Class 3 MICS. This commission should run 18 away from the agenda of the Hogan commissions and stay 19 clearly within the parameters of authority set by Congress and clarified by the courts. Those states and 20 tribes that embraced the NIGC Class 3 MICS and compacts 21 2.2 and ordinances did so at their own peril. I often hear 23 that NIGC had the authority to promulgate the MICS until 24 it lost at the D.C. circuit. That is pure nonsense. The court ruled correctly. NIGC never had such 25

1 authority. Every regulator and every tribal attorney 2 who followed the issue knew that NIGC's legal position at the time ranged from weak to meritless. 3 Rincon proposes that the NIGC establish a clear date to 4 5 withdraw Class 3 MICS from its body of regulations, notices, and bulletins, providing those tribes with 6 7 defective ordinances or compacts sufficient time to take 8 corrective measures.

9 And that's a point I wanted to expand on because 10 I agree with some of the comments that Big Sandy made. 11 And we have to look at the history, that this all 12 started with the NIGC -- excuse me -- with the NIGA/NCAI 13 task force. We also now have developed a very solid national association of tribal gaming regulators. 14 There 15 are -- at the default to the NIGC, to establish the MICS 16 for what this perceived void is is an assumption that needs to be challenged. That can be transferred back to 17 the task force, back to the national association of 18 19 tribal gaming regulators, or some other force because the tribes have the incentive as a matter of 20 21 self-governance to see that MICS, which are needed, 2.2 continue.

Now, Rincon poses this question: If the NIGC is
to take on the role as chief watchdog of the regulation
Class 3 gaming, then why do tribes need to negotiate

1 compacts with the states? The regulation of games was 2 intended by Congress to be the very crux of compact negotiations. That states have embraced the Seminole 3 4 decision and used that leverage to extract gaming taxes 5 and unreasonable encroachment on tribal self-governance, instead of seriously negotiating the manner in which 6 7 Class 3 games should be regulated, created their own problems by doing so. Now, here in California we think 8 9 that the compact adequately addresses the issue. But Phil always said, you know, there's tribes -- there's 10 11 compacts out there that just don't properly address it, 12 in his humble opinion. The state's bad behavior does 13 not justify NIGC to fill what Commissioner Hogan perceived as a void in the campacts. If this commission 14 15 in any way intends to follow its predecessors and go to 16 Congress with an agenda of amending IGRA to empower the 17 NIGC to regulate Class 3 games, it should at the same 18 time advocate for removing states from the process 19 altogether. Now, perhaps that's unrealistic and 20 unreasonable but no more so than subjecting tribes to 21 heavy paternalistic oversight that's duplicative and 2.2 conflicting between a tribal-state compact and the NIGC. 23 As you review the existing regulations, a question to address each and every time should be to 24

25 identify the express authority in IGRA for the

regulation in question. The Class 3 MICS and the
paternalistic backdoor of the facility licensing
regulations are only the most heinous examples of the
overreaching of prior commissions. Rincon applauds the
current notice of inquiry and consultation because it
does provide an opportunity to put Pandora back in its
box.

Priority No. 2, deference and support of tribal 8 9 gaming agencies as primary regulators. The TGA is the 10 primary regulator of tribal gaming. The tens of millions of dollars in authorized tribal commission 11 12 budgets, the sheer manpower numbers, and the common 13 presence of the most experienced regulators in the industry quantify this basic fact. The tribe itself has 14 15 the highest incentive to ensure the games are fair and 16 In the vast majority of circumstances, any honest. 17 tribe out of compliance has the highest incentive to come back into compliance. Rincon encourages the NIGC 18 19 to embrace a formal policy that ensures the NIGC will take every effort to identify the problem for the TGA 20 21 and/or the tribal council, work with the tribe to come 2.2 into compliance, and only if those steps have been 23 taken, exhausted, and failed, then take appropriate action in the form of an NOV with attendant threats of 24 fines and closures. I hear rhetoric that this is how 25

1 the Hogan commissions approached situations. But I know too many circumstances where the NOV came as a surprise 2 to the tribe and forced the tribes at issue to panic at 3 the possibility of major fines and closure orders. Even 4 5 those situations were resolved ultimately with nominal fees, the heavy-handed threat has no proper place in 6 7 qovernment-to-qovernment dialoque. In this vein Rincon supports the idea suggested in the notice of inquiry to 8 9 clarify the NIGC's authority to withdraw an NOV.

10 Additionally, Rincon supports the idea suggested 11 in the notice of inquiry to replace NOVs in some circumstances with fines. Now, you suggested this in 12 13 the context of late fees. We think it should also be considered in the context of late audits. One gets the 14 15 impression that the prior NIGC used the ability to smack 16 a tribe for a late audit in order to rack up numbers for 17 enforcement actions because then they could submit a 18 scorecard to Congress that shows that they're out there 19 regulating tribes. Many in the news media then use those same numbers for sensational headlines that tribes 20 21 are doing a poor job in regulating tribal gaming. In 2.2 many of these instances, the audit was only a few days 23 or weeks late. And in many, if not most of those, the 24 outside accounting firm poorly managed its own time allocation, which is the fault of the accounting firm 25

and not the tribe. Replacing the status quo with late
 fees would clearly place the issue of late audits in a
 more proper context.

Priority No. 3, maintain a viable Class 2 gaming 4 5 industry. The Rincon Band encourages the NIGC to maintain a vigorous position that ensures viable Class 2 6 7 games are available to tribes. The NIGC cannot look at this issue in isolation. Many tribes, like Jena Choctaw 8 9 of Louisiana and the Kickapoo Tribe of Texas, cannot get 10 viable compacts because states hide behind the * 11th 11 Amendment immunity per the Seminole decision. Other 12 tribes, including Rincon, before it prevailed in 13 extensive litigation with the State of California, are forced to accept only a very, very limited number of 14 15 Class 3 machines. For tribes in many states, a viable 16 Class 2 game is the only real leverage the tribe has to 17 compel the state to negotiate in good faith.

In this regard Rincon applauds the efforts of 18 19 the tribal gaming work group or Class 2 working group, whichever name they go by these days, and Rincon looks 20 21 forward to the group's testimony. To date the group has 2.2 provided intelligent and thoughtful advice to the NIGC consistent with Rincon's view that Class 2 devices must 23 be maintained as a viable alternative for many tribes 24 confronted by recalcitrant states hiding behind ** 11th 25

1 Amendment immunity. Fortunately for Rincon and the other California tribes, we have the luxury of a state 2 statutory waiver in IGRA lawsuits, but most tribes are 3 in states where an effective waiver is not available. 4 5 In this vein, even if the NIGC does conclude that games played by a noncompacted tribe are not Class 2, it 6 7 should then refrain from both threatening and taking enforcement action against those tribes. The Hogan 8 9 commissions made such threats, playing into the state's 10 bad faith negotiations. Fortunately, the Hogan 11 commissions did not carry through with those threats, 12 but the threats alone played into the state's hand at 13 the negotiation table. This NIGC should revert back to 14 the sound policy of the Monteau commission, where the 15 message was clear. If a state was negotiating in bad 16 faith and hiding behind an ** 11th Amendment immunity, 17 such state should not look to the NIGC to carry its 18 The NIGC's trust responsibility to the tribes in water. 19 these circumstances should be of paramount importance to 20 the NIGC.

And lastly, Priority No. 4, sole proprietary interest. This is a sticky wicket. My initial flippant reaction was, Take it on if you know you're going to get it right. We will listen closely to the testimony of the other tribes on the issue before formulating a

1 formal response. The body of authority on this issue is primarily in a series of NIGC opinion letters issued 2 between 2004 and 2007 and some low-level dicta in a few 3 reported court cases. You likely know that the 4 5 Schwarzenegger administration here in California embraced an extortionist agenda for compacts wherein the 6 7 gaming operations of many of the signatory tribes paid far more to the state as a gaming tax than they pay to 8 9 the tribe to fund tribal programs and operations. Sole 10 proprietary interest has been discussed in the context 11 of management, development, and finance agreements. But 12 the issue is germane to assessing the legality of gaming 13 compacts and county MOUs as well. All of these 14 collectively or singularly could, and quite likely often 15 do, violate the sole proprietary interest restriction in 16 Many also likely violate the requirement the TGRA. 17 tribe be the primary beneficiary of the gaming operation Defining "primary beneficiary" is not in your 18 as well. published notice of inquiry, and it seems that if you're 19 20 going to address one, you should address both.

Our preliminary view is that the issue is best left to the courts to resolve and that the circumstances of whether these two rules are violated are going to be very, very fact-specific and circumstancially specific, such that generalized regulations will not be helpful.

1 Our view on this may change as we hear from other tribes, but this issue could get very messy. 2 Thanks for the opportunity. We hope you will 3 take Rincon's comments today in serious consideration. 4 5 We applaud your efforts to take on hard issues, and we look forward to commenting further on this process. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, Scott. Always appreciate your passion, and I appreciate Rincon's 8 9 comments, your passion on behalf of your client. So we 10 will take them seriously, as we will everyone's. 11 CHAIRMAN MAZZETTI: I would like to add we want 12 to make sure the underlying factor is this is a 13 goverment-to-government relationship. You know, we have 14 these issues, but that's a paramount fact that we want 15 to make sure stays on the table. 16 Thank you. 17 MR. POWLESS: Madam Chairman, if I may, I'd like 18 to continue on. I plan to jump in on that mixed duscussion for minute, but we do have further testimony 19 we'd like on submit here. So if you would, I'd like to 20 21 take this opportunity to say a few words with regards to 2.2 this process that is being conducted today. 23 Big Sandy Rancheria Band of Western Mono Indians 24 would like to express our thanks for being provided an opportunity to offer our tribe's comments in regard to 25

1 the issues identified in the notice of inquiry. Biq 2 Sandy would also like to express our thanks for the approach that the NIGC has undertaken in relationship to 3 4 seeking comment and input from the tribes. I think it's 5 very important that we go forward with the sincere interest in seeking input of the tribes. We want to 6 7 thank you for that process and for this ongoing process that's going to be continuing. 8

9 Many of the issues that were specified in the 10 Federal Register are of a concern to Big Sandy, but the 11 following comments are based on our tribe's experiences 12 and familiarity with said issues. Hopefully Big Sandy 13 experiences will assist with the formulation of revised 14 and/or amendment to NIGC regulations and will encourage 15 an enhanced review of the regulations from a day-to-day 16 regulatory perspective.

17 With regard to 25 CFR Part 502, definitions, 18 Section 502.15, management contracts, this is an area 19 that we feel requires clarification. The section says, 20 "Management contract means any contract, subcontract, or collateral agreement between an Indian tribe and a 21 2.2 contractor or between a contractor and a subcontractor 23 if such contract or agreement provides for the 24 management of all or part of a gaming operation." 25 This this definition could be clarified so that

1 it is narrowed to reflect what is intended to define a 2 contract and agreement that provides for a direct management of all or part of a gaming operation. 3 The term "collateral agreement" could refer to any other 4 5 agreement that a manager of a gaming operation has to deal with, such as gaming machines, signs, et cetera, in 6 7 the daily operation of the gaming operation itself. In addition, the word "any" could be interpreted to again 8 9 refer to any contract between the tribe and a 10 contractor. The second "or" seems to be misplaced, but 11 the following would be recommended for clarification 12 "Management contract" means a contract, purposes: 13 subcontract, or collateral agreement between an Indian tribe and a contractor/subcontractor if such contract or 14 15 agreement provides for the management of all or part of 16 a gaming operation and an entity that is performing the management responsibilities operation is receiving a 17 18 percentage of the revenues generated by the gaming 19 operation.

In addition, a definition of a consultant agreement may assist in distinguishing between a management contract and a consultant agreement. For an example, a consultant agreement does not contain language that provides for receiving a percentage of the revenue. Therefore, it could not be a management

agreement. If the definition of "management contract" is revised or not, the definition of "collateral agreement" in Section 502.5 should be redefined because a collateral agreement is a term that is general and not associated with management contract in its ordinary use.

25 CFR Part 514, with regards to fees. 6 It has 7 become apparent to Big Sandy that the past practice by the NIGC to also issue an NOV if fees are paid late was 8 9 an extreme measure to impose upon a tribe unless it's 10 negligence by the tribes that can be shown as a pattern. 11 It would seem more reasonable to develop some type of 12 schedule of fines or penalties, either based upon 13 passage of time and/or number of times being late to 14 This is a priority issue that could be revised by pay. 15 regular notice and rule-making.

Management contracts. Just briefly, perhaps a regulation could reflect specifications that identify the maximum percentage of revenue that could be expended in collateral agreements supporting a primary management contract.

Based upon the number of concerns identified by the NIGC and other tribes in regards to management contracts in Parts 531, 533, and 537 and all other areas of regulations associated with management contracts, there is an indication that management contracts should

1 be a priority in the regulatory review process. In 2 addition, that a recent case which is presently in the appeal process with regards to Wells Fargo and the Lac 3 4 du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewas contains 5 language that could directly impact areas of the regulations that affect management contracts. This same 6 7 rationale may impact the development of a sole 8 proprietary interest regulation.

9 Big Sandy recommends that a tribal advisory 10 group be formed so that experiences of the tribes in 11 regard to management contracts could be gathered and 12 considered collectively. This would ensure that 13 relevant and pertinent language could be developed for a 14 revised regulation concerning management contracts which would include consideration of experiences of tribes. 15 16 After the tribal advisory committee provides the actual 17 experiences of the tribes in regards to management contracts, then the regular notice and rule-making 18 19 process might be the appropriate route to follow so that as much input as possible from tribes can be collected 20 and assembled into a meaningful regulation. 21 This 2.2 regulation would be recommended to be one of the top 23 three for the purposes of regulatory review. 24 Madam Chairman, on a closing note, I would like

25 to say on a personal note as well, is that it's a

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

pleasure meeting your acquaintance, and I'd like to
 congratulate you on your appointment and also the
 foresight of the Obama administration to appoint you.

4 Over the years I've met a lot of tribal members 5 throughout the United States, and I've had opportunity to work with your former chairman Stan Jones during the 6 7 formulation of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act. I've 8 visited the Tulalip Tribe on many occasions and saw what 9 transpired and the results of the Indian Gaming 10 Regulatory Act provided to the tribes throughout the 11 United States. So I just wanted to make a comment and 12 encourage you to continue this process in terms of 13 seeking the input from the tribes themselves. Coming 14 from a tribal background as you do, and coming from the 15 legacy of your former tribal chairman Stan Jones, in 16 protecting the tribal sovereignty, I know that you have 17 that concern; I know that you have that interest. So I 18 just wanted to personally congratulate you on your 19 appointment and thank you for this opportunity to 20 provide this testimony today.

21

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, sir. And I will certainly convey that back to my tribal leadership. I am humbled by your words, and as they would be too, to know that their daughter has learned well from them

through the process by which we should be good Indian
 people. So thank you very much.

3 And on that note, I know there are probably several people that want to make comment, but I do see 4 5 some rustling around which, according to the schedule, we're on a break point, and that way we can use the 6 7 restrooms, which are right back here, respond to e-mails, get our thoughts together, and come back in 15 8 9 minutes. So you're up to bat. Please hold your 10 thoughts.

11

Thank you.

12

(Off record)

MS. ECHO-HAWK: As everyone is getting kind of
settled down, we look forward to additional comments.
We're open to comments if someone wanted to start.

16 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: And we can ask everyone to 17 come on in and take their seat again while we resume our 18 discussions.

What I would like to highlight here is that -and that's the beauty of this process and this open dialogue, is that there are differences of opinions on how we proceed, and our intent is to -- so that we can hear how our decisions and our thoughts and others' thoughts affect other tribes. And I was just stating to a council member over here that I hope that we can come

1	up with some solutions together in that this is not a
2	dictatorial process from the NIGC's perspective. But
3	when we see these differences, I look to the tribes and
4	say, How can we find common ground even in light of
5	these differences, and how can we together best protect
6	the industry, as it is so important to so many of us?
7	So just keep that in mind. Despite varying opinions, we
8	will look back and also put the questioning back to
9	tribes again while there are these differences, whatever
10	they might be, and so how do we resolve them?
11	So encourage some comment. Do we have any
12	further comment from the table, and if not, in the
13	audience? We have a microphone up here.
14	Yes, Norm.
15	COMMISSIONER DesROSIERS: Thank you, Madam
16	Chairman, Commissioner Little, and thanks for coming out
17	here to California.
18	I think you'll get the sense probably throughout
19	the day that here in California our common, probably No.
20	1 priority is this MICS issue. And, of course, post
21	CRIT the state felt that now that NIGC had no
22	enforcement or oversight of authority, that they needed
23	to step in and fill that vacuum. And then we had at
24	least two, maybe three-year back and forth developing
25	this uniform state regulation citing the NIGC MICS as

1 the standard that the tribes would use. And that's -of course, that's also in the compacts, and in seeking 2 3 oversight authority to the state with a couple of exceptions, that there's a safe harbor which we have 4 5 tomorrow dedicated to in working with the state. Ι mean, a new section of that regulation as well as the 6 7 exception for those tribes, and I think there are about 13 of them here in California who have modified their 8 9 ordinance confirming NIGC with oversight enforcement 10 authority of those MICS. And I think Pala's one of those. San Manuel is one of those tribes. And there 11 12 are a number of others. That's another complication 13 that we have. And those ordinances, I might remind you, 14 are approved by you. So we appreciate that.

15 Scott Crowell and I don't -- you know, I think 16 we agree on more than we disagree on. And on this MICS 17 issue, my concern -- I mean, I think if we all had a magic wand and could go back in time, we'd rather the 18 19 NIGC MICS were never born maybe, if we go back that far, or at least back to the compacts, the NIGC MICS maybe 20 21 were never mentioned in the compacts as the standards. 2.2 We can't undo that.

23 My fear -- my biggest fear is this, that if NIGC 24 MICS just go away, just go to a bulletin or something 25 like that, that -- part of those MICS, let me remind

1 everyone, is the requirement for agreed-upon procedures, you know, compliance and reviews by an outside 2 independent audit. And that's a big part of the CGCC8, 3 4 that tribes in the safe harbor portion will provide 5 those independent audit reviews to the state. So if the MICS go away, the NIGC MICS go away, then so does -- do 6 7 the AUP, you know, the audit reviews, independent audit 8 reviews, so goes -- CGCC8 goes away. And when all that 9 goes away, my fear is the state is going to say, Well, 10 now we have an even bigger void. And they're either 11 going to want to amend the compacts for some kind of 12 internal controls, and that I fear just about as much as 13 amending IGRA. Once you open these compacts, a lot of, 14 I think, unwanted things can happen. Or they'll just promulgate their own internal controls and take on 15 16 enforcement of internal controls. That's something that 17 we will have to be vigilant about and, perhaps years down the road, engage in more battles with the state if 18 19 these NIGC MICS just simply go away. That's my biggest 20 fear.

So we -- you know, I and I know a few others feel a need to have those continue in existence and to have good ones, because right now they're kind of a mess. And their existence I don't think anyone should interpret as an automatic conferrence to NIGC for oversight and enforcement authority. Obviously CRIT has taken that away. So the mere fact these MICS exist doesn't mean NIGC has enforcement authority. It just means they're there for us to use as required by the compact. And I go into a lot of other details in my written comments. But I think I want to make those points, at least, today.

Thank you for listening.

CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you.

Yes?

8

9

10

MS. ZERBI: Jane Zerbi. I'm an attorney here with the Pala Band as well as the United Auburn Indian Community.

14 And I did want to -- these tribes have additional comments, but I did want to follow up on 15 16 Norm's comments, which I think is an important point, 17 that both the NIGC MICS and other structures recognize 18 that it's a tribe that would adopt those standards. And 19 my understanding of that has always been that it was at 20 deference to the fact that the tribal gaming regulatory 21 agencies are the primary regulant under both schemes, 2.2 whether that's federal or state. And that's why you 23 have tribal minimum internal control standards. And the role of the federal minimum internal control standards 24 has been to set a minimum. You have some tribes that 25

Page 60

1 exceed it or some tribes that have additional items, and either their mixed or they go into their internal 2 control system with those additional factors. But the 3 role that's played is to set -- have industry experts, 4 5 which have always included tribal industry experts, come together to help set a standard, which has been very 6 7 useful, I know, on behalf of my own clients to be able to have that standard in place. 8

9 So I think Norm makes an important point, that 10 maybe the really issue that's a little more 11 controversial is enforcement, but the fact that you have 12 a standard to begin with that has been in place since 13 the late '90s in California has really served an 14 important role in the development of Indian gaming over 15 the decade and ensuring the integrity of gaming for both 16 the tribes and patrons as well.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: I have, I guess, a question to both of you regarding the CRIT decision. The CRIT decision, last time I read it, says that the NIGC doesn't have the authority to enforce or promulgate regulations, Class III regulations.

What's your take on how -- if the NIGC should, you know, put forward regulations, or in a bulletin, how would that look? How would we get around the language that is in the CRIT decision?

1 COMMISSIONER DesROSIERS: I don't know that you 2 can. My suggestion is that in the court's reasoning 3 there, if I recall correctly, it stated that that 4 responsibility or authority should lie between 5 agreements with the state and the tribes in their 6 compact.

7 I think that creates maybe a little bit of a 8 loophole that we can use in that, okay, our compacts and 9 agreements say that we'll have those, that we need those NIGC MICS. So, I mean, that is -- that's probably weak, 10 11 and there are some smarter lawyers in here that probably 12 have other ideas. But I think you can -- I think you 13 can crack that door open just enough with that reasoning by the court that if, in fact, it's an agreement between 14 15 the states and the tribes, well, that's what we've 16 agreed to is to use NIGC MICS.

17 MS. ECHO-HAWK: Well, then I quess the other 18 concern is one that Scott Crowell mentioned, and that is 19 the use of NIGC fees to create -- I mean, it's a very costly process to create those MICS, and so then we run 20 21 into the comments that were made earlier about, is that an appropriate use of the fees that are paid by tribes 2.2 23 that don't have those same provisions elsewhere in the 24 country? And so I'd like to hear more, I mean, maybe not today but by any written comments, on how we address 25

1 those issues which we hear about frequently. 2 MR. CASAS: One possibility would be --3 MS. ECHO-HAWK: I'm sorry. Excuse me. MR. CASAS: Sure. 4 5 MS. ECHO-HAWK: Can you state your name and --Dan Casas, tribal attorney for the 6 MR. CASAS: 7 Table Mountain Rancheria, C-A-S-A-S. One option, and again I'm just shooting from the 8 hip, is to maybe look at other organizations that have 9 10 come up with the same dilemma, the American Bar 11 Association, for example, who comes out with model rules 12 and model things for states to look at to see if they 13 want to adopt it. Either you put them out in the form 14 of the quidelines or as a proposed model minimum 15 internal control standards that are out there available for states, tribes, or other entities that may want to 16 17 adopt them. 18 The other issue with respect to the fees is 19 possibility of creating a task force in which tribes would voluntarily participate and pay into these 20 21 development -- the development of the MICS, because I 2.2 see the dilemma: If you don't have the statutory authority, you really can't use the money that was 23 24 earmarked for the operation of the NIGC to promulgate 25 regulations that you have no authority to promulgate.

1	MS. HOUSE: Good morning. Thank you for
2	allowing me to speak. My name is Sharon House. I'm an
3	attorney with Santa Rosa Tachi, Tule River, and Big
4	Sandy with their gaming commissions in California.
5	Please excuse me. I have a bit of a head cold, but it's
6	not stopping me from talking.
7	With all due respect, Norm and I have disagreed
8	and agreed on many issues. And part of that comes from
9	working with regulation right from at the base. So
10	that makes that's serious. When Norm says something,
11	I do listen. Doesn't mean I agree, but I do listen.
12	So one of the comments that I'd like to make is
13	that when it comes to the MICS, I think it's extremely
14	important that we start looking at it a little
15	differently. We look at it as internal control
16	standards. Whenever you refer to MICS, there's an
17	inference that it's an NIGC MICS. Internal control
18	standards are what we're really attempting to have in
19	place for the tribes, and they know that. And I can
20	say and I'm probably one of the few that can say
21	that, since I was the co-chair to the original MICS
22	group that was adopted and started by the tribes.
23	We've made a complete circle now, and we're
24	getting back to that same situation where the tribes are
25	saying, Wait, we could do this. There's tribal advisory

groups, and there's other ways. We made a complete circle, and now it's time to take that circle and clarify it, in my mind.

In discussing this with some of my clients, one 4 5 of the recommendations is that within the NOI you put something in there about looking at the approval and 6 7 disapproval of whether or not there should be ordinances 8 that are approved and disapproved and how that should 9 go. One of the ways you may want to consider also 10 viewing this is to say each ordinance shall have 11 internal control standards that are adopted. We need to 12 start recognizing -- and I think someone said that here, 13 the TGA, the tribal regulatory agency. When the MICS first -- when was MICS was published, I believe a couple 14 15 years, and I can't remember the year, but that was the 16 first place that was ever -- that TGAs were ever 17 referred to IGRA was under the regulations. And I think that might be a part of one of the problems is that your 18 19 tribal regulatory agencies are your primary -- they're the first line of regulation. We see it in the compact 20 21 sometime, you know, sometimes, and we brought that 2.2 forward. It needs to be recognized by the federal government and by means of NIGC, that they are the first 23 line of regulation. 24

25

And if we go back -- and I think is Terry still

The first time I testified before NIGC with 1 here? 2 Terry, what did I continually say? We have to go back 3 to 25 USC and go back where it says tribes are the exclusive regulators. That was the intent of Congress, 4 5 and I think we go back to that, and we use that as a basis for determining what the MICS should be and that 6 7 there is -- and excuse me -- what the ICS, Tule River, TICS, tribe, whatever you call it. But that's the 8 9 important issue is that you have the ICS. And if it 10 goes away under the federal government, it's my true 11 belief that no tribe wants to shoot themselves in the 12 They know what's important, and what's even more foot. 13 important is that they recognize in their own ordinance when it's submitted to the NIGC, or it's recommended 14 15 that you place internal controls. There shall be 16 internal controls. And that's identified within the 17 ordinance. You don't put the ICS into the ordinance. 18 You say that they have to have some that meet industry 19 standards. And I believe industry standards is the key 20 issue here also. 21 So with that I'd like to say thank you, and 2.2 hopefully these comments will be taken under consideration. 23 Thank you. 24 COMMISSIONER BURRIS: Tracy Burris, gaming

25 commissioner for the Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians.

1 Madam Chairwoman, in the beginning you talked about solutions, remedies, and all that. And I want to 2 just say on behalf of Viejas that we are listening 3 4 tentatively to both the comments being made and that 5 will happen across the country and submit our final comments based on what we think will be part of the 6 7 solution. And it's important at this time that we be part of the solution because of the factors involving 8 9 cost and time and what the future is. And we hope that 10 this commission, I believe, by what it's doing is taking 11 that into consideration. And we would concur that there 12 needs to be clarity, something I've testified before the 13 senate committee ten years ago, actually 11 years ago 14 about clarity on certain regulations. It's good to 15 finally see that someone may be -- we may be talking 16 about doing something of that nature.

17 And what I mean by that is that you talk about advisory committees. We talk about negotiated 18 19 rule-making. And I'm a strong advocate of negotiated 20 rule-making, because it gives both parties the opportunity to address something and it allows them to 21 bring the appropriate experts to the table. And I think 2.2 23 it's a method that the tribes in their process under 24 self-governments allows them to do. It's something that they like to take under advisement and make those 25

decisions. So I think it would be appropriate for a
 federal agency that works with tribal governments to
 take that approach.

Now, we're going to often have conflict 4 5 because -- I would have conflict because it often becomes the old issue of policy versus actuality. 6 I'm 7 an actuality quy. I could live it and breathe it every day. Sometimes some of our concerns and what our 8 9 councils have to deal with is the policy issue. And the 10 same for your agency dealing with the administration 11 that you work for, and those that -- in Congress. And, 12 you know, I'm concerned about the MICS. I'm concerned 13 about what it means and what its impact is. I think there's a lot of positives, and I think there are a lot 14 15 of negatives. But I would like to think because of our 16 critics, if you will, both in Congress and outside of 17 Congress in states, that this process is good for this 18 industry at this time.

And I guess, to use Scott Crowell's deal, as first it's the Hogan administration and now it's the Stevens administration, there were some positives to the Hogan administration. And it's a good example that we can learn by that it was our critics driving that administration. Maybe, maybe not. But we've all walked the hills of Congress. We've all walked the halls of 1 the state capitol. And that gives us some of our -- we 2 would be foolish not to have that impact us just as we 3 sat and listened to different tribes and their concerns 4 as governments.

5 So I applaud this process. And again, we're going to work toward the good things, and we're going to 6 7 try to find a solution. And mostly we want to be effective and efficient, especially in these times. 8 And 9 we don't know -- in a sense because of the economy, we don't know what the future holds. And if someone's got 10 11 a crystal ball on that one, I'm willing to listen to it. 12 But I've seen a lot of things in my last 25 years. I've 13 seen the good of this industry, and I've seen the 14 reality that we thought it was going to last for another 15 15 years or ten years before we started worrying, been 16 in a lot of meetings in the last 15 years and a lot of expectations on our leaders and have proposed and hoped 17 for for our tribal members. And, you know, it is going 18 19 to be interesting to see what we do as we go along this process of comparing and contrasting. 20

And one thing I would say about the Class III, I've always been an advocate that you always utilize the bulletin process. It already exists. Why change it? You have a lot of -- your agency has a lot of credibility, a lot of deference as who said about the

1 bar association and stuff. Different associations, different things have credibility out there in the 2 3 industry, in any industry. You have that. I mean, 4 obviously you wouldn't have the influence that you 5 possess now, the agency, and what you do in the future, if you didn't have it. The point is don't underestimate 6 7 it, and don't underestimate those that would use it. Those that are going to use it effectively and 8 9 efficiently will prosper, and it will prosper the whole industry. Those that, for whatever reason, they don't, 10 11 that will be your job to find out why they don't. 12 That's the ones you focus on, and let the rest of us 13 continue to do the hard work that we're attempting to 14 do. Far from perfect that we will ever be, but we will 15 be doing it and doing it right. And the solution is 16 don't focus on those that's doing it right; focus on 17 those who are not doing it right. And, yeah, we'll take some criticism for it. We have, and we always will. 18 Our critics will take advantage of it. Just don't use 19 it as the mallet to beat us up with to move us on 20 21 something.

And that would be my concern on my personal thoughts. So in the end the recommendations and comments that I will present for my council to submit to your agency will be those collectively all across Indian

1 Country because even though we all have our own interests in all states that exist and all the compacts, 2 there's still always an impact because we are considered 3 Indian Country. They don't say California. They don't 4 5 say Kumeyaay. They don't say Viejas. They don't say They say Indian Country. So when they do 6 Chickasaw. 7 that, it's the old saying: It's the melting pot. Our critics in Congress, our critics at the state governors 8 9 association, the attorney generals association all start 10 throwing us in the same melting pot at some point. The details will have to be worked out, but the other 11 12 overall will have to be addressed.

13 So with that we're going to do our best to help this administration, the Stevens administration, because 14 15 you have great commissioners, and Dan Little and 16 Stephanie Cochran, to help you move this forward. And I 17 hope that -- my biggest concern would be is that I'm 18 sure that you have a lot of talk with your staff, and I 19 would be concerned about what its new direction is, you know, because as others have alluded to, not to say that 20 21 they had a bad one, but, you know, they led with what 2.2 was going on at the time, as the overall administration 23 might be. Now that it's a different chief in town, if 24 you will, and different people, I hope the staff has a different perspective instead of assuming that we're all 25

1	bad. I mean, we all balance our commissions to what we
2	need based on our size and everything and our true
3	needs, surveillance inspectors and all that, and we work
4	to influence them by what we want them to know. But
5	we've got to be careful about what we try to teach them,
6	that it's not a narrow you can't put the blinders on,
7	as I've said plenty of times. It has to be open because
8	we never know. We have to cross-train. We have to be
9	multiple in these times and eras, at least the local
10	level or actual work being done.
11	So I just want to reiterate that, and I look
12	forward to working with you guys in the future. Thank
13	you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, Tracy.
15	CHAIRMAN LOMBARDI: Madam Chairperson, I just
16	wanted to come back to add a little additional
17	information to the question you asked me earlier this
18	morning regarding the MICS.
19	But before I do, I want to go back to something
20	that Scott Crowell said. You're probably aware of this
21	because you have years of experience in your own state
22	of Washington. But I want to make it perfectly clear
23	for the record that MICS, as Sharon House said, really
24	the most important part of the MICS is the internal
25	controls that derive from the MICS. That's what we're

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company
1 really talking about.

But I want to make it clear that the MICS didn't
come on an asteroid from outer space. It actually was
created by the Wisconsin tribes in consultation with
Arthur Andersen. And it was the California tribes that
would then adopt that minimum internal control standard
before we ever had a compact because the feeling was
that that would give credibility to the Indian gaming
industry.
And I just want to I've heard it for 20 years
that, Oh, this is for you guys. This is going to
benefit you because the more regulations Indian gaming
has, the more people will think that it has integrity
and honesty. And I just got to tell you there isn't
enough regulation in the world to convince some members
of Congress on that. That's a bad way to go about
things. And Scott was kind of dancing around that.
We created the MICS, working with the former
great accounting firm Arthur Andersen. That's where it
came from. The National Indian Gaming Commission
basically piggybacked on what we had done as an
industry. And it spread across Indian Country because,
as Chairman Smith indicated, it was an important
document, a foundational document for gaming

1 Now, the State of California took the position that the May 2003 National Indian Gaming Commission MICS 2 was a floor, if you will, a basis upon which to start. 3 Almost every tribe sitting in this room, I can assure 4 5 you, that has adopted the MICS follows the practice of having a more strenuous MICS than the baseline. 6 There's 7 nothing that precludes Indian tribes in this room from 8 amending their tribal gaming MICS to adopt changes that 9 you may suggest would be in our best interest in an 10 advisory role by publishing it as a bulletin. Indeed, I 11 think you'd find that most tribes would probably welcome 12 that rather than like trying to force-feed it through a 13 tube down our throat. That might be a more respectful, 14 collaborative approach. And in California we are 15 constantly updating our MICSes and amending them by 16 tribal ordinance to adopt new and creative ways of 17 developing our minimum internal control standards. And 18 if you endeavor through a committee to do that, that 19 might be an approach that would work in California and 20 would be appreciated.

I want to end by -- this is not on the agenda, but I know there are some tribes who are not here today that are profoundly concerned about issues pertaining to the Freedom of Information Act. So I just wanted to get it on the record here that we are profoundly concerned,

1 and excuse -- I'm just going to talk the way I usually They're used to it -- is that some 2 talk. ambulance-chasing lawyer in Miami Beach can get our 3 revenue allocation distribution plans is an outrage, and 4 5 it needs to stop. And that's what's happening in It's because of a legal dispute in Florida 6 California. 7 with a tribe there. We understand that an attorney there has been able to obtain the name of a number of 8 California Indian tribes' revenue allocation 9 10 distribution plans. That's nobody's business but the 11 tribal government and tribal members. And we're 12 profoundly concerned that members of the media, members 13 of the legal profession continue to pursue this idea that the public has a right to know how much Tribe A, B, 14 15 and C are making. We're not publicly traded 16 corporations. There is no obligation to share that 17 information with anyone else other than the National Indian Gaming Commission, through our financial 18 19 statements, and the State of California. 20 And actually, we could criticize the State of

20 And actually, we could criticize the state of 21 California a lot. But one thing they've done a pretty 22 good job of is keeping our financial statements and our 23 revenue statements and how much some tribal members get 24 paid and per capita payments between the state and us. 25 So as you go forward, I hope that you will be

1 diligent in protecting the precious information that we 2 share with you about how we're doing and keep some -- I mean, just I'll end with this. It's an outrage in 3 America that people can contribute millions of dollars 4 5 to political campaigns to promote candidates, and we don't even know who they are, but a lawyer in Florida 6 can find out how much Indian tribes in Riverside County 7 are paying their tribal members in per capita payments. 8 9 That's wrong. And hopefully, the National Indian Gaming 10 Commission will work with Indian Country to make sure 11 that that FOIA problem is fixed in the future.

12

13

Thank you.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Thank you for that comment.

14 And one of the things that we are working on 15 internally is our FOIA process, refining it. When it 16 comes to revenue allocation plans, those plans are under 17 the authority and jurisdiction area of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. And we will relate that concern back to 18 19 the Office of Indian Gaming, and we have been talking about it with them because it is gaming and everyone 20 does come to the NIGC for that. But the Office of 21 Indian Gaming, over the Bureau of Indian Affairs, is 2.2 really responsible for that, and we are working with 23 24 them to, you know, relay these concerns and make sure that those concerns, when it comes to the information 25

1 that we have in our office, that we protect it under the 2 freedom of information -- under the rules that we have 3 to abide by.

4 5

So there's a comment.

5 CHAIRMAN WALKER: Hello. My name is Tom Walker,
6 gaming commissioner for the Picayune Rancheria.

7 I'd just like to make a comment on the NIGC's 8 management contract authority. It's not about the 9 authority, but I just want to comment on my 10 understanding and experience. It's for the -- it's 11 really not regarding many tribes here that are not 12 planning on expanding or anything like that or obtaining 13 a management contractor. But what I know now is that 14 the general contractor, under an approved management 15 contract by the NIGC, is able to subcontract -- is able 16 to have provisions within their subcontract that a 17 subcontractor's not able to go above or directly to a 18 tribal council to notify them of any overruns in costs 19 or certain other problems. And that usually -- it usually results in, you know, bad things happening. 20

And like I said, like I was trying to point out, is that, like Tracy said, you know, a black eye for any Indian tribe is a black eye for all of us. And in order to avoid that, I think if this area can be addressed by the NIGC when approving any management contracts, it

1 might avoid this kind of problem, because what's going to happen -- what I know happened is that subcontractors 2 were not paid on a timely manner, for whatever reason. 3 And the tribe council was not notified of this. So time 4 5 came when the project is completed, subcontractors end up protesting out on the streets, and it's all kind of 6 7 bad publicity. And I think this is basically resulting 8 from maybe a fault in the management contract that 9 prohibits the tribal councils from being able to be 10 notified of these kind of problems.

11 So I'm thinking that's a simple solution a lot 12 of us tribes can point out, you know, for future tribes 13 that are going to get into Indian gaming or some tribes 14 that want to. Hopefully that can be addressed.

MR. CASAS: Dan Casas on behalf of TableMountain Rancheria.

17 I just wanted to follow up on something that Mr. 18 Lombardi had mentioned with respect to the phrase, if I 19 can borrow your phrase, ambulance chasers from Florida. One of the other concerns along that same thought 20 21 process is the -- I would recommend that the NIGC 2.2 consider treading very delicately in this consideration 23 of amending the definition of net revenue for lawful 24 uses, because once you set a quantified formula that an 25 outside person can look at -- it could be a Cheryl

1 Smith, it could be the ambulance chaser in Florida, or it could be some other enemy of Indian Country -- they 2 will be able to now grasp at a quantifiable formula and 3 maybe raise the saber that says, Okay, X tribe is 4 5 abusing its authority or abusing its governmental lawful use of money by taking money that they shouldn't --6 7 shouldn't be using, for purposes that are in violation 8 IGRA.

9 I think it should be left up to the tribes, 10 should be left up to the tribal governments to decide 11 what's their available cash flow and to ensure that --12 I'm confident that the tribes are in compliance with the 13 Indian regulatory mandates as well as their own revenue 14 allegation plans. And I think when you put in the 15 formula or floor that defines it and quantify it, we 16 tread into a dangerous territory that we may want to 17 refrain from going down the path.

18 MS. ECHO-HAWK: Can I ask a I follow-up question19 on that?

20

MR. CASAS: Sure.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Do you have any thoughts about the current bulletin, the use of net gaming revenue, do you have any thoughts about that as it may pertain to changing that definition or in general?

25 MR. CASAS: I think -- are you talking about the

2005 bulletin? I think the bulletin is
 self-explanatory. I've seen it quoted by some cases,
 and I've seen it quoted by other individuals when they
 start analyzing whether or not the tribe's in compliance
 with the revenue allocation plan.

My fear is once you start putting a formula, it 6 7 gives additional ammunition to the people that we try to -- from the Cheryl Smiths and the ambulance chasers 8 9 from Miami, from grabbing onto something else or raising 10 the saber that says, Look, the tribe is not only 11 violating their own plans, but they're also violating 12 IGRA, and that they would be able to go to the 13 legislators and say, You need to amend IGRA. You need 14 to take more control. That's my fear.

15 I think the bulletin itself provides excellent 16 quidelines for the tribal leaders and the tribal 17 government who are in charge of ensuring that their tribal governments are in compliance with all the 18 19 different requirements of IGRA and how they use their 20 I'm just more concerned that anytime you give an funds. 21 outside entity the possibility to point to whether or 2.2 not you're using too much money or you're abusing your 23 funds, then you're opening up the door for other 24 potential problems.

25

And I'm not sure if this was as a result of

banks or other outside lenders wanting to have more control. But if it is, that can be handled by the tribe itself on negotiations with the lender or the financier. And that should be left to the individual tribal government to negotiate what the -- the financial source.

7 CHAIRMAN LOMBARDI: If I could just follow up real quick on the net win, there's one minuscule issue 8 9 that does pertain to efforts by the National Indian 10 Gaming Commission to identify operators of a wide area 11 of progressive games that are sweeping the nation is 12 management agreements, which is a huge mistake. They're 13 not management agreements. They don't have anything to 14 do with hiring or firing or supervising. But this has now become our -- a blessing and a curse. 15

Indian Country is the reason that companies like IT IGT have been able to create these fantastic nationwide wide-area progressive games that create millions and millions of dollars in jackpots and drawing people to our facilities.

In California we have come to agreement on a net win definition for those tribes that pay almost \$360 million a year to the state out of their revenues. And even the State of California recognizes that in this new world where we can't buy those machines, where they're

expensive to operate, they have copyright obligations that the manufacturer pays, that when we don't own those machines and we're sharing a percentage of the revenue, that that's a good business deal for the tribe. That's not a management agreement, and it should not be considered as such.

7 The state even allows us to deduct those costs from the calculation of our net win. The State of 8 9 California's recognized, and they don't -- the tribes in 10 this room don't get to deduct anything. The tribes here 11 at this table who are paying into the amended compacts 12 are the only businesses in California that pay their 13 fair share off the gross. Standard Oil, MGM, 14 Disneyland -- nobody pays on the gross but us, or them 15 that pay the money. And so at least the state 16 recognizes that when we use a wide-area progressive and 17 the tribe is getting a pinch and most of it's going to 18 the players and Rocket Bingo or IGT are paying the T 19 line expenses and paying for the machines and making sure the jackpots are in pressed and paid, why shouldn't 20 21 we get to deduct that?

22 So I think that the National Indian Gaming 23 Commission should back off on the very poor idea of 24 defining a vendor or an operator as a management 25 agreement. Our future is in working together, taking

advantage of technological changes, which include networking these games. And that just makes it harder for us. And it doesn't make any sense, because it isn't a management agreement. It never was considered to be a management agreement.

And I'm glad that Dan brought that up, because 6 7 you should seriously consider that when you're looking at the net win calculation, because this is the 8 9 direction for a little tribe like Augustine out in the 10 middle of a grape orchard. We can get people to come to 11 our casino because we have progressives that offer 12 million-dollar jackpots. The little tribes could never, 13 ever do that before. Players come to our facilities 14 asking for those games by name. This is our future. 15 And so we don't need to have a another tax or another 16 levy placed and ultimately do what? Restrict the 17 tribe's ability to do what we've always done: Take 18 advantage of technology.

So I'll end my little thing with what I always say is -- and you've been around a long time. You saw it happen in your state. Indian gaming has been the most innovative form of gaming in the world. All the big innovations that have swept the gaming industry started in Indian Country: Video games, multiplay games, touch screen, cashless wagering, using computers

to play bingo and letting people play 250 cards at one time. Mega Bingo was the first company in the world to use low-level altitude satellites to beam an entertainment signal around the country. Before CNN and all these big cable companies, Indians were paying a million dollars on bingo games using a low-level satellite with a game coming from Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Congress said we should be able to use current 8 9 and emergent computer technologies to expand our customer base. If you don't do anything else, Madam 10 11 Chairperson, please don't handcuff us as we go forward 12 and exploit computer technologies that we don't even 13 know about, because when the California Indians started 14 down this path and we had the first eight-liner that 15 anybody had ever seen -- I want everybody to know we got 16 them from the Odidas in Wisconsin. They shipped those 17 illegal games across state lines, and the rest was history. So it's all the Odidas' fault. They shipped 18 19 them so fast, they still had bushes and plants on the 20 side of them.

But Congress said we would have the right to take advantage of current and emerging technology. We know when we did that, there was no Internet. There were no PCs. There were no iPhones. So here's the thing. Our kids -- my daughter's an 18-year-old member

1 of the Morongo Band. Are our kids going to be able to 2 continue to take advantage of the revolution in technologies to make our games better and better and 3 4 better? Las Vegas is chasing us. Atlantic City is 5 chasing us. We revolutionized the bingo industry Today computers drive the bingo industry 6 worldwide. 7 It started in an Indian casino, in bingo worldwide. halls in Oklahoma and California. Please don't do 8 9 anything that limits our ability to utilize or exploit 10 existing or future technologies.

11 And I'll end by saying that we did something 12 that the states and Congress didn't think we could do. 13 Indians figured out how to make money off of bingo by 14 using computers. And the state's been mad at us ever 15 since because they can't get their talons into it. So I 16 just wanted to share that with you is that \$28 billion 17 Indian gaming industry essentially emerged because the 18 Indians were smart enough to use computer technologies 19 in ways that the Nevada people would never do. Out here 20 in California they were still pulling handles on real 21 devices while we were doing cashless wagering. The 2.2 first all-cashless wagering system in the world, using 23 IGT and CDS, was at Barona, the most advanced computer 24 floor in the world. The gaming industry worldwide has copied everything that we've done. And I resent the 25

1 fact that some members, former members of the commission went before the national -- went before the Indian 2 affairs subcommittee of the Senate and alleged that in 3 4 Oklahoma there was no regulation. No. It's not that 5 there's no regulation in Oklahoma. It's that the Oklahoma Indians have figured out a way to make money 6 7 off of bingo, and the state can't get a dime of it, so 8 they're upset about it.

9 So thank you for the opportunity to share that10 little bit of history with you.

11 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: The floor is open for12 anyone that would like to come up to the microphones.

And in the absence of any comments, certainly there's going to be seven more of these, certainly don't expect everybody to be here, but we do have time still. I know this is the first meeting, and as many have told me, it's sort of a "sit and listen to see what other people are saying."

19 I might make sort of the last call before lunch. 20 We may end up taking an early lunch in the absence of 21 comments, and maybe after lunch there may be some folks 22 who are prepared to make other comments or additional 23 comments.

24 Do you want to come back at 1:15, hour and a 25 half?

	Page 87
1	Okay. And we'll hope that we have additional
2	comments at that time.
3	* * *
4	LUNCH RECESS
5	* * *
6	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Good afternoon, everybody.
7	I hope everybody had a nice break, got some food,
8	refreshed, have some ideas, some suggestions. Thank you
9	all for coming back.
10	Anybody wants to come up, please feel free.
11	MS. ECHO-HAWK: But just please remember to
12	state your name and either your tribe and who you're
13	representing so that we can get it for the record.
14	Thank you.
15	MS. MORAGO: Sheila Morago, executive director
16	for the Arizona Indian Gaming Association. Thank you
17	for breaking for lunch. Had a bunch of people that were
18	starving around here.
19	First I'd like say, you know, thanks for having
20	this hearing in Southern California, one of our favorite
21	places. However, we will have liked you guys to be in
22	Arizona for at least one of these eight so we didn't
23	have to travel so much.
24	The Arizona Indian Gaming Association will
25	submit its comments and its suggestions as a group. But

we do have some questions that we'd like to ask to sort of clarify some of the comments we're going to be making. And I have with me Glenn Feldman and Ed Roybal, who have some different parts and pieces of that, just to ask some questions so that we can get some clarity.

6 MR. ROYBAL: Ed Roybal, attorney for the Ak-Chin 7 Indian Community. For those of you who don't know, 8 Ak-Chin is located a little outside Phoenix. We also 9 have a management agreement with Harrah's, so a few 10 questions related to your management agreement language.

First thing was in your Part 533, approval management contracts. You're asking to clarify the trustee standard. And before we comment on that, could you give a little more flesh to what you're looking for there?

16 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I'm going to defer to Lael 17 on this. And I think we've had some discussion about 18 when management contracts come in. And if you recall, 19 there's a portion of IGRA that talks about the trust responsibility as any other trustee would have. 20 I think 21 that question has been brought to our attention on a 2.2 number of occasions with regard to what kind of 23 provisions are in management contracts may not 24 necessarily be very super beneficial to tribes. I think 25 that's one of the questions that has been brought to our

1 attention by tribes.

2 MR. ROYBAL: It has disapproval grounds, 533(6)b, then 531, so I think it's --3 4 MS. ECHO-HAWK: Yeah. This is actually a lot 5 simpler than it seems. Basically, the current Part 533 doesn't say that the contract can be disapproved when it 6 7 wasn't submitted in accordance with the submission requirements. So basically, I mean, it's sort of 8 9 stating the obvious. We can disapprove a contract if it 10 hasn't been submitted according to the requirements of 11 Part 543 or if it doesn't contain the regulatory 12 requirements for approval that are listed under 531. So 13 we're just saying we can't approve a contract if it 14 hasn't been -- in this case, our contracts office, it 15 hasn't -- we can disapprove a contract if the 16 requirements of Part 533 and 531 have not been met. So 17 that's all this particular section is saying, that we should include sort of the statement of the obvious, 18 19 that if you don't follow the provisions of the regulations, we -- that can be grounds to disapprove a 20 21 contract. 2.2 MR. ROYBAL: So my comments can be as short as 23 that. 24 MS. ECHO-HAWK: Yeah, exactly. 25 MR. ROYBAL: Okay. I was -- okay. I don't want

1 to belabor this.

The second one I have then along those same lines was Part 537, says some confusion whether the contractor should be required to submit Class II background information when the contract is only for Class III.

7 MS. ECHO-HAWK: Yeah, that again was, you know, 8 when the -- IGRA gives the NIGC the authority to do 9 background -- to background anyone, Class II or Class 10 III management company, but people don't understand 11 that. And ever since CRIT has come out, there's been 12 confusion, and it's kind of been a back and forth where 13 we've had tribes or, you know, frankly, the management 14 company is coming to us and saying, Well, you don't have 15 Class III authority, so I don't have to submit these 16 background -- or, you know, we don't have to get these 17 people investigated and get backgrounds done through 18 That's not what IGRA says. And so in that regard, you. 19 we want to just maybe think about cleaning up some language if it is a problem. If it's not an issue, you 20 21 know, we can say that as well.

22 MR. ROYBAL: We haven't had an issue, but when 23 you say there's some confusion, NIGC clarification would 24 go a long way towards helping us.

25

Then finally, at the beginning, your management

1 contract and concern about net revenues allowable uses, 2 I guess there's some concern amongst folks in Arizona --3 we had our premeeting -- that although there may be some 4 benevolence in the direction you're going here, that it 5 could be a backdoor kind of enforcement authority for 6 the commission vis-a-vis wraps in Class III. And we 7 just kind of wanted to flesh that out a little bit more.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: We know the concern, and we've 8 9 heard the concern about the NIGC stepping into revenue 10 allocation plans, conducting investigation of wraps or, 11 you know, use of -- net use of gaming revenue but 12 outside of the gaming operation and into tribal 13 operations. We've heard that concern. And we're very, 14 very interested in hearing some more feedback from 15 tribes on that issue. It goes along with use of net 16 gaming revenue bulletin that's currently out there and 17 maybe taking a new look at that because, you know, we've heard that concern and we hear it, and if there's a way 18 19 to address it in definitions, address the appropriateness maybe of where -- you know, where the 20 21 definition of net revenue should stay at and sort of the 2.2 authority that the NIGC has to enforce or make those 23 definitions and then make -- enforce or not enforce 24 outside of a certain scope. We're interested in hearing those thoughts from tribes about this. 25

1 Now, this --2 MR. ROYBAL: I think this is another one where 3 earlier people commented on this might be beyond statutory authority of the commission, you know, POI, 4 5 it's a big leap for the commission and revenue allocation plans and then possible enforcement and those 6 7 types of concerns. CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: And it does tie into that 8 9 bulletin. What are your thoughts on that bulletin? It 10 It's out there, and it was discussed earlier. exists. 11 Not sure if he's still here or not. But it has been 12 cited in some investigations by DOJ or in some cases. 13 You know, do tribes have thoughts about that particular bulletin, because it does talk about that revenue and 14 15 the uses and concerns I'm hearing today about that sort 16 of we don't want to you go down that road because we 17 question your authority to define that. Well, there's a 18 bulletin out there already that goes to that point of --19 and does define it. So what are your thoughts on that bulletin as it exists today? 20 21 MR. ROYBAL: I think there's a big difference 2.2 between bulletin and statutory authority is my short 23 answer. 24 MS. ECHO-HAWK: Well, if there's a way we can address that in a regulation that sets in stone perhaps 25

the NIGC's authority and limitations on that authority, then that would be something that we'd be interested in hearing about because I do think that it's not clear, and you combine the definition with the bulletin and all of the sudden, you know, we're the -- we've expanded somehow magically the scope. And so please do comment on that.

8 MR. ROYBAL: That's all I have. Thank you.
 9 MR. FELDMAN: Good afternoon. My name is Glenn
 10 Feldman. I'm the attorney for several Arizona tribes.

And as Sheila indicated, the Arizona tribes have spent a fair amount of time looking at this notice of inquiry. And we have a number of -- we're not here today to give substantive comments. We're here to get better understanding of what the issues are. So I just wanted to follow up on a couple of Ed's questions with a couple of additional questions.

18 Before I do that, though, I just want to make 19 the point for the record, and I think it was discussed earlier this morning, we're not going to go into the 20 21 Class III MICS issue here today in any depth. But it is 2.2 important that you understand that in Arizona the 23 compact has incorporated the NIG -- you know, done it a 24 different time, different era, and we have incorporated 25 the NIGC MICS into the Arizona compacts. So the

1 suggestion that they simply be repealed or, you know, somehow eliminated completely would create some fairly 2 significant problems to the Arizona tribes in terms of 3 4 where does that leave us and does that require us to 5 reopen our compacts, something that we're not real anxious to do at the moment. So again, we'll have 6 7 something more to say about that in our written 8 comments, but I just want to be sure that the 9 commissioners understood that there is that issue to be 10 addressed.

11 In terms of specific questions on specific 12 elements of the notice, I'm -- and these are just 13 questions. You know, we're just trying to understand what the commission's concerns are. Under collateral 14 15 agreements, Part 531, there's a sentence in here which 16 says, "The commission has taken the position that 17 although the collateral agreements must be submitted, 18 the commission only approves management contracts." 19 Now, the definition of "management contract" includes collateral agreements. So we're a little confused as to 20 21 what -- if that sentence is a completely accurate 2.2 statement or not. I mean, I assume that if you've got a document that's called "Management Contract" and two 23 24 other related agreements that are collateral agreements, 25 you look at the entire package and you either approve or

disapprove the package. I mean, since "management contract" includes collateral agreements, we were confused as to what's meant here, sort of the distinction between the two.

5 MS. ECHO-HAWK: I think that's correct. But there is some -- when reviewing the management 6 7 contracts, you look for the management positions and all that. One of the concerns that we have had recently in 8 9 the scope of sole proprietary interests is looking at 10 them cumulatively to make the determination about sole 11 proprietary interest and whether or not that's violated 12 when you put all the agreements together. And I think 13 for the contracts division, that was one of their concerns. And it's also a concern that we've been --14 15 I've been in I can't even count how many meetings where 16 these issues have come up where someone has had a 17 management contract and then subsequently there's an 18 employment contract and then a consulting contract and, 19 you know, developer agreements and all those things that came along with it. And when you do all the math, it 20 21 adds up to -- I think we used an example in here, the 2.2 tribe paying in excess of -- I mean, this is a real 23 example -- 80 percent of the gross gaming revenue 24 with -- when all those contracts and those collateral 25 agreements sort of combined.

800-227-8440

1	And so we're trying to figure out, I think, a
2	way what this goes to is to figure out a way to
3	approve or disapprove a management contract based on the
4	management contract's provisions but also being able to
5	take a look, if necessary, if we need to, I think, the
6	collateral additional agreements to see if they
7	violate the sole proprietary interest and whether or not
8	the tribe is actually a primary beneficiary or if
9	there's some other things in those agreements that might
10	turn it into a management contract. But I think there's
11	some confusion in our management in our contracts
12	division about how far can they go into looking in those
13	agreements to either approve or disapprove them based on
14	how they kind of add up cumulatively.
15	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.
16	The next question we have had to do with
17	background investigations, Part 556, background
18	investigations and licensing, Item No. 2, fingerprinting
19	for nonprimary management officials and key employees.
20	While we think preliminarily, we think this is a good
21	idea. And we think that if a tribe wants to conduct,
22	you know, full background investigations on lower-level
23	employees or vendors, they should have the right to do
24	that. Our question is whether this would require an
25	amendment of your MOU with the FBI, which, as I

understand it, is fairly specific as to who the FBI has
 agreed to provide fingerprint reports on.

3 MS. ECHO-HAWK: I haven't looked at that MOU in a while. It likely could because of the -- the 4 5 specificity is primary management official or key employee. It could, but is that something that maybe we 6 7 should consider if, you know, if we do want to go down this road and at the tribe's option? And if it is 8 9 something that the tribes want to do, then we can talk with the FBI about that. I know Larry Roberts, who's 10 11 chief counsel, has been working with the FBI, DOJ, IRS, 12 really trying to build this interagency coalition so our 13 relationships are strong. So if we needed to do 14 something like that, we could.

MR. FELDMAN: You think it could be done. Youhope that it could be done.

17MS. ECHO-HAWK: We're always hopeful.18CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: We can talk about it.

MR. FELDMAN: As I say, our general impression was it sounds like a good idea. To simply do the change would give tribes more options in terms of who they want to process through this, you know, from the system. So we think -- you know, assuming the FBI would agree to this, we think it's a good idea. Again, we'll have some written comments.

Last one has to do with, again, background 1 investigations, Part 556. In 1997 the NIGC began a 2 pilot program which allowed it to effectively perform 3 its duties of background investigations. Maybe we're 4 5 just missing something here, but none of us in Arizona know what this pilot program is, so we're hoping that 6 7 somebody can sort of point us towards information about 8 the pilot program that's been around for a few years. Jane Zerbi. I'm an attorney with 9 MS. ZERBI: tribes in California. My understanding of the pilot 10 11 program has been that when the federal regulations were 12 promulgated, it had some specific time lines and some 13 specific requirements that became very burdensome like 14 providing -- I think one of them, off the top of my 15 head, entire copies of applications, things like that 16 that the NIGC found was really not necessary over time 17 if the tribe, in fact, was maintaining them, and then the NIGC could come in and review them. 18 So my 19 understanding of the pilot program is a streamlined 20 process that retains the elements but enabled the 21 licensing process to be more efficient. 2.2 MR. FELDMAN: Is it in writing someplace? MS. ZERBI: And to add onto that, there's an 23 understanding with the NIGC and tribes regarding that 24 25 pilot program.

UNIDENTIFIED MAN: And that's what we got a copy
 of here.

3 MS. MORAGO: Which tribes actually use the pilot 4 program?

5 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: There's a number of tribes. And actually I don't know the numbers, but I remember 6 7 having this discussion with our staff. We have a number of tribes that do this. Matter of fact, I think my 8 9 tribe was one of the first tribes that signed up to do 10 this. And it was an effort to streamline the process. 11 And the tribes were doing really a lot of work. So not 12 sure why there's not more information.

MR. FELDMAN: Chairperson, is it possible to
post it on the Web site if it's available?

15 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: For some reason, I'm 16 thinking it's probably on the Web site. We'll have to 17 That's another thing we're doing is we're trying look. 18 to fix the Web site. It's not very user-friendly. We 19 recognize that. We actually had somebody plead not to 20 change it because they just figured it out. Let's make 21 it so it's easier to figure out from the beginning 2.2 instead of having to invest all this time. So if it's 23 not, we should have it up there. My apologies. 24 MS. MORAGO: Thank you. Just one final question in terms of Part 559, 25

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 the facility licensing portion of it. We're not ready to actually say if -- you know, we definitely have 2 opinions on that, but I think for the purposes of being 3 fair and offering suggestions, we were looking for some 4 5 maybe report from you on -- these regs have been out for a while. And we were wondering what you have done with 6 7 Is there something you can give us that shows them. their value to you as a regulator? because, you know, 8 9 let's face it. We're all in this together. So we don't 10 want to be arbitrary by saying we don't agree them, and 11 we want to see if you see value in it. And so we would 12 appreciate some feedback from you on what you have done 13 since these regulations have been in place.

14 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, certainly that's a 15 very useful question to have and ask for information 16 before you submit new comment. So we can do that.

17 MS. ECHO-HAWK: This regulation particularly, or 18 the being put in the NOI in addition to it -- and this is not -- the issues that we sort of fleshed out in more 19 detail are not the end of the universe. I mean, any 20 regulation is fair game. And if have you comments on 21 any of them or you think any of them need revised or 2.2 whatever, then, please, this is not -- this is just --23 24 these are the things, sort of the issues that have percolated to the top. But we here, you know, constant 25

criticism and critiques of the facility licensing and
 the requirements that it lays on tribes in terms more of
 their paternalistic sort of tone. That's what we hear.

4 We also hear that there is a general lack of 5 expertise in our agency to enforce or to monitor environmental, public health and safety issues. 6 Do we 7 have fire inspectors? No. We have gaming inspectors, and those kind of things. So that's why it was included 8 9 in here, but we can certainly gather more information. 10 But that was the rationale for including it as one of 11 the regulations that we kind of highlighted that we've 12 heard a lot of concern about.

13 MS. MORAGO: We were just looking at it in terms of duplication of efforts. We do this a lot with the 14 15 state when we're opening up facilities and we want to 16 make sure that there -- that, you know, if we're going 17 to really look at these regulations and be thoughtful about them and what is smart and what is expedient for 18 19 everybody, then the question is, you know, I think a fair one of let's find out what it is you're doing with 20 21 them and what are the duplications of efforts, because 2.2 if we're already doing it for somebody and somebody's 23 already checking on it, the question is, why do we have to do it again? And I think that's where it -- the more 24 information we get from you, the better our comments, I 25

1 hope, will be, because we don't want to -- I mean, this 2 is a great undertaking that you've taken, and we 3 appreciate it greatly, so as much time as you're putting into it, we feel it's only fair that we put in as much 4 5 time as you do in making sure that we comment on this. CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: 6 That's it? 7 MS. MORAGO: That's it. 8 MR. FELDMAN: For now. 9 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, and if you have any 10 additional questions, certainly get ahold of us, and 11 we're happy to answer your questions, provide 12 information. 13 MR. FELDMAN: Thank you. 14 MS. MORAGO: Thank you. 15 MS. ZERBI: Jane Zerbi. I had a question again 16 I think might help in the tribes that I work with 17 provide meaningful comment. You had asked a question about whether the NIGC should move to a ticket system 18 19 rather than a notice of violation for late fee 20 submittals. And I'm just wondering what you had in mind 21 there and how that fits in with IGRA. Is this limited 2.2 to, for instance, late fee submittals? I heard today, 23 for instance, that one suggestion of audits as well. 24 How do you see that working in conjunction with your overall role under IGRA? 25

Page 102

1	MS. ECHO-HAWK: This idea came up actually out
2	of staff, and I can tell that you having worked for a
3	tribe that was recipient of a notice of violation for
4	late fees, audits and fees somewhere in the realm of 20
5	days and we got a notice of violation, well, notice of
6	violation is a substantial enforcement action. It
7	carries with it possible closures and significant fines.
8	And so we were trying to think of a way where tribes,
9	when something like this happens, you pay a bill late,
10	you know, they don't come take your house; they charge
11	you a little penalty fee type. And I see many people in
12	the room who were last year, I think I couldn't
13	even I don't even know how many NOVs went out for
14	late fees. And internally there was some thought that
15	perhaps there's another way of going about doing this,
16	that if a tribe submits a late fee, late audit, that we
17	can implement sort of a sliding scale, and there's no
18	really particular idea, but it would be sort of, you
19	know, if you pay your parking ticket late, you know,
20	that kind of idea. That way we're not being so punitive
21	in that, you know, a change in your you know, the
22	person who processes some of your payments in your
23	finance office, a change of personnel doesn't mean that
24	the tribe is then subjected to a notice of violation.
25	Not only does a notice of violation carry these punitive

1 things, but it is posted on the Web site, and, you know, there's a number of things that happen that are, you 2 know, pretty punitive, and they're important. And if 3 it's something like this, then it might not -- it may 4 5 not rise to that level. That was the thought behind it. MS. ZERBI: So your inquiry is really focused on 6 7 late fee submittals. Is that correct? This is not the end of the MS. ECHO-HAWK: 8 9 universe. So if you have other ideas, please send them 10 to us as to how can we use this idea. If you like the 11 idea, then how can we use it? 12 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Jane, are you asking, 13 though, is this idea limited only to late fees, or are

14 you thinking about applying it to other sections where 15 there might be a violation?

16 MS. ZERBI: I was really asking the question to 17 try to get an understanding of what the NIGC is asking 18 about and then how far you would see that applying. Ι 19 know, for instance, with the tribes that I work with your letter process has been quite effective. And that 20 21 doesn't rise to the level of a ticket or a penalty, but, 22 you know, when you do get a letter and it has a 23 recommendation or something like that, it often is very 24 productive and folks respond to it and implement a recommendation. So I'm just looking at it on balance as 25

to what, you know, what your thoughts were in talking
 about a ticket system.

CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, I think there was one 3 period of time when we were checking with staff. 4 Α 5 number of years ago, there were a number of tribes that were late constantly, and there didn't seem to be any 6 7 improvement. And, you know, a very large number of tribes received notices of violation for late fees. 8 And 9 that seemed to get everybody back in line, but it seemed 10 like a really extreme way to do that. And is there a 11 progressive way to deal with late fees rather than being 12 so harsh on everybody, and if there is a way to do this 13 progressively rather than a large hammer coming down on 14 everybody across the board. So I think that's what 15 prompted this.

I think in the past letters were being sent out to tribes that were continually late with their fees, late with their audits, and there just never seemed to be any improvement. And there should be some sort of structure to that, I think especially in terms of fees, so that they can continue to operate. I think that's just what we were thinking in terms of just fees.

I'm glad to hear that it's working in other areas of enforcement where we're trying to preempt and work with tribes in advance, before a notice of

violation comes. But we do need to think about fees in
 another way in terms of getting everybody turning their
 fees in on time.

MR. VAN NORMAN: Mark Van Norman, National
Indian Gaming Association, and I'm here with Elizabeth
Homer, who's our counsel on regulatory matters.

7 We have had some longstanding issues about the NIGC regulations that were brought forward at the time 8 9 that the regulations were promulgated. So let me just 10 mention that in regard to the MICS, we've always had an 11 issue about the primacy of tribal-state compacts and 12 that tribal-state compacts should be recognized as 13 primary regulatory document along with tribal ordinances for Class III gaming. Certainly that concern was 14 brought forward by the Colorado River Indian Tribe's 15 16 decision, and we think that decision should be respected 17 by the NIGC.

And there's also a question about functionality of the regulations, and I'll let Liz talk in a little bit more detail about these things.

21 On facility licensing we were concerned about 22 process when they went through. There was a first-round 23 preliminary notice of rule-making. There was some 24 dialogue about that that was questioning the 25 regulations. And then after that there was a notice of

rule-making and a 45-day comment period, which was
 inadequate under the executive orders for rule-making.
 And we also think that they were drafted in such a way
 to exceed the NIGC's authority.

And there's a way to get things done, get the business of the commission done without exceeding the statutory authority under the IGRA, and we think that's part of your job as well as the regulations. So I think that issue needs to be looked at.

And then we also had outstanding issues under the Class II regulations. Certainly we didn't think there was a need for a classification regulation. We're happy that that was set aside.

14 So I'm going to ask Liz to explain these in a 15 little more detail. And these are preliminary 16 observations, but we know you need some starting points 17 to think about, and these have been things that, you 18 know, we had provided notice to the NIGC in prior years.

Thank you very much

MS. HOMER: Thank you, Mark.

21 Members of the commission, thank you very much 22 for this process and this wonderful dialogue that we're 23 having today. It's very refreshing, and I think that 24 the conversation this morning, the discussion and the 25 suggestions were excellent. I didn't hear anything that

19

20

we couldn't kind of rally around and say, yeah, this is good. And I think in particular the discussion about the need for us to put our heads together and figure out this conundrum with respect to the MICS has got to be a No. 1 priority.

And I would lump into that the Class II MICS 6 7 issue as well. I think that, you know, we could argue all day about whether or not the NIGC has authority to 8 9 issue Class II MICS of the nature of Part 542. I think that arguments could be made that prescriptive 10 11 regulations of that scope are not within the authority, 12 and I sure that NIGC's counsel could argue that they 13 are. I think that from the NIGA position, regulations, 14 if they're going to go forward with respect to Class II, 15 really need to be at the highest level of generality, 16 not at this highly prescriptive da ta ta da ta ta da, 17 you know, where everything is prescribed federally, 18 which also brings us to --19 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Can I interrupt you just

20 for -- minimum as opposed to maximum? 21 MS. HOMER: Minimum as opposed to maximum. 22 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Just want to clarify, make 23 sure I understood you. 24 MS. HOMER: The word "minimum" has to be

25 significant. That's a meaningful term, "minimum
internal control standards." And that brings us to the issue of enforcement which is -- which I haven't heard a lot of talk about this morning until just a few minutes ago. But, you know, for the past several years, the NIGC has kind of carried around a big stick and kind of waved it and every once in a while thumped somebody with it.

You know, and I think what is a much more ideal 8 9 relationship is one in which tribes have an incentive to 10 comply with best practices, with standards, whether 11 they're established as regulations or as guidance or any 12 of those kinds of documents. And there was a voluntary 13 compliance initiative in place prior to 2002, which was 14 if there was somebody who was going to get an enforcement action, a preliminary notice of violation 15 16 giving notice of what is wrong, you know, what the NIGC 17 is perceiving and what the problem is, and a reasonable 18 opportunity for cure, you know. That was the process. 19 That whole voluntary compliance initiative has just 20 vanished.

And I'm really -- you know, and I'm not going to represent NIGA or anybody else on this position, but just as a former member of the commission, this whole enforcement question is very important to me, and now that I represent clients, even more so is that there has

to be a reasonable enforcement policy. And almost all
 federal agencies have, you know, a notice, an
 opportunity for cure approach in their enforcement.
 That has not been the case at the NIGC.

5 And I think that, you know, even getting a preliminary notice of violation, and I speak from -- I 6 7 speak as an attorney who represents Indian tribes, is once that comes in, things get done. Things start 8 9 happening. If we get a notice of violation, on the 10 other hand, we have to go into defensive posture. Okay. 11 Now we've got to defend. Forget correcting. Forget --12 you know, now we're all together, huddled together in a 13 defensive posture. If you get a preliminary notice, 14 though, what you get is a tribal counsel and your gaming 15 commissioners all going, What's going on here in this 16 operation? You get this fixed right now, and blah, 17 blah, blah. You know, it's a whole different dynamic that is created in the tribal governmental situation. 18

19 So I would put, in addition to these items, the 20 MICS, the both the Class II and the Class III MICS, as 21 well as the facility licensing revisions, because 22 serious revisions are going to be needed, at least with 23 regard to the facility licensing at the top of the 24 agenda. But I think that we should really take 25 advantage of this opportunity to revisit the NIGC's enforcement regulations and build in a notice and
 opportunity for cure provision into that.

3 MR. VAN NORMAN: I would just say maybe you want to start out with just a letter. You know, instead of 4 legal proceeding, you could send a letter and say, We 5 have this concern, and can you please respond. And that 6 7 could lead you to a better engagement. You know, I 8 think working between governments, it's appropriate to 9 expect government regularity of the other government and 10 that if you're going to provide notice of inquiry, like 11 you're doing here, you know, that that may be a good way 12 without creating adversarial process to begin with.

13 I would say, you know, in a Class II we had concern about the entertaining display being required to 14 15 be tracked. That's not necessary according to the case 16 I think we're going to have a technical working law. 17 group meeting tomorrow and ongoing meetings and discussions about that, so you'll probably see a lot of 18 19 activity on Class II.

I guess those are our preliminary points. I think it's a good process to ask tribes to bring these issues forward, because I think we can get regulations that are a lot more workable for the commission and a lot more effective for Indian Country.

25

So thanks for the opportunity to participate.

1	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you.
2	MS. HOMER: Thank you very much. And I'll look
3	forward to following you guys around and heckling you.
4	And I just want to say thanks a lot. Thanks a lot for
5	listening and making these opportunities available.
6	It's a heck of a schedule. You know, I know you guys
7	are going to be exhausted by the time you get through
8	with this. But I think it's going to be very
9	beneficial, so thank you for that.
10	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thanks, Liz.
11	Thanks, Mark
12	MS. ECHO-HAWK: Liz, can I ask a follow-up
13	question?
14	Do you have ideas right now if you just want
15	to put them in written comments, that's fine about
16	the revisions to the facility license regulation? And
17	not just that but also if you have thoughts on 518
18	self-regulation, the self-regulation regulation.
19	MS. HOMER: Oh, you're going to hear a lot from
20	me on self-regulation. Self-regulation is an
21	opportunity for all of us to reform our relationships,
22	you know. It's a great opportunity for tribes and the
23	NIGC to work together. The self-regulation regulations,
24	unfortunately, there's not much benefit. I mean,
25	there's really no reason for a tribe to go into

1 self-regulation, because with a little bit of, you know, monetary benefit, which is pretty insignificant, they're 2 taking on this huge burden. And, in fact, the report 3 that they have to file even requires more information 4 5 than what a nonself-regulating would have to do. They have to report, for example, on their use of their 6 gaming revenue, you know, their -- nobody else has to do 7 that, but if they're self-regulated, you would have to 8 9 do that. Self-regulation should be a carrot. It should 10 be something that you aspire to, not just for the glory 11 of it but because there's true benefit in it. And that 12 would be in terms of decreased regulatory oversight, 13 higher degrees of deference. You know, maybe even the 14 MICS issue could be put to bed through an appropriate 15 self-regulation regulation.

So we're really truly talking about consensual relationships and consensual -- you know, sort of like we do compacts with the state, why couldn't do the self-regulation process -- the NIGC have compacts -with tribe that address these regulatory issues, you know, just food for thought, just something to throw out there.

23There was something else that you were asking24about in terms of self-regulation?

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Not self-regulation but the

25

1 | facility licensing you mentioned --

2 MS. HOMER: You know, it's really funny. When I was on the NIGC, this was like laid in my lap, this 3 whole facility licensing -- well, it was really the 4 5 environment, public health and safety. What the NIGC did between 2002 and the last year or so was to, you 6 7 know, kind of cobble together this question of facility licensing and the environment, public health and safety. 8 9 And really the licensing was a regulation -- the 10 facility license was basically a subterfuge for getting, 11 quote/unquote, enforceable environment, public health 12 and safety standards and to lock into place the NIGC's 13 authority to monitor environment, public health and safety issues. 14

I don't know why the previous administration wanted to do that. First of all, I think that's a huge liability for the agency. You know, I think it created a liability for the agency by taking on the whole oversight over something over which they don't really have clear authority and which is really truly a tribal, you know, a tribal issue.

So, I mean, could somebody sue the NIGC because some tribe burned down, killed hundreds of -- you know, some gaming facility burned down and killed hundreds of people and the NIGC didn't catch it beforehand? You

1 know, I mean, the agency has created liability for itself here, which is one of the reasons that, as a 2 member of the NIGC, I was opposed to a prescriptive 3 regulation that would give enforcement and oversight 4 5 authority over environment, public health and safety to the NIGC. That's why we went to the interpretive rule 6 7 that basically said this is how the NIGC interprets this 8 provision of IGRA. If a tribe is doing A, B, C, and D, then that satisfies our federal governmental oversight 9 10 concern, and leave it at that.

I never did understand, frankly, why the commission was so determined to get this regulation. I think it's not good for Indian Country, and I don't think it's good for the NIGC. I think it's kind of a lose-lose scenario there, but that's just my personal opinion.

17 MS. ECHO-HAWK: That was it. Thank you. MS. ZERBI: One additional brief comment. 18 Tn the interest of being brief, both United Auburn and the 19 Pala Band are going to submit detailed written comments 20 21 on the question about the NIGC MICS, which we believe is 2.2 a top-priority question and plays an important role in 23 the industry.

24 But I wanted to follow up on Elizabeth's 25 comments about consensual regulation. I think that was

1 your term. And I think that was part of the concept with the gaming ordinances for the tribes that I work 2 with in California. And that is, you know, a tribe and 3 their government makes the individual choice to put into 4 5 their gaming ordinance Class III MICS and the NIGC's role in monitoring and enforcement, and then under IGRA 6 7 the NIGC has authority to enforce that ordinance. So I 8 just wanted to follow up on that concept. It's the 9 first time I've heard it spoken in those terms, but I 10 think that was the spirit, in many ways, of that effort.

11 MS. ECHO-HAWK: I have a question for people in 12 the audience, something to think about. One of my 13 primary concerns as we're going down this road after April is, how do we make sure that the MICS -- Class III 14 15 MICS, Class II MICS, and the technical standards don't 16 take over, you know, our existence again in terms of 17 regulatory revision and review at the agency? because, 18 frankly, there are a number of other issues that are out 19 there that could enhance and help the industry and so we 20 need to make sure that there's a balance as we move 21 forward so that MICS doesn't dominate -- Class II, Class 2.2 III MICS don't dominate the discussion. And how do we 23 So I don't know if you have any thoughts or if do that? 24 it's something you want to think about and then put into a written comment. 25

1	But it is very important to the commission that
2	sort of the lower-level issues that don't, I guess,
3	receive as much press as Class II and Class III MICS,
4	that they're not overlooked and that we don't accomplish
5	things that would be very helpful to the commission and
6	to the industry as we move forward simply because we get
7	too wrapped up in this Class II, Class III MICS issue,
8	which is important and we will be addressing. But how
9	do we do both? because for the past eight years, we
10	haven't been able to do both really, not successfully,
11	in my opinion.
12	MR. VAN NORMAN: Well, one of the problems with
13	the MICS was always the outlook of the agency that we're
14	a federal agency and we want to have a federal rule
15	that's uniformly applicable across the country. And
16	that is not really the structured statute, because the
17	states are brought in through the tribal-state compact
18	process, and that is automatically going to result in
19	variability based on different negotiating stances and
20	positions of the state versus the tribes and the way
21	that, you know, state law can be a background for the
22	state negotiating position.
23	So I think that one of the things is to look to

23 So I think that one of the things is to look to 24 the primacy of the tribal-state compacts and to be aware 25 that those are the primary sources of regulation along

with the tribal ordinances and that the MICS are really 1 coming in through some kind of a voluntary incorporation 2 by reference, consensual regulation, however you want to 3 put it. And so actually there has to be flexibility at 4 5 the agency in terms of looking at the situation, looking at the authority, and looking how the regulatory 6 7 structure is put in place. And there's going to be variability in that. And so if that's recognized, the 8 9 agency can develop expertise and can accommodate that. 10 And it really wasn't credible when the agency 11 was saying, Well, we just need a federal rule because we 12 can't put up with all these different rules. Many 13 federal agencies put up with a myriad of different 14 rules, based on what reference they have to state bar or 15 county law, et cetera. 16 So I think that's important as you think about 17 the MICS that it's not really something that you have authority to impose vis-a-vis tribes and tribal 18 19 self-government. They're really supplemental. They're really guidelines. And it requires a different outlook. 20 21 Thanks. 2.2 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I've been saying that to various people throughout the day today about how we're 23 24 all going to -- all of us are going to have to strike a balance on this particular subject with regard to Class 25

III MICS. Clearly there are differences of opinion, and this is just our first stop. We've got seven more to go, you know 28, 29 states with 230-something tribes, and 430-something facilities out there that all have different language.

I'm coming into this, you know, with as open 6 7 mind as I can have about how do we do this with all of 8 this variability, that we don't create more gaps, we 9 don't overstep our authority, and we're going to have to 10 come up with this together. You know, where I'm hearing 11 from some, Keep these regulations in place. I need them 12 because they're in my compact, or I've got them in my 13 ordinance, or I have a problem with the state, where on 14 the other hand, you have tribes who say, Hey, I already 15 negotiated this with the state. I don't need the 16 federal government in my business. I don't need another 17 layer, or they have a good working relationship with the 18 state.

You know, we're going to have to strike some sort of compromise here or find some innovative, creative way to do this that helps because in the end what we are trying to do is protect this industry together. And it's a matter of who's doing it and what the authorities are and that it get done -- that's all I care about, that it gets done -- and to make sure that

1 in the process of putting together whatever the process 2 or mechanism is, we're not making it worse for some tribe in some area, whether that's a tribe that doesn't 3 have a good relationship with the state or it has it 4 5 already embedded in some other, you know, mechanism or, you know, step on the toes of tribes in states who, 6 7 frankly, have shed blood over these and have already been down this road and said, We don't want to go back 8 9 down that road. We're fine, thank you very much. Move 10 on.

So I'm going to look to all the tribes here to help us find out a solution, an innovative way that we do this that keeps the protections up and that this is being done.

So I hear you, Mark. I hear you. There's just inherently in the act itself built-in variations. You know, and blanket regulations may not necessarily be the way is what I'm hearing. And, you know, we'll just have to be creative.

20 MR. VAN NORMAN: Well, and I think that one of 21 the outlooks is an outlook from inside the beltway that 22 there ought to be a federal rule, there ought to be 23 uniform, and it makes it easy; otherwise, it's 24 impractical. And I think that actually if it doesn't 25 comport with the statute, that that's just not an

option. And in our view, that doesn't comport with the
 statute.

3 You're set up with regional offices. The regional offices can develop relationships, working 4 5 relationships and expertise, and can take into account the differences in tribal-state compacts and the 6 7 differences in the frameworks, by virtue of having people that have some longevity in those offices that 8 9 can pick up that experience over a period of time. And 10 that's a federalism outlook, and that's generally the outlook. 11 So we think just acknowledging that at the 12 beginning is going to be helpful.

13 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Going back to Lael's second 14 question, process, you know, there may need to be some 15 like parallel track so we can get some of this stuff 16 done that's easier to do, sort of the housekeeping 17 stuff, like the pilot program, once we get more 18 information from everybody about what exactly it is --19 disappointing not to have tribes know what that is, but we'll remedy that -- just some simple things and not, 20 21 you know, be bogged and have, you know, one of the major 2.2 initiatives which is very important kind of clog the 23 pipeline to get in some other much-needed work done on 24 other parts of the reqs. So then there's that option of doing parallel processes but, you know, would love to 25

1 hear more about how do we go about this.

2 I've heard some folks advocate for tribal advisory committees. We also have the option in the 3 executive order that tribes can provide to us an 4 5 alternative standard. They can offer something to us. We've heard about negotiated rule-making. You know, we 6 7 do want to do this in a way that is collaborative with tribes, with tribes. But, you know, I have a countdown 8 9 clock on my desk, literally. I'm not kidding you. It's 10 a countdown clock that tells me how much time I have 11 left to get as much work done as I can. And so we do have to be mindful. I certainly don't want to, you 12 13 know, and for the sake of time hurry through these things. But I do need to be creative and innovative 14 15 with all of you about how to prioritize these and 16 processes that are thorough, yet timely. So if anyone 17 has some suggestions on process, we've heard a lot of 18 complaints about the process in past.

19 I think in the beginning, and what I'm hearing 20 from tribes and recalling from my own experience, you 21 know, the advisory committee started out ten, 12 years 22 ago, and it morphed into something different than how 23 they started.

Facilitators, that's one of the things I haven't seen in my time working for my tribe, you know,

1 facilitators that direct traffic, that help when there's differences. You know, some ideas on process. A lot of 2 the critiques and complaints that we've heard, at least 3 I have heard of in my time in office, is process. And I 4 5 hate getting caught on process when we've got substance we have to get to. I'd like to, you know, get some 6 7 suggestions on process so that we can get that ironed out and we can get some flow in our work ahead of us, 8 for all of us, that doesn't bog us down. 9

Many of you all know that there are times when maybe the federal government gets bogged down in these processes, and we don't ever really get out of the mud. We don't get anything, you know, substantive done because we're fumbling around in the process, so love to hear, you know, any suggestions on process or the preferred models from tribes.

MS. HOMER: I haven't heard anyone step up, soI'll step in.

You know, I think that there -- I have said this before publicly in my speaking, that there's really only two things in the whole world, substance and process. And they're equally important. I mean, they really are. And you're exactly correct that some of the past administration's rule-makings did breakdown in process. But I think that that was largely due to the fact that

1 in the process the agency officials at that time were really unwilling to accommodate tribal interest. 2 It was 3 almost, when you would go to these processes, as though 4 that everyone's checking things off the tic list. Yes, 5 we met with this tribe. Yes, we met with that tribe. Yes, we asked this and we asked that and we let everyone 6 7 Okay. We're done. And so then we go and do speak. whatever we want to do because we're the federal agency 8 9 and we really, you know, are the final say.

And so everyone felt that that was a -- well, I won't speak for everyone. But everybody in my circle, we thought that that was basically, you know, not an honest process. You know, there may have been checks that were checked, but it wasn't an honest process of dialogue. And I think that that's what things really boil down to.

17 I think that if everyone understands that the 18 NIGC's policy is that, No. 1, we will accommodate the 19 tribal interest to the maximum extent we can, recognizing that sometimes you're going to have to, you 20 21 know, split the difference, you know, when there's --2.2 you know, where there's competing interests, that that's 23 really the referee job, but that we should approach 24 these things with a consensus goal, you know, maybe not a requirement we have to achieve things by consensus but 25

at least a goal that we're going to achieve as much as
 possible by consensus and that we need a practical
 thing.

4 The advisory committees are good because they're 5 practical. You know, 15, 20 people can sit around a table and actually can come up with a work product, you 6 7 know, and -- but that can't be the end of the process, because ten or 15 people don't represent all of Indian 8 9 Country. You know, I could not even -- most of my work 10 is in Oklahoma. I could not represent all of Oklahoma 11 interests as a member of that committee, because it's 12 just it's too massive. You know, there's too many 13 tribes. You couldn't just know everybody's issues and 14 be able to get the authority to represent them.

15 So there has to be a second step in that 16 process. So you work with tribes, you get a draft, and 17 then there's a second step of consultation. And then before a finalization of the rule, you know, where 18 19 you're really roping everyone in, and then there should be a hearing process at the very end, you know, so that, 20 21 you know, that people that are -- are going to come 2.2 and -- and, you know, we've all got the benefit of 23 everyone's comments. We've got the benefit of having 24 read what everyone else is saying and have a chance to think about it. Then there should be a final public 25

hearing process on the NIGC's regulations before they
 ever go final.

You know, so I'm seeing this as a multiple-phase project. And I think that people are going to be a lot more comfortable with that, you know. And it gives everyone the benefit of everybody else's thoughts and ideas too.

8 CHAIRMAN LOMBARDI: If I could just add a 9 footnote to those comments, if you engage in a process 10 that involves industry experts, tribal leaders as 11 advisory committees providing valuable information to 12 the commission as you struggle with your decisions, you 13 should consider, unlike what the previous commission did, allowing those committees to retain their own 14 15 experts, independent from the ones that the commission 16 is using.

17 I think that it's fair to say that there's a 18 prejudicial view in Indian Country, and it's not fair 19 that people who end up on those committees are hand-picked because they will be pliable. And I don't 20 21 think that's true, but that is a perception. And that's 2.2 unfortunate for those that committed their time and 23 served. And I think that it makes individuals in our 24 industry less willing to serve in those positions when, for example, when they were considering the Class III 25

1 machine technical standards and staff members from the National Indian Gaming Commission were not able to 2 answer technical questions about the regulations, that 3 committee requested to get their own technical experts 4 5 to answer their questions. And the chairman of the National Indian Gaming Commission, in a very gruff 6 manner, told them no. And I think there are people 7 sitting in the room that know what I'm talking about. 8

9 I just wanted to add to that is that if these 10 are going to be working committees, there should be some 11 level of independence in their structure so that they 12 can get their own lawyer to advise them or they can get 13 their own technical experts. And their contributions 14 would be published or valued as much as, say, NIGC's 15 staff that attempt to control those committees, and so 16 that the perception is not that the conclusion that 17 committee will reach has already been arrived at and 18 it's just a matter of the process getting to the right 19 answer.

I think that actually did happen, and there are people here tomorrow that will be working on the Class II MICS advisory group that has been formed that could provide you with a lot more detailed information about -- because those technical standards contain elements that need to be revisited, like how they came

up with calculating what the random number generator number was. Nobody's ever been able to answer that. And we had access to technical experts that probably could have come up with a better number or better way of formulating that, but we're not allowed to do that because the chairman didn't want to waste any more time doing it.

So I just wanted to add to her comments that a 8 9 lot could be done to enhance the integrity of the 10 advisory committees and to encourage the people in this 11 room who really could provide valuable information to be 12 willing to serve, because I think that that damaged your 13 ability to get people like that to spend their time if 14 they think that they're going to be seen as being the 15 coconspirators, if you will. I hate to use that word, 16 but I think that happened.

17 Thank you.

18 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, Chairman19 Lombardi.

And I think that we -- I will say, not that I think, we do accept comments on how you choose the advisory committee members and not have it be so restrictive that -- or targeted, I guess, that, you know, are we really getting all the information that we need, and then being open enough, at least I am -- you

1	should know this about me. I'm not the keeper of all
2	information. I readily admit this. Those who work with
3	me, when I don't have an answer, I'm digging around
4	looking for somebody who does have the answer. And we
5	should be flexible enough to change up as the situation
6	warrants and find the people who do have the answers.
7	Any other process? Any specific regulations
8	that anyone wants to address?
9	CHAIRMAN JAEGER: My name's Ron Jaeger. I'm
10	gaming commissioner chair of gaming commission for
11	United Auburn Tribe in Auburn, California.
12	And I'd like just to make some comments to your
13	question, and I would be happy to submit for the record
14	a process that I use here in California. I was the
15	regional director for the Bureau of Indian Affairs in
16	Sacramento for 12 years and had to work with 105
17	federally-recognized tribes in the state of California.
18	As Elizabeth said, it's very difficult to
19	communicate, 105 tribes, to consult and to give
20	consensus. So I, with the tribes of California, and a
21	lot of the tribal chairs were here this morning, and
22	they've left. Danny Tucker, Mr. Calac, and others that
23	were part of that group, Bo Mazetti, was part of the
24	tribes that I worked with, to come up with a process, a
25	consultation process, and we did that.

And it was -- and I don't want to go into a lot of detail, but I'd be happy to submit that process whereby we did come to consensus on an issue from all 105 California tribes. We had an agency policy -- we're divided into three agencies here in California -northern, central, and southern -- and where the 105 tribes resided.

And from those agency tribes, say the 25 tribes here in Southern California, we established a policy board. We didn't call it a advisory board. It was a policy board that worked with the superintendent in all three agencies. And we had office in Palm Springs with the Agua Caliente Tribe.

But my point here is that from those agency policy boards, we established a regional policy board where issues were discussed, went back to the agency boards. Those people on the agency board had a responsibility with the superintendent to meet with their 24 or 25 tribes or whatever the issue was.

I was trying to restructure the Bureau of Indian Affairs in California -- this is five or six years ago -- to come up with a California office of trust, which I thought was more important than some of the things we were doing at the agencies. And it involved actually closing some agency offices, establishing and

transferring FTE and people to the region to establish like a realty office with 30, 40 realty people, which would be more helpful to tribes who wanted to deal with trust issues, put land into trust, and those kinds of things. So in the end I lost, but in the end it was a vote of the California tribal people as to what the decision would be.

And so I had that process. I actually -- I 8 9 don't mean to be talking about myself, but I did -- I 10 was provided to the Department of Interior of the Bureau 11 of Indian Affairs back in the day, and I was one of 60 12 federal managers within the entire federal government to 13 get a presidential rank award, and one of them was for 14 the consultation process that I established in 15 California. So I'd be happy to submit that to you if 16 you would like to look at it.

17

Thank you.

I'm not sure how it would work on a nationwide basis with 400-and-some tribes in different states and so forth, but it was a process that worked for the situation in California. And, you know, I don't see any of the tribal leaders here that was part of that process, but it was successful.

24 But, you know, I just thought I'd share that 25 with you, and if you want to take a look at it, I could 1 submit it.

2 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, many of you also may be familiar with the tribal budget advisory committee 3 that is over at the interior. And that's a process 4 5 where the 12 BIA regions are left to select their representative and an alternate, you know, and you don't 6 7 see interior BIA getting there telling them who they're 8 going to pick. They just say, Each region, figure it Send us the names, and let us know who's going to 9 out. 10 represent your region, who can actively participate. 11 You know, certainly we would consider those kind of --12 that kind of deference. But certainly we want to make 13 sure that we have the experts in the room who can help 14 us, as Chairman Lombardi was sharing.

15 CHAIRMAN JAEGER: I would just add that the 16 tribal people that were elected to serve on the boards 17 were nominated and elected by the tribes in that agency, 18 not by the bureau or not by the superintendent, not by 19 the regional director. So it was people that they had confidence in, people that wanted to be involved, and 20 21 people who could report back on a regular basis. 2.2 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Any other comments? 23 Lael, did you want to bring up anything else 24 that hasn't been discussed yet?

MS. ECHO-HAWK: I think we've discussed most of

25

-					
1	the things that were sort of, kind of percolated to the				
2	top. Sole proprietary interests, I don't know if anyone				
3	had any additional comments on that, primary				
4	beneficiary. But I think that almost everything has				
5	been touched on, at least, that we identified as sort of				
6	hot topics or issues that have come up.				
7	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Jess, I'm surprised you				
8	haven't said anything yet. I see you back there. I				
9	know you're there.				
10	MR. GREEN: (Inaudible)				
11	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Okay. Are you winding up?				
12	Is that what you're doing? Not today?				
13	MR. GREEN: (Inaudible)				
14	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: You're going to wait until				
15	I get to Oklahoma?				
16	MR. GREEN: (Inaudible)				
17	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Are you coming up to				
18	Squaxin Island? You can come to my home turf.				
19	MR. GREEN: I'm afraid to go there. They might				
20	not (inaudible).				
21	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Actually, they're the				
22	hardest on you, so you've got a lot to live up to.				
23	Everybody's waiting with baited breath. Am I				
24	going to dismiss everybody? You know, because there				
25	certainly, if there are you know, we can talk further				

if anyone would like to. There's always other
consultations. You can always submit comments. Feel
free to contact Lael for clarification. We've had a lot
of folks come up to us, as you heard today, asking
clarifying questions about what certain parts of the NOI
meant.

Dan, did you want to add anything?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yeah. 8 One of 9 the things I was very pleased today is this has been 10 more of a discussion with tribes instead of at tribes, 11 and that's very important to this commission. And 12 actually, I like the fact that I haven't had to do a lot 13 of talking, because the tribes have been doing the 14 talking. And that is the whole purpose of this process 15 here is we want to hear from you. We want to hear from, 16 you know, your gaming commissioners and your tribal 17 leaders things on how things that we do that affect your 18 operation. So that's really all I want to say.

Thank you.

20 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Okay. Well, if there is 21 nothing more, I hate to leave -- have everybody staying 22 here as we look at each other, kind of mull over the 23 same stuff we were just mulling over. But feel free to 24 contact us.

25

19

7

I want to say thanks to Sycuan for graciously

Page 135 1 hosting and allowing -- and many of the other tribes for 2 allowing us to come into their territory and supporting 3 this process with your attendance and with your comments. We strongly encourage questions. We strongly 4 5 encourage contact and your written comments. I wish you all and bid you all safe travels 6 7 And again, thank you very much on behalf of the home. commission. 8 9 Thank you. 10 (Proceedings concluded at 2:40 p.m.) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

	Page 136
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, R. Denise Marlow, Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter in and for the State of California, do hereby
5	certify:
6	
7	That the foregoing proceedings were reported
8	stenographically by me and later transcribed into
9	typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing is a
10	true record of the proceedings taken at that time.
11	
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
13	my name this 26th day of January, 2011.
14	
15	
16	
17	R. Denise Marlow, CSR No. 11631
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Г

[& - addressed]

0	2011 1.14 2.1 16.20	527 52.22 00.2	accounting 16.21.25
&	2011 1:14 2:1 16:20 24:11 25:16 136:13	537 53:23 90:3 542 35:4,8 108:9	accounting 46:24,25 73:19
& 39:13		543 89:11	
1	230 119:3	543 89:11 556 96:17 98:2	accurate 94:21
1 1:25 38:2,4 57:20	24 130:19	550 90:17 98:2 559 99:25	accustomed 10:4
108:5 124:18	25 51:17 53:6 66:3		achieve 124:25
1/2 11:5,13 23:11,14	69:12 130:8,19	59 32:17	125:1
23:16	250 84:1	6	acknowledging
105 129:16,19 130:4	26th 136:13	6 11:4,13 89:3	121:11
130:6	28 85:16 119:3	60 131:11	acquaintance 55:1
11 1:14 2:1 67:13	29 119:3	8	act 12:13,18 22:11
	2:40 135:10		31:19 55:7,10 74:24
110 32:18	3	80 95:23	120:16
11631 1:15 136:17	3 13:18 19:10,17	86 24:7	action 18:12 39:1
11th 47:10,25 48:16	23:4,21 29:15,23,25	9	45:24 48:8 103:6
12 122:21 129:16 132:5	30:11,15 31:5,22	90s 61:13	109:15
	33:10,23 34:9 35:4	9:12 1:14 2:1	actions 46:17
12866 12:13 12th 16:14 24:6	35:7,8,15 36:3	a	actively 132:10
	38:17 39:7,19 40:4	a.m. 1:14 2:1	activities 29:15
13 58:8	40:9,10 41:7 42:1,5	abide 77:3	activity 111:19
13175 6:24	42:17,21 43:5,25	ability 20:13 34:22	actual 54:16 72:10
136 1:25	44:7,17 45:1 47:4	46:15 83:17 85:9	actuality 68:6,7
15 37:12 56:8 69:15	47:15	128:13	add 31:17 32:3
69:16 125:5,8 18 84:25	30 131:2	able 4:16 27:10 61:7	50:11 72:16 96:14
18 84:25 18th 16:13	326 1:13	75:8 77:15,15,17	98:23 126:8 127:9
1997 98:2	360 81:22	78:9 79:3 80:12	128:8 132:15 134:7
1:15 86:24	4	81:17 84:8 85:1	added 41:25
	4 18:5 48:21	96:4 117:10 125:14	addition 34:4 36:20
2	4 18.3 48.21 40 131:2	127:2 128:2	52:8,20 54:2 100:18
2 19:9,17,22,23 23:4	40 131:2 400 131:19	aboriginal 2:12	110:19
23:11,14,15,21	430 119:4	absence 86:13,20	additional 6:1 37:22
29:22 30:6,10,14	45 107:1	absolutely 17:20	56:14 60:15 61:1,3
31:22,24 32:1,8,10		abuses 41:9	72:16 80:7 86:22
45:8 47:4,6,16,19	5	abusing 79:5,5	87:1 93:17 96:6
47:23 48:6 96:18	502 18:8 51:17	80:22	102:10 115:18 133:3
20 73:10 103:4	502.15 51:18	accept 47:14 128:21	additionally 46:10
125:5	502.5 53:3	access 20:10 128:3	address 7:18 12:1
2002 109:13 114:6	514 53:6	accidents 41:1	18:16 19:19 32:6
2003 28:14 29:20	518 112:17	accommodate 118:9	37:8,17 44:11,24
31:1 74:2	523 19:1	124:2,18	49:20,20 62:25
2004 49:3	531 19:3 53:23 89:3	accompanied 25:3	67:21 91:19,19
2005 80:1	89:12,16 94:15	accomplish 117:4	92:25 113:20 129:8
2007 49:3	533 53:23 88:11	account 121:5	addressed 15:3,7,11
2010 16:20	89:3,5,16	accountable 17:1	15:13 23:6 42:2
			71:12 77:24 78:14
			/1.12//.24/0.14

[addressed - approach]

94:10	afraid 133:19	ahold 102:10	analyzing 80:4
addresses 44:9	afternoon 87:6 93:9	air 2:20	andersen 73:5,19
addressing 117:8	agencies 12:14 13:7	ak 88:6,8	angela 4:15
adds 95:21	13:8,12 14:9 23:23	albeit 40:5	answer 15:21 37:16
adequately 44:9	45:9 60:21 65:19	alg 39:15	92:23 102:11 127:3
adhere 38:4	110:2 118:13 130:5	allegation 79:14	127:5,19 128:2
administration	130:12,24	alleged 86:3	129:3,4
41:11,13 49:5 55:3	agency 6:4,6 13:6,18	alleging 39:21	answered 30:22
68:10,20,21,22,24	14:19,23 22:18	allocation 46:25	answers 129:6
71:14,14,22 114:15	24:15 30:20 65:13	75:4,9 76:16 80:5	anthony 38:10,13
administration's	68:2,10 69:24 70:5	91:10 92:6	anticipate 24:16
123:24	70:25 101:5 114:17	allow 28:9 41:14	25:6
administrative 39:9	114:18 115:1	allowable 91:1	anxious 94:6
admit 129:2	116:17 117:13,14	allowed 98:3 128:5	anybody 84:15
adopt 29:12 40:25	118:5,9,10 124:1,8	allowing 64:2	87:10 109:22
60:18 63:13,17 73:6	130:4,8,14,16,17,25	126:14 135:1,2	anytime 11:22 80:20
74:8,16	132:17	allows 67:21,24 82:7	anyway 39:4 40:5
adopted 2:23 28:11	agenda 12:22 17:22	alluded 71:20	apart 31:1
31:21 35:13 64:22	25:3,4,15,19 40:2	alternate 132:6	apartment 23:15
65:11 74:5	42:15,18 44:16 49:6	alternative 47:24	apologies 99:23
advance 105:25	74:21 110:24	122:5	apparent 53:7
advanced 85:23	aggressively 41:7	alternatives 9:10	appeal 54:3
advantage 70:19	ago 29:21 31:12	13:21,25 23:25	appeals 28:23 39:12
83:1,18 84:22 85:2	67:13,13 105:5	altitude 84:3	39:17,20
110:25	109:4 122:22	altogether 44:19	applaud 41:10 50:5
adversarial 111:12	130:22	ambulance 75:3	69:5
advice 47:22	agree 9:8 33:22	78:19 79:1 80:8	applauds 45:4 47:18
advise 127:12	43:10 58:16 64:11	amen 3:18	applicable 117:15
advisement 67:25	97:23 100:10	amend 12:9 40:25	applications 98:15
advisory 15:16	agreed 59:1 62:16	59:11 80:13	applying 104:14,18
20:24 29:9 31:5	64:8 97:2	amended 27:18	appoint 55:3
35:16 42:11 54:9,16	agreement 51:21,23	82:11	appointed 40:24
64:25 67:18 74:10	52:2,4,5,13,15,21,22	amending 25:17	appointment 55:2
122:3,21 125:4	52:23 53:1,3,4	44:16 59:13 74:8,15	55:19
126:11 127:22	62:14 81:21 82:5,25	78:23	appointments 8:4
128:10,22 130:10	83:4,5 88:9,10	amendment 47:11	41:10,12
132:3	agreements 19:5	48:1,16 51:14 96:25	appreciate 5:1 33:7
advocate 44:18	38:18 49:11 53:19	america 76:4	36:12 50:8,8 58:14
67:19 69:22 122:2	62:5,9 81:12,13	american 63:10	100:12 102:3
affairs 76:18,22	94:15,17,20,24,24	amicus 39:8,13	appreciated 74:20
86:3 129:15 130:21	95:2,12,19,25 96:6	ammunition 80:7	appreciation 4:2
	96:9,13	amount 93:12	approach 51:3 68:3
affect 5:11 7:11 54:6	agua 130:13	amounts 32:6	74:14,19 110:3
56:24 134:17	ahead 123:8	analyze 5:22	124:23
	1	1	

[approached - basically]

approached 46:1	assessing 49:12	audits 46:14 47:2	58:20 64:24 65:25
appropriate 35:11	assets 2:16 34:2	102:23 103:4	66:2,3,5 72:16,19
45:23 54:19 62:22	assist 51:13 52:21	105:18	76:18 82:23 86:24
67:22 68:1 111:8	assistance 5:18	augustine 28:2	87:9 90:12 105:9
113:14	42:12	31:14 83:9	120:8 121:13
appropriateness	assistants 4:15	aup 59:7	130:16 131:11
91:20	associate 9:16,17	author 39:12	132:21 133:8
approval 39:23 65:6	134:8	authorities 119:24	backdoor 45:2 91:5
88:11 89:12	associated 16:21	authority 20:16	background 19:9
approve 89:13	53:5,24	29:1 30:6,9,20	20:2 55:14 90:5,9,9
94:25 96:3,13	association 33:16	32:13 38:5 39:4,18	90:16 96:17,17,22
approved 42:6	43:14,18 63:11 70:1	39:22 40:6,19,24	98:1,4 117:21
58:14 65:8 77:14	71:9,9 87:16,24	41:15 42:1,19,23	backgrounds 90:17
approves 94:18	106:5	43:1 44:25 46:9	bad 44:12 48:10,15
approving 40:5	associations 70:1	49:1 57:22 58:3,10	71:21 72:1 73:16
77:25	assume 39:22 94:22	60:1,3 61:20 62:4	77:20 78:7
april 12:15,16,20	assuming 71:25	63:23,25 76:17 77:8	bailiwick 30:15
25:15 116:14	97:23	77:9 79:5 90:8,15	baited 133:23
arbitrary 40:18	assumption 43:16	91:5,22 92:4,17,22	balance 72:1 104:25
100:10	assure 74:4	93:1,1 107:4,7	116:20 118:25
area 29:7 30:13	asteroid 73:3	108:8,11 114:13,20	ball 69:11
33:13 34:21 51:18	atlantic 85:4	115:5 116:7 118:6	band 2:5,10,10 11:3
76:17 77:24 81:10	attempt 13:14	118:18 119:9	27:13 28:2 32:4
81:18 82:16 120:3	127:15	125:14	33:2,6 36:9 37:11
areas 28:17 34:22	attempting 64:18	authorized 45:11	37:24 38:3 41:24
35:7 36:4 40:25	70:13	automatic 59:25	47:5 50:23 54:4
53:23 54:5 105:24	attendance 135:3	automatically	60:12 66:25 85:1
argue 108:7,12	attendant 45:24	117:18	115:20
arguments 108:10	attendence 5:1	available 47:7 48:4	banks 81:1
arizona 87:16,22,24	attention 27:3 36:20	63:15 79:11 99:14	bar 63:10 70:1
91:2 93:10,11,22,25	37:6 88:21 89:1	112:5	118:14
94:3 98:5	attorney 11:4 19:12	avenues 39:25	barona 85:23
arrived 127:17	26:9 36:14,14 43:1	avoid 30:19 77:24	base 64:9 84:10
arthur 73:5,19	60:11 63:6 64:3	78:1	based 11:19 14:21
articulated 38:6	71:9 75:7 88:6	award 131:13	17:22 31:23 32:7
aside 12:2 107:13	93:10 98:9 110:7	aware 26:5 33:14,18	35:14 40:7 51:11
asked 72:17 102:17	auburn 60:12	34:15 72:20 117:24	53:12,21 67:6 72:2
124:6,6	115:19 129:11,11	b	96:3,13 117:19
asking 15:8 83:14	audience 36:22	b 75:14 89:3 115:8	118:14
88:12 104:12,16,17	57:13 116:12	back 6:15 23:18	baseline 42:8 74:6
113:23 134:4	audit 33:20 46:16	25:22 26:1,21,22	basic 45:14
asks 15:1	46:22 59:3,5,7,7	43:17,18 45:6,18	basically 73:21 78:7
aspire 113:10	103:16	48:13 55:23 56:7,8	89:5,8 114:10 115:7
assembled 54:21	auditing 34:6	57:8,8,24 58:18,19	124:12

basis 35:23 66:6	beyond 40:18,19	break 25:25 56:6	businesses 22:14
74:3 131:19 132:21	92:3	87:7	82:12
bat 56:9	bia 132:5,7	breakdown 123:24	busy 16:4
battles 59:18	bid 135:6	breaking 87:17	button 16:19 24:9
beach 75:3	big 33:2,14,24 34:4	breath 2:20 133:23	26:3
beam 84:3	34:12,20,24 35:5,14	breathe 68:7	buy 22:11,12 81:25
beat 70:20	35:20 36:2 43:10	brief 9:18 39:13	с
beautiful 4:5	50:23 51:1,10,12	115:18,19	c 13:18 23:21 63:7
beauty 56:20	53:7 54:9 59:3 64:3	briefly 53:16	75:15 115:8
bed 113:14	83:23 84:5 92:5,21	bright 39:20 42:15	cable 84:5
beef 18:13	109:5	brilliant 30:17	cahuilla 28:2
began 98:2	bigger 59:10	bring 21:15 67:22	calac 37:2,3 129:22
beginning 8:2 39:8	biggest 58:23 59:19	111:21 132:23	calculating 128:1
67:1 90:25 99:21	71:17	brings 108:18 109:1	calculation 82:8
121:12 122:19	bill 103:9	broadway 1:13	83:8
begins 25:16	billion 85:16	brought 65:21 83:6	calendar 18:9
behalf 4:7 5:1 37:10	bingo 82:18 84:1,2,6	88:21,25 106:8,15	caliente 130:13
50:9 61:7 67:3	85:5,6,7,13 86:7	117:17	california 1:13 2:2
78:15 135:7	bit 20:7 23:1,11 62:7	budget 132:3	9:20 28:5,8,10,16
behavior 44:12	64:5 86:10 91:7	budgets 6:11 45:12	28:20 29:14 30:5,7
belabor 90:1	106:20 113:1	build 97:12 111:1	30:10,20 31:15 32:4
belief 34:25 66:11	blabbing 14:5	building 5:9	32:12,18,23 33:3,15
believe 9:5 29:22	black 77:22,23	built 120:16	33:18 44:8 47:13
35:10 37:4 65:14	blah 110:16,17,17	bulk 25:19	48:2 49:5 57:17,19
66:19 67:10 115:21	blanket 120:17	bulletin 18:16,17	58:8 61:13 64:4
believes 34:12 35:15	blessing 28:4 29:4	29:11 35:22 58:24	71:4 73:5 74:1,14
41:24	81:15	61:23 69:23 74:10	74:19 75:6,9,19,21
belong 26:8	blessings 3:2	79:22 80:1,1,15	81:21,24 82:12
beltway 120:21	blinders 72:6	91:16 92:9,9,14,18	84:13 85:8,20 87:20
beneficial 5:14	blood 120:7	92:20,22 93:4	98:10 116:3 129:11
88:24 112:9		bulletins 34:9,11	129:14,17,20 130:4
beneficiary 49:17	board 105:14	43:6	130:5,9,21,22 131:6
49:18 96:8 133:4	130:10,10,11,15,17	bunch 87:17	131:15,21 136:4
benefit 32:19 73:12	boards 130:15,17	burden 16:7 113:3	california's 31:10
112:24 113:2,11	132:16	burdensome 98:13	82:9
125:22,23 126:6	body 43:5 49:1	bureau 76:17,22	call 66:8 86:19
benevolence 91:4	bog 123:9	129:15 130:20	130:10
bergman 37:3	bogged 23:7 121:21	131:10 132:18	called 94:23
beronio 37:5	123:11	buried 41:21	campacts 44:14
best 5:16 49:21 57:5	boil 124:16	burned 114:23,24	campaigns 76:5
71:13 74:9 109:10	born 58:19	burris 66:24,24	candidates 76:5
bet 4:22	borrow 78:19	bushes 84:19	capita 75:24 76:8
better 18:25 85:3,3	boundaries 39:6	business 75:10 82:4	capitol 69:1
85:4 93:15 101:25	box 45:7	107:6 119:16	cupitor 07.1
111:7 128:4,4			

cards 84:1	55:15 57:16 72:15	checks 124:13	42:17,21 43:5,25
care 119:25	73:23 77:5 81:7	cheryl 78:25 80:8	44:7,17 45:1 47:4,6
careful 72:5	126:8 127:5 128:6	chickasaw 71:6	47:15,16,19,23 48:6
carried 109:5	128:18 129:9	chief 43:24 71:23	61:21 69:21 90:4,6
carries 103:7	132:14,15	97:11	90:9,9,15 91:6
carrot 113:9	chairperson 72:15	chin 88:6,8	93:21 106:14
carry 34:23 48:11	84:11 99:13	chippewas 54:4	107:11 108:6,9,14
48:17 103:25	chairs 129:21	choctaw 47:8	110:20,20 111:13
casas 63:2,4,6,6	chairwoman 2:4,8	choice 116:4	111:19 116:5,14,15
78:15,15 79:20,25	2:19 3:20,24 10:12	choose 128:21	116:21,21 117:3,3,7
case 31:14 54:2	12:23 26:20 27:6,25	christ 3:17	117:7 118:25
89:14 110:4 111:15	29:19 30:3,23 32:25	circle 64:23 65:2,2	126:25 127:21
cases 49:4 80:2	36:7 50:7 55:22	124:11	classification 31:18
92:12	56:16 60:9 67:1	circuit 28:23 42:24	107:12
cash 79:11	72:14 86:11 87:6	circulating 19:6	cleaning 90:19
cashless 83:25 85:21	88:16 92:8 97:18	circumstances	clear 34:9 40:1
85:22	99:5,15 100:14	40:22 45:16 46:2,12	42:11,13 43:4 48:15
casino 83:11 85:7	102:6,9 104:12	48:19 49:22	72:22 73:2 93:3
catch 114:25	105:3 108:19,22	circumstancially	114:20
caught 123:5	112:1,10 118:22	49:24	clearly 32:8 42:19
cds 85:23	121:13 128:18	circumvent 42:15	47:2 119:1
central 130:6	132:2,22 133:7,11	circumventing 40:2	click 16:19,20 24:10
certain 34:10 67:14	133:14,17,21	cited 92:12	client 50:9
77:19 91:24 134:5	134:20	citing 57:25	clients 61:7 65:4
certainly 9:10 38:10	challenged 43:17	city 85:4	109:25
55:23 86:13,14	challenges 35:10	clarification 28:21	clock 122:9,10
100:14 101:9	chance 125:24	51:19 52:11 90:23	clog 121:22
102:10 106:14	change 10:20 14:21	134:3	closely 48:24
107:11 122:12	37:18 40:16 50:1	clarified 42:20	closes 16:14 24:6
132:11,12 133:25	69:23 97:20 99:20	51:25	closing 54:24 130:25
certification 136:1	103:21,23 129:5	clarify 30:24 31:8	closure 39:2 46:4
certified 136:3	changed 14:16	46:9 65:3 88:2,12	closures 45:25 103:7
certify 136:5	changes 7:11 25:20	108:22	clr 1:15
cetera 52:6 118:15	31:12 35:17 36:1	clarifying 134:5	cnn 84:4
cfr 51:17 53:6	74:8 83:1	clarity 67:12,14	coalition 97:12
cgcc8 33:17,22 59:3	changing 35:24	88:5	cobble 114:7
59:8	79:24	class 19:9,10,17,17	cochran 8:5 71:16
chair 8:5 20:15	charge 80:17 103:10	19:22,23 23:4,4	coconspirators
64:21 129:10	chaser 79:1	29:15,22,23,25 30:6	128:15
chairman 2:5,7 3:21	chasers 78:19 80:8	30:10,11,14,15 31:5	code 11:6
5:25 27:12,13 28:1	chasing 75:3 85:4,5	31:22,22,24 32:1,8	cold 64:5
28:1,3 29:3,20 30:2	checked 124:14	32:10 33:10,23 34:9	collaboration 13:13
31:14 36:8,9,20,24	checking 13:23	35:4,7,8,15 36:3	collaborative 74:14
37:2 38:10 40:21,23	101:23 105:4 124:4	38:17 39:7,19 40:4	122:7
50:11,17 54:24 55:6		40:9,10 41:7 42:1,5	

collaboratively	commented 92:3	commissioner 4:10	compacts 39:24
11:20	commenting 50:6	4:23 9:16,17 26:20	42:7,21 43:7 44:1
collateral 19:5	comments 4:11 7:21	33:5 44:13 57:15,16	44:11 47:10 49:6,13
51:21 52:4,13 53:2	10:23 15:21 16:15	62:1 66:24,25 77:6	58:2,20,21 59:11,13
53:4,19 94:14,17,20	16:17 17:4 18:17	129:10 134:8	62:8 71:2 82:11
94:24 95:2,24 96:6	19:4 24:7,19 26:6	commissioners 2:9	93:25 94:5 106:11
collected 54:20	27:8 28:3 33:12	4:21 22:5 27:22	106:12 113:18,19
collectively 49:14	37:13,22,23 43:10	71:15 94:9 110:15	117:24 121:6
54:12 70:25	50:4,9,25 51:11	134:16	companies 81:16
colorado 106:15	56:14,15 60:6,15,16	commissionions	84:5
combine 93:4	62:21,25 64:12	41:9	company 20:13 84:2
combined 95:25	66:22 67:4,6 70:24	commissions 28:6	90:10,14
come 3:1,3 4:18	86:13,21,22,23 87:2	38:15,16,21 40:1	comparing 69:20
5:21 7:12,18 11:16	87:25 88:2 89:22	42:14,18 45:4 46:1	compel 40:16,24
16:6,6,10 19:11	93:14 94:8 97:25	48:9,11 64:4 72:1	47:17
25:24 45:18,21 56:8	100:21 101:25	73:25	competing 124:22
56:17,25 61:5 63:10	112:15 115:20,25	commitment 23:12	complaints 122:18
72:16 73:3 76:21	125:23 126:9 128:8	23:12 24:25	123:3
81:21 83:10,13	128:21 129:12	committed 126:22	complete 64:23 65:1
86:12,24 87:10	132:22 133:3 134:2	committee 15:16	completed 78:5
88:18 90:11 95:16	135:4,5	20:24 31:6 54:16	completely 94:2,21
98:18 103:10	commercial 34:15	67:13 74:18 122:21	complex 32:16
119:10 125:6,21	commission 1:1	125:11 127:4,17	compliance 40:9
128:4 129:24 130:3	3:24 4:7 5:7 9:24	128:22 132:3	45:17,18,22 59:2
130:22 133:6,18	10:2,4,7 12:3,5,9,25	committees 67:18	79:12 80:4,18
134:4 135:2	17:4,15,19 19:12	122:3 125:4 126:11	109:13,19
comes 11:24 63:11	22:20 24:25 25:13	126:14,19 127:10	complication 58:12
64:8,13 76:16,25	28:2,9,17 30:8	127:15 128:10	comply 109:10
106:1 110:8	31:17 32:5,9 33:2	common 21:5 31:15	comport 120:25
comfortable 126:5	37:2,3 38:13,16	45:12 57:4,19	121:1
coming 9:19,22 10:9	39:19 41:11 42:17	communicate 21:19	comprehensive 2:22
12:3 21:6 32:22	44:14 45:11 48:14	129:19	5:24,25
36:11 55:13,14	67:10 73:20 74:2	communicating	compromise 119:20
57:16 84:7 87:9	75:18 76:10 81:10	22:8,9	computer 84:9,12
90:14 105:13 118:2	82:23 86:1 91:6	communication	85:18,23
119:6 133:17	92:4,5 94:16,18	6:20 21:18	computers 83:25
commencing 1:14	107:6,21 109:23	community 60:13	85:6,14
comment 16:13,22	111:23 115:12	88:7	concept 116:1,8
17:10 19:16 24:6,7	117:1,5 126:12,13	compact 28:7 29:14	concern 21:19 33:13
25:1 27:11 51:4	126:15 127:2,6	33:16,24 35:9 38:18	38:3 51:10 55:17
55:11 56:4 57:11,12	129:10 134:11	42:8 44:2,9,22 60:5	58:17 62:18 70:22
76:13 77:4,7,9	135:8	62:6 65:20 73:7	71:17 76:18 91:1,2
88:13 93:6 100:16	commission's 29:8	93:23 117:17	91:8,9,13,18 95:14
102:5,17 107:1	31:23 94:14	119:12	101:12 106:14
115:18 116:25			111:6,14 115:10

	1	1	1
concerned 12:22	consensus 124:24,25	continually 66:2	converted 38:25
68:12,12 71:19	125:2 129:20 130:3	105:17	convey 55:23
74:23,25 75:12	consider 65:9 78:22	continue 35:6 43:22	convince 73:15
80:20 106:21	83:7 97:7 126:13	50:18 55:12 59:22	coordination 6:24
concerning 54:14	132:11	70:13 75:13 85:2	copied 85:25
concerns 14:13	consideration 37:25	105:21	copies 27:3 98:15
17:22,22,23 18:6	50:4 54:15 66:23	continued 40:2	copious 32:6
19:6,15 20:8,11	67:11 78:22	continuing 42:10	copy 17:15 99:1
53:21 68:8 69:3	considered 7:23	51:8	copyright 14:9 82:1
76:24,25 78:20 92:7	25:1 36:3 46:14	contract 51:20,20	corner 26:2
92:15 94:14 95:8,14	54:12 71:3 82:6	51:23 52:2,9,12,12	corporations 75:16
116:13	83:4	52:14,22 53:1,5,20	correct 38:14 95:5
conclude 48:5	considering 35:19	77:8,15 78:8 89:6,9	104:7 123:23
concluded 39:17	126:25	89:13,15,21 90:5	correcting 110:11
135:10	consistency 34:5	91:1 94:19,23 95:2	corrective 43:8
conclusion 127:16	consistent 47:23	95:17,18,18 96:3,10	correctly 42:25 62:3
concur 67:11	constant 100:25	contract's 96:4	cost 67:9
conduct 5:11 96:21	constantly 74:15	contractor 51:22,22	costly 36:2 62:20
conducted 32:4	105:6	52:10,14 77:13,14	costs 77:18 82:7
50:22	constituents 14:11	90:4	council 36:25 37:1
conducting 91:10	construction 41:3	contracts 19:4 51:18	45:21 56:25 70:24
conferences 5:4	consult 13:12,20	53:16,23,24,25 54:6	77:18 78:4
conferred 30:9	129:19	54:11,14,18 77:25	councilman 36:21
conferrence 59:25	consultant 52:20,22	88:12,18,23 89:14	36:21
confidence 132:20	52:23	94:18 95:7,13,24	councils 68:9 78:9
confident 79:12	consultation 1:2 5:9	96:11	counsel 97:11 106:6
confirming 58:9	6:23 12:6,24 13:13	contrasting 69:20	108:12 110:14
conflict 68:4,5	16:19 18:7 21:24	contribute 76:4	count 95:15
conflicting 44:22	27:15 37:20,23 45:5	contributions	countdown 122:8,10
confronted 47:25	73:4 125:17 129:25	127:13	country 5:12,13 7:6
confused 94:20 95:3	131:14	control 10:15,23	16:6 19:7,20 62:24
confusion 28:16,24	consultations 5:11	27:7 28:12,14 29:7	67:5 71:1,4,6 73:22
30:19 90:3,12,23	7:3 16:2 24:8,10,18	29:23 33:11,25 34:6	76:10 79:2 81:16
96:11	134:2	34:17 35:7,11,12,21	83:24 84:4 111:24
congratulate 55:2	consulting 95:18	60:23,24 61:3 63:15	115:13 117:15
55:18	contact 10:15 11:21	64:15,17 65:11 73:6	125:9 126:18
congress 14:10	25:12,12 134:3,24	74:17 80:14 81:2	county 49:13 76:7
42:20 44:2,16 46:18	135:5	109:1 127:15	118:15
66:4 68:11,16,17,25	contain 52:23 89:11	controls 59:12,15,16	couple 15:17 18:8
71:8 73:16 84:8,21	127:24	66:15,16 72:25	58:3 65:14 93:16,17
85:12	contains 54:4	controversial 61:11	course 19:19 33:24
conjunction 102:24	contemplating 41:8	conundrum 30:12	57:20 58:2
consensual 113:16	41:12	108:4	court 28:23 38:6
113:17 115:25	context 38:8 46:13	conversation 10:24	39:11,12,16,16,21
118:3	46:14 47:3 49:10	26:23 27:1 107:24	42:25 49:4 62:14
L	1	1	1

[court's - different]

court's 62:2	curious 17:9	decision 22:25 25:11	desert 28:2
courts 40:3,20 42:16	current 5:22 19:23	28:22,23 31:16 39:9	desire 38:22
42:20 49:22	20:19 45:5 79:22	40:1 41:19 44:4	desk 122:9
covers 37:15	84:8,22 89:5	47:11 61:18,19,25	desks 17:15
crack 62:13	currently 91:16	106:16,16 131:7	despite 40:1 57:7
create 62:19,20	curse 81:15	decisions 3:5 25:4	desrosiers 57:15
81:17,18 94:2 119:8	customer 84:10	38:6 40:3,15,20	62:1
created 9:23 44:7	d	56:23 68:1 126:12	detail 100:20 106:20
73:4,18 110:18	d 115:8	decreased 113:12	107:15 130:2
114:17 115:1	d.c. 11:22 16:10	dedicated 58:5	detailed 37:21
creates 28:24 62:7		deduct 82:7,10,21	115:20 127:23
creating 13:23	19:22 39:11,16 42:24	deep 4:2	details 60:5 71:11
14:25 30:19 63:19		default 43:15	determination
111:12	da 108:16,16,16	defective 43:7	21:12 31:24 32:10
creative 74:16	daily 52:7	defend 110:11	95:10
119:21 120:19	damaged 128:12	defensive 110:10,13	determined 115:12
122:14	dan 4:10 8:6 9:15	defer 23:23 24:3	determining 13:19
creator 3:2	26:21 63:6 71:15	88:16	66:6
credibility 69:25	78:15 83:6 134:7	deference 45:8	develop 7:18 53:11
70:2 73:8	dancing 73:17	60:20 69:25 113:13	118:9 121:4
credible 118:10	dangerous 79:16	132:12	developed 5:4 35:15
crit 28:22 38:6 39:7	daniel 2:5	define 52:1 92:17,19	43:13 54:13
41:19 57:21 60:1	danny 129:22	defines 79:15	developer 95:19
61:18,18,25 90:11	date 12:16 37:21	defining 39:18	developing 28:6
criticism 10:18	43:4 47:21	49:18 82:24	57:24 74:17
70:18 101:1	daughter 55:25	definitely 26:22	development 49:11
criticisms 15:15	daughter's 84:25	100:2	54:7 61:14 63:21,21
criticize 75:20	day 3:3,4,8 5:10	definition 31:17	devices 47:23 85:21
critics 68:16,23	24:7 51:15,15 57:19	38:12 51:25 52:20	dialogue 9:7 26:20
70:19 71:8,8	68:8 107:1 108:8	53:1,2 78:23 79:24	26:23 46:7 56:21
critiqued 15:16	118:23 131:11	81:22 91:21 93:4	106:24 107:22
critiques 101:1	136:13	94:19	124:15
123:3	days 21:5 46:22	definitions 18:8,10	dicta 49:3
cross 72:8	47:20 103:5	51:17 91:19,23	dictatorial 57:2
crowell 36:14,17	de 4:23	degrees 113:13	diego 1:13 2:2,11
37:1,10 58:15 62:18	deadline 12:19	deliberate 42:14	difference 30:24
72:20	deal 13:7 52:6 68:9	delicately 78:22	92:21 124:21
crowell's 68:19	68:19 82:4 105:11	delisle 37:2	differences 35:17
crux 44:2	131:3	democratic 17:24	56:21 57:3,5,9
crystal 69:11	dealing 13:12 33:17	denied 39:25	119:1 121:6,7 123:2
csr 1:15 136:17	68:10	denise 1:15 136:3,17	different 5:4,13,15
cumulatively 95:10	deals 14:19	department 131:10	7:5,6,9 14:23 15:5
96:14	decade 61:15	depth 93:21	32:23 69:3 70:1,2
cure 109:18 110:3	decide 79:10	derive 72:25	71:23,24,25 80:19
111:2			88:4 93:24,24
			00.7 75.24,24
[different - employment]

110:17 117:19	discussions 56:18	double 28:19	edge 29:5
118:12,13,20 119:5	111:18	draft 19:23 31:6	educate 28:9
122:22 131:19	dismiss 133:24	125:16	effect 5:13 31:3
differently 64:15	dismissed 40:21	drafted 14:15 107:3	34:17
differing 38:1	disneyland 82:14	drafting 7:17	effective 31:4 48:4
difficult 32:15	display 111:14	drawing 81:19	69:8 104:20 111:24
129:18	disposal 41:5	drawn 39:20 42:15	effectively 6:6 70:8
dig 17:2	dispute 75:6	drinking 41:4	98:3
digging 129:3	disrespectful 27:9	drive 85:6	efficient 69:8 98:21
dilemma 63:10,22	distance 36:12	driving 68:23	efficiently 6:7 70:9
diligence 35:1	distinction 95:4	drone 8:15	effort 45:20 99:10
diligent 26:14 76:1	distinguishing	du 54:4	116:10
dime 86:7	52:21	due 34:1 35:1 64:7	efforts 6:12 47:18
direct 52:2 123:1	distribution 75:4,10	123:25	50:5 81:9 101:14,21
direction 34:10 40:3	district 39:10,16	duplication 6:13	eight 8:6 16:2 84:14
40:19 71:19 83:9	divided 130:5	101:14	87:22 117:9
91:4 136:9	division 31:25 95:13	duplications 101:21	either 53:12 59:10
directly 54:5 77:17	96:12	duplicative 44:21	61:2 63:13 87:12
director 4:12,13	document 28:15	duscussion 50:19	94:25 96:13
33:2 37:4 87:15	73:24,24 94:23	duties 98:4	elected 132:16,17
129:15 132:19	106:13	dynamic 110:17	elements 94:12
disagree 9:9 58:16	documents 27:4		98:20 127:25
disagreed 64:7	31:2 109:12	e	eliminated 35:4
disagreements 25:8	dog 16:11	e 11:24,25 12:1	94:2
disappointing 41:20	doing 4:17 6:10	16:15 24:19 56:8	eliminating 6:13
121:19	10:23 11:7 12:5,10	earlier 62:21 72:17	elizabeth 106:5
disapproval 65:7	13:5,22,22 14:17	92:3,10 93:20	129:18
89:2	16:1 19:21 23:2	early 86:20	elizabeth's 115:24
disapprove 89:9,15	24:17 27:14 44:8	earmarked 63:24	else's 126:6
89:20 95:1 96:3,13	46:21 67:10,16	easier 30:21 99:21	elucidate 30:18
disapproved 65:8	70:15,15,16,17 76:2	121:16	elucidates 32:8
89:6	85:21 99:11,17	easy 120:23	embedded 120:5
disasters 41:2	101:20,22 103:15	echo 10:13 11:1,2	embrace 45:19
discretion 20:16	101.20,22 103.13	56:13 61:17 62:17	embraced 42:21
21:10 29:12	119:23 121:25	63:3,5 76:13 79:18	44:3 49:6
discuss 10:22	128:7 130:24	79:21 87:11 89:4,24	
discussed 49:10	128.7 130.24 133:12 134:13	90:7 91:8 92:24	emerged 85:17 emergencies 41:2
92:10 93:19 130:16		95:5 97:3,17 100:17	emergency 41:1
	doj 92:12 97:11 dollar 83:12	103:1 104:8 112:12	
132:24,25 discusses 8:22	dollars 45:11 76:4	113:25 115:17	emergent 84:9
	81:19 84:6	116:11 132:25	emerging 84:22
discussing 65:4 discussion 7:2 10:8		economy 69:9	employee 97:6
	dominate 116:21,22	ed 88:3,6	employees 20:5
28:9 29:16 88:17	don 37:2	ed's 93:16	96:19,23
99:7 107:24 108:2	door 62:13 80:23		employment 95:18
116:22 134:10			

[empower - fact]

empower 41:15	entertaining 111:14	example 16:17 17:9	experienced 45:13
44:16	entertainment 84:4	28:19 52:23 63:11	experiences 35:19
empowering 40:16	entire 94:25 98:15	68:22 95:21,23	51:11,13 54:10,15
42:6	131:12	113:6 126:25	54:17
enabled 98:20	entities 34:15 63:16	examples 45:3	expert 32:7
enabling 41:9	entity 33:20 34:23	exceed 61:1 107:4	expertise 34:21
enact 12:9	52:16 80:21	exceeding 39:3	101:5 118:9 121:5
encourage 51:14	environment 41:20	107:6	experts 61:4,5 67:22
55:12 57:11 128:10	114:5,8,11,13 115:5	excellent 80:15	126:10,15 127:4,13
135:4,5	environmental	107:25	128:3 132:13
encouraged 2:19	101:6	exception 38:15	explain 25:10
encourages 45:18	equally 123:22	58:7	107:14
47:5	era 93:24	exceptions 58:4	explanation 25:4
encroachment 39:7	eras 72:9	excess 95:22	35:14
40:13 44:5	eric 4:12,13 27:5	excited 11:10	explanatory 80:2
endeavor 4:3 10:20	especially 69:8	exclusive 66:4	exploit 84:12 85:9
74:18	105:20	excuse 43:12 63:3	express 24:22 44:25
endorse 28:3	essentially 85:17	64:5 66:7 75:1	50:24 51:2
enemy 79:2	establish 13:19	executive 6:23,24	expressly 42:6
enforce 41:7 42:7	23:24,25 27:19 43:4	12:12,17 13:16,17	extend 33:7
61:20 91:22,23,23	43:15 131:1	16:24 23:18 24:2	extensive 47:13
101:5 116:7	established 5:6	27:15 37:3 87:15	extent 124:19
enforceable 114:11	109:11 130:9,15	107:2 122:4	extortionist 49:6
enforcement 18:11	131:14	exhausted 45:23	extract 44:4
20:15 28:18 29:7	establishing 41:25	112:7	extreme 40:22 53:9
39:1 46:17 48:8	130:25	exist 60:2 71:2	105:10
57:22 58:9 59:16	et 52:6 118:15	existence 59:22,24	extremely 33:25
60:1,3 61:11 91:5	evaluate 5:22	116:16	64:13
92:6 103:6 105:24	event 4:3	existing 6:1 44:23	eye 77:22,23
109:2,15,24 110:1,3	everybody 10:10	85:10	f
111:1 115:4 116:6	11:10 27:8,11,23	exists 69:23 92:10	face 100:9
engage 13:12 59:18	32:20 84:15 86:15	92:20	facilitators 122:24
126:9	87:6,7 101:19 105:9	expand 43:9 84:9	123:1
engaged 32:17	105:12,14 106:2	expanded 93:5	facilities 4:3 22:17
engagement 111:7	121:18 124:11	expanding 77:12	81:20 83:13 101:15
enhance 116:19	126:6 133:24	expect 86:15 111:9	119:4
128:9	134:21	expectations 69:17	facility 4:6 20:7
enhanced 51:15	everybody's 125:13	expedient 101:18	41:14,22 45:2 100:1
ensure 6:6 45:15	133:23	expended 53:18	101:1 106:21
54:12 79:11	everyone's 50:10	expenses 82:19	110:21,23 112:16
ensures 45:19 47:6	124:4 125:23	expensive 82:1	110.21,23 112.10
ensuring 6:12 61:15	exactly 28:25 89:24	experience 11:9	fact 31:24 45:14
80:17	121:18 123:23	34:8 72:21 77:10	49:24 50:14 60:2,20
enter 10:5	examining 5:19	121:9 122:20	61:11 62:14 86:1

			<u> </u>
98:17 99:8 113:3	federally 108:17	find 57:4 69:7 70:11	focused 104:6
123:25 134:12	129:17	74:11 76:7 101:20	foia 76:11,15
factor 50:12	fedex 24:21	119:20 120:12	folks 36:11 86:21
factors 61:3 67:8	fee 18:11 102:19,22	129:6	91:2 104:24 122:2
failed 45:23	103:11,16 104:7	finding 6:14	134:4
fair 45:15 82:13	feed 74:12	fine 36:10 112:15	follow 18:5 34:10
93:12 100:4,21	feedback 91:14	120:9	44:15 54:19 60:15
101:20 102:4	100:12	fines 39:2 45:25	78:17 79:18 81:7
126:17,18	feel 51:19 59:22	46:4,12 53:12 103:7	89:19 93:16 112:12
fairly 14:6 94:2 97:1	87:10 102:4 134:2	fingerprint 97:2	115:24 116:8
faith 47:17 48:10,16	134:23	fingerprinting 20:4	followed 43:2
fall 3:14	feeling 73:7	96:18	following 19:18
familiar 11:6 41:6	fees 18:9 40:7 46:6	finished 4:11	27:15 35:1 51:11
132:3	46:13 47:2 53:6,8	fire 41:2 101:7	52:11 112:3
familiarity 51:12	62:19,22 63:18	firing 81:14	follows 74:5
fantastic 81:17	103:4,4,14 104:13	firm 39:14 46:24,25	food 41:4 87:7
far 38:2 40:18,19	105:8,11,17,20,22	73:19	113:21
49:8 58:19 70:14	106:1,3	first 27:17 32:5 42:3	foolish 69:2
96:12 104:18	feldman 88:3 93:9	65:14,16,20,23 66:1	foot 34:18 66:12
fargo 54:3	93:10 96:15 97:15	68:20 84:2,14 85:22	footnote 126:9
fast 14:3 84:19	97:19 98:22 99:13	86:16 87:19 88:11	force 38:21 43:13,18
father 3:3	102:8,13	99:9 106:22 114:16	43:19 63:19 74:12
fault 46:25 78:8	fellow 2:9 33:4,8	116:9 119:2	forced 46:3 47:14
84:18	felt 57:21 124:10	fiscal 18:10	foregoing 136:7,9
favorite 87:20	fiat 40:6	fits 102:21	foresight 55:3
fbi 96:25 97:1,10,11	field 4:14 21:25	five 130:21	forget 24:4 110:11
97:23	figure 96:1,2 99:21	fix 99:18	110:11
fcc 14:9	108:3 132:8	fixed 76:11 110:16	forgiveness 3:13
fear 58:23,23 59:9	figured 85:13 86:6	flambeau 54:4	form 29:11 39:2
59:12,20 80:6,14	99:20	flesh 88:14 91:7	45:24 63:13 83:22
fears 40:22 41:13	figuring 23:10	fleshed 100:19	formal 21:22 45:19
february 16:14 24:6	file 113:4	flexibility 12:13,18	49:1
federal 11:7 12:11	filed 39:21	118:4	formalize 20:3,22
13:11,19,24 14:22	fill 44:13 57:23	flexible 129:5	formally 22:3
24:14 33:15 39:16	final 6:4 67:5 99:25	flippant 48:22	format 7:9,10 17:5
40:3,20 42:16 51:10	124:9 125:25 126:2	floor 31:23 74:3	35:23
60:22,24 65:22	finalization 125:18	79:15 85:24 86:11	formed 54:10
66:10 68:2 98:11	finalized 25:15	floors 32:11	127:22
110:2 115:9 117:14	finally 67:15 90:25	florida 75:6 76:6	former 4:21,23
117:14 118:11,13	finance 49:11	78:19 79:1	40:21 55:6,15 73:18
119:16 120:22	103:23	flow 79:11 123:8	86:1 109:23
123:11 124:8	financial 75:18,22	flying 28:13	formerly 11:4
131:12,12	81:5	focus 37:23 70:12	formula 78:24 79:3
federalism 121:10	financier 81:3	70:16,16	79:15 80:6
	1	1	1

formulate 23:22	fumbling 123:14	79:22 81:10 82:22	21:14 22:17,25
formulating 48:25	function 30:7	83:21,22,23 85:17	24:17 25:5,9,20
128:5	functionality 106:18	85:24 87:16,24	31:7 40:18 44:15
formulation 51:13	fund 32:20 49:9	91:11,12,16 95:23	47:20 51:5 58:18,19
55:7	funds 80:20,23	101:7 106:5,14	58:24,24 59:6,6,19
forth 57:24 90:12	funny 114:2	110:14 113:7	60:5 61:2 65:9,25
131:20	fur 28:13	114:24 116:2,5	66:2,3,5 69:19
fortunately 48:1,10	further 6:2 15:4	127:2,6 129:10,10	72:19 73:16 75:25
forum 5:15	30:18 50:6,19 57:12	134:16	77:17 80:12 84:11
forward 2:24,24	133:25	gaps 6:14 119:8	90:24 92:16 93:20
5:17 7:23 8:9 9:4,5	future 11:17 30:21	gather 22:24 101:9	96:12 97:7 108:14
10:10 11:9,19 13:4	41:9 67:9 69:10	gathered 54:11	110:10 112:25
15:19,20 22:10,17	70:5 72:12 76:11	general 36:14,15	119:3 120:8 122:1
23:21 25:5,13,14,20	78:12 82:25 83:14	37:5 53:4 77:14	124:3,7 126:2 130:1
27:21,21 42:3 47:21	85:10	79:24 97:19 101:4	133:19
50:6 51:5 56:14	g	generality 108:15	goal 38:23 124:24
61:23 65:22 71:16		generalized 49:25	125:1
72:12 75:25 84:11	gaap 18:10	generally 121:10	goes 22:18 59:8,8,9
106:8,15 108:14	game 27:21 31:13	generals 71:9	66:10 91:15 92:18
111:22 112:3	32:10 47:16 84:7	generated 52:18	96:2
116:21 117:6	100:21	generator 128:1	going 4:22 8:2,13,14
found 98:16	games 31:23,24 42:1	germane 49:12	8:15 9:1,10,15
foundational 73:24	44:1,7,17 45:15	getting 17:24 37:18	10:24 11:9,17 14:6
four 5:7 7:5 16:4,12	47:7 48:5 81:11,18	56:13 64:24 82:17	16:2,21 17:2 23:10
19:21 36:4 37:24	83:2,14,24,25 84:6	106:2 110:5 114:10	24:8,18 25:2,11,17
framed 41:21	84:17 85:3	123:5 127:18	25:18,25 26:14,21
frameworks 121:7	gaming 1:1 2:15	128:24 132:7	27:10 42:3 48:23
frank 4:14 27:5 32:2	3:24 17:3 18:16	give 7:21 8:17,18	49:20,23 51:8 59:9
39:13	22:13 28:2,6,17 29:8,15 30:6,8,10	15:22 16:16 22:14	59:11 68:4 69:6,6
frankly 37:14 90:13		23:14 32:14 73:8	69:14,18 70:8 71:13
115:11 116:18	30:11,14,15 31:17	80:20 88:14 93:14	71:22 73:11 75:1
120:7	31:19,23 32:5,9,17 32:19,19 33:2 34:1	97:21 100:7 115:4	78:1,13 79:17 82:17
free 87:10 134:3,23	34:4,7,9,12,13,15,21	129:19	85:1 86:14 88:2,16
freedom 74:24 77:2	34:22,24 35:17,18	given 11:11 13:11	91:4 93:20 101:16
frequently 63:1	38:17 39:7,19,23	16:18 42:9	103:15 107:14
fresh 2:20	43:14,19,25 44:4	gives 67:20 69:1	108:14 109:14,21
friendly 99:18	45:9,10 46:21 47:4	80:7 90:8 126:5	110:15,15,22
friends 36:10	47:19 49:7,8,12,17	giving 109:16	111:10,16 112:7,8
front 8:13	51:24 52:3,5,6,7,16	glad 83:6 105:23	112:19 115:20
frustrated 39:20	52:18 55:7,9 60:20	glasses 37:18	116:13 117:18
fte 131:1	61:14,15 64:4 66:24	glenn 88:3 93:9	118:7,24,24 119:9
full 36:24 37:1,2	73:8,12,20,24 74:2	glory 113:10	119:19 120:11
96:22	74:8 75:18 76:9,19	go 7:16,24 8:22	121:12,13 124:20
fully 28:10	76:20,22 77:6 78:13	11:19,25 13:4 15:20	125:1,21 126:4
		15:23 16:1,8,18	127:10 128:14

[going - hesitate]

	– – –		
132:8,9 133:14,24	grasp 79:3	handled 81:2	16:8 17:21 18:17
golden 34:19	grateful 11:10	handles 85:20	19:25 21:3,16 22:21
good 2:7,8 9:17 11:1	great 4:5 71:15	handling 10:21	22:22 26:19 31:4
14:20 16:17 26:18	73:19 102:2 112:22	happen 23:8 59:14	42:22 45:25 50:1
27:12,17,19,19,20	greatly 102:3	67:5 78:2 83:21	56:23 62:24 63:1
33:4 36:8 47:17	green 133:10,13,16	104:2 127:20	91:18 101:3,4
56:1 59:23 64:1	133:19	happened 78:2	105:23 107:25
67:14 68:17,22 69:6	greetings 33:7	128:16	112:19 120:15,15
69:13 75:22 82:4	gross 82:13,14 95:23	happening 12:8	122:1 123:15
87:6 93:9 96:20	ground 57:4	75:5 77:20 110:9	134:15,15
97:20,24 108:2	grounded 41:13	happens 12:15	heard 5:3 8:25 9:24
111:11,21 115:13	grounds 89:2,20	103:9	12:4,6 14:12 15:3,4
115:14 119:17	group 7:10 35:16	happy 102:11	15:6,15 17:20 18:6
120:4 125:4	47:19,19,21 54:10	107:13 129:13	18:14,20 19:1,25
goods 22:15	64:22 87:25 111:17	130:2 131:15	20:7,11,18,21 22:4
goose 34:19	127:22 129:23	harbor 58:4 59:4	22:5,11 73:10 91:9
goverment 50:13	group's 47:21	hard 23:13 27:8	91:13,18 101:12
governance 41:16	groups 65:1	50:5 70:13	102:22 109:2 116:9
43:21 44:5	growing 39:10	harder 83:2	122:2,6,17 123:3,4
governed 12:12	gruff 127:6	hardest 133:22	123:17 134:4
38:18	guess 25:22 40:14	harrah's 88:9	hearing 10:10 18:23
governing 38:22	61:17 62:17 68:19	harsh 105:12	20:9 21:1 32:5
government 2:15	91:2 111:20 117:2	hate 123:5 128:15	87:20 91:14,24
11:8 12:11 19:16	128:23	134:21	92:15 93:3 119:10
21:23,23 22:6 46:7	guidance 3:9 15:22	hauntingly 41:6	120:18 122:19
46:7 50:13 65:23	109:11	hawk 10:13 11:1,2	125:20 126:1
66:10 75:11 80:17	guide 3:5,15 28:15	56:13 61:17 62:17	heavy 44:21 46:6
81:5 111:9,9 116:4	guidelines 34:10,11	63:3,5 76:13 79:18	heck 112:6
118:19 119:16	35:2,5,12,19,21,24	79:21 87:11 89:4,24	heckling 112:3
123:11 131:12	63:14 80:16 118:20	90:7 91:8 92:24	heinous 45:3
governmental 35:25	guy 68:7	95:5 97:3,17 100:17	held 1:12
40:14 79:5 110:18	guys 11:21 18:4	103:1 104:8 112:12	hello 77:5
115:9	72:12 73:11 87:21	113:25 115:17	help 3:9 4:19 11:11
governments 20:12	112:3,6	116:11 132:25	27:4 61:6 71:13,16
28:25 38:19 67:24	h	hazardous 41:4	102:16 116:19
68:2 69:4 79:10	half 86:25	he'll 4:10	120:12 123:1
80:18 111:8	halls 68:25 85:8	head 64:5 98:15	132:13
governors 71:8	hammer 105:13	headlines 46:20	helpful 17:5 23:20
grabbing 80:9	hand 14:4 24:20	heads 108:3	31:21 49:25 117:5
gracious 4:4	26:2 48:12 110:10	health 40:15 41:20	121:12 131:3
graciously 134:25	119:14 126:20	101:6 114:5,8,11,13	helping 90:24
grand 3:3	handcuff 84:11	115:5	helps 119:21
grant 1:13 2:12 3:19	handed 46:6	hear 5:15 7:6,7,11	hereunto 136:12
grape 83:10		9:3 11:18 13:1,1,3	hesitate 11:23
		13:24 14:5,14,24	

[hey - indian]

hey 14:12 119:14	hoping 28:8 29:16	79:8 80:12,13,19	importantly 2:11
hide 47:10	98:6	88:19 90:8,18	35:20
hiding 47:25 48:16	hostile 38:10	102:21,25 107:7	impose 41:16 53:9
higher 113:13	hosting 4:2 33:6	115:8 116:6	118:18
highest 42:2 45:15	36:11 135:1	igt 81:17 82:18	impractical 120:24
45:17 108:15	hosts 4:4	85:23	impression 46:15
highlight 56:19	hot 133:6	ii 30:25 31:2,8 90:4	97:19
highlighted 101:11	hotel 1:13	90:9 107:11 108:6,9	improper 40:8
highly 108:16	hotels 23:15	108:14 110:20	improve 5:19
hills 68:25	hour 86:24	111:13,19 116:15	improvement 105:7
hindsight 42:13,13	house 64:1,2 72:23	116:21 117:3,7	105:19
hip 63:9	103:10	127:22	inadequate 107:2
hiring 81:14	housekeeping	iii 30:25 31:8 61:21	inaudible 133:10,13
historical 38:8	121:16	69:21 90:6,10,15	133:16,20
history 43:11 84:18	huddled 110:12	91:6 93:21 106:14	inaugural 6:17
86:10	huge 81:12 113:3	110:20 116:5,14,22	incentive 43:20
hogan 38:16,20 39:3	114:16	117:3,7 119:1	45:15,17 109:9
39:19 40:1,10,21	humble 44:12	126:25	include 20:6 21:1
42:14,18 44:13 46:1	humbled 55:24	illegal 40:2 84:17	39:19 54:15 83:1
48:8,10 68:20,22	hundred 17:13	imagine 17:2	89:18
hold 31:6 56:9	hundreds 114:23,24	immediately 15:11	included 15:6 17:14
holds 69:10	hurry 122:13	immunity 47:11	19:8 61:5 101:8
holland 39:13	i	48:1,16	includes 40:4 94:19
home 2:12 133:18	-	impact 54:5,7 68:13	95:2
135:7	ics 66:7,9,17	69:2 71:3	including 47:12
homer 4:24,24	idea 16:11 46:8,10	impactful 13:17	101:10
106:6 107:20	75:13 82:23 96:21	implement 12:10	incorporated 35:8
108:21,24 112:2,19	97:20,24 103:1,18	23:22 103:17	93:23,24
114:2 123:17	103:20 104:10,11 104:13	104:24	incorporation 39:24
honest 45:16 124:13	ideal 109:8	implications 23:23	118:2
124:14		imploring 39:4	independence
honesty 73:14	ideas 8:18 62:12 87:8 104:9 112:14	importance 35:18	127:11
honor 37:12 39:12	123:2 126:7	48:19	independent 59:3,5
hope 29:10 30:22	identified 5:7 6:22	important 2:14 3:5	59:7 126:15
38:10,12,13 50:3	37:8 51:1 53:21	3:6 4:18 12:25 13:2	indian 1:1 3:24 16:6
56:25 67:9 71:17,24	66:16 133:5	17:17 18:2 21:13	19:7,20 22:11,12,14
75:25 87:1,7 97:16	identify 21:8 44:25	24:4,5 34:1 37:8	23:24 28:17 29:8
102:1	45:20 53:17 81:10	51:5 57:6 60:16	31:17,19 34:1,6,12
hoped 69:17		61:9,14 64:14 66:9	51:21 52:13 55:7,9
hopeful 11:16 97:17	identifying 6:14 igra 2:23 12:8,10	66:12,13 67:7 72:24	56:1 60:12 61:14
hopefully 13:14	21:8 38:5 39:17	73:23 93:22 104:3	70:25 71:4,6 73:8
51:12 66:22 76:9	40:19 41:14,15	109:24 115:22	73:12,20,22 74:2,7
78:14	40:19 41:14,15 42:16 44:16,25 48:3	117:1,8 118:16	75:9,18 76:7,9,10
hopes 37:24	42.16 44.10,23 48.5	121:22 123:22	76:18,19,22,22
	77.10 57.15 05.17	130:23 134:11	77:23 78:13 79:2,13

			-
81:9,16 82:22 83:21	informed 22:25	interagency 97:12	involved 130:24
83:24 85:7,17 86:2	inherently 120:16	interest 19:6 21:4,9	132:20
87:16,24 88:7 106:5	initial 7:24 39:9	32:21 48:22 49:10	involves 126:10
106:15 110:7	48:22	49:15 51:6 54:8	involving 67:8
111:24 115:13	initiate 7:1	55:17 74:9 95:11	inward 6:5
125:8 126:18 127:2	initiative 5:18 6:4	96:7 115:19 124:2	iphones 84:24
127:6 129:15	6:15 22:12 109:13	124:19	ironed 123:7
130:20 131:11	109:19	interested 18:23	irs 97:11
indians 2:6 27:14	initiatives 5:7 12:3	21:1 91:14,24 93:2	island 133:18
33:3 36:9 50:23	22:12 121:22	interesting 30:3,11	isolation 47:8
66:25 84:5,13 85:13	injuries 41:1	69:19	issue 19:11,20 20:1
85:18 86:6	innovations 83:23	interests 71:2 95:9	21:6 28:18 29:1
indicated 73:23	innovative 83:22	124:22 125:11	32:3 33:15,24 41:25
93:11	119:20 120:12	133:2	43:2 44:9 46:3 47:2
indication 53:25	122:14	interior 131:10	47:8 48:25 49:1,12
individual 21:20	input 11:18 14:15	132:4,7	49:21 50:2 53:8,14
81:4 116:4	51:4,6 54:20 55:13	internal 28:12,14	57:20 58:17 61:10
individuals 80:3	inquiry 6:19,20 7:2	29:7,23 33:11,25	63:18 66:9,20 68:6
126:23	8:22 9:15 10:16	34:6,16 35:7,11,12	68:9 81:8 90:20,22
industry 8:20 9:6	14:1,2,8,11,18 15:1	35:21 59:12,15,16	91:15 93:21 94:9
14:11 17:22 22:13	15:25 17:14 18:5	60:23,24 61:2 63:15	106:11 107:9 108:7
27:19 34:1,20 35:2	37:9,14 42:4 45:5	64:15,17 65:11	108:9 109:2 113:14
35:6,17 45:14 47:5	46:8,11 49:19 51:1	66:15,16 72:24 73:6	114:21 117:7 130:3
57:6 61:4,5 66:18	93:13 104:6 111:10	74:17 109:1	130:19
66:19 68:18 69:13	inside 120:21	internally 76:15	issued 20:17 35:19
70:3,3,10 73:9,22	insignificant 113:2	103:14	35:21,23 49:2
83:23 85:5,6,17,24	inspection 20:10	internet 84:23	issues 19:7 20:18
115:23 116:19	inspector 22:1	interpret 59:25	23:5 29:8 32:16
117:6 119:22	inspectors 72:3	interpreted 52:8	36:3,15 37:8,15,24
126:10,24	101:7,7	interpretive 115:6	38:9 41:23 50:5,14
inference 64:17	inspired 38:22	interprets 115:7	51:1,9,12 63:1 64:8
influence 70:4 72:4	instance 102:22,23	interrupt 108:19	74:23 93:15 95:16
informally 22:4	104:19	introduce 2:5 10:13	100:19,24 101:6
information 11:21	instances 46:22	11:2	106:7 107:10
19:9 20:12,14 21:11	institute 34:16 35:6	introductory 41:19	111:22 113:20
22:2,3,10,24 24:12	instituting 35:2	intrusion 40:10	114:14 116:18
26:11 38:24 72:17	integrity 27:20 34:3	invest 99:22	117:2 125:13
74:24 75:17 76:1,25	61:15 73:13 128:9	investigated 90:17	130:16 131:4 133:6
77:2 90:5 98:7	intelligent 47:22	investigation 91:10	item 96:18
99:12 100:15 101:9	intended 7:2 44:2	investigations 20:2	items 61:1 110:19
101:25 102:12	52:1	92:12 96:17,18,22	j
113:4 121:18	intends 44:15	98:2,4	jackpots 81:19
126:11 127:23	intent 34:2 56:22	investigator 21:25	82:20 83:12
128:11,24 129:2	66:4	investigators 4:14	02.20 03.12

[jaeger - lenders]

jaeger 129:9,9	115:14 118:2	114:15,17,21,23	late 18:10,11 46:13
132:15	121:22 132:11,12	115:1 116:3,16,23	46:14,16,23 47:1,2
jane 60:11 98:9	133:1 134:22	117:21 119:3,6,10	53:8,13 61:13
102:15 104:12	kinds 109:12 131:4	119:19 120:5,6,17	102:19,22 103:4,9
janet 37:5	kitkehaki 11:3	120:18 121:14,19	103:14,16,16,19
january 1:14 2:1	knew 12:3 43:2	121:21,21,25 122:6	104:7,13 105:6,8,11
136:13	knight 39:14	122:8,13,21,25	105:17,18
jena 47:8	know 3:6,25 4:15,24	123:2,6,10,13,15,19	laundry 40:25 41:23
jess 133:7	4:25 5:3 8:4,7,13,21	124:9,12,13,21,21	law 6:7 32:13 39:9
jesus 3:17	9:21 10:3,3,18,18	124:22,24 125:5,7,9	111:16 117:21
job 1:24 4:17 46:21	10:19 11:13,14	125:12,13,18,20,21	118:15
70:11 75:22 107:8	12:20,23 14:2,18,22	125:22 126:3,5	lawful 78:23 79:5
124:23	15:3 17:6 18:14	127:8 128:24 129:1	lawrence 39:13
jobs 4:19	19:12,13 20:24	131:21,24 132:6,9	laws 40:17,25
jones 55:6,15	21:22,24,25 22:6,8	132:11 133:2,9,24	lawsuits 48:3
judge 39:9	22:11 23:3,3,5	133:25 134:16	lawyer 32:14 75:3
jump 50:18	25:10,25 27:5,8	knowledgeable	76:6 127:12
jurisdiction 76:17	30:24 31:2 36:17,22	34:14	lawyers 62:11
justify 8:16 44:13	37:7 44:10 46:1	kolb 36:21	layer 28:19 119:17
jut 15:19	48:23 49:4 50:13	kumeyaay 2:6,10,13	lays 101:2
k	55:16,17,25 56:3	66:25 71:5	lead 111:7
keep 10:5,23 26:23	58:15 59:2,7,21,21	l	leaders 2:9 9:25
36:1 57:7 76:2	61:7,23 62:1 64:19	lac 54:3	22:5 25:23 30:22
119:11	65:21 66:12 68:12	lack 101:4	69:17 80:16 126:10
keeper 129:1	69:9,10,18 71:20,21	lael 6:18 7:14 8:11	131:22 134:17
keeping 75:22	72:4,8 74:22 75:14	8:22 9:14 10:13,25	leadership 2:19 3:11
keeps 120:13	76:6,24 77:13,20,22	11:2 27:6,7 88:16	3:12 17:19 55:23
kenneth 36:21	78:2,12 84:13,15,23	132:23 134:3	leap 92:5
key 20:4 38:14	86:16 87:19 88:7	lael's 121:13	learn 68:23
66:19 96:19 97:5	90:7,13,16,21 91:8	laid 19:14 114:3	learned 55:25
kickapoo 47:9	91:11,17,20 92:4,13	lake 54:4	learning 11:9
kidding 122:9	93:5,23 94:1,13	land 131:4	leave 94:4 115:10
kids 84:25 85:1	95:19 96:22 97:7,10	language 31:18	134:21
killed 114:23,24	97:22,23 98:6 99:6	52:24 54:5,13 61:24	led 5:5 71:21
killing 34:18	100:2,8,25 101:16	88:10 90:20 119:5	left 26:2 49:22 79:9
kim 4:15	101:19 103:10,13	lap 114:3	79:10 81:4 122:11
kind 9:23 12:12	103:19,19,21,21	large 37:15 39:2	129:22 132:5
17:9 18:12 21:4,20	104:1,3,19,22 105:1	105:7,13	legacy 55:15
22:15 26:1 56:13	105:7 107:16,18	largely 123:25	legal 30:17 43:2
59:11,23 73:17 78:1	108:7,17 109:4,8,16	larger 17:3	75:6,13 111:5
78:6,10 88:22 90:12	109:18,21 110:2,5	larry 97:10	legality 49:12
91:5,7 96:14 101:8	110:12,17 111:4,7	las 85:4	legislators 80:13
101:11 103:20	111:11,13 112:6,6	lastly 48:21	lender 81:3
108:1 109:5,5 114:7	112:22 113:1,7,13 113:17,21 114:2,7		lenders 81:1

[les - management]

les 37:4	listen 8:15 9:10,12	112:2 117:23	m
letter 22:7,7 104:20	26:14 37:19 48:24	120:11 131:16,25	
104:22 111:4,5	64:11,11 69:11	134:22	machine 127:1
letters 49:2 105:16	86:17	looked 15:13 97:3	machines 47:15
letting 84:1	listened 69:3	107:9	52:6 81:25 82:3,19
level 2:25 15:12 17:7	listening 60:8 67:3	looking 6:11,12 15:9	mad 85:14
39:15 42:9 49:3	112:5	15:22 18:9 64:14	madam 50:17 54:24
72:10 84:3,6 96:22	literally 28:11 122:9	65:6 83:7 88:14	57:15 67:1 72:15
104:5,21 108:15	litigation 39:15	93:12 95:9 96:12	84:10
117:2 127:11	47:13	100:4 101:13	magic 58:18
leverage 44:4 47:16	little 4:10 9:15,17	104:25 118:5,5,6	magically 93:6
levy 83:16	16:11 23:1 26:20	129:4	mail 11:24 12:1
liability 114:17,18	57:16 61:10 62:7	looks 25:22 27:21	16:15 24:19,21
115:1	64:14 71:15 72:16	34:20 47:20	mails 11:25 56:8
library 14:10	83:9,12,19 86:10	loophole 62:8	maintain 47:4,6 maintained 47:24
license 20:8 112:16	88:8,14 91:7 94:20	lorie 37:3	
114:10	103:11 106:19	lose 41:11 115:15,15	maintaining 98:17 maintenance 41:3
licensed 32:11	107:15 113:1 134:8	lost 42:24 131:5	major 5:7 11:5 20:1
licenses 41:14	live 68:7 133:22	lot 10:18 18:20	46:4 121:21
licensing 41:22 45:2	liz 4:24,24 106:19	22:11 23:16 26:15	majority 5:16 45:16
96:18 98:21 100:1	107:14 112:10,12	28:13 55:4 59:13	making 6:8,21 7:1
101:1 106:21	local 72:9	60:5 68:14,14 69:12	8:8 13:9 15:19,20
110:21,23 114:1,4,8	located 33:14 88:8	69:16,16,24,24,25	15:23 25:11,20 26:5
114:9	locations 20:11,14	71:18 75:21 78:11	32:9 35:25 53:15
lie 62:4	lock 114:12	89:4 99:11 101:12	54:18 67:19,20
lieu 18:11	logistics 25:21	101:14 109:3	75:15 82:19 88:3
life 3:4 42:5	lombardi 28:1,1	111:18,23,24 112:4	102:5 106:23 107:1
light 26:3 57:4	29:20 30:2 31:14	112:4,19 122:17	107:2 112:5 120:2
liked 87:21	72:15 78:18 81:7	123:2 126:4 127:23	122:6
limitations 93:1	126:8 128:19	128:9 129:21 130:1	makings 123:24
limited 8:3 14:22	132:14	133:22 134:3,12	mallet 70:20
42:12 47:14 102:21	long 8:7 36:2 40:23	lots 13:8	man 99:1
104:13	83:20 90:24	louisiana 47:9	managed 46:24
limits 38:4 85:9	longer 19:2	love 16:10 26:19	management 19:3,4
line 7:17,17 25:17	longevity 121:8	121:25 123:14	20:4,13 49:11 51:18
25:17 39:20 42:15	longstanding 106:7	lovely 26:13	51:20,24 52:3,12,15
65:20,24 82:19	look 2:24,24 6:5 9:4	low 49:3 84:3,6	52:17,22,25 53:1,5
105:9	10:10 12:7 17:10	lower 15:12 96:22	53:16,19,22,24,25
liner 84:14	24:2 25:2,13 27:21	117:2	54:6,11,14,17 77:8
lines 84:17 90:3	43:11 47:7 48:17	luiseno 27:13 36:9	77:13,14,25 78:8
98:12	50:6 56:14 57:3,8	lump 108:6	81:12,13 82:5,24
list 40:25 41:25	61:24 63:9,12 64:15	lunch 86:19,20,21	83:4,5 88:9,10,12
124:4	72:11 78:25 80:10	87:4,17	88:18,23 90:10,13
listed 89:12	91:17 94:25 95:7	luxury 48:2	90:25 94:18,19,23
	96:5 99:17 101:17		

[management - move]

05167170604	20 22 51 20	02.12	<pre> (0, 1, 4, 70, 4, 74, 17)</pre>
95:1,6,7,17 96:3,4	means 39:23 51:20	mgm 82:13	63:14 73:6 74:17
96:10,11,19 97:5	52:12 60:4 65:23	miami 75:3 80:9	108:20,21,24,25
manager 37:5 52:5	68:13	mic 26:7	minuscule 81:8
managers 131:12	meant 8:17,17 95:3	michael 28:1	minute 25:25 50:19
mandate 13:11 24:2	134:6	microphone 26:1,3	minutes 56:9 109:3
mandates 79:13	measure 53:9	57:13	misplaced 52:10
mandatory 38:25	measures 43:8	microphones 86:12	missed 15:5
manner 3:15 44:6	mechanism 120:2,5	mics 19:17,22,23	missing 98:5
78:3 127:7	media 46:19 75:12	23:4 26:4 27:17	mistake 81:12
manny 4:14	medical 41:1	28:4,4 29:2,3,10,25	mixed 50:18 61:2
manpower 45:12	meet 6:22 16:7	30:24 33:10,15,21	model 63:11,12,14
manuel 16:16 17:3	40:17 66:18 130:18	35:4,8,15 36:3 40:5	models 123:16
58:11	meeting 2:6 5:10,24	40:9,11 41:7 42:5,8	modified 58:8
manufacturer 82:2	6:7,17,17 7:4 19:18	42:11,17,21,23 43:5	moment 6:3 94:6
mark 33:1 106:4	19:18 33:6 36:11	43:15,21 45:1 57:20	monetary 113:2
107:20 112:11	55:1 86:16 111:17	57:25 58:10,16,19	money 63:23 79:6,6
120:15	meetings 7:24 16:24	58:20,24,25 59:6,6	80:22 82:15 85:13
marlow 1:15 136:3	17:19 21:21 69:16	59:19 60:2,17 62:10	86:6
136:17	95:15 111:17	62:16,20 63:21	monitor 101:5
massive 125:12	mega 84:2	64:13,16,17,21	114:13
material 24:15	melting 71:7,10	65:13,14 66:6 68:12	monitoring 116:6
materials 41:5	member 3:22 10:13	72:18,23,24,25 73:2	mono 33:3 50:23
math 95:20	11:2 56:25 84:25	73:18 74:2,5,6,8	monteau 38:15
matter 43:20 99:8	109:23 115:3	93:21,25 106:10	48:14
119:23 127:18	125:11	108:4,6,9 110:20,20	month 2:25
matters 5:11 106:6	members 20:25 55:4	113:14 115:21	months 5:21 8:5,6
maximum 53:18	69:18 73:15 75:11	116:5,14,15,15,21	8:23
108:20,21 124:19	75:12,12,23 76:8	116:22 117:3,7,13	morago 87:15,15
mazetti 129:23	86:1,1 107:21 127:1	118:1,17 119:1	99:3,24 101:13
mazzetti 36:8,9,20	128:22	127:22	102:7,14
36:24 50:11	membership 34:24	micses 74:15	morning 2:7,8 9:17
mccain 41:13	mention 106:10	middle 83:10	11:1 27:12 33:4
mean 25:7 58:6,17	mentioned 5:25	million 81:23 83:12	36:8 64:1 72:18
60:3 62:10,19,24	29:20 58:21 62:18	84:6	93:20 107:24 109:3
64:11 67:17 70:3	78:18 114:1	millions 45:11 76:4	129:21
72:1 76:3 89:8	mere 60:2	81:18,19	morongo 85:1
94:22 95:1,22	meritless 43:3	mind 10:5 13:25	morphed 122:22
100:20 102:1	mess 59:24	26:23 57:7 65:3	motion 39:21,25
103:23 112:24	message 48:15	102:20 119:7	mou 96:25 97:3
114:22 115:1	messy 50:2	mindful 122:12	mountain 63:7
123:22 131:9	met 55:4 89:16	minds 30:17	78:16
meaningful 13:13	124:5,5	mine 8:5	mous 49:13
13:15 18:2 54:21	method 6:20 23:2	minimal 33:11	move 5:17 7:23 8:9
102:17 108:25	67:23	minimum 28:12,14	9:5,14 11:9 14:6
		29:7,23 60:23,24,25	15:4 22:10 23:20

[move - notice]

25:14 70:20 71:16	22:2,3,10,25 23:8	new 2:25 5:10 6:21	110:25 114:12
102:18 116:20	24:22 26:2,7,11,16	12:7 13:15,24 14:18	116:5 124:18 126:1
117:6 120:9	26:25 27:3,3 41:23	20:21 24:9 40:13	127:14
moving 14:3	43:25 59:22 62:9	58:6 71:19 74:16	nigc.gov 16:15
mud 123:12	65:11 72:2 80:13,13	81:24 91:17 100:16	nigc.gov. 24:20
mull 134:22	83:15 96:5 100:22	news 46:19	night 41:12
mulling 134:23	106:1 107:12,16	nice 87:7	ninth 28:23
multiplay 83:24	108:3,15 116:20	nig 93:23	niqc 42:3
multiple 72:9 126:3	118:11 119:11,15	niga 38:21 39:11,12	nj303769 1:24
myriad 118:13	119:16 121:14	43:12 108:13	nobody's 75:10
n	122:14 125:2	109:22	128:2
name 3:16,22 7:22	127:25 128:25	nigc 2:14,18,23,25	noi 8:11,12,14 16:13
26:8,13 27:12 33:1	needed 12:7,7 19:2	3:12 5:10 12:8 21:8	41:18 65:5 100:18
36:8 47:20 63:5	34:23 43:21 57:22	21:14,15 22:13	134:5
64:2 75:8 77:5	97:13 110:22	31:11 33:20 34:9	nominal 46:5
83:14 87:12 93:9	121:23	36:4 37:8 38:5,7,9	nominated 132:17
136:13	needs 18:25 23:6,17	38:20,25 39:8,18,22	noncompacted 48:6
name's 129:9	34:5 43:17 65:22	40:6,8,13,16,19,23	nongaming 34:14
names 129.9	67:12 72:3 75:5	41:6,14,15 42:1,5,6	nonprimary 20:4
narrow 72:6	107:9	42:7,8,10,12,16,21	96:19
narrowed 52:1	negatives 68:15	42:23,25 43:4,12,15	nonself 113:5
nation 2:11 10:14	neglect 23:8	43:23 44:13,17,22	nonsense 42:24
11:3 81:11	negligence 53:10	45:18,19 46:15 47:5	norm 4:23 57:14
national 1:1 3:24	negotiate 43:25	47:7,22 48:5,13,17	61:9 64:7,10
28:17 29:8 31:16	47:17 81:5	48:20 49:2 51:3,14	norm's 60:16
43:14,18 73:20 74:2	negotiated 15:19	53:8,22 57:21,25	norman 106:4,4
75:17 76:9 81:9	67:18,19 119:15	58:9,19,20,23 59:6	111:3 117:12
82:22 86:2 106:4	122:6	59:19,25 60:3,17	120:20
127:2,6	negotiating 44:6	61:19,22 62:10,16	northern 130:6
nationwide 81:17	48:15 117:19,22	62:19 63:24 64:17	note 21:13 54:24,25
131:18	negotiation 38:19	65:23 66:1,14 76:21	56:3
natural 41:2	48:13	77:15,25 78:21 90:8	notes 42:4
nature 67:16 108:9	negotiations 44:3	90:23 91:9,22 93:25	notice 6:18,20 7:2
ncai 38:21 43:12	48:10 81:3	98:2,16,18,24	8:22 9:15 10:16
necessarily 7:17	net 18:15 78:23	102:18 104:17	12:19 13:8,25 14:2
88:24 120:17	79:22 81:8,22 82:8	106:8,17 107:18	14:8,18 15:1,20,24
necessary 96:5	83:8 91:1,11,15,21	108:8 109:5,16	17:14 18:5 29:1
98:16 111:15	networking 83:2	110:4 112:23	35:25 37:9,14 42:4
necessitated 31:19	neutral 22:23	113:19 114:3,5,22	45:5 46:8,11 49:19
necessity 32:1	nevada 85:19	114:25 115:3,6,7,14	51:1 53:15 54:18
need 6:1,25 9:12	never 14:19 42:25	115:21 116:7	93:12 94:12 102:19
13:20 15:3,7,8,11	58:19,21 72:8 83:4	nigc's 20:13 39:7	103:3,5,5,24,25
15:12,13 18:9,15	83:12 85:19 105:18	40:17 43:2 46:9	105:25 106:23,25
19:25,25 20:3 21:2	115:11	48:18 57:2 77:7	107:18 109:15,16
		93:1 107:4 108:12	110:2,6,9,13 111:1

111:10	offices 21:5,17	operations 6:4,12	organization 26:9
notices 14:10 24:14	121:3,4,8 130:25	35:18 41:4 49:7,9	organizations 63:9
28:18 43:6 105:8	official 97:5	91:13	original 38:9 64:21
notified 78:4,10	officials 13:20 20:4	operator 82:24	ought 120:22,22
notify 77:18	96:19 124:1	operators 9:25	outcome 8:23
nov 20:16 45:24	offsite 20:10	81:10	outer 39:6 73:3
46:2,9 53:8	oh 73:11 112:19	opinion 30:9 33:23	outlook 117:13
november 6:19	oil 82:13	35:5 44:12 49:2	118:20 120:21
12:19 16:13	okay 10:12 26:18	115:16 117:11	121:10,11
novs 46:11 103:13	62:8 79:4 87:1	119:1	outlooks 120:21
number 12:4 15:5	89:25,25 110:10	opinions 56:21 57:7	outrage 75:4 76:3
20:21 39:10 47:14	124:7 133:11	100:3	outside 46:24 59:2
53:13,21 58:12 75:8	134:20	opportunities 112:5	68:16 78:25 80:21
88:22 93:13 99:5,7	oklahoma 10:14	opportunity 4:8	81:1 88:8 91:12,24
104:2 105:5,5,7	11:3 84:7 85:8 86:4	7:11 11:11 23:25	outstanding 4:17
116:18 128:1,2,4	86:5,6 125:10,10	36:18 37:7 38:11	107:10
numbers 45:12	133:15	45:6 50:3,21,25	overall 71:12,22
46:16,20 99:6	old 37:18 68:6 71:7	55:5,19 67:21 86:9	102:25
numerous 21:6	84:25	109:18 110:3,25	overarching 38:3
0	once 20:17 22:19	111:2,25 112:21,22	overdue 38:13
obama 13:11 41:10	23:18 24:9,24 25:19	opposed 108:20,21	overlooked 117:4
55:3	59:13 78:24 80:6	115:3	overlord 42:17
obama's 24:2	109:6 110:8 121:17	opt 33:19	overreaching 45:4
obligation 24:1	ones 59:23 70:12	option 20:5 21:10	overruns 77:18
75:16	126:15	63:8 97:8 121:1,24	oversight 29:13
obligations 6:7 82:1	ongoing 35:16 51:7	122:3	30:14 33:20 44:21
observations 107:16	111:17	options 97:21	57:22 58:3,9 60:1
observe 37:19	online 16:24,25	orchard 83:10	113:12 114:19
obtain 75:8	24:15	order 6:23,24 7:15	115:4,9
obtaining 77:12	open 2:6 5:14 9:7	9:2 12:12,17 13:16	overstep 119:9
obvious 34:2 89:9	16:25 56:15,20	13:17 15:9 23:18	owned 22:14,17
89:18	59:13 62:13 72:7	24:2 27:16 46:16	р
obviously 60:1 70:4	86:11 119:6 128:25	77:23 122:4	p.m. 135:10
occasions 55:8	opening 80:23	orders 39:2 46:4	package 94:25 95:1
88:22	101:15	107:2	packet 16:16
october 31:4	operate 6:6 31:22	ordinance 27:18	pages 1:25
odidas 84:16,18	82:1 105:21	35:9 58:9 65:10	pages 1.25 paid 40:8 49:7 53:8
offer 34:8,13 50:25	operates 10:4	66:13,17,17 74:16	62:22 75:24 78:3
83:11 122:5	operation 29:15	116:5,7 119:13	82:20
offering 100:4	32:11 34:4 49:17	ordinances 35:13	pala 27:13,18 60:12
office 5:8 14:9 22:6	51:24 52:3,5,7,7,16	39:23 40:6 42:6,22	115:20
76:19,21 77:1 89:14	52:17,19 63:24	43:7 58:13 65:7	pala's 58:10
103:23 123:4	91:12 110:16	106:13 116:2 118:1	palm 130:12
130:12,22 131:2	134:18	ordinary 53:5	Paim 130.12
130.12,22 131.2		-	

[pandora - position]

45.6	00 15 102 0 10	7 00 70 05	L 4 04 10
pandora 45:6	82:15 103:9,19	person 7:20 78:25	plants 84:19
panic 46:3	paying 76:8 82:11	103:22	play 2:15 84:1,1
parallel 23:9 121:15	82:18,19 84:5 95:22	personal 54:25	played 48:6,12 61:4
121:25	payment 18:10	70:22 115:15	players 82:18 83:13
parameters 39:18	payments 75:24	personally 2:19	playing 48:9
42:1,19	76:8 103:22	11:14 55:18	plays 115:22
paramount 48:19	pays 82:2,14	personnel 103:23	plead 99:19
50:14	pcs 84:24	perspective 51:16	please 10:5 11:23
parcel 32:15	penalties 53:12	57:2 71:25	19:16 24:4 25:24
parking 103:19	penalty 103:11	perspectives 32:23	26:7,12 27:5 56:9
part 19:1 30:12 35:4	104:21	pertain 79:23 81:9	64:5 84:11 85:8
35:9 51:17,24 52:3	pending 31:6	pertaining 74:23	87:10,11 93:6
52:15 53:6 58:25	people 2:13 4:19	pertains 24:12	100:23 104:9 111:6
59:3 64:8 65:18	24:17 56:2,4 71:24	pertinent 54:13	pleased 134:9
67:6,8 72:24 88:11	73:13 76:4 80:7	phase 126:3	pleasing 3:15
89:5,11,16 90:3	81:19 83:10 84:1	phil 44:10	pleasure 55:1
94:15 96:17 98:2	85:19 86:18 87:17	phoenix 88:8	plenty 72:7
99:25 107:8 108:9	90:10,17 92:3	phrase 78:18,19	pliable 126:20
116:1 129:23,23	103:11 114:25	picayune 77:6	poi 92:4
131:22	116:11 118:23	pick 121:9 132:8	point 10:15 16:5
participate 16:9	121:8 125:5,8,21	picked 126:20	26:11 30:25 36:19
63:20 111:25	126:4,19 127:7,21	picture 14:24	43:9 56:6 60:16
132:10	128:10,13 129:6	pieces 88:4	61:9 70:6 71:10
particular 14:13	130:17 131:1,2,6	piggybacked 73:21	77:21 78:12 80:21
18:13 19:13 33:13	132:16,19,20,21	pilot 20:2 98:3,6,8	92:18 93:19 98:7
89:17 92:13 103:18	perceived 43:16	98:10,19,25 99:3	130:14
108:2 118:25	44:14	121:17	points 60:7 107:16
particularly 28:22	perceiving 109:17	pinch 82:17	111:20
100:17	percent 95:23	pipeline 121:23	policies 23:22
parties 67:20	percentage 52:18,24	place 31:12 35:11	policy 14:13,16,21
partnership 2:25	53:18 82:3	46:6 47:2 61:8,12	14:25 21:18 45:19
parts 53:23 88:4	perception 126:21	64:19 65:16 66:15	48:14 68:6,9 110:1
121:24 134:5	127:16	100:13 109:13	124:18 130:4,9,11
party 20:14	perceptions 14:25	114:12 118:7	130:15,15
passage 53:13	percolated 100:25	119:11	political 76:5
passion 50:8,9	133:1	placed 42:2 83:16	pony 16:11 24:22
paternalistic 40:17	perfect 2:18 70:14	places 26:25 40:13	poor 46:21 82:23
41:16 44:21 45:2	perfectly 72:22	87:21	poorly 46:24
101:3	perform 34:25 98:3	plain 31:18	portion 59:4 88:19
path 79:17 84:14	performing 52:16	plan 8:23,24 12:20	100:1
patrons 27:20 61:16	peril 42:22	50:18 80:5	poses 43:23
pattern 53:10	period 16:14 17:25	planning 77:12	position 10:6 17:16
pawnee 10:13 11:3	24:6,7 105:4 107:1	plans 75:4,10 76:16	22:20,21 23:19 30:5
pay 49:8 53:14	121:9	76:16 79:14 80:11	36:15 40:14 41:8
63:20 81:22 82:12		91:10 92:6	43:2 47:6 74:1
55.20 01.22 02.12		/1.10 /2.0	13.2 17.3 7 1.1

[position - properly]

94:16 108:13	preliminary 37:13	109:13	109:18 111:12,21
109:22 117:22	37:14 49:21 106:23	priorities 7:7 8:19	113:19 117:18
positions 10:1 95:7	107:15 109:15	prioritize 122:15	120:1,1 121:14
117:20 126:24	110:6,13 111:20	priority 15:10,12,25	122:17,18 123:2,4,5
positives 68:14,21	premeeting 91:3	17:7 37:24 38:2,4	123:7,14,15,21,24
possess 70:5	prepared 37:16	42:2 45:8 47:4	124:1,13,14 125:7
possibility 46:4 63:2	86:22	48:21 53:14 54:1	125:16,20 126:1,9
63:19 80:21	preparedness 41:1	57:20 108:5 115:22	127:18 129:7,14,24
possible 4:4 6:22	prescribed 108:17	prioritywise 7:16	129:25 130:2 131:8
17:24 18:3 21:2	prescriptive 108:10	privilege 37:11	131:14,20,23 132:4
22:23 23:23 24:3	108:16 115:3	probably 5:3 56:3	134:14 135:3
25:12 27:1 54:20	presence 45:13	57:18,19 62:10,11	processes 103:22
92:6 99:13 103:7	present 36:25 37:1,2	64:20 72:20 74:11	121:25 122:16
125:2	38:11 39:1 40:4	99:16 111:18 128:3	123:12 124:3
possibly 38:15 39:24	70:24	problem 45:20	procuring 22:18
post 57:20 99:14	presently 54:2	76:11 78:1 90:20	product 38:21 125:6
posted 16:24,25	preservation 2:16	109:17 119:13	productive 104:24
17:10 24:8,12,13,24	president 13:11	problems 28:24	profession 75:13
104:1	24:2	44:8 65:18 77:19	professional 34:14
posture 110:10,13	presidential 131:13	78:10 80:24 94:3	profoundly 74:23
pot 71:7,10	press 24:14 26:3	117:12	74:25 75:12
potential 20:21 41:9	117:3	procedures 59:1	program 5:22 20:2
80:24	pressed 82:20	proceed 8:19 9:1	98:3,6,8,11,19,25
poust 4:25	pressing 15:10	56:22	99:4 121:17
powerpoint 8:14	pretty 75:21 104:3	proceeding 111:5	programs 49:9
10:17,22 18:4 24:16	113:2	proceedings 1:12	progressive 81:11
powerpoints 10:19	prevail 29:6	19:11 135:10 136:7	81:18 82:16 105:11
10:21	prevailed 47:12	136:10	progressively
powless 33:1,1	previous 18:7	process 6:17 7:1,18	105:13
50:17	114:15 126:13	8:8,8,21 9:2,23 10:5	progressives 83:11
practical 125:2,5	previously 35:13	11:7,12,14,16 12:11	prohibits 78:9
practice 40:4 53:7	primacy 106:11	14:1,18 15:16,18	project 78:5 126:4
74:5	117:24	16:22 17:8,25 18:19	promised 40:10
practices 109:10	primarily 30:13	19:13 20:22 21:21	promote 76:5
pray 3:16	49:2	22:4 23:7 24:12	prompted 105:15
precious 76:1	primary 15:24	25:10,11,18 31:7	promulgate 42:7,23
precludes 74:7	29:12 33:13 34:11	33:19,22 34:6 35:16	59:15 61:20 63:24
predecessors 44:15	45:9,10 49:17,18	35:25 44:18 50:6,22	63:25
preempt 105:24	53:19 60:21 65:19	51:7,7 54:1,3,19	promulgated 38:12
preference 22:14	96:8 97:5 106:13	55:12 56:1,20 57:2	98:12 106:9
preferences 41:16	116:13 117:25	62:20 67:23 68:17	promulgating 40:4
preferred 123:16	133:3	69:5,20,23 76:15	proper 30:7 46:6
prejudicial 126:18	principle 38:14	78:21 97:22 98:20	47:3
preliminarily 96:20	prior 42:9 45:4	98:21 99:10 104:20	properly 42:4 44:11
	46:15 107:18	106:22 107:22	
•			

[proposed - recognizes]

proposed13:815:20publish29:10115:21,22116:11reality69:163:1469:17published6:199:13121:14129:13really4:18proposes43:416:1331:337:9questioning57:810:1013:10proprietary19:549:1965:14127:14106:2413:2514:5,	9:11,21),15,17
proposes43:416:1331:337:9questioning57:810:1013:10proprietary19:549:1965:14127:14106:2413:2514:5,),15,17
proprietary 19:5 49:19 65:14 127:14 106:24 13:25 14:5,	
	20 15:7
21:4,9 48:21 49:10publishing74:10questions10:1,716:8 17:25	23:19
49:15 54:8 95:9,11 pulling 85:20 15:1,24 26:17 32:22 25:18,20 26	5:16
96:7 133:2 punitive 103:20,25 37:16 88:1,5,10,25 61:10,13 63	3:23
prosper 70:9,9 104:3 93:16,17 94:11,13 64:18 72:23	3 73:1
protect 3:4,7,7,7,14 purchasing 22:15 102:10,11 127:3,5 76:23 77:11	1 97:12
9:6 34:24 57:5 77:1 pure 42:24 134:5 135:4 98:16 99:11	101:17
119:22 purely 42:11 quick 81:8 103:18 104	:6,16
protecting 8:19 purpose 5:23 134:14 quickly 8:9 14:3,7 105:10 108	:15
55:16 76:1 purposes 34:11 40:8 18:4 35:24 109:21 110	:24
protection 2:16 34:2 52:12 54:23 79:7 quite 20:7 23:11 112:25 113	:16
protections 120:13 100:3 32:2,2 49:14 104:20 114:2,4,9,1	9,20
protesting 78:6 pursuant 2:23 quo 47:1 117:10,16	18:1,10
prove 36:1 pursue 38:23 75:13 quote 114:11 118:17,19,2	20
provide 9:16 21:10 put 4:16 15:19 16:7 quoted 80:2,3 123:12,20,2	22 124:2
23:25 34:2 36:15 24:16 31:6 38:8 r 124:9,15,23	3 125:19
45:6 55:20 59:4 45:6 57:8 61:23 r 1:15 136:3,17 128:11,24 1	34:18
97:2 102:11,17 63:13 65:5 66:17 realm 103:4	ł
1111101224 72670140512 realty 1312	2,2
111.10 122.4 120.079.1495.12 raging 19:20 reason 70:12 127:23 128:11 100:18 102:4 108:3 raging 19:20 reason 70:12	0 78:3
provided 17:4 35:22 110:10 112:15 raise 14:3 /9:4 81:16 00:15	
provided 17.4 35.22 110.19 112.15 raising 80:9 81.10 99.1 47:22 50:24 55:10 113:14 116:4,24 raising 80:9 reasonable	53:11
107:18 131:10 113.14 110.4,24 rally 108:1 109:17 110 109:17 110 118:4,7,12,13 131:4 range aria 50:22	:1
provides 7:10 118.4,7,12,13 131.4 rancheria 50:23 reasoning 6 provides 7:10 12:8 putting 80:6 102:3 63:7 77:6 78:16 reasoning 6	52:2,13
33:19 51:23 52:2,15 120:1 03.777.078.10 reasons 115 random 128:1 120:1 120:1 120:1 120:1	5:2
52:24 54:16 80:15 q ranged 43:3 recalcitrant	47:25
providing 4:10 6:8 qualified 22:14 rank 131:13 recall 62:3	88:18
34:3 42:12 43:6quantifiable79:3rationale54:7recalling12	22:20
98:14 126:11 quantified 78:24 101:10 receive 16:2	22 24:13
province 38:20 quantify 45:14 reach 11:23,24 13:9 24:24 117:3	3
provision 21:9 24:4 79:15 received 16	:16 25:1
111:2 115:8 question 21:7 29:19 reached 38:18 105:8	
provisions 62:23 30:3,22 32:6 43:23 reaching 2:21 14:21	2:17,24
77:16 88:23 89:19 44:24 45:1 61:17 reaction 48:23 recess 87:4	
96:4 72:17 79:18 88:21 read 16:3 30:8 42:10 recipient 10)3:3
public 32:5 75:14 72:17 79:18 88:21 recognize 4 92:17 96:16,24 61:19 125:24 61:19 125:24 10.15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	:9,21
101:6 114:5,8,11,13 99:25 100:15 readily 129:2 60:17 66:13	3 99:19
115:5 125:25 101:19,23 102:15 reading 123.2 recognized 101:19,23 102:15 100:1 100:1	65:22
publicity 78:7 101.19,23 102.13 ready 100.1 82:9 106:12 102:17 104:16 real 47:16 81:8 82:9 106:12	2 118:8
publicly 75:15 102:17 104:16 real 47:16 81:8 129:17 106:18 109:24 85:20 94:5 95:22 129:17	
123:20 100:18 109:24 85:20 94:5 95:22 recognizes	33:25
34:5 81:24	82:16

[recognizing - requested]

recognizing65:12regard5:20 7:8100:21 107:12relating40:9124:2029:25 33:16,20112:16,18,18,18,20relation35:1recommend35:2047:18 50:25 51:17112:20,23 113:1,9relationship21:1778:2154:11 88:22 90:18113:15,15,19,24,2550:13 51:3 109:9104:23,25118:25117:25 118:3119:17 120:4recommendationsregarding28:4,24regulations2:23 6:1relationships11:2065:5 70:2340:15 61:18 72:186:2,25 7:15,19 9:197:13 112:21recommended77:11 98:2412:4,9 15:2,35,8,10113:17 121:4,552:11 54:22 66:14regards33:12 50:2115:12,25 17:6,17relationships11:20recomided132:8,1032:1 38:12,25 39:5relations33:23relevant54:13recomsideration132:8,1032:1 38:12,22,39:5relaved31:16remarks37:1772:23 74:25 87:13130:15 132:1949:25 51:14,15remarks37:17remedies 67:2remarks37:1772:23 74:25 87:13130:15 132:1998:11 100:13remides 67:2remarks37:17rediation 58:13,25relation 58:13,25rediation 58:13,25rediation 58:13,25remides 67:2remedies 65:15remid 58:
recommend35:2047:18 50:25 51:17112:20,23 113:1,9relationship2:1778:2154:11 88:22 90:18113:15,15,19,24,2559 29:5,13 30:13recommendation106:10 110:23114:9 115:4,12,2550:13 51:3 109:9104:23,25118:25117:25 118:3119:17 120:4recommendationsregarding28:4,24regulations2:23 6:11recommended77:11 98:2412:4,9 15:2,3,5,8,10113:17 121:4,552:11 54:22 66:14regards33:12 50:2115:12,25 17:6,17relay 76:24recomideration132:8,1032:1 38:12,25 39:5releases 24:1454:9regional1:2 4:12,1340:18 41:21,22,24releases 24:14record26:16 56:12121:3,4 129:1543:5 44:23 45:3remarks 37:1772:23 74:25 87:13130:15 132:1949:25 51:14,15remedies 67:2remarks 37:1772:23 74:25 87:13130:15 132:1949:25 51:14,15remedies 67:2remarks 37:1773:19 129:13regions5:15 7:5,753:24 54:6 61:21,21remedies 67:2remedies 67:2record 26:10regs12:8 100:598:11 100:13remind 58:13,25remind 58:13,25remind 58:13,25red 26:3121:24101:11,17 106:8,9removing 44:18reopen 94:5repealed 94:1reference53:15 54:18 132:21111:1,22 112:23repealed 94:1repalec 46:11reference29:1,14regulart 30:10regulator 43:1replace 45:11reference29:1,1444:1745:10 100:8 <t< th=""></t<>
78:2154:11 88:22 90:18113:15,15,19,24,255:9 29:5,13 30:13recommendation106:10 110:23114:9 115:4,12,255:0:13 51:3 109:9104:23,25118:25117:25 118:3119:17 120:4recommendationsregarding 28:4,24regulations 2:23 6:1relationships 11:2065:5 70:2340:15 61:18 72:186:2,25 7:15,19 9:197:13 112:21recommended77:11 98:2412:4,9 15:2,35,8,10113:17 121:4,552:11 54:22 66:14regards 33:12 50:2115:12,25 17:6,17relaxes 24:14recomsideration132:8,1032:1 38:12,25 39:5relevant 54:1339:21,25region 16:8 131:123:2 25:17 31:18relevant 54:13record 26:16 56:12121:3,4 129:1543:5 44:23 45:3remarks 37:1772:23 74:25 87:13130:15 132:1949:25 51:14,15remdeise 67:293:19 129:13regions 5:15 7:5,753:24 54:6 61:21,21remedber 26:15recording 26:10,24regilar 13:13 35:23106:19,25 107:8,11remind 58:13,25red 26:3121:24101:11,71 106:8,9reminder 10:20redefined 53:3regular 60:21106:19,25 107:8,11reopen 94:5refer 42:8 52:4,953:15 54:18 132:21111:1,22 112:23repealed 94:1referee 124:23regular 13:13 35:23108:11,13 109:11reopen 94:5referee 29:1,14regular 30:10regulat 43:1replace 45:11s1:11 118:3,1444:1745:10 100:8replacing 47:1
recommendation106:10 110:23114:9 115:4,12,2550:13 51:3 109:9104:23,25118:25117:25 118:3119:17 120:4recommendationsregarding 28:4,24regulations 2:23 6:1relationships 11:2065:5 70:2340:15 61:18 72:186:2,25 7:15,19 9:197:13 112:21recommended77:11 98:2412:4,9 15:2,3,5,8,10113:17 121:4,552:11 54:22 66:14regards 33:12 50:2115:12,25 17:6,17relaxes 24:14recommends 36:353:6,22 54:3,1719:24 20:19,21,23relevant 54:13s4:9region 16:8 131:123:2 25:17 31:18relevant 54:13reconsideration132:8,1032:1 38:12,25 39:5relieved 31:1639:21,25regional 1:2 4:12,1340:18 41:21,22,24remains 33:23record 26:16 56:12121:3,4 129:1543:5 44:23 45:3remarks 37:1772:23 74:25 87:13130:15 132:1949:25 51:14,15remdeise 67:293:19 129:13regioss 5:15 7:5,753:24 54:6 66:12,1,21rember 26:15records 20:10register 24:14 51:1067:14 73:12 89:2065:15 87:11 99:6redundancies 6:13regulant 60:21106:19,25 107:8,11removing 44:18redundancies 6:13regular 13:13 35:23108:11,13 109:11repopen 94:5refere 124:23regularty 111:9119:11 120:17replace 46:11referee 124:23regulary 21:17126:1 127:3 129:7replace 46:11referee 29:1,1430:10regulator 43:1replacing 47:131:11 118:3,1444:1745:10 100:8replacing 47
104:23,25118:25117:25 118:3119:17 120:4recommendations 65:5 70:23regarding 28:4,24 40:15 61:18 72:18regulations 2:23 6:1 6:2,25 7:15,19 9:1 12:4,9 15:2,3,5,8,10119:17 120:4recommended 52:11 54:22 66:1477:11 98:24 regards 33:12 50:2112:4,9 15:2,3,5,8,10 15:12,25 17:6,17113:17 121:4,5 relaxes 24:14fecommends 36:353:6,22 54:3,17 region 16:8 131:119:24 20:19,21,23 23:2 25:17 31:18relevant 54:13 releved 31:16reconsideration 39:21,25132:8,10 regional 1:2 4:12,1332:1 38:12,25 39:5 43:5 44:23 45:3relieved 31:16 remains 33:23record 26:16 56:12 93:19 129:13121:3,4 129:15 regions 5:15 7:5,7 136:1043:5 44:23 45:3 132:5remedies 67:2 remedies 67:2 remedies 67:2records 20:10 redefined 53:3register 24:14 51:10 regulart 60:2167:14 73:12 89:20 106:19,25 107:8,11 removing 44:18 repore 94:565:15 87:11 99:6 removing 44:18 repaced 46:11 replace 47:1 s1:11 118:3,14replace 43:1 44:17
recommendations 65:5 70:23regarding 40:15 61:18 72:18regulations 6:2,25 7:15,19 9:1relationships 97:13 112:21recommended 52:11 54:22 66:14 76:2477:11 98:2412:4,9 15:2,3,5,8,10113:17 121:4,5recommends 52:11 54:22 66:14 74:19regards 53:6,22 54:3,1715:12,25 17:6,17relage 76:24recommends 54:9region 16:8 131:123:2 25:17 31:18relevant 76:24reconsideration 39:21,25132:8,1032:1 38:12,25 39:5relieved 31:1639:21,25regional 1:2 4:12,1340:18 41:21,22,24remains 33:23record 26:16 56:12121:3,4 129:1543:5 44:23 45:3remedies 67:293:19 129:13regions 5:15 7:5,753:24 54:6 61:21,21remedies 67:2136:10132:561:23 63:25 65:17remember 26:15records 20:10regs 12:8 100:598:11 100:13remind 58:13,25red red 64:16regular 60:21106:19,25 107:8,11removing 44:18redundancies 64:16regular regular 13:13 35:23108:11,13 109:11repore 94:5refere 64:16regularity 111:9119:11 120:17replace 46:11replace 46:11refere 62:1,14regular 30:1072:17126:1 127:3 129:7replaced 35:5refere 62:1,14regular 44:1745:10 100:8report 100:5 113:3
65:5 70:2340:15 61:18 72:186:2,25 7:15,19 9:197:13 112:21recommended77:11 98:2412:4,9 15:2,3,5,8,10113:17 121:4,552:11 54:22 66:14regards 33:12 50:2115:12,25 17:6,17relay 76:24recommends 36:353:6,22 54:3,1719:24 20:19,21,23relevant 54:1354:9region 16:8 131:123:2 25:17 31:18relevant 54:13reconsideration132:8,1032:1 38:12,25 39:5relieved 31:1639:21,25regional 1:2 4:12,1340:18 41:21,22,24remains 33:23record 26:16 56:12121:3,4 129:1543:5 44:23 45:3remedies 67:293:19 129:13regions 5:15 7:5,753:24 54:6 61:21,21remedies 67:2136:10132:561:23 63:25 65:17remember 26:15records 20:10regs 12:8 100:598:11 100:13remind 58:13,25red26:3121:24101:11,17 106:8,9reminder 10:20redregular 60:21106:19,25 107:8,11reopen 94:5refer 42:8 52:4,953:15 54:18 132:21111:1,22 112:2364:16regulart 13:13 35:23108:11,13 109:11reopen 94:5refere 124:23regulart 91:17126:1 127:3 129:7replaced 35:5reference 29:1,14regulate 30:10regulator 43:1replacid 47:131:11 118:3,1444:1745:10 100:8report 100:5 113:3
recommended77:11 98:2412:4,9 15:2,3,5,8,10113:17 121:4,552:11 54:22 66:14regards 33:12 50:2115:12,25 17:6,17relay 76:24recommends 36:353:6,22 54:3,1719:24 20:19,21,23releases 24:1454:9region 16:8 131:123:2 25:17 31:18relevant 54:13reconsideration132:8,1032:1 38:12,25 39:5relieved 31:1639:21,25regional 1:2 4:12,1340:18 41:21,22,24remains 33:23record 26:16 56:12121:3,4 129:1543:5 44:23 45:3remarks 37:1772:23 74:25 87:13130:15 132:1949:25 51:14,15remedies 67:293:19 129:13regions 5:15 7:5,753:24 54:6 61:21,21remedy 121:20136:10132:561:23 63:25 65:17remember 26:15records 20:10regs 12:8 100:598:11 100:13remind 58:13,25red26:3121:24101:11,17 106:8,9reminder 10:20redefined 53:3regulart 60:21106:19,25 107:8,11reopen 94:5refer 42:8 52:4,953:15 54:18 132:21111:1,22 112:23repealed 94:164:16regularity 111:9119:11 120:17replace 46:11referee 124:23regulary 21:17126:1 127:3 129:7replace 35:5reference 29:1,1430:10regulator 43:1replacing 47:131:11 118:3,1444:1745:10 100:8report 100:5 113:3
52:11 54:22 66:14 recommends 36:3 54:9regards 33:12 50:21 53:6,22 54:3,17 region 16:8 131:1 132:8,1015:12,25 17:6,17 19:24 20:19,21,23 23:2 25:17 31:18 39:21,25relay 76:24 releases 24:14 relevant 54:13 relevant 54:13record 26:16 56:12 93:19 129:13 136:1012:4:12,13 130:15 132:1913:2.8,10 121:3,4 129:1532:1 38:12,25 39:5 40:18 41:21,22,24 49:25 51:14,15relevant 54:13 relevant 54:13record 26:16 56:12 93:19 129:13 136:10regions 5:15 7:5,7 130:15 132:1932:2 36:25 65:17 49:25 51:14,15remedies 67:2 remedies 67:2records 20:10 redefined 53:3 refer 42:8 52:4,9 64:16regular 13:13 35:23 53:15 54:18 132:21100:13 11:1,17 106:8,9 106:19,25 107:8,11 109:11removing 44:18 repaled 94:1 replace 46:11 regular 13:13 35:23refer 42:8 52:4,9 64:16regularly 21:17 regularly 21:17 regularly 21:17106:1127:3 129:7 regulator 43:1 44:17replace 46:11 regulator 43:1 45:10 100:8
recommends36:3 53:6,2253:6,2254:3,17 region19:2420:19,21,23 23:2releases24:14 relevant54:9 reconsideration 39:21,25 record132:8,10 26:1632:132:132:1,25 32:1relevant54:13 relevant39:21,25 record26:1656:12 121:3,4121:3,4129:15 130:15132:440:1841:21,22,24 43:5remains33:23 remarks72:2374:2587:13 93:19130:15132:19 130:1549:2551:14,15 53:24remedies67:2 remedies93:19129:13 136:10regions5:157:5,7 132:553:2454:661:21,21 61:23remedy121:20 remedies136:10132:5 records20:10 regs121:24 101:11,17106:19,25107:8,11 remindremind58:13,25 reminderred26:3 refer121:24 regular106:19,25107:8,11 109:11 reopen94:5repaled94:1 repaledrefer42:852:4,9 53:1553:1554:18132:21 111:1,22111:1,22repaled94:1 repaled94:5refer124:23 regulart13:1335:23 106:19,25106:19,25107:8,11 repaledrepaled94:1 repaled94:1 repaled74:18refer124:23 regulartregulart13:1335:23 100:11108:11,13109:11 repaledrepaled94:1 repaled75:5refer124:23 regulartregulart121:17 <b< th=""></b<>
54:9region16:8 131:123:2 25:17 31:18relevant54:13reconsideration132:8,1032:1 38:12,25 39:5relieved31:1639:21,25regional1:2 4:12,1340:18 41:21,22,24remains33:23record26:16 56:12121:3,4 129:1543:5 44:23 45:3remarks37:1772:23 74:25 87:13130:15 132:1949:25 51:14,15remedies67:293:19 129:13regions5:15 7:5,753:24 54:6 61:21,21remedies67:2136:10register24:14 51:1067:14 73:12 89:2065:15 87:11 99:6records20:10register24:14 51:1067:14 73:12 89:2065:15 87:11 99:6redefined53:3regulant60:21106:19,25 107:8,11removing44:18refer42:8 52:4,953:15 54:18 132:21111:1,22 112:23repealed94:164:16regularity11:9119:11 120:17replace46:11referee124:23regularity11:9119:11 120:17replace46:11reference29:1,1444:1745:10 100:8report100:5 113:3
reconsideration32:8,1032:1 38:12,25 39:5relieved 31:1639:21,25regional 1:2 4:12,1340:18 41:21,22,24remains 33:23record 26:16 56:12121:3,4 129:1543:5 44:23 45:3remarks 37:1772:23 74:25 87:13130:15 132:1949:25 51:14,15remedies 67:293:19 129:13regions 5:15 7:5,753:24 54:6 61:21,21remedies 67:2136:10132:561:23 63:25 65:17remember 26:15records 20:10register 24:14 51:1067:14 73:12 89:2065:15 87:11 99:6redefined 53:3regulant 60:21106:19,25 107:8,11removing 44:18redundancies 6:13regulart 13:13 35:23108:11,13 109:11reopen 94:5refer 42:8 52:4,953:15 54:18 132:21111:1,22 112:23repaled 94:1referee 124:23regularity 111:9119:11 120:17replace 46:11reference 29:1,1431:11 118:3,1444:1745:10 100:8report 100:5 113:3
39:21,25 recordregional1:2 4:12,13 121:3,4 129:1540:18 41:21,22,24 43:5 44:23 45:3remains33:23 remains72:23 74:25 87:13 93:19 129:13 136:10121:3,4 129:15 130:15 132:1949:25 51:14,15 53:24 54:6 61:21,21 61:23 63:25 65:17 61:23 63:25 65:17remains33:23 remainsrecording 26:10,24 records 20:1026:10,24 register 24:14 51:10register 24:14 51:1067:14 73:12 89:20 67:14 73:12 89:20remedy 65:15 87:11 99:6 remind 65:15 87:11 99:6redundancies 61:23 64:1661:21 regulart 64:16regulart 60:21 regulart 53:15 54:18 132:21 regularty 111:9106:19,25 107:8,11 106:19,25 107:8,11 106:19,25 107:8,11 106:19,25 107:8,11 repeneld 98:11 100:13removing 44:18 repeneld 94:1 replaced 44:18refer 64:16regularity regularly 21:17111:1,22 112:23 126:1 127:3 129:7 regulator 43:1 45:10 100:8replaced 45:1 replacing 47:1
record26:16 56:12121:3,4 129:1543:5 44:23 45:3remarks37:1772:23 74:25 87:13130:15 132:1949:25 51:14,15remedies67:293:19 129:13regions5:15 7:5,753:24 54:6 61:21,21remedies67:2136:10132:561:23 63:25 65:17remedies62:15recording26:10,24register24:14 51:1067:14 73:12 89:2065:15 87:11 99:6red26:3121:24101:11,17 106:8,9remind58:13,25redundancies6:13regulart60:21106:19,25 107:8,11removing44:18refer42:8 52:4,953:15 54:18 132:21111:1,22 112:23repaled94:164:16regularity111:9119:11 120:17replace46:11referee124:23regularly21:17126:1 127:3 129:7replaced35:5reference29:1,1444:1745:10 100:8report100:5 113:3
72:23 74:25 87:13130:15 132:1949:25 51:14,15remedies 67:293:19 129:13regions 5:15 7:5,753:24 54:6 61:21,21remedy 121:20136:10132:561:23 63:25 65:1765:15 87:11 99:6recording 26:10,24register 24:14 51:1067:14 73:12 89:2065:15 87:11 99:6records 20:10regs 12:8 100:598:11 100:13remind 58:13,25red 26:3121:24101:11,17 106:8,9reminder 10:20redefined 53:3regulant 60:21106:19,25 107:8,11removing 44:18refer 42:8 52:4,953:15 54:18 132:21111:1,22 112:23repaled 94:164:16regularity 111:919:11 120:17replace 46:11referee 124:23regularly 21:17126:1 127:3 129:7replaced 35:5reference 29:1,1444:1745:10 100:8report 100:5 113:3
93:19 129:13 136:10regions5:15 7:5,7 132:553:24 54:6 61:21,21 61:23 63:25 65:17remedy121:20 rememberrecording26:10,24 registerregister24:14 51:10 register67:14 73:12 89:20 98:11 100:1365:15 87:11 99:6 remindred26:3 redefined53:3 121:24regulant60:21 106:19,25 107:8,1167:14 73:12 81 98:11 100:13remindredundancies6:13 regularregular13:13 35:23 53:15 54:18 132:21108:11,13 109:11 111:1,22 112:23reopenrefer42:8 52:4,9 64:1653:15 54:18 132:21 regularity111:1,22 112:23 111:1,22 112:23repealedreferee124:23 regularityregularity111:9 1120:17replace46:11 replacereferee29:1,14 31:11 118:3,1444:1745:10 100:8report100:5 113:3
136:10132:561:23 63:25 65:17remember 26:15recording 26:10,24register 24:14 51:1067:14 73:12 89:2065:15 87:11 99:6records 20:10regs 12:8 100:598:11 100:13remind 58:13,25red 26:3121:24101:11,17 106:8,9reminder 10:20redefined 53:3regulant 60:21106:19,25 107:8,11removing 44:18redundancies 6:13regular 13:13 35:23108:11,13 109:11reopen 94:5refer 42:8 52:4,953:15 54:18 132:21111:1,22 112:23repealed 94:1referee 124:23regularity 111:9119:11 120:17replace 46:11reference 29:1,14regulate 30:10regulator 43:1replaced 35:531:11 118:3,1444:1745:10 100:8report 100:5 113:3
recording26:10,24 recordsregister24:1451:10 regs67:1473:1289:20 98:1165:1587:1199:6 remindred26:3 redefined121:24 121:24101:11,17106:8,9 106:19,25remind58:13,25 reminder10:20 removingredundancies6:13 regularregular13:1335:23 111:1,22106:19,25107:8,11 106:19,25removing44:18 reopenrefer42:852:4,9 53:1553:1554:18132:21 111:1,22111:1,22repealed94:1 replace64:16 refereeregularity111:9 111:9119:11120:17 126:1replace46:11 replacereplace46:11 replacereferee124:23 regularlyregulate30:10 44:17regulator43:1 45:10replacing47:1 replacing47:1 replacing
records20:10regs12:8 100:598:11 100:13remind58:13,25red26:3121:24101:11,17 106:8,9106:19,25 107:8,11reminder10:20redefined53:3regulart60:21106:19,25 107:8,11removing44:18redundancies6:13regular13:13 35:23108:11,13 109:11reopen94:564:16regularity111:9119:11 120:17replace46:11referee124:23regularly21:17126:1 127:3 129:7replaced35:5reference29:1,14regulate30:10regulator43:1replacing47:131:11 118:3,1444:1745:10 100:8report100:5 113:3
red26:3121:24101:11,17 106:8,9reminder10:20redefined53:3regulant60:21106:19,25 107:8,11removing44:18redundancies6:13regular13:13 35:23108:11,13 109:11reopen94:564:16regularity111:9119:11 120:17replace46:11referee124:23regularly21:17126:1 127:3 129:7replace46:11reference29:1,14regulate30:10regulator43:1replacing47:131:11 118:3,1444:1745:10 100:8report100:5 113:3
redefined53:3regulant60:21106:19,25107:8,11removing44:18redundancies6:13regular13:1335:23108:11,13109:11reopen94:5refer42:852:4,953:1554:18132:21111:1,22112:23repealed94:164:16regularity111:9119:11120:17replace46:11referee124:23regularly21:17126:1127:3129:7replaced35:5reference29:1,14regulate30:10regulator43:1replacing47:131:11118:3,1444:1745:10100:8report100:5113:3
redundancies6:13regular13:1335:23108:11,13109:11reopen94:5refer42:852:4,953:1554:18132:21111:1,22112:23repealed94:164:16regularity111:9119:11120:17replace46:11referee124:23regularly21:17126:1127:3129:7replaced35:5reference29:1,14regulate30:10regulator43:1replacing47:131:11118:3,1444:1745:10100:8report100:5113:3
refer42:8 52:4,953:15 54:18 132:21111:1,22 112:23repealed94:164:16regularity111:9119:11 120:17replace46:11referee124:23regularly21:17126:1 127:3 129:7replaced35:5reference29:1,14regulate30:10regulator43:1replacing47:131:11 118:3,1444:1745:10 100:8report100:5 113:3
64:16regularity111:9119:11120:17replace46:11referee124:23regularly21:17126:1127:3129:7replace35:5reference29:1,14regulate30:10regulator43:1replacing47:131:11118:3,1444:1745:10100:8report100:5113:3
referee124:23regularly21:17126:1127:3129:7replaced35:5reference29:1,14regulate30:10regulator43:1replacing47:131:11118:3,1444:1745:10100:8report100:5113:3
reference29:1,14regulate30:10regulator43:1replacing47:131:11118:3,1444:1745:10100:8report100:5113:3
31:11 118:3,14 44:17 45:10 100:8 report 100:5 113:3
references 41:18 regulated 44:7 regulators 43:14,19 132:21
referencing 31:1 113:8 45:9,13 66:4 reported 49:4 136:
referred33:17regulating30:14regulatory5:24reporter136:4
65:17 34:12 46:19,21 6:16 7:8 8:7 12:2,6 reporter's 1:12
refining 76:15 113:5 12:13,14,18 13:3 136:1
reflect 52:1 53:17 regulation 11:25 17:23 25:3 28:15 reports 97:2
reform 112:21 14:13 15:23 18:13 29:13 30:6,20 31:19 represent 5:2 26:9
refrain 39:5 48:7 18:20,21,22 20:3,8 36:4 40:6 51:16 109:22,25 125:8,10
79:17 21:3,7 24:11 25:6 54:1,23 55:7,10 125:14 132:10
refreshed 87:8 28:11,12,19 30:15 60:20 65:13,19 representative
refreshing 41:18 32:8 33:17,19 35:3 79:13 89:11 106:6 132:6
107:23 38:17,23 40:11,13 106:13 113:12,20 representing 37:11
reg 16:20 18:21,23 43:24 44:1 45:1 116:17 118:6 37:12 87:13
19:14 53:17 54:8,14,21,22 reiterate 9:23 72:11 represents 5:10
reg.review 16:15 57:25 58:6 64:9 relate 76:18 110:7
24:20 65:20,24 73:15 86:4 related 88:10 94:24 requested 127:4
86:5 92:25 100:17

[require - scope]

require 22:13 41:14	revenue 18:15,16	47:20 48:1	rustling 56:5
94:4 96:24	32:20 52:25 53:18	rincon's 36:19 47:23	
required 12:17 60:4	75:4,9,23 76:16	50:4,8	S
90:4 111:14	78:23 79:13,22 80:5	rise 104:5,21	s 63:7,7
requirement 49:16	82:3 91:9,11,16,21	river 64:3 66:7	saber 79:4 80:10
59:1 124:25	92:5,14 95:23 113:7	106:15	sacramento 129:16
requirements 6:23	revenues 52:18	riverside 76:7	safe 58:4 59:4 135:6
80:19 89:8,10,12,16	81:23 91:1	road 23:11 59:18	safety 40:15 41:20
98:13 101:2	revert 48:13	92:16 97:8 116:13	101:6 114:5,8,12,14
requires 12:13 35:3	review 2:22 5:24,25	120:8,9	115:5
51:19 113:4 118:20	6:5,16 7:8,25 8:7,12	robert 27:13 28:3	sake 122:13
resent 85:25	8:14 11:25 12:2,6	roberts 97:10	san 1:13 2:2,11
reservations 30:10	12:14 16:20 24:11	rocket 82:18	16:16 17:3 58:11
reset 26:1	25:3 36:5 44:23	role 2:15 28:17 29:9	sandy 33:2,14,24
resided 130:7	51:15 54:1,23 98:18	30:14 43:24 60:24	34:4,12,20 35:14,20
resolution 32:21	116:17	61:4,14 74:10	36:2 43:10 50:23
resolve 49:22 57:10	reviewed 25:1 41:23	102:25 115:22	51:2,10,12 53:7
resolved 46:5	reviewing 95:6	116:6	54:9 64:4
resources 38:24	reviews 59:2,5,7,8	ron 129:9	sandy's 34:25 35:5 sanitation 41:5
respect 63:18 64:7	revise 12:9 20:23	room 3:11 25:23	santa 64:3
78:18 108:4,14	23:2	74:4,7 82:10 103:12	santa 64.5 sat 11:12 69:3
respected 106:16	revised 17:17 51:13	127:8 128:11	sat 11:12 09:5 satellite 84:7
respectful 74:13	53:2,14 54:14	132:13	satellites 84:3
respond 56:7 104:24	100:22	roping 125:19	satisfies 115:9
111:6	revision 13:3 116:17	rosa 64:3	sausnes 113.9 saw 55:8 83:20
response 37:21 49:1	revisions 11:6 13:5	rosiers 4:23	saw 55.8 85.20 saying 64:25 71:7
responsibilities	15:23 110:21,22	round 106:22	85:11 86:18 89:13
52:17	112:16	route 54:19	89:17 90:14 100:10
responsibility 48:18	revisit 38:11 110:25	roybal 88:3,6,6 89:2	118:11,22 125:24
62:4 88:20 130:18	revisited 127:25	89:22,25 90:22 92:2	says 16:19 18:22
responsible 76:23	revolution 85:2	92:21 93:8	23:21 24:10,11
rest 70:12 84:17	revolutionized 85:5	rpr 1:15	51:19 61:19 64:10
restate 26:13	rhetoric 45:25	rule 6:21 7:1 8:8	66:3 79:4 80:10
restrict 83:16	riddle 42:15	13:9 14:15 15:19,20	90:3,18 94:16
restriction 49:15	right 3:11 4:23 8:13	35:25 53:15 54:18	scale 103:17
restrictive 128:23	25:7 48:24 56:7	67:19,20 106:23	scary 41:8
restrooms 56:7	59:23 64:9 70:15,16	107:1,2 115:6	scenario 115:15
restructure 130:20	70:17 75:14 84:21	117:14 118:11	schedule 53:12 56:5
result 80:25 117:18	96:23 110:16	120:22 122:6	112:6
resulting 35:10 78:7	112:14 127:18	123:24 125:18	schemes 60:21
results 55:9 77:20	rincon 9:21 28:24	ruled 42:25	schwarzenegger
resume 56:17	36:9 37:10,19,24	rules 49:23 63:11	49:5
retain 126:14	38:3 39:8 41:24	77:2 118:12,14	scope 14:22 91:24
retains 34:22 98:20	43:3,23 45:4,18	run 36:2 42:17	93:6 95:9 108:11
	46:7,10 47:5,12,18	62:20	

[scorecard - southern]

scorecard 46:18	sensational 46:20	shoot 66:11	sliding 103:17
scott 36:14 37:10	sense 57:18 69:9	shoot 00.11 shooting 12:16	slightly 32:23
50:7 58:15 62:18	83:3	34:18 63:8	slow 14:4 26:25 36:1
68:19 72:20 73:17	sent 12:18 20:6	short 3:14 17:25	snow 14.4 20.23 50.1 smack 46:15
screen 83:25	24:19 105:16	89:22 92:22	smart 85:18 101:18
seat 25:21,22 56:17 seats 25:24	sentence 94:15,21	shorthand 136:3	smarter 62:11
	separate 31:2	show 16:11	smith 27:12,13 28:4
second 5:18 9:20	separation 29:22	shown 34:8 53:10	29:4 73:23 79:1
40:14 52:10 90:2	31:9	shows 46:18 100:7	smiths 80:8
121:13 125:15,17	september 12:15	side 11:12 17:23	sole 19:5 21:4,8
section 13:16 18:5,8	series 49:2	84:20	48:21 49:9,15 54:7
18:15 19:8 23:21	serious 50:4 64:10	signal 84:4	95:9,10 96:7 133:2
51:18,19 53:3 58:6	110:22	signatory 49:7	solicit 10:23 14:15
89:17	seriously 38:17 44:6	signed 99:9	solid 43:13
sections 104:14	50:10 83:7	significant 19:3 94:3	solution 67:7,8 69:7
security 41:3	serve 126:24 128:12	103:7 108:25	70:15 78:11 120:12
see 10:6,16 11:22	132:16	significantly 17:3	solutions 7:12 8:18
15:4 16:10,17 17:8	served 61:13 126:23	signs 52:6	9:10 57:1 67:2
18:19 24:17 27:8,10	services 5:20 22:15	silently 26:21	somebody 18:22
37:25 43:21 56:4	session 7:13,17	simple 78:11 121:20	27:10 98:7 99:19
57:3 63:12,22 65:20	37:23	simpler 89:5	101:22 109:6,14
67:15 69:19 86:17	sessions 21:20 37:20	simply 13:8 15:19	114:22 129:4
96:6 100:11,11	set 17:21,21 20:23	59:19 94:1 97:20	somebody's 101:22
102:24 103:11	25:4,19 38:5 42:19	117:6	someone's 69:10
104:18 111:18	60:25 61:4,6 78:24	sincere 51:5	someplace 98:22
131:21 132:7 133:8	107:13 121:3	singled 41:22	son 3:16
seeing 126:3	sets 92:25	singularly 49:14	sorely 12:6
seeking 20:12 51:4,6	setting 12:22 21:23	sir 27:25 36:7 55:22	sorry 63:3
55:13 58:2	settled 56:14	sit 5:14 8:15 9:12	sort 6:5 10:14 11:11
seen 14:19 69:12,13	seven 7:4 86:14	25:24 26:21,22	13:17 15:10,12 17:9
69:13 80:2,3 84:15	119:2	86:17 125:5	18:5 22:6 31:12
122:25 128:14	severe 39:1	site 16:18,21 17:2,11	86:17,19 88:1 89:8
select 20:24 132:5	share 38:23 75:16	24:9,10,24 99:14,16	89:18 91:21 92:15
self 18:20,21 38:22	76:2 82:13 85:16	99:18 104:1	95:3,25 98:7 100:19
38:23 40:24 43:21	86:9 131:24	sitting 32:21 33:5	100:24 101:3
44:5 67:24 80:2	shared 5:6	74:4 127:8	103:17,18 105:19
112:18,18,20,20,23	sharing 32:20 82:3	situation 28:10	113:17 117:2
113:1,8,9,15,19,24	132:14	64:24 110:18 118:5	119:20 121:16
113:25 118:19	sharon 64:2 72:23	129:5 131:21	133:1,5
semiannual 12:14	she'll 10:21,22	situations 46:1,5	sound 48:14
seminole 44:3 47:11	shed 120:7	six 8:5 130:21	sounds 41:5 97:20
senate 67:13 86:3	sheer 45:12	size 72:2	source 81:6
send 12:15 13:8	sheila 87:15 93:11	sleep 41:11	sources 117:25
14:10 25:2 104:9	shipped 84:16,18	sleeping 23:15	southern 9:20 87:20
111:5 132:9			130:6,9

[sovereignty - submit]

	1		
sovereignty 2:17 3:7	34:20 35:2,11,21	states 42:20 44:1,3	sticky 48:22
55:16	40:18 42:8 58:21	44:18 47:10,15,25	stimulate 10:8
space 73:3	60:18,23,24 63:15	48:4 55:5,11 62:15	stone 17:21 92:25
speak 5:4 26:7 30:4	64:16,18 65:11	63:12,16 68:17 71:2	stop 75:5 119:2
64:2 110:6,7 124:7	66:19,19 74:17	85:12 117:17 119:3	stopping 64:6
124:11	109:1,10 114:12	120:6 131:19	straightforward
speaking 25:13	116:15 127:1,24	statewide 28:11	39:17
123:20	stanley 37:4	stating 56:24 89:9	strategies 5:5
spearhead 11:12	start 56:15 64:14	status 47:1	streamline 99:10
specific 37:16 49:24	65:12 71:9 74:3	statute 40:7 117:16	streamlined 6:12
49:24 94:11,11 97:1	80:4,6 110:8 111:4	120:25 121:2	98:19
98:12,13 129:7	started 43:12 64:22	statutory 38:5 39:4	streets 78:6
specifically 6:18	69:15 83:24 84:13	48:3 63:22 92:4,22	strenuous 74:6
8:12	85:7 122:21,23	107:7	strike 118:24 119:19
specifications 53:17	starting 107:16	stay 42:18 91:21	strong 67:19 97:13
specificity 19:14	starving 87:18	staying 134:21	strongly 135:4,4
97:5	state 3:23 26:8 28:7	stays 50:15	structure 105:20
specified 51:9	28:7,16 29:6,13,14	stenographically	118:7 127:11
spence 36:23	30:1,5,6,9,16 31:11	136:8	structured 117:16
spend 38:1 128:13	32:12,17,18 33:16	step 6:22 27:22,22	structures 60:17
spent 93:12	33:18,24 38:19	57:23 120:6 123:17	structuring 28:5
spirit 13:10 116:10	39:24 44:22 47:13	123:18 125:15,17	struggle 126:12
split 31:1 124:21	47:17 48:2,15,17	stephanie 8:5 36:22	stuff 70:1 121:15,17
spoken 116:9	49:8 57:21,25 58:3	71:16	134:23
spread 73:22	58:5 59:5,9,18	stepping 91:9	subcommittee 86:3
springs 130:12	60:22 62:5 63:5	steps 32:8 45:22	subcontract 51:20
squaxin 133:18	69:1 71:8 72:21	steve 36:21	52:13 77:15,16
stabs 40:12	74:1 75:19,20,24	stevens 2:4,8,20	subcontractor 51:22
staff 2:9,14 4:9,20	81:23,24 82:7,8,15	3:20,22 10:12 12:23	52:14
9:25 27:21 42:12	83:21 84:17 86:7	26:20 27:6,25 29:19	subcontractor's
71:18,24 99:7 103:2	87:12 101:15	30:23 32:25 36:7	77:17
105:4 127:1,15	106:11,12 113:18	50:7 55:22 56:16	subcontractors 78:2
stake 3:10	117:17,20,21,22,24	60:9 68:21 71:14	78:5
stallings 36:21	118:14 119:13,15	72:14 86:11 87:6	subject 118:25
stan 55:6,15	119:18 120:4 121:6	88:16 92:8 97:18	subjected 103:24
stances 117:19	129:17 136:4	99:5,15 100:14	subjecting 44:20
standard 27:19	state's 44:12 48:9,12	102:6,9 104:12	submission 89:7
28:12,15 29:7 35:6	85:14	105:3 108:19,22	submit 12:14 16:14
58:1 61:6,8,12 73:6	stated 37:9 39:6	112:1,10 118:22	18:16 21:11 37:20
82:13 88:13 122:5	62:3	121:13 128:18	37:22 46:17 50:20
standards 13:19,21	statement 89:18	132:2,22 133:7,11	67:5 70:24 87:25
13:24 19:17,24 23:4	94:22	133:14,17,21	90:4,15 100:16
23:24 24:1,3 29:21	statements 41:6,19	134:20	115:20 129:13
29:24 31:3,11,20,22	75:19,22,23	stick 109:5	130:2 131:15 132:1
33:10,11,25 34:6,17			134:2
L		1	1

1021C	• • • • 01 14	40.00.50.4.5.10.01	4 1 2 2 1
submits 103:16	supervising 81:14	48:23 50:4,5,10,21	technological 83:1
submittals 18:11	supplement 35:13	56:17 59:15 61:22	technologies 84:9,12
102:20,22 104:7	supplemental	65:2 67:25 68:3	85:3,10,18
submitted 66:14	118:19	70:17,19 80:14	technology 35:24
89:7,10 94:17	support 4:16 45:8	83:17 84:22 85:2	83:18 84:22
subpoena 20:13	supporting 53:19	96:5 103:10 110:24	tell 8:19 9:9 73:14
subscribed 136:12	135:2	116:16 121:5	103:2
subsequent 38:14	supports 46:8,10	131:25	telling 132:7
subsequently 95:17	sure 6:8 18:1 22:2	taken 10:2,7 17:16	tells 122:10
subset 41:21	22:16,23 27:9 50:12	22:20 42:5 45:23	ten 67:13 69:15
substance 123:5,21	50:15 63:4 71:18	60:2 66:22 94:16	122:21 125:8
substantial 103:6	76:10,24 79:20	102:2 136:10	tens 45:10
substantive 25:16	80:25 82:20 92:11	takes 23:14	tentatively 67:4
93:14 123:13	94:8 99:12 101:16	talk 6:2,18,24 7:14	term 52:4 53:4
subterfuge 114:10	102:5 108:12,23	8:11,21 9:12,14	108:25 116:1
successful 15:17	116:14,20 119:25	17:7,18 18:21 21:17	terms 28:5 29:23
40:12 131:23	131:18 132:13	28:21 67:17,18	31:9 55:12 94:3,11
successfully 117:10	surprise 46:2	71:18 75:1,2 92:14	97:21 99:25 101:2
sudden 93:5	surprised 133:7	97:9,18 106:19	101:13 105:20,22
sue 114:22	surveillance 72:3	109:3 133:25	106:2 113:12,24
sued 39:7	swath 37:15	talked 23:1,3 67:1	116:9,16 118:5
suffice 16:3	sweeping 81:11	talking 7:15 14:3	territory 79:16
sufficient 43:7	swept 83:23	21:25 26:18 29:24	135:2
suggest 74:9	sword 29:5	29:25 33:9 64:6	terry 4:25 65:25
suggested 46:8,10	sycuan 2:5,8,10,10	67:15 73:1 76:19	66:2
46:12	4:2 32:4 36:10	79:25 105:1 113:16	testified 66:1 67:12
suggesting 40:22	134:25	127:8 131:9 134:13	testimony 32:7 42:9
42:9	syquan 33:6	134:14	47:21 48:24 50:19
suggestion 25:9 40:5	system 18:11 61:3	talks 88:19	55:20
62:2 94:1 102:23	85:22 97:22 102:18	talons 85:15	texas 47:9
suggestions 17:13	105:2	targeted 128:23	tga 45:9,20 65:13
17:16 18:12 20:22	t	task 38:21 43:13,18	tgas 65:16
22:19,22 87:8,25	t 82:18	63:19	tgra 22:1
100:4 107:25	ta 108:16,16,16,16	tasked 11:5	thank 2:21 3:3,20
122:17 123:7,15	table 11:13 25:22,24	tax 49:8 83:15	3:21 4:6,18 9:18
suing 39:5	26:2 32:21 38:20	taxes 44:4	10:9,11 27:2,6,14
summaries 16:24	48:13 50:15 57:12	teach 72:5	27:24 29:18 33:5
summary 10:20	63:7 67:22 78:15	technical 5:18 19:17	36:6,7,10,16,17
summer 9:21 12:5		19:24 23:4 31:20,21	37:7 50:7,16 51:7
18:7	82:11 125:6	33:10 34:22 42:12	55:19,21,22 56:2,11
super 88:24	tachi 64:3	111:16 116:15	57:15 60:8,9 64:1
superintendent	take 4:8 6:21 12:7	127:1,3,4,13,24	66:21,23 72:12,14
130:11,18 132:18	18:1 22:20 25:24,25	128:3	76:12,13 86:9 87:8
superior 54:4	32:9 37:25 40:11	technically 34:13	87:14,16 93:8 96:15
	43:7,24 45:20,23		99:24 102:13,14

107:19,20,21 112:1	99:8 100:3,22	three 7:5 8:4 15:1	today's 5:9,23 7:13
112:2,9 115:17	101:19,24 102:16	15:24 26:4 54:23	toes 120:6
120:9 128:17,18	103:8,12 105:3,14	57:24 130:5,12	told 86:16 127:7
131:17 134:19	105:16,20,21 106:1	throat 74:13	tolerance 42:10
135:7,9	106:16 107:3,7,8,11	throw 113:21	tom 77:5
thanks 4:2,20 36:18	107:17,23 108:2,7,9	throwing 71:10	tomorrow 58:5
50:3,24 51:2 57:16	108:13 109:8 110:5	thumped 109:6	111:17 127:21
87:19 111:25 112:4	110:24 111:8,16,21	tic 124:4	tone 101:3
112:4,10,11 118:21	111:22 112:8	ticket 102:18 103:19	tool 14:8
134:25	114:16,17 115:13	104:21 105:2	top 36:4 54:22 98:14
thing 3:12 21:5 25:7	115:14,14,25 116:1	tics 66:8	100:25 110:23
27:23 69:21 75:21	116:10,12,24	tie 92:8	115:22 133:2
83:19 84:25 88:11	117:23 118:16,16	time 5:8 8:3 17:12	topic 21:18 29:16
99:17 125:3	120:20,24 121:11	17:25 18:21 19:7	topics 133:6
things 6:11 9:24	122:19 123:19,25	26:4 29:21 30:25	touch 83:25
17:20 18:14 19:20	124:15,17 125:25	36:13 38:2 39:3	touched 133:5
22:18 23:3,8 59:14	126:4,17,21,23	43:3,7 44:18,24	town 71:23
63:12 69:6,12 70:2	127:7,20 128:12,14	46:24 53:13 58:18	tracie 3:22
73:17 76:14 77:20	128:16,20,21	61:19 65:2 66:1	track 121:15
95:19 96:9 98:15	132:25 133:4	67:7,9 68:18 71:22	tracked 111:15
100:24 101:8 104:1	thinking 14:12	78:4 83:20 84:2	tracks 23:9
104:2 106:20 107:5	78:11 99:16 104:14	86:15 87:2 93:12,24	tracy 66:24 72:14
107:17 110:8,8	105:22	98:12,16 99:22	77:22
117:5,23 121:20	third 5:23 20:14	102:3,5 105:4 106:3	traded 75:15
122:14,24 123:21	40:12	106:8 112:7 116:9	traffic 10:15,23 27:7
124:4,15,24,25	thorough 122:16	121:9 122:10,13,25	123:1
130:24 131:5 133:1	thoroughly 8:10	123:4 124:1 126:22	train 72:8
134:9,17,17	thought 17:8 18:9	128:6,13 136:10	training 5:19
think 4:12,22 14:14	25:10 69:14 78:20	timely 78:3 122:16	transcribed 26:24
14:20 23:20 27:17	103:14 104:5	times 3:14 17:13	136:8
31:15,25 32:16 44:8	113:21 124:12	21:24 53:13 69:8	transcriber 7:20
46:13 51:4 57:18	130:23 131:24	72:7,9 123:10	26:13
58:7,10,15,17 59:14	thoughtful 47:22	today 3:11 5:5 6:16	transcribing 26:10
59:24 60:6,16 61:9	101:17	8:16,17 9:4,13,19	transcript 1:12
62:7,12,12 64:13	thoughts 11:15 13:3	10:15,22 11:17	transcripts 16:23
65:12,17,25 66:5	16:9 56:8,10,23,24	13:22 16:16 20:20	24:8
67:6,22 68:1,13,14	70:23 79:21,23	28:8,13 29:17 31:22	transferred 43:17
68:15 73:13 74:11	91:25 92:9,13,19	36:20 37:10,13,17	transferring 131:1
77:24 78:7 79:9,14	105:1 112:17	37:23 50:4,22 55:20	transparent 8:24
79:25 80:1,15 82:22	116:23 126:6	60:7 62:25 74:22	9:3 17:1 25:12
85:12 88:17,20,24	threat 39:1 46:6	85:6 92:15,20 93:14	transpired 55:9
89:3 90:19 92:2,21	threatening 48:7	93:21 102:22	travel 16:7 87:23
93:3,19 95:5,12,21	threats 41:3 45:24	107:23 118:23	traveling 16:4
96:1,5,10,20,20,21	48:9,11,12	133:12 134:4,9	travels 135:6
97:15,23,24 98:14			

[tread - unrealistic]

20:11,23 22:5,13 23:22 24:10 25:23	112:25 113:20 114:23 115:8 116:3 120:3,3 122:25 124:5,5 129:11 130:13 tribe's 34:3 38:22 50:25 51:11 80:4 83:17 97:8 106:15 tribes 2:10,17,22 3:23 5:11,12,13,15 5 20:0 11 21 21	104:19 105:5,8,17 105:25 109:9 110:7 111:21 112:22 116:2 117:20 118:18 119:3,14 120:6,11 121:19 122:4,8,8,20 123:16 125:13,16 129:17 129:19,20,24 130:4	type 53:11 103:11 types 92:7 typewriting 136:9 u.s. 1:13 2:12 3:19 ultimately 39:11,16 46:5 83:16 unauthorized 40:8
tribal 1:2 2:9,15,16 2:16,25 4:3 9:24 11:6,18 13:20 15:16 16:19 17:22 19:15 t 20:11,23 22:5,13 23:22 24:10 25:23	120:3,3 122:25 124:5,5 129:11 130:13 tribe's 34:3 38:22 50:25 51:11 80:4 83:17 97:8 106:15 tribes 2:10,17,22 3:23 5:11,12,13,15	111:21 112:22 116:2 117:20 118:18 119:3,14 120:6,11 121:19 122:4,8,8,20 123:16 125:13,16 129:17	typewriting 136:9 u u.s. 1:13 2:12 3:19 ultimately 39:11,16 46:5 83:16
2:16,25 4:3 9:24 11:6,18 13:20 15:16 16:19 17:22 19:15 20:11,23 22:5,13 23:22 24:10 25:23	124:5,5 129:11 130:13 tribe's 34:3 38:22 50:25 51:11 80:4 83:17 97:8 106:15 tribes 2:10,17,22 3:23 5:11,12,13,15	116:2 117:20 118:18 119:3,14 120:6,11 121:19 122:4,8,8,20 123:16 125:13,16 129:17	u u.s. 1:13 2:12 3:19 ultimately 39:11,16 46:5 83:16
11:6,18 13:20 15:1616:19 17:22 19:1520:11,23 22:5,1323:22 24:10 25:23	130:13 tribe's 34:3 38:22 50:25 51:11 80:4 83:17 97:8 106:15 tribes 2:10,17,22 3:23 5:11,12,13,15	118:18 119:3,14 120:6,11 121:19 122:4,8,8,20 123:16 125:13,16 129:17	u.s. 1:13 2:12 3:19 ultimately 39:11,16 46:5 83:16
16:19 17:22 19:15t20:11,23 22:5,1323:22 24:10 25:23	tribe's34:338:2250:2551:1180:483:1797:8106:15tribes2:10,17,223:235:11,12,13,15	120:6,11 121:19 122:4,8,8,20 123:16 125:13,16 129:17	ultimately 39:11,16 46:5 83:16
20:11,23 22:5,13 23:22 24:10 25:23	50:25 51:11 80:4 83:17 97:8 106:15 tribes 2:10,17,22 3:23 5:11,12,13,15	122:4,8,8,20 123:16 125:13,16 129:17	ultimately 39:11,16 46:5 83:16
23:22 24:10 25:23	83:17 97:8 106:15 tribes 2:10,17,22 3:23 5:11,12,13,15	125:13,16 129:17	46:5 83:16
	tribes 2:10,17,22 3:23 5:11,12,13,15		
28:6.7.25 29:13 t	3:23 5:11,12,13,15	129.19 20 24 130.4	
		, ,	underestimate 70:6
30:8,21 31:5 32:5,9	E 00 C 0 11 01 04	130:7,8,8,19 131:3	70:7
33:16,24 34:2,16,24	5:20 6:9,11,21,24	131:19 132:17	underlying 50:12
35:16,18,19 36:14	7:6,11,24 8:17 11:4	134:10,10,13 135:1	understand 3:10
38:19 39:24 40:7,14	11:19 13:1,7,7,10	true 66:10 72:2	19:18 30:16 75:7
43:1,14,19 44:5,22	13:12 14:6,20,23	113:11 126:21	90:10 93:22 94:13
45:8,10,11,21 46:21	15:22 18:17 20:5,11	136:10	97:1 115:11
47:19 49:9 54:9,16	21:1,3,6,10,15	truly 2:20 11:18,18	understanding
55:4,14,15,16,23	23:20,24 24:3 25:8	113:16 114:20	60:19 77:10 93:15
60:20,23 61:5 63:6	28:5 29:4,11 30:4	trust 32:20 48:18	98:10,19,24 104:17
64:25 65:13,19 68:2	32:17,18,19 33:8,19	88:19 130:22 131:4	understands 124:17
69:18 74:8,16 75:11	33:22 34:8,13,25	131:4	understands 124.17 understood 94:9
75:11,23 76:8 77:18	35:6,7,10,22 38:9	trustee 88:13,20	108:23
78:9 79:10 80:16,16	38:11,24 39:2,5,10	try 5:16 17:23 27:9	undertaken 51:3
80:18 81:4 91:12	40:16,21,24 41:17	27:10,11 69:7 72:5	undertaking 2:22
106:11,12,13	42:21 43:6,20,25	80:7 104:17	23:21 102:2
110:14,18 114:20	44:10,20 46:3,19,20	trying 8:16 14:15	undo 58:22
114:21 117:17,24	47:7,8,12,15,24	22:16 25:7 26:14	unfamiliar 11:8
118:1,18 121:6	48:2,3,8,18,25 49:7	32:16 74:12 77:21	unfortunate 126:22
122:2 124:2,19	50:2 51:4,6 53:10	94:13 96:1 97:12	unfortunately
126:10 129:21	53:22 54:10,15,17	99:17 103:8 105:24	112:24
131:6,22 132:3,16	54:20 55:10,13	119:22 130:20	unidentified 99:1
134:16	56:24 57:3,9 58:1,7	tube 74:13	uniform 28:12
tribally 22:17	58:11 59:4 60:14,25	tucker 2:5,7 3:21	57:25 120:23
tribe 11:15 21:11	61:1,16 62:5,15,22	5:25 129:22	uniformly 117:15
26:8 27:19 29:6	63:16,19 64:19,22	tuesday 1:14 2:1	unique 28:10
31:21 32:7 45:14,17	64:24 66:3 67:23	tulalip 3:23 11:4	united 55:5,11
45:21 46:3,16 47:1	69:3 73:4,5 74:7,11	55:8	60:12 115:19
47:9,16 48:6 49:9	74:22 75:9 76:7	tule 64:3 66:7	129:11
49:17 51:21 52:9,14	77:11 78:12,12,13	tulsa 84:7	universe 100:20
53:9 55:8 60:18	79:9,12 81:22 82:9	turf 133:18	104:9
66:8,11 74:4 75:7	82:10 83:12 88:24	turn 36:13 96:10	unnecessary 30:19
75:14 77:23 78:4	89:1 90:13 91:15,25	turning 106:2	unqualified 40:17
79:4 80:10 81:2	92:13 93:10,11 94:3	twice 17:12	unquote 114:11
82:4,17 83:9 87:12	97:9,21 98:10,24	two 28:13 29:5 31:2	unrealistic 44:19
95:22 96:8,21 98:17	99:3,5,8,9,11 101:2	40:11 49:23 57:24	
99:9 103:3,16,24	102:16 103:8	94:23 95:4 123:21	

	•		· · · ·
unreasonable 44:5	varying 57:7	W	wanting 81:1
44:20	vast 45:16	wagering 83:25	wants 21:11 66:11
unsuccessful 40:12	vegas 85:4	85:21,22	87:10 96:21 129:8
unwanted 59:14	vein 46:7 48:5	wait 64:25 133:14	warned 39:2
unwilling 124:2	vendor 82:24	waiting 133:23	warrants 129:6
upcoming 5:21	vendors 96:23	waive 26:12	washington 3:23
updated 19:25	version 28:14	waiver 48:3,4	72:22
35:23	versus 18:10 38:7	walked 68:24,25	waste 41:5 128:6
updating 74:15	68:6 117:20	walker 77:5,5	watchdog 43:24
upset 86:8	viable 47:4,6,10,15	wand 58:18	water 41:4 48:18
usc 66:3	47:24	want 2:21 4:1,1 8:9	waved 109:6
use 4:3 6:19 15:18	vice 8:5	8:12 9:3 10:9 12:25	way 6:22 9:6 14:20
15:18 18:24 40:24	video 83:24	13:1,3,24 14:4,5,24	30:18 32:24 44:15
46:19 53:5 56:6	viejas 66:25 67:3	16:17,25 17:8,21	56:6 73:16 75:1
58:1 60:4 62:8,16	71:5	18:1 21:1,3,16	86:6 90:24 91:18
62:19,22 63:23 66:5	view 28:22 29:5	22:22,22 23:7 26:19	92:24 96:2,2 103:8
68:19 70:7,8,19	47:23 49:21 50:1	26:22 27:1 32:3	103:15,20 105:10
79:6,22 80:19 82:16	121:1 126:18	36:19 38:8 50:11,14	105:11,12 106:2
84:3,8 85:18 91:11	viewing 65:10	51:6 56:4 59:11	107:3,5 111:11
91:11,15 99:3	views 38:1	60:6,14,15 63:13,16	117:20 119:21
104:10,11 113:6	vigilant 59:17	65:9 67:2 69:7 72:4	120:12,18 122:7
128:15 129:14	vigorous 47:6	72:11,19,22 73:2,10	128:4
useful 10:19 61:7	violate 49:15,16	74:21 77:9 78:14	ways 65:1,9 74:16
100:15	96:7	79:16 84:15 86:24	85:19 116:10
user 99:18	violated 21:9 49:23	89:25 90:19 92:16	we've 5:4,6,7 6:22
uses 78:24 91:1	95:11	93:18 94:8 97:7,9	8:25 9:12,24 14:12
92:15	violating 80:11,11	97:21 100:10,11	15:3,4,15 16:2 18:6
usually 75:1 77:19	violation 28:18 29:1	101:15 102:1	18:20 19:1,3 20:7
77:20	79:7 102:19 103:3,5	108:22 111:3 112:4	20:11,21 21:5 28:19
utilize 69:22 85:9	103:6,24,25 104:15	112:14 116:24	30:17 62:15 64:23
v	105:8 106:1 109:15	117:14 118:3 120:8	68:24,25 72:5 83:17
vacuum 57:23	110:6,9	122:7,12 124:8	85:25 88:17 90:13
valuable 126:11	virtue 121:7	128:6 130:1 131:25	91:8,13,17 93:5
128:11	vis 91:6,6 118:18,18	132:12,23 134:7,15	95:14 101:11
value 100:8,11	visit 9:20	132:12,23 134:7,13	106:10 110:11
valued 127:14	visited 55:8	wanted 11:1 13:9,10	119:2 122:6,17
valued 127:14 van 106:4,4 111:3	void 43:16 44:14	16:5,6 20:6 22:16	123:3,5 125:22,23
117:12 120:20	59:10	30:23 31:7 43:9	132:25 134:3
vanished 109:20	voluntarily 63:20	55:11,18 56:15	weak 43:3 62:10
variability 117:19	voluntary 40:5,9	72:16 74:24 78:17	web 12:1 16:18,21
118:8 119:8	109:12,19 118:2	85:16 91:7 93:16	17:2,11 24:9,9,24
variations 120:16	vote 131:6	114:16 115:24	99:14,16,18 104:1
various 34:22		114.10 115.24	weeks 7:5 16:4,12
		131:3 132:20	46:23
118:23		151.5 152:20	

-		
weighed 39:8,11	129:2,16 131:18	23:14,16 28:13
welcome 2:8,12 3:19	workable 111:23	29:21 31:12 37:12
4:1 9:18 38:13	worked 11:4 71:11	55:4 59:17 65:15
74:11	103:2 129:24	67:13,13 69:12,15
welcomes 2:11	130:11 131:20	69:15,16 72:21
welfare 40:15	working 5:8 9:4	73:10 98:8 105:5
wells 54:3	11:15,20 27:22 32:2	107:18 109:4 117:9
went 12:21 18:6	47:19 58:5 64:9	122:21 129:16
31:3,5 86:2,2	72:12 73:18 76:14	130:21
103:13 106:22	76:23 82:25 97:11	Z
115:6 130:16	102:24 105:23	zealous 42:14
western 33:3 50:23	111:8,16 119:17	zerbi 60:11,11 98:9
whereof 136:12	121:4 122:25	98:9,23 102:15,15
whichever 47:20	127:10,21	104:6,16 115:18
wicket 48:22	works 68:2	104.0,10 113.10
wide 81:10,18 82:16	world 73:15 81:25	
willing 69:11 126:24	83:22 84:2 85:22,24	
128:12	123:21	
win 81:8,22 82:8	worldwide 85:6,7	
83:8	85:24	
winding 133:11	worrying 69:15	
wisconsin 73:4	worse 120:2	
84:16	wrapped 117:7	
wish 135:6	wraps 91:6,10	
withdraw 20:16	writing 98:22	
43:5 46:9	written 10:6 15:21	
witness 136:12	24:7 37:21 60:6	
wonderful 107:22	62:25 94:7 97:25	
wondering 100:6	112:15 115:20	
102:20	116:25 135:5	
word 52:8 108:24	wrong 38:16,17	
128:15	42:13 76:9 109:16	
words 9:16 50:21	X	
55:24	x 79:4	
work 3:13 4:5,19		
18:2,2,19 23:13,16	y	
25:16,19 26:15	yeah 30:23 70:17	
27:23 45:21 47:19	89:4,24 90:7 108:1	
55:6 68:11 69:6	134:8	
70:13 72:3,10 74:19	year 3:1 8:6 18:9,10	
76:10 99:11 102:16	32:4 57:24 65:15	
104:19 105:25	81:23 84:25 103:12	
112:23 116:2	114:6	
121:23 122:11	years 8:3,4 11:5,13	
123:8 125:6,9,16	15:17 19:21 23:11	