	Page 1
1	NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION
2	REGULATORY REVIEW
3	TRIBAL CONSULTATION
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16	Palm Springs, California
17	Monday, January 30, 2012
18	Volume I
19	
20	
21	Reported by:
	JACQUELINE R. GRENACHE
22	CSR NO. 4631
23	
24	Job No. NJ371982
25	PAGES 1 - 79

Г

	Page 2
1	NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION
2	REGULATORY REVIEW
3	TRIBAL CONSULTATION
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, Spa Resort
16	Casino, 100 North Indian Canyon Drive, Palm Springs,
17	California, beginning at 8:44 a.m. and ending at 11:20 a.m.
18	on Monday, January 30, 2012, before Jacqueline R. Grenache,
19	C.S.R. No. 4631.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Г

	Page 3
1	ATTENDEES:
2	Tracie Stevens, Chairwoman
3	Steffani Cochran, Vice-Chairwoman
4	John Hay, Attorney NIGC
5	Eric Schalansky, Regional Director, California & Northern
6	Nevada
7	Richard Armstrong
8	Russell Attebery
9	Dora Bernal
10	Heidi Bogda
11	Janice Boswell
12	Tracy Burris
13	Laurel Burton
14	Michelle Carr
15	Chevers Heap of Birds
16	James DelaCruz
17	Norm DesRosiers
18	Dyann Eckstein
19	Lael Ecohawk
20	Jack Giffen, Jr.
21	Robert Goodwin
22	Rob Green
23	Norm Hansen
24	Rebecca Harteis
25	Kelly Hause
l	

- 1 ATTENDEES (CONTINUED)
- 2 Denise Harvey
- 3 Ida Hoffman
- 4 Celeste Hughes
- 5 Angela Karst
- 6 Richard Little
- 7 Jason Maldonado
- 8 Ed Mazzetti
- 9 Joe Murillo
- 10 Philip Orosco
- 11 David Palomares
- 12 Jerry Peebles
- 13 Teri Poust
- 14 Michael Prieto
- 15 Dean Primmer
- 16 Edward Roybal
- 17 Joseph Salgado
- 18 Karl Slagley
- 19 Les Stanley
- 20 John Tahsuda
- 21 Jon Toro
- 22 Joyce Wood
- 24 ATTACHMENT:
- 25

23

Comments from Rincon Band of Luiseno Indians

PALM SPRINGS, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, JANUARY 30, 2012 8:44 A.M.

4 VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: My name is -- can you hear 5 me. My name is Steffani Cochran and I'm the Vice-Chairman 6 of the National Indian Gaming Commission, and I want to 7 welcome you this morning to our consultation as part of our 8 regulatory review.

9 I am a member of the Chickasaw Nation, I was born 10 and raised in Oklahoma, although Santa Fe is actually home 11 for me now, so being in DC is quite different for me these 12 last couple of years. I was appointed in October of 13 2010 -- excuse me -- commissioned January 4, 2010, so I'm 14 down to the last 11 months of my term, and it's really kind 15 of time for me because --

16

1

2

3

(Interruption in proceedings.)

17 VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: So what we'll be doing this last part of my term is really bringing to conclusion a lot 18 of the things that we've had in the hopper since the 19 20 Chairwoman came on board. We officially had a three member 21 Commission. The Chairwoman is late this morning, and so 22 she asked me to go ahead and get started with the introductions and the welcoming, and so I'm happy to do 23 that, and I'm happy that you're here with us this morning. 24 25 I do want to turn it over, because we've asked

1 the Commission Chairman, Michael Prieto, from --

2

MR. PRIETO: Ague Caliente.

VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Thank you. (Continuing) to
come and make introductions for us this morning. And so
I'm going to ask him to bless us and to welcome us and
thank you for having us.

MR. PRIETO: Good morning, everyone. On behalf of
the Agua Caliente Tribal Council and Agua Caliente Gaming
Commission, good morning and welcome to the Spa Hotel. And
I just want to give a little brief background on myself.

As far as Commission Chairman, I've been Chairman 11 12 now for one year. Prior to that I was with the Aqua 13 Caliente Casino and Spa Resort Casino for the past 15 years 14 in surveillance, and before that I'd like to, I quess, put 15 a little pat on the back for myself as far as I was one of 16 the original commissioners for the tribe when we started gaming in 1995. So, with that I welcome you to the Spa 17 Hotel, and we'll start this off. Thank you. 18

19 VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Thank you for that.

I would like to go around the table. I know we have some tribal leaderships with us, and I know we have some regulatory leadership with us, and ask you to introduce yourself for the record.

We do have a transcriptionist with us this morning who is going to be with us all day today to

Page 7 1 transcribe the meeting notes and they will be eventually 2 put on the website so anybody who's not present today can 3 see happened, can read about what happened and know who was 4 present today, so I'm going to start this way. MR. HANSEN: Norm Hansen, 29 Palms Commission 5 chairman. 6 7 MR. DES ROSIERS: Norm DesRosiers, Commissioner for San Manuel Band. 8 9 MS. BURTON: Laurel Burton, Commissioner for Rincon. 10 MS. HUGHES: Celeste Hughes of Soboba Tribal Gaming Commission, Chairwoman. 11 12 MR. BURRIS: Tracy Burris, Gaming Commissioner of 13 Viejas. 14 VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Go to the back, please. 15 MR. SLAGLEY: Good morning. Karl Slagley, Executive 16 Director of Aqua Caliente Gaming Commission. MR. TORO: John Toro, Vice-Chairman, Gaming 17 Commission Agua Caliente. 18 19 MS. HAUSE: Good morning. Kelly Welmas Hause, Agua 20 Caliente Gaming Commission. 21 MR. OROSCO: Philip Orosco, Regulatory Director, Agua 22 Caliente Gaming Commission. MR. GREEN: Good morning. Rob Green, Tribal 23 Attorney, Grand Ronde. 24 25 MR. GIFFEN, JR.: Jack Giffen, Junior, Tribal

	Page 8
1	Council, Grand Ronde.
2	MS. HARVEY: Denise Harvey, Chairwoman for the Grand
3	Ronde Gaming Commission.
4	MR. STANLEY: Les Stanley, Executive Director, Rincon
5	Gaming Commission.
6	MR. MAZZETTI: Ed Mazzetti, Commissioner of Rincon
7	Gaming.
8	MS. HARTEIS: Rebecca Harteis, Backgrounds Manager,
9	Rincon Gaming.
10	MS. KARST: Angela Karst, Legal Counsel for Picayune
11	Rancheria.
12	MR. LITTLE: Richard Little, Director of Internal
13	Audit, Agua Caliente Gaming Commission.
14	MR. PALOMARES: David Palomares, Backgrounds and
15	Licensing Director for Agua Caliente Gaming Commission.
16	MR. PRIMMER: Dean Primmer, Augustine Gaming
17	Commission.
18	MS. ECOHAWK: Good morning. Lael Ecohawk, Attorney
19	for Rincon.
20	MR. PEEBLES: Jerry Peebles, Gaming Commissioner,
21	Soboba.
22	MR. MURILLO: Joe Murillo, 29 Palms Gaming
23	Commissioner.
24	MS. POUST: Teri Poust, Attorney.
25	MS. BERNAL: Dora Bernal, Council Member for the Herb

Page 9 1 Tribe. 2 MR. ROYBAL: Edward Roybal, Attorney. 3 MR. TSHUDA: John Tshsuda, Attorney. 4 MR. ATTEBERY: How are you. Buster Attebery, Cahoot Tribe. 5 MR GOODWIN: I'm Bob Goodwin, the self governance б 7 coordinator for the Cahoot Tribe. And Buster is the Chairman also for the Cahoot Tribe. 8 9 MR. SALGADO: Joseph Salgado, Cahuilla Tribal Gaming 10 Agency. MS. BOSWELL: Janice Boswell, Cheyenne and Arapaho 11 12 Tribes Governor. 13 MS. HOFFMAN: Ida Hoffman, Chief of Staff, Cheyenne 14 Arapaho Tribes. 15 MS. WOOD: Joyce Wood, Executive Assistant, Cheyenne 16 and Arapaho Tribes. 17 MR. HEAP OF BIRDS: Cheevers Heap of Birds, the Legislator for the Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes. Good 18 19 morning. 20 MR. DELACRUZ: James DelaCruz, Councilman for 21 Ouinault Nation. 22 VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Is that everybody? 23 (No response.) VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Why don't -- we also have a 24 25 staff from NIGC with us today, and it's through their hard

Page 10 1 work that makes these come together for us, allows us to do 2 our work, so I'm going to have them introduce themselves as 3 well. 4 MR. HAY: John Hay, from the Office of General Counsel. 5 MR. SCHALANSKY: Eric Schalansky, Regional Director. б 7 VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Eric, who's with you outside? 8 9 MR. SCHALANSKY: Kim Khuu, Administrative Assistant. 10 VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: The staff spends a great deal of time helping us formulate how we want to keep our 11 12 consultations moving forward, in what order, what makes 13 sense. And also spends a great deal of time answering 14 questions and working with us on a policy level with these 15 sets of regulations that we were working on, so the work is 16 greatly appreciated and I know they spend a great deal of 17 time. There are going to be some missing tribal leaders 18 at the table. I know there's some tribal leadership and 19 20 others sitting out there. If you'd like to come forward, 21 please do. We certainly welcome you to the table if you 22 want to come up. I'm going to run real quickly through the agenda. 23

I'm not going for spend a great deal of time on it because we do have a PowerPoint that's going to run us through more 1 of the details. But I want to note that we are going to 2 start out in our Group 1. And if any of you, by any 3 chance, happen to not be familiar with how we've done this, 4 we've put out the Notice of Regulatory Review, we set it up into groups, kind of grouping regulations that seem to make 5 sense. And this was done back in November of 2010. б And 7 that formulated a set of groups that we've run through since through -- good morning. 8

9 Would you -- we've got some new people joining 10 us. I could ask that you identify yourself for the court 11 reporter.

12

18

MS. BOGDA: Heidi Bogda.

MS. CARR: Michelle Carr, general counsel to the
Picayune Rancheria Chukchansi Indians.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Richard Armstrong, legal counsel to
 the Picayune Rancheria Tribal Gaming Commission. And also
 here with Shingle Springs Tribal Gaming Commission.

VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Good morning.

So, the groups that we're here today to consult on are groups 1, 2, 4 and 5, and the first group would include the facility license notifications, renewals and submissions. The second group includes Part 574, which is our enforcement; Part 502, which includes a definitional change; Part 519, 524 our appeals, 539, and Part 577, appeals before the commission, which have now all been

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 grouped into a new Part, Part 518.

2 And we'll discuss this from 8:45 to 10:15, and 3 we'll take a short break, and then at 10:30 regroup to discuss Group 4, which includes part 556: Background 4 investigations for primary management officials and key 5 employees, which is primarily -- this Part relates to the б 7 pilot program. Part 558, gaming licenses for key employees and primary management officials. Again the pilot program. 8 9 Part 537, background investigations for persons or entities 10 with a financial interest in, or having management 11 responsibility for, a management. And then finally, the 12 fifth group, which includes Part 518, self-regulation of 13 Class II gaming.

So that what we've put on the agenda to discuss with you, and I think we're going to go through a PowerPoint that's going to lay forth some more details to stimulate conversation with you.

There -- I know many of you have extremely busy schedules, and if there's anyone who may need to leave during this, if you want to make a statement for the record, now is the time to do it, please. We want to make sure that you're allowed to do that. Given an opportunity to do that. And I want to make sure -- yes, please. MS. BURTON: I just am here representing Rincon Band

25 and we have some written statements we would like to have

1 included in the record.

2	VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Did you want to read them
3	in or just we can we can give them to the
4	transcriptionist, ask her to include it.
5	MS. BURTON: Thank you.
6	VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: I'm looking at my own
7	she's on the wrong side of the table. I'm not used to
8	looking directly at her, I'm used to today looking at the
9	side of her. It's nice to see so many familiar faces.
10	I'm going to start off normally, the
11	Chairwoman discusses a consultation as far as our
12	priorities for this Commission go is the priority of her
13	doing, primarily. She's very interested and spends a lot
14	of time on her consultation policies.
15	And we do want to remind you that the meetings
16	are between tribal government and the federal government.
17	Only tribes and their designees can attend and participate
18	in these meetings; they are not open to the general public.
19	So, anyone who may not be here on behalf of a tribe as a
20	delegate or a representative of a tribe, we do ask that you
21	not participate, to keep these within our consultation
22	framework.
23	And this isn't we believe a policy that's in
24	line with Executive Order 13,175, which does and is the
25	primary reason why we've been on the road so much

consulting. It does obligate federal agencies, including
 the National Indian Gaming Commission, to consult with
 tribes on matters that directly impact their interests.
 And this does include consulting when we are going to
 determine or establish federal standards such as what we're
 doing here with these regulations.

7 We have spent a great deal of time not only talking to tribes about what regulations needed revisions, 8 9 but also once that was determined we came in and talked 10 about what changes tribes would like to see. We put out drafts in advance of our notice of proposed rule-making so 11 12 that, again, we could get input of the tribes and have a 13 dialogue so that the -- anything that would go out in a 14 proposed rule form hopefully reflected the interest of both 15 the tribes and the Agency. And so we believe that consultations is a very, very important part of this 16 17 process.

And all of our consultations are transcribed, and as I said earlier, the -- they're put up on the website. Some of these can be extremely long. I know one of them was 642 pages or something. But it's there. And if you want to go in and read and you want to review what was said, then it's there for you to do so.

We also do put the written comments up, and these do include any written comments like what was submitted

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 this morning. This does include any letters that come in.
2 Usually they're from attorneys that will come in and
3 comment on a particular rule. Those are put up on the
4 website as well and -- in an effort to get as much
5 information throughout the gaming industry as we can and
6 throughout Indian country so that the views are shared and
7 people have access to them.

8 We do review, and we've made a firm commitment to 9 review, every comment that is submitted. I have read the 10 vast majority of them. It's usually bedside reading 11 material for me, but I do read them. And I know the 12 Chairwoman also reads them as well. And then, of course, 13 when we break them down into groups, they're read again by 14 the attorneys.

Any proposed or final rule will include a summary of the comments. This is, again, an area that we spent a great deal of time with our attorneys on to make sure that they covered comments not only that they believe have particular involvement to the discussion but also comments that we as the Commission believe were relevant to the discussion.

I'm going to turn it over now to John Hay, and I'm going to let him run through the PowerPoint to set up the first set of discussions for Group 1 and Group 2. MR. HAY: I wanted to go through some of the

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 deadlines that have past and are coming up on some of our 2 rules that we've been working on.

The Part 514, the fee rule, was one of the first ones that we kind of put out there for discussion, mainly in part because it's what we need to operate, and so funding us to do what we do on a daily basis is of big importance to us, and so we want to get those changes out there as quickly as we could.

9 The proposed rule was published on October 11th 10 of last year and the comment period closed on December 12th 11 of last year. And just recently, January 25th, there was a 12 public meeting and the Commission approved a final rule, 13 and that final rule should be published -- I'm not sure if 14 we have a deadline on that, but it won't be in the too far 15 distant future.

The final rule, as Steffani indicated, will include all the comments that we receive as well as the Commission's response to each of those comments.

We also anticipate, since these can be somewhat of a complicated matter, not only for our own internal staff but for tribes and figuring them out, we're probably going to be out there with some training and technical support.

24 What exactly that training and technical support 25 will include, I'm not sure at this point. It will probably

1	be a combination of things. There could be a bulletin put
2	out, there could be training sessions with our field staff
3	as well as our audit staff out there. I'm sure there will
4	be lots of questions, and hopefully we can answer all of
5	them to help you move in line with the new rule.
6	Part 523 was the review and approval of existing
7	ordinances. We felt that we didn't need this part anymore,
8	that all of them had been updated, and so we put out the
9	proposed rule in October of 2201.
10	The comment period again closed in December 12th,
11	and at the last public meeting they voted for a final rule,
12	so we anticipate that that would be out in the Federal
13	Register in the not too distant future.
14	Do you know, Steffani, if we have a deadline for
15	the Federal Register yet with Part 523 rule? I'm not sure
16	they've given us one.
17	VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: No.
18	MR. HAY: Okay. The next one is Part 559. These are
19	the facility license notifications, renewals and
20	submissions, and that proposed rule is going to be
21	published tomorrow, I believe. And that comment period
22	will close on April 2nd. I believe we have included copies
23	of that rule in your packets today in 559.
24	The Federal Register puts them out early for
25	people to view them online. And so I think we have copies

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 of that in all of your packets.

And so I'd like to start off with 559, and hopefully you can all follow along on the PowerPoint, or in the rule itself.

5 This was one where a lot of people had some 6 strong feelings about. This is the rule which basically 7 gives NIGC notice that a new facility will be opening, or 8 that a facility license has been issued, and it also 9 requires tribes to certify that the facility is operated in 10 a manner that protects the public health, safety and 11 welfare. Okay.

12 The prior rule that's in existence today required 13 tribes to submit to NIGC a list of all their laws that 14 govern the environment, health and safety. The proposed 15 rule eliminates that requirement, so you're no longer 16 submitting lists of laws to us, you're simply certifying 17 that the tribe is protecting these areas. And we offer, 18 you know, guidance on what that means.

But one thing we heard loud and clear during the consultation process is that this was an area which tribes already were heavily interested in and that other federal agencies were governing, and so we didn't want to duplicate those efforts in an area that was probably outside of our expertise and so we changed that to address a lot of those concerns.

We also received a lot of comments during this 1 2 process that the NIGC is not required to do Indian lands 3 opinion under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, and that's 4 correct, we agree with that 100 percent. And so the facility licensing, while sometimes they do trigger Indian 5 lands determination, it doesn't happen automatically. So б when you submit a license to us, that's not the same as 7 asking us to do an Indian lands opinion. Okay. 8 9 Oftentimes those take guite a bit of time and we

10 would need a lot of information to do them. In certain 11 instances tribes want those opinions; in others they don't, 12 it's not necessary. And so it's really on a case-by-case 13 basis as to when we do Indian lands opinions.

14 In this case, what would happen would be within 15 120 days of the opening of a new facility the tribe would 16 submit notice to us that it was opening a new facility. 17 They can also request expedited review, which means that 18 within 60 days we would send you a confirmation letter.

19 That was one of the complaints in the past with 20 tribes is that they would send us these facility licensing 21 notifications and then nothing would happen and they didn't 22 know if there was an issue with them or there wasn't an 23 issue with them.

From out standpoint, when we -- when these were first enacted, they were really a way for us to know that a

1 new facility was opening. We didn't want to be caught off 2 guard when someone called us up and said, "You know, a new 3 casino is opening tomorrow?"

"No, we didn't know anything about it."

5 So we thought, since we have a role in the 6 regulation of casinos, that we should know about it, and 7 that will continue in the regulation as it's proposed.

8 One of the comments that we received was that we 9 should clarify that tribes are the ones who issue the 10 facility licensing, not the NIGC.

I think there's a misconception out there that NIGC licensing -- licenses gaming facilities, and we don't -- we're not in that business. And so the preamble in this case would make that clear, that tribes are the licensing authority for the tribal gaming.

Once a facility license is issued, the tribe would have 30 days to submit those to us. Okay. We really didn't receive any comments on that point. I think everyone thought that was a reasonable amount of time. The tribe had already issued the license and it's simply a matter of putting it in the mail to us and nothing special needs to be done with it.

As I mentioned before, one of the big changes was that tribes were no longer required to submit a list of their laws dealing with the environment or public health

4

and safety, and all that was required is a certification that the tribe has determined that the construction and maintenance of the facility and operation is conducted in a manner which adequately protects environment, public health and safety.

6 We received a lot of support for this approach, 7 and we're well aware that other federal agencies and tribal 8 agencies regulate a lot of areas which had previously been 9 of concern in the regulations and that were listed in 10 regulations, and so that's probably the biggest change.

It's also a change to the Definitions. We've 11 12 moved the definition of construction maintenance of a 13 facility and operation as conducted in a manner which 14 adequately protects the environment, public health and 15 safety into the actual regulations in 559. Before they were in a Definitions section and we thought it would be a 16 17 lot clearer if they were actually moved in the actual regulation. 18

We also would receive notice of a closing of a facility. Again, that would be 30 days from the time of when a license was terminated or expired. Notice is not required if it's just a seasonal closure. So if you are closed for less than 180 days, you do not need to give us notice of that closure.

25

All of the submissions to NIGC can be done

electronically. We're trying to get up to speed on all of
 that to make it easier for tribes to submit those to us,
 and so we have a mechanism in place for handling that. The
 comment period on this closes on April 2nd of this year.

5 Now I'd like to turn it over to the audience, if 6 there's anyone who has any comments on this area, right 7 now.

8

(No response.)

9 VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: The Commission, when we sat 10 down and looked at this rule, the main objective for us 11 really had to do with bringing the Agency back in its own 12 lane to get us doing what we have statutory authorization 13 to do and get us out of doing what we do not have the authority to do. And the one place that we could obviously 14 15 do that had to do the environmental health and safety side 16 of things. So we really did work hard to bring a stack in 17 our lane to get us in alliance with IGRA.

And we also wanted to place an obligation on the Commission and on the Agency to respond in a timely manner, and that is what we've done with the 30-day response times.

And then the other thing that was important for us was to deal with issues of seasonal closures or temporary closures to not place additional paperwork burden on the tribe for those types of activities where we have some areas of the country where they may very well be

Page 22

1	closed every year at the same time due to weather or
2	various issues. So it really was an effort to reduce some
3	of the paperwork, reduce some of the burden on the tribes.
4	Especially the smaller tribes who really do need to have
5	fair guidance and less paperwork placed on them.
6	And then finally, the electronic submissions are
7	another important part. The Administration has spoken to
8	this and been very clear with agencies about doing things
9	electronically, again to get out of the paperwork business
10	where we can, where it makes sense to do so. So we're
11	hoping and this Commission is a particularly technology
12	focused Commission that we can do better in that area
13	for tribes, where they have the ability to do that.
14	So, that's my policy recount.
15	Anything that we missed, or anything you think we
16	should do better?
17	MR. BURRIS: Tracy Burris. Question on the
18	electronic filing: Do you foresee that as going an
19	e-mail going directly to a department, an individual, or
20	how is it going to be making sure that we know it got
21	there, you know, electronically?
22	VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: That's what we need to work
23	out. I imagine I envision it probably will go to a
24	particular area of the Agency. What that is, I don't know.
25	Our technology, Tracy, is just behind, and we're

1 having to play catch-up.

2	MR. BURRIS: Well, I assumed I noticed that when
3	we were just like setting up the meeting and the review and
4	stuff, there was keywords. I'm just curious whether it
5	would maybe be called facility licensing dot com in getting
6	up to speed, something like that, and that way we get some
7	kind of notice that we did submit that in the proper time
8	frame.
9	VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Okay. Thank you.
10	MR. HAY: If we don't have any more questions on
11	that, I think we'll move on to Part 573, Enforcement.
12	There was some related definitions for this in
13	Part 502, and they were published on December 27th of last
14	year, and the comment period will close on February 27th of
15	this year.
16	One thing that the Commission has strived to the
17	tribes is that their number one goal is voluntary
18	compliance. I know that this Commission hopes that they
19	would never have to do an enforcement action; that if any
20	issues were identified, we can just leave it to the tribes
21	to correct the problem, and the changes to Part 573 reflect
22	that.
23	One, there is a statement that voluntary
24	compliance is the goal with the Commission. We've also set
25	up an approach that would give prenotification of any

potential areas of concern for us, and they would come in the form of either a letter of concern or of a warning letter.

Oftentimes we will receive information either
from Tribal Gaming Commissions or from employees, from
members of the public, saying "Hey, there's something going
on here, this happened, someone should do something about
it."

9 Oftentimes the information is somewhat lacking in 10 some structure, also lacking in some substance, and so in that instance we would send out a letter to the tribe of 11 12 concern asking for more information, or is there something 13 we don't know about, this is the information that we have 14 received. That would be one indicator to the tribe there 15 was an issue. We would give them the opportunity to respond to us and to provide us with more information. 16

There's also warning letters, which would probably be the next step. This is where the Commission has a lot more information available to us and we have identified that in our minds a violation has occurred, and this would be an opportunity for the tribe to correct that violation.

And, again, these are all steps before a formal notice of violation, so that way there's a paper trail both for the Commission and for tribes on this issue, and it will allow us to open up a dialogue with tribes so that we
 can gain voluntary compliance.

I don't want to move on from that just quite yet. Our Chairwoman has arrived, so I'd like to allow her to say hello.

6 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Good morning. My apologies. 7 I -- we took the scenic route here. That's all I can say. 8 So I appreciate everybody waiting and for the staff getting 9 started, because we all know that you have schedules as 10 well. So again, my apologies. And invariably my GPS sends 11 me off in the wrong direction.

So, anyhow, I don't want to delay. Welcome everybody to our -- a different phase of our regulatory review, and we look forward to your comments, so let's -also, just so you know, we have our Deputy Chief of Staff here, Dawn Howe, just so you all know who she is, and I'm sure we've introduced all of our other staff, so with that I will let you go ahead and proceed.

19

Thanks, John.

20 MR. HAY: Both of these letters that I've mentioned, 21 both the letter of concern or the warning letter, are not 22 official Agency action. Okay. And what does that mean, it 23 being not official Agency action or not final action?

That means that if that letter is issued to you, it doesn't trigger some sort of appeal or some sort of

1 formal process, and it doesn't allow you to go to federal 2 court to say "No, NIGC, you're wrong."

These are all preliminary steps before a formal enforcement action, and the hope is that whatever the violation is, it will be corrected; or if the information that we have received is just flat-out wrong, it will give the tribe the opportunity to correct that information so that we can resolve the issue.

9 In the past we've -- sometimes we've heard from 10 tribes, "You know, we had no idea until we received the 11 notice of violation that anything was wrong," and so 12 hopefully by issuing these two types of letters we can open 13 up the dialogue between tribal -- earlier in the process so 14 that a NOV doesn't have to be issued.

Now, having said that, neither of these letters 15 16 would preclude the Chair from issuing an NOV. If the Chair finds a violation, she is free to issue that NOV at any 17 point. So that wouldn't stop her from doing that. Okay. 18 19 It's just -- it is a practice that we had been doing for 20 sometime and we just wanted to formalize that practice a 21 little more in the regulations, not only for our field staff but for tribes to know what -- what was meant when we 22 sent out a warning letter or a notice of concern letter. 23

I know over the years our field staff has sentthese out and they've been called different things and had

different meanings, and so this is a way to standardize 1 2 that approach. 3 Are there any questions or comments on those 4 letters -- on the issue of those letters? 5 (No response.) MR. HAY: As part of this -- even though it's not in б 7 Part 573, Part 502 is our Definition section, and we never had a definition of enforcement action. Okay. And so we 8 have put that in there now. We now have a definition of 9 10 what enforcement action is. And I have it up here on the 11 screen. 12 An "Enforcement Action is defined as any action 13 taken by the Chair under 25 U.S.C. 2713 against any person 14 engaged in gaming, for a violation of any provision of 15 IGRA, the regulations of this chapter, or tribal 16 regulations, ordinances or resolutions approved under 25 U.S.C. 2710 or 2712 of IGRA, including, but not limited to, 17 the following: a notice of violation, a civil fine 18 assessment, or an order of temporary closure." 19 20 Those are the three things that most everyone is 21 familiar with as being an enforcement action. So we just wanted to differentiate between those types of actions and 22 anything else that was going on as to what is an 23 enforcement action. So hopefully that definition change 24 25 will provide some clarity. And the comment period for both 1of these sections closes on February 27th of this year.2Do you have any comments or questions about

3 enforcement?

4

(No response.)

5 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: The changes that we made in this 6 particular part are very crucial, I think, to, again, the 7 overall policy objective of the Commission, which is to 8 allow the opportunity for dialogue between the Agency and 9 the tribe to correct what we believe to be problems with 10 compliance.

11 And it also falls in line with -- the 12 Chairwoman's come up with an acronym of ACE, which is 13 assistance in compliance enforcement, so that when the 14 Agency comes to a point where we take enforcement action 15 and bring out kind of a big hammer, if you will, because it's one of the few hammers -- or few -- excuse me -- tools 16 that we have in our toolbox, that we've done so after we've 17 18 exhausted every other opportunity within reason to bring 19 the issue to resolution. So this is designed to meet that 20 objective.

We did get a comment at our last meeting at Seminole last week, and I'll bring it out to your attention because I thought it was a good comment. It stuck out to me.

25

One of the tribes pointed out that we described

1 the warning letter as providing notice to the tribe that we 2 believe an actual -- we believe an actual violation of IGRA 3 and NIGC regulations where the tribe's approved gaming 4 ordinance has occurred or is occurring.

They felt like this language can trigger some 5 other issues for them, that it didn't seem to be -- or it 6 7 seemed to be a little more final than what we intended it to be, and they were concerned that we maybe review that 8 9 again in terms of if it were to be put out to the public, 10 what might it mean for the tribe with that type of language. So I thought that was an interesting comment. I 11 12 wanted to bring that to your attention.

And I know I did talk to our staff about maybe looking at it again, talked to the Chairwoman as well about our wording there.

16 MR. HAY: Any comments? Tracy? 17 MR. BURRIS: I do have a question. Tracy Burris. In reading this -- as a regulator I understand it 18 and I get it, to a point. I often ask myself where does it 19 20 lead, what have we not covered, where would RAPs fall under 21 this? Because it could be perceived as not -- you know, it's required to do it -- where would that fall under who's 22 going to audit that? Because I believe there is no 23 authority for the NIGC to do that. 24 25 Now, that would be my opinion. But if I go

1	through this process, if I didn't allow or unknowingly
2	submit or mislead, it's going to trigger all this process,
3	which I don't know if that's something that would fall
4	under you know, we're talking about ordinance reg
5	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well thanks, Tracy. Well, I
6	think there's a number of things that we can speculate
7	might happen, and the statute says that the tribes have to
8	have a RAP. And that's all the statute says about RAPs.
9	They have to have a RAP, it has to be approved by Interior.
10	Our regulations nowhere address that. And not
11	only would how the RAPs whether they're being followed
12	or not, I don't believe we've ever taken action.
13	MR. HAY: You know, when we look at these things, and
14	I think a lot of people get confused by the terminology of
15	what we're doing when we're talking about RAPs. Okay.
16	Nowhere in IGRA or our regulations does it talk about NIGC
17	enforcing RAPs. All we have authority for is enforcing
18	ordinances which often refer to RAPs, and so we could if
19	a ordinance specifically addresses the RAP and how it shall
20	be handled, and if that's a violation that would be a
21	violation of the ordinance, which would give us authority.
22	Or, more often than not, it's authority over of the use of
23	revenues.
24	And so if you're using revenues that differs from
<u> </u>	

25 one of the five areas laid out under the Regulatory Act, or

1 if you're -- say you're distributing per caps without a 2 RAP, then that would trigger enforcement by us. 3 But without a specific -- I don't know if I could 4 answer the question about what the specific -- there could be any number of issues out there, and it would differ 5 depending on what the tribe's ordinance says whether or not 6 7 we would have a role. I don't think that answers your question, right? 8 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: It's sort of in the abstract, 9 10 Tracy. MR. BURRIS: Well, yes and no. The Commission has in 11 12 the past wanted to audit RAPs, and it's that opinion 13 whether or not they had that authority, and that's the 14 tribe's premise on that portion of that. 15 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Have we been doing it lately? 16 MR. BURRIS: Not lately. I'm just saying in the past 17 it has been done. I'm just worried, you know, about the future, too. Because just -- the way I do read this could 18 19 be interpreted that -- that's the only thing that comes to 20 my mind that could be addressed in that, but I think being 21 in California it should be a concern to many of the tribes 22 here in this region. CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, we'll certainly take that 23 into consideration when we look at these definitions. 24 25 Thanks, Tracy.

MR. HAY: Do we have any other comments or questions
 before we move on?

3

(No response.)

4 MR. HAY: The next section we're going to talk about is the Appeals section. Okay. Anyone who has ever had any 5 sort of practice before the NIGC would probably be somewhat б 7 confused by our regulations now in that the appeals sections are spread throughout our regulations, they're not 8 in one place. There is a separate process for enforcement 9 10 actions, management contracts, ordinances. All three of them have their own distinct process and are in different 11 12 areas.

What the Commission has strived to do is to have one chapter for all of our field regulations. And so all of them -- and this is pretty much a complete rewrite -will be in subchapter H now. And so what we'll be repealing is Part 519, 524, 539 and 577. Okay.

And this notice of proposed rule making is going to be published tomorrow. Although you should have a copy of it today in your packet of information. And this comment period goes until April 2nd of this year.

So what we would have is Part 580, 581, 83, 83 and 84, and each of these would outline for you what the process is for appealing either disapproval of the gaming ordinance, the approval or disapproval of a management

Page 33

1 contract, appeals for written submissions -- I mean appeals 2 for notices of violations and closure orders and civil 3 fines, and also provides a mechanism for appeals based 4 solely on written submissions and so that there wouldn't be 5 a hearing before a presiding official.

We're hoping that by laying all these rules out that it will be easier for tribes and tribal attorneys to navigate the process and know what options are available to them.

10 One of the areas that was of somewhat concern in 11 the past is what motions could be made during an appeal. 12 You know, what were your rights. And the regulations as 13 drafted really didn't address what you could do, and so it 14 was up to the discretion of the presiding official or of 15 the Commission. And so unless you had practiced before the 16 Commission before and know you could file motions, you were 17 at somewhat of a disadvantage because you just didn't know. And unless you tried it, you could read the regulations and 18 say, "I don't think we can do that. It doesn't say 19 20 anywhere we can do that."

And motions can be a pretty wide range of things from either asking for more time, for evidentiary rulings asking to submit evidence. There's a pretty wide range of the types of motions you can make. And so hopefully we've made it clear now in the regulation rewrite that you can

file these types of motions before the Commission.

This covers all the definitions that 2 Part 580. 3 will be used in the Appeals section. It talks about who 4 may appear before the Commission, it talks about what is proper service, including what are the timing deadlines --5 you know, whether or not we count holidays and weekends for 6 7 filing of appeals -- who can -- who can file an appeal on behalf of a tribe, what is the standard review that the 8 9 Commission will use for appeals, when decisions will be final -- there are strict deadlines in there for when the 10 Commission must issue a decision -- what happens after that 11 12 decision is issued, what are your rights then, what happens 13 if there isn't a majority decision if we only have two 14 commissioners present or only one commissioner present. 15 And what happens when an appeal is filed. Okay. Does it 16 stay everything that's happened or does the Chairman's decision -- the Chairwoman's decision become final until 17 the appeals is decided. So all of these areas are 18 19 addressed in part 580.

We received a lot of comments on these sections. I think it was of interest, and it was an area that hadn't been addressed by the Commission in many years. One of the comments -- or several comments suggested that we were being too restrictive in who can appear before the Commission. Okay.

1

Oftentimes, simply because one tribe is appealing an action, there are issues being raised that could affect all tribes out in Indian country, or people other than tribes. It could affect manufacturers or operators. And so this will provide an opportunity for those individuals to weigh in on the process and on the substance of it. And hopefully we'll get more comments from you on that area.

8 I know that there are a lot of interested parties 9 who sometimes feel that issues are being decided without 10 them. And I think it will benefit the Commission to have 11 all of those views heard.

12 Another area of concern for us was ex parte 13 communications. You know, if an appeal is going on, can a 14 tribe meet with the Commission and talk about the issue or 15 do they have to have anyone involved, say a management 16 contractor involved.

This was an area that we struggled with because it sometimes conflicts with our consultation policy. We want to have an open dialogue with tribes, but if we're in some sort of appeals stage, how can we have that without violating some rules. And at this point we're not sure what the answer is.

And so you will notice in the notice of proposed rule making that we're asking for your views on how we can handle ex parte communications. We're asking you to be
1 creative and to come up with novel ideas for us. So
2 hopefully you'll pay attention to that and give us your
3 views.

As I mentioned before, you know, does the filing of an appeal stay an action? For instance, if there is a closure order, if you file an appeal could you stay open? The answer would be would be no, the Chair's decision stands until that appeal is decided.

9 Another area of concern was the actual record. 10 You know, if you're going to file an appeal, you may need the actual record on which our decision was made so that 11 you can adequately address it, or point out areas where we 12 13 have wrong information, where we have applied that 14 information and the law in a manner that you don't think 15 was appropriate. So now there are provisions for you to --16 to provide the record to you.

17 As I mentioned before, we're also setting out a motions practice rule. This means our motions for limited 18 19 participation -- and what I mean by "limited 20 participation" -- those are instances where suppose a 21 tribe's ordinance was disapproved and another party had an interest in that ordinance, they could petition to be 22 involved in those proceedings as well as the tribe whose 23 ordinance was disapproved. 24

25

Again, there's motions that intervene. Okay.

1 That's for a third party to get involved if they state that 2 they have some sort of interest in the outcome. And there 3 are also motions before the presiding official.

Typically, in our enforcement actions what will happen is if an appeal is filed we will immediately contact the Department Interior's Office of Appeals and ask them to assign an administrative law judge to serve as a presiding official.

9 Now, the term "presiding official" is somewhat 10 broad. We don't have to do that; we could -- the Chair 11 could assign someone within the Agency to serve as the 12 presiding official. I think in some instances we can 13 probably go outside of the Agency and hire someone to do 14 that. But in years past the easiest way is to just go to 15 Interior and to use one of their administrative law judges.

Motions to supplement the record. If you feel that there are documents missing from our record that support your theory, you can -- can file a motion to have those come before the Commission or the presiding official.

And finally, motions for reconsideration. Okay. So if you think a motion was decided wrongly, you could ask us, "Hey, can you take a second look at this?"

23 We've put in these draft regulations time periods 24 for filing of motions and time periods for the Commission 25 to review these motions, and we've received a lot of 1 comments about, you know, possibly these time periods being 2 too short or how you calculate those time periods. So, 3 hopefully in the notice that will be published tomorrow 4 we'll address those to your satisfaction as to how we're 5 calculating them and that there is truly enough time for 6 proper responses.

Part 582 is a section for Appeals of Ordinances.
Disapprovals of Ordinances. And again you will see
throughout this entire section the same issues coming up
addressing who may appeal the disapprovals, how to appeal
them, how to file motions, how you receive a copy of the
record, and when decisions will be issued.

We didn't receive a lot of comments on this area, so hopefully now that we have a notice of proposed rule out there, we'll receive a lot more.

People wanted clarity on limited participation. You know, how does someone become a limited participation, do they automatically become entered into the appeal or do they have to wait and have someone decide whether or not they can enter into the appeal, and what the timeline for that is. So hopefully we've addressed those issues in the preamble.

Part 583 is for approvals or disapprovals of management contracts. And again we see the same areas of who may appeal, how to appeal, motions practiced, copies of

Page 39

1 the records and timing.

2 We also received a comment, or question, if you 3 will, as to why anyone would appeal an approval of a 4 management contract. it seems odd that if both parties have submitted them to us that someone would want to appeal 5 that. And we've had instances -- at least one instance б 7 where that has happened where there was -- there were factions within the tribe and one tribal faction challenged 8 9 whether or not the council had authority to approve the 10 contract. And so that's one instance. We wanted to leave that out there and open just in case there were any 11 12 situations which we hadn't thought of, so that way they'd 13 be addressed.

14 Part 584 deals with proceedings before a 15 presiding official, and that's to differentiate between 16 appeals that are handled simply on written submission, which would be part 585. And, again, we have the same 17 areas addressed here: Who may appeal and how to appeal, 18 19 you know, when the hearings will be held, how you will 20 receive a copy of the record, how the presiding official 21 will handle confidential information, how the presiding official will handle objections to the recommended 22 decision, do they have any role at all, and when the 23 Commission will issue its decision. 24

25

Some of the comments requested clarification on

1 the role of the presiding official, so the proposed rule 2 hopefully provides additional clarification of that 3 process.

You know, we also received comments on whether or not if someone is denied limited intervention whether or not they could simply submit a brief -- an amicus brief, if you will -- as information. Okay.

8 And so the proposed rule eliminates limited 9 intervention, but still allows tribes to submit a brief, 10 amicus brief, at the discretion of the presiding official.

We received lots of comments on closure orders and whether or not 30 days was enough time to decide whether or not to make that permanent.

The proposed rule provides for a hearing within 30 days unless waived by the appellant, which would be the tribe, and then the Commission must issue a decision within 30 days of receiving the recommended decision from the presiding official.

For those of you that have never dealt with this before, what would happen would be once an appeal is filed and there has been a request for an oral hearing, a presiding official would be assigned. That presiding official would hear evidence from the parties. The tribe would be represented, the Commission would be represented -- or, rather, the Chairwoman would be represented, and then the presiding official would issue a
 recommended decision.

That recommended decision would go before the full Commission and the full Commission would decide whether or not to adopt it in whole, adopt it in part, or decide that the presiding official just got it wrong and they're going to issue their own decision.

8 There was also comments received about the 9 handling of confidential information. Oftentimes the 10 tribes will submit information as part of these appeals and 11 they want it to be handled in a confidential manner. It 12 could include financial information or information about 13 individuals within the tribe. And so the proposed rule is 14 address confidentiality.

As I mentioned, that section was on appeals before a presiding official. There is a section on appeals that are done simply on written submissions to the Commission. And so you would take out the presiding official and they would go directly to the Commission.

Oftentimes parties will want that to speed up the process or -- as a way of saving money. You know, we know travel expenses can be expensive and so there may be instances where a party feels they don't need an oral hearing, that the record is clear enough and that it should go directly to the Commission. So you have that option of 1 skipping a presiding official.

2 We've also addressed situations where one party 3 requested a presiding official and the other party requests 4 a hearing on written submission. We've also addressed comments on whether or not closure orders should be made 5 permanent and when they should be made permanent, the б timing of it. And we've also addressed comments on who may 7 appeal. You know, who the tribe can designate to represent 8 9 them in an appeal. 10 So, that goes through our whole Appeals section. It's a lot of reading. I know it took a long time for us 11 12 to put them together, and it's complicated. We went back 13 and forth on -- on, one, wanting it to be clear to everyone 14 how the process works, but also wanting it to be a fair 15 process for both of us, because it's not only the tribes 16 that have to follow this process, the Commission itself 17 that has to follow this process, and there are real consequences. And we think that the proposed rule 18 clarifies a lot of these areas. 19 20 The rule as enacted now leaves a lot of 21 discretion out there, and when there's a lot of discretion out there that is unknowns for the Commission, there are 22 unknowns for tribes, as I explained before, as to what 23 rights you have available to you, what is the standards 24 25 that we'll be using, what are the deadlines. So hopefully

this will make the process a whole lot easier for everyone. Do we have any comments or questions on that area?

4 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I just want to say that our 5 Vice-Chairwoman, Steffani Cochran, has been the lead on 6 this particular reg and several other regs, but -- and I'll 7 have her talk to this in just a moment -- but, you know, 8 one of the things that we wanted to do when we came in as a 9 Commission was to create some consistency and have these 10 unknowns become knowns.

These are sections that only attorneys would 11 12 really love and -- but they're important. It tells the 13 tribes and the person appealing, or the body -- or the 14 party appealing, how things work; tells the presiding 15 official, which is even more important, you know, what 16 happens and how it happens and in what process and what's allowable, what's not allowable. And it tells us what we 17 need to be doing with some definite timeframes so that 18 everybody knows what the rules of the road are when you're 19 20 trying to appeal an action of the Agency.

And I do want to give credit to our staff in our general counsel's office. They were really, really excited about doing this. I think it's been something that has been on their wish list for a few years, because they're really the ones who have to handle appeals on behalf of the

1

2

3

Page 44

1 Commission, and they have done a really great job, and 2 we've gotten really great comments and have been able to 3 incorporate them into this.

But I do want to hand it over to Vice-Chairwoman Cochran to make any comments about this particular section specifically.

7 VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Well, one of the things I didn't mention when I introduced myself is I'm a lawyer --8 9 my trade, that's my profession -- and I've represented many 10 pueblos -- either as a judge or as an attorney -- and my experience in the southwest, and of course my experience 11 12 with tribes in the Oklahoma region, has to do with them 13 bringing in house counsel, using general counsel services 14 through their in-house attorneys, to be the 15 Jack-of-all-Trades. They're expecting their lawyers to be 16 able to handle housing, water, taxes, gaming.

And while I spend a great deal of time around attorneys very well-versed in gaming law, and some very excellent attorneys in this area, I do know that there is a large portion of tribes out there who just can't afford that type of expertise.

And when I looked at the appeals rules and I couldn't navigate them, it really became important to me to work on this particular area to make sure that a general counsel sitting out there without a whole lot of gaming

experience could have access to the experienced gaming attorneys available through the Agency. And it had been a long time since these issues had been touched. So it was very important to me.

We're not all blessed with the ability to have 5 expertise in every area that tribes touch, and so the 6 7 staff, as the Chairwoman has noted, the office of general counsel, worked extremely hard, put up with a lot of my 8 9 questions and lot of my prodding to get a product out there 10 which makes sense and put some of our practices into a written form available and accessible to anyone. And 11 12 they've done a great job. And the comments that came in 13 made sense.

The only thing we couldn't really resolve was the ex parte communications. The way it was written, there was a lot of concern, and understandably so, so we've taken it out of this draft so we can move forward with the rest of the rules. But it is something we do need to go back and to continue to work on and hopefully get some feedback during this proposed rule making, the comment period.

So, that's kind of the genesis of this. And the intent is to, again, bring clarity, even the playing field, and to make a fair process, because the appeals can be so incredibly important for the tribes, and we understand that.

Page 47 MR. HAY: Any comments or questions on the Appeals Just -- on the servicing part, you talk about VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Tracy, if you want to

MR. BURRIS: Tracy Burris again.

4 Saturday, Sunday, federal and legal holidays. What about 5 travel days? There is some of those that the federal б 7 government shut down for -- sometimes on a Thursday and Friday to go into an event that is very -- people that do 8 9 all this under the government portion. It's something to consider. 10

CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, I appreciate that, and 11 12 we'll have to think about that because could mean 240 13 different types of holidays, and we have to think about how 14 this, for like general rules, you know, what normally would 15 happen. But so -- I don't know that we've thought of that 16 vet. So we'll have to take that into consideration.

17 submit some language that would make -- I like the idea, I 18 19 absolutely agree if we can make it happen we should make it 20 happen without bringing confusion in. But there should be 21 a way. And I think it's a great idea because, again, where I come from, a tribe can be closed for ceremonies for up to 22 a week. And so there is -- there's a lot of validity to it 23 if we can make it make sense. 24

25

1

2

3

section?

CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I won't make any promises,

Page 48 1 though. We'll have to take a look to see what's suggested 2 and what's potentially out there and what that could mean. 3 MR. BURRIS: I'm just asking it be considered. 4 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I appreciate that. Thanks. MR. HAY: Looking at our agenda, we've finished the 5 first part of it rather quickly, and so maybe we should б 7 take our break right now. Our morning break. (Recess taken.) 8 9 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Having had our morning break, 10 according to our agenda we are going to go into Group 4. all of which should be in your packet. 11 12 These are regulations that are currently in the 13 Federal Register open for comment as notices of proposed 14 rule, so I'll turn it over to John. 15 Oh. Do we have some new people here that didn't 16 get to do an introduction? I see some folks here. If can 17 move the microphone around. Do we have a mobile microphone? 18 19 MR. HAY: We do. 20 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: For those folks that came in and 21 didn't have an opportunity to introduce yourself, we're going to start left and move around this way. 22 MR. MC AGEE: Ray MaGee, Commission Chairman Cahuilla 23 24 Tribal Gaming Agency. 25 MR. MALDONADO: Jason Maldonado, Commission Chair,

Chairwoman for Picayune Rancheria Tribal Gaming Commission. MR. VARGAS: Robert Vargas, Pechanga Gaming Commission. MR. RAMOS: Willie Ramos, Pechanga Gaming Commission. MS. SULLIVAN: Good morning. I'm Jan Sullivan with the Cabazon Tribal Gaming Commission. MS. TAYLOR: Leslie Taylor, Delano (phonetically) Nation. MS. FISHMAN: Connie Fishman, Picayune Rancheria Gaming Commission. CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Welcome everyone. I appreciate you all attending. At this point we'll go ahead and continue on Group 4. And we'll be talking about 556 and 558 first. John. MR. HAY: Okay. 556 are our background investigations for PMOs and key employees, and 558 are gaming licenses for key employees and primary management officials. Part 537 are background investigations for persons or entities with a financial interest in, or having

MS. ECKSTEIN: Good morning. Dyann Eckstein,

24 All these were published in December of last

25 year. And the comment period closes on February 21st.

management responsibility for, a management contract.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Pechanga Gaming Commission.

1 I'm sure many of you are familiar with our pilot 2 This was started many, many years ago, and the program. 3 goal was to cut down on the amount of paperwork that was 4 submitted to NIGC when you made your licensing decisions. What we have hoped to do with our regulations is 5 to formalize this pilot program, and so part 556 includes 6 7 all the procedures before a gaming license is issued. Okay. So what that entails are the Gaming Commission's and 8 9 Gaming Regulators submitting your background results to 10 NIGC within 60 days of the individual starting work -- the 11 licensee starting work. Okay. 12 It also allows tribes with access to provide 13 prior investigative materials to another tribe so the NIGC 14 may update those materials as well. Okay. 15 And 558 would include everything -- all the 16 procedures after the gaming license is issued. Okay. So that is the notice of results of NOR, which is what would 17 be submitted to NIGC. That was part of the pilot program, 18 19 was the initiation of the NOR. That allowed you to simply 20 submit to us the results rather than the full investigative 21 packet. And I think that's worked well. I think most tribes -- and Eric can correct me -- in California have 22 been on the pilot program. Is that accurate? 23 MR. SCHALANSKY: Yes. 24 25 MR. HAY: And generally, most of the comments we have

received on this have been favorable. The changes make it
 a lot easier for tribes to submit this material, a lot less
 burdensome, and I think it's a lot clearer.

4 The NIGC receive notification within 30 days of receiving an NOR. Okay. If a license is issued prior to 5 objection, the licensee has the right to a notice and a 6 The tribe must suspend the license until the 7 hearing. hearing. Following the hearing, the tribe notify the NIGC 8 of the decision. Okay. If the tribe does not license the 9 10 applicant, they must notify the NIGC and provide a copy of the eligibility determination and the investigative report. 11

12Are there any comments on any of this before I13move on to the next section? Norm?

MR. DES ROSIERS: Yes. Thank you. Norm DesRosiers, San Manuel. And I've submitted these comments in writing, but I'd like an opportunity to maybe expand on them a little.

18 5 -- let's see. First of all, in your published 19 proposed rule, in the beginning of the section you ask for 20 comments or opinions on whether or not an application 21 should require an applicant to list all associations they 22 belong to and pay dues to, and I'd like to weigh in on that 23 and say no, I don't think that should be there.

You know, one could belong to any number ofclubs, associations, personal or professional, with or

without dues required, and if someone forgets to list one
 of those then they could easily be in violation of the
 application or the regulation.

You know, I just -- I don't think we need to go there. I think the -- I don't think the value of that information really -- really outweighs the trouble. So that's all I have to say on that.

8 When you published the draft, before it was a 9 proposal, I made this comment, and I have to make it again. 10 Part 556.4, paragraph C, mandates the tribal investigator 11 shall keep confidential the identity of each person 12 interviewed in the course of a background investigation. 13 That's troublesome for -- certainly for my agency, and it 14 should be, I think, for a lot of other agencies.

First of all, you know, we -- we provide you the steps taken in an investigation, and NIGC has never dictated to us what steps those have to be. Let me give you the results of that. One of the steps, of course, is going to be interviewing people. It might be former employers, it might be a former spouse, former associates, coworkers. It could be any number of people.

The problem we encounter, if we're mandated to keep it all confidential, is that if I -- if I make a licensing decision relying on information that I got from a particular person, and in my due process -- and I suspect

most due process systems in most jurisdictions -- allow for that license applicant to appeal a denial, and if in the course of that appeal hearing they're denied the opportunity of who gave witness about something that I've relied upon as finding them unsuitable, it just denies fairness in the process.

So, you know, I -- the response to my previous
comment on this was, "Well, it's always been there in the
regulation and we're not going to change it."

Now, you know, my policy, or Pechanga's policy, or anybody else's policy, on confidentiality should be our policy, and our due process should be our due process, and it shouldn't be hindered by a mandate that we cannot, you know, reveal the identity of who we're relying on to build a determination.

I won't beat this to death anymore, but I respectfully request that the NIGC eliminate that paragraph. It's not needed. Thank you.

19 MR. HAY: Thank you.

MR. DES ROSIERS: Did we get to 558? Okay.

558.3, paragraph C. I think I know the intent here. It says -- states in part: If a tribe does not license an applicant, the tribe shall notify the Commission and shall forward copies of its eligibility determination. I point out to you that -- that you may want to

20

1 rethink or reword that because there are many reason why we 2 may not issue a license to an applicant. Collectively, I 3 would imagine thousands of applicants around the country, 4 withdraw -- like they do in our jurisdiction -- withdraw their application because it took too long, they got 5 another job, they moved, they relocated out of the area. 6 7 There may be any number of legitimate reasons why a license is not issued to an applicant, and I don't think you really 8 9 want to know all those.

I think what you want to know is why a license is denied to an applicant. So you might want to consider changing that language: If a license is denied, you know, let us know, give us the reasons. But not simply if a license isn't issued -- the license isn't issued.

15 On those two parts, that's all I have.
16 MR. HAY: Anyone else have comment?
17 (No response.)

MR. HAY: Then we're going to move on to Part 537, which are background investigations for persons or entities with a financial interest in, or having management responsibility for, a management contract.

The change that we are proposing would give the Chair the discretion to reduce the scope of background investigation information that was furnished by a tribe or tribally-owned entities or national banks or institutional

Page 54

1 investors that are federally regulated. So that way if 2 there is information that someone has already reviewed, 3 they thought it would streamline the process if we weren't 4 duplicating that review. And obviously this is within the discretion of 5 the Chair, and so what would happen is parties would write б 7 into the Chair requesting a waiver for some of these requirements. 8 9 You know, the purpose behind this is for us to 10 judge whether or not these individuals are suitable, and if 11 they are a national bank that's already regulated by a different agency who was probably made a similar 12 13 determination, then our thoughts are maybe we don't have to 14 look at those individuals as closely as others. 15 Are there any comments on that? It's a short 16 change. And I'm going to say that the deadline for 17 comments is February 21st. This was published in the Federal Register on 18 December 22nd. I think we received entirely favorable 19 20 comments on this issue, if we received any comments at all. 21 I don't remember anyone objecting to the change. 22 MR. DES ROSIERS: I have -- on 537 its entirety? MR. HAY: Yes. 23 MR. DES ROSIERS: Paragraph 537.3(d), as in David, I 24 25 just -- and I'm just suggesting some -- a language change

1 for clarification. Again, I think I know what you mean 2 here, but -- it states: The deposit will be returned to 3 the management contractor when all the bills have been paid 4 and the investigation's complete. I think that implies when or however the bills 5 are paid, the investigation is complete, they're going to б 7 get their whole deposit back. And I think maybe it would read clearer is -- something to the effect of, you know, 8 9 any remaining balance of the deposit would be returned 10 after deducting costs and all that, instead of the deposit. 11 That's all I'm saying. 12 MR. HAY: So that people aren't confused thinking the 13 deposit is fully refundable after the fact and will be 14 working through it? 15 MR. DES ROSIERS: Right. That's all I have on that. 16 VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Thank you, Norm. 17 MR. HAY: Any other comments? 18 (No response.) Okay. We're down to the last section that 19 MR. HAY: 20 we're looking at today, and it's a big one, it's 518, which 21 are the self-regulation of Class II Gaming. 22 I worked on these changes, and I know that we have done a lot in terms of revising the entire process. 23 Ι 24 was very happy to see that there is actually a 25 self-regulated tribe here. There are only two out there,

and Grand Ronde is here with us today, and we appreciate all the help that they've given us with their comments on this issue.

4 You know, we always received many comments that the process of becoming self-regulated was burdensome and 5 was too burdensome for the benefits that were received. б 7 And so when we put this out to comment we were asking for, you know, what do you mean by it's burdensome. You know, 8 we wanted to drill down to find out, you know, what were 9 10 the problem areas. Was it just that the standard was too 11 hard, or was it that the process was confusing or 12 duplicative of other processes, or whatever problems there 13 were in that process.

And we received a lot of great comments. And we looked through it and we ourselves felt that it was a confusing process for tribes who wanted to apply. It was a long process, a ton of information needed to be submitted to us, and as many tribes pointed out, it was information that we already had in our possession and so why are we asking for it twice.

And so we started thinking about those types of changes: You know, how do we make the process clearer, how do we make it easier. And during that there is one thing that we -- that we kept coming back to, and that the regulation as they were written now are looking at, for the 1 most part, how the tribe operates its gaming facility, when 2 really the focus here should be how the tribe regulates its 3 gaming.

You know, we shouldn't be focusing on the operation, we should be focusing on the regulation. So we did an entire rewrite of the regulations. One, to clarify the process, the standards that we'll be reviewing, and also to clarify that the focus should be on the regulation of the gaming and not on the actual operation. And we received lots of comments from tribes.

We have gotten rid of the requirements that tribes submit information that has already been submitted to us. And I think that we have done all of this without lowering the standards.

You know, a lot of -- well, the two tribes that are self-regulated have said to us, you know, "We're very proud that we're self-regulated, you know. This shouldn't be something that everyone out there can attain, you know, without even thinking, it should be something special out there."

And I think we have maintained that while making the process clearer so that more tribes when looking at the regulations won't say, "Well, we couldn't possibly achieve this." It's clear now that they can achieve it. And we're hoping that when it's all said and done that more tribes

1 will apply for self-regulation.

2 We've also changed the focus internally in that 3 prior -- prior to these drafts a lot of focus was placed on 4 the Office of Self-Regulation, in particular the one commissioner who would be appointed that position. And so 5 we wanted this to be something that was before the entire б 7 Commission from the start so that they were in on the 8 process. 9 And I think that our draft achieved that goal of 10 bringing them in early in the process and having tribes -giving tribes the opportunity to interact with the 11

12 Commission when problems do arise.

We've given tribes the opportunity to withdraw their petition at any time before acceptance or denial of it. We've also given them an interim period where we identified problems with their application and they have the opportunity to respond before a decision has been made. And so hopefully that collaborative process will allow tribes to feel more comfortable about starting this.

Because I know if you just read the regulations, it's kind of daunting everything that's required. And a lot of these regulations, and -- in particular were done before MICS were done, and so they include a lot of things that would already be included in MICS now and would already be required. And so by taking those out and saying

1	that it's not a separate requirement, it's all part of the
2	same thing, we hope that makes it easier on tribes.
3	This will be published tomorrow, and the comments
4	deadline goes to April 2nd. We received a lot of comments
5	in the past, I hope we receive a lot on this draft. I know
6	a lot of people in our office have worked very hard on it
7	and I know our Commissioners have spent a lot of time with
8	it, and hopefully it's something that you'll view eagerly
9	as something that your tribe could benefit from.
10	Are there any comments on this?
11	MS. HARVEY: Denise Harvey, Chairwoman for the Grand
12	Ronde Gaming Commission.
13	I was just wondering we provided comments on a
14	couple of different issues, and I know that you have
15	another comment period April 2nd, but we were wondering
16	what the schedule is after that.
17	VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Well, after that after
18	the next set of comments come in, then it will go out again
19	one more time for consultation before the final rule comes
20	out. So this is the second bite at the apple. So if
21	there's additional comments if you want to reiterate,
22	like Norm has done on behalf of San Manuel, if you want to
23	reiterate comments to us based on the notice proposes we're
24	making, then we certainly welcome them.
25	The first set of comments that came out on it

1 were on a discussion round.

2	MS. HARVEY: So when will we have a timeline of
3	when that will be completed?
4	VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: No, not let's see.
5	April no. I don't as far as it making it to final
6	rule? No. Most of them we're getting back out as soon
7	as once they go out in the notice proposal, we're
8	making the attorneys and the staff will spend some time
9	looking at the comments, preparing revisions, meeting with
10	us to see what our policy cuts are to make sure that those
11	are included in the discussion, then it will be republished
12	again.
13	And that process is taking a couple of weeks
14	right now in between the cutoff date once the comment
15	period closes and then us getting it back into the Federal
16	Register.
17	MS. HARVEY: Thank you.
18	MR. HAY: I'd like to go through how we kind of
19	structured this. As I mentioned before, we wanted the
20	focus to be on the regulatory aspects and not on the
21	operational aspects, and so 518.4 includes submission
22	requirements.
23	So, what we'll be looking at, what the Commission
24	will be looking at, is the history of the gaming
25	operations. You know, we'd like an organizational chart

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

for your regulatory body. We want to look at the experience of your regulators, we want to look at the funding of the regulation, we want to look at who your current regulators are, and we want to look at, you know, what kind of accounting system the gaming operation is using. Okay. Those are kind of some core areas that we think are important to the regulation of the gaming.

And so what we envision happening is the tribe 8 will submit this to us and then within the Agency the 9 10 Chairwoman will appoint a commissioner to oversee the review of this material, and that commissioner will pick a 11 12 team out of our staff to review the information, and as 13 well as to possibly perform a site visit to gather more 14 information, and those will be the kind of point of contact 15 for the tribe in dealing with this whole process and going 16 through it. And so it's -- it's one of those processes where we envision a ton of interaction between staff and 17 the tribal regulators. 18

19 518 includes more of the submission requirements. 20 There are internal controls that need to be submitted. 21 Your recordkeeping system for investigations. You know, if 22 you do your own investigations, how do you maintain your 23 records, are they -- are they secure, do you hold on to 24 them for a period of years?

25

And we're not -- we haven't put out there any

1 specific mandates in this area saying you have to do it in 2 this fashion, or this is what we're looking for. These are 3 just areas that we want to look at to see what type of 4 regulatory structure you have in place and how you operate on a daily basis. 5 We want a copy of your current tribal gaming б 7 regulations -- sometimes tribes submit those to us with their ordinance -- so we would have them on record, but 8 9 otherwise we'd like to take a look at them. And we've also received a lot comments from 10 tribes asking us to not ask for information they have 11 12 already submitted to us. So if they submitted information 13 to us such as an ordinance, if they submitted other 14 information to BIA, then we shouldn't ask for it twice. 15 We're in the federal government, we should have 16 access to it, so that would cut down on some of the 17 submissions to us. 518.5 looks at what criteria the tribe must meet. 18 And they're fairly straightforward: Effective and honest 19 20 accounting of revenues, reputation for safe, fair and 21 honest operation; physically and economically sound basis, and operation generally free of criminal or dishonest 22 23 activity. And, most importantly, that the gaming has been conducted in compliance with federal and tribal 24 25 regulations.

1 We're also looking at what types of systems the 2 tribe has put in place, you know, for accounting of 3 revenues, for investigation, licensing, monitoring of 4 gaming employees, as well as their investigation, enforcement and prosecution of violations. 5 I know some people have questions about the word б "prosecution." We use that just to mirror some of language 7 that tribes themselves use within their codes. All of you 8 9 may not have that, but I believe some tribes do use that 10 language. 518.5 lists examples of how a tribe may 11 12 illustrate it has met the criteria. Okay. These are just 13 examples, they're not exhaustive. The Tribal Gaming 14 Regulatory Body monitors compliance with applicable laws 15 and regulations including MICS, monitors effectiveness of revenue accounting system, audits Class II gaming 16 activities, and reviews accounting information from the 17 18 operation. 19 We have also changed the process hopefully to 20 streamline it and to set out some clear deadlines. Okay. 21 As I mentioned, the Office of Self-Regulation is the office within the Agency that would be conducting the review. 22 23 Okay. So they receive your petition and within 30 days 24 25 they will notify you as to whether or not it is complete or

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

its incomplete. Okay. Within 120 days of receiving that
 application, or that complete application, the Office of
 Self-Regulation would provide a recommendation report to
 the Commission and the tribe. Okay.

5 So what will happen in that 120 days is our staff 6 will be reviewing everything that has been submitted to us, 7 as well as performing any site visits that are needed. 8 they will put together a report which will include a 9 recommendation whether or not they think that the tribe's 10 petition should be granted or denied, that will go to the 11 tribe and to the Commission. Okay.

12 That provides the tribe an opportunity at that 13 point to respond to that report and so they can lay out 14 basically anything they want. It's pretty wide open. You 15 can say, one, NIGC, you're reviewing this information 16 incorrectly; NIGC, you don't have all of the information, or, hey, you know, we looked at that, you're right and we 17 want to change it. So basically it's pretty open-ended as 18 19 to how the tribe can respond. Okay.

The Commission will then issue its preliminary findings. Okay. And after that the tribe may request a hearing after receiving the preliminary findings. So again that's another opportunity for the tribe in the process before a final decision is made. Okay.

25

A hearing can take place, and it's 30 days after

that hearing that the Commission will issue its decision. Now, obviously if everything is positive along the way, the tribe won't want to request a hearing, most likely, and so the -- there won't be any problems and the process will be a little shorter at that point. And the tribe can withdraw the petition any time prior to the final decision.

As I said, we received a lot of comments on this area. One of the biggest one is that the process was unclear and needed to clarify. So hopefully we've done that.

Again, the comment said the Commission should consider all the submission requirements and not just the Office of Self-Regulation, and so that's why we included that extra tier of review for the Commission to be involved and to interact with the tribe.

And, you know, the process should involve our staff working with the tribes. And hopefully the time periods that we've allowed within the draft regulation will allow us to do that. So it is an open dialogue and it's not simply "here's our packet" and the next thing you hear from us is either a thumbs up or a thumbs down.

There are annual reporting requirements. Okay. They are an independent audit and a complete résumé for all employees of tribal regulatory body prior to license by the tribe after receiving a certificate of self-regulation. Okay. That language comes directly from the statute and so
 it is mirrored in the regulation.

518.11. 3 The tribe has a continuing duty to 4 inform the Commission of changes in circumstance material to approval of this Part. For instance, if you submit an 5 application to us and we go through the entire process and б 7 we approve your application, and then six months later the tribe cuts regulatory funding by 75 percent, we would 8 consider that something that was material to the approval 9 and we would want to look at it and you would have to 10 supply us with that information. 11

12 Comments suggested that the Commission define 13 tribal regulator. The Commission decided not to do that 14 just because so many tribes use different titles for their 15 regulatory body, so we've left that open.

Section 518.12 provides a reference to IGRA, NIGC powers limited during self-regulation. I know we received a lot of comments here during the process. A lot of individuals who said, "Why do you even have this section at all? Do you even need it? All you're simply doing is reiterating what the statute says."

You know, yes, it's superfluous, the statute already says it, we don't have to say it; but, on the other hand, it provides clarity to tribes. So that's obviously out there for comment.

And that was probably the biggest comment there as to -- on that section, was whether or not we needed to continually restate what IGRA said.

We've gone back and forth on this. Most of the time when we do include statutory language in the regulations it's because we want to provide clarity so that tribes have a roadmap for the process.

8 I think we've covered all the sections today. Do 9 we have any more comments on self-regulation? Or if anyone 10 wants to go back to any of the other areas that we've 11 covered?

MR. DES ROSIERS: Thank you, John. Norm DesRosiers,
San Manuel. A few comments.

I can see the benefit of asking for an org chart. I don't see the benefit in needing to know the name of every employee of the Agency, and that can change by the day. You know, I mean, I've got 125 people including surveillance, so what -- why is there a need for that?

MR. HAY: Well, I think when we were looking at this we just didn't know where to cut it off. You know, which -- how far down the levels of employees within the Gaming Commission we had to look at. Obviously we don't care about your janitors, but we may care about some of your administrative staff depending on what their functions are, what their responsibilities are. And so maybe --

1 MR. DES ROSIERS: I understand that. But an org 2 chart, maybe -- maybe job descriptions or the positions on that org chart would give you all that. Why do you need 3 the name of every individual employee? 4 5 VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Actually, Norm, IGRA requires the name for every employee of the tribe. What 6 7 we've done here is to -- even to set -- administratively interpret that only to apply to the regulatory body. And 8 9 that's been part of the problem with compliance -- and 10 granted Dawn Unger (phonetically) can speak to this --11 listing out complete résumés for every employee of the 12 tribe, obviously is extensively burdensome. So that's 13 where this comes from. MR. DES ROSIERS: Well, like I said, it's not very 14 15 practical. I mean, it's -- by the time I submit that list 16 of names to you, by the time you get out and start doing a 17 review, it could change and change, you know, two weeks when you're done with your review. You know, that list of 18 19 names changes constantly, and I don't know -- it doesn't 20 make sense. 21 VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: Thank you. MR. DES ROSIERS: The list of the internal controls. 22 Here again, if our outside independent audit each year 23 24 is -- you know, that we send you is accompanied with an 25 AUP, agreed upon procedures, document that the outside Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

auditor has attested that our internal controls meet or
 exceed NIGC's, I'm just wondering why you need another list
 of all the controls.

MR. HAY: I think that was -- a lot of the information that we requested is to help our field staff when they actually go out and look at these things to verify it so that, you know, they can go down and create their own checklist to make sure that those systems are be used and independently verify that.

MR. DES ROSIERS: Okay. And my last comment is this. And I understand that there's a legitimate need or reason why you would want to ensure that the tribe is complying with all applicable laws and regulations. I mean, if they're not, then they shouldn't be self-regulated, obviously.

But it raises a couple of questions: How far does that go? Because are you going to do a compact compliance review? There's a whole bunch of stuff we need to comply with there that, you know -- but it's not Class II, so -- I don't know where that stops.

My other comment is -- IGRA obviously is an applicable law, and this goes kind of back to what Tracy brought up earlier. The use of revenues is often rolled into one of those uses as revenue allocation plans, and you've got to have one and you're supposed to comply with 1 it. And so is that part of self-regulating, to ensure that 2 you have a plan if you're making distributions and 3 complying with that plan.

4 I mention that for a couple of reasons: One, in my experience, NIGC -- maybe you haven't had this in recent 5 years, but in years past there were a number of tribes with б a lot of internal political problems and accusations coming 7 to NIGC that their tribe was not complying with the revenue 8 allocation plan, they were was misspending, and there was 9 all kinds of stuff that were kind of forcing us to have to 10 take a look at things to see if they're complying with 11 12 IGRA, the use of revenue. That's one concern.

The other is -- in fact, I have submitted -- many years ago when I was at Viejas -- a petition for self-regulation review, and because of some glitches with the RAP I had to withdraw that because of the -- the allocation plan was in transition and being revised and all that, and so we never went back and finished.

So, anyway, that RAP is an issue. Or is it? It seems to me that if you're looking at compliance with applicable laws and regulations that -- I'm wondering is that an issue.

23 MR. HAY: I can't recall us ever discussing it in 24 terms of a Compact, to answer your first question. You 25 know, that's between the tribe and the state. So, granted

IGRA gives us some ability to give notice of violation also or Compact violations, but this is Class II, and so I think that that would not be the focus of anything that we would be doing in terms of this.

As for RAPs, you know, we didn't specifically discuss that when we were reviewing it, and none of the comments that we received to date raised that as an issue. But it would be something, you know, that we have to -- to answer your concern there.

10 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I think our bigger concern is 11 if, you know, a tribe applies for a certificate of 12 self-regulation and they're giving out per capitas from 13 gaming revenue without a RAP, that might be a problem. I 14 think that's probably a bigger concern.

The specificity of whether the tribe is following the RAP, which has been brought up, it sounds like at least twice this morning, we hadn't talked about specifically, but it certainly can give us something to think about when we're looking at the regs and what do we mean by "all applicable laws."

21 MR. BURRIS: Question: John, you said to help your 22 field people to put forward a checklist, if you will. Do 23 you perceive you guys making -- creating a checklist off of 24 this? And I realize it's additional work. But that would 25 clarify some of these areas of where you may go or not go
1 and how you're going to interpret it.

2 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: That's a really good point,
3 Tracy. And I appreciate your bringing that up.

4 One of the things we are trying to do is create consistency across the Agency, so you saw that in the 5 appeals -- you know, you see when you submit an ordinance б 7 we have a checklist for the ordinance. You know, when we're doing -- when we're talking about 556 and 558, not 8 9 only are we looking at creating some consistency there, but 10 we're also going to look at -- when we implement these, we're going to be looking at how internally we're going to 11 12 adjust to this so that there is consistency across the 13 region.

Because we don't want one region with a checklist when doing a self-regulation review, and another region with a different checklist, because that's mayhem making. We don't want that, that sends the wrong message and it's confusing to everyone.

So yes, we would be looking at -- not only just for this reg, but all the regs -- how we're going to go about this process and let tribes know what those internal processes are so they know what to expect.

23 MR. HAY: Any other comments?

24 MR. BURRIS: John, I do, and it's just -- I'm going 25 to go back to 556.4 on the background investigations,

1 number 3. It's listing for personal references. 2 Is there any way in the world we could strike 3 that and get rid of those? Because they serve no purpose. 4 I have probably done 15- or 16,000 background license checks, and only probably five or six times have I ever got 5 a bad one. б 7 My thought would be is that we use personal -those are individuals that are at work during the time our 8 9 investigators or background people are working in the 10 normal day, so we're sitting here until the evening time 11 trying to tie those people down. 12 You know, people can give us 10 references and we 13 may only get a ahold of three of them if were lucky. So I 14 think, on average, everyone would tell you that we're lucky 15 to get two of the three. 16 But we spend so much time on leaving this 17 document open, because we spend a day on it, then we have to balance a week because we got so many to do. This is 18 just a time management thing. And I don't know of anywhere 19 20 in the Act itself that requires a personal reference. 21 And I just personally think it's a waste of time, a waste of manpower, and -- and these other companies and 22 sources that we use are working during business times, so 23 I'm trying to float people to stay until 7:00 o'clock at 24 25 night trying to catch people at dinnertime that don't want

1 to give a personal reference. So it's just very difficult, 2 and to me it has no merit personally. 3 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Okay. Well, thanks for bringing 4 that up, and we'll have to take a look at it. I'm just thinking about other processes that 5 require those kinds of references and during a certain time б 7 We'll have to think about how that might work. frame. MR. BURRIS: We spend hours and hours trying to track 8 9 down to get someone to say something that 99 percent of the 10 time they'll say "Oh, they're great. Fine. Yeah. Sure yes, I wish I had hired them." But that's another story. 11 12 MR. HAY: We've covered everything on the agenda --13 MR. DES ROSIERS: Well, I have to -- Tracy's right, 14 you know. And I've done I don't know how many tens of 15 thousand of background; if you want to dig up dirt on 16 somebody, you ask former spouses and former business 17 partners and that kind of thing. CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I know I've gone through a 18 pretty extensive background check to sit here. But we'll 19 20 have to take a look at that and see. 21 MR. BURRIS: I think in this time and age it's -- and I've always thought it was -- it was a difference of how 22 23 many -- you know, you get a large amount of number of employees and renewing every two years, plus what you're 24 25 doing, and you're checking those personal references, it's

1 just -- it's not very good efficient time management.

2 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: And I bet you can probably find3 out a lot even if you Google somebody.

But we'll take a look at that, and maybe see what the origins of this was -- this particular requirement and, you know, what usefulness it had at the time that we looked at it.

And even in the pilot program -- I'm sure this is where it originated -- and I could swear when I was first licensed by my facility, you know, asking -- they asked for that and, you know, maybe it's a question of is it still relevant. So thanks, Tracy.

13

Any others?

14 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Okay. Well, I appreciate 15 everybody attending. I know that a number of these aren't 16 even in the Federal Register yet, but we have 60 day 17 comment period which will end April 2nd on many of these.

As pointed out in the public -- in the copies you have, some of them are February 21st and 27, and we will continue to have consultations. So given that you might not have had an opportunity to read some of these, you do have 60 days to comment and provide written comments to that address, or you can mail them in.

24 So, again, I appreciate everybody's time. Did 25 you want to wrap anything up?

VICE-CHAIRWOMAN COCHRAN: I just will re-echo the Chairwoman. Thank you so much for your time. We know that you're extremely busy, and we appreciate it when you come to sit with us and talk about these things, and we look forward to the next set of consultations and the next set of groups that we'll cover. And I wish you safe travels back to your home.

8 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Okay. Our next consultation 9 will happen at Shelton, Washington, immediately following 10 the Affiliated Tribes of North. After that we'll --11 February 22nd we'll be in Albuquerque, New Mexico, Isleta 12 Hard Rock.

13 And then we're working on some other dates so that we cover the entire period of the comment period. But 14 15 those are up in the air right now, so we'll be sure to let 16 you know what we're looking at until we can confirm those 17 dates, but we're looking at times in March to go to different parts of the country. We're trying to reach all 18 19 the regions as we go, and try to keep in mind winter 20 conditions as well. So, as we confirm more dates in March, 21 we will put out that information on our website and send them to your tribes. We put them -- we put all the 22 information out there and we'll let you know. 23 So, again, thank you very much, and safe travels 24

25 to you all. And thank you for coming quite a distance.

		Page 78
1	Thank you again.	
2	(Adjourned 11:20 a.m.)	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Page 79
1	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
2	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:
3	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
4	me at the time and place herein set forth; that any
5	witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to
б	testifying, were placed under oath; that a record of the
7	proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which
8	was thereafter transcribed under my direction; further,
9	that the foregoing is an accurate transcription thereof.
10	I further certify that I am neither financially
11	interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any
12	attorney or any of the parties.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed
14	my name.
15	
16	Dated:
17	
18	JACQUELINE R. GRENACHE CSR. No. 4631
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# [& - agenda]

&	64:24 65:25	6	actions 28:22 33:10
<b>&amp;</b> 3:5	4	<b>60</b> 19:18 50:10	38:4
1	<b>4</b> 5:13 11:20 12:4	76:16,22	activities 22:24
	48:10 49:16	<b>642</b> 14:21	64:17
<b>1</b> 1:25 11:2,20 15:24	<b>4631</b> 1:22 2:19	7	activity 63:23
<b>10</b> 74:12	79:18		actual 21:15,17 30:2
<b>100</b> 2:16 19:4	5	<b>75</b> 67:8	30:2 37:9,11 58:9
<b>10:15</b> 12:2		<b>79</b> 1:25	additional 22:23
<b>10:30</b> 12:3	<b>5</b> 11:20 51:18	<b>7:00</b> 74:24	41:2 60:21 72:24
<b>11</b> 5:14	<b>502</b> 11:23 24:13	8	address 18:24 31:10
<b>11:20</b> 2:17 78:2	28:7	<b>83</b> 33:22,22	34:13 37:12 39:4
<b>11th</b> 16:9	<b>514</b> 16:3	<b>84</b> 33:23	42:14 76:23
<b>120</b> 19:15 65:1,5	<b>518</b> 12:1,12 56:20	<b>8:44</b> 2:17 5:2	addressed 32:20
<b>125</b> 68:17	62:19	<b>8:45</b> 12:2	35:19,22 39:21
<b>12th</b> 16:10 17:10	<b>518.11.</b> 67:3	9	40:13,18 43:2,4,7
<b>13,175</b> 13:24 <b>15</b> 6:13 74:4	<b>518.12</b> 67:16	<b>99</b> 75:9	addresses 31:19
<b>15</b> 0:13 74:4 <b>16,000</b> 74:4	<b>518.4</b> 61:21		addressing 39:10
<b>18,000</b> 74:4 <b>180</b> 21:23	<b>518.5</b> 63:18 64:11	a	adequately 21:4,14
<b>180</b> 21:23 <b>1995</b> 6:17	<b>519</b> 11:24 33:17	<b>a.m.</b> 2:17,17 5:2	37:12
	<b>523</b> 17:6,15 <b>524</b> 11:24 33:17	78:2	adjourned 78:2
2	<b>537</b> 12:9 49:21	<b>ability</b> 23:13 46:5	adjust 73:12
<b>2</b> 11:20 15:24	54:18 55:22	72:1	administration 23:7
<b>2010</b> 5:13,13 11:6	<b>537.3</b> 55:24	<b>able</b> 45:2,16	administrative 10:9
<b>2012</b> 1:17 2:18 5:1	<b>537.5</b> 53:24 <b>539</b> 11:24 33:17	absolutely 47:19	38:7,15 68:24
<b>21st</b> 49:25 55:17	<b>556</b> 12:4 49:16,18	abstract 32:9	administratively
76:19	50:6 73:8	acceptance 59:14	69:7
<b>2201</b> 17:9	<b>556.4</b> 52:10 73:25	access 15:7 46:1	adopt 42:5,5
<b>22nd</b> 55:19 77:11	<b>558</b> 12:7 49:16,19	50:12 63:16	advance 14:11
<b>240</b> 47:12	50:15 53:20 73:8	accessible 46:11	<b>affect</b> 36:2,4
<b>25</b> 28:13,16	<b>558.3</b> 53:21	accompanied 69:24	affiliated 77:10
<b>25th</b> 16:11	<b>559</b> 17:18,23 18:2	accounting 62:5	afford 45:20
<b>27</b> 76:19	21:15	63:20 64:2,16,17	age 75:21
<b>2710</b> 28:17	<b>573</b> 24:11,21 28:7	accurate 50:23 79:9	agee 48:23
<b>2712</b> 28:17	<b>574</b> 11:22	accusations 71:7	<b>agencies</b> 14:1 18:22 21:7,8 23:8 52:14
<b>2713</b> 28:13	<b>577</b> 11:24 33:17	ace 29:12	agency 9:10 14:15
<b>27th</b> 24:13,14 29:1	<b>580</b> 33:22 35:2,19	achieve 58:23,24	22:11,19 23:24
<b>29</b> 7:5 8:22	<b>581</b> 33:22	achieved 59:9	26:22,23 29:8,14
<b>2nd</b> 17:22 22:4	<b>582</b> 39:7	acronym 29:12	38:11,13 44:20 46:2
33:21 60:4,15 76:17	<b>583</b> 39:23	act 19:3 31:25 74:20	48:24 52:13 55:12
3	<b>584</b> 40:14	action 24:19 26:22	62:9 64:22 68:16
<b>3</b> 74:1	<b>585</b> 40:17	26:23,23 27:4 28:8	73:5
<b>30</b> 1:17 2:18 5:1		28:10,12,12,21,24	agenda 10:23 12:14
20:17 21:20 22:20		29:14 31:12 36:2	48:5,10 75:12
41:12,15,17 51:4		37:5 44:20 79:11	+0.3,10 / 3.12
, ,			

<b>ago</b> 50:2 71:14	appealing 33:24	<b>aqua</b> 6:12	attorneys 15:2,14,17
agree 19:4 47:19	36:1 44:13,14	aqua 0.12 arapaho 9:11,14,16	34:7 44:11 45:14,18
agreed 69:25	appeals 11:24,25	9:18	45:19 46:2 61:8
<b>agua</b> 6:8,8 7:16,18	33:5,7 34:1,1,3 35:3	area 15:16 18:20,23	<b>audience</b> 22:5
7:19,21 8:13,15		,	audience 22.5 audit 8:13 17:3
	35:7,9,18 36:20	22:6 23:12,24 35:21	
<b>ague</b> 6:2	38:6 39:7 40:16	36:7,12,17 37:9	30:23 32:12 66:23
ahead 5:22 26:18	42:10,15,16 43:10	39:13 44:3 45:19,24	69:23
49:15	44:25 45:22 46:23	46:6 54:6 63:1 66:8	auditor 70:1
ahold 74:13	47:1 73:6	areas 18:17 21:8	<b>audits</b> 64:16
<b>air</b> 77:15	<b>appear</b> 35:4,24	22:25 25:1 31:25	augustine 8:16
albuquerque 77:11	appellant 41:15	33:12 34:10 35:18	<b>aup</b> 69:25
alliance 22:17	<b>apple</b> 60:20	37:12 39:24 40:18	authority 20:15
allocation 70:24	applicable 64:14	43:19 57:10 62:6	22:14 30:24 31:17
71:9,17	70:13,22 71:21	63:3 68:10 72:25	31:21,22 32:13 40:9
<b>allow</b> 26:1,4 27:1	72:20	armstrong 3:7	authorization 22:12
29:8 31:1 53:1	<b>applicant</b> 51:10,21	11:15,15	automatically 19:6
59:18 66:19	53:2,23 54:2,8,11	arrived 26:4	39:18
<b>allowable</b> 44:17,17	applicants 54:3	<b>asked</b> 5:22,25 76:10	<b>available</b> 25:19 34:8
allowed 12:22 50:19	application 51:20	asking 19:8 25:12	43:24 46:2,11
66:18	52:3 54:5 59:16	34:22,23 36:24,25	average 74:14
<b>allows</b> 10:1 41:9	65:2,2 67:6,7	48:3 57:7,20 63:11	aware 21:7
50:12	applied 37:13	68:14 76:10	b
amicus 41:6,10	applies 72:11	aspects 61:20,21	
amount 20:19 50:3	apply 57:16 59:1	assessment 28:19	<b>back</b> 6:15 7:14 11:6
75:23	69:8	assign 38:7,11	22:11 43:12 46:18
angela 4:5 8:10	<b>appoint</b> 62:10	assigned 41:22	56:7 57:24 61:6,15
<b>annual</b> 66:22	<b>appointed</b> 5:12 59:5	assistance 29:13	68:4,10 70:22 71:18
answer 17:4 32:4	appreciate 26:8	assistant 9:15 10:9	73:25 77:7
36:22 37:7 71:24	47:11 48:4 49:13	associates 52:20	background 6:10
72:9	57:1 73:3 76:14,24	associations 51:21	12:4,9 49:18,21
	77:3	51:25	50:9 52:12 54:19,23
answering 10:13			73:25 74:4,9 75:15
answers 32:8	appreciated 10:16	assumed 24:2	75:19
anticipate 16:19	<b>approach</b> 21:6	attachment 4:24	backgrounds 8:8,14
17:12	24:25 28:2	attain 58:19	<b>bad</b> 74:6
anybody 7:2 53:11	appropriate 37:15	attebery 3:8 9:4,4	<b>balance</b> 56:9 74:18
anymore 17:7 53:16	approval 17:6 33:25	<b>attend</b> 13:17	<b>band</b> 4:25 7:8 12:24
anyway 71:19	40:3 67:5,9	attendees 3:14:1	<b>bank</b> 55:11
apologies 26:6,10	approvals 39:23	attending 49:14	<b>banks</b> 54:25
appeal 26:25 34:11	<b>approve</b> 40:9 67:7	76:15	<b>based</b> 34:3 60:23
35:7,15 36:13 37:5	approved 16:12	attention 29:22	<b>basically</b> 18:6 65:14
37:6,8,10 38:5	28:16 30:3 31:9	30:12 37:2	65:18
		attested 70:1	
39:10,10,18,20,25	april 17:22 22:4		<b>hasis</b> 16.6 19.13
39:10,10,18,20,25 39:25 40:3,5,18,18	<b>april</b> 17:22 22:4 33:21 60:4,15 61:5	<b>attorney</b> 3:4 7:24	<b>basis</b> 16:6 19:13
	-		<b>basis</b> 16:6 19:13 63:5,21

## [beat - clearer]

h == 4 52.10	<b>b 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	10,12,12,14	-h
beat 53:16	bringing 5:18 22:11	case 19:12,12,14	challenged 40:8
becoming 57:5	45:13 47:20 59:10	20:14 40:11	<b>chance</b> 11:3
bedside 15:10	73:3 75:3	<b>casino</b> 2:16 6:13,13	change 11:24 21:10
beginning 2:17	broad 38:10	20:3	21:11 28:24 53:9
51:19	<b>brought</b> 70:23 72:16	casinos 20:6	54:22 55:16,21,25
<b>behalf</b> 6:7 13:19	<b>build</b> 53:14	catch 24:1 74:25	65:18 68:16 69:17
35:8 44:25 60:22	bulletin 17:1	caught 20:1	69:17
<b>believe</b> 13:23 14:15	<b>bunch</b> 70:18	<b>celeste</b> 4:4 7:10	<b>changed</b> 18:24 59:2
15:18,20 17:21,22	<b>burden</b> 22:23 23:3	ceremonies 47:22	64:19
29:9 30:2,2,23	burdensome 51:3	<b>certain</b> 19:10 75:6	<b>changes</b> 14:10 16:7
31:12 64:9	57:5,6,8 69:12	certainly 10:21	20:23 24:21 29:5
belong 51:22,24	<b>burris</b> 3:12 7:12,12	32:23 52:13 60:24	51:1 56:22 57:22
<b>benefit</b> 36:10 60:9	23:17,17 24:2 30:17	72:18	67:4 69:19
68:14,15	30:17 32:11,16 47:3	certificate 66:25	changing 54:12
benefits 57:6	47:3 48:3 72:21	72:11	<b>chapter</b> 28:15 33:14
<b>bernal</b> 3:9 8:25,25	73:24 75:8,21	certification 21:1	<b>chart</b> 61:25 68:14
<b>bet</b> 76:2	<b>burton</b> 3:13 7:9,9	certified 79:1	69:2,3
<b>better</b> 23:12,16	12:24 13:5	certify 18:9 79:2,10	<b>check</b> 75:19
<b>bia</b> 63:14	<b>business</b> 20:13 23:9	certifying 18:16	checking 75:25
<b>big</b> 16:6 20:23 29:15	74:23 75:16	<b>chair</b> 27:16,16 28:13	<b>checklist</b> 70:8 72:22
56:20	buster 9:4,7	38:10 48:25 54:23	72:23 73:7,14,16
<b>bigger</b> 72:10,14	busy 12:18 77:3	55:6,7	checks 74:5
<b>biggest</b> 21:10 66:8	c	chair's 37:7	cheevers 9:17
68:1		<b>chairman</b> 5:5 6:1,11	chevers 3:15
<b>bills</b> 56:3,5	<b>c</b> 52:10 53:21	6:11 7:6,17 9:8	<b>cheyenne</b> 9:11,13,15
<b>birds</b> 3:15 9:17,17	<b>c.s.r.</b> 2:19	48:23	9:18
<b>bit</b> 19:9	cabazon 49:8	chairman's 35:16	chickasaw 5:9
<b>bite</b> 60:20	cahoot 9:4,7,8	chairwoman 3:2,3	<b>chief</b> 9:13 26:15
bless 6:5	<b>cahuilla</b> 9:9 48:23	5:4,17,20,21 6:3,19	chukchansi 11:14
blessed 46:5	calculate 39:2	7:11,14 8:2 9:22,24	circumstance 67:4
board 5:20	calculating 39:5	10:7,10 11:18 13:2	<b>civil</b> 28:18 34:2
<b>bob</b> 9:6	caliente 6:2,8,8,13	13:6,11 15:12 17:17	clarification 40:25
<b>body</b> 44:13 62:1	7:16,18,20,22 8:13	22:9 23:22 24:9	41:2 56:1
64:14 66:24 67:15	8:15	26:4,6 29:5 30:14	clarifies 43:19
69:8	<b>california</b> 1:16 2:17	31:5 32:9,15,23	clarify 20:9 58:6,8
<b>bogda</b> 3:10 11:12,12	3:5 5:1 32:21 50:22	41:25 44:4,5 45:4,7	66:9 72:25
<b>born</b> 5:9	79:2	46:7 47:11,17,25	clarity 28:25 39:16
<b>boswell</b> 3:11 9:11,11	<b>called</b> 20:2 24:5	48:4,9,20 49:3,13	46:22 67:24 68:6
break 12:3 15:13	27:25	56:16 60:11,17 61:4	class 12:13 56:21
48:7,7,9	<b>canyon</b> 2:16	62:10 69:5,21 72:10	64:16 70:19 72:2
<b>brief</b> 6:10 41:6,6,9	capitas 72:12	73:2 75:3,18 76:2	<b>clear</b> 18:19 20:14
41:10	caps 32:1	76:14 77:1,2,8	23:8 34:25 42:24
bring 22:16 29:15	care 68:23,23	<b>chairwoman's</b> 29:12	43:13 58:24 64:20
29:18,22 30:12	<b>carr</b> 3:14 11:13,13	35:17	<b>clearer</b> 21:17 51:3
27.10,22 JU.12		55.17	
46:22			56:8 57:22 58:22

17.00.04.14			
<b>close</b> 17:22 24:14	comments 4:25	commissioners 6:16	confusing 57:11,16
<b>closed</b> 16:10 17:10	14:24,25 15:16,18	35:14 60:7	73:18
21:23 23:1 47:22	15:19 16:17,18 19:1	commissions 25:5	confusion 47:20
closely 55:14	20:8,18 22:6 26:14	commitment 15:8	<b>connie</b> 49:11
<b>closes</b> 22:4 29:1	28:3 29:2 30:16	communications	consequences 43:18
49:25 61:15	33:1 35:20,23,23	36:13,25 46:15	consider 47:10
closing 21:19	36:7 39:1,13 40:25	compact 70:17	54:11 66:12 67:9
<b>closure</b> 21:22,24	41:4,11 42:8 43:5,7	71:24 72:2	consideration 32:24
28:19 34:2 37:6	44:2 45:2,5 46:12	companies 74:22	47:16
41:11 43:5	47:1 50:25 51:12,15	complaints 19:19	considered 48:3
<b>closures</b> 22:22,23	51:20 55:15,17,20	<b>complete</b> 33:15 56:4	consistency 44:9
<b>clubs</b> 51:25	55:20 56:17 57:2,4	56:6 64:25 65:2	73:5,9,12
<b>cochran</b> 3:3 5:4,5,17	57:14 58:10 60:3,4	66:23 69:11	constantly 69:19
6:3,19 7:14 9:22,24	60:10,13,18,21,23	completed 61:3	construction 21:2
10:7,10 11:18 13:2	60:25 61:9 63:10	compliance 24:18	21:12
13:6 17:17 22:9	66:7 67:12,18 68:9	24:24 26:2 29:10,13	<b>consult</b> 11:19 14:2
23:22 24:9 44:5	68:13 72:7 73:23	63:24 64:14 69:9	consultation 1:3 2:3
45:5,7 47:17 56:16	76:22	70:18 71:20	5:7 13:11,14,21
60:17 61:4 69:5,21	commission 1:1 2:1	complicated 16:20	18:20 36:18 60:19
77:1	5:6,21 6:1,9,11 7:5	43:12	77:8
<b>codes</b> 64:8	7:11,16,18,20,22	<b>comply</b> 70:19,25	consultations 10:12
collaborative 59:18	8:3,5,13,15,17	complying 70:12	14:16,18 76:20 77:5
collectively 54:2	11:16,17,25 13:12	71:3,8,11	consulting 14:1,4
<b>com</b> 24:5	14:2 15:20 16:12	<b>concern</b> 21:9 25:1,2	<b>contact</b> 38:5 62:14
combination 17:1	22:9,19 23:11,12	25:12 26:21 27:23	continually 68:3
<b>come</b> 6:4 10:1,20,22	24:16,18,24 25:18	32:21 34:10 36:12	<b>continue</b> 20:7 46:19
15:1,2 25:1 29:12	25:25 29:7 32:11	37:9 46:16 71:12	49:15 76:20
37:1 38:19 47:22	33:13 34:15,16 35:1	72:9,10,14	continued 4:1
60:18 77:3	35:4,9,11,22,25	concerned 30:8	continuing 6:3 67:3
comes 29:14 32:19	36:10,14 38:19,24	concerns 18:25	<b>contract</b> 34:1 40:4
60:19 67:1 69:13	40:24 41:16,24 42:4	conclusion 5:18	40:10 49:23 54:21
comfortable 59:19	42:4,18,19,25 43:16	conditions 77:20	contractor 36:16
coming 16:1 39:9	43:22 44:9 45:1	conducted 21:3,13	56:3
57:24 71:7 77:25	48:23,25 49:1,3,5,6	63:24	contracts 33:10
<b>comment</b> 15:3,9	49:8,12 53:23 59:7	conducting 64:22	39:24
16:10 17:10,21 22:4	59:12 60:12 61:23	confidential 40:21	<b>controls</b> 62:20 69:22
24:14 28:25 29:21	65:4,11,20 66:1,11	42:9,11 52:11,23	70:1,3
29:23 30:11 33:21	66:14 67:4,12,13	confidentiality	conversation 12:17
40:2 46:20 48:13	68:22	42:14 53:11	coordinator 9:7
49:25 52:9 53:8	commission's 16:18	<b>confirm</b> 77:16,20	<b>copies</b> 17:22,25
54:16 57:7 60:15	50:8	confirmation 19:18	39:25 53:24 76:18
61:14 66:11 67:25	commissioned 5:13	conflicts 36:18	<b>copy</b> 33:19 39:11
68:1 70:10,21 76:17	commissioner 7:7,9	<b>confused</b> 31:14 33:7	40:20 51:10 63:6
76:22 77:14	7:12 8:6,20,23	56:12	<b>core</b> 62:6
	35:14 59:5 62:10,11		
L		I	

## [correct - document]

			1
<b>correct</b> 19:4 24:21	<b>date</b> 61:14 72:7	<b>define</b> 67:12	66:19
25:21 27:7 29:9	79:13	defined 28:12	dictated 52:17
50:22	<b>dated</b> 79:16	definite 44:18	differ 32:5
corrected 27:5	<b>dates</b> 77:13,17,20	definition 21:12	difference 75:22
<b>costs</b> 56:10	daunting 59:21	28:7,8,9,24	<b>different</b> 5:11 26:13
<b>council</b> 6:8 8:1,25	<b>david</b> 4:11 8:14	definitional 11:23	27:25 28:1 33:11
40:9	55:24	definitions 21:11,16	47:13 55:12 60:14
councilman 9:20	<b>dawn</b> 26:16 69:10	24:12 32:24 35:2	67:14 73:16 77:18
<b>counsel</b> 8:10 10:5	<b>day</b> 6:25 22:20	<b>delacruz</b> 3:16 9:20	differentiate 28:22
11:13,15 45:13,13	68:17 74:10,17	9:20	40:15
45:25 46:8	76:16	delano 49:9	differs 31:24
counsel's 44:22	days 19:15,18 20:17	<b>delay</b> 26:12	difficult 75:1
<b>count</b> 35:6	21:20,23 41:12,15	delegate 13:20	<b>dig</b> 75:15
<b>country</b> 15:6 22:25	41:17 47:6 50:10	<b>denial</b> 53:2 59:14	dinnertime 74:25
36:3 54:3 77:18	51:4 64:24 65:1,5	<b>denied</b> 41:5 53:3	direction 26:11 79:8
<b>couple</b> 5:12 60:14	65:25 76:22	54:11,12 65:10	directly 13:8 14:3
61:13 70:16 71:4	<b>dc</b> 5:11	denies 53:5	23:19 42:19,25 67:1
<b>course</b> 15:12 45:11	deadline 16:14	<b>denise</b> 4:2 8:2 60:11	<b>director</b> 3:5 7:16,21
52:12,18 53:3	17:14 55:16 60:4	department 23:19	8:4,12,15 10:6
<b>court</b> 11:10 27:2	<b>deadlines</b> 16:1 35:5	38:6	<b>dirt</b> 75:15
<b>cover</b> 77:6,14	35:10 43:25 64:20	depending 32:6	disadvantage 34:17
<b>covered</b> 15:18 30:20	<b>deal</b> 10:11,13,16,24	68:24	disapproval 33:24
68:8,11 75:12	14:7 15:17 22:22	<b>deposit</b> 56:2,7,9,10	33:25
covers 35:2	45:17	56:13	disapprovals 39:8
coworkers 52:21	dealing 20:25 62:15	<b>deputy</b> 26:15	39:10,23
<b>create</b> 44:9 70:7	<b>deals</b> 40:14	<b>des</b> 7:7 51:14 53:20	disapproved 37:21
73:4	<b>dealt</b> 41:19	55:22,24 56:15	37:24
creating 72:23 73:9	<b>dean</b> 4:15 8:16	68:12 69:1,14,22	discretion 34:14
creative 37:1	<b>death</b> 53:16	70:10 75:13	41:10 43:21,21
credit 44:21	december 16:10	described 29:25	54:23 55:5
criminal 63:22	17:10 24:13 49:24	descriptions 69:2	discuss 12:2,4,14
criteria 63:18 64:12	55:19	designate 43:8	72:6
crucial 29:6	<b>decide</b> 39:19 41:12	designed 29:19	discusses 13:11
<b>csr</b> 1:22 79:18	42:4,6	designees 13:17	discussing 71:23
curious 24:4	decided 35:18 36:9	desrosiers 3:17 7:7	discussion 15:19,21
current 62:4 63:6	37:8 38:21 67:13	51:14 68:12	16:4 61:1,11
currently 48:12	decision 35:11,12,13	details 11:1 12:16	discussions 15:24
<b>cut</b> 50:3 63:16 68:20	35:17,17 37:7,11	determination 19:6	dishonest 63:22
<b>cutoff</b> 61:14	40:23,24 41:16,17	51:11 53:15,24	<b>distance</b> 77:25
<b>cuts</b> 61:10 67:8	42:2,3,7 51:9 52:24	55:13	<b>distant</b> 16:15 17:13
d	59:17 65:24 66:1,6	determine 14:5	distinct 33:11
<b>d</b> 55:24	decisions 35:9 39:12	determined 14:9	distributing 32:1
daily 16:6 63:5	50:4	21:2	distributions 71:2
	deducting 56:10	<b>dialogue</b> 14:13 26:1	<b>document</b> 69:25
		27:13 29:8 36:19	74:17

documents 38:17	effective 63:19	environmental	<b>extra</b> 66:14
doing 5:17 13:13	effectiveness 64:15	22:15	extra 00.14 extremely 12:18
14:6 22:12,13 23:8	efficient 76:1	<b>envision</b> 23:23 62:8	14:20 46:8 77:3
27:18,19 31:15	effort 15:4 23:2	62:17	
32:15 44:18,23	efforts 18:23	eric 3:5 10:6,7 50:22	f
67:20 69:16 72:4	either 25:2,4 33:24	especially 23:4	<b>faces</b> 13:9
73:8,15 75:25	34:22 45:10 66:21	establish 14:5	facilities 20:12
dora 3:9 8:25	electronic 23:6,18	evening 74:10	facility 11:21 17:19
dot 24:5	electronically 22:1	event 47:8	18:7,8,9 19:5,15,16
draft 38:23 46:17	23:9,21	eventually 7:1	19:20 20:1,10,16
52:8 59:9 60:5	<b>eligibility</b> 51:11	everybody 9:22 26:8	21:3,13,20 24:5
66:18	53:24	26:13 44:19 76:15	58:1 76:10
<b>drafted</b> 34:13	eliminate 53:17	everybody's 76:24	<b>fact</b> 56:13 71:13
drafts 14:11 59:3	eliminates 18:15	evelybody s 70.24 evidence 34:23	faction 40:8
drill 57:9	41:8	41:23	factions 40:8
drive 2:16	else's 53:11	evidentiary 34:22	<b>fair</b> 23:5 43:14
due 23:1 52:25 53:1	employee 68:16	evidential y 54.22 ex 36:12,25 46:15	46:23 63:20
53:12,12	69:4,6,11 79:11	exactly 16:24	fairly 63:19
<b>dues</b> 51:22 52:1	employees 12:6,7	<b>examples</b> 64:11,13	fairness 53:6
duplicate 18:22	25:5 49:19,20 64:4	<b>exceed</b> 70:2	<b>fall</b> 30:20,22 31:3
duplicating 55:4	66:24 68:21 75:24	excellent 45:19	falls 29:11
duplicative 57:12	employers 52:20	excited 44:22	familiar 11:3 13:9
dupicative 57.12 duty 67:3	employers 52.20 enacted 19:25 43:20	excuse 5:13 29:16	28:21 50:1
dyann 3:18 49:2	encounter 52:22	executive 7:15 8:4	<b>far</b> 6:11,15 13:11
	ended 65:18	9:15 13:24	16:14 61:5 68:21
e	enforcement 11:23	exhausted 29:18	70:16
<b>e</b> 23:19	24:11,19 27:4 28:8	exhaustive 64:13	fashion 63:2
eagerly 60:8	28:10,12,21,24 29:3	existence 18:12	favorable 51:1
earlier 14:19 27:13	29:13,14 32:2 33:9	existing 17:6	55:19
70:23	38:4 64:5	expand 51:16	<b>fe</b> 5:10
early 17:24 59:10	enforcing 31:17,17	expect 73:22	february 24:14 29:1
easier 22:2 34:7	engaged 28:14	expecting 45:15	49:25 55:17 76:19
44:1 51:2 57:23	ensure 70:12 71:1	expedited 19:17	77:11
60:2	entails 50:8	expenses 42:22	<b>federal</b> 13:16 14:1,5
easiest 38:14	enter 39:20	expensive 42:22	17:12,15,24 18:21
easily 52:2	entered 39:18	<b>experience</b> 45:11,11	21:7 27:1 47:5,6
<b>echo</b> 77:1	entire 39:9 56:23	46:1 62:2 71:5	48:13 55:18 61:15
eckstein 3:18 49:2,2	58:6 59:6 67:6	<b>experienced</b> 46:1	63:15,24 76:16
ecohawk 3:19 8:18	77:14	experienced 40.1 expertise 18:24	federally 55:1
8:18	entirely 55:19	45:21 46:6	<b>fee</b> 16:3
economically 63:21	entirety 55:22	expired 21:21	feedback 46:19
<b>ed</b> 4:8 8:6	entities 12:9 49:22	explained 43:23	<b>feel</b> 36:9 38:16
<b>edward</b> 4:16 9:2	54:19,25	extensive 75:19	59:19
effect 56:8	environment 18:14	extensively 69:12	feelings 18:6
	20:25 21:4,14	CAUCHOIVELY 09.12	
	20.23 21:4,14		

# [feels - guard]

<b>feels</b> 42:23	followed 31:11	45:16,18,25 46:1	11:1 12:15,16 13:10
<b>felt</b> 17:7 30:5 57:15	following 28:18 51:8	48:24 49:1,3,4,6,8	14:4 15:22,23 16:22
<b>field</b> 17:2 27:21,24	72:15 77:9	49:12,20 50:7,8,9	17:20 23:18,19,20
33:14 46:22 70:5	forcing 71:10	50:16 56:21 58:1,3	25:6 28:23 30:23
72:22	<b>foregoing</b> 79:3,5,9	58:9 60:12 61:24	31:2 33:4,18 36:13
<b>fifth</b> 12:12	<b>foresee</b> 23:18	62:5,7 63:6,23 64:4	37:10 42:7 48:10,22
figuring 16:21	forgets 52:1	64:13,16 68:22	52:19 53:9 54:18
<b>file</b> 34:16 35:1,7	form 14:14 25:2	72:13	55:16 56:6 62:15
37:6,10 38:18 39:11	46:11	gather 62:13	70:17 73:1,10,11,11
<b>filed</b> 35:15 38:5	formal 25:23 27:1,3	general 10:4 11:13	73:20,24
41:20	formalize 27:20	13:18 44:22 45:13	good 6:7,9 7:15,19
filing 23:18 35:7	50:6	45:24 46:7 47:14	7:23 8:18 9:18 11:8
37:4 38:24	former 52:19,20,20	generally 50:25	11:18 26:6 29:23
<b>final</b> 15:15 16:12,13	75:16,16	63:22	49:2,7 73:2 76:1
16:16 17:11 26:23	formulate 10:11	genesis 46:21	goodwin 3:21 9:6,6
30:7 35:10,17 60:19	formulated 11:7	getting 24:5 26:8	google 76:3
61:5 65:24 66:6	<b>forth</b> 12:16 43:13	61:6,15	gotten 45:2 58:11
<b>finally</b> 12:11 23:6	68:4 79:4	<b>giffen</b> 3:20 7:25,25	<b>govern</b> 18:14
38:20	forward 10:12,20	give 6:10 13:3 21:23	governance 9:6
financial 12:10	26:14 46:17 53:24	24:25 25:15 27:6	governing 18:22
42:12 49:22 54:20	72:22 77:5	31:21 37:2 44:21	government 13:16
financially 79:10	frame 24:8 75:7	52:17 54:13,22 69:3	13:16 47:7,9 63:15
<b>find</b> 57:9 76:2	framework 13:22	72:1,18 74:12 75:1	governor 9:12
finding 53:5	free 27:17 63:22	given 12:22 17:16	gps 26:10
<b>findings</b> 65:21,22	friday 47:8	57:2 59:13,15 76:20	grand 7:24 8:1,2
finds 27:17	<b>full</b> 42:4,4 50:20	gives 18:7 72:1	57:1 60:11
fine 28:18 75:10	fully 56:13	giving 59:11 72:12	granted 65:10 69:10
fines 34:3	functions 68:24	glitches 71:15	71:25
<b>finished</b> 48:5 71:18	<b>funding</b> 16:6 62:3	<b>go</b> 5:22 6:20 7:14	great 10:10,13,16,24
firm 15:8	67:8	12:15 13:12 14:13	14:7 15:17 45:1,2
first 11:20 15:24	furnished 54:24	14:22 15:25 23:23	45:17 46:12 47:21
16:3 19:25 48:6	further 79:8,10	26:18 27:1 30:25	57:14 75:10
49:16 51:18 52:15	<b>future</b> 16:15 17:13	38:13,14 42:3,19,25	greatly 10:16
60:25 71:24 76:9	32:18	46:18 47:8 48:10	green 3:22 7:23,23
<b>fishman</b> 49:11,11		49:15 52:4 60:18	grenache 1:21 2:18
five 31:25 74:5	g	61:7,18 65:10 67:6	79:18
flat 27:6	<b>gain</b> 26:2	68:10 70:6,7,17	group 11:2,20,22
float 74:24	gaming 1:1 2:1 5:6	72:25,25 73:20,25	12:4,12 15:24,24
focus 58:2,8 59:2,3	6:8,17 7:10,12,16	77:17,19	48:10 49:16
61:20 72:3	7:17,20,22 8:3,5,7,9	goal 24:17,24 50:3	grouped 12:1
focused 23:12	8:13,15,16,20,22	59:9	grouping 11:5
focusing 58:4,5	9:9 11:16,17 12:7	<b>goes</b> 33:21 43:10	groups 11:5,7,19,20
folks 48:16,20	12:13 14:2 15:5	60:4 70:22	15:13 77:6
follow 18:3 43:16,17	19:3 20:12,15 25:5	<b>going</b> 6:5,25 7:4	guard 20:2
10110 W 10.5 +5.10,17	28:14 30:3 33:24	10:2,18,23,24,25	<b>5uai u</b> 20.2
		10.2,10,23,24,23	

## [guess - interest]

C 14	5 4 41 22 66 20	1 . 45.16	• • • • • • • •
guess 6:14	hear 5:4 41:23 66:20	housing 45:16	incorporate 45:3
guidance 18:18 23:5	heard 18:19 27:9	howe 26:16	incorrectly 65:16
<b>guys</b> 72:23	36:11	hughes 4:4 7:10,10	incredibly 46:24
h	hearing 34:5 41:14	i	independent 66:23
<b>h</b> 33:16	41:21 42:24 43:4	<b>ida</b> 4:3 9:13	69:23
hammer 29:15	51:7,8,8 53:3 65:22	idea 27:10 47:18,21	independently 70:9
hammers 29:16	65:25 66:1,3	ideas 37:1	<b>indian</b> 1:1 2:1,16
hand 45:4 67:24	hearings 40:19	identified 24:20	5:6 14:2 15:6 19:2,3
handle 36:25 40:21	heavily 18:21	25:20 59:16	19:5,8,13 36:3
40:22 44:25 45:16	<b>heidi</b> 3:10 11:12	<b>identify</b> 11:10	indians 4:25 11:14
handled 31:20 40:16	<b>held</b> 40:19	identity 52:11 53:14	indicated 16:16
42:11	<b>hello</b> 26:5	igra 22:17 28:15,17	indicator 25:14
handling 22:3 42:9	help 17:5 57:2 70:5	30:2 31:16 67:16	individual 23:19
hansen 3:23 7:5,5	72:21	68:3 69:5 70:21	50:10 69:4
happen 11:3 19:6,14	helping 10:11	71:12 72:1	individuals 36:5
19:21 31:7 38:5	herb 8:25	<b>ii</b> 12:13 56:21 64:16	42:13 55:10,14
	hey 25:6 38:22		67:19 74:8
41:20 47:15,19,20	65:17	70:20 72:2	industry 15:5
55:6 65:5 77:9	hindered 53:13	illustrate 64:12	inform 67:4
happened 7:3,3 25:7	hire 38:13	imagine 23:23 54:3	information 15:5
35:16 40:7	<b>hired</b> 75:11	immediately 38:5	19:10 25:4,9,12,13
happening 62:8	history 61:24	77:9	25:16,19 27:5,7
happens 35:11,12	hoffman 4:3 9:13,13	<b>impact</b> 14:3	33:20 37:13,14
35:15 44:16,16	hold 62:23	implement 73:10	40:21 41:7 42:9,10
happy 5:23,24 56:24	holidays 35:6 47:5	implies 56:5	42:12,12 52:6,24
hard 9:25 22:16	47:13	importance 16:7	54:24 55:2 57:17,18
46:8 57:11 60:6	home 5:10 77:7	important 14:16	58:12 62:12,14
77:12	honest 63:19,21	22:21 23:7 44:12,15	63:11,12,14 64:17
harteis 3:24 8:8,8	hope 27:4 60:2,5	45:23 46:4,24 62:7	65:15,16 67:11 70:5
harvey 4:2 8:2,2	hoped 50:5	importantly 63:23	77:21,23
60:11,11 61:2,17	hopefully 14:14	<b>include</b> 11:21 13:4	<b>initiation</b> 50:19
hause 3:25 7:19,19	17:4 18:3 27:12	14:4,25 15:1,15	input 14:12
hay 3:4 10:4,4 15:22	28:24 34:24 36:7	16:17,25 42:12	instance 25:11 37:5
15:25 17:18 24:10	37:2 39:3,14,21	50:15 59:23 65:8	40:6,10 67:5
26:20 28:6 30:16	41:2 43:25 46:19	68:5	<b>instances</b> 19:11
31:13 33:1,4 47:1		<b>included</b> 13:1 17:22	37:20 38:12 40:6
48:5,19 49:18 50:25	59:18 60:8 64:19	59:24 61:11 66:13	
53:19 54:16,18	66:9,17	<b>includes</b> 11:22,23	42:23
55:23 56:12,17,19	hopes 24:18	12:4,12 50:6 61:21	institutional 54:25
61:18 68:19 70:4	hoping 23:11 34:6	62:19	intended 30:7
71:23 73:23 75:12	58:25	including 14:1	intent 46:22 53:21
health 18:10,14	hopper 5:19	28:17 35:5 64:15	interact 59:11 66:15
20:25 21:4,14 22:15	hotel 6:9,18	68:17	interaction 62:17
heap 3:15 9:17,17	hours 75:8,8	incomplete 65:1	interest 12:10 14:14
·	house 45:13,14	-	35:21 37:22 38:2
			49:22 54:20

## [interested - legislator]

interested 13:13	issue 19:22,23 20:9	junior 7:25	69:19,24 70:7,19,20
18:21 36:8 79:11	25:15,25 27:8,17	jurisdiction 54:4	71:25 72:5,8,11
interesting 30:11	28:4 29:19 35:11	jurisdictions 53:1	73:6,7,21,22 74:12
interests 14:3	36:14 40:24 41:16	k	74:19 75:14,14,18
interim 59:15	42:1,7 54:2 55:20	<b>karl</b> 4:18 7:15	75:23 76:6,10,11,15
<b>interior</b> 31:9 38:15	57:3 65:20 66:1	karst 4:5 8:10,10	77:2,16,23
interior's 38:6	71:19,22 72:7	keep 10:11 13:21	<b>knowns</b> 44:10
internal 8:12 16:20	<b>issued</b> 18:8 20:16,20	52:11,23 77:19	knows 44:19
62:20 69:22 70:1	26:24 27:14 35:12	kelly 3:25 7:19	1
71:7 73:21	39:12 50:7,16 51:5	kept 57:24	lacking 25:9,10
internally 59:2	54:8,14,14	<b>key</b> 12:5,7 49:19,20	lael 3:19 8:18
73:11	issues 22:22 23:2	keywords 24:4	laid 31:25
<b>interpret</b> 69:8 73:1	24:20 30:6 32:5	<b>keyworus</b> 24.4 <b>khuu</b> 10:9	lands 19:2,6,8,13
interpreted 32:19	36:2,9 39:9,21 46:3	kim 10:9	lane 22:12,17
interruption 5:16	60:14	<b>kind</b> 5:14 11:5 16:4	language 30:5,11
intervene 37:25	issuing 27:12,16	24:7 29:15 46:21	47:18 54:12 55:25
intervention 41:5,9	j	59:21 61:18 62:5,6	64:7,10 67:1 68:5
interviewed 52:12	<b>jack</b> 3:20 7:25 45:15	62:14 70:22 71:10	large 45:20 75:23
interviewing 52:19	jacqueline 1:21 2:18	75:17	late 5:21
<b>introduce</b> 6:23 10:2	79:18	<b>kinds</b> 71:10 75:6	lately 32:15,16
48:21	james 3:16 9:20	know 6:20,21 7:3	laurel 3:13 7:9
introduced 26:17	jan 49:7	10:16,19 12:18	law 37:14 38:7,15
45:8	janice 3:11 9:11	14:20 15:11 17:14	45:18 70:22
introduction 48:16	janitors 68:23	18:18 19:22,25 20:2	laws 18:13,16 20:25
introductions 5:23	january 1:17 2:18	20:4,6 23:20,21,24	64:14 70:13 71:21
6:4	5:1,13 16:11	24:18 25:13 26:9,15	72:20
invariably 26:10	<b>jason</b> 4:7 48:25	26:16 27:10,22,24	lawyer 45:8
investigation 52:12	jerry 4:12 8:20	30:13,21 31:3,4,13	lawyers 45:15
52:16 54:24 56:6	job 1:24 45:1 46:12	32:3,17 34:8,12,16	lay 12:16 65:13
64:3,4	54:6 69:2	34:17 35:6 36:8,13	laying 34:6
investigation's 56:4	joe 4:9 8:22	37:4,10 39:1,17	lead 30:20 44:5
investigations 12:5	john 3:4 4:20 7:17	40:19 41:4 42:21,21	leaders 10:18
12:9 49:19,21 54:19	9:3 10:4 15:22	43:8,11 44:7,15	leadership 6:22
62:21,22 73:25	26:19 48:14 49:17	45:19 47:14,15	10:19
investigative 50:13	68:12 72:21 73:24	51:24 52:4,15 53:7	leaderships 6:21
50:20 51:11	joining 11:9	53:10,14,21 54:9,10	leave 12:19 24:20
investigator 52:10	jon 4:21	54:12,13 55:9 56:1	40:10
investigators 74:9	jon 4:21 joseph 4:17 9:9	56:8,22 57:4,8,8,9	40:10 leaves 43:20
investors 55:1	joyce 4:22 9:15	57:22 58:4,15,16,17	leaving 74:16
<b>involve</b> 66:16	jr 3:20 7:25		left 48:22 67:15
<b>involved</b> 36:15,16	0	58:19 59:20 60:5,7	
37:23 38:1 66:14	<b>judge</b> 38:7 45:10	60:14 61:25 62:4,21	legal 8:10 11:15
involvement 15:19	55:10	64:2,6 65:17 66:16	47:5
isleta 77:11	<b>judges</b> 38:15	67:17,22 68:15,17	legislator 9:18
		68:20,20 69:17,18	

## [legitimate - morning]

		• • • • • • • • • •	1
legitimate 54:7	55:14 62:1,2,3,4	majority 15:10	mechanism 22:3
70:11	63:3,9 67:10 68:22	35:13	34:3
<b>les</b> 4:19 8:4	70:6 71:11 73:10	making 14:11 23:20	<b>meet</b> 29:19 36:14
leslie 49:9	75:4,20 76:4 77:4	33:18 36:24 46:20	63:18 70:1
letter 19:18 25:2,3	looked 22:10 45:22	58:21 60:24 61:5,8	meeting 7:1 16:12
25:11 26:21,21,24	57:15 65:17 76:6	71:2 72:23 73:16	17:11 24:3 29:21
27:23,23 30:1	looking 13:6,8,8	maldonado 4:7	61:9
letters 15:1 25:17	30:14 48:5 56:20	48:25,25	<b>meetings</b> 13:15,18
26:20 27:12,15 28:4	57:25 58:22 61:9,23	management 12:5,8	<b>member</b> 5:9,20 8:25
28:4	61:24 63:2 64:1	12:10,11 33:10,25	members 25:6
<b>level</b> 10:14	68:19 71:20 72:19	36:15 39:24 40:4	<b>mention</b> 45:8 71:4
levels 68:21	73:9,11,19 77:16,17	49:20,23,23 54:20	mentioned 20:23
license 11:21 17:19	looks 63:18	54:21 56:3 74:19	26:20 37:4,17 42:15
18:8 19:7 20:16,20	lot 5:18 13:13 18:5	76:1	61:19 64:21
21:21 50:7,16 51:5	18:24 19:1,10 21:6	manager 8:8	<b>merit</b> 75:2
51:7,9 53:2,23 54:2	21:8,17 25:19 31:14	mandate 53:13	message 73:17
54:7,10,12,14,14	35:20 36:8 38:25	mandated 52:22	<b>met</b> 64:12
66:24 74:4	39:13,15 43:11,19	mandates 52:10	<b>mexico</b> 77:11
licensed 76:10	43:20,21 44:1 45:25	63:1	michael 4:14 6:1
licensee 50:11 51:6	46:8,9,16 47:23	manner 18:10 21:4	michelle 3:14 11:13
licenses 12:7 20:12	51:2,2,3 52:14	21:13 22:19 37:14	microphone 48:17
49:20	56:23 57:14 58:15	42:11	48:18
licensing 8:15 19:5	59:3,22,23 60:4,5,6	manpower 74:22	mics 59:23,24 64:15
19:20 20:10,12,15	60:7 63:10 66:7	manuel 7:8 51:15	mind 32:20 77:19
24:5 50:4 52:24	67:18,18 70:4 71:7	60:22 68:13	minds 25:20
64:3	76:3	manufacturers 36:4	mirror 64:7
<b>limited</b> 28:17 37:18	lots 17:4 41:11	march 77:17,20	mirrored 67:2
37:19 39:16,17 41:5	58:10	material 15:11 51:2	misconception
41:8 67:17	loud 18:19	62:11 67:4,9	20:11
line 13:24 17:5	love 44:12	<b>materials</b> 50:13,14	mislead 31:2
29:11	lowering 58:14	matter 16:20 20:21	missed 23:15
list 18:13 20:24	lucky 74:13,14	matters 14:3	missing 10:18 38:17
44:24 51:21 52:1	luiseno 4:25	<b>mayhem</b> 73:16	misspending 71:9
69:15,18,22 70:2		mazzetti 4:8 8:6,6	mobile 48:17
listed 21:9	m	mc 48:23	moment 44:7
listing 69:11 74:1	machine 79:7	mean 26:22 30:10	monday 1:17 2:18
lists 18:16 64:11	<b>magee</b> 48:23	34:1 37:19 47:12	5:1
little 4:6 6:10,15	mail 20:21 23:19	48:2 56:1 57:8	money 42:21
8:12,12 27:21 30:7	76:23	68:17 69:15 70:13	monitoring 64:3
51:17 66:5	<b>main</b> 22:10	72:19	<b>monitors</b> 64:14,15
long 14:20 43:11	maintain 62:22	meanings 28:1	months 5:14 67:7
46:3 54:5 57:17	maintained 58:21	means 18:18 19:17	morning 5:7,21,24
longer 18:15 20:24	maintenance 21:3	26:24 37:18	6:4,7,9,25 7:15,19
look 26:14 31:13	21:12	meant 27:22	7:23 8:18 9:19 11:8
32:24 38:22 48:1			11:18 15:1 26:6
32.24 30:22 40:1			11.10 13:1 20:0

## [morning - ordinance]

48:7,9 49:2,7 72:17	<b>nigc</b> 3:4 9:25 18:7	0	64:12,20,23 65:1,4
<b>motion</b> 38:18,21	18:13 19:2 20:10,12	o'clock 74:24	65:11,19,21,24
<b>motions</b> 34:11,16,21	21:25 27:2 30:3,24	oath 79:6	66:22 67:1 70:10
34:24 35:1 37:18,18	31:16 33:6 50:4,10	objecting 55:21	75:3 76:14 77:8
37:25 38:3,16,20,24	50:13,18 51:4,8,10	objection 51:6	oklahoma 5:10
38:25 39:11,25	52:16 53:17 65:15	objections 40:22	45:12
<b>move</b> 17:5 24:11	65:16 67:16 71:5,8	<b>objective</b> 22:10 29:7	<b>once</b> 14:9 20:16
26:3 33:2 46:17	nigc's 70:2	29:20	41:20 61:7,14
48:17,22 51:13	<b>night</b> 74:25		<b>ones</b> 16:4 20:9 44:25
54:18	nj <b>371982</b> 1:24	obligate 14:1	<b>online</b> 17:25
<b>moved</b> 21:12,17	<b>norm</b> 3:17,23 7:5,7	obligation 22:18	<b>open</b> 13:18 26:1
54:6	51:13,14 56:16	<b>obviously</b> 22:14	27:12 36:19 37:6
<b>moving</b> 10:12	60:22 68:12 69:5	55:5 66:2 67:24	40:11 48:13 65:14
<b>murillo</b> 4:9 8:22,22	<b>normal</b> 74:10	68:22 69:12 70:15	65:18 66:19 67:15
n	normally 13:10	70:21	74:17
	47:14	occurred 25:20 30:4	opening 18:7 19:15
name 5:4,5 68:15	<b>north</b> 2:16 77:10	occurring 30:4	19:16 20:1,3
69:4,6 79:14	northern 3:5	october 5:12 16:9	operate 16:5 63:4
names 69:16,19	note 11:1	17:9	operated 18:9
<b>nation</b> 5:9 9:21	noted 46:7	odd 40:4	operates 58:1
49:10	notes 7:1	offer 18:17	operation 21:3,13
<b>national</b> 1:1 2:1 5:6	<b>notice</b> 11:4 14:11	office 10:4 38:6	58:5,9 62:5 63:21
14:2 54:25 55:11	18:7 19:16 21:19,21	44:22 46:7 59:4	63:22 64:18
navigate 34:8 45:23	21:24 24:7 25:24	60:6 64:21,21 65:2	<b>operational</b> 61:21
necessary 19:12	27:11,23 28:18 30:1	66:13	operations 61:25
<b>need</b> 12:19 16:5	33:18 36:23,23 39:3	official 26:22,23	operators 36:4
17:7 19:10 21:23	39:14 50:17 51:6	34:5,14 38:3,8,9,12	opinion 19:3,8
23:4,22 37:10 42:23	60:23 61:7 72:1	38:19 40:15,20,22	30:25 32:12
44:18 46:18 52:4	noticed 24:2	41:1,10,18,22,23	opinions 19:11,13
62:20 67:20 68:18	notices 34:2 48:13	42:1,6,16,19 43:1,3	51:20
69:3 70:2,11,18	notification 51:4	44:15	opportunity 12:22
<b>needed</b> 14:8 53:18	notifications 11:21	officially 5:20	25:15,21 27:7 29:8
57:17 65:7 66:9	17:19 19:21	officials 12:5,8	29:18 36:5 48:21
68:2		49:21	
needing 68:15	<b>notify</b> 51:8,10 53:23 64:25	<b>oftentimes</b> 19:9 25:4	51:16 53:4 59:11,13
needs 20:22		25:9 36:1 42:9,20	59:17 65:12,23
neither 27:15 79:10	<b>nov</b> 27:14,16,17	<b>oh</b> 48:15 75:10	76:21
nevada 3:6	<b>novel</b> 37:1	okay 17:18 18:11	option 42:25
never 24:19 28:7	<b>november</b> 11:6	19:8 20:17 24:9	options 34:8
41:19 52:16 71:18	<b>number</b> 24:17 31:6	26:22 27:18 28:8	oral 41:21 42:23
<b>new</b> 11:9 12:1 17:5	32:5 51:24 52:21	31:15 33:5,17 35:15	order 10:12 13:24
18:7 19:15,16 20:1	54:7 71:6 74:1	35:25 37:25 38:20	28:19 37:6
20:2 48:15 77:11	75:23 76:15	41:7 49:18 50:8,11	orders 34:2 41:11
<b>nice</b> 13:9		50:14,16 51:5,9	43:5
		53:20 56:19 62:6	ordinance 30:4 31:4
			31:19,21 32:6 33:25

## [ordinance - pretty]

37:21,22,24 63:8,13	49:21 50:6,18 52:10	periods 38:23,24	policies 13:14
73:6,7	53:22 54:18 58:1	39:1,2 66:18	<b>policy</b> 10:14 13:23
ordinances 17:7	60:1 67:5 69:9 71:1	permanent 41:13	23:14 29:7 36:18
28:16 31:18 33:10	parte 36:12,25	43:6,6	53:10,10,11,12
39:7,8	46:15	person 28:13 44:13	61:10
org 68:14 69:1,3	participate 13:17,21	52:11,25	political 71:7
organizational	participation 37:19	personal 51:25 74:1	<b>portion</b> 32:14 45:20
61:25	37:20 39:16,17	74:7,20 75:1,25	47:9
original 6:16	particular 15:3,19	personally 74:21	position 59:5
originated 76:9	23:24 29:6 44:6	75:2	positions 69:2
origins 76:5	45:5,24 52:25 59:4	persons 12:9 49:22	positive 66:2
orosco 4:10 7:21,21	59:22 76:5	54:19	possession 57:19
outcome 38:2	particularly 23:11	petition 37:22 59:14	possibly 39:1 58:23
outline 33:23	<b>parties</b> 36:8 40:4	64:24 65:10 66:6	62:13
outside 10:8 18:23	41:23 42:20 55:6	71:14	potential 25:1
38:13 69:23,25	79:12	phase 26:13	potentially 48:2
outweighs 52:6	partners 75:17	philip 4:10 7:21	poust 4:13 8:24,24
overall 29:7	parts 54:15 77:18	phonetically 49:9	powerpoint 10:25
oversee 62:10	party 37:21 38:1	69:10	12:16 15:23 18:3
<b>owned</b> 54:25	42:23 43:2,3 44:14	physically 63:21	<b>powers</b> 67:17
р	pat 6:15	<b>picayune</b> 8:10 11:14	practical 69:15
	pay 37:2 51:22	11:16 49:3,11	<b>practice</b> 27:19,20
packet 33:20 48:11	<b>pechanga</b> 49:1,4,6	<b>pick</b> 62:11	33:6 37:18
50:21 66:20	pechanga's 53:10	<b>pilot</b> 12:7,8 50:1,6	practiced 34:15
packets 17:23 18:1	peebles 4:12 8:20,20	50:18,23 76:8	39:25
pages 1:25 14:21	people 11:9 15:7	place 22:3,14,18,23	practices 46:10
<b>paid</b> 56:3,6 <b>palm</b> 1:16 2:16 5:1	17:25 18:5 31:14	33:9 63:4 64:2	preamble 20:13
-	36:3 39:16 47:8	65:25 79:4	39:22
palms 7:5 8:22	48:15 52:19,21	placed 23:5 59:3	preclude 27:16
<b>palomares</b> 4:11 8:14 8:14	56:12 60:6 64:6	79:6	preliminary 27:3
	68:17 72:22 74:9,11	plan 71:2,3,9,17	65:20,22
<b>paper</b> 25:24 <b>paperwork</b> 22:23	74:12,24,25	plans 70:24	premise 32:14
23:3,5,9 50:3	perceive 72:23	<b>play</b> 24:1	prenotification
<b>paragraph</b> 52:10	perceived 30:21	playing 46:22	24:25
53:18,21 55:24	<b>percent</b> 19:4 67:8	please 7:14 10:21	preparing 61:9
<b>part</b> 5:7,18 11:22,23	75:9	12:21,23	<b>present</b> 7:2,4 35:14
· · · ·	perform 62:13	<b>plus</b> 75:24	35:14
11:24,24 12:1,1,4,6	performing 65:7	<b>pmos</b> 49:19	presiding 34:5,14
12:7,9,12 14:16	<b>period</b> 16:10 17:10	<b>point</b> 16:25 20:18	38:3,7,9,12,19
16:3,5 17:6,7,15,18	17:21 22:4 24:14	27:18 29:14 30:19	40:15,20,21 41:1,10
23:7 24:11,13,21	28:25 33:21 46:20	36:21 37:12 49:15	41:18,22,22 42:1,6
28:6,7,7 29:6 33:17	49:25 59:15 60:15	53:25 62:14 65:13	42:16,18 43:1,3
33:22 35:2,19 39:7	61:15 62:24 76:17	66:5 73:2	44:14
39:23 40:14,17 42:5	77:14,14	pointed 29:25 57:18	pretty 33:15 34:21
42:10 47:4 48:6		76:18	34:23 65:14,18

## [pretty - recordkeeping]

75:19	processes 57:12	<b>pueblos</b> 45:10	reading 15:10 30:18
previous 53:7	62:16 73:22 75:5	<b>purpose</b> 55:9 74:3	43:11
previously 21:8	prodding 46:9	<b>put</b> 6:14 7:2 11:4	<b>reads</b> 15:12
<b>prieto</b> 4:14 6:1,2,7	product 46:9	12:14 14:10,19,24	real 10:23 43:17
primarily 12:6	profession 45:9	15:3 16:4 17:1,8	realize 72:24
13:13	professional 51:25	28:9 30:9 38:23	really 5:14,18 19:12
<b>primary</b> 12:5,8	program 12:7,8	43:12 46:8,10 57:7	19:25 20:17 22:11
13:25 49:20	50:2,6,18,23 76:8	62:25 64:2 65:8	22:16 23:2,4 34:13
<b>primmer</b> 4:15 8:16	promises 47:25	72:22 77:21,22,22	44:12,22,22,25 45:1
8:16	<b>proper</b> 24:7 35:5	<b>puts</b> 17:24	45:2,23 46:14 52:6
<b>prior</b> 6:12 18:12	39:6	putting 20:21	52:6 54:8 58:2 73:2
50:13 51:5 59:3,3	proposal 52:9 61:7	q	reason 13:25 29:18
66:6,24 79:5	<b>proposed</b> 14:11,14	question 23:17	54:1 70:11
priorities 13:12	15:15 16:9 17:9,20	30:17 32:4,8 40:2	reasonable 20:19
priority 13:12	18:14 20:7 33:18	71:24 72:21 76:11	<b>reasons</b> 54:7,13 71:4
<b>probably</b> 16:21,25	36:23 39:14 41:1,8	questions 10:14	rebecca 3:24 8:8
18:23 21:10 23:23	41:14 42:13 43:18	17:4 24:10 28:3	<b>recall</b> 71:23
25:18 33:6 38:13	46:20 48:13 51:19	29:2 33:1 44:2 46:9	receive 16:17 20:18
55:12 68:1 72:14	proposes 60:23	47:1 64:6 70:16	21:19 25:4 39:11,13
74:4,5 76:2	proposing 54:22	quickly 10:23 16:8	39:15 40:20 51:4
problem 24:21	prosecution 64:5,7	48:6	60:5 64:24
52:22 57:10 69:9	protecting 18:17	quinault 9:21	received 19:1 20:8
72:13	protects 18:10 21:4	quite 5:11 19:9 26:3	21:6 25:14 27:6,10
problems 29:9	21:14	77:25	35:20 38:25 40:2
57:12 59:12,16 66:4	<b>proud</b> 58:17		41:4,11 42:8 51:1
71:7	<b>provide</b> 25:16 28:25	r	55:19,20 57:4,6,14
procedures 50:7,16	36:5 37:16 50:12	<b>r</b> 1:21 2:18 79:18	58:10 60:4 63:10
69:25	51:10 52:15 65:3	<b>raised</b> 5:10 36:2	66:7 67:17 72:7
proceed 26:18	68:6 76:22	72:7	receiving 41:17 51:5
proceedings 1:15	provided 60:13	<b>raises</b> 70:16	65:1,22 66:25
2:15 5:16 37:23	<b>provides</b> 34:3 41:2	<b>ramos</b> 49:6,6	recess 48:8
40:14 79:3,5,7	41:14 65:12 67:16	rancheria 8:11	recommendation
process 14:17 18:20	67:24	11:14,16 49:3,11	65:3,9
19:2 27:1,13 31:1,2	providing 30:1	range 34:21,23	recommended
33:9,11,24 34:8	provision 28:14	<b>rap</b> 31:8,9,19 32:2	40:22 41:17 42:2,3
36:6 41:3 42:21	provisions 37:15	71:16,19 72:13,16	reconsideration
43:14,15,16,17 44:1	<b>public</b> 13:18 16:12	<b>raps</b> 30:20 31:8,11	38:20
44:16 46:23 52:25	17:11 18:10 20:25	31:15,17,18 32:12	record 6:23 12:21
53:1,6,12,12 55:3	21:4,14 25:6 30:9	72:5	13:1 37:9,11,16
56:23 57:5,11,13,16	76:18	<b>ray</b> 48:23	38:16,17 39:12
57:17,22 58:7,22	published 16:9,13	<b>reach</b> 77:18	40:20 42:24 63:8
59:8,10,18 61:13	17:21 24:13 33:19	read 7:3 13:2 14:22	79:6
62:15 64:19 65:23	39:3 49:24 51:18	15:9,11,13 32:18	recordkeeping
66:4,8,16 67:6,18	52:8 55:18 60:3	34:18 56:8 59:20	62:21
68:7 73:21		76:21	

records 40:1 62:23	regulator 30:18	requesting 55:7	38:25 55:4 62:11,12
recount 23:14	67:13	requests 43:3	64:22 66:14 69:17
reduce 23:2,3 54:23	regulators 50:9 62:2	require         51:21         75:6         69:18         70:18         71:	
<b>refer</b> 31:18	62:4,18	required 18:12 19:2 73:15	
reference 67:16	regulatory 1:2 2:2	20:24 21:1,22 30:22	reviewed 55:2
74:20 75:1	5:8 6:22 7:21 11:4	52:1 59:21,25	<b>reviewing</b> 58:7 65:6
references 74:1,12	19:3 26:13 31:25	requirement 18:15	65:15 72:6
75:6,25	61:20 62:1 63:4	60:1 76:5	<b>reviews</b> 64:17
reflect 24:21	64:14 66:24 67:8,15	requirements 55:8	revised 71:17
reflected 14:14	69:8	58:11 61:22 62:19	revising 56:23
refundable 56:13	<b>reiterate</b> 60:21,23	66:12,22	<b>revisions</b> 14:8 61:9
<b>reg</b> 31:4 44:6 73:20	reiterating 67:21	requires 18:9 69:6	reword 54:1
region 32:22 45:12	related 24:12	74:20	rewrite 33:15 34:25
73:13,14,15	relates 12:6	resolution 29:19	58:6
regional 3:5 10:6	relative 79:11	resolutions 28:16	<b>richard</b> 3:7 4:6 8:12
regions 77:19	relevant 15:20	<b>resolve</b> 27:8 46:14	11:15
<b>register</b> 17:13,15,24	76:12	resort 2:15 6:13	<b>rid</b> 58:11 74:3
48:13 55:18 61:16	relied 53:5	respectfully 53:17	<b>right</b> 22:6 32:8 48:7
76:16	relocated 54:6	respond 22:19 25:16	51:6 56:15 61:14
regroup 12:3	relying 52:24 53:14	59:17 65:13,19	65:17 75:13 77:15
<b>regs</b> 44:6 72:19	remaining 56:9	response 9:23 16:18	<b>rights</b> 34:12 35:12
73:20	remember 55:21	22:8,20 28:5 29:4	43:24
regulate 21:8	<b>remind</b> 13:15	33:3 53:7 54:17	<b>rincon</b> 4:25 7:9 8:4
regulated 55:1,11	renewals 11:21	56:18	8:6,9,19 12:24
56:25 57:5 58:16,17	17:19	responses 39:6	road 13:25 44:19
70:14	renewing 75:24	responsibilities	roadmap 68:7
regulates 58:2	repealing 33:17	68:25	<b>rob</b> 3:22 7:23
regulating 71:1	<b>report</b> 51:11 65:3,8	responsibility 12:11	<b>robert</b> 3:21 49:4
regulation 12:12	65:13	49:23 54:21	<b>rock</b> 77:12
20:6,7 21:18 34:25	reported 1:21	<b>rest</b> 46:17	<b>role</b> 20:5 32:7 40:23
52:3 53:9 56:21	<b>reporter</b> 11:11 79:2	restate 68:3	41:1
57:25 58:5,8 59:1,4	<b>reporter's</b> 1:15 2:15	restrictive 35:24	<b>rolled</b> 70:23
62:3,7 64:21 65:3	reporting 66:22	results 50:9,17,20	<b>ronde</b> 7:24 8:1,3
66:13,18,25 67:2,17	represent 43:8	52:18	57:1 60:12
68:9 71:15 72:12	representative	rethink 54:1	rosiers 7:7 51:14
73:15	13:20	returned 56:2,9	53:20 55:22,24
regulations 10:15	represented 41:24	<b>reveal</b> 53:14	56:15 68:12 69:1,14
11:5 14:6,8 21:9,10	41:25 42:1 45:9	<b>revenue</b> 64:16 70:24	69:22 70:10 75:13
21:15 27:21 28:15	representing 12:24	71:8,12 72:13	<b>round</b> 61:1
28:16 30:3 31:10,16	republished 61:11	<b>revenues</b> 31:23,24	<b>route</b> 26:7
33:7,8,14 34:12,18	reputation 63:20	63:20 64:3 70:23	roybal 4:16 9:2,2
38:23 48:12 50:5	request 19:17 41:21	<b>review</b> 1:2 2:2 5:8	<b>rule</b> 14:11,14 15:3
58:6,23 59:20,22	53:17 65:21 66:3	11:4 14:22 15:8,9	15:15 16:3,9,12,13
63:7,25 64:15 68:6	requested 40:25	17:6 19:17 24:3	16:16 17:5,9,11,15
70:13 71:21	43:3 70:5	26:14 30:8 35:8	17:20,23 18:4,6,12
/0:13 /1:21	43:3 /0:5	26:14 30:8 35:8	1/:20,23 18:4,6,12

	1		
18:15 22:10 33:18	section 21:16 28:7	shorter 66:5	75:8
36:24 37:18 39:14	33:4,5 35:3 39:7,9	shorthand 79:1,7	spends 10:10,13
41:1,8,14 42:13	42:15,16 43:10 45:5	<b>shut</b> 47:7	13:13
43:18,20 46:20	47:2 51:13,19 56:19	side 13:7,9 22:15	<b>spent</b> 14:7 15:16
48:14 51:19 60:19	67:16,19 68:2	similar 55:12	60:7
61:6	sections 29:1 33:8	simply 18:16 20:20	spoken 23:7
<b>rules</b> 16:2 34:6	35:20 44:11 68:8	36:1 40:16 41:6	<b>spouse</b> 52:20
36:21 44:19 45:22	<b>secure</b> 62:23	42:17 50:19 54:13	spouses 75:16
46:18 47:14	<b>see</b> 7:3 13:9 14:10	66:20 67:20	spread 33:8
rulings 34:22	39:8,24 48:1,16	sit 75:19 77:4	springs 1:16 2:16
<b>run</b> 10:23,25 11:7	51:18 56:24 61:4,10	site 62:13 65:7	5:1 11:17
15:23	63:3 68:14,15 71:11	sitting 10:20 45:25	stack 22:16
russell 3:8	73:6 75:20 76:4	74:10	staff 9:13,25 10:10
<b>résumé</b> 66:23	<b>self</b> 9:6 12:12 56:21	situations 40:12	16:21 17:2,3 26:8
résumés 69:11	56:25 57:5 58:16,17	43:2	26:15,17 27:22,24
S	59:1,4 64:21 65:3	six 67:7 74:5	30:13 44:21 46:7
	66:13,25 67:17 68:9	skipping 43:1	61:8 62:12,17 65:5
safe 63:20 77:6,24	70:14 71:1,15 72:12	slagley 4:18 7:15,15	66:17 68:24 70:5
safety 18:10,14 21:1	73:15	smaller 23:4	stage 36:20
21:5,15 22:15	seminole 29:22	soboba 7:10 8:21	<b>standard</b> 35:8 57:10
<b>salgado</b> 4:17 9:9,9	send 19:18,20 25:11	solely 34:4	standardize 28:1
san 7:8 51:15 60:22	69:24 77:21	somebody 75:16	standards 14:5
68:13	sends 26:10 73:17	76:3	43:24 58:7,14
<b>santa</b> 5:10	sense 10:13 11:6	somewhat 16:19	standpoint 19:24
sat 22:9	23:10 46:10,13	25:9 33:6 34:10,17	stands 37:8
satisfaction 39:4	47:24 69:20	38:9	stanley 4:19 8:4,4
saturday 47:5	sent 27:23,24	<b>soon</b> 61:6	start 6:18 7:4 11:2
saving 42:21	separate 33:9 60:1	sort 26:25,25 32:9	13:10 18:2 48:22
saw 73:5	serve 38:7,11 74:3	33:6 36:20 38:2	59:7 69:16
saying 25:6 32:16	service 35:5	<b>sound</b> 63:21	started 5:22 6:16
56:11 59:25 63:1	services 45:13	sounds 72:16	26:9 50:2 57:21
says 31:7,8 32:6	servicing 47:4	sources 74:23	starting 50:10,11
53:22 67:21,23	sessions 17:2	southwest 45:11	59:19
scenic 26:7	set 11:4,7 15:23,24	<b>spa</b> 2:15 6:9,13,17	state 38:171:25
schalansky 3:5 10:6	24:24 60:18,25	speak 69:10	79:2
10:6,9 50:24	64:20 69:7 77:5,5	special 20:21 58:20	statement 12:20
schedule 60:16	79:4	<b>specific</b> 32:3,4 63:1	24:23
schedules 12:19	sets 10:15	specifically 31:19	statements 12:25
26:9	setting 24:3 37:17	45:6 72:5,17	states 53:22 56:2
scope 54:23	shared 15:6	specificity 72:15	statute 31:7,8 67:1
screen 28:11	shelton 77:9	speculate 31:6	67:21,22
seasonal 21:22	shingle 11:17	speed 22:1 24:6	statutory 22:12 68:5
22:22	short 12:3 39:2	42:20	stay 35:16 37:5,6
second 11:22 38:22	55:15	spend 10:16,24	74:24
60:20		45:17 61:8 74:16,17	
		13.17 01.0 74.10,17	

steffani 3:3 5:5	51.15 57.17 59.10	65.25 71.11 75.4 20	things 5.10 17.1
	51:15 57:17 58:12	65:25 71:11 75:4,20	things 5:19 17:1
16:16 17:14 44:5	62:20 63:12,12,13	76:4	22:16 23:8 27:25
step 25:18	65:6 71:13	taken 28:13 31:12	28:20 31:6,13 34:21
steps 25:23 27:3	submitting 18:16	46:16 48:8 52:16 44:8,14 45:7	
52:16,17,18	50:9	79:3	70:6 71:11 73:4
<b>stevens</b> 3:2 26:6	subscribed 79:13	talk 30:13 31:16	77:4
29:5 31:5 32:9,15	substance 25:10	33:4 36:14 44:7	think 12:15 17:25
32:23 44:4 47:11,25	36:6	47:4 77:4	20:11,18 23:15
48:4,9,20 49:13	suggested 35:23	talked 14:9 30:14	24:11 29:6 31:6,14
72:10 73:2 75:3,18	48:1 67:12	72:17	32:8,20 34:19 35:21
76:2,14 77:8	suggesting 55:25	talking 14:8 31:4,15	36:10 37:14 38:12
stimulate 12:17	suitable 55:10	49:16 73:8	38:21 43:18 44:23
<b>stop</b> 27:18	sullivan 49:7,7	talks 35:3,4	47:12,13,21 50:21
<b>stops</b> 70:20	summary 15:15	<b>taxes</b> 45:16	50:21 51:3,23 52:4
story 75:11	sunday 47:5	taylor 49:9,9	52:5,5,14 53:21
straightforward	superfluous 67:22	<b>team</b> 62:12	54:8,10 55:19 56:1
63:19	supplement 38:16	<b>technical</b> 16:22,24	56:5,7 58:13,21
streamline 55:3	<b>supply</b> 67:11	technology 23:11,25	59:9 62:7 65:9 68:8
64:20	support 16:23,24	<b>tell</b> 74:14	68:19 70:4 72:2,10
<b>strict</b> 35:10	21:6 38:18	tells 44:12,14,17	72:14,18 74:14,21
strike 74:2	<b>suppose</b> 37:20	temporary 22:23	75:7,21
strived 24:16 33:13	supposed 70:25	28:19	thinking 56:12
strong 18:6	sure 12:22,23 15:17	tens 75:14	57:21 58:19 75:5
<b>structure</b> 25:10 63:4	16:13,25 17:3,15	<b>teri</b> 4:13 8:24	<b>third</b> 38:1
structured 61:19	23:20 26:17 36:21	term 5:14,18 38:9	thought 20:5,19
struggled 36:17	45:24 50:1 61:10	terminated 21:21	21:16 29:23 30:11
stuck 29:23	70:8 75:10 76:8	terminology 31:14	40:12 47:15 55:3
stuff 24:4 70:18	77:15	terms 30:9 56:23	74:7 75:22
71:10	surveillance 6:14	71:24 72:4	thoughts 55:13
subchapter 33:16	68:18	testifying 79:6	thousand 75:15
submission 40:16	suspect 52:25	thank 6:3,6,18,19	thousands 54:3
43:4 61:21 62:19	suspend 51:7	13:5 24:9 51:14	<b>three</b> 5:20 28:20
66:12	swear 76:9	53:18,19 56:16	33:10 74:13,15
submissions 11:22	system 62:5,21	61:17 68:12 69:21	thumbs 66:21,21
17:20 21:25 23:6	64:16	77:2,24,25 78:1	thursday 47:7
34:1,4 42:17 63:17	systems 53:1 64:1	thanks 26:19 31:5	<b>tie</b> 74:11
submit 18:13 19:7	70:8	32:25 48:4 75:3	<b>tier</b> 66:14
19:16 20:17,24 22:2	t	76:12	<b>time</b> 5:15 10:11,13
24:7 31:2 34:23		theory 38:18	10:17,24 12:21
41:6,9 42:10 47:18	table 6:20 10:19,21	thereof 79:9	13:14 14:7 15:17
50:20 51:2 58:12	13:7	thing 18:19 22:21	19:9 20:19 21:20
62:9 63:7 67:5	tahsuda 4:20	24:16 32:19 46:14	23:1 24:7 34:22
69:15 73:6	take 12:3 19:9 29:14	57:23 60:2 66:20	38:23,24 39:1,2,5
submitted 14:25	32:23 38:22 42:18	74:19 75:17	41:12 43:11 45:17
15:9 40:5 50:4,18	47:16 48:1,7 63:9		46:3 59:14 60:7,19

# [time - waiting]

61:8 66:6,17 68:5	transition 71:17	59:11,13,19 60:2	updated 17:8
69:15,16 74:8,10,16	<b>travel</b> 42:22 47:6	63:7,11 64:8,9	<b>use</b> 31:22 35:9 38:15
74:19,21 75:6,10,21	travels 77:6,24	66:17 67:14,24 68:7	64:7,8,9 67:14
76:1,6,24 77:2 79:4	<b>tribal</b> 1:3 2:3 6:8,21	71:6 73:21 77:10,22	70:23 71:12 74:7,23
timeframes 44:18	7:10,23,25 9:9	tried 34:18	usefulness 76:6
<b>timeline</b> 39:20 61:2	10:18,19 11:16,17	trigger 19:5 26:25	<b>uses</b> 70:24
<b>timely</b> 22:19	13:16 20:15 21:7	30:5 31:2 32:2	usually 15:2,10
<b>times</b> 22:20 74:5,23	25:5 27:13 28:15	trouble 52:6	v
77:17	34:7 40:8 48:24	troublesome 52:13	validity 47:23
<b>timing</b> 35:5 40:1	49:3,8 52:10 62:18	<b>truly</b> 39:5	value 52:5
43:7	63:6,24 64:13 66:24	<b>try</b> 77:19	vargas 49:4,4
<b>titles</b> 67:14	67:13	trying 22:1 44:20	various 23:2
today 6:25 7:2,4	tribally 54:25	73:4 74:11,24,25	various 23.2 vast 15:10
9:25 11:19 13:8	<b>tribe</b> 6:16 9:1,5,7,8	75:8 77:18	vast 15.10 verify 70:7,9
17:23 18:12 33:20	13:19,20 18:17	tshsuda 9:3	versed 45:18
56:20 57:1 68:8	19:15 20:16,20 21:2	tshuda 9:3	vice 3:3 5:4,5,17 6:3
<b>tomorrow</b> 17:21	22:24 25:11,14,21	<b>turn</b> 5:25 15:22 22:5	6:19 7:14,17 9:22
20:3 33:19 39:3	27:7 29:9 30:1,10	48:14	9:24 10:7,10 11:18
60:3	35:8 36:1,14 37:23	<b>twice</b> 57:20 63:14	13:2,6 17:17 22:9
ton 57:17 62:17	40:8 41:16,23 42:13	72:17	23:22 24:9 44:5
<b>toolbox</b> 29:17	43:8 47:22 50:13	<b>two</b> 27:12 35:13	45:4,7 47:17 56:16
tools 29:16	51:7,8,9 53:22,23	54:15 56:25 58:15	60:17 61:4 69:5,21
toro 4:21 7:17,17	54:24 56:25 58:1,2	69:17 74:15 75:24	77:1
<b>touch</b> 46:6	60:9 62:8,15 63:18	<b>type</b> 30:10 45:21	viejas 7:13 71:14
touched 46:3	64:2,11 65:4,11,12	63:3	view 17:25 60:8
tracie 3:2	65:19,21,23 66:3,5	<b>types</b> 22:24 27:12	views 15:6 36:11,24
track 75:8	66:15,25 67:3,8	28:22 34:24 35:1	37:3
<b>tracy</b> 3:12 7:12	69:6,12 70:12 71:8	47:13 57:21 64:1	violating 36:21
23:17,25 30:16,17	71:25 72:11,15	typically 38:4	violation 25:20,22
31:5 32:10,25 47:3	<b>tribe's</b> 30:3 32:6,14	u	25:24 27:5,11,17
47:17 70:22 73:3	37:21 65:9	<b>u.s.c.</b> 28:13,17	28:14,18 30:2 31:20
76:12	<b>tribes</b> 9:12,14,16,18	unclear 66:9	31:21 52:2 72:1
tracy's 75:13	13:17 14:3,8,10,12	undersigned 79:1	<b>violations</b> 34:2 64:5
<b>trade</b> 45:9	14:15 16:21 18:9,13	understand 30:18	72:2
trades 45:15	18:20 19:11,20 20:9	46:24 69:1 70:11	<b>visit</b> 62:13
trail 25:24	20:14,24 22:2 23:3	understandably	visits 65:7
training 16:22,24	23:4,13 24:17,20	46:16	volume 1:18
17:2	25:25 26:1 27:10,22	<b>unger</b> 69:10	<b>voluntary</b> 24:17,23
transcribe 7:1	29:25 31:7 32:21	unknowingly 31:1	26:2
transcribed 14:18	34:7 36:3,4,19 41:9	unknowns 43:22,23	<b>voted</b> 17:11
79:8	42:10 43:15,23	44:10	W
transcript 1:15 2:15	44:13 45:12,20 46:6	unsuitable 53:5	
transcription 79:9	46:24 50:12,22 51:2	<b>update</b> 50:14	wait 39:19
transcriptionist	57:16,18 58:10,12		waiting 26:8
6:24 13:4	58:15,22,25 59:10		

[waived - years]

·		
<b>waived</b> 41:15	weather 23:1	<b>write</b> 55:6
waiver 55:7	website 7:2 14:19	writing 51:15
want 5:6,25 6:10	15:4 77:21	written 12:25 14:24
10:11,22 11:1 12:20	week 29:22 47:23	14:25 34:1,4 40:16
12:21,23 13:2,15	74:18	42:17 43:4 46:11,15
14:22,22 16:7 18:22	weekends 35:6	57:25 76:22
19:11 20:1 26:3,12	weeks 61:13 69:17	wrong 13:7 26:11
36:19 40:5 42:11,20	weigh 36:6 51:22	27:2,6,11 37:13
44:4,21 45:4 47:17	welcome 5:7 6:5,9	42:6 73:17
53:25 54:9,10,11	6:17 10:21 26:12	wrongly 38:21
60:21,22 62:1,2,3,4	49:13 60:24	y
63:3,6 65:14,18	welcoming 5:23	
66:3 67:10 68:6	welfare 18:11	yeah 75:10
70:12 73:14,17	welmas 7:19	year 6:12 16:10,11
74:25 75:15 76:25	went 43:12 71:18	22:4 23:1 24:14,15
wanted 15:25 22:18	whereof 79:13	29:1 33:21 49:25
27:20 28:22 30:12	wide 34:21,23 65:14	69:23
32:12 39:16 40:10	willie 49:6	years 5:12 6:13
44:8 57:9,16 59:6	<b>winter</b> 77:19	27:24 35:22 38:14
61:19	wish 44:24 75:11	44:24 50:2 62:24
wanting 43:13,14	77:6	71:6,6,14 75:24
wants 68:10	withdraw 54:4,4	
warning 25:2,17	59:13 66:5 71:16	
26:21 27:23 30:1	witness 53:4 79:13	
washington 77:9	witnesses 79:5	
waste 74:21,22	wondering 60:13,15	
water 45:16	70:2 71:21	
way 7:4 19:25 24:6	wood 4:22 9:15,15	
25:24 28:1 32:18	<b>word</b> 64:6	
38:14 40:12 42:21	wording 30:15	
46:15 47:21 48:22	work 10:1,2,15	
55:1 66:2 74:2	22:16 23:22 44:14	
we've 5:19,25 11:3,4	45:24 46:19 50:10	
11:7,9 12:14 13:25	50:11 72:24 74:8	
15:8 16:2 21:11	75:7	
22:20 24:24 26:17	worked 46:8 50:21	
27:9,9 29:17,17	56:22 60:6	
31:12 34:24 38:23	working 10:14,15	
38:25 39:21 40:6	16:2 56:14 66:17	
43:2,4,7 45:2 46:16	74:9,23 77:13	
47:15 48:5 59:2,13	works 43:14	
59:15 63:10 66:9,18	<b>world</b> 74:2	
67:15 68:4,8,10	worried 32:17	
69:7 75:12	wrap 76:25	