		Page 1
1		
2		
3	NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION	
4	PORTLAND REGION	
5	REGULATORY REVIEW CONSULTATION	
6		
7		
8	TULALIP RESORT AND CASINO	
9	10200 QUIL CEDA BLVD	
10	CHINOOK BALLROOM	
11	TULALIP, WASHINGTON	
12	JULY 15, 2011	
13	9:12 A.M.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	REPORTED BY: CHERYL J. HAMMER, CCR 2512	
25		

Page 2 1 TULALIP, WASHINGTON; JULY 15, 2011 9:12 A.M. 2 --000--3 4 5 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Good morning. 6 There we go. Thanks for returning. We see a lot of 7 folks who stayed for the full two days. We're going to continue our discussion from yesterday. Today 8 9 we're talking about groups five and three. Do we have 10 any newcomers? Yes. Please do introduce yourself if 11 you don't mind. Thanks. 12 MR. SPENCER: Yeah, my name is Mike 13 Spencer. I'm vice chairman of the Spokane tribal 14 council. Thank you for giving us an opportunity to 15 meet here today. We did have some representatives 16 here yesterday, so they'll bring me up to date on 17 everything. Thank you. 18 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Great. Thank 19 you. Yes, sir. 20 MR. DeVENY: I'm Darwin DeVeny from 21 the Quinault Nation, executive director. 2.2 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Welcome. Just for the benefit of the folks who weren't here 23 24 yesterday, we are going by our day two agenda. We'll be talking about group five and three today, although 25

that does not preclude comments about any of the other
 topics that we covered yesterday.

3 We understand everybody has very busy schedules and may not be able to make both days or may 4 5 not be able to be here at the time we're talking about specific regulations. So the floor is all open to 6 7 make comment on any and all parts, even if they are not necessarily what we're talking about at the time. 8 9 If we're okay with just jumping right 10 into the work, I do want to make some initial

11 announcements. We had up on the screen our trainings.
12 We mentioned it yesterday. These are our upcoming
13 technical assistance and training opportunities. July
14 will be at Cherokee Hard Rock. That's a regional
15 training. Are these all regional trainings?
16 Keep in mind they may taylor it to that particular
17 region.

In August -- July will be at Cherokee. August will be down at Poarch Creek and then, oh, look, in North Dakota. That's good. I do want to give Nimish the opportunity to let you know what else we might be doing that pertains to the Northwest. Nimish, if you can come up and let them know what we are looking at for the Northwest.

25

MR. PUROHIT: Good morning, everyone.

What we do is a series called regulating gaming technology, and I'm the presenter for three days, so if you don't like my voice right now, then I would recommend not attending that, because you get to hear me for three days.

But the next example of that is going 6 7 to be at Poarch Creek in Alabama and every regional training event is specific to that region. I've done 8 9 one here last year in Spokane, Washington, and 10 Calispell, and that was done specifically with the 11 tribal lottery system as compacted games and gaming 12 systems and Class II gaming systems and forensics 13 onsite.

So it's a three-day technology event. Right now we're working with a region here and Vita Bishop and Mark Phillips to have something again toward the end of this year as well on the western side of Washington, and hopefully we're able to get some hosts for our training event as well.

We hold these at the tribal gaming facilities as well, as an example, that are up there. As I said, it's going to be a three-day event. The only thing you would need are equipment with projectors and that. You can please talk to Vida, see if you have any opportunities to host the training

1 event as well. We'd appreciate that. Thank you. 2 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you. So if 3 there's anyone who's interested, please contact the region about what that would entail specifically. 4 5 MR. GOBIN: Tulalip might be interested in doing that, but we'll get in touch with 6 7 you. CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: 8 Thank you. Anyone who needs to get more information about that 9 10 training, you can talk to Vida, yeah. So let's go 11 ahead with our day. I'll turn over the microphone to 12 -- well, before I begin. I do want to give folks the 13 opportunity who may have written prepared statements and their time is of the essence for their schedule. 14 15 So, you know, the floor is open if there's anyone who 16 has prepared statements they would like to make for 17 the record for any of these parts. Yes, Spokane. 18 MR. SPENCER: Yes, the Spokane tribe 19 has prepared written comments, but I don't want to 20 take up time actually reading the comments into the 21 record. I'd like to present the original document to 2.2 the chair, copies to the other commission members, and 23 ask that they be formally placed into the record. 24 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes, we certainly can do that. We'll have someone come around and take 25

Page 6 those from you. Actually, give them to Lael. 1 Any 2 others? 3 WRITTEN COMMENTS OF THE SPOKANE TRIBE REGARDING 4 5 NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION REGULATORY REVIEW 6 TULALIP RESERVATION JULY 14-15, 2011 7 "Thank you for the opportunity to 8 9 address the NIGC on the important issue of regulatory 10 review. 11 Previously, at the May 20, 2011 12 session at the Coeur d'Alene Reservation, we submitted 13 our comments to Group #1 and Group #2. Accordingly, I 14 limit my comments today to Groups #3, 4 and 5. Ι 15 note, however, that the Class III MICS issue is in 16 both Groups 1 and 5, and that is an issue of great 17 importance to the Spokane Tribe. A copy of the May 18 20, 2011 comments are attached hereto, and the Tribe 19 may supplement this statement as we hear and read the 20 comments of other Tribes and review preliminary drafts released by the NIGC. 21 2.2 23 GROUP THREE: CLASS II GAMING - GENERAL COMMENTS 24 25 Our comments on Group Three, Class II

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

gaming, will be brief. We have followed the very hard 1 2 and detailed work of the Class II Working Group and we 3 support their efforts and comments. Many tribes are confronted by states who hide behind 11th Amendment 4 5 immunity to deprive them of compacts, such as Louisiana with the Jena Band and Texas with the 6 7 Kickapoo. Many other states use the unfair leverage of the Seminole decision to coerce tribes into 8 9 unreasonable gaming taxes and intrusions on tribal 10 self-governance. Spokane knows the plight of these 11 tribes all too well. Spokane operated without a 12 compact for a decade because we refused to capitulate 13 to Washington State's unreasonable restrictions, 14 including a complete prohibition on machine gaming, as 15 the State hid behind 11th Amendment immunity. As you 16 consider the regulations for Class II games, please 17 keep in mind that a viable Class II game is the only leverage many tribes have in the wake of the Seminole 18 19 decision. 20 With prior Commissions, discussion of

Class II policy flowed into discussion regarding monitoring and investigation - a main topic of Group Four. It was with great frustration that Spokane watched prior Commission Chairman Hogan work so hard to draw a "bright line" with Class II games only to

1	undermine the efforts of many tribes to leverage Class
2	II gaming to secure Class III gaming compacts. While
3	the NIGC would place many Class II games in to
4	question, DOI sat by quietly, opting not to implement
5	Class III procedures when confronted with state
6	assertions of 11th Amendment immunity. Likewise, DOJ
7	opted not to bring litigation against hostile states
8	on behalf of the tribes. In Seminole's wake, NIGC,
9	DOI and DOJ should work cooperatively under the
10	federal umbrella to develop a collective and
11	coordinated approach to ensure tribes are in the
12	position that Congress intended when states refuse to
13	negotiate in good faith.
14	
15	GROUP FOUR: DEFERENCE TO TGAS AS THE PRIMARY
16	REGULATOR - GENERAL COMMENTS
17	
18	Group Four covers a lot of territory.
18 19	Group Four covers a lot of territory. Spokane accepts that NIGC needs access to the tribal
19	Spokane accepts that NIGC needs access to the tribal
19 20	Spokane accepts that NIGC needs access to the tribal gaming facilities. Spokane applauds the policy
19 20 21	Spokane accepts that NIGC needs access to the tribal gaming facilities. Spokane applauds the policy embraced by the NIGC's preliminary draft revisions to
19 20 21 22	Spokane accepts that NIGC needs access to the tribal gaming facilities. Spokane applauds the policy embraced by the NIGC's preliminary draft revisions to Part 573, which embraces a policy of deference and

manpower numbers, and the common presence of the most 1 2 experienced regulators in the industry, guantify this basic fact. The Tribe itself has the highest 3 incentive to ensure that the games are fair and 4 5 In the vast majority of circumstances, any honest. Tribe out of compliance has the highest incentive to 6 7 come in to compliance. The draft revisions to Part 573 embrace a formal policy that ensures the NIGC will 8 9 take every effort to identify the problem for the TGA 10 and/or Tribal Council, work with the Tribe to come in 11 to compliance, and only if those steps have been taken 12 and have failed, take action in the form of an NOV 13 with attendant threats of fines and closures. We hear rhetoric that this is how the Hogan Commissions 14 approached situations, but we know of too many 15 16 circumstances where the NOV came as a surprise to 17 tribes, resulting in panic when facing the prospect of major fines and closure orders. Even though those 18 19 situations ultimately were resolved with nominal 20 fines, such heavy-handed threats have no place in 21 proper government-to-government dialogue. 2.2 In the preliminary draft to Part 573, there is a provision about when an NOV becomes a final 23 24 agency action that appears intended to clarify that the Chair may withdraw an NOV, which is good. 25 Still,

1	we are looking into the technical legal issue of
2	whether this language properly fits within the
3	framework of APA review, and may supplement this
4	statement based upon that review.
5	The monitoring and investigative
6	authority of the NIGC is best utilized when tempered
7	with a policy of proper deference and support of TGAs.
8	Within those policy constraints, the proposed
9	preliminary draft changes to part 571 set forth an
10	acceptable process to ensure the NIGC's access to
11	critical documents. Access to and review of those
12	critical documents should be utilized when necessary
13	to enable the NIGC to discover problems and to work
14	with TGAs and tribal councils to correct those
15	problems.
16	Spokane submits certain technical
17	comments on the specifics of Group Four.
18	
19	GROUP FOUR: TECHNICAL COMMENTS
20	Parts 556 and 558 - Background Investigations for
21	Primary Management Officials and Key Employees
22	
23	We just received Tuesday morning, the
24	NIGC's preliminary drafts of parts 556 and 558. Our
25	initial review is favorable and supportive, with two

1 exceptions.

First, Tribes should be able to turn 2 3 to the NIGC for assistance to conduct a background investigation on any employee or entity for which the 4 5 TGA seeks assistance. Being able to turn to the NIGC to process fingerprint cards beyond primary management 6 7 officials and key employees enables tribes to make better informed and faster decisions. This is 8 9 particularly important because many states deny or 10 severely restrict tribes from the State's database 11 The costs of processing fingerprint cards, resources. 12 however, should be borne by the participating tribes 13 and not paid out of fees paid by other tribes, who 14 restrict NIGC's assistance to Key Employees and 15 Primary Management Officials. 16 Second, the revision to 558.2(c)(2)17 highlights a provision that considers requiring notification to NIGC of determinations of 18 19 unsuitability in license denials. We suspect this is 20 highlighted because IGRA requires that a Tribe notify 21 NIGC of licenses issued, but is silent on notifying 2.2 NIGC of licenses denied. 25 U.S.C. 2710(b)(2)(F)(ii)(1). The crux of the abuses of the 23 24 Hogan Commissions were the result of an agency culture 25 that believed it could fiat authority on the grounds

1	that it was a good idea, without regard to IGRA's
2	limits on that authority (class III MICS, facility
3	licensing, etc.). Although the proposed requirement
4	to notify NIGC of licenses denied is a good one, and
5	improves a database on which all tribes can make
6	better, more informed licensing decisions, it falls
7	outside of the NIGC's parameters of authority set
8	forth by IGRA and perpetuates the culture that lead to
9	past abuses. Accordingly, Spokane endorse using the
10	word "may" and opposes using the word "shall." We do
11	believe that a Tribe can compel its TGA to submit such
12	information to the NIGC in the context of the Tribal
13	Gaming Ordinance, but that is properly a matter of
14	tribal self-governance.
15	The preliminary draft appears to make
16	the "pilot" program permanent. We applaud this
17	change. It has been a farce to call it a "pilot
18	program" when it is older than most tribal gaming
19	facilities.
20	
21	Part 531 - Collateral Agreements
22	
23	The definition of management agreement
24	should be revised to make clear that collateral
25	agreements can be made and binding upon the parties

before NIGC approval of the management agreement. 1 No 2 entity should be allowed to perform day-to-day decision making over a tribal gaming facility prior to 3 NIGC approval, but other agreements should be valid. 4 5 Many times, tribes are hindered from entering into finance and consulting agreements with desired 6 7 contracted parties because of the collateral agreement Such a result arbitrarily stifles tribal 8 rule. 9 self-determination by restricting a tribe's ability to 10 enter into contracts. Collateral agreements should be 11 required to be submitted with proposed management 12 contracts to ensure full disclosure of all aspects of 13 the relationship between the Tribe and the contracting 14 entity, but that can be accomplished without the current rule that voids collateral agreements unless 15 16 and until the management agreement is approved. 17 Additionally, formal regulations regarding declination letters would provide tribes and 18 19 contracting parties greater confidence that 20 declination letters are meaningful and correct. 21 22 Part 502 - Definitions. 23 Definition of "management contract" 24 25 Some commentators suggest expanding

1	the definition of "management contract" to include any
2	contract that includes a percentage-based fee. This
3	proposed change has no basis in IGRA. If Congress
4	wanted to provide NIGC with that authority, they would
5	not have used the restrictive term "management
6	contract." Given the consequences of such a
7	definition, it would be challenged and likely would
8	not survive judicial scrutiny. While Spokane shares
9	the concerns of the proponents of such a definition,
10	we are mindful that NIGC must remain within the bounds
11	of its authority, as created and limited by Congress.
12	The stated concerns in the NOI
13	regarding aggregate fees (loans/expenses/development
14	fees, etc.) is valid, but that discussion is more
15	appropriate in the context of "sole proprietary
16	interest" and "primary beneficiary," and not in the
17	context of defining "management agreement." The
18	concern of aggregate fees is not limited to financial
19	agreements, but includes MOUs and Gaming Compact taxes
20	as well.
21	
22	Definition of "net review"
23	
24	The definition of "net review" should
25	be clarified to include machine lease payments,

participation fees and contributions to wide area
 progressives as allowable operating expenses in
 calculation of net revenue.

We note that the current proposed definition better reflects the reality in Indian gaming that management fees are a cost of doing business.

Both of these concerns warrant avoidance of GAAP. The only reason to use GAAP is for convenience. GAAP's function is to establish uniformity and consistency for purposes of financial audits. Convenience alone should not overcome the sound policy of ensuring a accurate reflection of the costs of business regarding Indian gaming.

Definition of "allowable uses"

18 Some suggest that NIGC define 19 "allowable uses" to clarify what a tribe may 20 legitimately fund with gaming revenue. Spokane 21 opposes a separate definition of "allowable uses." 2.2 The concerns expressed by proponents, e.g. maintaining adequate reserves and cash flow, can best be 23 24 accomplished by tribes authorizing such expenditures 25 "to promote tribal economic development" as expressly

15

16

17

Page 16 authorized by IGRA. Any new definition of allowable 1 2 uses carries the substantial risk of unduly impeding 3 tribal self-governance. 4 5 GROUP FIVE: CLASS III MICS AND "SOLE PROPRIETARY INTERESTS" - GENERAL COMMENTS 6 7 CLASS III MICS 8 9 10 Spokane submitted comments on Class 11 III MICS at the Coeur d'Alene session on May 20. We 12 were hopeful that we would have a draft to review by 13 the time we reached group 5 on the NIGC's aggressive and welcome consultation schedule. We cannot stress 14 15 enough the importance of NIGC's compliance with the 16 Order and opinion of the United States Court of 17 Appeals for the D.C. Circuit in C.R.I.T. vs. NIGC. 18 In our May 20 statement, we went 19 through the NIGC's inconsistent history on this issue, 20 from the Hope Commissions through the Hogan 21 Commissions. We noted Spokane's amicus support for 2.2 the Colorado River Indian Tribes at every level of the 23 litigation, from the Administrative Law Judge through 24 the DC appeals court. We applaud the policy embraced in the NIGC's preliminary draft facility license 25

regulations as properly reflecting the parameters of that court decision. We emphasize that the DC Court decision leaves no room for NIGC to promulgate Class III regulations. We know a few tribes are urging the NIGC to continue to promulgate Class III MICS. Because of that, we repeat a small portion of our statement submitted in May.

Class III MICS have taken on a life of 8 9 their own. The Hogan Commissions approved ordinances 10 expressly empowering the NIGC to promulgate and 11 enforce them. Several compacts refer to the NIGC MICS 12 as a baseline for compact standards. This Commission 13 should run away from the agenda of the Hogan 14 Commissions and stay clearly within the parameters of 15 authority set by Congress. Those states and tribes 16 that embraced NIGC Class III MICS in compacts and 17 ordinances did so at their own peril. We often hear 18 that NIGC had the authority to promulgate the MICS 19 until it lost at the DC Circuit. That is pure 20 The Court correctly ruled that NIGC never nonsense. 21 had such authority.

We continue to hear from a small but vocal group of Tribes insisting they want to see the Class III MICS continue in some form because they made some deal in a compact or state regulation. Those

agreements were reached with full knowledge that the NIGC's authority to promulgate Class III MICS was in serious dispute. This Commission should not perpetuate the problem by devoting NIGC resources to promulgate regulations that admittedly are ultra virus.

7 Additionally, we challenge the allegation that some are at peril if the NIGC no 8 9 longer promulgates Class III MICS. A number of 10 Tribal-State gaming compacts in North Dakota, Arizona, 11 Oklahoma, Wisconsin, and Florida refer to the Class 12 That being said, the reference within those III MICS. 13 compacts is not impacted by whether the Class III MICS exist or do not exist on a prospective basis. Many of 14 15 these compacts only refer to MICS as they existed at a 16 date certain. Thus if the Class III MICS were 17 repealed today, tribes with such compacts would have the baseline that existed on the date certain 18 19 previously referenced. Other compacts refer to 20 compliance with the Class III MICS that are found in 21 the NIGC regulations (without a reference to a date). 2.2 Spokane's position is that even if the Class III MICS 23 were to be repealed, it would not result in a 24 violation of any of those "incorporation by reference" 25 compacts unless those individual compacts require the

1 Class III MICS to continue to be published. We are 2 not aware of any compact that has such a publication 3 requirement.

Beyond a short phase out period, 4 5 the Spokane Tribe strongly opposes the perpetuation of illegal MICS simply because it inconveniences some 6 7 Those Tribes can transition into some other tribes. type of default MICS through a regulators organization 8 or amend their compacts, or defer to some other 9 10 industry entity. Indeed, the NIGA/NCAI Task Force 11 subgroup of regulators, which authored the initial 12 Class III MICS could be revived. Perhaps more 13 appropriately, the NTGC/R (National Tribal Gaming Commissioners/Regulators) could assume the tasks. 14 Indeed, it would be a logical extension of the 15 16 excellent services provided to date by NTGC/R. 17 Calling the Class III MICS "guidelines" rather than "regulations" is code for 18 19 making all tribes pay to develop MICS that only will 20 be utilized by relatively small number of tribes. 21 Spokane Tribe sharply objects to the use of its fees 2.2 for such purposes. If the NIGC does capitulate to the 23 vocal minority of tribes insisting on NIGC Class III 24 MICS, then the fee structure should be changed to ensure that only those Tribes advocating for Class III 25

MICS pay the entire cost, from promulgation, to
 auditing, to enforcement.

SOLE PROPRIETARY INTEREST

In response to the NIGC's initial 6 7 Notice of Inquiry for regulatory review, which preceded the current consultations, the Spokane Tribe 8 9 recognized the importance of the issue of sole proprietary interest. To date, the focus of this 10 11 issue has been in the context of management, 12 development and finance agreements, which are 13 important. It is with grave concern that Spokane 14 observes the trends around the country wherein large 15 portions of tribal gaming revenue are sliced off and 16 handed to state treasuries, state agencies and local 17 governments. IGRA's "primary beneficiary" rule also 18 is triggered when such large portions of tribal gaming 19 revenue are exported to state and local governments. 20 25 U.S.C. 2702(2). We express great caution, however, 21 as to whether these issues can be properly addressed 2.2 in the context of NIGC regulations. We are not 23 objecting to the effort, but we are skeptical that 24 regulations are the appropriate means to address the issue. Certainly, any approach requires a look to the 25

3

4

5

1	aggregate impact on tribal gaming revenue, taking into
2	account all development and finance costs, management
3	fees, compact "taxes," mitigation fees, etc. Terms
4	for one tribe in one location may be wholly unworkable
5	for a different tribe in a different location. The
6	analysis is necessarily very fact-specific. If the
7	NIGC proposes a preliminary draft regulation, we will
8	supplement our comments at that time.
9	The Spokane Tribe appreciates that the
10	NIGC has undertaken this difficult task of regulatory
11	review. Spokane respects NIGC's appreciation for
12	listening to the tribes' concerns which is reflected
13	in the preliminary drafts that have been circulated to
14	date. Thank you for your consideration.
15	Respectfully,
16	Michael Spencer
17	Vice-Chairman
18	Spokane Tribe of Indians"
19	
20	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: With that, I'll
21	turn the microphone over to Lael and have her continue
22	on the power point. Everyone should have a copy of
23	the power point if you'd like to follow along. We're
24	on days two group five
	on day two, group five.

five we do not currently have drafts, so you do not have handouts. The only handout that might be useful is the power point, as the chairwoman just indicated, but we don't have drafts yet. We're still in that process of consulting.

6 So for group five, we included part 7 518, which is self-regulation of Class II gaming, the 8 potential or discussion on sole proprietary interest 9 and whether or not that might need a regulation of 10 some kind, and then finally, minimum internal control 11 standards for Class III gaming.

12 So the Notice of Inquiry that we sent 13 out in November asked whether or not the commission 14 should review the process for obtaining a Class II self-regulation certificate. We received lots of 15 16 comments. We received a lot of comments that are 17 very, very supportive of this, but also said that the administrative burden of completing the process and 18 19 filling out a petition for self-regulation outweighed 20 any of the benefits that were obtained by that certification. 21

22 Comments indicated that submission 23 requirements were duplicative, burdensome, that the 24 petition, the annual reporting requirement undermined 25 the purpose of the certification. Those comments

1	indicated that the additional reporting requirements
2	were even more than was required without a
3	certification, and then we received other comments
4	that the threshold, the high threshold for obtaining
5	that certification needed to be maintained.
6	Self-regulation is a hallmark of
7	tribal sovereignty. We saw that over and over again.
8	Tribes indicated this was an important tool, but that
9	the benefits and the recognition for those tribes
10	should be greater than what is currently available
11	today.
12	So our questions for the tribes and
13	for the industry are how many tribes are interested in
14	pursuing self-regulation. And you have to keep in
15	mind that self-regulation is only for Class II gaming
16	activities. So that is something that we've been
17	thinking about and been looking around the country and
18	seeing who, you know, what tribes have Class II only
19	facilities, because this is for, again, Class II
20	gaming activities.
21	Are there additional responsibilities
22	or incentives for self-regulating tribes. What can
23	tribes expect once they achieve this self-regulation
24	certification, and does it mean what does it mean
25	practically. Then we're interested in knowing whether

and how the reporting requirements should be amended. 1 2 Petition requirements include the submittal of all the information that's up here; 3 history of gaming operation, composition of gaming 4 5 operation, use of gaming revenues, accounting systems of the tribe and of the government, internal controls, 6 7 recordkeeping, issuance of gaming licenses, gaming regulations. If you look at the regulation itself, 8 9 it's very lengthy and it lists all these things out. 10 And then there's another part of the 11 regulation, part 518.4, that says, okay, here are the 12 criteria that the tribe has to satisfy in order for a 13 certificate to be issued and so, you know, we're 14 asking how can that be -- how can we improve that criteria, make it more clear, make it less burdensome, 15 16 make it less duplicative, if that's the concern. 17 The criteria include a finding that the tribe conducts gaming with effective and honest 18 19 accounting, a reputation for fair, safe and honest 20 operations. The operation has to be fiscally and 21 economically sound and no criminal or dishonest 2.2 activity. Now, all of this comes from the 23 section of the act of IGRA that talks about the 24 self-regulation certification. The regulation 25

requires finding the tribe has adequate system for 1 2 accounting of revenues, investigation, licensing and monitoring of gaming employees, investigation 3 enforcement and prosecution of violations of gaming 4 5 ordinance and regulations and the finding -- and a finding, separate finding that the gaming activity's 6 7 been conducted in compliance with IGRA, the NIGC regs and the tribe's gaming ordinance and gaming 8 9 regulations.

10 The regulation continues with some indicators for those criteria, including adoption and 11 12 implementation of the MICS. Now, you have to keep in 13 mind that this regulation was written quite a while ago and was before there were MICS and so there's some 14 reference to Nevada and to New Jersey MICS if the NIGC 15 16 hasn't implemented there. So there's definitely some 17 areas we can see that how old this regulation is. 18 Evidence that suitability 19 determination for gaming regulators is at least as

20 stringent as the suitability determination for key 21 employees and primary management officials. Permanent 22 and stable funding for the tribal regulatory body, 23 adoption of a conflict of interest policy, evidence 24 that the operation is financially stable. A TGRA that 25 monitors gaming, promulgates regulations, ensures

adequate accounting systems, does routine audits, 1 2 inspects the premises, systems for investigation, licensing and monitoring of employees, standards of 3 issuance of a vendor license, adequate system of 4 5 investigation and enforcement. There's quite a bit, obviously, 6 7 regulation, and as we've gone through it, we've seen some areas that could, perhaps, be refined and, you 8 9 know, looking at what the ordinance requirements are, 10 perhaps some of this information's already included in that and so should it be reincluded in the 11 12 self-regulation petition. 13 One of the concerns has been that 14 there is out of all the gaming tribes, 248 gaming tribes, only two are self- -- have obtained this 15 16 self-regulation certification. 17 Another thing that the petition 18 requires is a notice that's sent out to the public in 19 the local area that the tribe is looking to become 20 self-regulating. This is all included in the 21 regulation and we can put it up on the board as we 2.2 discuss this further. 23 So the second issue in group five is sole proprietary interest, and the Notice of Inquiry 24 asked the commission whether -- or asked the tribes 25

1	whether or not the commission should consider a
2	regulation defining sole proprietary interest and
3	provide a process by which a tribe can request that
4	sort of review of their documents.
5	Comments received indicated that
6	tribes thought that if there is a regulation
7	promulgated, that the review of those documents should
8	only occur at the request of the tribe. That the
9	percentages contained in IGRA, the 60/40 percentages
10	in IGRA define what percentages might violate the act
11	sole proprietary to this provision.
12	Another commenter indicated that if
13	the sole proprietary interest is defined, then so
14	should primary beneficiary. There was some comments
15	about and we talked a little bit about this
16	yesterday when we were talking about collateral
17	agreements that a clear definition of sole
18	proprietary interest might provide stability and
19	access to financing, providing some sort of clarity in
20	what is required in your sort of umbrella of
21	documents.
22	Other concerns that the sole
23	proprietary interest definition might limit tribal
24	access to capital and then that a determination of
25	sole proprietary interest should be left to the

1 courts.

2	And then finally, as the chairwoman
3	discussed yesterday, and I think we'll speak a little
4	bit more about this afternoon as well, is Class III or
5	Class III minimum internal control standards. The
6	Notice of Inquiry I don't have it here. I guess
7	we'll talk about it this afternoon as well the
8	Notice of Inquiry also indicated and the Notice of
9	Regulatory Review indicated that group five was where
10	we would contemplate how to address Class III, the
11	Class III MICS issue.
12	It's something that is still on the
13	table for discussion and we're interested in your
14	comments on how to handle that. We had some
15	discussion about this yesterday and I think we set
16	aside some time this afternoon to talk about it with
17	the Class II MICS issue as well.
18	So that is the summary of the group
19	five.
20	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, Lael.
21	So up on the table right at the moment is what we went
22	over, which was the self-regulation of Class II gaming
23	and sole proprietary interest and also the minimum
24	internal control standards for Class III. You know, I
25	think Lael was making clear what the process is right

now and I think that it's really good for us to
 understand what does the self-regulation currently as
 it is cover.

There may be some misunderstanding 4 5 that self-regulation would be full, complete regulation including Class III and that's not the 6 7 It would just be for Class II and what we're case. looking for today are these questions. For a Class II 8 9 self-regulation certificate, is there interest by 10 tribes to obtain that certification, and if they are, 11 are there -- you know, have you looked at our current 12 regulation and identified areas that have been of 13 concern.

We know that we've had some tribes begin the process and then stop and say this is a little burdensome, so we're just going to stick to having the NIGC regulate for us.

In particular, looking at the current regulation, what we would like, especially for the operators and the regulators to let us know how we could improve upon the current regulation, whatever that might be.

Do we have tribes here that are
interested in self-regulation for a Class II? Okay.
So we see a few hands. I saw from my visual here

about five or six hands go up. Have you all had the
 opportunity to look at the regulation to identify
 areas that might be preventing you from becoming Class
 II self-regulated? Yes.

5 MS. OSBORNE: Mark Osborne with the 6 Shoshone-Bannock tribes gaming commission. I think I 7 don't know enough about the Class II issue as much as 8 we do the Class III's, but we always get concerned 9 about new doors being opened up and what do they lead 10 to.

11 Is this going to be an issue that may 12 fall somewhere in the compact issue, again, with 13 states, because they don't have any regulatory power or authority in Class II? Are they going to make up 14 15 some kind of an approach to do this? I mean, that's 16 what we want to be careful about. Because if it's an 17 avenue of new-style gaming that we could promote at our casinos, we'll be glad to do it and glad to look 18 19 at it, but if it's going to lead us to some type of 20 dispute with states because they can't get their hands on anything, that's what we're concerned about. 21 2.2 That's what we'd be concerned about.

23 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I'm trying to 24 think of a situation where the... Because this only 25 has to do with Class II, so I'm not sure what the 1 compacts being with regard to Class III, how and why 2 the state would want to be involved if they're not 3 regulating you now in your Class II. But, you know, 4 that's certainly a concern to be aware of. It's how 5 the state might interpret it.

Now, this regulation was put into
place and do we have a history on how it was put in
place? I think it was put in place in 1998 and that's
been, what, 13 years ago. Yes.

10 MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes. Tracie, I 11 believe that we had looked at this regulation right 12 after it was written and we had made a decision to do 13 research on it, and about the only thing that we found 14 is that this current regulation was guite cumbersome 15 to work through the process. We felt that it was 16 quite lengthy.

17 We thought that some of the 18 requirements there were -- just it was just too hard 19 to accomplish with the regulation as it is written. 20 James Armstrong, Snoqualmie tribe. 21 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Any other 2.2 comments on Class II? Lisa Bluelake. 23 Yes. MS. BLUELAKE: 24 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: We have a

25 microphone coming over to you.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1	MS. BLUELAKE: My name is Lisa
2	Bluelake. I'm legal counsel with the Confederated
3	Grand Ronde, which is one of two tribes in the country
4	that are self-regulated. There's a few comments I
5	would like to make, but I would take a little issue
6	with minimizing the impact of Class II.
7	I think, you know, obviously that with
8	the CRIT decision and what the intent of IGRA is as
9	far as NIGC's role in Class III, I think that if a
10	tribe has Class II self-regulation, I think that that
11	needs to be interpreted with the intent of IGRA and
12	one of the IGRA specifically indicates that there are
13	four powers of the commission that self-regulated
14	tribes are exempted from. And I'm getting the
15	impression NIGC is trying to limit that by focusing on
16	Class II rather than Class III, even though to get the
17	certificate you look at things that pertain to Class
18	II and Class III.
19	The annual report deals with finance
20	issues, all those issues that deal with both Class II
21	and Class III. So, you know, we may have to discuss
22	this some more since it's just two tribes at this
23	point.
24	But in addition to that, I just wanted
25	to mention that we've commented and some of the

1	comments that we've had when we were going through
2	these regulations, that the commission needs to take a
3	look at how self-regulated tribes are impacted by the
4	regs and indicate. For example, we talked about fees
5	yesterday. In the fee regulations there's no mention
6	of how those regulations impact self-regulated tribes,
7	even though it's clear that self-regulated tribes do
8	pay fees differently than nonself-regulated tribes.
9	Another one was the background
10	investigations. There is nothing in the current or
11	proposed regs that talked about the effect of
12	self-regulation those regs on self-regulated
13	tribes, even though it's clear that self-regulated
14	tribes don't have to submit the same types of
15	information to NIGC as nonself-regulated tribes.
16	There's other examples, but I just
17	wanted to put on the record that that's something that
18	Grand Ronde as a self-regulated tribe would like to
19	see more of and I think if it's included in the regs,
20	then more tribes would look at self-regulation and the
21	possibility of going through the process, which is
22	lengthy and cumbersome.
23	But it is something that the Grand
24	Ronde is proud of and feels that, you know, it should
25	be a process that's available and meaningful.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

Currently it's not real meaningful in terms of actual
 benefits, but hopefully that, you know, we can, you
 know, work together to enhance those benefits.

MR. BOYCE: Mike Boyce, executive 4 5 director of Grand Ronde. As the person that prepares this report annually, I can tell you it isn't -- once 6 7 you go through the process and set it up initially, it's not as cumbersome as it might look annually. 8 9 Once you have the template in place, it's basically 10 just updating the annual information. So it's not 11 that burdensome once you receive the certificate.

12 The problem is that there's no -- the 13 benefits are lacking. There's a minor, minuscule reduction in fees and there's a little less burdensome 14 15 in what we have to supply as far as licensing goes, 16 but other than that there's just not a lot of benefit 17 and that's what we'd like to see. We'd like to see that the standards are a little high, but the benefits 18 19 be increased. If anybody wants to find out more about 20 it, just give me a call, executive director at Grand 21 Ronde. I'd be happy to talk to you about the process 2.2 and help you if I can.

23 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: So can I ask a 24 follow-up question? You mentioned benefits. What 25 would be, in your mind, beneficial? What other

additional benefits might be considered? 1 2 MR. BOYCE: Certainly considering CRIT 3 and you don't have the Class III enforcement, only Class II and you're self-regulated in Class II, I 4 5 would see a bigger benefit in reduction of fees. 6 Also, I think, as you address each of 7 these regulations, it should address how Class II could be affected and that would make the commission, 8 9 I think, focus on either how it could be or couldn't 10 be. 11 But if you just keep it in a Class II 12 req, nobody that's not -- or in self-regulated 13 regulation, nobody that's not self-regulated is going to refer to it that often, but if it's in each 14 15 regulation, even if, you know, you haven't reduced any burden on Class II tribe, if that was noted, each 16 17 regulation, I think, should address the self-regulated tribe, in my opinion. 18 19 Then seriously look at how the impact 20 of that regulation could either be reduced or 21 eliminated for a self-regulated tribe. 2.2 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: What you're 23 saying is it's all housed right here rather than seeing -- considering self-regulation, self-regulated 24 tribes throughout all of the other regs? 25

1	MR. BOYCE: Yeah.
2	MS. BLUELAKE: I mean, there is a few
3	examples that may be less relevant now that you aren't
4	looking at modifying the facility licensing reg, but
5	that was one example of the powers that self-regulated
6	tribes are exempt from is inspection and examining
7	premises and, you know, we had early on when that
8	facility license reg was being proposed, well, there's
9	an opportunity for you to either exempt self-regulated
10	tribes or to minimize the requirements on
11	self-regulated tribes.
12	Again, you know, you're proposing
13	change to that, but that was one of our previous
14	comments to NIGC in earlier years.
15	Another one, you know, is auditing.
16	One of the powers that self-regulated tribes are
17	exempt from is the access to inspection, examining,
18	auditing the gaming facility. We've made comments to
19	NIGC in previous years about exempting from some of
20	the auditing requirements, especially since our
21	self-regulation report includes all those things.
22	So, you know, there's a lot of overlap
23	between what is reported through some of these
24	regulations that apply to all tribes and what's
25	reported in our self-regulation annual report, so that
1 there needs to be some recognition of that. So those 2 are a few examples. 3 Also, recently, we commented on the 4 consultation policy and suggested that there be 5 specific provisions for consulting with self-regulated 6 tribes. So those are some few examples. I'm sure

7 | there's many more.

8 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you. That 9 is helpful. If you look at the self-regulation 10 regulation, it's actually rather long. Not that it 11 shouldn't be, but if you all haven't had an 12 opportunity to go through it first, I can understand 13 the conversation might be limited here.

14 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: I think the focus 15 for us has been when we're looking at this as well, 16 and considering the comments -- Grand Ronde has 17 submitted some comments -- is to look at duplications. Where can we eliminate the duplicative efforts that 18 19 are going on so that we keep the integrity of the 20 regulation, what IGRA was trying to get at when it put 21 this into the act, but also taking away those 2.2 duplicative efforts that are taking away time and resources from the tribes. 23

So thank you for your comments,because I read them and I actually seen your

1 certificate too, so... 2 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I think the two 3 certificates are in Dan's possession. ASSOC. COMMISSIONER LITTLE: One of 4 5 the few authorities I have. 6 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: He's the commissioner that signs the certificates and has them, 7 8 so... 9 ASSOC. COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I do 10 appreciate your comments, because when we did issue 11 the NOI, we did ask. This is one of the issues that 12 arose and we truly, really want to hear from tribes to 13 find out what challenges you guys are facing and ways that we can improve this, because it's important if 14 something is in the act and something that the 15 16 commission should be looking to improve so that tribes 17 can utilize this. So thank you. 18 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes, Linda. 19 MR. HELM: Linda Helm, Port Gamble. Ι 20 haven't had a chance to thoroughly review the 21 regulation, but we are interested in self-regulation 2.2 and just from what I'm hearing, it would seem that if 23 the benefits were greater for the tribe, that would be 24 good, and if it were less burdensome, that also would help other tribes. 25

1 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Absent any other 2 discussion, we can talk about also sole proprietary interest. Scott, you look like you have something to 3 4 say. 5 MR. WHEAT: Yeah, well. For the record, Scott Wheat, attorney for the Spokane tribe. 6 7 Sole proprietary interest issues are very important to Spokane and I would imagine to all the tribes in this 8 9 room. Of course we've submitted -- in our written 10 comments we've addressed this issue. 11 To just summarize our written 12 comments, kind of two principal points to make. One 13 is that we often -- if one is to look back at a NIGC 14 analysis of this issue, it's usually in opinion 15 letters from NIGC attorneys and it usually involves 16 like review of management contracts and collateral 17 agreements, and obviously, as we discussed yesterday, that's going to come up when we're doing those 18 19 documents. It darn well should. It's something that 20 the NIGC should be looking for and keenly aware of. 21 One of the things we also wanted to 2.2 point out too, though, that while we see the potential for overreaching and violation of the sole proprietary 23 24 interest requirement in management contracts in collateral agreements, where we really see it is in 25

1 tribal state compacts.

2	Now, we understand that it's the
3	Department of the Interior that approves or does
4	nothing and deems it approved to the compact, but we
5	also think that, you know, compacts to the extent that
6	in a practical application result in sole proprietary
7	interest, that, you know, that's within the NIGC's
8	scope of authority as well.
9	So we would hope that the NIGC expands
10	the areas in which it is looking for potential sole
11	proprietary interest violations, because at the end of
12	the day, we all know that's the very centerstone of
13	IGRA. And to the extent we have violations, you know,
14	the lawyers can talk as long as the day is about what
15	those should look like, but what it boils down to is
16	it means that somebody else is reaping the benefits of
17	Indian gaming other than the tribe, who theoretically
18	owns the casino.
19	I think we can all agree that that
20	just flat shouldn't happen, but unfortunately it has
21	been. There's commissions, you know, taking us
22	taking action with respect to the Fond du Lac. The
23	Ninth Circuit has taken action with respect to the
24	Schwarzenegger in the Rincon compact.
25	We expect and of course the US

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

Supreme Court declined to review the Ninth Circuit
 decision, so it stands. It's a very positive
 development for Indian country. I think it provides
 NIGC lawyers a very good analytical tool. The Ninth
 Circuit analysis was sound, sound enough for the US
 Supreme Court not to touch it.

7 You know, we were encouraged to see that there were references to the decision in the Fond 8 9 du Lac NOV. But as you know, the same, you know, 10 provisions that the Schwarzenegger administration was 11 demanding that the Rincon band were demanded of many 12 tribes in Fond du Lac and there are actually existing 13 compacts in California that are resulting in about 14 \$350,000 of Indian gaming revenue being directly 15 transferred into the state's general fund.

16 Now, you know, it's not as if I'm 17 trying to put the blame at this commission's doorstep, but what we have here -- and there's reasons for that. 18 19 We need to get this on the record here. Ever since 20 Seminole, states have used the lack of a viable remedy 21 in compact negotiations to extort taxes out of the 2.2 tribes, and it's time to stop it and we finally have very good law from the Ninth Circuit that will allow 23 24 us to put a stop to it. And we're very encouraged that the NIGC is taking a look at this issue and has 25

1 taken action on this issue.

2	On the one hand, you know, we want to
3	say kudos to the commission and, on the other hand, we
4	want to say there's a lot of work to be done out
5	there. There is a lot of agreements that are in place
6	that tribes are suffering under them that we believe
7	directly violated the core principle of the
8	requirement of IGRA that tribes be the owners, the
9	effective owners of the gaming circuits.
10	The second point I can't believe
11	I'm calling this a summary, but
12	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Excuse me. Did
13	you say as long as the day is?
14	MR. WHEAT: Yeah, exactly. Hopefully
15	I won't be that long. I swear I'll be like two
16	minutes on this one.
17	What we've seen when it comes to sole
18	proprietary interest is, you know, people are trying
19	to get their hands on our money, and when it comes to
20	that people can be supercreative, phenomenally
21	creative. I mean, we can look at things and there's
22	been agreements where, you know, tribes end up paying
23	rent on land they own, that's effectively, you know,
24	30 percent of their GGR that goes straight to a state.
25	I mean, my point is is lawyers

drafting regulations and rules, there are just, 1 2 there's crazy stuff going on out there that you all aren't going to be able to anticipate and it's all 3 going to be very fact specific. And I think your 4 5 lawyers will attest to that in their collateral document review, but a lot of times you got to piece 6 7 three or four of these things together and you find out that at the end of the day, you know, when you 8 9 combine everyone else's cut from the tribe's revenue, 10 when you look at the actual effect of those 11 agreements, the tribe's receiving pittance compared to 12 other interests. 13 So the point here is is I think that 14 regulations -- we're skeptical that cutting 15 regulations, trying to define sole proprietary 16 interest is going to be able to anticipate all of the 17 instances in which those issues may arise and also the fact and the nature of that analysis may make it 18 19 difficult to set forth a bright line. 20 Hey, you know, we all work with 21 They all love bright lines, but sometimes lenders. 2.2 the world is too complicated to give them a bright line and this may very well be one of those 23 24 situations. We're not opposed to it, but we're skeptical that that would be the right driver. 25

1	What we would suggest is that the NIGC
2	continue to develop, really, its body of opinions on
3	the issue when certain agreements, circumstances are
4	put before you and your attorneys, you analyze that,
5	you know. To those of us who are in this line of
6	work, I think, you know, there's over 25, under 30,
7	you know, written declination letters and different
8	analysis of sole proprietary interest issues directly
9	from the NIGC.
10	So you're kind of developing the body
11	of administrative common law, if you will, to address
12	that issue and that may be the more sound approach.
13	So that's the second point, and I'll shut up.
14	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I'm just teasing
15	you, Scott. You know, I'll probably put Jo-Ann and
16	Lael on the spot, because we've had pretty extensive
17	conversations internally about this. We see that, the
18	creativity. When we have approved management
19	contracts or we have declination letters, you know,
20	that body of work becomes sort of what, you know, both
21	tribes and management, partners, lending institutions
22	look at to guide them.
23	We have 92 of these opinions and these
24	decisions around management contracts, lending
25	instruments. I want to applaud our general counsel's

office and staff for putting these all together over a
 very long period of time and summarizing and having
 them inform our internal discussions.

You know, I see them usually first, 4 5 unless they come back on appeal, management contracts. Certainly the general counsel's office see the lending 6 7 instruments and we struggle with what is the universe of creativity that we can see and we have trouble 8 9 defining that, and then the intricate balance, as you 10 have mentioned yesterday, Scott, about when is it the 11 trust's responsibility and when is it not an ideal 12 deal.

13 Where you've got a tribe that may not 14 be able to get partnership, whether that means in 15 terms of management or partnership in terms of 16 lending, anywhere else where there may be a lot of 17 controversy around their activity, which increase the 18 risks, and that they may be, because of the risk, 19 subject to maybe less -- not average types of terms in 20 the contract or in their lending instrument. 21 So when is it sole proprietary 2.2 interest and when is it not a perfect deal and not

23 interfering with what may be a very narrow opportunity 24 for a tribe in terms of their business and financial

25 development.

1	So, you know, I don't know, Jo-Ann, if
2	you'd be comfortable in talking about some of those
3	questions that we have, what we've seen over time and,
4	you know, what quite frankly, you know, some tribes
5	want us to, kind of collateral agreements, they're
6	like, don't get in our business, and other tribes
7	after it's been executed say, we got a bad deal here.
8	It is an issue, but what is the best
9	way for us to address this. Right now we have one
10	bulletin, yeah, one bulletin, that was issued in 1993.
11	MS. SHYLOSKI: We don't have a
12	bulletin on sole proprietary interest. We have
13	bulletins that talks about the difference between
14	managing and consulting.
15	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Right. So, you
16	know, would a regulation be sufficient. I don't know
17	if you would be able to summarize, Jo-Ann, sort of the
18	history, what we've seen and what kind of questions we
19	would have about what we would consider even if we
20	don't have a regulation and what we do consider now on
21	factors when we're looking at either management
22	contracts or lending instruments might help inform
23	this conversation.
24	MS. SHYLOSKI: Certainly. Well, it's
25	interesting that, you know, the agency began its

worked in 1993 and really did not address this mandate in IGRA about tribes having sole proprietary interest in the gaming activity until about 2003, and as the chairwoman mentioned, since that time we have issued 92 advisory legal opinions.

6 That is something that folks can 7 submit their agreements or proposed agreements to the 8 Office of General Counsel's office for a determination 9 or a legal opinion about whether they violate this 10 mandate or whether it's a management contract.

11 In some respects those have been legal 12 -- those legal opinions have been very helpful to 13 tribes, enabling tribes to renegotiate their deals or 14 to negotiate a better deal that hasn't been executed, but in other instances folks have said this is just a 15 16 legal opinion; it's not agency action, and so 17 therefore it's not worth the paper it's written on. And so one of the things that we'd 18 19 like to hear from you all is the process for sole 20 proprietary interest responses. Do you think that the

21 legal opinion, advisory opinion process is working.
22 Would you like to see a more formal process.

23 Since the agency's inception, we've 24 only issued three NOVs, Notices of Violation, which 25 are agency action by our chairwoman for sole proprietary interest violations, and our chairwoman in her short term has already issued two of them. So we certainly would like feedback from you on that process.

5 Being agency action, those decisions can be appealed to the full commission and the full 6 commission can render a final decision, which then can 7 be litigated in federal court. So if tribes are 8 9 thinking that having this issue be developed through the federal courts, it may be better for that to be 10 11 done via agency action as opposed to legal opinion 12 letters.

13 The other component of that we'd like to hear from you all about is the mandate itself. 14 Over time we've taken a look at sole proprietary 15 16 interest and what it means and there are primarily 17 three factors that we've looked at. The length of the term that a third party is involved in the tribe's 18 19 operation. You know, generally under management 20 contracts folks can only be involved -- can only 21 manage between five and seven years, depending upon 2.2 the circumstances. So we look at the length of the 23 term.

24 We also look at the amount of revenue 25 going to that third party and whether there is a

significant risk that that third party is undertaking that justifies the amount. Generally we have found that in the NOV -- in the Notice of Violation context we often find that the third party is the primary beneficiary of the gaming activity, not the tribe itself.

7 Then lastly, we look at control, who's 8 making the decisions here. Again, we find that we 9 generally find that the third party has some sort of 10 control over the gaming regulation or the operation 11 itself. So those are the issues that we're grappling 12 with and we'd love your feedback on.

13 MR. WOOLSEY: Hi. Tim Woolsey from Colville tribes. On that third factor -- this is sort 14 15 of what I was asking about yesterday -- I understand 16 how that the control factor has a significant impact 17 in the context of a management contract, right, 18 because you don't want someone controlling it without 19 the chairman or the chairwoman approving that 20 management contract.

But what if there actually is no control by the third party, but they still have those first two elements? They're getting significant revenues and the length of the term is long. I mean, that to me still highlights that there is a -- there

1	could be a proprietary interest involved, and I just
2	would like the commission to really think about that.
3	Because again, as Scott pointed out,
4	there is a lot of different creative instruments out
5	there for a variety of situations that aren't
6	management oriented, like a lease, for example. So I
7	really would ask you to really think about that.
8	MS. SHYLOSKI: And we are. We are
9	certainly open to hearing from you on what needs to be
10	looked at for purposes of sole proprietary interest,
11	what you think the factors are and your analysis on
12	it.
13	As Lael mentioned yesterday, you know,
14	you often see contracts where the same third party is
15	getting a piece of the pie for doing different things.
16	They may be providing funds, so there's a financing
17	contract. They may be developing the operation, so
18	there's a development contract. They may be
19	consulting and so they're getting money there, and
20	then when you add it all up, they're getting the
21	benefit of the tribe's operation through doing all
22	these different things and dealing with all these
23	different issues.
24	MR. TAHSUDA: Madam Chairwoman,
25	commissioners, I know you guys have heard this. I'm

sorry. My name is John Tahsuda. I represent a number
 of tribes in Oklahoma, and this is, as you know, a
 particularly hot topic for tribes in Oklahoma and I
 know you guys have heard a lot of this. I want to
 share with brother tribes up in this part of the
 country.

7 The experience in Oklahoma has been 8 with the opinion letters dealing with this issue and 9 stuff and has been, I'll be generous and say an uneven 10 experience. Part of it, you know, has been some, I 11 think, very different opinions from the tribes and 12 with the commission historically about the role of 13 this definition.

Honestly, there are some tribes, I think, that believe this is not even an issue that the commission should be involved in. I don't think that's a consensus opinion. I think there are a number of tribes there that think this is a legitimate exercise by the commission.

But one of the ways this is played out historically with the commission that has caused a lot of heartburn is the use through the opinion letters and actions taken by the General Counsel's Office in which there was no recourse by a tribe or by the tribe's partner, a vendor, who was brought into this.

1	In Oklahoma we have a lot of
2	facilities. There's a lot of operations. A lot of
3	them are not in really favorable market areas and we
4	have a history of, I think, being very creative in how
5	we have been able to partner with third parties to
6	develop facilities in a way that has allowed them to
7	be built, operated and run to the benefit of the
8	tribe, but have had to be creative in terms of length,
9	in terms of issues that have at least been called
10	control by the commission in the past, and also on the
11	revenue side.
12	In any one of these agreements and
13	I know a lot of you guys know this, but out of those
14	three factors identified very well by Jo-Ann, any one
15	of those can be more heavily weighted at any
16	particular deal depending on what are the needs of the
17	deal.
18	So I think at the root of it you
19	know, over time in the discussions and particularly
20	with the prior chairman, there was a lot of discussion
21	going the direction of is there a way at least to make
22	these decisions ultimately agency action, so that we
23	have both an avenue within the commission through the
24	commission's appeal process to deal with it, and,
25	following that, to actually have, you know, then an

administrative record to go to the courts with. 1 There is a reason, I think, that there 2 haven't been a lot of NOVs and legal challenges, is 3 because a lot of the tribes, on the advice of 4 5 attorneys, felt like it was very unfavorable to them in trying to express their views in fighting a legal 6 7 opinion from the commission that wasn't agency action, that would go to the federal courts, without any sort 8 9 of administrative record or factual record being built 10 on it. Essentially be arguing purely myriad of law 11 without understanding the mechanics of the deal for 12 the tribe and they just felt often that, I think, that 13 that was a very unfavorable legal position for them to 14 be in. 15 So there was a real reluctance to 16 challenge decisions made in the past based off of 17 these opinion letters. Again, there were very few NOVs that came out of it because a lot of the tribes 18 just backed down and said, okay, we'll figure out some 19 other way to deal with this. 20 21 I think it's, you know, again, the 2.2 three factors in this, I think, we have become adept at manipulating those, to the advantage of the tribe 23 24 in most cases. Understanding that there are times when the tribe may not have had the best advice or the 25

best facts of its own to operate on and that there is
 (inaudible).

I mean, I would say there's probably, again, not a consensus view, but maybe a majority view, but there is a role for the commission to play, ultimately as a trust role and trust responsibility to the tribes in looking after those interests, but I think it's a very difficult one.

9 We're still working on our -- and you 10 had some response, but we're still working on what may 11 end up being our final response or will hopefully be a 12 consensus response from the Oklahoma tribes on this 13 issue. One of the mantras that we've had ultimately 14 is that we think that, with the ultimate goal of protecting the tribe's interest and making sure the 15 16 tribe is the primary beneficiary, that the tribes need 17 to have the maximum economic flexibility to do what they have to do to make their gaming operations 18 19 successful and a benefit to the tribe.

We don't want to see sort of an informal agency process get in the way of that, at least in a way that we don't have an effective way to present our own views in opposition to them. Thank you.

25

CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: So thank you,

1	John. So what I'm hearing is there is some desire to
2	have some formal process, that we create an
3	administrative record, something that the tribe can
4	get their hands on. Because the opinions are not
5	necessarily I mean, in some ways they do help the
6	tribe, in other ways they don't.
7	But also addressing Scott's concern is
8	how do you get this into a formal process, you know, a
9	regulation could not encompass every possible nuance
10	to very creative deals. That's where we're struggling
11	with this.
12	We hear what you're saying. We also
13	understand, because we see every just when we
14	thought we knew every deal twist, there's another one
15	that's presented to us. So I would be interested in,
16	you know, for the tribes that you represent, how do we
17	merge and how do we bring those two things together,
18	the desire for final agency action and by what method
19	do we get there a reg can't encompass that might
20	actually a reg might encroach in your ability to be
21	flexible.
22	Scott, I'm going to turn over here for
23	just a second and then we'll go back to you. I'm
24	
	sorry. I didn't see your hand.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1	an attorney, so I won't be too long. When you were
2	mentioning you had 92 legal opinions out there, one of
3	the things that I'm concerned about in these opinions
4	is does tribe would tribes have access to these
5	opinions and then our tribes in particular, the
6	Shoshone-Bannock tribes, would be the lending
7	institutes, your opinions on those.
8	We're entering into a loan through a
9	bank here and I get concerned with a lot of the
10	language that they're putting in there. So is there a
11	chance that I know they're just opinions, but it
12	still would be a lot of help for us in negotiating
13	these terms on our loan.
14	MS. SHYLOSKI: You are welcome to
15	submit your proposed, unexecuted agreement to our
16	Office of General Counsel for a legal opinion on it.
17	MR. SMALL: I was just looking for
18	maybe some of your past legal opinions. We don't need
19	to know the tribe's name or those kinds of things,
20	maybe just some of the bare bones opinions about
21	those.
22	MS. SHYLOSKI: And that's where we
23	have been heavily criticized, because due to the
24	Freedom of Information Act, a lot of these opinions
25	when we release them have the numbers and other

proprietary business information redacted from them 1 2 and so they aren't as helpful to folks as they would be if those numbers were in them, but we're not able 3 to release them with the proprietary business 4 5 information in it. MR. SMALL: Okay. I'm just curious. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I think the combination of the two, are they posted? 8 9 MS. SHYLOSKI: They're on our website 10 11 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Redacted. 12 MS. SHYLOSKI: -- redacted. 13 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: So I think what 14 tribes have been having to do is -- I see the 15 attorneys shaking their heads -- you go on our website 16 and you look at what you can look at and then help 17 that inform your negotiation and then submit your unexecuted documents prior to finalizing them and 18 19 doing sort of a two-prong approach. 20 Again, this is where we get 21 criticized, because it's rather time consuming for 2.2 It may slow your deal down a little bit, but I you. 23 would say that most of the banks or the lending 24 institutions are aware now of what we're looking for 25 and, you know, they're even to the point where

1	they're, from what I've seen, okay with submitting,
2	you know, unexecuted instruments so as to avoid their
3	deal going sideways at the last minute, because we
4	weren't included to tell you you know, there's
5	certain provisions that are going to be problematic.
6	So I think that's what you'd probably
7	have to do. If you have folks negotiating this for
8	you or your attorneys, they are on our website. It's
9	not going to be complete, but if you can include us
10	early and often in your deal, that would certainly
11	help your time frames.
12	MR. SMALL: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Scott.
14	MR. SMALL: I'm sorry. I had an
15	attorney bother me from the back here, and you
16	probably all know her. It's Sharon House, and she
17	does represent our gaming commission. I believe she
18	had some type of a comment or
19	MS. HOUSE: Good morning. Again, my
20	name is Sharon House. One of the recommendations that
21	I'd like to make again is to go back to the bulletins.
22	I think it was expressed very clearly here that there
23	is a bulletin, but it's from '93 or '92. That's a
24	long time ago.
25	I mean, you've got so much more

1	documents and so much more things that have happened,
2	is that maybe one of the recommendations would be is
3	to do a bulletin that encompasses a number of the
4	issues you've just brought up. Again, I mean, on sole
5	proprietary interest, dividing it into some of the
6	issues that were just expressed here.
7	And I think it is time for another
8	bulletin. That's a long time since then.
9	Unfortunately, I remember that. But anyway, it would
10	seem that that takes into consideration what Scott's
11	talking about, is not having a regulation, and it also
12	takes into consideration the tribe's experiences and
13	it doesn't harm you in regard to the Freedom of
14	Information Act.
15	It allows all the information to go in
16	there with some of the opinions, the legal opinions,
17	without stating, you know, in detail and looking at
18	them specifically, but maybe it could be categorized
19	in a little different manner. And I heard that here,
20	is that there's different categories and how you want
21	to look at it in different situations and that way we
22	know you need, you know, a final agency action.
23	But I think this would be very
24	helpful, because that takes a long time to have the
25	tribe come forward with all its documents, submit them

If there was some type of guidelines and 1 to you. 2 bulletin ahead of time, then they would know exactly 3 which documents really needed to come forward to the commission and that might save both the tribes and the 4 5 commission a lot of time in going through the 6 preliminaries. Thank you. 7 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Okay. You're up, Scott, and then we're going to take a break and cut 8 9 off the lawyers. I'm kidding. 10 MR. WHEAT: No lawyers can talk after the lunch break too. Just really quickly. We've been

10 MR. WHEAT: No lawyers can talk after 11 the lunch break too. Just really quickly. We've been 12 dancing around the primary beneficiary issue and so I 13 just wanted to touch on that too. I mean, that's a 14 separate, you know, requirement of IGRA, and I think 15 you may well have situations that could arise where 16 you don't necessarily have a sole proprietary interest 17 violation, but you do have a primary beneficiary 18 violation.

I think you start getting into, you know, perhaps less of control issues in the three-prong analysis that you -- sole proprietary interest and more just, you know, straight how much revenue is going out the door to other entities and you very well get, you know, these cumulative analysis primary beneficiary concerns.

1	And I could see another prong in
2	forming that in the analysis would be as you look at a
3	sole proprietary interest, if there's a lot of money
4	going out the door, why is it going out the door. Is
5	it going out the door because it's a high-risk loan,
6	you know, is there substantial services being
7	provided, or is it just simply a tax being called
8	something else.
9	But at the end of the day, if that
10	results in, you know, if you look at what the state's
11	getting, what the county's getting, what the sheriff's
12	getting, what the developers are getting, what the
13	managers are getting, and the tribe's getting about,
14	you know, one or two cents on the dollar, I would
15	submit that you probably got primary beneficiary
16	issues to be looking at.
17	So we would like to see NIGC continue
18	to develop its analysis on primary beneficiary as
19	well.
20	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Would we be
21	expecting your client's offerings on primary
22	beneficiary if you haven't submitted anything yet?
23	MR. WHEAT: We've got some, but we
24	mention it in our written testimony that if you'd like
25	to go into it further. We've on behalf of some of our

clients been able to address that in some ways. 1 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I think it's safe 2 3 to say that we see that. I'm looking towards Jo-Ann because those are the, you know, OGC, our General 4 5 Counsel's Office is the ones that are looking at these things and we wonder about, you know, where does sole 6 7 proprietary interest end and primary beneficiary begin. So we would be interested in hearing your 8 9 client's thoughts. 10 MR. WHEAT: Thank you. 11 MS. SHYLOSKI: We'd appreciate them. 12 Thanks. 13 MR. WHEAT: Happy to provide them. 14 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: It's good to hear 15 that the things that we were struggling with 16 internally, that clearly that's been on the minds of 17 tribes and their representatives. So it's not just us 18 wondering about this. 19 MR. WHEAT: Yeah. You're not crazy, 20 or we're all crazy. One of the two. 21 MR. SPENCER: Mike Spencer, Spokane 2.2 tribe. On the primary beneficiary issue, I agree with Scott that we need to take a good look at who's 23 24 sharing in the revenues and what the percentages are 25 being shared by what entities and what revenues are

actually being enjoyed on behalf of the tribe. 1 2 I believe as John was mentioning 3 earlier, you know, sometimes in order to get an operation up and running, it's fairly expensive and 4 5 more money has to be divided into more places at the onset in order for the tribe to enjoy, you know, any 6 7 benefit from the gaming operation at all. So we need to carefully analyze, you 8 9 know, what is the particular situation of that tribe, 10 what's involved, and what are the risks associated 11 with partners coming in assisting that tribe to enjoy 12 a successful gaming operation. 13 So I hope that we'd look at each one 14 of those situations independently and analyze, you 15 know, what is a realistic expectation of sharing of 16 revenues for the tribe and the partners that would be 17 involved to help it be successful. 18 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you. So why don't we take a 15 minute break and we'll be back 19 20 and start to talk about other parts of group five, in 21 particular, process on Class III. 2.2 (Recess taken.) 23 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Let's get started again. We do want to open it up to -- we've been 24 talking this morning about sole proprietary interest 25

1	and self-regulation. The next thing that's on our
2	agenda for this morning, and again, I want to
3	reiterate that you can jump in and talk about any of
4	the parts at any time, groups one through five, and
5	any of the drafts that we have out there.
б	The next part we want to talk about is
7	Class II minimum internal control standards and Class
8	III technical standards. One of the things I wanted
9	to talk about I'm just looking here. Might have
10	gone off script. I think I went off script into the
11	afternoon. There we go.
12	We do want to talk about how to
13	proceed with MICS generally and technical standards.
14	And that last comment there says NOI asks how to
15	proceed. The Tribal Gaming Working Group, which is an
16	independent, sort of ad hoc group of tribal
17	representatives that is not part of NIGC, has turned
18	several tribes have turned into some of the work
19	products for that group as alternatives to the Class
20	II minimum internal control standards and the
21	technical standards.
22	We're looking at those right now, but
23	the last over the time that we've been here and
23 24	the last over the time that we've been here and through the Notice of Inquiry and over these past 10

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

hear again and again the desires from tribes to have a tribal advisory committee again. Not necessarily under the terms or structure that they were done in the past, but harkening back to days when the advisory committee had facilitators, they were able to get some work products out, and that they were well informed by experts in the industry.

8 So I do want to talk about how should 9 we proceed. I know yesterday we talked about Class 10 III and authorities and especially in light of the 11 CRIT decision, but we do want to talk about process. 12 I would like to know, you know, how might we proceed 13 with Class II, Class III minimum internal control 14 standards and technical standards.

15 We really are seriously considering a 16 tribal advisory group, and there's some basic 17 questions and I know that there was -- I think Glen had some time constraints and wanted to make some 18 19 comments for the record before he had to leave today. 20 I'm not sure if you're prepared to do that right now. 21 MR. GOBIN: Well, I guess, first off, 2.2 my name is Glen Gobin, vice chair of the Tulalip I want to say on behalf of our chairman, Mel 23 tribes. 24 Sheldon, who can't speak right now -- I've got the mic -- I just want to say thank you to all of the 25

1 attendees that came in for the tradeshow that we had 2 and all those that stayed for the consultation and 3 continued on here to Friday to discuss some very 4 important issues that we have.

I again want to commend the commission for their following through with what we call a very aggressive schedule to go back and consult with tribes about issues that they're facing and moving these forward in a good way.

Through that process, though, even 10 11 prior to the regs when they came out, there were a 12 number of tribal comments put out regarding the regs 13 that are in place regarding Class III and tribes commented on those. Tribes have commented both on 14 them since then with the new commission that's in 15 16 place and with Class II minimum internal controls and 17 technical standards.

18 So there's been a lot of, lot of 19 statements made. There's a lot of information out 20 there, there's a lot of tribal comments on the record, 21 and so Tulalip is in support of an advisory group that 22 might be formed that would move forward and address 23 the MICS Class II as well as the technical standards 24 from Class II.

25

Again, I see there's none being

1 proposed for Class III, but I guess I'll just make the 2 statement on the record again. We just feel that 3 those regs just need to be repealed and dealt with in 4 that manner.

5 But the advisory group for Class II, we would support moving forward with that insomuch as 6 7 it doesn't create a whole nother process of selection and cause the time frame to continue and we get into 8 9 -- and inadvertently cause it to extend out further. 10 And so as long as that can happen, we're fully in 11 support of that, because I think done correctly it 12 would speed up this process and start moving it along 13 smoother and also free up attention to some of the 14 other issues that are being addressed in the previous 15 consultations like yesterday and today.

16 And so we would support that going 17 And that was it. So thank you very much. forward. Ι do apologize that we do have, both Mel and I, have a 18 19 previous commitment as well across the hall. We have 20 a long-time employee, a tribal member employee, that's 21 retiring today and so we're going to be honoring him, 2.2 so...

CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you and
best of luck over there and our well wishes for that
employee. So on the agenda we're talking about Class

II. It would be really great if we could get some
 feedback. We don't have any drafts on this except
 what was published in 2008 on Class II MICS and the
 technical standards.

5 As I said, the Tribal Gaming Working 6 Group, the independent working group of tribal 7 representatives, has proposed in part an alternative Class II MICS and technical standards. 8 Μv 9 understanding is that document is not yet complete. 10 There is going to be an additional submission. I know 11 tribes have requested to see it and actually probably 12 has been circulated by the Indian working -- or the 13 Tribal Gaming Working Group and many folks have seen 14 it.

I would like to know how the Class II 15 16 MICS that have been posted or that have been finaled, that were finalized in 2008, although not effective 17 18 yet, any comment that you might have about those. 19 Those were promulgated in 2006 to 2008 through a 20 tribal advisory committee that the NIGC sponsored. 21 We'd like to know your thoughts on that process. 2.2 If these -- what, if anything, in 23 these standing regs are useful, where we might see 24 improvement, and also the technical standards. And 25 technical standards are for Class II machine game play

1 machines. So, you know, in this particular region of 2 the country there are quite a few Class II machines, 3 but not necessarily the kind -- the numbers that we 4 would see, say, in Oklahoma or elsewhere, but still 5 important.

6 So if you all have some information or 7 observations about those standing regulations that 8 would help inform what we'd like to do and how we 9 should proceed. I will say that this commission has 10 been -- we've been very clear about getting some 11 closure to these, these regulations while we're here. 12 They've been pending since about 2004.

13 It seems like the advisory committee 14 started in 2004, you know, different iterations of an 15 advisory committee evolved over time and by the time 16 we came into office they were still on the back burner 17 as not yet effective or seeing that they needed to be 18 revised already.

We've heard from people who sat on the advisory committees previously informing our decision on how we can proceed with Class II or just MICS generally in technical standards, that has been helpful.

24 So, you know, I'd like to open the 25 floor, especially from the regulator standpoint, on

these standards would be helpful. Yes, sir. 1 MR. SMALL: Nathan Small with the 2 Shoshone-Bannock tribe. When I hear about these 3 working groups and the advisory groups and those kind 4 5 of things, I'm just wondering what role does the National Indian Gaming Association have on a lot of 6 7 these issues. Are their comments and all of their stuff that they put out, is that not being listened to 8 9 or are they being eliminated from this whole process 10 or have they been a part of this process? I'm talking 11 about the National Indian Gaming Association. 12 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yeah. They've 13 been participating and their member tribes, you know, I assume their member tribes are directing their 14 15 efforts. And we do see them. They have been 16 attending almost all of these and under the Executive 17 Order 13175, authorized tribal organizations, you 18 know, certainly can participate in our consultation 19 process. 20 So we do hear from them, we do 21 consider what they have to say, because their 2.2 organization is made up of tribal governments. We are 23 careful that, and we've been told on many occasions 24 that, while, you know, tribes appreciate being either members of NIGA or appreciate their input, that they 25

don't speak for all of their -- all tribes. 1 So we balance. We consider what they 2 3 say, but we also consider that tribes, you know, as sovereign governments will speak for themselves and so 4 5 we listen to all of it and they do participate. So 6 does that answer your question? 7 I was just curious MR. SMALL: Yeah. as to the relationship that they have now with the 8 9 NIGC. 10 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Are you asking if 11 they're fighting with us? 12 No. I was one of the MR. SMALL: 13 officers back in the '90s when we first started --14 Indian gaming was first started and we -- I felt at that time we had a lot to do with the formation of a 15 16 lot of these regulations and we either fought them or 17 we were for them, those kind of things. But that was, you know, back in 1990, I think, a few years after it 18 19 first started there. 20 I'm not affiliated with them right 21 now, but I know our tribe is members of the NIGA, but 2.2 I just haven't been attending a lot of the stuff, so I'm not quite sure where they stand and then when I 23 hear this today I was thinking maybe they're kind of 24 25 going to the wayside here.

1 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: No. Actually, 2 they've been involved and, from what I understand, they are communicating with their member tribes and 3 their member tribes are communicating with us. We do 4 5 get -- I think we do get -- yeah. Are you here? You're hiding. There she is. Danielle Her Many 6 7 Horses from NIGA. So they've all been -- you've all been attending, right? 8 9 MS. HER MANY HORSES: Yes. MR. SMALL: I didn't want to cause a 10 11 fight. 12 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes. We're going to have a microphone come around to you. 13 14 My name's Linda McGhee. McGHEE: Hi. 15 I'm with the Poarch Creek Indians, and I was on the 16 2008 MTAC sponsored by NIGC, and I am also a member on 17 the TGWG, the working group that's working right now. We do have a lot of tribal representation in that 18 19 group and we are trying to keep contact open between 20 And let me tell all of you all, you probably NIGC. already know this already, but this new commission has 21 2.2 been more than willing to listen. They might not 23 agree, but they're still willing to listen and take 24 into consideration things that are brought to them. 25 So you know, if our ideas on the new
MICS -- what we're doing, we're trying to rewrite a 1 draft to submit to NIGC that takes out a lot of the 2 3 policies and procedures that were in the regs. We feel like that in the old regs with NIGC had a lot of 4 5 policies and procedures that weren't needed. Those need to be designated by your tribal regulators to 6 7 tell you how to do something. NIGC, you know, tells us we need to do 8 9 something, but it's up to the individual tribes, in 10 our opinion, to say how they're done, you know, 11 whether or not you have this or that, and that's 12 really what we're trying to work on. We're trying to 13 work on getting a new set of regulations and present 14 them to the NIGC. They may agree with us and they may 15 not, but we are keeping in contact with NIGA and with 16 NTGCR and a lot of the tribal groups out there. 17 If you were missed in any of the notices or anything, if you'd give me your email 18 19 address, I will see that you get the documents that 20 we're working on. 21 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you. Do we 2.2 have tribal regulators that have hands-on with the Class II MICS and technical standards for Class II 23 24 that might be able to inform sort of what your experience with them has been? 25

1	MS. McGHEE: Linda McGhee again. I
2	just wanted to say, I am director of compliance and we
3	do our internal audits and we do have hands-on with
4	all of that stuff, and the biggest problems we ran
5	into, a lot of the regs for Class II were from Class
6	III and we've had a difficult time auditing and
7	regulating those regs to match our floor.
8	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Scott.
9	MR. WHEAT: Scott Wheat. I just put
10	on a different client hat here, since you asked the
11	question. I know we also represent the Rincon Band of
12	Indians out of San Diego County, and they actually
13	have a staff person that the tribe sends and she's on
14	the committee.
15	My experience with that committee is
16	you have some of the best technical folks, regulators,
17	out there in Indian country and they're doing some
18	very good things. They're putting in a lot of time
19	and I really want to, you know, thank the tribes that
20	permit their staff to climb around and sit on that
21	committee. They're producing good work and so we just
22	wanted to speak to that and wrap around here in the
23	Pacific Northwest and Spokane and echo Tulalip's
24	comments that we support their work and hope that

1 these.

2 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: We will and we 3 They've submitted part of the work to us that have. they've been doing and the tribes that are members or 4 5 have submitted those an alternative and our staff is in the process of evaluating that and asking sort of 6 7 inquiring questions about the results that they come 8 to. 9 I think even the group is asking 10 clarifying questions of our staff as they move along 11 when it's needed. So we are taking them into 12 consideration. We're seriously considering what 13 they've offered us. 14 You know, when we were down at the 15 last consultation in Rincon, a number of tribes 16 expressed a desire for us to publish what that group 17 has, you know, worked on or that a tribe has submitted on their behalf as a tribal government as an 18 19 alternative standard and we're certainly considering 20 that. 21 We also understand that we don't want 2.2 to circulate something that's not complete. I 23 understand that the group is still working to make it a full, complete, polished, scrubbed document so that 24

25 tribes have everything they need to make comment on

1 those.

2 So to answer your question, we are 3 considering them seriously and what to do with them 4 and how to have those inform our process as we move 5 forward.

6 MR. TAHSUDA: Thanks. I'll do this 7 again in the same vein. I know that the commissioners 8 have heard most of this before. I want to say this 9 too as a part of this offering thoughts mostly from 10 Oklahoma. The Oklahoma tribes have done a lot of 11 thinking on this.

12 But we ask and asked the commission 13 early on in doing this in consultation process a 14 little differently than the prior commission had done 15 it and one of the purposes we suggested that would be 16 useful out of this was allowing tribes from different 17 parts of the country to participate with their sister, brother and sister tribes around the country to share 18 19 and that's a part of the reason I'm here and want to 20 do this and share this with you.

21 So in that same vein, again, I think 22 commissioners have heard this probably more than 23 anyone from us, but from our perspective -- and again, 24 in Oklahoma we have a huge variety of facilities. 25 Many tribes operate more than one facility and some

tribes operate as many as 15 or 16 facilities. 1 2 The regulatory side is, of course, of 3 paramount importance, but we've always considered that there has to be -- again, in this notion that there 4 5 has to be benefit to the tribe, the cost of regulation can not become so high that it defeats the purpose of 6 gaming being of benefit, an economic benefit to the 7 8 tribe. 9 So going back several years in some of the discussion we had in the prior commission, we put 10 11 forward to them and sort of challenged them on the 12 basis that they need to consider their attempts in 13 developing regulations with the tribes in the same manner that other federal agencies do. 14 15 And that is that they have to, amongst 16 other things, consider the cost effectiveness to the 17 regulated group of these federal regulations. And so 18 we had some disagreement about the cost of the 19 regulations, we did our own economic studies and the 20 costs of various proposed regulations by the commission over several years. 21 2.2 But I think that is something that we have -- I know a number of Oklahoma tribes are 23 participating in the work group. That has also been 24 amongst the goals that we have hoped to achieve out of 25

1 the working group and working with the commission now, 2 is to come up with the best Class II MICS possible at 3 the most cost effective way to deliver that.

And as Linda said, one of those ways, 4 5 I think, is not to have the commission mandating how you're going to actually regulate in your own 6 7 facility, but to mandate the principles of what needs to be accomplished through the regulation, but let the 8 9 tribes figure out, do they own -- and we have very 10 experienced, as almost all tribes in the country do, 11 very experienced regulators.

12 They know how to achieve those 13 principles of regulation in the best way they can do in their facility. So we have actually regulators and 14 15 operators participating in the group, participating in 16 discussion, so that we can hopefully at the end of 17 this have a set of regulations that are not only sort of good from the legal and regulator side, but the 18 19 operators can use effectively in a way that's 20 ultimately to the benefit of the tribe and the tribe 21 ultimately is gaining the most economic benefit 2.2 possible from its gaming operations. That's just a 23 thought.

24 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Do we have any 25 other contribution on the Class II MICS technical

standards, any questions? We're over here 1 2 contemplating what we should do. I hate to jump to 3 the afternoon section. There may be people who are specifically coming in only for that. I'd hate to --4 5 you know, we sent the agenda out in advance and however also understand that there may be people who 6 7 need to leave. If there are issues with any parts of 8 9 the regulations, either what we've touched on 10 yesterday, this morning, or, you know, you need to 11 address your concerns on this afternoon's agenda 12 because of your schedule, we certainly open the floor 13 for comment on any parts of this on any of the issues 14 we need to talk about. Yes, sir. 15 MR. OSBORNE: Madam Chair, I'm Marvin 16 Osborne with the Shoshone-Bannock tribes gaming 17 commission. We too want to commend the current 18 commission and your staff for the work you've done and 19 to put this commenting period out to make a new stab 20 at regulatory requirements, to take a good hard look 21 at the current Class III issues and how tribes feel 2.2 about them and opening the door to another avenue of 23 Class II that, I think, needs better understanding. 24 A good look at it, because it sounds to us that there's opportunities, you know, more 25

opportunities, and if we can get the approval, the authority to work with that with NIGC's backing, we would be glad to look at those. So we appreciate all the hard work you guys have done and I think we want to look at these avenues.

6 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you. We 7 appreciate that comment. You know, and also, we're always open to speaking of the processes, we're always 8 9 open to hear your feedback on how we're doing in this, 10 this and other processes that we undertake. We're 11 always open to improvement and remain flexible in how 12 we approach tribes when we undertake this and other 13 endeavors. This one is, as Scott said yesterday, an 14 epic journey. Yes, sir.

MR. MINKER: I'd like to comment. My name is Fred Minker. I'm with Jamestown S'Klallam tribe gaming commission, the director. We all know 542 is not enforceable to all most of us tribes, though there are some tribes that have adopted it as their standard in some states.

I would -- there are a lot of casinos, ours being one, who have used the information in 542 to help devise our internal controls and we find it very helpful. I would be -- I would be disappointed if that information was just wiped out. I think that

change the name or whatever, call it best practices in 1 2 the gaming industry, just have a section on your 3 website for best practices that people can go to, utilize what they need for formulating their internal 4 5 controls or whatever. Don't make it an enforceable 6 7 regulation, because a lot of people use that. NIGC has gleaned this information over the years from 8 9 people that have been gaming for a hundred years. 10 This information is not new information. It's stuff 11 that people before us have learned the hard way, and 12 there's no sense in us going out there and learning 13 the same information the hard way, you know, there's 14 no sense in reinventing the wheel. So I would like to see the information 15 16 stay in some form that makes it useable for anybody 17 that needs it. 18 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes, Linda. 19 MS. HELM: Linda Helm. This is also 20 regarding 542. Just a question I had regarding our 21 external audit. I'm wondering what would happen, 2.2 since the external audit is audited to the MICS. CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, we have to 23 factor that in. We just had a conversation internally 24

25 about this yesterday, about, you know, what outside

auditors are auditing to and confirming that in fact what we're auditing to are the MICS and just, you know, I assume they're also auditing to what's in the compact.

5 Okay. So that's another question. 6 You are all the regulators here. What are -- what's 7 being used by your outside auditor? Certainly we have 8 that information here, I would imagine. I don't have 9 it readily at hand.

10 MR. BOYCE: Mike Boyce, executive 11 director, Grand Ronde. I can tell you what we do. We 12 do a Class II audit to the NIGC MICS and then we do a 13 Class III audit for internal purposes to the Grand Ronde Gaming Commission MICS. But the Class II audit 14 15 does include bingo, poker, any Class II machines, 16 cage, drop and count, IET, complimentary, going to 17 include revenue audit here pretty soon.

So that cutoff between Class II and 18 19 Class III MICS isn't as big as people would think. I 20 mean, it's only table games, Keno and slots that would 21 be out completely. So it's something to think about. 2.2 MS. HOUSE: Thank you again. Sharon 23 I work for a number of gaming commissions and House. the experience has been in the different states -- in 24 Kansas, California, Idaho and Wyoming -- is that they 25

do -- the external auditors do audit it to the Class III MICS, the federal Class III MICS, but it's also coming down to -- I believe there's a section in the MICS that identifies that it's the function that's important and the intent of what the, you know, what the MICS says, not so much the specifics, is is it following the money.

8 Perhaps that's a regulation that would 9 be agreed to, because the tribes I've worked for agree 10 with this gentleman about they don't want the Class 11 III MICS thrown out. They want to keep it there as a 12 guideline, and I mentioned that before.

13 But the issue comes down to are they 14 following the money. I'm not an auditor, thank God, 15 but that it really comes down to the intent of the 16 MICS in each particular section and what the result is 17 and why they're doing it and it seems that may be an approach that could be looked at as far as the 18 19 industry standards and having, you know, all the best 20 practices on a regular basis come forward.

The auditors that the tribes hire pretty much are familiar with the fact that there's different compacts and there's different requirements, but they go by already what the tribe and the compact identified as their internal control standards. That

term MICS minimum really is the -- I guess that letter 1 kind of throws a lot of things off. 2 3 It's really the internal control standards that the tribe has, not just the minimums. 4 5 That's up to the tribes, and I think that may be what we're looking for. Right now the external auditors do 6 7 audit to that, but that doesn't mean that the tribes themselves have not adopted those same minimum 8 9 minimums already or the same things. 10 I don't see that as -- I understand 11 that it is a problem for certain tribes, but the 12 compacts, if you look at the California compacts, 13 which I'd rather not, but we have to, one of the 14 issues is is that in their 99 compacts they identify all these internal control standards. They say you 15 16 have to have, you know, surveillance, you have to have 17 this, you have to have that, and they have to follow 18 the money, basically, and that's what their key is. 19 It doesn't say MICS. 20 We have a big disagreement about that 21 right now with some of the tribes. But it does 2.2 identify what's the intent of the internal control 23 standards and how do we get that into a document that maintains what it was intended to maintain, which is 24 to follow the money and make sure there's checks and 25

2 integrity issue. So it seems like the tribes I 3 represent, it's real basic, it's trying to get it into 4 5 that mold to say that this is how it has to be dealt I think that's where we're at and one of the 6 with. 7 ways may be is changing the term MICS to say internal control standards. That's what's important in the 8 9 ordinances, in your resolutions, et cetera. Thank 10 you. 11 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Sharon, so are 12 you saying the tribes should have to ICS? 13 MS. HOUSE: Well, it's called the TICS 14 already. 15 MR. MINKER: I'd Fred Minker. I also 16 would like to state that I hope you would keep 542 17 updated. But on the external auditor, isn't there 18 something in the MICS now that says the tribe has a 19 set of tribal internal controls that are approved by 20 the commission that the external auditor can audit to that for the required audit? 21 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: It may. I don't 2.2 23 know it right off the top of my head. 24 I think I read that. MR. MINKER: Ι was just wanting an opinion if you were familiar with 25

balances and that nobody's stealing from you.

1

Page 85

The

1 | it.

CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes. You are
correct. Although that -- doesn't sound like that may
be happening. So yes.

5 MR. ARMSTRONG: So in the context of IGRA and the context of the minimum internal controls, 6 7 I believe that there could be something written into the auditing portion that stipulates mirroring what 8 9 Fred has said here, that you audit to the compact 10 where it applies and for those tribes that have 11 adopted the MICS as their rule of thumb, then it would 12 be either/or. So then that allows the outside auditor 13 to come in and audit to the compact with our internal controls, Tracie, as you know, mirrored both the MICS 14 15 and the state compact and I believe that would take 16 care of all the idiosyncrasies where the tribes have 17 adopted the MICS.

I think in the case of the auditing process, they come in and they audit to our compact and then in the cases where someone has NIGC MICS as a standing rule or law, then they come in and they audit to the MICS and that would be the best avenue that I could take on that one.

24 So then as I look at some of these 25 rules here, there's many, many references to both

Class II and to Class III, and when I look at the
 original MICS I do not know what the intent was, but
 it seemed to be mixed together, yeah, Class II and
 Class III.

5 So when we're looking at these Class 6 III MICS and these Class II MICS, I believe that we 7 need some separation, all right, because when we 8 interpreted the original MICS, we go, that's a Class 9 II, and, that's a Class III, you know.

10 I do believe that there was once the 11 Colorado River Indian tribe decision came down, they, 12 the previous regime or somebody says, well, hold it. 13 We have to have a fine bright line between Class II 14 and Class III and we have that power to regulate Class 15 III. All right. Scott can probably quote the law 16 several times on the regulations pertaining to Class 17 III regulations until the CRIT decision.

So I think we need to have a -- we need to have the intent, because IGRA stipulates that there are certain areas that are covered by for Class III, but not all areas of Class III.

22 So then to add on top of that Class 23 III and Class II technical standards. Now, when 24 writing Class II and Class III technical standards, we 25 have to realize one thing. When writing these

technical standards, by the time we get the standards
 written, the technology has already changed and
 morphed into another one.

So we have to be very careful when writing these technical standards that we do not hog tie operations because of the technology that has changed. So I support the idea of guidelines with the MICS but not a requirement. I believe that there should be some separation and that we alter the audit process.

11 I believe that once we get the final 12 draft, the advisory committee will look at it and 13 before -- just to talk about the advisory committee -before they would look at it and then they would move 14 towards a regulation. And I would like to have the 15 16 ability for all tribes to be able to review this 17 before it turns in, again, to a regulation. 18 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thanks, Jim, for 19 I want to clarify something. When you say some that. 20 separation, you mean separation of Class II and Class

21 III standards so that they're not m-i-x-e-d, mixed 22 together?

23 MR. ARMSTRONG: Correct. The 24 interpretation of the original MICS versus what we 25 have here as separation of Class II, Class III.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: The original MICS
2	being like when they started talking about them in '99
3	and they promulgated, I think, in 2002. Right. Those
4	were covering both, and even when I heard earlier
5	today, I believe it was from Poarch Creek, that even
6	the product that was produced in 2008 for Class II
7	MICS, M-I-C-S, what did have some Class III
8	superimposed onto it.
9	And then going to your first
10	statement. You would like to maintain the Class III
11	MICS as a guideline? Is that what I understood?
12	Okay.
13	MR. ARMSTRONG: Tracie, to top that
14	off, though, I do realize that there are some items in
15	the IGRA statute that is requirement of Class III, so
16	we can't forget that. We have to realize that that
17	has to be covered and so then you need to separate
18	what can be regulated and what cannot be regulated.
19	That's probably the best way.
20	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I just want to
21	make sure I understood you correctly. And then
22	insofar as an advisory committee, you know, we
23	recognize that an advisory committee does not
24	substitute for consultation. Advisory committees are
25	supposed to be people, experts, who can produce a

draft, that we can then take and consult with. 1 Because these are such detailed 2 regulations, trying to do it like in a form like this 3 line by line would take forever and we don't have 4 5 forever. The sooner we can get thorough standards that ensure the integrity of your operations, the 6 7 better for you, because it just lies in uncertainty right now. 8 9 And then one last thing I wanted to 10 clarify. Part of the separating the two and three, it 11 was mentioned over here, that there are processes in 12 following the money that are the same for two and 13 three and that when I asked about this, you know, I 14 think that's what they were trying to do before the 15 CRIT decision is just have one standard. 16 But it can be burdensome to have a 17 Class III standard on a Class II situation because they're different types of functions, but where they 18 19 are the same, we would just have mirrors. Is that 20 what I'm hearing? The two and three would have 21 basically mirrors of the same process, the same 2.2 functions, and when they diverge, they diverge. So that it's clear that this is what you do with Class 23 24 II, this is what you do with Class III, because they are different. 25

One example that keeps coming to my 1 2 mind and has been brought to our attention here is surveillance on a Class II machine as opposed to a 3 Class III machine, you know, where you've got a game 4 5 that's taking place at this terminal in a Class III environment. The game for a Class II is a server 6 7 system. Do you need the same camera coverage on a machine when the game isn't happening at this spot? 8 9 The game is happening somewhere else where the server 10 is. 11 So an example of where things might 12 diverge, they might separate, and we might need 13 separate standards. Thoughts on that would be 14 helpful, because what we've heard -- what I've heard 15 is where they're the same, they're the same. Where 16 they're not, they're not. But you do, you still have 17 two standards where you might have processes that look 18 the same. Yes. 19 The difference between MR. MINKER: 20 Class II and Class III is probably easier defined at 21 other states than Washington. In Washington their 2.2 Class III machines, as far as I'm concerned, is 23 basically a Class II machine without the finger guard 24 and a lot more restrictions. So basically that same 25 platform, you've got the same type machines, doing the

same thing, the state calls them Class III. For all 1 2 intents and purposes, as far as I'm concerned, they're 3 a Class II without the finger guard, so that makes it a little more difficult. 4 5 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: An added element. 6 Yes. Dawn. 7 I wonder, Tracie, if you MS. VYVYAN: could address, I think that the gentleman made another 8 9 point at least that I heard was the evolution of the 10 machine and the technology changing and how the 11 quidelines could be flexible enough to meet that or ... 12 I'm not a technical person, but I just thought that was an interesting point. 13 14 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, we do labor over that and I think the former commissions also had 15 16 labored over that question. How do you create 17 regulations that are either flexible or you create 18 some mechanism so that you can update them. You know, 19 I quess we'd like to hear more about how we could do 20 that. 21 I know in some other reg -- with other 2.2 independent agencies that regulate, they have standing 23 committees, could we do that, so that we revisit these 24 at a certain interval of time or as changes in the industry occur where those practices have evolved and 25

become more commonplace and the fact that the change has occurred and many tribes are requesting that there be a change, that we remain flexible to whatever the change in the industry might be or if we have time certain that we revisit them or is it even possible that we can write regulations that are flexible enough to accommodate those changes.

You know, I would look to some of the 8 9 industry experts here or the regulators to inform us 10 on whether that's even possible to write them flexible 11 enough to anticipate. It's the same question we had 12 with sole proprietary interest. Can we anticipate 13 those changes and write regulations that those changes would conform to, whatever those changes might be. 14 15 It's unknown.

16 MR. MINKER: My opinion is not. By 17 the time you figure out where the technology is out there and start to write something, it's already 18 19 changed. The technology is changing so fast now that 20 the regulations are really hard for us to keep up with 21 anyhow and to write your regulations loose enough to 2.2 cover for that -- I don't know -- might as well be 23 nonexistent, but... So I don't envy you your job when 24 it comes to that part, because that's difficult. 25 MR. ARMSTRONG: I think we need to

1	look at them as a whole. We have many regulations
2	written by NIGC, and through my history and
3	experience, the regulations, be it what they are, have
4	been gone they've morphed so many times in the past
5	10 years that I know of. Like every year there's a
6	change to a regulation and there is another change to
7	a regulation and I understand the need for the
8	changes, but what we could do is probably have a
9	little foresight. If we have electronic table games,
10	we should take that into context now to meet Class III
11	standards, or if you have poker, you take that.
12	I mean, every time there's a rule or a
13	regulation written that affects the tribe, then we
14	have to go back to the internal controls and almost
15	rewrite the internal controls. So cutting down the
16	manpower and the scope of the writing of the rules and
17	controls, we'd look at it as a whole for the future.
18	I mean, we will always have the
19	evolution, but if you have enough foresight, similar
20	to technology, then we don't have to go through the
21	changes.
22	I haven't looked at the draft NIGC
23	submissions lately, the ones that are currently in
24	draft. I think the last time I looked at those there
25	was like 42 of them. So by the time we get done here,
l	

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1	complete our task, what do we see in the future on the
2	changes on the tier A, tier B, tier C. If we can look
3	a little bit ahead, we may not have to duplicate so
4	many processes or add additional work to us.
5	I mean, just from one to another, we
6	have several here, and I know that I'm going to have
7	to go back and we have to make some changes and then
8	next year you're going to make another change or there
9	might be need for another change and we have to do
10	that. So like in writing your rules and regulations,
11	I just recommend that you look into the future to see
12	if you can cover some of those issues that may come
13	down at a later date. Then you won't have to change
14	the rule.
15	Or if we're going to be requiring them
16	as rules, Class II, Class III, then we're going to be
17	in this situation for a very long time, in fact,
18	beyond your guys' stay at the NIGC commission and
19	every time there's a commission reappointed, there's
20	subject to change.
21	So I don't think we can continue to do
~ ~	
22	business. I mean, my term has been 11 years. Your
22	business. I mean, my term has been 11 years. Your terms are less than mine, so we'll end up four years

I just recommend that we look into the future on this. 1 2 MS. HOUSE: Thank you again. For the 3 commissions that I've worked with -- and this is just very brief -- is when I was -- and I want to say this. 4 5 I was on the very first internal control standard work group, and it was not the NIGC. It was the NCAI NIGA 6 7 task force, and that particular MICS group specifically advised the NIGC originally, do not make 8 9 these regulations, because exactly what happened is 10 going to happen. And it has. 11 It's not only gone whole circle, 12 right, took how many years to prove what the original 13 group had said was accurate, is that once you start 14 doing that, you're going to have to change it 15 continually, like the gentleman said.

If there was a way to do it, what they were recommending is, what I think one of your comments and suggestions was, is that you have a best practices group that's sitting on a regular basis that can bring these technical -- any time it says technical standards, my clients say do not even look at it as a regulation at all.

The other gentleman is absolutely right. You cannot change them. It just takes too long, and the tribes, in my opinion, have a short

1	window of opportunity to move forward and make their
2	benefits for their tribe and the only way to do that
3	is there's two things. MICS, I could see the upper
4	Class II to a certain extent, maybe they need to be
5	limited, but for purposes of Class III and Class II
6	technical standards, perhaps a standing committee or a
7	committee in relationship to particular areas.
8	Class two, you have one or Class III
9	or when it comes to IT, because that's what you need
10	now. It's totally different than it was. We had no
11	IT people on the original group and, you know, they're
12	saying why do we need them. Well, now why do you not
13	need them is the next question.
14	So when you're looking at this, I
15	think it's extremely important to take that under
16	consideration, because this is the tribe's money, you
17	know, that we're dealing with and I hate to put it
18	like that, but it's the tribe's money and the tribe's
19	benefits. That every time we take an extra month and
20	when was that about two or three years ago we
21	were changing those regs, what, three, four times a
22	year. How much time did that take, and then we had to
23	change them again by the time they came out.
24	So I think the comments are well taken
25	by many of the tribes and there's ways to deal with

it. Technical standards are extremely important. We
 have them coming forward from the groups that work
 very hard on them and I think that has worked. We're
 back to square one again, I guess, full circle. So
 maybe that is one approach. Thank you.

VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: One of the 6 7 observations I've had as we've gone around and consulted is there is a consistent message, in my 8 9 opinion, on these, on the MICS and on the technical standards, that we try to focus our attention on 10 11 standards and get away from micromanagement or the 12 details, which often do trigger the need for 13 revisions.

I don't know. I think that's what I'm hearing today as well a little bit. I just heard it in different ways, but it does seem to be a consistent message coming out in the consultations.

18 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: And I would say 19 that it's incumbent upon us to plan, to have standing 20 committees or some method by which we address changes 21 in the industry. You know, interestingly, and my 2.2 caveat to this statement before I make it is that 23 we're talking about processes. So I'm going to say, 24 you know, we've been meeting with Nevada Gaming Control Board, not for the reasons that you might 25

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 think or other people have met with them or there's 2 references to Nevada.

Nevada tends to be the sort of reference that everyone makes to regulating gaming, again, what the industry standard is. So it was in our best interest to go meet with them and talk about their processes and, you know, they have to revisit theirs on a very regular basis.

9 Interestingly, the industry proposes 10 them as those technologies change and say, okay, new 11 thing, here it is, and I think they even have -- what 12 I recall being told is they had -- the industry had 13 their own group of people and they -- sorry. My instinct is to go over there, so it's probably good 14 15 that they're closing the door, because I'm usually 16 singing with them and I'm not right now -- they have 17 their own group that annually meets and says, hey, this is what -- this is what we think the changes are 18 19 and they go through a process.

Their process is actually very fast. They get it done in six months. In Nevada by the time it's proposed, six months, done. But certainly Nevada considers what they offer, makes changes as they need, and basically negotiates with the industry what's acceptable and what's not acceptable.

But they do go through this every year and the industry is often -- well, the industry often are the ones that say, by the way, we got to change. This is what the change is outside of that annual sort of review that happens.

So the other thing is it is incumbent 6 7 upon us to plan for this, because it does cost us money to do this and our money is your money and that 8 9 we have to build something like this into our annual 10 process and our annual budget and contemplate changes 11 of standing committees. What we found is people 12 change, people move on. It's not anything that the 13 industry in Nevada -- you know, they experience that same thing. So I don't think we have to really 14 15 reinvent some wheels here. They already exist.

16 MR. TAHSUDA: John Tahsuda again. Ι 17 think at least, you know, a lot of the folks involved in Class II have a hope and a goal coming out of the 18 19 working groups that there will be for the commission's 20 purposes more a set of standards and principles to 21 I'm not a regulator, but I was thinking about follow. 2.2 it more as accounting rules, as you know, with GAAP, but those are actually just principles and there are 23 24 different ways to interpret those principles. Different companies apply them to their own books in 25

how they do it and it's all legal and they work with 1 2 the IRS to make sure they're following. But those aren't hard and -- but those 3 principles aren't dictated down as hard-and-fast 4 5 Also in doing it that way I think you can at rules. least partially achieve -- one of the things that 6 7 we've been working with for several years now is to try to come up with principles also that are 8 9 technology neutral to a maximum extent possible so 10 that when the medium changes, the principal goals of 11 the cash and things, those are still present, so you 12 don't have to change any of that. 13 You may have some implementation quidelines, you know, folks to follow so that maybe 14 15 they can change them. Maybe that doesn't take the 16 work load off you and your staff if you're going to 17 keep changing a lot of things, but at least in the interaction with the commission at this level, you 18 19 know, there's not a need to be sort of continuously 20 updating things. There can be -- in a formal way. 21 There can be continuous updates. 2.2 Again, I think we do kind of feel like 23 we're in the same way that Nevada works. We're the ones that brought a lot of technology issues to the 24 commission at the time and said, you know, these are 25

things that should be thought about, you know, and some of the good things that the commission has done in the past has been to sort of spread the word out. Okay. As changes have come to Class II gaming and technology and stuff, these are different ways the tribes are now implementing the regulatory schemes on things.

At the other extreme of that the 8 9 experience has been in the last, you know, five or six 10 years with these really detailed regulations coming down from the commission. There's one tribe in 11 12 Oklahoma in particular I know of -- I won't say names 13 -- they spent, I think, upwards of two million dollars on software changes to implement changes that were 14 dictated, they felt like, from the commission of its 15 16 regulations and a year later the commission said, 17 well, we've heard from a lot of people that that's not working really well, so we're going to change them 18 19 again. And they had to spend an additional like 20 \$500,000 changing back off the software to implement a 21 change that happened like literally 12 months later. 2.2 So to our mind again, that kind of violates this rule, that whatever the regulations are, 23 they have to have some cost effectiveness as well. 24 So I think if we can kind of -- I think if we can reach a 25

1	goal and principles that can be implemented down the
2	line as much as possible technology neutral and giving
3	the tribes the flexibility, I think that's in our mind
4	one of the goals we're working towards.
5	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: That might have
6	been the first time we've heard that term technology
7	neutral. I'm going to attribute that to you, John.
8	Do we have any other comments? If
9	not, I'm inclined to break for lunch and then we'll
10	continue on with more specifics on technical
11	standards, questions we have around Class II minimum
12	oh, yes. Down here.
13	MR. SPENCER: Not so much a question
14	or a comment. We just want to express our gratitude
15	and appreciation to the Tulalip tribe and also to the
16	other contributing tribes that assisted us during our
17	fire. The funds were directly to rebuild White Swan.
18	We currently have five new homes rebuilt thanks to the
19	contributing tribes, and I just wanted to say thank
20	you and good work to the commission. We're going to
21	be leaving here. Thank you all.
22	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you for
23	those good words and we wish you well in rebuilding
24	your community. That was a terrible tragedy that
25	occurred there, and I'm glad to see that other tribes

	Page 104
1	were able to come to your aid and wish you safe
2	travels on your way back to your homeland. So thank
3	you.
4	Let's break for lunch and we'll come
5	back at 1:30.
6	(Recessed at 11:47 a.m., to be
7	reconvened at 1:30 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Г

Page 105 1 AFTERNOON SESSION 2 1:41 P.M. --000--3 4 5 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: We were just discussing. We've changed our power point since the 6 7 last time, and we had a number of questions about technical standards. Yeah, you know, technical 8 9 standards, the grandfathering clause, the probability. Some things that will come up, that while we have 10 11 Nimish here, I'm not sure that we have really anybody 12 who wants to speak to technical standards for machine 13 play. We do have Nimish here with us and, you know, 14 certainly are prepared to talk about issues that come up around technical standards should we need his 15 16 expertise. So we're looking through our previous 17 power points here. 18 There may not necessarily be a 19 question around here that you're probably going to --20 we're not going to get quite the participation just 21 because of the volume of the Class II games here as 2.2 opposed to Class III. You know, we would get a lot, I 23 would imagine, discussion in Oklahoma about this. 24 MR. PUROHIT: (Inaudible.) 25 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes. So who am I

1	missing? Poarch. There are some facilities here in
2	Washington. There are tribes who have what we call a
3	hybrid, where they have two and three in a facility,
4	but we may have just one facility that's just Class
5	II. This tribe, Muckelshoot, Nooksack, who have a
6	stand-alone Class II facility with machines in them.
7	So here are some of the questions that
8	we have, issues that have come up that we know of
9	since the technical standards were implemented several
10	years ago. We want to know how the standards are
11	working for the tribes, for any of the tribes that are
12	have Class II machines in their facilities.
13	The current standard, the current
14	technical standard as it's written now has a
15	grandfathering clause of machines that do not comply
16	with the part at the time that this was final that has
17	basically a grandfather clause that expires in 2013
18	and we've heard a lot of concern about that and would
19	be interested in hearing what tribes have to say about
20	that.
21	The limitation on odds, the
22	entertaining display requirements, which we heard a
23	lot about when we were down in Rincon, tribal testing
24	laboratories, how to handle variances, how to deal
25	with remote access, and how has it been going with the

compliance with the applicable mixed standards. 1 2 So I don't know if anybody has issues 3 or concerns that they want to talk to about these particular standards. Again, we're open here for 4 5 discussion about how to proceed in addressing these. We were talking about tribal advisory 6 7 committees and what we're hearing so far is that given that there have been past committees on this and on 8 9 the MICS, that it might be a good way to go and that 10 perhaps a tribal advisory committee address some of 11 these questions and help -- you know, having comment 12 here would help inform the committee, you know, if we 13 get to a point of a committee, to address these 14 questions. Do we have a microphone somewhere? 15 MS. OGAS: Cathryn Ogas, attorney for 16 Lytton Rancheria. I think I probably said a little 17 bit about this at Rincon. I do think actually for the 18 tech standards, I think for the most part they 19 probably do work pretty good. Our gaming commission 20 would have more to say. There have been a few 21 problems, tweaks that they need, and I think we think 2.2 a tribal advisory committee would be really good to 23 do, especially if you get some really technical 24 experts. If we could have technical experts, I think it would be a great idea to work out some of these 25

800-227-8440

1 problems.

2 MR. TAHSUDA: John Tahsuda aqain. Т think one of the difficulties that we ran into with 3 the advisory committees over the past several sort of 4 5 reincarnations of them was a bit of unwillingness by the prior commission to let the tribal side of the 6 7 advisory committee have access to their experts and to the lawyers and it ended up being the committee 8 9 members having discussions with committee or with 10 commission staff who had all their experts and lawyers 11 there. 12 It became a very adversarial, at 13 times, process. I think as long as, you know, the 14 advisory committee keeps in mind the goal, that this 15 is supposed to be, you know, helpful to everybody and 16 that everybody should be able to bring in one of their 17 consultants and advisors that they need to kind of get their ideas and points across, which is ultimately to 18 19 advance the discussion, then I don't think there will 20 be as big an opposition to it. 21 I know there has been some question on 2.2 the tribal side, but I think it all kind of came out 23 of that issue about, you know, is there proper representation as well on the advisory committee and I 24 think if there's more input from the tribal side as to 25
1 who should sit on the committee as well.

2 At times I think in the past it was a feeling that the commission by (inaudible) picked 3 people to be on it. Not to say that those weren't 4 5 good people to be on that advisory committee, but just by the mere fact that the tribes themselves didn't get 6 7 to pick people that were on there sometimes, I think that also kind of contributed to a feeling that it 8 9 wasn't as representative of the viewpoints of the 10 tribal side as it should have been. 11 So I'd just offer those comments. 12 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Just to clarify, 13 John, are you saying that tribes should have a say on who's selected or what the qualifications are? 14 15 MR. TAHSUDA: Probably both, I guess. 16 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I want to remind 17 everybody, we only have so much time to do this. I've 18 sat on some committees from an Interior standpoint, 19 for Interior, and in my mind the first thing I want to 20 do is try to have a well-balanced advisory committee. 21 So since you brought that up, here's 2.2 some of what we've been thinking about looking back at the previous advisory committees and what we can learn 23 24 from those based on our own experience and what tribes have been saying. 25

1	You know, we certainly need the
2	expertise on technical standards, people who are
3	familiar with how the technical standards have been
4	working. It seems to me the technical standards are
5	much more laser focused in terms of the revisions that
6	we would visit. The MICS is a whole nother enchilada,
7	and it would seem to me that that might actually move
8	along quicker than the MICS.
9	So we do need the technical experts on
10	the technical standards for Class II machines. We
11	also need some people to talk about Class II and Class
12	III so that we have some ability to identify which
13	parts, when we pull them apart, which is what. Which
14	is Class II, which is Class III, and, you know, we
15	need to have people who maybe come from a very Class
16	II intense environment and those who come from a Class
17	III environment and people who do both, so that we can
18	identify the parts in the MICS as applicable to two or
19	applicable to three or applicable to both.
20	We'll need regional representation,
21	because the universe isn't the same in any one place
22	that you go. We need operational differences. We
23	have small operations and large operations. We need
24	some people with some expertise from I would think
25	like an operational standpoint and the regulatory

standpoint on the movement of money and the flow and accounting of money and that people who are familiar with cage operations, surveillance operations. Not just the operation side of it, but the regulatory side of it.

So when you start adding these things 6 7 Did I miss something? Am I missing? I don't up. want to list off all the functions in a casino, but, 8 9 you know, how do we have enough diversity and not 10 create this unwieldy group of people and be timely and 11 thorough and have the right experts in the room, 12 because to be an advisory committee, we do want to be 13 mindful of how much time it takes, but we also need people who are going to be able to be committed to it 14 and not drop out, whose tribe can afford either the 15 travel or the time, because you're basically taking 16 17 somebody off their normal job.

18 What's the other thing I was thinking? 19 You know, the number of members, certainly that goes 20 to that point, and making sure that we have time to 21 consult with tribes, because the advisory committee 2.2 does not stand in for our obligation for government-to-government consultation with tribes. 23 24 An advisory committee is going to be tasked with putting some drafts together based on 25

their expertise, so that then we can share it with tribes before we start down the path of rule making, and then offer tribes the opportunity to weigh on the work product of that advisory committee.

5 That's what we're thinking, and if I'm missing something. That's what we're thinking and we 6 7 would really need to pull the MICS and the technical standards basically away from the rest of these 8 9 regulations we've been talking about over two days so 10 that those other regulations can move forward and 11 these very detailed, specific, expertise driven reqs 12 would have to move on a separate parallel track.

And if we do that, that would modify our schedule and we have to be mindful of your resources and our resources of how long this will take, but, you know, based on what we're hearing thus far, there's still a desire to have an advisory committee for those three parts.

We love to hear any comment on whatwe've said about how this would be structured.

21 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: The only other 22 thing I would add to that is one of the things we've 23 also talked about is if we put this together, this 24 group would have pretty much its foundational work 25 done. We've got an existing draft MICS. You've got

1 the work of the (inaudible) going on. You've got all 2 the consultation feedback that we receive from tribes 3 and the NOI.

So the footwork is really done, which we're hoping the time saver at the end is just a matter of getting the focus on making some decisions. So that was the only other thought I had.

CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, I'm a big 8 9 fan of facilitators. I think there's two ends of the spectrum that we want to avoid and try to land 10 11 somewhere in the middle, and I really personally think 12 a facilitator can help us get there. You can have an 13 ad hoc group that, you know, is independent and has open, you know, membership and contribution, but that 14 15 can get a little lord like, you know, Lord of the 16 Flies and out of control.

There's the other end of the spectrum, which we've heard from tribes about previous advisory committees, where the NIGC is acting as the facilitator and director in the meetings and making decisions on how the group makes a decision and whether or not contributions will be considered or not.

24 So to me that's two ends of the 25 spectrum that we want to avoid and that a facilitator

could get us there. There are some other, again,
having worked with Interior in their process and this
administration, you know, having a fresh look at how
to bring tribes into and through consultation, through
advisory committees.

There's some experience now with this 6 7 new administration in organizing. This can get very out of control and a facilitator helps us do that. 8 We 9 have some services available to us as a part of Interior that can keep us organized. 10 That's my 11 biggest concern and I think all of us share this 12 concern and I think you all share this concern, that 13 there's only -- we've got to come to some finality 14 with these and organization is going to be key in 15 making sure that we do this.

16 We'll have to consider all of these 17 things we've talked about, but we can use some of the services that we have available to us to keep us 18 19 organized and use a facilitator and also be mindful of 20 ways to not meet in person, because that's, one, 21 spendy, and two, time consuming in travel for both, 2.2 really for the members of the committee. 23 I remembered what my question was. Ι

24 had to write it down before I forget. But, you know, 25 we had to think about how to structure this. We're

going to have to think about the number of people, the 1 2 qualifications we're looking for, how long this will last, how would we have concentrated work sessions. 3 We're going to need work horses. We're going to need 4 5 people who can commit to very intense days of going through a product that's already been provided to us, 6 7 the process to ask for nominations and how do we 8 select them.

9 Then the other question that John has 10 brought up is how do you -- we know that previous 11 advisory committees were structured in a way that 12 didn't allow anybody but the committee members to 13 speak and the NIGC had their experts be able to speak, but the tribe or the committee member weren't given an 14 15 opportunity to let their experts speak, whether that 16 expert was their operator, their lawyer, their 17 regulator, their compliance person, and factoring that 18 into how an advisory committee works, so that we can 19 have -- the purpose of this is to have a well 20 informed, fully considered decision for the 21 commission, that we have regulations that are well 2.2 rounded and that we have experts who can do this and offer the best, as we know, that exists in Indian 23 24 country into these regulations.

25

So we would have to think about that.

1	Maybe that's once the committee is together, the
2	ground rules have to be set on how you bring in
3	experts. Other industries do this. Other federal
4	agencies do this. Again, I'm not a big fan of
5	reinventing wheels. We're looking around at how other
6	independent regulatory agencies do this, how DOI has
7	done it, how other agencies have interacted with
8	tribes.
9	We know when the Health Care Act was
10	coming down there were very accelerated, concentrated
11	committees working on provisions for that. There were
12	some other things that were mentioned to us by the
13	Department of Interior. I think the other one was No
14	Child Left Behind.
15	So we're confident that we can do
16	this, but there's some decisions we need to make
17	before we can, you know, even talk about how we bring
18	in experts, which are how many people, what
19	qualifications, and how do we choose them. You know,
20	these are clear, to me, clear things we should
21	consider.
22	We should have regional
23	representation, operational size, experts, regulators,
24	operators, Class II, Class III experience, and come to
25	the determination of the number of members. So

anything you can do to help us inform that process
would be helpful.

I think with this election it's very 3 likely that we will be criticized no matter how we 4 5 choose. So we will want to make the best choice possible and build in some mechanisms, so that, you 6 7 know, maybe we don't have to have a committee that has every representative expert there, but that the 8 9 committee itself can turn to, say, like in the 10 technical standards. You know the manufacturers want to weigh in, maybe the testing labs want to weigh in. 11 12 Tribal regulators who may certify 13 these machines, is there a mechanism where we can turn 14 to them and offer them the opportunity to inform us, you know, instead of trying to plug them all into a 15

17Those are the things we're thinking18about and those are based on the things that we've19been hearing over the past year. I'm happy to hear20from anybody. I've been talking for a little while21here, but that's just been our internal thought22process based on what we're hearing and how we can23manage this over the next year.

room and do the group shuffle.

24	Са	n we	get	а	microp	phone ov	er.
25	MS	. Mc	GHEE	:	Linda	McGhee,	Poarch

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

16

1	Creek. One thing I would ask or advise, that when you
2	do form this committee, get the documents out to the
3	committee in time for them to review them before we
4	have a meeting. It was really bad when I was on the
5	MTAC. Sometimes we'd get them the day before we flew
6	out and you cannot review or send them out to your
7	area and ask for their comments in that amount of
8	time. So bear that in mind.
9	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Linda, you're
10	preaching to the choir. My poor staff knows how I
11	feel about timely submissions of drafts so that they
12	can consider it so decisions can be made. I'm with
13	you on that and I recall that that was an issue.
14	Especially, you know, you all have another full-time
15	job going on and you need enough time to be able to
16	come to the meeting prepared to contribute.
17	MS. OGAS: Cathryn Ogas, Lytton. Just
18	to add to that, I totally agree with that. One of the
19	other things from earlier, if you need the experts,
20	for instance, on the technical standards, a lot of
21	time your committee is not going to be able to do it
22	themself. They need somebody else and you have to be
23	able to consult and that was one of the biggest
24	frustrations, was there's people in the audience that
25	said if we only would have known, we could have

prepared these guys, but we didn't and we didn't have a chance.

Then I'd just like to comment. I like all of your suggestions. I think region, size of operation, all those are things you could consider and one of the problems I did have with the last TAC was that they were all regulators. And I understand that, but operators do have some good input and I think the two of them together could work really well.

And the other thing was there was a lot of people. I think, Linda, were you the only one working on Class II and we were the only ones, Poarch was the only ones with Class II. So more Class II, because there are some of us still out there that are very vested in this. Thanks.

16 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: One of the 17 benefits of a facilitator is the setting of ground 18 rules, not just on using some of the resources that we 19 have available to us as a federal agency, making sure 20 that everything stays organized and on time and that, you know, that may be a ground rule as to how far in 21 advance do you get drafts, whose job, you know, what 2.2 are the committee members' responsibilities. Making 23 24 clear what the action items are and what the expectations are of everybody at the beginning of 25

1	meetings, at the end of meetings, at the beginning of
2	their journey and what their objectives are, and
3	having a neutral facilitator do that is helpful.
4	How's everybody doing? You guys
5	sleeping back there?
6	ASSOC. COMMISSIONER LITTLE: One of
7	the beauties of or I don't know downfall, but
8	this commission, we attend a lot of these tribal
9	advisory committees. I remember I sat next to Elliott
10	before, you know, kind of listening to what was going
11	on. It was very frustrating, you know, and the last
12	one I was fortunate that the chair for the gaming
13	commission tribe that I was working for was a member,
14	but I'm not sure if it was the time frame or the pace
15	at which the advisory committee was progressing, but
16	by the end of that advisory committee, half the
17	committee members had left.
18	Then we ran into they ran into some
19	situations where segments of the industry was not
20	represented and that became problematic, and like I
21	had mentioned two days ago, you know, I'm quickly
22	coming up halfway through my term before I expire
23	here. One of the things that we came on when I
24	came onto commission here, it was the idea that and
25	I was in DC for 12 years, going on 13 years now, but

things just stagnate and they don't go anywhere. 1 I know the other commissioners feel 2 exactly the same way I do, is that we're here for a 3 short period of time and we want to get something 4 5 These are big, huge issues that, once again, as done. a nonattorney, you know, I don't know if in DC 6 7 sometimes if attorneys want to get things done. Got kids to put through college, 8 9 right, Elliott? Sorry. I'm just looking at you. In 10 any event, that's no offense to my attorney. No one 11 in this room would ever do that. But, you know, it 12 gets kind of frustrating sometimes. We don't get 13 things done as quick as we'd like to. 14 So we're really looking to make sure 15 we do this right. We're really, really looking for 16 your input, because you guys, a lot of you have been 17 involved in these and you've done this before and, you 18 know, your helping us organize this correctly is very 19 much welcome and needed. 20 So we really look forward -- if you 21 don't have anything to say today and you want to 2.2 forward comments later on, we would be very, very 23 interested to hear from you.

24 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: He just thinks he25 has attorney friends.

1 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: You guys can't 2 see this because I'm sitting right next to him, but there's this little red button like a Jennie-O turkey, 3 that when he's done pops up; he expires. 4 5 But, in all seriousness, we do, we understand that these have been -- there's been --6 7 it's difficult to regulate and run your operations on this unsteady ground of uncertainty about where the 8 9 regs are going and especially compound that with 10 changing technology. It's a challenge and you end up 11 spending a lot of money just trying to keep up with 12 what's going on at the moment, even if it's, you know, 13 the draft. We have the 2008 that were finalized that 14 then there's a whole nother draft that got started, 15 because by the time that got done, as you said, Fred, 16 by the time you're done, you know, you have to come up 17 with another draft. 18 So we do, to echo what Dan was saying, 19 we do want to come to some completion on these three 20 sort of outstanding issues before we go and do it in a 21 way that's respectful to tribes, but also timely and 2.2 thorough. And we understand we may not always agree, 23 but we're going to agree in -- disagree in a respectful way and to use all of the resources we have 24 available to us and there are actually many more that 25

we have that would help this process move along. 1 2 We will be, like I said, looking. 3 Because there was so much turnover and there's probably many reasons why folks turned over in those 4 5 previous committees, you know, when we go out to like get names for a committee, we'll make very clear the 6 7 time frames and, you know, the resources and the demand on whoever's going to sit on these committees 8 9 are going to encounter and that we will need full 10 commitment and participation by those members and could be intense, long days in short durations to get 11 12 some of this work complete. 13 Like Steffani was saying, we hope that 14 we will have some work products and much of that ground work will be done by the time the committee 15 16 comes around and is formed and starts working after 17 they sort out what the ground rules are and that should shake down -- shade down the amount of work and 18 19 time that committee members would have to take so that 20 we don't face the problem of committee members saying, 21 hey, this is taking too much of my time. It's gone on

22 too long. I've got to get back to my regular job.

23 So that's what we're thinking now. We 24 certainly are welcome -- welcome your comments to what 25 we've said, anything we might have missed, and we'll

1	be talking about this at the next consultations as
2	well. It looks like we're running out of steam here,
3	so I will not somebody's sleeping back there
4	have this go on any longer. So I want to give some
5	time to the other commissioners, if they have any
6	closing statements before we adjourn.
7	VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: I just want to
8	say thank you for staying with us an additional day
9	and a half, almost two days now. I know it's been a
10	long week for some of you and it means a lot to us to
11	have you still sitting at the table talking with us.
12	We know it's a long process, but it's an important
13	one, and the amount of support and commitment that the
14	tribes have shown is really kind of overwhelming for
15	us at times, in a very positive way.
16	So thank you and thank you for my
17	Oklahoma peeps. It's nice to see a lot of different
18	faces, even though we're in the Northwest. This is a
19	beautiful area of the country and Tracie's tribe has
20	been an amazing host and so thank you to the tribe. I
21	don't know if there's anyone left in here. We look
22	forward to seeing you again and wish you safe travels
23	home.
24	ASSOC. COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Just kind
25	of echoing what Vice Chairwoman had to say. I want to

1	thank everyone for making the trip out here and
2	staying a little longer for the conference. I want to
3	thank the Tulalip tribe for hosting this wonderful
4	event. What a fantastic facility. If there's anymore
5	comments that anyone wants us to make, you know,
6	obviously this whole process was very, very anxious to
7	get those, so please submit those. The information's
8	up there on the screen, the email address.
9	And then I just want to wish everybody
10	safe travels home. Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: One last shout
12	out to all the staff who helped us prepare for this
13	meeting. There's a lot of work that goes on behind
14	the scenes, not only by the staff that you've seen
15	here, but the staff you don't see here back in
16	Washington DC or back in the regional offices, who
17	make sure that the rooms are right, the paper's right,
18	you know where to go. So I give a shout out to them
19	and thank them. My hands up to you for your work and
20	I appreciate and thank all the tribes that have
21	attended and come so far to participate in these and
22	look forward to your comments.
23	If you ever have any questions, any
24	concerns, you need any clarification, that's how to
25	get ahold of us. The next consultation is next week

1	in Albuquerque at Pueblo Laguna, Route 66, and that's
2	next week. I think it's next Wednesday and Thursday.
3	After that is Washington DC, the following Thursday
4	and Friday, in the interior south auditorium. For
5	those who will be out there that week, there's a lot
6	of activity going on that week in DC.
7	One shout out for something that Dan
8	has been handling, which is the public meetings. That
9	will be taking place in Oklahoma City at River Wind
10	I get their two facilities mixed up outside of
11	Oklahoma City. That's going to be where we will be
12	doing, basically, the business of the committee or
13	business of the commission in the public format.
14	We'll have another one of those later
15	on in the year. We'll be doing them quarterly and
16	you'll see we're just starting up, so they're not
17	really exciting right now, but as we start to move to
18	if we get to final passage, you know, it's one of
19	the authorities the commission has is full commission
20	to promulgate regulations and so those, like other
21	commissions that you see, the public meeting is where
22	that happens.
23	We hope to have some of that activity
24	coming up, but right now we're not at that place. So
25	they'll become more exciting as time goes by. Right,

1 Dan? 2 ASSOC. COMMISSIONER LITTLE: 3 Absolutely. 4 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: So those are the 5 announcements. And again, we have some regional trainings that are coming up in July and August and 6 7 we'll keep you informed as we do more, as we mentioned this morning, especially from the Northwest, for those 8 attendees that are from the Northwest. 9 10 Other than that, again, thank you for attending and sitting through these meetings with us, 11 12 and safe travels home. Thank you. 13 (Concluded at 2:21 p.m.) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, CHERYL J. HAMMER, the undersigned Certified Court Reporter, pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 authorized to administer oaths and affirmations in and for the state of Washington, do hereby certify:

7 That the sworn testimony and/or proceedings, a transcript of which is attached, was given before me 8 9 at the time and place stated therein; that any and/or 10 all witness(es) were by me duly sworn to tell the 11 truth; that the sworn testimony and/or proceedings 12 were by me stenographically recorded and transcribed 13 under my supervision, to the best of my ability; that 14 the foregoing transcript contains a full, true, and 15 accurate record of all the sworn testimony and/or proceedings given and occurring at the time and place 16 17 stated in the transcript; that I am in no way related 18 to any party to the matter, nor to any counsel, nor do 19 I have any financial interest in the event of the 20 cause.

WITNESS MY HAND this 26th day of July 2011.

22

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

CHERYL J. HAMMER,

23 Washington State Certified Court Reporter, #2512 chammer@yomreporting.com

24

25

[1 - advisory]

1	350,000 41:14	absent 39:1	102:19 124:8
	4	absolutely 96:23	additionally 13:17
1 6:13,16 10 64:24 94:5		127:3	18:7
10 04:24 94:3 10200 1:9	4 6:14 42 94:25	abuses 11:23 12:9	address 6:9 20:24
10200 1.9 11 95:22		accelerated 116:10	28:10 35:6,7,17
11 95.22 11:47 104:6	5	acceptable 10:10	44:11 46:9 47:1
11.4 7 104.0 11th 7:4,15 8:6	5 6:14,16 16:13	99:25,25	62:1 66:22 73:19
12 102:21 120:25	5.28.010 128:4	accepts 8:19	79:11 92:8 98:20
12 102.21 120.23 13 31:9 120:25	500,000 102:20	access 8:19 10:10,11	107:10,13 125:8
13 31.9 120.23 13175 70:17	502 13:22	27:19,24 36:17 56:4	addressed 20:21
13175 70.17 14-15 6:6	518 22:7	106:25 108:7	39:10 67:14
14-13 0.0 15 1:12 2:1 63:19	518.4 24:11	accommodate 93:7	addressing 55:7
77:1	531 12:21	accomplish 31:19	107:5
16 77:1	542 80:18,22 81:20	accomplished 13:14	adept 53:22
10 77.1 1990 71:18	85:16	15:24 78:8	adequate 15:23 25:1
1990 71.18 1993 46:10 47:1	556 10:20,24	account 21:2	26:1,4
1993 40.10 47.1 1998 31:8	558 10:20,24	accounting 24:5,19	adjourn 124:6
1:30 104:5,7	558.2 11:16	25:2 26:1 100:22	administer 128:5
1:41 105:2	571 10:9	111:2	administration
	573 8:22 9:8,22	accurate 15:13	41:10 114:3,7
2	6	96:13 128:15	administrative
2 6:13 11:16,23	60/40 27:9	achieve 23:23 77:25	16:23 22:18 44:11
20:20	66 126:1	78:12 101:6	53:1,9 55:3
20 6:11,18 16:11,18	9	act 24:24 27:10	admittedly 18:5
2002 89:3	-	37:21 38:15 56:24	adopted 80:19 84:8
2003 47:3	90s 71:13	59:14 116:9	86:11,17
2004 69:12,14	92 44:23 47:5 56:2	acting 113:19	adoption 25:11,23
2006 68:19	58:23	action 9:12,24 40:22	advance 79:5
2008 68:3,17,19	93 58:23	40:23 42:1 47:16,25	108:19 119:22
72:16 89:6 122:13	99 84:14 89:2	48:5,11 52:22 53:7	advantage 53:23
2011 1:12 2:1 6:6,11	9:12 1:13 2:2	55:18 59:22 119:24	adversarial 108:12
6:18 128:21	a	actions 51:23	advice 53:4,25
2013 106:17	a.m. 1:13 2:2 104:6	activities 23:16,20	advise 118:1
248 26:14	ability 13:9 55:20	activity 24:22 45:17	advised 96:8
25 11:22 20:20 44:6	88:16 110:12	47:3 49:5 126:6,23	advisors 108:17
2512 1:24 128:23	128:13	activity's 25:6	advisory 47:5,21
26th 128:21	able 3:4,5 4:18 11:2	actual 34:1 43:10	65:2,4,16 66:21
2702 20:20	11:5 43:3,16 45:14	ad 64:16 113:13	67:5 68:20 69:13,15
2710 11:23	46:17 52:5 57:3	add 50:20 87:22	69:20 70:4 88:12,13
2:21 127:13	62:1 65:5 73:24	95:4 112:22 118:18	89:22,23,24 107:6
3	88:16 104:1 108:16	added 92:5	107:10,22 108:4,7
3 6:14	111:14 115:13	adding 111:6	108:14,24 109:5,20
30 42:24 44:6	118:15,21,23	addition 32:24	109:23 111:12,21
		additional 23:1,21	111:24 112:4,17
		35:1 68:10 95:4	113:18 114:5

115:11,18 120:9,15	alabama 4:7	anymore 125:4	arguing 53:10
120:16	albuquerque 126:1	anyway 59:9	arizona 18:10
advocating 19:25	allegation 18:8	apa 10:3	armstrong 31:10,20
affiliated 71:20	allow 41:23 115:12	apart 110:13	86:5 88:23 89:13
affirmations 128:5	allowable 15:2,16	apologize 67:18	93:25
afford 111:15	15:19,21 16:1	appeal 45:5 52:24	arose 38:12
afternoon 28:4,7,16	allowed 13:2 52:6	appealed 48:6	aside 28:16
64:11 79:3 105:1	allowing 76:16	appeals 16:17,24	asked 22:13 26:25
afternoon's 79:11	allows 59:15 86:12	appears 9:24 12:15	26:25 74:10 76:12
agencies 20:16	alter 88:9	applaud 12:16	90:13
77:14 92:22 116:4,6	alternative 68:7	16:24 44:25	asking 24:14 49:15
116:7	75:5,19	applauds 8:20	71:10 75:6,9
agency 9:24 11:24	alternatives 64:19	applicable 107:1	asks 64:14
46:25 47:16,25 48:5	amazing 124:20	110:18,19,19	aspects 13:12
48:11 52:22 53:7	amend 19:9	application 40:6	assertions 8:6
54:21 55:18 59:22	amended 24:1	applies 86:10	assistance 3:13 11:3
119:19	amendment 7:4,15	apply 36:24 100:25	11:5,14
agency's 47:23	8:6	appreciate 5:1	assisted 103:16
agenda 2:24 17:13	amicus 16:21	38:10 62:11 70:24	assisting 63:11
64:2 67:25 79:5,11	amount 48:24 49:2	70:25 80:3,7 125:20	assoc 38:4,9 120:6
aggregate 14:13,18	118:7 123:18	appreciates 21:9	124:24 127:2
21:1	124:13	appreciation 21:11	associated 63:10
aggressive 16:13	analysis 21:6 39:14	103:15	association 70:6,11
66:7	41:5 43:18 44:8	approach 8:11	assume 19:14 70:14
ago 25:14 31:9	50:11 60:21,24 61:2	20:25 30:15 44:12	82:3
58:24 97:20 106:10	61:18	57:19 80:12 83:18	attached 6:18 128:8
120:21	analytical 41:4	98:5	attempts 77:12
agree 40:19 62:22	analyze 44:4 63:8,14	approached 9:15	attend 120:8
72:23 73:14 83:9	ann 44:15 46:1,17	appropriate 14:15	attendant 9:13
118:18 122:22,23	52:14 62:3	20:24	attended 125:21
agreed 83:9	announcements	appropriately 19:13	attendees 66:1
agreement 12:23	3:11 127:5	approval 13:1,4	127:9
13:1,7,16 14:17	annual 22:24 32:19	80:1	attending 4:4 70:16
56:15	34:10 36:25 100:4,9	approved 13:16	71:22 72:8 127:11
agreements 12:21	100:10	17:9 40:4 44:18	attention 67:13 91:2
12:25 13:4,6,10,15	annually 34:6,8	85:19	98:10
14:19 18:1 20:12	99:17	approves 40:3	attest 43:5
27:17 39:17,25 42:5	answer 71:6 76:2	approving 49:19	attorney 39:6 56:1
42:22 43:11 44:3	anticipate 43:3,16	arbitrarily 13:8	58:15 107:15
46:5 47:7,7 52:12	93:11,12	area 15:1 26:19	121:10,25
ahead 5:11 60:2	anxious 125:6	118:7 124:19	attorneys 39:15
95:3	anybody 34:19	areas 25:17 26:8	44:4 53:5 57:15
ahold 125:25	81:16 105:11 107:2	29:12 30:3 40:10	58:8 121:7
aid 104:1	115:12 117:20	52:3 87:20,21 97:7	attribute 103:7

audience118:24back39:1345:5beginning119:25bit26:627:11audit81:21,2255:2358:15,21120:157:2295:3982:12,13,14,1783:163:1965:466:7behalf8:861:25107:17108:84:785:20,2186:969:1671:13,1877:963:165:2375:18blame41:1786:13,19,2188:994:1495:798:4believe12:1131:11bluelake31:audited81:22102:20104:2,542:6,1051:1558:1732:1,236:2	98:15 5 23,23
82:12,13,14,17 83:1 84:7 85:20,21 86:9 86:13,19,21 88:963:19 65:4 66:7 69:16 71:13,18 77:9 94:14 95:7 98:4behalf 8:8 61:25 63:1 65:23 75:18 	5 23,23
84:7 85:20,21 86:9 86:13,19,21 88:969:16 71:13,18 77:9 94:14 95:7 98:463:1 65:23 75:18 believe 12:11 31:11blame 41:17 	23,23
86:13,19,21 88:9 94:14 95:7 98:4 believe 12:11 31:11 bluelake 31:	23,23
audited 81:22 102:20 104:2.5 42:6.10 51:15 58:17 32:1.2 36:2	00.25
	00.25
auditing 20:2 36:15 109:22 120:5 63:2 83:3 86:7,15 blvd 1:9	00.05
36:18,20 74:6 82:1 123:22 124:3 87:6,10 88:8,11 board 26:21	98:25
82:2,3 86:8,18 125:15,16 89:5 body 25:22	4:2,10
auditor 82:7 83:14 backed 53:19 believed 11:25 44:20	
85:17,20 86:12 background 10:20 beneficial 34:25 boils 40:15	
auditorium 126:4 11:3 33:9 beneficiary 14:16 bones 56:20	
auditors 82:1 83:1 backing 80:2 20:17 27:14 49:5 books 100:2	5
83:21 84:6 bad 46:7 118:4 54:16 60:12,17,25 borne 11:12	
audits 15:12 26:1 balance 45:9 71:2 61:15,18,22 62:7,22 bother 58:15	j
74:3 balanced 109:20 benefit 2:23 34:16 bounds 14:1	0
august 3:18,19 balances 85:1 35:5 50:21 52:7 boyce 34:4,4	35:2
127:6 ballroom 1:10 54:19 63:7 77:5,7,7 36:1 82:10,1	0
authored 19:11 band 7:6 41:11 78:20,21 break 60:8,1	1 63:19
authorities 38:5 74:11 benefits 22:20 23:9 103:9 104:4	
65:10 126:19 bank 56:9 34:2,3,13,18,24 brief 7:1 96:	4
authority 10:6 banks 57:23 35:1 38:23 40:16 bright 7:25	43:19,21
11:25 12:2,7 14:4 bannock 30:6 56:6 97:2,19 119:17 43:22 87:13	
14:11 17:15,18,21 70:3 79:16 best 10:6 15:23 46:8 bring 2:16 8	:7 55:17
18:2 30:14 40:8 bare 56:20 53:25 54:1 67:24 96:20 108:1	5 114:4
80:2 based 10:4 14:2 74:16 78:2,13 81:1 116:2,17	
authorized 8:25 53:16 109:24 81:3 83:19 86:22 brother 51:5	76:18
16:1 70:17 128:4 111:25 112:16 89:19 96:18 99:6 brought 51:2	25 59:4
authorizing 15:24 117:18,22 115:23 117:5 72:24 91:21	01:24
available23:10baseline17:1218:18128:13109:21115:	10
33:25 114:9,18 basic 9:3 65:16 85:4 better 11:8 12:6 budget 100:	10
119:19 122:25 basically 34:9 84:18 15:5 47:14 48:10 budgets 8:25	j
avenue30:17 52:2390:21 91:23,2479:23 90:7build100:9	117:6
79:22 86:22 99:24 106:17 beyond 11:6 19:4 built 52:7 53	:9
avenues 80:5 111:16 112:8 95:18 bulletin 46:1	0,10,12
average 45:19 126:12 big 82:19 84:20 58:23 59:3,8	60:2
avoid 58:2 113:10 basis 14:3 18:14 108:20 113:8 116:4 bulletins 46:	13
113:25 77:12 83:20 96:19 121:5 58:21	
avoidance 15:9 99:8 bigger 35:5 burden 22:1	8 35:16
aware 19:2 31:4 bear 118:8 biggest 74:4 114:11 burdensome	22:23
39:20 57:24 beauties 120:7 118:23 24:15 29:16	34:11
b beautiful 124:19 binding 12:25 34:14 38:24	90:16
b 11:23 95:2 becoming 30:3 bingo 82:15 burner 69:10	5
began 46:25 began 46:25 bishop 4:16 business 15:	7,14
45:24 46:6 5	7:1,4

05.22 126.12 12	ceda 1:9	81:18,23 85:11,22	circuits 42:9
95:22 126:12,13 busy 3:3			circulate 75:22
v	centerstone 40:12	86:2 88:18 89:1,20	
button 122:3	cents 61:14	92:5,14 98:18 103:5	circulated 21:13
c	certain 10:16 18:16	103:22 105:5,25	68:12
c 11:16 89:7 95:2	18:18 44:3 58:5	109:12,16 113:8	circumstances 9:5
c.r.i.t. 16:17	84:11 87:20 92:24	118:9 119:16 122:1	9:16 44:3 48:22
cage 82:16 111:3	93:5 97:4	124:25 125:11	city 126:9,11
calculation 15:3	certainly 5:24 20:25	127:4	clarification 125:24
california 41:13	31:4 35:2 45:6	challenge 18:7	clarified 14:25
82:25 84:12	46:24 48:3 50:9	53:16 122:10	clarify 9:24 15:19
calispell 4:10	58:10 70:18 75:19	challenged 14:7	88:19 90:10 109:12
call 12:17 34:20	79:12 82:7 99:22	77:11	clarifying 75:10
66:6 81:1 106:2	105:14 110:1	challenges 38:13	clarity 27:19
called 4:1 52:9 61:7	111:19 123:24	53:3	class 4:12 6:15,23
85:13	certificate 22:15	chammer 128:23	6:25 7:2,16,17,21
calling 19:17 42:11	24:13 29:9 32:17	chance 38:20 56:11	7:25 8:1,2,3,5 12:2
calls 92:1	34:11 38:1 128:1	119:2	16:5,8,10 17:3,5,8
camera 91:7	certificates 38:3,7	change 12:17 14:3	17:16,24 18:2,9,11
capital 27:24	certification 22:21	36:13 81:1 93:1,3,4	18:13,16,20,22 19:1
capitulate 7:12	22:25 23:3,5,24	94:6,6 95:8,9,13,20	19:12,17,23,25 22:7
19:22	24:25 26:16 29:10	96:14,24 97:23	22:11,14 23:15,18
cards 11:6,11	certified 128:3,23	99:10 100:3,4,12	23:19 28:4,5,10,11
care 86:16 116:9	certify 117:12 128:6	101:12,15 102:18	28:17,22,24 29:6,7
careful 30:16 70:23	cetera 85:9	102:21	29:8,24 30:3,7,8,14
88:4	chair 5:22 9:25	changed 19:24 88:2	30:25 31:1,3,22
carefully 63:8	37:14 65:22 79:15	88:7 93:19 105:6	32:6,9,10,16,16,17
carries 16:2	98:6 112:21 120:12	changes 10:9 92:24	32:18,20,21 35:3,4
case 29:7 86:18	121:24 124:7	93:7,13,13,14 94:8	35:4,7,11,16 63:21
cases 53:24 86:20	chairman 2:13 7:24	94:21 95:2,7 98:20	64:7,7,19 65:9,13
cash 15:23 101:11	21:17 49:19 52:20	99:18,23 100:10	65:13 66:13,16,23
casino 1:8 40:18	65:23	101:10 102:4,14,14	66:24 67:1,5,25
111:8	chairwoman 2:5,18	changing 85:7 92:10	68:3,8,15,25 69:2
casinos 30:18 80:21	2:22 5:2,8,24 21:20	93:19 97:21 101:17	69:21 73:23,23 74:5
categories 59:20	22:3 28:2,20 30:23	102:20 122:10	74:5 78:2,25 79:21
categorized 59:18	31:21,24 34:23	checks 84:25	79:23 82:12,13,14
cathryn 107:15	35:22 37:8 38:2,6	cherokee 3:14,18	82:15,18,19 83:1,2
118:17	38:18 39:1 42:12	cheryl 1:24 128:3,22	83:10 87:1,1,3,4,5,6
cause 67:8,9 72:10	44:14 46:15 47:4,25	child 116:14	87:8,9,13,14,14,16
128:20	48:1 49:19 50:24	chinook 1:10	87:20,21,22,23,24
caused 51:21	54:25 57:7,11,13	choice 117:5	87:24 88:20,20,25
caution 20:20	58:13 60:7 61:20	choir 118:10	88:25 89:6,7,10,15
caveat 98:22	62:2,14 63:18,23	choose 116:19 117:5	90:17,17,23,24 91:3
ccr 1:24	67:23 70:12 71:10	circle 96:11 98:4	91:4,5,6,20,20,22,23
	72:1,12 73:21 74:8	circuit 16:17 17:19	92:1,3 94:10 95:16
	75:2 78:24 80:6	40:23 41:1,5,23	95:16 97:4,5,5,8,8

100:18 102:4	110:15,16 114:13	32:13 33:2 35:8	123:20 126:12
103:11 105:21,22	116:24 118:16	38:16 42:3 48:6,7	committees 69:20
106:4,6,12 110:10	122:16,19 125:21	50:2 51:12,16,19,21	89:24 92:23 98:20
110:11,11,14,14,15	comes 24:23 42:17	52:10,23 53:7 54:5	100:11 107:7,8
110:16 116:24,24	42:19 83:13,15	58:17 60:4,5 66:5	108:4 109:18,23
119:12,13,13	93:24 97:9 123:16	66:15 69:9 72:21	113:19 114:5
clause 105:9 106:15	comfortable 46:2	76:12,14 77:10,21	115:11 116:11
106:17	coming 31:25 63:11	78:1,5 79:17,18	120:9 123:5,8
clear 12:24 24:15	79:4 83:3 91:1 98:2	80:17 82:14 85:20	common 9:1 44:11
27:17 28:25 33:7,13	98:17 100:18	95:18,19,24 101:18	commonplace 93:1
69:10 90:23 116:20	102:10 116:10	101:25 102:2,11,15	communicating
116:20 119:24	120:22 126:24	102:16 103:20	72:3,4
123:6	127:6	107:19 108:6,10	community 103:24
clearly 17:14 58:22	commend 66:5	109:3 115:21 120:8	compact 7:12 14:19
62:16	79:17	120:13,24 126:13	17:12,25 19:2 21:3
client 74:10	comment 3:7 58:18	126:19,19	30:12 40:4,24 41:21
client's 61:21 62:9	64:14 68:18 75:25	commission's 41:17	82:4 83:24 86:9,13
clients 62:1 96:21	79:13 80:7,15	52:24 100:19	86:15,19
climb 74:20	103:14 107:11	commissioner 38:4	compacted 4:11
closing 99:15 124:6	112:19 119:3	38:7,9 120:6 124:24	compacts 7:5 8:2
closure 9:18 69:11	commentators	127:2	17:11,16 18:10,13
closures 9:13	13:25	commissioners	18:15,17,19,25,25
cochran 37:14 98:6	commented 32:25	19:14 50:25 76:7,22	19:9 31:1 40:1,5
112:21 121:24	37:3 66:14,14	121:2 124:5	41:13 83:23 84:12
124:7	commenter 27:12	commissions 7:20	84:12,14
code 19:18	commenting 79:19	9:14 11:24 16:20,21	companies 100:25
coerce 7:8	comments 3:1 5:19	17:9,14 40:21 82:23	compared 43:11
coeur 6:12 16:11	5:20 6:4,13,14,18	92:15 96:3 126:21	compel 12:11
collateral 12:21,24	6:20,23,25 7:3 8:16	commit 115:5	complete 7:14 29:5
13:7,10,15 27:16	10:17,19 16:6,10	commitment 67:19	58:9 68:9 75:22,24
39:16,25 43:5 46:5	21:8 22:16,16,22,25	123:10 124:13	95:1 123:12
collective 8:10	23:3 27:5,14 28:14	committed 111:14	completely 82:21
college 121:8	31:22 32:4 33:1	committee 65:2,5	completing 22:18
colorado 16:22	36:14,18 37:16,17	68:20 69:13,15	completion 122:19
87:11	37:24 38:10 39:10	74:14,15,21 88:12	compliance 9:6,7,11
colville 49:14	39:12 65:19 66:12	88:13 89:22,23 97:6	16:15 18:20 25:7
combination 57:8	66:20 70:7 74:24	97:7 107:10,12,13	74:2 107:1 115:17
combine 43:9	96:18 97:24 103:8	107:22 108:7,8,9,14	complicated 43:22
come 3:23 5:25 9:7	109:11 118:7	108:24 109:1,5,20	complimentary
9:10 39:18 45:5	121:22 123:24	111:12,21,24 112:4	82:16
59:25 60:3 72:13	125:5,22	112:18 114:22	comply 106:15
75:7 78:2 83:20	commission 1:3	115:12,14,18 116:1	component 48:13
86:13,19,21 95:12	5:22 6:5 7:24 8:25	117:7,9 118:2,3,21	composition 24:4
101:8 102:4 104:1,4	17:12 18:3 22:13	119:23 120:15,16	compound 122:9
105:10,14 106:8	26:25 27:1 30:6	120:17 123:6,15,19	
	Varitavt/NI Dar	· a	

[concentrated - criticized]

-	-		0
concentrated 115:3	consistency 15:11	contracts 13:10,12	counsel 32:2 56:16
116:10	consistent 98:8,16	39:16,24 44:19,24	128:18
concern 14:18 20:13	constraints 10:8	45:5 46:22 48:20	counsel's 44:25 45:6
24:16 29:13 31:4	65:18	50:14	47:8 51:23 62:5
55:7 106:18 114:11	consult 66:7 90:1	contribute 118:16	count 82:16
114:12,12	111:21 118:23	contributed 109:8	country 20:14 23:17
concerned 30:8,21	consultants 108:17	contributing 103:16	32:3 41:3 51:6 69:2
30:22 56:3,9 91:22	consultation 1:5	103:19	74:17 76:17,18
92:2	16:14 37:4 66:2	contribution 78:25	78:10 115:24
concerns 14:9,12	70:18 75:15 76:13	113:14	124:19
15:8,22 21:12 26:13	89:24 111:23 113:2	contributions 15:1	county 74:12
27:22 60:25 79:11	114:4 125:25	113:22	county's 61:11
107:3 125:24	consultations 20:8	control 22:10 28:5	course 39:9 40:25
concluded 127:13	64:25 67:15 98:17	28:24 49:7,10,16,22	77:2
conduct 11:3	124:1	52:10 60:20 64:7,20	court 16:16,24 17:2
conducted 25:7	consulted 98:8	65:13 83:25 84:3,15	17:2,20 41:1,6 48:8
conducts 24:18	consulting 13:6 22:5	84:22 85:8 96:5	128:4,23
confederated 32:2	37:5 46:14 50:19	98:25 113:16 114:8	courts 28:1 48:10
conference 125:2	consuming 57:21	controlling 49:18	53:1,8
confidence 13:19	114:21	controls 24:6 66:16	cover 29:3 93:22
confident 116:15	contact 5:3 72:19	80:23 81:5 85:19	95:12
confirming 82:1	73:15	86:6,14 94:14,15,17	coverage 91:7
conflict 25:23	contained 27:9	controversy 45:17	covered 3:2 87:20
conform 93:14	contains 128:14	convenience 15:10	89:17
confronted 7:4 8:5	contemplate 28:10	15:12	covering 89:4
congress 8:12 14:3	100:10	conversation 37:13	covers 8:18
14:11 17:15	contemplating 79:2	46:23 81:24	crazy 43:2 62:19,20
consensus 51:17	context 12:12 14:15	conversations 44:17	create 55:2 67:7
54:4,12	14:17 20:11,22 49:3	cooperatively 8:9	92:16,17 111:10
consequences 14:6	49:17 86:5,6 94:10	coordinated 8:11	created 14:11
consider 7:16 27:1	continually 96:15	copies 5:22	creative 42:21 50:4
46:19,20 70:21 71:2	continue 2:8 17:5,22	copy 6:17 21:22	52:4,8 55:10
71:3 77:12,16	17:24 19:1 21:21	core 42:7	creativity 44:18
114:16 116:21	44:2 61:17 67:8	correct 10:14 13:20	45:8
118:12 119:5	95:21 103:10	86:3 88:23	creek 3:19 4:7 72:15
consideration 21:14	continued 66:3	correctly 17:20	89:5 118:1
59:10,12 72:24	continues 25:10	67:11 89:21 121:18	criminal 24:21
75:12 97:16	continuous 101:21	cost 15:6 20:1 77:5	crit 32:8 35:2 65:11
considered 35:1	continuously 101:19	77:16,18 78:3 100:7	87:17 90:15
77:3 113:22 115:20	contract 13:23 14:1	102:24	criteria 24:12,15,17
considering 35:2,24	14:2,6 45:20 47:10	costs 11:11 15:14	25:11
37:16 65:15 75:12	49:17,20 50:17,18	21:2 77:20	critical 10:11,12
75:19 76:3	contracted 13:7	council 2:14 9:10	criticized 56:23
considers 11:17	contracting 13:13	councils 10:14	57:21 117:4
99:23	13:19	1	

[crux - diverge]

crux 11:23	125:16 126:3,6	deliver 78:3	differences 110:22
culture 11:24 12:8	deal 17:25 32:20	demand 123:8	different 21:5,5
cumbersome 31:14	45:12,22 46:7 47:14	demanded 41:11	44:7 50:4,15,22,23
33:22 34:8	52:16,17,24 53:11	demanding 41:11	51:11 59:19,20,21
cumulative 60:24	53:20 55:14 57:22	denials 11:19	69:14 74:10 76:16
curious 57:6 71:7	58:3,10 97:25	denied 11:22 12:4	82:24 83:23,23
current 13:15 15:4	106:24	deny 11:9	90:18,25 97:10
20:8 29:11,18,21	dealing 50:22 51:8	department 40:3	98:16 100:24,25
31:14 33:10 79:17	97:17	116:13	102:5 124:17
79:21 106:13,13	deals 32:19 47:13	depending 48:21	differently 33:8
currently 22:1	55:10	52:16	76:14
23:10 29:2 34:1	dealt 67:3 85:5	deprive 7:5	difficult 21:10 43:19
94:23 103:18	decade 7:12	designated 73:6	54:8 74:6 92:4
cut 43:9 60:8	decision 7:8,19 13:3	desire 55:1,18 75:16	93:24 122:7
cutoff 82:18	17:2,3 31:12 32:8	112:17	difficulties 108:3
cutting 43:14 94:15	41:2,8 48:7 65:11	desired 13:6	directing 70:14
d	69:20 87:11,17	desires 65:1	direction 52:21
d 88:21	90:15 95:25 113:21	detail 59:17	directly 41:14 42:7
d'alene 6:12 16:11	115:20	detailed 7:2 90:2	44:8 103:17
d.c. 16:17	decisions 11:8 12:6	102:10 112:11	director 2:21 34:5
	44:24 48:5 49:8	details 98:12	34:20 74:2 80:17
dakota 3:20 18:10	52:22 53:16 113:6	determination 13:9	82:11 113:20
dan 122:18 126:7	113:21 116:16	25:19,20 27:24 47:8	disagree 122:23
127:1	118:12	116:25	disagreement 77:18
dan's 38:3	declination 13:18,20	determinations	84:20
dancing 60:12	44:7,19	11:18	disappointed 80:24
danielle 72:6	declined 41:1	develop 8:10 19:19	disclosure 13:12
darn 39:19	deems 40:4	44:2 52:6 61:18	discover 10:13
darwin 2:20	default 19:8	developed 48:9	discuss 26:22 32:21
database 11:10 12:5	defeats 77:6	developers 61:12	66:3
date 2:16 18:16,18	defer 19:9	developing 44:10	discussed 28:3
18:21 19:16 20:10	deference 8:15,22	50:17 77:13	39:17
21:14 95:13	10:7	development 14:13	discussing 105:6
dawn 92:6	define 15:18 27:10	15:25 20:12 21:2	discussion 2:8 7:20
day 2:24 4:14,22	43:15	41:3 45:25 50:18	7:21 14:14 22:8
5:11 13:2,2 21:24	defined 27:13 91:20	deveny 2:20,20	28:13,15 39:2 52:20
40:12,14 42:13 43:8	defining 14:17 27:2	devise 80:23	77:10 78:16 105:23
61:9 118:5 124:8	45:9	devoting 18:4	107:5 108:19
128:21	definitely 25:16	dialogue 9:21	discussions 45:3
days 2:7 3:4 4:2,5	definition 12:23	dictated 101:4	52:19 108:9
65:4 112:9 115:5	13:23 14:1,7,9,22	102:15	dishonest 24:21
120:21 123:11	14:24 15:5,16,21	diego 74:12	display 106:22
124:9	16:1 27:17,23 51:13	difference 46:13	dispute 18:3 30:20
dc 16:24 17:2,19	definitions 13:22	91:19	diverge 90:22,22
120:25 121:6			91:12
			/ 1.12

[diversity - exist]

diversity 111:9	duplications 37:17	embrace 9:8	environment 91:6
divided 63:5	duplicative 22:23	embraced 8:21	110:16,17
dividing 59:5	24:16 37:18,22	16:24 17:16	envy 93:23
document 5:21 43:6	durations 123:11	embraces 8:22	epic 80:14
68:9 75:24 84:23	е	emphasize 17:2	equipment 4:23
documents 10:11,12	e 88:21	employee 11:4	es 128:10
27:4,7,21 39:19	e.g. 15:22	67:20,20,25	especially 29:19
57:18 59:1,25 60:3	e.g. 15.22 earlier 36:14 63:3	employees 10:21	36:20 65:10 69:25
73:19 118:2	89:4 118:19	11:7,14 25:3,21	107:23 118:14
doi 8:4,9 116:6		26:3	122:9 127:8
doing 3:22 5:6 15:6	early 36:7 58:10	empowering 17:10	essence 5:14
39:18 50:15,21	76:13	enable 10:13	essentially 53:10
57:19 73:1 74:17	easier 91:20	enables 11:7	establish 15:10
75:4 76:13 80:9	echo 21:25 74:23	enabling 47:13	et 85:9
83:17 91:25 96:14	122:18	enchilada 110:6	evaluating 75:6
101:5 120:4 126:12	echoing 124:25	encompass 55:9,19	event 4:8,14,19,22
126:15	economic 15:25	encompasses 59:3	5:1 121:10 125:4
doj 8:6,9	54:17 77:7,19 78:21	encounter 123:9	128:19
dollar 61:14	economically 24:21	encouraged 41:7,24	everybody 3:3
dollars 8:24 102:13	effect 33:11 43:10	encroach 55:20	108:15,16 109:17
door 60:23 61:4,4,5	effective 24:18 42:9	endeavors 80:13	119:25 120:4 125:9
79:22 99:15	54:22 68:17 69:17	ended 108:8	evidence 25:18,23
doors 30:9	78:3	endorse 12:9	evolution 92:9 94:19
	effectively 42:23	ends 113:9,24	evolved 69:15 92:25
doorstep 41:17	78:19	enforce 17:11	
downfall 120:7	effectiveness 77:16		exactly 42:14 60:2
draft 8:21 9:7,22	102:24	enforceable 80:18	96:9 121:3
10:9 12:15 16:12,25	effort 9:9 20:23	81:6	examining 36:6,17
21:7 73:2 88:12	efforts 7:3 8:1 37:18	enforcement 20:2	example 4:6,21 33:4
90:1 94:22,24	37:22 70:15	25:4 26:5 35:3	36:5 50:6 91:1,11
112:25 122:13,14	either 35:9,20 36:9	enhance 34:3	examples 33:16 36:3
122:17	46:21 70:24 71:16	enjoy 63:6,11	37:2,6
drafting 43:1	79:9 86:12 92:17	enjoyed 63:1	excellent 19:16
drafts 6:20 10:24	111:15	ensure 8:11 9:4	exceptions 11:1
21:13 22:1,4 64:5	election 117:3	10:10 13:12 19:25	exciting 126:17,25
68:2 111:25 118:11	electronic 94:9	90:6	excuse 42:12
119:22	element 92:5	ensures 9:8 25:25	executed 46:7 47:14
draw 7:25	elements 49:23	ensuring 15:13	executive 2:21 34:4
driven 112:11	eliminate 37:18	entail 5:4	34:20 70:16 82:10
driver 43:25	eliminated 35:21	enter 13:10	exempt 36:6,9,17
drop 82:16 111:15	70:9	entering 13:5 56:8	exempted 32:14
du 40:22 41:9,12	elliott 120:9 121:9	entertaining 106:22	exempting 36:19
due 56:23	elise's 43:9	entire 20:1	exercise 51:19
duly 128:10		entities 60:23 62:25	exist 18:14,14
duplicate 95:3	email 73:18 125:8	entity 11:4 13:2,14	100:15
_		19:10	

existed 18:15,18	external 81:21,22	fan 113:9 116:4	finally 22:10 28:2
existing 41:12	83:1 84:6 85:17,20	fantastic 125:4	41:22
112:25	extort 41:21	far 32:9 34:15 83:18	finance 13:6 20:12
exists 115:23	extra 97:19	91:22 92:2 107:7	21:2 32:19
expanding 13:25	extreme 102:8	112:17 119:21	financial 14:18
expands 40:9	extremely 97:15	125:21	15:11 45:24 128:19
expect 23:23 40:25	98:1	farce 12:17	financially 25:24
expectation 63:15	f	fast 93:19 99:20	financing 27:19
expectations 119:25	f 11:23	101:4	50:16
expecting 61:21	face 123:20	faster 11:8	find 34:19 38:13
expenditures 15:24	faces 124:18	favorable 10:25	43:7 49:4,8,9 80:23
expenses 14:13 15:2		52:3	finding 24:17 25:1,5
expensive 63:4	facilitator 113:12,20	federal 8:10 48:8,10	25:6,6
experience 51:7,10	113:25 114:8,19 119:17 120:3	53:8 77:14,17 83:2	fine 87:13
73:25 74:15 82:24		116:3 119:19	fines 9:13,18,20
94:3 100:13 102:9	facilitators 65:5	fee 14:2 19:24 33:5	finger 91:23 92:3
109:24 114:6	113:9 f= -:11:4:=== 4:21 8:20	feedback 48:3 49:12	fingerprint 11:6,11
116:24	facilities 4:21 8:20	68:2 80:9 113:2	fire 103:17
experienced 9:2	12:19 23:19 52:2,6	feel 67:2 73:4 79:21	first 11:2 37:12 45:4
78:10,11	76:24 77:1 106:1,12 126:10	101:22 118:11	49:23 65:21 71:13
experiences 59:12		121:2	71:14,19 89:9 96:5
expert 115:16 117:8	facility 12:2 13:3	feeling 109:3,8	103:6 109:19
expertise 105:16	16:25 36:4,8,18	feels 33:24	fiscally 24:20
110:2,24 112:1,11	76:25 78:7,14 106:3	fees 11:13 14:13,14	fits 10:2
experts 65:7 89:25	106:4,6 125:4	14:18 15:1,6 19:21	five 2:9,25 16:5
93:9 107:24,24	facing 9:17 38:13 66:8	21:3,3 33:4,8 34:14	21:24 22:1,6 26:23
108:7,10 110:9	fact 9:3 21:6 43:4,18	35:5	28:9,19 30:1 48:21
111:11 115:13,15	82:1 83:22 93:1	felt 31:15 53:5,12	63:20 64:4 102:9
115:22 116:3,18,23		71:14 102:15	103:18
118:19	95:17 109:6 factor 49:14,16	fiat 11:25	flat 40:20
expire 120:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	fight 72:11	flew 118:5
expires 106:17	81:24 factoring 115:17	fighting 53:6 71:11	flexibility 54:17
122:4	factors 46:21 48:17	figure 53:19 78:9	103:3
exported 20:19	50:11 52:14 53:22	93:17	flexible 55:21 80:11
express 20:20 53:6		filling 22:19	92:11,17 93:3,6,10
103:14	facts 54:1	final 9:23 48:7	flies 113:16
expressed 15:22	factual 53:9	54:11 55:18 59:22	floor 3:6 5:15 69:25
58:22 59:6 75:16	failed 9:12	88:11 106:16	74:7 79:12
expressly 15:25	fair 9:4 24:19	126:18	florida 18:11
17:10	fairly 63:4	finaled 68:16	flow 15:23 111:1
extend 67:9	faith 8:13	finality 114:13	flowed 7:21
extension 19:15	fall 30:12	finalized 68:17	focus 20:10 35:9
extensive 44:16	falls 12:6	122:13	37:14 98:10 113:6
extent 40:5,13 97:4	familiar 83:22 85:25	finalizing 57:18	focused 110:5
101:9	110:3 111:2		
		1	1

6	f. 1.4° 1.110.04	105.01	
focusing 32:15	foundational 112:24	105:21	gleaned 81:8
folks 2:7,23 5:12	four 7:23 8:15,18	gaming 1:3 4:1,11	glen 65:17,22
47:6,15 48:20 57:2	10:17,19 32:13 43:7	4:12,20 6:5,23 7:1,9	go 2:6 5:10 30:1
58:7 68:13 74:16	95:23 97:21	7:14 8:2,2,20,24	34:7 37:12 53:1,8
100:17 101:14	frame 67:8 120:14	12:13,18 13:3 14:19	55:23 57:15 58:21
123:4	frames 58:11 123:7	15:6,14,20 18:10	59:15 61:25 64:11
follow 21:23 34:24	framework 10:3	19:13 20:15,18 21:1	66:7 81:3 83:24
84:17,25 100:21	frankly 46:4	22:7,11 23:15,20	87:8 94:14,20 95:7
101:14	fred 80:16 85:15	24:4,4,5,7,7,18 25:3	99:6,14,19 100:1
followed 7:1	86:9 122:15	25:4,6,8,8,19,25	107:9 110:22 121:1
following 52:25 66:6	free 67:13	26:14,14 28:22 30:6	122:20 123:5 124:4
83:7,14 90:12 101:2	freedom 56:24	30:17 36:18 40:17	125:18
126:3	59:13	41:14 42:9 47:3	goal 54:14 100:18
fond 40:22 41:8,12	fresh 114:3	49:5,10 54:18 58:17	103:1 108:14
footwork 113:4	friday 66:3 126:4	63:7,12 64:15 68:5	goals 77:25 101:10
force 19:10 96:7	friends 121:25	68:13 70:6,11 71:14	103:4
foregoing 128:14	frustrating 120:11	77:7 78:22 79:16	gobin 5:5 65:21,22
forensics 4:12	121:12	80:17 81:2,9 82:14	god 83:14
foresight 94:9,19	frustration 7:23	82:23 98:24 99:4	goes 34:15 42:24
forever 90:4,5	frustrations 118:24	102:4 107:19	111:19 125:13
forget 89:16 114:24	full 2:7 13:12 18:1	120:12	126:25
form 9:12 17:24	29:5 48:6,6 75:24	general 6:23 8:16	going 2:7,24 4:6,22
81:16 90:3 118:2	98:4 118:14 123:9	16:6 41:15 44:25	29:16 30:11,14,19
formal 9:8 13:17	126:19 128:14	45:6 47:8 51:23	33:1,21 35:13 37:19
47:22 55:2,8 101:20	fully 67:10 115:20	56:16 62:4	39:18 43:2,3,4,16
formally 5:23	function 15:10 83:4	generally 48:19 49:2	48:25 52:21 55:22
format 126:13	functions 90:18,22	49:9 64:13 69:22	58:3,5,9 60:5,8,23
formation 71:15	111:8	generous 51:9	61:4,4,5 67:16,21
formed 66:22	fund 15:20 41:15	gentleman 83:10	68:10 71:25 72:12
123:16	funding 25:22	92:8 96:15,23	74:25 77:9 78:6
former 92:15	funds 50:16 103:17	getting 32:14 49:23	81:12 82:16 89:9
forming 61:2	further 26:22 61:25	50:15,19,20 60:19	95:6,8,15,16,24
formulating 81:4	67:9	61:11,11,12,12,13	96:10,14 98:23
forth 10:9 12:8	future 94:17 95:1,11	61:13 69:10 73:13	101:16 102:18
43:19	96:1	113:6	103:7,20 105:19,20
fortunate 120:12	g	ggr 42:24	106:25 111:14,24
forward 59:25 60:3		give 3:21 5:12 6:1	113:1 114:14 115:1
66:9,22 67:6,17	gaap 15:9,9 100:22	34:20 43:22 73:18	115:4,4,5 118:15,21
76:5 77:11 83:20	gaap's 15:10	124:4 125:18	120:10,25 122:9,12
97:1 98:2 112:10	gaining 78:21	given 14:6 107:7	122:23 123:8,9
121:20,22 124:22	gamble 38:19	115:14 128:8,16	126:6,11
125:22	game 7:17 68:25	giving 2:14 103:2	good 2:5 3:20,25
fought 71:16	91:4,6,8,9	glad 30:18,18 80:3	8:13 9:25 12:1,4
found 18:20 31:13	games 4:11 7:16,25	103:25	21:25 29:1 38:24
49:2 100:11	8:3 9:4 82:20 94:9		41:4,23 58:19 62:14

[good - horses]

-			-
62:23 66:9 74:18,21	groups 2:9 6:14,16	hard 3:14 7:1,24	helping 121:18
78:18 79:20,24	64:4 70:4,4 73:16	31:18 74:25 79:20	helps 114:8
99:14 102:2 103:20	98:2 100:19	80:4 81:11,13 93:20	hereto 6:18
103:23 107:9,19,22	guard 91:23 92:3	98:3 101:3,4	hey 43:20 99:17
109:5 119:8	guess 28:6 65:21	harkening 65:4	123:21
governance 7:10	67:1 84:1 92:19	harm 59:13	hi 49:13 72:14
12:14 16:3	98:4 109:15	hat 74:10	hid 7:15
government 9:21,21	guide 44:22	hate 79:2,4 97:17	hide 7:4
24:6 75:18 111:23	guideline 83:12	hawk 21:25	hiding 72:6
111:23	89:11	head 85:23	high 23:4 34:18 61:5
governments 20:17	guidelines 19:18	heads 57:15	77:6
20:19 70:22 71:4	60:1 88:7 92:11	health 116:9	highest 9:3,6
grand 32:3 33:18,23	101:14	hear 4:4 6:19 9:13	highlighted 11:20
34:5,20 37:16 82:11	guys 38:13 50:25	17:17,22 38:12	highlights 11:17
82:13	51:4 52:13 80:4	47:19 48:14 55:12	49:25
grandfather 106:17	95:18 119:1 120:4	62:14 65:1 70:3,20	hindered 13:5
grandfathering	121:16 122:1	71:24 80:9 92:19	hire 83:21
105:9 106:15	h	112:19 117:19	historically 51:12
grappling 49:11	half 120:16 124:9	121:23	51:21
gratitude 103:14	halfway 120:22	heard 50:25 51:4	history 16:19 24:4
grave 20:13	hall 67:19	59:19 69:19 76:8,22	31:7 46:18 52:4
great 2:18 6:16 7:23	hallmark 23:6	89:4 91:14,14 92:9	94:2
20:20 68:1 107:25	hammer 1:24 128:3	98:15 102:17 103:6	hoc 64:16 113:13
greater 13:19 23:10	128:22	106:18,22 113:18	hog 88:5
38:23	hand 42:2,3 55:24	hearing 38:22 50:9	hogan 7:24 9:14
ground 116:2	82:9 128:21	55:1 62:8 90:20	11:24 16:20 17:9,13
119:17,21 122:8	handed 9:20 20:16	98:15 106:19 107:7	hold 4:20 87:12
123:15,17	handle 28:14 106:24	112:16 117:19,22	home 124:23 125:10
grounds 11:25	handling 126:8	heartburn 51:22	127:12
group 2:25 6:13,13	handout 22:2	heavily 52:15 56:23	homeland 104:2
6:23,25 7:2,22 8:15	handouts 22:2	heavy 9:20	homes 103:18
8:18 10:17,19 16:5	hands 29:25 30:1,20	helm 38:19,19 81:19	honest 9:5 24:18,19
16:13 17:23 21:24	42:19 55:4 73:22	81:19	honestly 51:14
21:25 22:6 26:23	74:3 125:19	help 34:22 38:25	honoring 67:21
28:9,18 63:20 64:15	happen 40:20 67:10	46:22 55:5 56:12	hope 16:20 40:9
64:16,19 65:16	81:21 96:10	57:16 58:11 63:17	63:13 74:24 85:16
66:21 67:5 68:6,6	happened 59:1 96:9	69:8 80:23 107:11	100:18 123:13
68:13 72:17,19 75:9	102:21	107:12 113:12	126:23
75:16,23 77:17,24	happening 86:4	117:1 123:1	hoped 77:25
78:1,15 96:6,7,13	91:8,9	helped 125:12	hopeful 16:12
96:19 97:11 99:13	happens 100:5	helpful 37:9 47:12	hopefully 4:18 34:2
99:17 111:10	126:22	57:2 59:24 69:23	42:14 54:11 78:16
112:24 113:13,21	happy 34:21 62:13	70:1 80:24 91:14	hoping 113:5
117:16	117:19	108:15 117:2 120:3	horses 72:7,9 115:4

host 4:25 124:20	69:21 73:23,23 74:5	implemented 25:16	indian 1:3 6:5 15:5
hostile 8:7	78:2,25 79:23 82:12	103:1 106:9	15:14 16:22 40:17
hosting 125:3	82:14,15,18 87:1,3	implementing 74:25	41:3,14 68:12 70:6
hosts 4:19	87:6,9,13,23,24	102:6	70:11 71:14 74:17
hot 51:3	88:20,25 89:6 90:17	importance 6:17	87:11 115:23
house 58:16,19,20	90:24 91:3,6,20,23	16:15 20:9 77:3	indians 21:18 72:15
82:22,23 85:13 96:2	92:3 95:16 97:4,5	important 6:9 11:9	74:12
housed 35:23	100:18 102:4	20:13 23:8 38:14	indicate 33:4
how's 120:4	103:11 105:21	39:7 66:4 69:5 83:5	indicated 22:3,22
huge 76:24 121:5	106:5,6,12 110:10	85:8 97:15 98:1	23:1,8 27:5,12 28:8
hundred 81:9	110:11,14,16	124:12	28:9
hybrid 106:3	116:24 119:12,13	impression 32:15	indicates 32:12
i	119:13	improve 24:14	indicators 25:11
ics 85:12	iii 6:15 8:2,5 12:2	29:21 38:14,16	individual 18:25
idaho 82:25	16:5,8,11 17:4,5,8	improvement 68:24	73:9
idea 12:1 88:7	17:16,24 18:2,9,12	80:11	industries 116:3
107:25 120:24	18:13,16,20,22 19:1	improves 12:5	industry 9:2 19:10
ideal 45:11	19:12,17,23,25	inadvertently 67:9	23:13 65:7 81:2
ideas 72:25 108:18	22:11 28:4,5,10,11	inaudible 54:2	83:19 92:25 93:4,9
identified 29:12	28:24 29:6 31:1	105:24 109:3 113:1	98:21 99:5,9,12,24
52:14 83:25	32:9,16,18,21 35:3	incentive 9:4,6	100:2,2,13 120:19
identifies 83:4	63:21 64:8 65:10,13	incentives 23:22	inform 45:3 46:22
identify 9:9 30:2	66:13 67:1 74:6	inception 47:23	57:17 69:8 73:24
84:14,22 110:12,18	79:21 82:13,19 83:2	inclined 103:9	76:4 93:9 107:12
idiosyncrasies 86:16	83:2,11 87:1,4,6,9	include 14:1,25 24:2	117:1,14
iet 82:16	87:14,15,17,21,21	24:17 58:9 82:15,17	informal 54:21
igra 11:20 12:8 14:3	87:23,24 88:21,25	included 22:6 26:10	information 5:9
16:1 24:24 25:7	89:7,10,15 90:17,24	26:20 33:19 58:4	12:12 24:3 33:15
27:9,10 32:8,11,12	91:4,5,20,22 92:1	includes 14:2,19	34:10 56:24 57:1,5
37:20 40:13 42:8	94:10 95:16 97:5,8	36:21	59:14,15 66:19 69:6
47:2 60:14 86:6	105:22 110:12,14	including 7:14	80:22,25 81:8,10,10
87:19 89:15	110:17 116:24	25:11 29:6	81:13,15 82:8
igra's 12:1 20:17	iii's 30:8	inconsistent 16:19	information's 26:10
ii 4:12 6:23,25 7:2	illegal 19:6	inconveniences 19:6	125:7
,	imagine 39:8 82:8	incorporation 18:24	informed 11:8 12:6
7:16,17,21,25 8:2,3	105:23	increase 45:17	65:6 115:20 127:7
11:23 22:7,14 23:15	immunity 7:5,15 8:6	increased 34:19	informing 69:20
23:18,19 28:17,22	impact 21:1 32:6	incumbent 98:19	initial 3:10 10:25
29:7,8,24 30:4,7,14	33:6 35:19 49:16	100:6	19:11 20:6
30:25 31:3,22 32:6	impacted 18:13 33:3	independent 64:16	initially 34:7
32:10,16,18,20 35:4	impeding 16:2	68:6 92:22 113:13	input 70:25 108:25
35:4,7,11,16 64:7	implement 8:4	116:6	119:8 121:16
64:20 65:13 66:16	102:14,20	independently	inquiring 75:7
66:23,24 67:5 68:1	implementation	63:14	inquiry 20:7 22:12
68:3,8,15,25 69:2	25:12 101:13		26:24 28:6,8 64:24

[insisting - know]

Page 13

insisting 17:23	interestingly 98:21	51:8,15 54:13 60:12	k
19:23	99:9	62:22 83:13 85:2	kansas 82:25
insofar 89:22	interests 16:6 43:12	108:23 118:13	keenly 39:20
insomuch 67:6	54:7	issued 11:21 24:13	keep 3:16 7:17
inspection 36:6,17	interfering 45:23	46:10 47:4,24 48:2	23:14 25:12 35:11
inspects 26:2	interior 40:3 109:18	issues 20:21 32:20	37:19 72:19 83:11
instance 118:20	109:19 114:2,10	32:20 38:11 39:7	85:16 93:20 101:17
instances 43:17	116:13 126:4	43:17 44:8 49:11	114:10,18 122:11
47:15	internal 22:10 24:6	50:23 52:9 59:4,6	127:7
instinct 99:14	28:5,24 45:3 64:7	60:20 61:16 66:4,8	keeping 73:15
institutes 56:7	64:20 65:13 66:16	67:14 70:7 79:8,13	keeps 91:1 108:14
institutions 44:21	74:3 80:23 81:4	79:21 84:14 95:12	keno 82:20
57:24	82:13 83:25 84:3,15	101:24 105:14	key 10:21 11:7,14
instrument 45:20	84:22 85:7,19 86:6	106:8 107:2 121:5	25:20 84:18 114:14
instruments 44:25	86:13 94:14,15 96:5	122:20	kickapoo 7:7
45:7 46:22 50:4	117:21	items 89:14 119:24	kidding 60:9
58:2	internally 44:17	iterations 69:14	kids 121:8
integrity 37:19 85:2	62:16 81:24	j	kind 22:10 30:15
90:6	interpret 31:5	j 1:24 128:3,22	39:12 44:10 46:5,18
intended 8:12 9:24	100:24	james 31:20	69:3 70:4 71:17,24
84:24	interpretation 88:24	jamestown 80:16	84:2 101:22 102:22
intense 110:16	interpreted 32:11		102:25 108:17,22
115:5 123:11	87:8	jena 7:6	102.23 108.17,22
intent 32:8,11 83:5	interval 92:24	jennie 122:3	
83:15 84:22 87:2,19	intricate 45:9	jersey 25:15	121:12 124:14,24 kinds 56:19
intents 92:2	introduce 2:10	jim 88:18	
interacted 116:7	intrusions 7:9	jo 44:15 46:1,17	knew 55:14
interaction 101:18	investigation 7:22	52:14 62:3	know 3:21,23 5:15
interest 14:16 20:4	11:4 25:2,3 26:2,5	job 93:23 111:17	9:15 17:4 23:18
20:10 22:8 25:23	investigations 10:20	118:15 119:22	24:13 26:9 28:24
26:24 27:2,13,18,23	33:10	123:22	29:11,14,20 30:7
27:25 28:23 29:9	investigative 10:5	john 51:1 55:1 63:2	31:3 32:7,21 33:24
39:3,7,24 40:7,11	involved 31:2 48:18	100:16 103:7 108:2	34:2,3 35:15 36:7
42:18 43:16 44:8	48:20 50:1 51:16	109:13 115:9	36:12,15,22 40:5,7
45:22 46:12 47:2,20	63:10,17 72:2	journey 80:14 120:2	40:12,13,21 41:7,9
48:1,16 50:1,10	100:17 121:17	judge 16:23	41:9,16 42:2,18,22
54:15 59:5 60:16,22	involves 39:15	judicial 14:8	42:23 43:8,20 44:5
61:3 62:7 63:25	irs 101:2	july 1:12 2:1 3:13,18	44:6,7,15,19,20
93:12 99:6 128:19	issuance 24:7 26:4	6:6 127:6 128:21	45:4 46:1,1,4,4,16
interested 5:3,6	issue 6:9,15,16 10:1	jump 64:3 79:2	46:16,25 48:19
23:13,25 28:13	16:19 20:9,11,25	jumping 3:9	50:13,25 51:2,4,10
29:24 38:21 55:15	26:23 28:11,17 30:7	justifies 49:2	52:13,13,19,25
62:8 106:19 121:23	30:11,12 32:5 38:10		53:21 55:8,16 56:11
interesting 46:25	39:10,14 41:25 42:1		56:19 57:25 58:2,4
92:13	44:3,12 46:8 48:9		58:16 59:17,22,22
12.13	++.3,12 +0.0 +0.7		

	1		
60:2,14,20,22,24	labored 92:16	lengthy 24:9 31:16	38:4,9 57:22 59:19
61:6,10,14 62:4,6	labs 117:11	33:22	76:14 92:4 94:9
63:3,6,9,15 65:9,12	lac 40:22 41:9,12	letter 84:1	95:3 98:15 107:16
65:12,17 68:10,15	lack 41:20	letters 13:18,20	113:15 117:20
68:21 69:1,14,24	lacking 34:13	39:15 44:7,19 48:12	120:6 122:3 124:24
70:13,18,24 71:3,18	lael 6:1 21:21 28:20	51:8,22 53:17	125:2 127:2
71:21 72:21,25 73:8	28:25 44:16 50:13	level 16:22 101:18	load 101:16
73:10 74:11,19	laguna 126:1	leverage 7:7,18 8:1	loan 56:8,13 61:5
75:14,17 76:7 77:23	land 42:23 113:10	license 11:19 16:25	loans 14:13
78:12 79:5,10,25	language 10:2 56:10	26:4 36:8	local 20:16,19 26:19
80:7,17 81:13,25	large 20:14,18	licenses 11:21,22	location 21:4,5
82:3 83:5,19 84:16	110:23	12:4 24:7	logical 19:15
85:23 86:14 87:2,9	laser 110:5	licensing 12:3,6	long 37:10 40:14
89:22 90:13 91:4	lastly 49:7	25:2 26:3 34:15	42:13,15 45:2 49:24
92:18,21 93:8,22	lately 94:23	36:4	56:1 58:24 59:8,24
94:5 95:6 97:11,17	law 16:23 41:23	lies 90:7	67:10,20 95:17
98:14,21,24 99:7	44:11 53:10 86:21	life 17:8	96:25 108:13
100:13,17,22	87:15	light 65:10	112:15 115:2
101:14,19,25 102:1	lawyer 115:16	likewise 8:6	123:11,22 124:10
102:9,12 105:8,13	lawyers 40:14 41:4	limit 6:14 27:23	124:12
105:22 106:8,10	42:25 43:5 60:9,10	32:15	longer 18:9 124:4
107:2,11,12 108:13	108:8,10	limitation 106:21	125:2
108:15,21,23 110:1	lead 12:8 30:9,19	limited 14:11,18	look 3:20 20:25 24:8
110:14 111:9,19	learn 109:23	37:13 97:5	30:2,18 32:17 33:3
112:16 113:13,14	learned 81:11	limits 12:2	33:20 34:8 35:19
113:15 114:3,24	learning 81:12	linda 38:18,19 72:14	37:9,17 39:3,13
115:10,23 116:9,17	lease 14:25 50:6	74:1 78:4 81:18,19	40:15 41:25 42:21
116:19 117:7,10,15	leave 65:19 79:7	117:25 118:9	43:10 44:22 48:15
118:14 119:21,22	leaves 17:3	119:11	48:22,24 49:7 57:16
120:7,10,11,21	leaving 103:21	line 7:25 43:19,23	57:16 59:21 61:2,10
121:2,6,6,11,18	left 27:25 116:14	44:5 87:13 90:4,4	62:23 63:13 79:20
122:12,16 123:5,7	120:17 124:21	95:24 103:2	79:24 80:3,5 84:12
124:9,12,21 125:5	legal 10:1 32:2 47:5	lines 43:21	86:24 87:1 88:12,14
125:18 126:18	47:9,11,12,16,21	lisa 31:23 32:1	91:17 93:8 94:1,17
knowing 23:25	48:11 53:3,6,13	list 111:8	95:2,11 96:1,21
knowledge 18:1	56:2,16,18 59:16	listen 71:5 72:22,23	114:3 121:20
known 118:25	78:18 101:1	listened 70:8	124:21 125:22
knows 7:10 118:10	legitimate 51:18	listening 21:12	looked 29:11 31:11
kudos 42:3	legitimately 15:20	120:10	48:17 50:10 83:18
1	lenders 43:21	lists 24:9	94:22,24
I 11:23	lending 44:21,24	literally 102:21	looking 3:24 10:1
labor 92:14	45:6,16,20 46:22	litigated 48:8	23:17 26:9,19 29:8
laboratories 106:24	56:6 57:23	litigation 8:7 16:23	29:18 36:4 37:15
	length 48:17,22	little 27:15 28:3	38:16 39:20 40:10
	49:24 52:8	29:16 32:5 34:14,18	46:21 54:7 56:17

[looking - mindful]

57:24 59:17 61:16	106:6,12,15 110:10	maximum 54:17	127:7
62:3,5 64:9,22 84:6	117:13	101:9	mentioning 56:2
87:5 97:14 105:16	madam 50:24 79:15	mcghee 72:14,14	63:2
109:22 115:2 116:5	main 7:22	74:1,1 117:25,25	mere 109:6
121:9,14,15 123:2	maintain 84:24	mean 23:24,24	merge 55:17
looks 124:2	89:10	30:15 36:2 42:21,25	message 98:8,17
loose 93:21	maintained 23:5	49:24 54:3 55:5	met 99:1
lord 113:15,15	maintaining 15:22	58:25 59:4 60:13	method 55:18 98:20
lost 17:19	maintains 84:24	82:20 84:7 88:20	mic 65:24
lot 2:6 8:18 22:16	major 9:18	94:12,18 95:5,22	michael 21:16
34:16 36:22 42:4,5	majority 9:5 54:4	meaningful 13:20	micromanagement
43:6 45:16 50:4	making 13:3 19:19	33:25 34:1	98:11
51:4,21 52:1,2,2,13	28:25 49:8 54:15	means 20:24 40:16	microphone 5:11
52:20 53:3,4,18	111:20 112:2 113:6	45:14 48:16 124:10	21:21 31:25 72:13
56:9,12,24 60:5	113:20 114:15	mechanics 53:11	107:14 117:24
61:3 66:18,18,19,20	119:19,23 125:1	mechanism 92:18	mics 6:15 12:2 16:5
70:6 71:15,16,22	manage 48:21	117:13	16:8,11 17:5,8,11
72:18 73:2,4,16	117:23	mechanisms 117:6	17:16,18,24 18:2,9
74:5,18 76:10 80:21	management 10:21	medium 101:10	18:12,13,15,16,20
81:7 84:2 91:24	11:6,15 12:23 13:1	meet 2:15 92:11	18:22 19:1,6,8,12
100:17 101:17,24	13:11,16,23 14:1,5	94:10 99:6 114:20	19:17,19,24 20:1
102:17 105:22	14:17 15:6 20:11	meeting 98:24 118:4	25:12,14,15 28:11
106:18,23 118:20	21:2 25:21 39:16,24	118:16 125:13	28:17 64:13 66:23
119:11 120:8	44:18,21,24 45:5,15	126:21	68:3,8,16 69:21
121:16 122:11	46:21 47:10 48:19	meetings 113:20	73:1,23 78:2,25
124:10,17 125:13	49:17,20 50:6	120:1,1 126:8	81:22 82:2,12,14,19
126:5	managers 61:13	127:11	83:2,2,4,6,11,16
lots 22:15	managing 46:14	meets 99:17	84:1,19 85:7,18
lottery 4:11	mandate 47:1,10	mel 65:23 67:18	86:11,14,17,20,22
louisiana 7:6	48:14 78:7	member 67:20	87:2,6,6,8 88:8,24
love 43:21 49:12	mandating 78:5	70:13,14 72:3,4,16	89:1,7,11 96:7 97:3
112:19	manipulating 53:23	115:14 120:13	98:9 107:9 110:6,8
luck 67:24	manner 59:19 67:4	members 5:22 70:25	110:18 112:7,25
lunch 60:11 103:9	77:14	71:21 75:4 108:9	middle 113:11
104:4	manpower 9:1	111:19 114:22	mike 2:12 34:4
lytton 107:16	94:16	115:12 116:25	62:21 82:10
118:17	mantras 54:13	119:23 120:17	million 102:13
m	manufacturers	123:10,19,20	millions 8:24
m 88:21 89:7	117:10	membership 113:14	mind 2:11 3:16 7:17
machine 7:14 14:25	mark 4:16 30:5	mention 32:25 33:5	23:15 25:13 34:25
68:25 91:3,4,8,23	market 52:3	61:24	91:2 102:22 103:3
92:10 105:12	marvin 79:15	mentioned 3:12	108:14 109:19
machines 69:1,2	match 74:7	34:24 45:10 47:4	118:8
82:15 91:22,25	matter 12:13 113:6	50:13 83:12 90:11	mindful 14:10
	117:4 128:18	116:12 120:21	111:13 112:14

[mindful - notifying]

	1	1	
114:19	morning 2:5 3:25	110:1,9,11,15,20,22	33:15 36:14,19
minds 62:16	10:23 21:25 58:19	110:23 111:13	39:13,15,20 40:9
mine 95:23	63:25 64:2 79:10	112:7 115:4,4	41:4,25 44:1,9
minimize 36:10	127:8	116:16 118:15,19	61:17 64:17 68:20
minimizing 32:6	morphed 88:3 94:4	118:22 123:9	71:9 72:16,20 73:2
minimum 22:10	mous 14:19	125:24	73:4,8,14 81:7
28:5,23 64:7,20	move 66:22 75:10	needed 23:5 60:3	82:12 86:20 94:2,22
65:13 66:16 84:1,8	76:4 88:14 97:1	69:17 73:5 75:11	95:18 96:6,8 113:19
86:6 103:11	100:12 110:7	121:19	115:13
minimums 84:4,9	112:10,12 123:1	needs 5:9 8:19 32:11	nigc's 8:21 10:10,24
minker 80:15,16	126:17	33:2 37:1 50:9	11:14 12:7 16:13,15
85:15,15,24 91:19	movement 111:1	52:16 78:7 79:23	16:19,25 18:2 20:6
93:16	moving 66:8 67:6,12	81:17	21:11 32:9 40:7
minor 34:13	mtac 72:16 118:5	negotiate 8:13 47:14	74:25 80:2
minority 19:23	muckelshoot 106:5	negotiates 99:24	nimish 3:21,23
minuscule 34:13	myriad 53:10	negotiating 56:12	105:11,13
minute 58:3 63:19	n	58:7	ninth 40:23 41:1,4
minutes 42:16	name 2:12 32:1 51:1	negotiation 57:17	41:23
mirrored 86:14	56:19 58:20 65:22	negotiations 41:21	nobody's 85:1
mirroring 86:8	80:16 81:1	net 14:22,24 15:3	noi 14:12 38:11
mirrors 90:19,21	name's 72:14	neutral 101:9 103:2	64:14 113:3
missed 73:17 123:25	names 102:12 123:6	103:7 120:3	nominal 9:19
missing 106:1 111:7	narrow 45:23	nevada 25:15 98:24	nominations 115:7
112:6	nathan 70:2	99:2,3,21,22 100:13	nonattorney 121:6
misunderstanding	nation 2:21	101:23	nonexistent 93:23
29:4	national 1:3 6:5	never 17:20	nonself 33:8,15
mitigation 21:3	19:13 70:6,11	new 16:1 25:15 30:9	nonsense 17:20
mixed 87:3 88:21	nature 43:18	30:17 66:15 72:21	nooksack 106:5
107:1 126:10	ncai 19:10 96:6	72:25 73:13 79:19	normal 111:17
modify 112:13	necessarily 3:8 21:6	81:10 95:24 99:10	north 3:20 18:10
modifying 36:4	55:5 60:16 65:2	103:18 114:7	northwest 3:22,24
mold 85:5	69:3 105:18	newcomers 2:10	74:23 124:18 127:8
moment 28:21	necessary 10:12	nice 124:17	127:9
122:12	need 4:23 22:9	niga 19:10 70:25	note 6:15 15:4
money 42:19 50:19	41:19 54:16 56:18	71:21 72:7 73:15	noted 16:21 35:16
61:3 63:5 83:7,14	59:22 62:23 63:8	96:6	nother 67:7 110:6
84:18,25 90:12	67:3 73:6,8 75:25	nigc 6:9,21 8:3,8,19	122:14
97:16,18 100:8,8,8	77:12 79:7,10,14	9:8 10:6,13 11:3,5	notice 20:7 22:12
111:1,2 122:11	81:4 87:7,18,19	11:18,21,22 12:4,12	26:18,24 28:6,8,8
monitoring 7:22	89:17 91:7,12 93:25	13:1,4 14:4,10	49:3 64:24
10:5 25:3 26:3	94:7 95:9 97:4,9,12	15:18 16:17 17:3,5	notices 47:24 73:18
monitors 25:25	97:13 98:12 99:23	17:10,11,16,18,20	notification 11:18
month 97:19	101:19 105:15	18:4,8,21 19:22,23	notify 11:20 12:4
months 99:21,22	107:21 108:17	20:22 21:7,10 25:7	notifying 11:21
102:21	107.21 100.17	25:15 29:17 32:15	
L	1	1	1

notion 77:4	offering 76:9	operation 24:4,5,20	ordinances 17:9,17
nov 9:12,16,23,25	offerings 61:21	25:24 48:19 49:10	85:9
41:9 49:3	office 45:1,6 47:8,8	50:17,21 63:4,7,12	organization 19:8
november 22:13	51:23 56:16 62:5	111:4 119:5	70:22 114:14
novs 47:24 53:3,18	69:16	operational 110:22	organizations 70:17
ntgc 19:13,16	officers 71:13	110:25 116:23	organize 121:18
ntgcr 73:16	offices 125:16	operations 24:20	organized 114:10,19
nuance 55:9	officials 10:21 11:7	52:2 54:18 78:22	119:20
number 18:9 19:20	11:15 25:21	88:6 90:6 110:23,23	organizing 114:7
51:1,18 59:3 66:12	ogas 107:15,15	111:3,3 122:7	oriented 50:6
75:15 77:23 82:23	118:17,17	operator 115:16	original 5:21 87:2,8
105:7 111:19 115:1	ogc 62:4	operators 29:20	88:24 89:1 96:12
116:25	oh 3:19 103:12	78:15,19 116:24	97:11
numbers 9:1 56:25	okay 3:9 24:11	119:8	originally 96:8
57:3 69:3	29:24 53:19 57:6	opinion 16:16 35:18	osborne 30:5,5
0	58:1 60:7 82:5	39:14 47:9,16,21,21	79:15,16
o 122:3	89:12 99:10 102:4	48:11 51:8,17,22	outside 12:7 81:25
o 122.3 oaths 128:5	oklahoma 18:11	53:7,17 56:16 73:10	82:7 86:12 100:4
objecting 20:23	51:2,3,7 52:1 54:12	85:25 93:16 96:25	126:10
objectives 120:2	69:4 76:10,10,24	98:9	outstanding 122:20
objects 19:21	77:23 102:12	opinions 44:2,23	outweighed 22:19
obligation 111:22	105:23 124:17	47:5,12 51:11 55:4	overcome 15:12
observations 69:7	126:9,11	56:2,3,5,7,11,18,20	overlap 36:22
98:7	old 25:17 73:4	56:24 59:16,16	overreaching 39:23
observes 20:14	older 12:18	opportunities 3:13	overwhelming
obtain 29:10	once 23:23 34:6,9,11	4:25 79:25 80:1	124:14
obtained 22:20	87:10 88:11 96:13	opportunity 2:14	owners 42:8,9
26:15	116:1 121:5	3:21 5:13 6:8 30:2	owns 40:18
obtaining 22:14	ones 62:5 94:23	36:9 37:12 45:23	р
23:4	100:3 101:24	97:1 112:3 115:15	p.m. 104:7 105:2
obviously 26:6 32:7	119:12,13	117:14	127:13
39:17 125:6	onset 63:6	opposed 43:24	pace 120:14
occasions 70:23	onsite 4:13	48:11 91:3 105:22	pacific 74:23
occur 27:8 92:25	000 2:3 105:3	opposes 12:10 15:21	paid 11:13,13
occurred 93:2	open 3:6 5:15 50:9	19:5	panic 9:17
103:25	63:24 69:24 72:19	opposition 54:23	paper 47:17
occurring 128:16	79:12 80:8,9,11	108:20	paper's 125:17
odds 106:21	107:4 113:14	opted 8:7	parallel 112:12
offense 121:10	opened 30:9	opting 8:4	parameters 12:7
offer 99:23 109:11	opening 79:22	order 16:16 24:12	17:1,14
112:3 115:23	operate 54:1 76:25	63:3,6 70:17	paramount 77:3
117:14	77:1	orders 9:18	part 8:22 9:7,22
offered 75:13	operated 7:11 52:7	ordinance 12:13	10:9 12:21 13:22
	operating 15:2	25:5,8 26:9	22:6 24:10,11 51:5

[part - primary]

Page 18

51 10 64 6 17 60 7	100 4 5 7 110 0 11	1 1 5 00	01 00 00 00 0
51:10 64:6,17 68:7	109:4,5,7 110:2,11	placed 5:23	power 21:22,23 22:3
70:10 75:3 76:9,19	110:15,17,24 111:2	places 63:5	30:13 87:14 105:6
90:10 93:24 106:16	111:10,14 115:1,5	plan 98:19 100:7	105:17
107:18 114:9	116:18 118:24	platform 91:25	powers 32:13 36:5
partially 101:6	119:11	play 54:5 68:25	36:16
participate 70:18	percent 42:24	105:13	practical 40:6
71:5 76:17 125:21	percentage 14:2	played 51:20	practically 23:25
participating 11:12	percentages 27:9,9	please 2:10 4:24 5:3	practices 81:1,3
70:13 77:24 78:15	27:10 62:24	7:16 125:7	83:20 92:25 96:19
78:15	perfect 45:22	plight 7:10	preaching 118:10
participation 15:1	perform 13:2	plug 117:15	preceded 20:8
105:20 123:10	peril 17:17 18:8	poarch 3:19 4:7	preclude 3:1
particular 3:16	period 19:4 45:2	72:15 89:5 106:1	preliminaries 60:6
29:18 52:16 56:5	79:19 121:4	117:25 119:12	preliminary 6:20
63:9,21 69:1 83:16	permanent 12:16	point 21:22,23 22:3	8:21 9:22 10:9,24
96:7 97:7 102:12	25:21	32:23 39:22 42:10	12:15 16:25 21:7,13
107:4	permit 74:20	42:25 43:13 44:13	premises 26:2 36:7
particularly 11:9	perpetuate 18:4	57:25 92:9,13 105:6	prepare 125:12
51:3 52:19	perpetuates 12:8	107:13 111:20	prepared 5:13,16,19
parties 12:25 13:7	perpetuation 19:5	pointed 50:3	65:20 105:14
13:19 52:5	person 34:5 74:13	points 39:12 105:17	118:16 119:1
partner 51:25 52:5	92:12 114:20	108:18	prepares 34:5
partners 44:21	115:17	poker 82:15 94:11	presence 9:1
63:11,16	personally 113:11	policies 73:3,5	present 5:21 54:23
partnership 45:14	perspective 76:23	policy 7:21 8:20,22	73:13 101:11
45:15	pertain 32:17	9:8 10:7,8 15:13	presented 55:15
parts 3:7 5:17 10:20	pertaining 87:16	16:24 25:23 37:4	presenter 4:2
10:24 63:20 64:4	pertains 3:22	polished 75:24	pretty 44:16 82:17
76:17 79:8,13	petition 22:19,24	poor 118:10	83:22 107:19
110:13,18 112:18	24:2 26:12,17	pops 122:4	112:24
party 48:18,25 49:1	phase 19:4	port 38:19	preventing 30:3
49:4,9,22 50:14	phenomenally 42:20	portion 17:6 86:8	previous 36:13,19
128:18	phillips 4:16	portions 20:15,18	67:14,19 87:12
passage 126:18	pick 109:7	portland 1:4	105:16 109:23
path 112:2	picked 109:3	position 8:12 18:22	113:18 115:10
pay 19:19 20:1 33:8	pie 50:15	53:13	123:5
paying 42:22	piece 43:6 50:15	positive 41:2 124:15	previously 6:11
payments 14:25	pilot 12:16,17	possession 38:3	18:19 69:20
peeps 124:17	pittance 43:11	possibility 33:21	primarily 48:16
pending 69:12	place 8:3 9:20 31:7	possible 55:9 78:2	primary 8:15,23
people 42:18,20	31:8,8 34:9 42:5	78:22 93:5,10 101:9	10:21 11:6,15 14:16
	66:13,16 91:5	103:2 117:6	20:17 25:21 27:14
69:19 79:3,6 81:3,7	,		
69:19 79:3,6 81:3,7 81:9,11 82:19 89:25	110:21 126:9,24	posted 57:8 68:16	49:4 54:16 60:12,17
	,	posted 57:8 68:16 potential 22:8 39:22 40:10	49:4 54:16 60:12,17 60:25 61:15,18,21

[principal - read]

Page 19

	104 10 105 (07 02 05 00 02 00 0	41 04 44 4 15 66 10
principal 39:12	124:12 125:6	27:23,25 28:23 39:2	41:24 44:4,15 66:12
101:10	processes 80:8,10	39:7,23 40:6,11	70:8 74:9 77:10
principle 42:7	90:11 91:17 95:4	42:18 43:15 44:8	79:19 97:17 112:23
principles 78:7,13	98:23 99:7	45:21 46:12 47:2,20	121:8
100:20,23,24 101:4	processing 11:11	48:1,15 50:1,10	putting 45:1 56:10
101:8 103:1	produce 89:25	57:1,4 59:5 60:16	74:18 111:25
prior 7:20,24 13:3	produced 89:6	60:21 61:3 62:7	q
52:20 57:18 66:11	producing 74:21	63:25 93:12	qualifications
76:14 77:10 108:6	product 89:6 112:4	prosecution 25:4	109:14 115:2
probability 105:9	115:6	prospect 9:17	116:19
probably 44:15 54:3	products 64:19 65:6	prospective 18:14	quantify 9:2
58:6,16 61:15 68:11	123:14	protecting 54:15	quarterly 126:15
72:20 76:22 87:15	program 12:16,18	proud 33:24	question 8:4 34:24
89:19 91:20 94:8	progressing 120:15	prove 96:12	71:6 74:11 76:2
99:14 105:19	progressives 15:2	provide 13:18 14:4	81:20 82:5 92:16
107:16,19 109:15	prohibition 7:14	27:3,18 62:13	93:11 97:13 103:13
123:4	projectors 4:24	provided 19:16 61:7	105:19 108:21
problem 9:9 18:4	promote 15:25	115:6	114:23 115:9
34:12 84:11 123:20	30:17	provides 41:3	questions 23:12
problematic 58:5	promulgate 17:3,5	providing 27:19	29:8 46:3,18 65:17
120:20	17:10,18 18:2,5	50:16	75:7,10 79:1 103:11
problems 10:13,15	126:20	provision 9:23	105:7 106:7 107:11
74:4 107:21 108:1	promulgated 27:7	11:17 27:11	107:14 125:23
119:6	68:19 89:3	provisions 37:5	
procedures 8:5 73:3	promulgates 18:9	41:10 58:5 116:11	quick 121:13
73:5	25:25	public 26:18 126:8	quicker 110:8
proceed 64:13,15	promulgation 20:1	126:13,21	quickly 60:11 120:21
65:9,12 69:9,21	prong 57:19 60:21	publication 19:2	
107:5	61:1	publish 75:16	quietly 8:4
proceedings 128:7	proper 9:21 10:7	published 19:1 68:3	quil 1:9
128:11,16	108:23	pueblo 126:1	quinault 2:21
process 10:10 11:6	properly 10:2 12:13	pull 110:13 112:7	quite 25:13 26:6
22:5,14,18 27:3	17:1 20:21	pure 17:19	31:14,16 46:4 69:2
28:25 29:15 31:15	proponents 14:9	purely 53:10	71:23 105:20
33:21,25 34:7,21	15:22	purohit 3:25 105:24	quote 87:15
47:19,21,22 48:4	proposed 10:8 12:3	purpose 22:25 77:6	r
52:24 54:21 55:2,8	13:11 14:3 15:4	115:19	r 19:13,16
63:21 65:11 66:10	33:11 36:8 47:7	purposes 15:11	ran 74:4 108:3
67:7,12 68:21 70:9	56:15 67:1 68:7	19:22 50:10 76:15	120:18,18
70:10,19 75:6 76:4	77:20 99:22	82:13 92:2 97:5	rancheria 107:16
76:13 86:19 88:10	proposes 21:7 99:9	100:20	rcw 128:4
90:21 99:19,20	proposing 36:12	pursuant 128:4	reach 102:25
100:10 108:13	proprietary 14:15	pursuing 23:14	reached 16:13 18:1
114:2 115:7 117:1	16:5 20:4,10 22:8	put 26:21 31:6,7,8	read 6:19 37:25
117:22 123:1	26:24 27:2,11,13,18	33:17 37:20 41:17	85:24
11,122 12011	20.2.27.2,11,13,10		

readily 82:9	recommending	73:3,4 74:5,7 97:21	115:21,24 126:20
reading 5:20	96:17	112:11 122:9	regulator 8:16,23
real 34:1 53:15	reconvened 104:7	regular 83:20 96:19	69:25 78:18 100:21
74:25 85:4	record 5:17,21,23	99:8 123:22	115:17
realistic 63:15	33:17 39:6 41:19	regulate 29:17 78:6	regulators 9:2 19:8
reality 15:5	53:1,9,9 55:3 65:19	87:14 92:22 122:7	19:11,14 25:19
realize 87:25 89:14	66:20 67:2 128:15	regulated 30:4 32:4	29:20 73:6,22 74:16
89:16	recorded 128:12	32:13 33:3,6,7,8,12	78:11,14 82:6 93:9
really 29:1 38:12	recordkeeping 24:7	33:13,15,18 35:4,12	116:23 117:12
39:25 44:2 47:1	recourse 51:24	35:13,17,21,24 36:5	119:7
50:2,7,7 52:3 60:3	red 122:3	36:9,11,16 37:5	regulatory 1:5 6:5,9
60:11 65:15 68:1	redacted 57:1,11,12	77:17 89:18,18	20:7 21:10 25:22
73:12 74:19 83:15	reduced 35:15,20	regulating 4:1 23:22	28:9 30:13 77:2
84:1,3 93:20 100:14	reduction 34:14	26:20 31:3 74:7	79:20 102:6 110:25
102:10,18 105:11	35:5	99:4	111:4 116:6
107:22,23 112:7	refer 17:11 18:11,15	regulation 17:25	reincarnations
113:4,11 114:22	18:19 35:14	21:7 22:7,9,15,19	108:5
118:4 119:9 121:14	reference 18:12,21	23:6,14,15,23 24:8	reincluded 26:11
121:15,15,20	18:24 25:15 99:4	24:11,25,25 25:10	reinvent 100:15
124:14 126:17	referenced 18:19	25:13,17 26:7,12,16	reinventing 81:14
reaping 40:16	references 41:8	26:21 27:2,6 28:22	116:5
reappointed 95:19	86:25 99:2	29:2,5,6,9,12,19,21	reiterate 64:3
reason 15:9 53:2	refined 26:8	29:24 30:2 31:6,11	related 128:17
76:19	reflected 21:12	31:14,19 32:10	relationship 13:13
reasons 41:18 98:25	reflecting 17:1	33:12,20 35:13,15	71:8 97:7
123:4	reflection 15:13	35:17,20,24 36:21	relatively 19:20
rebuild 103:17	reflects 15:5	36:25 37:9,10,20	release 56:25 57:4
rebuilding 103:23	refuse 8:12	38:21,21 46:16,20	released 6:21
rebuilt 103:18	refused 7:12	49:10 55:9 59:11	relevant 36:3
recall 99:12 118:13	reg 35:12 36:4,8	64:1 77:5 78:8,13	reluctance 53:15
receive 34:11 113:2	55:19,20 92:21	81:7 83:8 88:15,17	remain 14:10 80:11
received 10:23	regard 12:1 31:1	94:6,7,13 96:22	93:3
22:15,16 23:3 27:5	59:13	regulations 3:67:16	remedy 41:20
receiving 43:11	regarding 6:4 7:21	13:17 17:1,4 18:5	remember 59:9
recess 63:22	13:18 14:13 15:14	18:21 19:18 20:22	120:9
recessed 104:6	66:12,13 81:20,20	20:24 24:8 25:5,9	remembered 114:23
recognition 23:9	regime 87:12	25:25 33:2,5,6 35:7	remind 109:16
37:1	region 1:4 3:17 4:8	36:24 43:1,14,15	remote 106:25
recognize 89:23	4:15 5:4 69:1 119:4	69:7,11 71:16 73:13	render 48:7
recognized 20:9	regional 3:14,15 4:7	77:13,17,19,20	renegotiate 47:13
recommend 4:4	110:20 116:22	78:17 79:9 87:16,17	rent 42:23
95:11 96:1	125:16 127:5	90:3 92:17 93:6,13	repealed 18:17,23
recommendations	regs 25:7 33:4,11,12	93:20,21 94:1,3	67:3
58:20 59:2	33:19 35:25 66:11	95:10 96:9 102:10	repeat 17:6
	66:12 67:3 68:23	102:16,23 112:9,10	

[report - second]

Page 21

report 32:19 34:6	resources 11:11	revisit 92:23 93:5	100:22 101:5 116:2
36:21,25	18:4 37:23 112:15	99:7	119:18 123:17
reported 1:24 36:23	112:15 119:18	revived 19:12	run 17:13 52:7
36:25	112.13 119.18	rewrite 73:1 94:15	122:7
reporter 128:4,23	respect 40:22,23	rhetoric 9:14	running 63:4 124:2
-	· · ·		Tunning 03.4 124.2
reporter's 128:1 reporting 22:24	respectful 122:21,24 respectfully 21:15	right 3:9 4:3,15 28:21,25 31:11	S
23:1 24:1		35:23 43:25 46:9,15	s 89:7
	respects 21:11 47:11 response 20:6 54:10	49:17 55:25 64:22	s'klallam 80:16
represent 51:1 55:16 58:17 74:11	54:11,12	65:20,24 71:20 72:8	safe 24:19 62:2
		, ,	104:1 124:22
85:4	responses 47:20	72:17 84:6,21 85:23	125:10 127:12
representation	responsibilities	87:7,15 89:3 90:8	san 74:12
72:18 108:24 110:20 116:23	23:21 119:23	96:12,24 99:16	sat 8:4 69:19 109:18
	responsibility 45:11	111:11 121:9,15	120:9
representative	54:6	122:2 125:17,17	satisfy 24:12
109:9 117:8	rest 112:8	126:17,24,25	save 60:4
representatives 2:15	restrict 11:10,14	rincon 40:24 41:11	saver 113:5
62:17 64:17 68:7	restricting 13:9	74:11 75:15 106:23	saw 23:7 29:25
represented 120:20	restrictions 7:13	107:17	saying 35:23 55:12
reputation 24:19	91:24	risk 16:2 45:18 49:1	85:12 97:12 109:13
request 27:3,8	restrictive 14:5	61:5	109:25 122:18
requested 68:11	result 11:24 13:8	risks 45:18 63:10	123:13,20
requesting 93:2	18:23 40:6 83:16	river 16:22 87:11	says 24:11 64:14
require 18:25	resulting 9:17 41:13 results 61:10 75:7	126:9 rock 3:14	83:6 85:18 87:12
required 13:11 23:2 27:20 85:21		role 32:9 51:12 54:5	96:20 99:17
requirement 12:3	retiring 67:21 returning 2:6	54:6 70:5	scenes 125:14
19:3 22:24 39:24	revenue 15:3,20	ronde 32:3 33:18,24	schedule 5:14 16:14
42:8 60:14 88:8	20:15,19 21:1 41:14	34:5,21 37:16 82:11	66:7 79:12 112:14
42.8 00.14 88.8 89:15	43:9 48:24 52:11	82:14	schedules 3:4
requirements 22:23	60:23 82:17	room 17:3 39:9	schemes 102:6
23:1 24:1,2 26:9	revenues 24:5 25:2	111:11 117:16	schwarzenegger
31:18 36:10,20	49:24 62:24,25	121:11	40:24 41:10
79:20 83:23 106:22	63:16	rooms 125:17	scope 40:8 94:16
requires 11:20	review 1:5 6:5,10,20	root 52:18	scott 39:3,6 44:15
20:25 25:1 26:18	10:3,4,11,25 14:22	rounded 115:22	45:10 50:3 55:22
requiring 11:17	14:24 16:12 20:7	route 126:1	58:13 60:8 62:23
95:15	21:11 22:14 27:4,7	routine 26:1	74:8,9 80:13 87:15
research 31:13	28:9 38:20 39:16	rule 13:8,15 20:17	scott's 55:7 59:10
reservation 6:6,12	41:1 43:6 88:16	86:11,21 94:12	screen 3:11 125:8
reserves 15:23	100:5 118:3,6	95:14 102:23 112:2	script 64:10,10
resolutions 85:9	revised 12:24 69:18	119:21	scrubbed 75:24
resolved 9:19	revision 11:16	ruled 17:20	scrutiny 14:8
resort 1:8	revisions 8:21 9:7	rules 43:1 86:25	second 11:16 26:23
	98:13 110:5	94:16 95:10,16	42:10 44:13 55:23
		,	

section 24:24 79:3	sent 22:12 26:18	shout 125:11,18	smoother 67:13
81:2 83:3,16	79:5	126:7	snoqualmie 31:20
secure 8:2	separate 15:21 25:6	shown 124:14	software 102:14,20
see 2:6 4:24 17:23	60:14 89:17 91:12	shuffle 117:16	sole 14:15 16:5 20:4
25:17 29:25 33:19	91:13 112:12	shut 44:13	20:9 22:8 26:24
34:17,17 35:5 39:22	separating 90:10	shyloski 46:11,24	27:2,11,13,17,22,25
39:25 41:7 44:17	separation 87:7	50:8 56:14,22 57:9	28:23 39:2,7,23
45:4,6,8 47:22	88:9,20,20,25	57:12 62:11	40:6,10 42:17 43:15
50:14 54:20 55:13	series 4:1	side 4:18 52:11 77:2	44:8 45:21 46:12
55:24 57:14 61:1,17	serious 18:3	78:18 108:6,22,25	47:2,19,25 48:15
62:3 66:25 68:11,23	seriously 35:19	109:10 111:4,4	50:10 59:4 60:16,21
69:4 70:15 73:19	65:15 75:12 76:3	sideways 58:3	61:3 62:6 63:25
81:15 84:10 95:1,11	seriousness 122:5	significant 49:1,16	93:12
97:3 103:25 122:2	server 91:6,9	49:23	somebody 40:16
124:17 125:15	services 19:16 61:6	signs 38:7	87:12 111:17
126:16,21	114:9,18	silent 11:21	118:22
seeing 23:18 35:24	session 6:12 16:11	similar 94:19	somebody's 124:3
69:17 124:22	105:1	simply 19:6 61:7	soon 82:17
seeks 11:5	sessions 115:3	singing 99:16	sooner 90:5
seen 26:7 37:25	set 10:9 12:7 17:15	sir 2:19 70:1 79:14	sorry 51:1 55:24
42:17 46:3,18 58:1	28:15 34:7 43:19	80:14	58:14 99:13 121:9
68:13 125:14	73:13 78:17 85:19	sister 76:17,18	sort 27:4,19,20
segments 120:19	100:20 116:2	sit 74:20 109:1	44:20 46:17 49:9,14
select 115:8	setting 119:17	123:8	53:8 54:20 57:19
selected 109:14	seven 48:21	sitting 96:19 122:2	64:16 73:24 75:6
selection 67:7	severely 11:10	124:11 127:11	77:11 78:17 99:3
self 7:10 12:14 13:9	shade 123:18	situation 30:24 63:9	100:4 101:19 102:3
16:3 22:7,15,19	shake 123:18	90:17 95:17	108:4 122:20
23:6,14,15,22,23	shaking 57:15	situations 9:15,19	123:17
24:25 26:12,15,16	share 51:5 76:18,20	43:24 50:5 59:21	sound 15:13 24:21
26:20 28:22 29:2,5	112:1 114:11,12	60:15 63:14 120:19	41:5,5 44:12 86:3
29:9,24 30:4 32:4	shared 62:25	six 30:1 99:21,22	sounds 79:24
32:10,13 33:3,6,7	shares 14:8	102:9	south 126:4
33:12,12,13,18,20	sharing 62:24 63:15	size 116:23 119:4	sovereign 71:4
35:4,12,13,17,21,24	sharon 58:16,20	skeptical 20:23	sovereignty 23:7
35:24 36:5,9,11,16	82:22 85:11	43:14,25	speak 28:3 65:24
36:21,25 37:5,9	sharply 19:21	sleeping 120:5 124:3	71:1,4 74:22 105:12
38:21 64:1	shear 8:25	sliced 20:15	115:13,13,15
seminole 7:8,18	sheldon 65:24	slots 82:20	speaking 80:8
41:20	sheriff's 61:11	slow 57:22	specific 3:6 4:8 21:6
seminole's 8:8	short 19:4 48:2	small 17:6,22 19:20	37:5 43:4 112:11
send 118:6	96:25 121:4 123:11	55:25 56:17 57:6	specifically 4:10 5:4
sends 74:13	shoshone 30:6 56:6	58:12,14 70:2,2	32:12 59:18 79:4
sense 81:12,14	70:3 79:16	71:7,12 72:10	96:8
		110:23	

[specifics - summary]

specifics 10:17 83:6	68:25 69:22 70:1	stating 59:17	struggle 45:7
103:10	73:23 79:1 83:19,25	statute 89:15	struggling 55:10
spectrum 113:10,17	84:4,15,23 85:8	stay 17:14 81:16	62:15
113:25	87:23,24 88:1,1,5	95:18	studies 77:19
speed 67:12	88:21 90:5 91:13,17	stayed 2:7 66:2	stuff 43:2 51:9 70:8
spencer 2:12,13	94:11 96:21 97:6	staying 124:8 125:2	71:22 74:4 81:10
5:18 21:16 62:21,21	98:1,10,11 100:20	stays 119:20	102:5
103:13	103:11 105:8,9,12	stealing 85:1	style 30:17
spend 102:19	105:15 106:9,10	steam 124:2	subgroup 19:11
spending 122:11	107:1,4,18 110:2,3	steffani 123:13	subject 45:19 95:20
spendy 114:21	110:4,10 112:8	stenographically	subjects 64:25
spent 102:13	117:10 118:20	128:12	submission 22:22
spokane 2:13 4:9	standing 68:23 69:7	steps 9:11	68:10
5:17,18 6:4,17 7:10	86:21 92:22 97:6	stevens 2:5,18,22	submissions 94:23
7:11,23 8:19,20	98:19 100:11	5:2,8,24 21:20	118:11
10:16 12:9 14:8	standpoint 69:25	28:20 30:23 31:21	submit 12:11 33:14
15:20 16:10 19:5,21	109:18 110:25	31:24 34:23 35:22	47:7 56:15 57:17
20:8,13 21:9,11,18	111:1	37:8 38:2,6,18 39:1	59:25 61:15 73:2
39:6,8 62:21 74:23	stands 41:2	42:12 44:14 46:15	125:7
spokane's 16:21	start 60:19 63:20	54:25 57:7,11,13	submits 10:16
18:22	67:12 93:18 96:13	58:13 60:7 61:20	submittal 24:3
sponsored 68:20	111:6 112:2 126:17	62:2,14 63:18,23	submitted 6:12
72:16	started 63:23 69:14	67:23 70:12 71:10	13:11 16:10 17:7
spot 44:16 91:8	71:13,14,19 89:2	72:1,12 73:21 74:8	37:17 39:9 61:22
spread 102:3	122:14	75:2 78:24 80:6	75:3,5,17
square 98:4	starting 126:16	81:18,23 85:11,22	submitting 58:1
stab 79:19	starts 123:16	86:2 88:18 89:1,20	substantial 16:2
stability 27:18	state 7:15 8:5 17:25	92:5,14 98:18 103:5	61:6
stable 25:22,24	18:10 20:16,16,19	103:22 105:5,25	substitute 89:24
staff 45:1 74:13,20	31:2,5 40:1 42:24	109:12,16 113:8	successful 54:19
75:5,10 79:18	85:16 86:15 92:1	118:9 119:16 122:1	63:12,17
101:16 108:10	128:5,23	125:11 127:4	suffering 42:6
118:10 125:12,14	state's 7:13 11:10	stick 29:16	sufficient 46:16
125:15	41:15 61:10	stifles 13:8	suggest 13:25 15:18
stagnate 121:1	stated 14:12 128:9	stipulates 86:8	44:1
stand 71:23 106:6	128:17	87:19	suggested 37:4
111:22	statement 6:19 10:4	stop 29:15 41:22,24	76:15
standard 75:19	16:18 17:7 67:2	straight 42:24 60:22	suggestions 96:18
80:20 90:15,17 96:5	89:10 98:22	stress 16:14	119:4
99:5 106:13,14	statements 5:13,16	stringent 25:20	suitability 25:18,20
standards 17:12	66:19 124:6	strongly 19:5	summarize 39:11
22:11 26:3 28:5,24	states 7:4,7 8:7,12	structure 19:24 65:3	46:17
34:18 64:7,8,13,20	11:9 16:16 17:15	114:25	summarizing 45:2
64:21 65:14,14	30:13,20 41:20	structured 112:20	summary 28:18
66:17,23 68:4,8,24	80:20 82:24 91:21	115:11	42:11

[supercreative - think]

	1		1
supercreative 42:20	62:23 63:19 72:23	106:14 107:23,24	83:14 85:9 96:2
superimposed 89:8	79:20 86:15,23 90:1	110:2,3,4,9,10	98:5 103:19,21,22
supervision 128:13	90:4 94:10,11 97:15	112:7 117:10	104:2 124:8,16,16
supplement 6:19	97:19,22 101:15	118:20	124:20 125:1,3,10
10:3 21:8	112:16 123:19	technologies 99:10	125:19,20 127:10
supply 34:15	taken 9:11 17:8	technology 4:2,14	127:12
support 7:3 8:23	40:23 42:1 48:15	88:2,6 92:10 93:17	thanks 2:6,11 62:12
10:7 16:21 66:21	51:23 63:22 97:24	93:19 94:20 101:9	76:6 88:18 103:18
67:6,11,16 74:24	takes 59:10,12,24	101:24 102:5 103:2	119:15
88:7 124:13	73:2 96:24 111:13	103:6 122:10	theirs 99:8
supportive 10:25	talk 4:24 5:10 28:7	tell 34:6 58:4 72:20	themself 118:22
22:17	28:16 34:21 39:2	73:7 82:11 128:10	theoretically 40:17
supposed 89:25	40:14 60:10 63:20	tells 73:8	thing 4:23 26:17
108:15	64:3,6,9,12 65:8,11	tempered 10:6	31:13 64:1 87:25
supreme 41:1,6	79:14 88:13 99:6	template 34:9	90:9 92:1 99:11
sure 30:25 37:6	105:14 107:3	tends 99:3	100:6,14 109:19
54:15 65:20 71:23	110:11 116:17	tens 8:24	111:18 112:22
84:25 89:21 101:2	talked 27:15 33:4,11	term 14:5 48:2,18	118:1 119:10
105:11 111:20	65:9 112:23 114:17	48:23 49:24 84:1	things 24:9 32:17
114:15 119:19	talking 2:9,25 3:5,8	85:7 95:22 103:6	36:21 39:21 42:21
120:14 121:14	27:16 46:2 59:11	120:22	43:7 47:18 50:15,22
125:17	63:25 67:25 70:10	terminal 91:5	55:17 56:3,19 59:1
surprise 9:16	89:2 98:23 107:6	terms 21:3 34:1	62:6,15 64:8 70:5
surveillance 84:16	112:9 117:20 124:1	45:15,15,19,24 52:8	71:17 72:24 74:18
91:3 111:3	124:11	52:9 56:13 65:3	77:16 84:2,9 91:11
survive 14:8	talks 24:24 46:13	95:23 110:5	97:3 101:6,11,17,20
suspect 11:19	task 19:10 21:10	terrible 103:24	102:1,2,7 105:10
swan 103:17	95:1 96:7	territory 8:18	111:6 112:22
swear 42:15	tasked 111:25	testimony 61:24	114:17 116:12,20
sworn 128:7,10,11	tasks 19:14	128:7,11,15	117:17,18 118:19
128:15	tax 61:7	testing 106:23	119:5 120:23 121:1
system 4:11 25:1	taxes 7:9 14:19 21:3	117:11	121:7,13
26:4 91:7	41:21	texas 7:6	think 28:3,15,25
systems 4:12,12	taylor 3:16	tga 8:23 9:9 11:5	29:1 30:6,24 31:8
24:5 26:1,2	teasing 44:14	12:11	32:7,9,10 33:19
t	tech 107:18	tgas 8:15,23 10:7,14	35:6,9,17 37:14
table 28:13,21 82:20	technical 3:13 10:1	tgra 25:24	38:2 40:5,19 41:3
94:9 124:11	10:16,19 64:8,13,21	tgwg 72:17	43:4,13 44:6 47:20
tac 119:6	65:14 66:17,23 68:4	thank 2:14,17,18	50:2,7,11 51:11,15
tahsuda 50:24 51:1	68:8,24,25 69:22	5:1,2,8 6:8 21:14	51:16,17,18 52:4,18
76:6 100:16,16	73:23 74:16 78:25	28:20 37:8,24 38:17	53:2,12,21,22 54:8
108:2,2 109:15	87:23,24 88:1,5	54:23,25 58:12 60:6	54:14 57:7,13 58:6
take 5:20,25 9:9,12	92:12 96:20,21 97:6	62:10 63:18 65:25	58:22 59:7,23 60:14
32:5 33:2 60:8	98:1,9 103:10 105:8	67:17,23 73:21	60:19 62:2 64:10
	105:8,12,15 106:9	74:19 80:6 82:22	65:17 67:11 71:18

72:5 74:25 75:9	threshold 23:4,4	ton 05.22 07.22	12:11 13:13 15:19
	thrown 83:11	top 85:23 87:22 89:13	
76:21 77:22 78:5			19:5,21 20:8 21:4,5
79:23 80:4,25 82:19	throws 84:2	topic 7:22 51:3	21:9,18 24:6,12,18
82:21 84:5 85:6,24	thumb 86:11	topics 3:2	25:1 26:19 27:3,8
86:18 87:18 89:3	thursday 126:2,3	totally 97:10 118:18	31:20 32:10 33:18
90:14 92:8,15 93:25	tics 85:13	touch 5:6 41:6 60:13	35:16,18,21 38:23
94:24 95:21 96:17	tie 88:6	touched 79:9	39:6 40:17 45:13,24
97:15,24 98:3,14	tier 95:2,2,2	tracie 31:10 86:14	49:5 51:24 52:8
99:1,11,18 100:14	tim 49:13	89:13 92:7	53:12,23,25 54:16
100:17 101:5,22	time 3:5,8 5:14,20	tracie's 124:19	54:19 55:3,6 56:4
102:13,25,25 103:3	16:13 21:8 28:16	track 112:12	59:25 62:22 63:1,6
107:16,17,18,21,21	37:22 41:22 45:2	tradeshow 66:1	63:9,11,16 70:3
107:24 108:3,13,19	46:3 47:4 48:15	tragedy 103:24	71:21 74:13 75:17
108:22,25 109:2,7	52:19 57:21 58:11	training 3:13,15 4:8	77:5,8 78:20,20
110:24 113:9,11	58:24 59:7,8,24	4:19,25 5:10	80:17 83:24 84:4
114:11,12,25 115:1	60:2,5 64:4,23	trainings 3:11,15	85:18 87:11 94:13
115:25 116:13	65:18 67:8,20 69:15	127:6	97:2 102:11 103:15
117:3 119:4,8,11	69:15 71:15 74:6,18	transcribed 128:12	106:5 111:15
126:2	88:1 92:24 93:4,17	transcript 128:8,14	115:14 120:13
thinking 23:17 48:9	94:12,24,25 95:17	128:17	124:19,20 125:3
71:24 76:11 100:21	95:19 96:20 97:19	transferred 41:15	tribe's 13:9 25:8
109:22 111:18	97:22,23 99:21	transition 19:7	43:9,11 48:18 50:21
112:5,6 117:17	101:25 103:6 105:7	travel 111:16	51:25 54:15 56:19
123:23	106:16 109:17	114:21	59:12 61:13 97:16
thinks 121:24	111:13,16,20 113:5	travels 104:2 124:22	97:18,18
third 48:18,25 49:1	114:21 118:3,8,14	125:10 127:12	tribes 6:20 7:3,8,11
49:4,9,14,22 50:14	118:15,21 119:20	treasuries 20:16	7:18 8:1,8,11 9:17
52:5	120:14 121:4	trends 20:14	11:2,7,10,12,13
thorough 90:5	122:15,16 123:7,15	tribal 2:13 4:11,20	12:5 13:5,18 15:24
111:11 122:22	123:19,21 124:5	7:9 8:19,24,25 9:10	16:22 17:4,15,23
thoroughly 38:20	126:25 128:9,16	10:14 12:12,14,18	18:17 19:7,7,19,20
thought 27:6 31:17	timely 111:10	13:3,8 15:25 16:3	19:23,25 21:12 23:8
55:14 78:23 92:12	118:11 122:21	18:10 19:13 20:15	23:9,12,13,18,22,23
102:1 113:7 117:21	times 13:5 43:6	20:18 21:1 23:7	26:14,15,25 27:6
thoughts 62:9 68:21	53:24 87:16 94:4	25:22 27:23 40:1	29:10,14,23 30:6
76:9 91:13	97:21 108:13 109:2	64:15,16 65:2,16	32:3,14,22 33:3,6,7
threats 9:13,20	124:15	66:12,20 67:20 68:5	33:8,13,14,15,20
three 2:9,25 4:2,5,14	today 2:8,15,25 6:14	68:6,13,20 70:17,22	35:25 36:6,10,11,16
4:22 6:23,25 43:7	18:17 23:11 29:8	72:18 73:6,16,22	36:24 37:6,23 38:12
47:24 48:17 52:14	65:19 67:15,21	75:18 85:19 106:23	38:16,25 39:8 41:12
53:22 60:21 90:10	71:24 89:5 98:15	107:6,10,22 108:6	41:22 42:6,8,22
90:13,20 97:20,21	121:21	108:22,25 109:10	44:21 46:4,6 47:2
106:3 110:19	told 70:23 99:12	117:12 120:8	47:13,13 48:8 49:14
112:18 122:19	tool 23:8 41:4	tribe 5:18 6:4,17,18	51:2,3,5,11,14,18
		9:3,6,10 11:20	53:4,18 54:7,12,16

	6 11 11 0 5		411 20 17 01 4
55:16 56:4,5,6	turn 5:11 11:2,5	understood 89:11	utilize 38:17 81:4
57:14 60:4 62:17	21:21 55:22 117:9	89:21	utilized 10:6,12
64:18 65:1,23 66:7	117:13	undertake 80:10,12	19:20
66:13,14 68:11	turned 64:17,18	undertaken 21:10	V
70:13,14,24 71:1,3	123:4	undertaking 49:1	valid 13:4 14:14
72:3,4 73:9 74:19	turnover 123:3	unduly 16:2	variances 106:24
75:4,15,25 76:10,16	turns 88:17	uneven 51:9	variety 50:5 76:24
76:18,25 77:1,13,23	tweaks 107:21	unexecuted 56:15	various 77:20
78:9,10 79:16,21	twist 55:14	57:18 58:2	various 77.20 vast 9:5
80:12,18,19 83:9,21	two 2:7,24 10:25	unfair 7:7	vein 76:7,21
84:5,7,11,21 85:3	21:24 26:15 32:3,22	unfavorable 53:5,13	vendor 26:4 51:25
85:12 86:10,16	38:2 39:12 42:15	unfortunately 40:20	versus 88:24
88:16 93:2 96:25	48:2 49:23 55:17	59:9	versus 00.24 vested 119:15
97:25 102:6 103:3	57:8,19 61:14 62:20	uniformity 15:11	vested 119.13 viable 7:17 41:20
103:16,19,25 106:2	90:10,12,20 91:17	united 16:16	vice 2:13 21:17
106:11,11,19 109:6	97:3,8,20 102:13	universe 45:7	37:14 65:22 98:6
109:13,24 111:21	106:3 110:18 112:9	110:21	
111:23 112:2,3	113:9,24 114:21	unknown 93:15	112:21 121:24
113:2,18 114:4	119:9 120:21 124:9	unreasonable 7:9,13	124:7,25
116:8 122:21	126:10	unsteady 122:8	vida 4:24 5:10
124:14 125:20	type 19:8 30:19	unsuitability 11:19	view 54:4,5
trigger 98:12	58:18 60:1 91:25	unwieldy 111:10	viewpoints 109:9
triggered 20:18	types 33:14 45:19	unwillingness 108:5	views 53:6 54:23
trip 125:1	90:18	unworkable 21:4	violate 27:10 47:9
trouble 45:8		upcoming 3:12	violated 42:7
true 128:14	u u	update 92:18	violates 102:23
truly 38:12	u.s.c. 11:22 20:20	updated 85:17	violation 18:24
trust 54:6,6	ultimate 54:14	updates 101:21	39:23 47:24 49:3
trust's 45:11	ultimately 9:19	updating 34:10	60:17,18
truth 128:11	52:22 54:6,13 78:20	101:20	violations 25:4
try 98:10 101:8	78:21 108:18	upper 97:3	40:11,13 48:1
109:20 113:10	ultra 18:5	upwards 102:13	virus 18:6
trying 30:23 32:15	umbrella 8:10 27:20	urging 17:4	visit 110:6
37:20 41:17 42:18	uncertainty 90:7	use 7:7 15:9 19:21	visual 29:25
43:15 53:6 72:19	122:8	24:5 51:22 78:19	vita 4:15
	undermine 8:1		vocal 17:23 19:23
73:1,12,12 85:4	undermined 22:24	81:7 114:17,19	voice 4:3
90:3,14 117:15	undersigned 128:3	122:24	voids 13:15
122:11	understand 3:3 29:2	useable 81:16	volume 105:21
tuesday 10:23	37:12 40:2 49:15	useful 22:2 68:23	vs 16:17
tulalip 1:8,11 2:1	55:13 72:2 75:21,23	76:16	vyvyan 92:7
5:5 6:6 65:22 66:21	79:6 84:10 94:7	uses 15:16,19,21	W
103:15 125:3	119:7 122:6,22	16:2	
tulalip's 74:23	understanding	usually 39:14,15	wake 7:18 8:8
turkey 122:3	53:11,24 68:9 79:23	45:4 99:15	want 3:10,20 5:12
	,		5:19 17:23 30:16
		antina Compony	

31:2 38:12 42:2,4	36:18 39:9,10 42:17	withdraw 9:25	written 5:13,19 6:4
44:25 46:5 49:18	44:16 46:3,18 47:23	witness 128:10,21	25:13 31:12,19 39:9
51:4 54:20 59:20	48:15,17 54:13	wonder 62:6 92:7	39:11 44:7 47:17
63:24 64:2,6,12	60:11 61:23,25	wonderful 125:3	61:24 86:7 88:2
65:8,11,23,25 66:5	63:24 64:23,25	wondering 62:18	94:2,13 106:14
72:10 74:19 75:21	69:10,19 70:23 74:6	70:5 81:21	wyoming 82:25
76:8,19 79:17 80:4	77:3 79:9 91:14	woolsey 49:13,13	X
83:10,11 88:19	98:7,24 101:7	word 12:10,10	x 88:21
89:20 96:4 103:14	102:17 103:6 105:6	102:3	
106:10 107:3	106:18 109:22	words 103:23	y
109:16,19 111:8,12	112:9,20,22,25	work 3:10 7:2,24	yeah 2:12 5:10 36:1
113:10,25 117:5,10	113:18 114:13,17	8:9 9:10 10:13	39:5 42:14 46:10
117:11 121:4,7,21	117:18 123:25	31:15 34:3 42:4	62:19 70:12 71:7
122:19 124:4,7,25	website 57:9,15 58:8	43:20 44:6,20 64:18	72:5 87:3 105:8
125:2,9	81:3	65:6 73:12,13 74:21	year 4:9,17 94:5
wanted 14:4 32:24	wednesday 126:2	74:24 75:3 77:24	95:8 97:22 100:1
33:17 39:21 60:13	week 124:10 125:25	79:18 80:2,4 82:23	102:16 117:19,23
64:8 65:18 74:2,22	126:2,5,6	95:4 96:5 98:2	126:15
90:9 103:19	weigh 112:3 117:11	101:1,16 103:20	years 31:9 36:14,19
wanting 85:25	117:11	107:19,25 112:4,24	48:21 71:18 77:9,21
wants 34:19 105:12	weighted 52:15	113:1 115:3,4 119:9	81:8,9 94:5 95:22
125:5	welcome 2:22 16:14	123:12,14,15,18	95:23 96:12 97:20
warrant 15:8	56:14 121:19	125:13,19	101:7 102:10
washington 1:11 2:1	123:24,24	worked 47:1 75:17	106:10 120:25,25
4:9,18 7:13 91:21	went 16:18 28:21	83:9 96:3 98:3	yesterday 2:8,16,24
91:21 106:2 125:16	64:10	114:2	3:2,12 27:16 28:3
126:3 128:6,23	western 4:17	working 4:15 7:2	28:15 33:5 39:17
watched 7:24	wheat 39:5,6 42:14	47:21 54:9,10 64:15	45:10 49:15 50:13
way 46:9 52:6,21	60:10 61:23 62:10	68:5,6,12,13 70:4	65:9 67:15 79:10
53:20 54:21,22,22	62:13,19 74:9,9	72:17,17 73:20	80:13 81:25
59:21 66:9 78:3,13	wheel 81:14	75:23 78:1,1 100:19	yomreporting.com
78:19 81:11,13	wheels 100:15 116:5	101:7 102:18 103:4	128:23
89:19 96:16 97:2	white 103:17	106:11 110:4	
100:3 101:5,20,23	whoever's 123:8	116:11 119:12	
104:2 107:9 115:11	wholly 21:4	120:13 123:16	
121:3 122:21,24	wide 15:1	works 101:23	
124:15 128:17	willing 72:22,23	115:18	
ways 38:13 51:20	wind 126:9	world 43:22	
55:5,6 62:1 78:4	window 97:1	worth 47:17	
85:7 97:25 98:16	wiped 80:25	wrap 74:22	
100:24 102:5	wisconsin 18:11	write 93:6,10,13,18	
114:20	wish 103:23 104:1	93:21 114:24	
wayside 71:25	124:22 125:9	writing 87:24,25	
we've 23:16 26:7,7	wishes 67:24	88:5 94:16 95:10	
29:14 32:25 33:1			