		Page 1
1	NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION	
2		
	PORTLAND REGION	
3		
4	REGULATORY REVIEW CONSULTATION	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	LITTLE CREEK RESORT & CASINO	
10		
11	February 16, 2012	
12		
13	Shelton, Washington	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	Job No. NJ381812	

Page 2 1 BE IT REMEMBERED that on Thursday, February 2 16, 2012, at Little Creek Resort & Casino, 91 West State Route 108, Shelton, Washington, at 2:50 p.m., before 3 BARBARA L. BRACE, CCR, RPR, Certified Court Reporter, the 4 5 following proceedings were had, to wit: 6 7 8 9 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: So now we will 10 need everybody to speak into the microphone. You'll need to state your name and which tribe you're with if you 11 12 have comments. 13 So what we'll do is move on to Group 4, and that 14 should be next in line in your packet. 15 MR. ROBERTS: We're going to talk 16 about Parts 556 and 558 dealing with the background 17 investigations and then gaming licenses for key employees 18 and primary management officials, and then also Part 537, 19 background investigations for those entities that have a financial interest in or responsibility for a management 20 21 contract. 22 All of these proposals were published on December 23 22, and so the comment period closes very shortly, next 24 week. 25 So 556 attempts to formalize what the Commission had

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

been doing with the pilot program for some time, and the
 Commission circulated a preliminary draft for comments
 from tribes. Part 556 includes all the procedures before
 a gaming license is issued.

5 Basically, 556.6 provides for NIGC to receive 6 notification of background results within 60 days of 7 someone starting work. The proposed rule clarifies that 8 tribes with the access to prior investigative materials 9 from a different tribe could use those materials or 10 update those materials if they receive them from another 11 tribe or NIGC.

Part 558 includes all the procedures after a gaming license is issued, and so it provides for a notification. After a notification of results, the tribe can license a key employee or primary management official and that the tribe notify NIGC within 30 days of the license. NIGC then has 30 days to request additional information.

18 If a license is issued prior to objection, then the 19 licensee has a right to a notice of hearing. The tribe 20 must suspend the license until the hearing, and then 21 following the hearing the tribe notifies NIGC of its 22 licensing decision.

You'll see in here in 558.3, we're asking if a tribe doesn't license a particular applicant that the tribe must notify NIGC and provide NIGC a copy of the

Page 4 1 eligibility determination and investigative report if 2 they don't license an applicant. So 556 and 558, the proposed rule is intended to 3 basically formalize what has been the pilot program which 4 5 most tribes throughout the country are already operating 6 under. So if there are any comments or questions on the 7 proposed rule, I'll be happy to hear those. CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: If we can get a 8 9 microphone over here. 10 MR. MATHERLY: Andrew Matherly, 11 Spokane Tribe. 12 Under 556.3, we recommend changing the proposed part to require the tribe to forward information to the NIGC 13 14 only if the tribe denies the license and not if the tribe 15 simply does not license the applicant. 16 MR. ROBERTS: To clarify --17 MR. MATHERLY: It's actually 558.3. 18 Sorry. 19 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Just to be clear, only upon denial? 20 21 MR. MATHERLY: We'll have that in our 22 comments. 23 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Okay. Great. 24 Any other comments on licensing? Okay. 25 Again, this is open until February 21, if you

need to take this back and put together written comments. We welcome them.

3

1

2

We'll move on to Part 537.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay. So during the 4 5 regulatory review process, one of the comments that the 6 Commission heard from tribes is providing a mechanism to 7 streamline the process for background investigations for those parties that have a financial interest and are 8 9 having management responsibility for a management contract for certain entities. And currently under 537, 10 11 some entities, the Chair already has that discretion.

The proposed rule provides further discretion to the Chair to reduce the scope of the background information for tribally-owned entities, for national banks and for other institutional investors that are already either federally regulated or required to undergo background investigations and licensure pursuant to either state law or tribal-state compact.

So what this -- it's a relatively short change, but the change is to -- if those entities already have background -- had their background investigated or have already received a license from another state entity, let's say, or pursuant to a tribal-state compact, that if this -- if this individual comes before the Commission, that the Chair has the discretion to reduce the scope

1 based on that other information.

2	So in some comments that we've received during
3	consultations, we've received some tribes basically
4	saying that we should provide more detail in here, that
5	we should detail, try to clarify more which particular
6	entities this would apply to. Right now we've tried to
7	identify those that are tribally-owned entities.
8	So if there are any comments on this, I don't know
9	if folks have had problems with the management contract
10	process or the length of time it takes, but the idea is
11	if they've already if the entity has already gone
12	through, let's say, licensure by a state on gaming
13	issues, that NIGC could reduce the scope and rely on some
14	of that information from the licensor pursuant to a
15	tribal-state compact.
16	MR. HARJU: Phil Harju from the
17	Cowlitz Tribe.
18	Under 537.3, fees for background investigations, do
19	you have a schedule for that? It just says "as follows,"
20	and then dot, dot, dot.
21	MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. It should already
22	be in our
23	MR. HARJU: It's not changing any
24	existing fees?
25	MR. ROBERTS: This is the only change.

1 MR. HARJU: Okay. It would be 2 pursuant to your current fee schedule for backgrounds? 3 MR. ROBERTS: Right. 4 Thank you. MR. HARJU: 5 MR. ROBERTS: Okay. We'll move to Part 518, Self Regulation of Class II Gaming. 6 This is 7 the last part for consultation for today. The proposed rule was issued at the end of January, and the comment 8 9 period closes beginning of April. The current rule focuses on the gaming operation. 10 11 The proposed rule attempts to shift the focus not so much 12 from the gaming operation itself but to the tribe's 13 gaming regulatory agency. We've tried to streamline some 14 information. So if we already have that information, 15 tribes don't have to submit it again as part of Part 518 16 if we already have it. 17 We're trying to maintain, obviously, the existing 18 standards but making the process more accessible to all 19 tribes, and how we've done that is with the submission 20 requirements. 21 So in the proposed Rule 518.4, we have a history of 22 gaming operations. We ask for the organizational chart, 23 the employment criteria of the TGRA regulators, funding 24 description, a list of TGRA regulators, a description of

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

Page 7

listing the internal controls, the record-keeping system,
 providing the tribe's current gaming regulations if
 they're not already included in the approved tribal
 gaming ordinance.

5 Again, we've tried to remove the requirement to 6 submit the ordinance and the facility license if we 7 already have that information. That's what this proposed 8 draft does.

9 518.5 lists the criteria that must be met to satisfy 10 Part 518 and to receive a self regulation. This is based 11 on the statutory language of IGRA. The criteria that 12 must be met that the tribe has adequate systems for 13 accounting of revenues, investigations, enforcement at 14 prosecution of violations.

And then 518.5(b) lists examples of how a tribe can illustrate that it has met those criteria. There is a long list of examples. They're examples only.

One of the -- I guess one of the more noteworthy changes in 518.7 is the process for review of those petitions. Basically, the Commission right now -currently the Office of Self Regulation handles most of the process.

This would instead provide a process where, within 120 days of application, the office provides a recommendation and report to the Commission and to the tribe.

1

The tribe has 30 days to respond to that report, and then the Commission itself reviews the report and issues preliminary findings, and the tribe can then request a hearing before the Commission after receiving those preliminary findings.

7 The Commission then issues a final decision within 8 30 days after the preliminary findings or a hearing if 9 it's requested, whichever is later. And the regulation 10 makes clear a tribe may withdraw a petition at any time 11 prior to a final decision.

We received comments that again the Commission itself should consider the petitions, and so the proposed rule attempts to make that change with the Commission issuing preliminary findings and making final determinations.

17 And we received general comments that the process should basically facilitate collaboration, so that if a 18 19 tribe is not able, let's say, at the time of the petition to meet a certain criteria, that the tribe and NIGC are 20 21 working together through technical assistance to 22 petitioning tribe through the process, so that through 23 that technical assistance they can achieve the criteria. 24 One of the more other significant changes in this is 25 518.10. Right now the regulations require an annual

1 report to be submitted by the tribe.

2 This has changed a little bit, and what we've -- the proposed change is that an independent audit and then a 3 resume for all employees of the tribal regulatory body --4 5 for the tribal regulatory body hired and licensed by the tribe after receiving the notice of self regulation. 6 This tracks more closely to the language of IGRA 7 IGRA doesn't speak to an annual report. 8 itself. Ιt

9 speaks to a license of all employees -- not a license, a 10 resume of all employees. So this proposed draft, the 11 Commission has proposed interpreting the phrase "all 12 employees" to mean all the employees of the tribal 13 regulatory body.

So the annual reporting requirement or the annual report itself, while we've removed that, 518.11 makes clear that the tribe has a continuing duty to inform the Commission of any change in circumstances material to the approval. And so given that a tribe already has this duty to notify or inform a change in circumstance, that the annual report itself was removed.

We did receive some comments asking the Commission to define "tribal regulator." This proposed rule does not do that. I think one of the issues that the Commission was considering is that every tribe is defining tribal regulator differently, and so we have

not -- the Commission has not made that definition in
 this proposed rule.

We received comments on -- it was unclear and overbroad what powers NIGC has during the period of self regulation. We've provided -- the proposed rule just provides reference to the statutory language of IGRA.

Some comments suggested removing the section
altogether or just reiterating the statutory language.
For the proposed rule, the Commission decided to move
forward with a reference to IGRA.

11 And that's a general overview of the Part 518. Are 12 there any comments or questions?

13 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Just for general 14 purposes, currently there are only two tribes across the 15 country that are self regulated for Class II. They're 16 certified to be.

What we heard when we came in the office was that there were a number of tribes that were interested in becoming self regulated for Class II but that the submission requirements in the annual report were overburdensome and really not worth it.

22 So in response to this interest and comments we 23 received so far, this reflects some of the thoughts that 24 have -- and ideas that have been given to us by tribes. 25 So comments on this one close on April 2nd, so we

Page 12 1 have some time. Please do these. And if you have 2 written submissions, please make them. If there are no -- sort of a last call. Last call. 3 Our next consultation will be in Albuquerque next 4 5 week, February 22, at the Isleta Hard Rock. We are 6 planning more in March so that we can get to some other 7 areas while we have comment periods open for those parts that are due on April 2nd. 8 MR. BARR: Which tribes are self 9 10 regulated? 11 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Grand Ronde and 12 Menominee. 13 MR. MATHERLY: Andrew Matherly, 14 Spokane Tribe. 15 What's the status on the Class III MICS? What's 16 NIGC's position, withdrawing them or discussing them? 17 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: We don't know 18 yet, we're so busy right now. We're up to here with 547 19 and 543 for Class II. 20 You'll notice on the bottom of your agenda it states 21 that Group 3 -- originally when we started back in April, 22 we had Part 547, Technical Standards. And all Minimum Internal Controls that are now under 543 have been put 23 24 over into a Tribal Advisory Committee. 25 I can tell you the status of the Tribal Advisory

1	Committee's work. They started with the Class II	
2	portions where there was clear interest from tribes that	
3	we address those immediately because Class II Minimum	
4	Internal Control Standards have been sort of in abeyance	
5	for a number of years, and tribes have expressed a strong	
6	desire to have those finished up and done, and 547 as	
7	well.	
8	So the tribal committee was formed. They started in	
9	October or November no. October. Sorry. And they	
10	have been meeting and reviewing 543 for Class II MICS and	
11	547.	
12	They've just yesterday sent us their final	
13	recommendations on 543, Minimum Internal Control	
14	Standards for Class II, and had previously in January	
15	sent us their final recommendations for Technical	
16	Standards, 547.	
17	So we haven't gotten to that question yet. We	
18	wanted to get to the things that we know that were clear	
19	to us and were strongly supported by tribes that we make	
20	a priority, so we will certainly keep you updated as we	
21	address that issue.	
22	MR. MATHERLY: I don't see it as a	
23	priority. I'm just saying. Just delete it.	
24	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: So we embarked on	
25	a pretty hefty agenda, and you see that in all these	

Page 14 1 consultations and these reqs that are coming out trying 2 to address concerns for tribes, especially for Class II MICS because they have just been in limbo, and you all 3 have just been in limbo for Class II, and we want to 4 5 address that. 6 MR. DANIELS: Melvin Daniels, 7 Muckleshoot. Are those recommendations that the committee 8 forwarded available? 9 They'll be 10 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes. 11 up on the Web site at some point. I'm not sure if 12 they're there yet or not. 13 And just -- it's an advisory committee, and the Commission will review and consider their recommendations 14 15 and take them under advisement so that we can make a 16 fully informed decision about those regulations, but as we move forward we'll continue to consult when we come up 17 with drafts. 18 19 So that's why we're going to do more in March, so that if we have anything new at that point we can talk 20 21 about it. 22 MR. SAMUELS: Ron Samuels, Spokane Tribe. 23 24 I would just ask that the NIGC, when they get the 25 external audit reports and they make reference to

Class III MICS, that I'm hoping that all tribes have
 basically commented on, there may be material weaknesses
 or findings or exceptions.

However, in their CPA, the wording they use that 4 5 they've commented on, especially on the TLS machines that are operative in this state versus any other slot machine 6 7 that may be operating in Nevada or any other state, that there's a significant difference between the games, so 8 9 that when you review your reports, your compliance, your 10 auditors, whoever, that they have an understanding that 11 when an external auditor makes reference to a Class III 12 MICS that it may not be binding on us.

13 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Okay. Thank you14 for your comment.

Any other comments? If not -- did you raise your hand back there, Chairman?

17 If not, I want to thank everybody for taking the 18 time. I know it's been a long week for ATNI, and I 19 appreciate you coming all the way out for this 20 consultation.

Again, I want to encourage everybody, good or bad, supportive or suggesting changes, to submit comments in writing. I wish you all safe travels back to where you're coming from. Thank you.

25

(Consultation concluded at

Page 17 1 I, Barbara L. Brace, CCR, RPR, STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss a certified court reporter 2 County of Mason) in the State of Washington, do hereby certify: 3 4 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before 5 me and completed on February 16, 2012, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing is a б full, true and complete transcript of the proceedings; 7 That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or relative or employee 8 of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome 9 thereof; 10 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 11 and affixed my official seal on the 22nd day of February, 2012. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Barbara L. Brace, CCR, RPR Certified Court Reporter No. 3010 22 23 24 25

&	6	attorney 17:7,8	change 5:19,20 6:25
		audit 10:3 14:25	9:14 10:3,17,19
& 1:9 2:2	60 3:6	auditor 15:11	changed 10:2
1	9	auditors 15:10	changes 8:19 9:24
108 2:3	91 2:2	available 14:9	15:22
120 8:24	a	b	changing 4:12 6:23
16 1:11 2:2 17:5	abeyance 13:4		chart 7:22
2	able 9:19	b 8:15	circulated 3:2
2012 1:11 2:2 17:5	access 3:8	back 5:1 12:21	circumstance 10:19
17:11	accessible 7:18	15:16,23 background 2:16,19	circumstances
21 4:25	accounting 7:25	3:6 5:7,13,16,21,21	10:17
21 1.23 22 2:23 12:5	8:13	6:18	clarifies 3:7
22 2.25 12.5 22nd 17:11	achieve 9:23	backgrounds 7:2	clarify 4:16 6:5
2:50 2:3	action 17:7,8	backgrounds 7.2 bad 15:21	class 7:6 11:15,19
2nd 11:25 12:8	additional 3:17	bau 13.21 banks 5:14	12:15,19 13:1,3,10
3	address 13:3,21	barbara 2:4 17:1,21	13:14 14:2,4 15:1
	14:2,5	barbara 2.4 17.1,21 barr 12:9	15:11
3 12:21 30 2:16 17 0:2 8	adequate 8:12	based 6:1 8:10	clear 4:19 9:10
30 3:16,17 9:2,8	advisement 14:15	basically 3:5 4:4 6:3	10:16 13:2,18
3010 17:21	advisory 12:24,25	8:20 9:18 15:2	close 11:25
3:14 16:1	14:13	becoming 11:19	closely 10:7
4	affixed 17:11	beginning 7:9	closes 2:23 7:9
4 2:13	agency 7:13	binding 15:12	collaboration 9:18
5	agenda 12:20 13:25	bit 10:2	come 14:17
518 7:6,15 8:10	albuquerque 12:4	body 10:4,5,13	comes 5:24
11:11	altogether 11:8	bottom 12:20	coming 14:1 15:19
518.10. 9:25	andrew 4:10 12:13	brace 2:4 17:1,21	15:24
518.11 10:15	annual 9:25 10:8,14	busy 12:18	comment 2:23 7:8
518.4 7:21	10:14,20 11:20	с	12:7 15:14
518.5 8:9,15	applicant 3:24 4:2	call 12:3,3	commented 15:2,5
518.7 8:19	4:15	casino 1:9 2:2	comments 2:12 3:2 4:6,22,24 5:1,5 6:2
537 2:18 5:3,10	application 8:24	ccr 2:4 17:1,21	6:8 9:12,17 10:21
537.3 6:18	apply 6:6	certain 5:10 9:20	11:3,7,12,22,25
543 12:19,23 13:10	appreciate 15:19	certainly 13:20	15:15,22
13:13	approval 10:18	certified 2:4 11:16	commission 1:1
547 12:18,22 13:6	approved 8:3	17:1,21	2:25 3:2 5:6,24 8:20
13:11,16	april 7:9 11:25 12:8 12:21	certify 17:2	8:25 9:3,5,7,12,14
556 2:16,25 3:3 4:3	areas 12:7	chair 5:11,13,25	10:11,17,21,24 11:1
556.3 4:12	areas 12:7 asking 3:23 10:21	chairman 15:16	11:9 14:14
556.6 3:5	assistance 9:21,23	chairwoman 2:94:8	committee 12:24
558 2:16 3:12 4:3	atni 15:18	4:19,23 11:13 12:11	13:8 14:8,13
558.3 3:23	attempts 2:25 7:11	12:17 13:24 14:10	committee's 13:1
558.3. 4:17	9:14	15:13	compact 5:18,23
			6:15

[complete - institutional]

complete 17:6	definition 11:1	external 14:25	gotten 13:17
completed 17:5	delete 13:23	15:11	grand 12:11
compliance 15:9	denial 4:20	f	great 4:23
concerns 14:2	denies 4:14	facilitate 9:18	group 2:13 12:21
concluded 15:25	description 7:24,24	facility 8:6	guess 8:18
consider 9:13 14:14	desire 13:6	far 11:23	h
considering 10:24	detail 6:4,5	february 1:11 2:1	hand 15:16 17:10
consult 14:17	determination 4:1	4:25 12:5 17:5,11	handles 8:21
consultation 1:4 7:7	determinations 9:16	federally 5:16	
12:4 15:20,25	difference 15:8	fee 7:2	happy 4:7 hard 12:5
consultations 6:3	different 3:9		
14:1	differently 10:25	fees 6:18,24	harju 6:16,16,23 7:1 7:4
continue 14:17	direction 17:5	final 9:7,11,15 13:12	
continuing 10:16	discretion 5:11,12	13:15	hear 4:7
contract 2:21 5:10	5:25	financial 2:20 5:8	heard 5:6 11:17
6:9	discussing 12:16	financially 17:8	hearing 3:19,20,21
control 13:4,13	doing 3:1	findings 9:4,6,8,15	9:5,8
controls 8:1 12:23	dot 6:20,20,20	15:3	hefty 13:25
copy 3:25	draft 3:2 8:8 10:10	finished 13:6	hereunto 17:10
counsel 17:7,8	drafts 14:18	focus 7:11	hired 10:5
country 4:5 11:15	due 12:8	focuses 7:10	history 7:21
county 17:2	duty 10:16,19	folks 6:9	hoping 15:1
court 2:4 17:1,21	e	following 2:5 3:21	i
cowlitz 6:17		follows 6:19	idea 6:10
cpa 15:4	either 5:15,17	foregoing 17:4,5	ideas 11:24
creek 1:9 2:2	eligibility 4:1	formalize 2:25 4:4	identify 6:7
criteria 7:23 8:9,11	embarked 13:24	formed 13:8	igra 8:11 10:7,8
8:16 9:20,23	employee 3:15 17:7	forward 4:13 11:10	11:6,10
current 7:2,10 8:2	17:7	14:17	ii 7:6 11:15,19 12:19
currently 5:10 8:21	employees 2:17 10:4	forwarded 14:9	13:1,3,10,14 14:2,4
11:14	10:9,10,12,12	full 17:6	iii 12:15 15:1,11
d	employment 7:23 encourage 15:21	fully 14:16	illustrate 8:16
daniels 14:6,6	enforcement 8:13	funding 7:23 further 5:12	immediately 13:3
dameis 14.0,0 day 17:11	entities 2:19 5:10,11		included 8:3
days 3:6,16,17 8:24	5:14,20 6:6,7	g	includes 3:3,12
9:2,8	entity 5:22 6:11	games 15:8	independent 10:3
dealing 2:16	especially 14:2 15:5	gaming 1:1 2:17 3:4	indian 1:1
december 2:22	everybody 2:10	3:12 6:12 7:6,10,12	individual 5:24
decided 11:9	15:17,21	7:13,22,25 8:2,4	inform 10:16,19
decision 3:22 9:7,11	examples 8:15,17,17	general 9:17 11:11	information 3:17
14:16	exceptions 15:3	11:13	4:13 5:13 6:1,14
define 10:22	existing 6:24 7:17	given 10:18 11:24	7:14,14 8:7
defining 10:25	expressed 13:5	going 2:15 14:19	informed 14:16
uching 10.23	CAPICODU 15.5	good 15:21	institutional 5:15

intended 4:3	licensor 6:14	new 14:20	particular 3:24 6:5
interest 2:20 5:8	licensure 5:17 6:12	nigc 3:5,11,16,16,21	parties 5:8
11:22 13:2	limbo 14:3,4	3:25,25 4:13 6:13	parts 2:16 12:7
interested 11:18	line 2:14	9:20 11:4 14:24	party 17:7
17:8	list 7:24 8:17	nigc's 12:16	period 2:23 7:9 11:4
internal 8:1 12:23	listing 8:1	nj381812 1:25	periods 12:7
13:4,13	lists 8:9,15	noteworthy 8:18	petition 9:10,19
interpreting 10:11	little 1:9 2:2 10:2	notice 3:19 10:6	petitioning 9:22
investigated 5:21	long 8:17 15:18	12:20	petitions 8:20 9:13
investigations 2:17	m	notification 3:6,13	phil 6:16
2:19 5:7,17 6:18	machine 15:6	3:14	phrase 10:11
8:13	machines 15:5	notifies 3:21	pilot 3:1 4:4
investigative 3:8 4:1	maintain 7:17	notify 3:16,25 10:19	planning 12:6
investors 5:15	making 7:18 9:15	november 13:9	please 12:1,2
isleta 12:5	management 2:18	number 11:18 13:5	point 14:11,20
issue 13:21	2:20 3:15 5:9,9 6:9	0	portions 13:2
issued 3:4,13,18 7:8	march 12:6 14:19	objection 3:18	portland 1:2
issues 6:13 9:3,7	march 12:0 14:17 mason 17:2	obviously 7:17	position 12:16
10:23	material 10:17 15:2	october 13:9,9	powers 11:4
issuing 9:15	materials 3:8,9,10	office 8:21,24 11:17	preliminary 3:2 9:4
j	matherly 4:10,10,17	official 3:15 17:11	9:6,8,15
january 7:8 13:14	4:21 12:13,13 13:22	officials 2:18	pretty 13:25
job 1:25	mean 10:12	okay 4:23,25 5:4 7:1	previously 13:14
k	mechanism 5:6	7:5 15:13	primary 2:18 3:15
	meet 9:20	open 4:25 12:7	prior 3:8,18 9:11
keep 13:20	meeting 13:10	operating 4:5 15:7	priority 13:20,23
keeping 8:1	melvin 14:6	operation 7:10,12	problems 6:9
key 2:17 3:15	menominee 12:12	operations 7:22,25	procedures 3:3,12
know 6:8 12:17	met 8:9,12,16	operative 15:6	proceedings 2:5
13:18 15:18	microphone 2:10	ordinance 8:4,6	17:4,6
<u>l</u>	4:9	organizational 7:22	process 5:5,7 6:10
l 2:4 17:1,21	mics 12:15 13:10	originally 12:21	7:18 8:19,22,23
language 8:11 10:7	14:3 15:1,12	outcome 17:8	9:17,22
11:6,8	minimum 12:22	overbroad 11:4	program 3:1 4:4
law 5:17	13:3,13	overburdensome	proposals 2:22
length 6:10	move 2:13 5:3 7:5	11:21	proposed 3:7 4:3,7
license 3:4,13,14,16	11:9 14:17	overview 11:11	4:12 5:12 7:7,11,21
3:18,20,24 4:2,14	muckleshoot 14:7	owned 5:14 6:7	8:7 9:13 10:3,10,11
4:15 5:22 8:6 10:9,9	n	р	10:22 11:2,5,9
licensed 10:5	name 2:11	p.m. 2:3 16:1	prosecution 8:14 provide 3:25 6:4
licensee 3:19	national 1:1 5:14	packet 2:14	8:23
licenses 2:17	need 2:10,10 5:1	part 2:18 3:3,12	8:25 provided 11:5
licensing 3:22 4:24	nevada 15:7	4:12 5:3 7:6,7,15,15	provided 11:5 provides 3:5,13 5:12
		8:10 11:11 12:22	8:24 11:6
		0.10 11.11 12.22	0.24 11.0

[providing - tribal]

-			e
providing 5:6 8:2	remembered 2:1	S	streamline 5:7 7:13
published 2:22	remove 8:5	safe 15:23	strong 13:5
purposes 11:14	removed 10:15,20	samuels 14:22,22	strongly 13:19
pursuant 5:17,23	removing 11:7	satisfy 8:9	submission 7:19
6:14 7:2	report 4:1 8:25 9:2	saying 6:4 13:23	11:20
put 5:1 12:23	9:3 10:1,8,15,20	says 6:19	submissions 12:2
q	11:20	schedule 6:19 7:2	submit 7:15 8:6
question 13:17	reporter 2:4 17:1,21	scope 5:13,25 6:13	15:22
question 4:6 11:12	reporting 10:14	seal 17:11	submitted 10:1
-	reports 14:25 15:9	section 11:7	suggested 11:7
r	request 3:17 9:4	see 3:23 13:22,25	suggesting 15:22
raise 15:15	requested 9:9	self 7:6 8:10,21 10:6	supported 13:19
really 11:21	require 4:13 9:25	11:4,15,19 12:9	supportive 15:22
receive 3:5,10 8:10	required 5:16	sent 13:12,15	sure 14:11
10:21	requirement 8:5	set 17:10	suspend 3:20
received 5:22 6:2,3	10:14	shelton 1:13 2:3	system 7:25 8:1
9:12,17 11:3,23	requirements 7:20	shift 7:11	systems 8:12
receiving 9:5 10:6	11:20	short 5:19	t
recommend 4:12	resort 1:9 2:2	shortly 2:23	take 5:1 14:15
recommendation	respond 9:2	significant 9:24 15:8	taken 17:4
8:25	response 11:22	simply 4:15	takes 6:10
recommendations	responsibility 2:20	site 14:11	talk 2:15 14:20
13:13,15 14:8,14	5:9	slot 15:6	technical 9:21,23
record 8:1	results 3:6,14	sorry 4:18 13:9	12:22 13:15
reduce 5:13,25 6:13	resume 10:4,10	sort 12:3 13:4	tell 12:25
reference 11:6,10	revenues 8:13	speak 2:10 10:8	tgra 7:23,24
14:25 15:11	review 1:4 5:5 8:19	speaks 10:9	thank 7:4 15:13,17
reflects 11:23	14:14 15:9	spokane 4:11 12:14	15:24
region 1:2	reviewing 13:10	14:22	thereof 17:9
regs 14:1	reviews 9:3	ss 17:1	things 13:18
regulated 5:16	right 3:19 6:6 7:3	standards 7:18	think 10:23
11:15,19 12:10	8:20 9:25 12:18	12:22 13:4,14,16	think 10.25 thoughts 11:23
regulation 7:6 8:10	roberts 2:15 4:16	started 12:21 13:1,8	thursday 2:1
8:21 9:9 10:6 11:5	5:4 6:21,25 7:3,5	starting 3:7	time 3:1 6:10 9:10
regulations 8:2 9:25	rock 12:5	state 2:2,11 5:17,18	9:19 12:1 15:18
14:16	ron 14:22	5:22,23 6:12,15	
regulator 10:22,25	ronde 12:11	, , ,	tls 15:5
regulators 7:23,24	route 2:3	15:6,7 17:1,2 states 12:20	today 7:7
regulatory 1:4 5:5	rpr 2:4 17:1,21		tracks 10:7
7:13 10:4,5,13	rule 3:7 4:3,7 5:12	status 12:15,25	transcribed 17:5
reiterating 11:8	7:8,10,11,21 9:14	statutory 8:11 11:6 11:8	transcript 17:6
relative 17:7,7	10:22 11:2,5,9		travels 15:23
relatively 5:19		stevens 2:9 4:8,19	tribal 5:18,23 6:15
rely 6:13		4:23 11:13 12:11,17	8:3 10:4,5,12,22,25
-		13:24 14:10 15:13	12:24,25 13:8

tribally 5:14 6:7	welcome 5:2
tribe 2:11 3:9,11,14	west 2:2
3:16,19,21,23,24	whereof 17:10
4:11,13,14,14 6:17	whichever 9:9
8:12,15 9:1,2,4,10	wish 15:23
9:19,20,22 10:1,6	wit 2:5
10:16,18,24 12:14	withdraw 9:10
14:23	withdrawing 12:16
tribe's 7:12 8:2	witness 17:10
tribes 3:3,8 4:5 5:6	wording 15:4
6:3 7:15,19 11:14	work 3:7 13:1
11:18,24 12:9 13:2	working 9:21
13:5,19 14:2 15:1	worth 11:21
tried 6:6 7:13 8:5	writing 15:23
true 17:6	written 5:1 12:2
try 6:5	y
trying 7:17 14:1	
two 11:14	yeah 6:21
u	years 13:5
	yesterday 13:12
unclear 11:3	
undergo 5:16	
understanding	
15:10	
update 3:10	
updated 13:20	
use 3:9 15:4	
V	
versus 15:6	
violations 8:14	
W	
want 14:4 15:17,21	
wanted 13:18	
washington 1:13 2:3	
17:1,2	
way 15:19	
way 15.19 we've 6:2,3,67:13	
7:19 8:5 10:2,15	
11:5	
weaknesses 15:2	
weaknesses 15:2 web 14:11	
week 2:24 12:5	
15:18	
	1