		Page 1
1		
2		
3	NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION	
4	PORTLAND REGION	
5	REGULATORY REVIEW CONSULTATION	
6		
7		
8	TULALIP RESORT AND CASINO	
9	10200 QUIL CEDA BLVD	
10	CHINOOK BALLROOM	
11	TULALIP, WASHINGTON	
12	JULY 14, 2011	
13	9:13 A.M.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	REPORTED BY: CHERYL J. HAMMER, CCR 2512	
25	Job No. NJ331347	

Page 2 1 TULALIP, WASHINGTON; JULY 14, 2011 2 9:13 A.M. 3 --000--4 5 (Opening prayer.) MR. SHELDON: For those that don't 6 7 know, she was former chair lady and a long time leader. Her and Debbie Posey, they were a team to be 8 9 reckoned with. They were tromping around representing 10 Indian intention in the early days. And we thank you 11 for your leadership and all that you have done and 12 what you are doing now. But thank you, Pearl. 13 I'm going to take this time to welcome 14 everybody to Tulalip, especially the National Indian 15 Gaming Commission. Chairwoman Tracie Stevens, welcome 16 home, and thank you very much for making Tulalip part 17 of your itinerary today. 18 Vice Chair Cochran, thank you very much for being with us, and Commissioner Little, Dan 19 20 Little, thank you. Counsel Lael Echo-Hawk and Jo-Ann 21 Shyloski, thank you. Also Nimish Purohit, if he's in 2.2 the room, and a lot of your staff that are here. 23 Tracie, thanks for bringing the staff and coming to 24 Tulalip for some conversation today. 25 To all of our elders that are here

1 this morning, thank you very much for being here with 2 us. We appreciate your leadership and what you've 3 done with your different tribes and communities. And 4 to our veterans that are here today in attendance, men 5 and women, we thank you very much for your service to 6 our country and the rich legacy that Indian people 7 have when it comes to participating in the military.

Again, to all of you, welcome to Skoho country. A little bit about Tulalip. We're on 22,000 acres here and some of it is bordered by salt water, so salmon makes a big part of who we are. We are a fishing community, transitioning into a gaming opportunity that's been presented to us.

14 In your stay here -- is anybody 15 staying in the hotel? Okay. So hopefully it's met 16 their expectations. It's just a modest little bed and 17 breakfast along I-5 here and we have Seattle premium 18 outlets for anybody who enjoys shopping. That's just 19 north of here a little bit. And just to tell you 20 also, soon they will be expanding. They're going to create 90,000 more square feet of shopping space for 21 2.2 the ladies, so we're very, very grateful for that 23 opportunity.

As some of you may have heard, we also have a Cabela's coming on board here. We recently worked out a contract to deal with them and they hope to be open by April of this coming year. So I've never known who Walmart was until they came to Tulalip and gradually over a period of time my whole closet was Walmart. Well, I can see over a period of time my closet's going to be Cabela's.

So it's a great opportunity to, you know, do a little shopping down the road. Also, too, in August, which is right around the corner here, our museum is going to be opened up for a big consumption and we're very, very proud of that opportunity to share who Tulalip is, who the Skoho people are, and to share our history.

14 One of our first exhibits is going to 15 be of men and women veterans, tribal veterans, and so 16 their pictures, their stories are going to be on 17 showcase as well as the rest of the museum. So we 18 hope that in your travels you find the time to come 19 back to Tulalip and of course stay at this little bed 20 and breakfast and then do a little shopping and sightseeing here. 21

So again, to all of you, I wanted to say thank you for the work that you do in Indian country in gaming and some of the conversations that we're going to have today with the NIGC that I believe

1 are going to be positive and fruitful.

2	I think what I've seen is together we
3	can go a lot of places together and that's what we're
4	doing here this morning, is coming together to share.
5	So again, to all of you, thank you for coming to
б	Tulalip. Thank you for sharing this time with us, and
7	a safe journey when it's time to go home.
8	It's not like basketball tournaments
9	where sometimes you'd stay a little longer after the
10	tournament, but when you do get time to go home,
11	please have a safe journey. So thank you very much,
12	Chairwoman Tracie.
13	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you,
14	Chairman. I'd like to take this opportunity now to
15	have everyone at the table first of all, if we have
16	tribal leaders in the room, you're welcome to come up
17	to the table. We do try to reserve the table for
18	tribal leaders or who they designate to speak for
19	them, so please feel free. We also understand that
20	folks are shy, if you want to sit in the back, but
21	that's who we intended the table to be for.
22	I'd like to have the folks at the
23	table introduce themselves and start way down there
24	and we'll come around this way with the tribal
25	leaders. And after we do that, we'll introduce the

Page 6 commission and staff. 1 2 MR. SPENCER: Good morning. Warren 3 Spencer, Yakama Nation tribal council. MS. JACK: Good morning. Dolcee Jack, 4 5 Yakama Nation. MR. GEORGE: Good morning. Richard 6 7 George, Yakama tribal council. MR. CORPUZ: Good morning. Max 8 9 Corpuz, Jr., Yakama tribal council. 10 MS. VYVYAN: Good morning. Dawn 11 Vyvyan, attorney for Yakama Nation. 12 MR. MINKER: Fred Minker, director for 13 Jamestown. 14 MS. JACKSON: Tina Jackson, 15 chairperson, Suquamish Tribal Gaming Commission. 16 MR. LEWIS: Bardow Lewis, Suquamish 17 tribal council. 18 MR. ARMSTRONG: James Armstrong, Suquamish tribal gaming executive director. 19 20 MR. HANSON: Daniel Hanson, Port 21 Gamble Tribal Gaming Commission. 2.2 MS. HELM: Linda Helm, Port Gamble TGA director. 23 24 Spokane Tribe UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: of Indians, chairman, Spokane Gaming Commission. 25

Page 7 1 MR. WYNECOOP: Dave Wynecoop, tribal 2 council, Spokane tribe. 3 MR. WHEAT: Scott Wheat, attorney, Spokane tribe. 4 5 MS. GALLOWAY: Shannon Galloway. Good morning. Umatilla Tribal Gaming Commission. 6 7 MR. FILKINS: Steve Filkins, (unintelligible). 8 9 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Do we have any 10 other tribal leaders that might be in the seating 11 area? 12 MS. BURCH: Shelley Burch, Snoqualmie 13 tribe. Chairwoman. 14 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Next I'd like to, 15 just following along with the agenda, I'd like to 16 introduce our commission and the staff that we have 17 with us today. I'll start to my right. This is Vice Chairwoman Steffani Cochran. If you want to take a 18 19 minute to introduce yourself. 20 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Good morning. My 21 name is Steffani, and I am a vice chairwoman. I am a 2.2 member of the Chickasaw Nation, and it's a pleasure to 23 be here. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for having us here 24 and hosting us. 25 I did ask Chairwoman Stevens this

morning if the Coach outlet store had a voluntary van, because I found myself going over there yesterday. So thank you for allowing us to come here today and it's nice to see everybody sitting here. I look forward to

6 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Associate7 Commissioner Dan Little.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: 8 Good 9 morning, everyone. I actually want to say welcome everyone, and I look forward to hearing from 10 11 everybody. I want to make a special point to thank 12 the chairman for recognizing our veterans. As a 13 fellow veteran, and I know the chairman is a veteran, 14 you know, dedication from the native community to our 15 military efforts is not forgotten by me. So I really 16 appreciate all the veterans here.

I also want to remind all the folks that are staying here in the hotel, the NIGC, we obviously can't gamble at any of the gaming facilities, so we are staying at the Holiday Inn Express. So all of you that are staying here, please don't prove me wrong.

In any event, I welcome you all. It's great to see some of the folks that are here from the Yakama Nation from our consultation in Coeur d'Alene.

1

2

3

4

5

the conversation.

It's good to see you and all the others that are here 1 2 from the Spokane tribe. So thank you. Welcome. 3 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: And my name is Tracie Stevens. I'm the chairwoman of the National 4 5 Indian Gaming Commission. I am a member of the Tulalip tribes here. This is our -- I think we're on 6 number 10 consultation. I do want to recognize the 7 staff that we have with us here today. Mark Phillips, 8 9 many of you know Mark Phillips. He's our regional 10 director, back there. Vida Bishop, she's a field 11 investigator. I think she's out front right now. 12 Steve Steiner, who is a field 13 investigator, back there with the transcriptionist. Dan Catchpole. There he is. He's a senior auditor. 14 Rayanne Morris is also with our enforcement division. 15 16 She's right here. Additionally we have Nimish Purohit 17 right here. He is our gaming technology trainer, but he's also our acting training director right now. 18 19 Jo-Ann Shyloski -- why do I just 20 murder your name -- Shyloski with our general 21 counsel's office, and also Lael Echo-Hawk down here on 2.2 the left here, she's counselor to the chairwoman. So I do want to give a shout out to 23 all of our staff. They're critical in making sure 24 that these consultations are well organized, we are 25

1 prepared as a commission to hold this meeting and have 2 a discussion so that we don't worry about the things, 3 other than the discussion. Like making sure that the 4 transcriptionist has everybody's names, everybody gets 5 in here, make sure the room is in order. So I want to 6 say thank you to the staff.

7 In looking at the agenda, we've been playing around with how to manage these number of 8 regulations and so we want to talk about -- before we 9 10 begin, we want to talk about the agenda, and this is a 11 two-day agenda that we've just begun about three weeks 12 ago, and because we have so many regulations that we 13 want to cover, we've broke them up after hearing from 14 tribes, you know, when are you going to talk about 15 regulation X and having it be more defined.

16 But also, we recognize that many 17 people come here, especially tribal leaders, who have a very full schedule, have prepared statements that 18 19 they would like to submit for the record. And so we 20 have periods of time throughout the agenda -- and 21 just, by the way, at any time on the agenda, you know, 2.2 if you need to make your statement and get on with your business, we certainly welcome it and it's always 23 24 open for anyone who needs to make a statement.

25

It doesn't necessarily have to be in

this order. So, you know, for example, tomorrow we're scheduled to talk about minimum internal control standards and other things, but if today is the only day you're going to be here or this morning is the only time that you're going to be here, we will welcome your statements or comments that you may need to make before you leave.

So what we'll be doing -- and Lael 8 9 will go over this in more detail -- is we're just 10 going to go by sections and groups and do this in 11 manageable pieces so we don't overload you. We didn't 12 want to come in and do a very long power point and 13 just hit you with a fire hose. So we're going to just 14 take sips here and talk about these particular issues 15 that are in varying stages of drafting and discussion.

Also, you have your packet that was given to you when you came in that has any of the discussion drafts that -- it contains any discussion draft that we have posted on the website now or has been posted, and then also you have a copy of the power point so you can follow along and take notes. So that's how we're structured with this.

Again, you know, we'll follow and do bits and pieces of the regs, but you're always welcome to make statements as you need to. We'll be taking

breaks and we'll be opening the floor for discussion after we do some of the power point and open up the floor for discussion after that.

So at this time, before we begin our 4 5 power point and opening up on group one, if there's anyone that has some time sensitivity and needs to 6 7 make a statement on the record, we have scheduled time for that right now if anyone needs to make their 8 9 statement or read a written statement. If not, do we 10 have anyone that needs to make a statement to the 11 record right now?

Okay. The other thing too, just so you know, Mark Phillips has a microphone, so if there's anyone in the back that needs to, you know, make a statement or has questions throughout, we have a mic runner. He is a working director. He's not above running the mic and moving a chair. We were all in here moving the chairs around this morning.

19 So at this time I'd like to Okay. 20 turn this over to Lael Echo-Hawk. She's been traffic 21 control on our reg review process, and we're going to 2.2 go through the first portion of our agenda on group one and you'll see on the agenda which parts that 23 24 would cover. So Lael, if you could take it away. 25 MS. ECHO-HAWK: Good morning. As

1	Chairwoman introduced me, my name is Lael Echo-Hawk,
2	and I'm a member of the Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma.
3	It's very nice to be here. And thank you very much
4	for the welcome, Chairman. I worked here for six and
5	a half years and it's a little bit like coming home,
6	so it's nice to be back in the Northwest.
7	As you can see in the agenda, the
8	morning is dedicated to group one. In your packet you
9	do have copies of discussion drafts and regulations
10	included in that group. Parts 514, which is fees, and
11	part 559 of the facility licensing regs, so those are
12	in your packets if you want to refer to them
13	throughout the presentation.
14	The first thing that we need to cover
15	is we just need to emphasize again that this is tribal
16	consultation. These meetings are between tribal
17	governments and federal government. Only tribes and
18	their designees can attend and participate in these
19	meetings and they are not open to the public.
20	If you do or you are a member of the
21	public and you would like to make a comment, you can
22	send in those comments to us through email, written
23	form, but at this time these meetings are between the
24	tribal government and the federal government.
25	These consultations follow along with

the commission's commitment to the executive order consultation in coordination with Indian tribes. These consultations, particularly, also emphasize the commission's commitment to talking with tribes before they begin drafting, before they begin promulgating rules.

Section C3 says that in determining whether to establish federal standards, consult with tribal officials as to the need for those standards, and so that's a process that we're in here now. We're talking with tribes before we begin the formal rule-making process.

13 As the chairwoman discussed earlier, 14 we divided these groups of regulations into five 15 different groups. The number of the group does not --16 has no bearing on the priority. It was just we looked 17 at subject matter, comments from the Notice of 18 Inquiry, estimated time and resources to review those 19 regulations. So we've had that guestion before and 20 the group numbers are just, that's just where they 21 fell out and it doesn't indicate priority.

There are three phases to this process and we are in phase one today. It's the preliminary drafting phase. Although you have seen two rules written comments on the discussion draft at least

closed, following a drafting period, there may be a 1 2 period of time for a Notice of Proposed Rule Making. The commission has committed to having 3 a minimum of 60-day written comment period if we issue 4 5 a Notice of Proposed Rule Making. I've had that question come up. So that's just for your information 6 7 that there will be at least a 60 day written comment period for any Notice of Proposed Rule Making. 8 9 And then finally, if after the Notice 10 of Proposed Rule Making it's clear that we need to 11 have a notice of or we need to have a final rule, then 12 there's the final rule making, Notice of Final Rule 13 Making. 14 All these preliminary discussion 15 drafts that you see -- and we do have a number of them 16 in your packet -- are initial working drafts. All 17 these consultations are also transcribed, so when you do speak, if you have comments, if you could please 18 19 state your name and the tribe that you're with or 20 representing, that's very helpful to us to make sure 21 that the transcripts are accurate. 2.2 Additionally, all the written comments that are submitted and the written transcripts are 23 posted on the NIGC website. That's NIGC.gov, and if 24 you click the tribal consultation tab, you can find 25

1 all this information.

2	The commitment by the commission has
3	been that every comment will be reviewed and
4	considered. I can speak from personal experience that
5	we've all looked at these. We've got binders we carry
6	around with us. We make sure that we stay up to speed
7	on the comments that you bring in, the staff does and
8	certainly the commission.
9	Any proposed or final rule will
10	include a summary of comments. We've seen that come
11	out with our Notice of Inquiry and in the Notice of
12	Regulatory Review agenda that we put out in April, and
13	the commission is committed to a clear and transparent
14	process. And so we're posting everything, we're
15	inviting everyone to speak, and we want to make sure
16	that everyone knows what's going on as we move
17	forward.
18	So the agenda today covers all five
19	groups and this is in your power point packet, so I'm
20	not going to go through all them. But today we're
21	going to be going through groups one, two and one,
22	two and four, and tomorrow we're going to be
23	discussing groups five and group three. So that's
24	just a sort of an index for you. That's in your
25	handout with a copy of the power point.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1	So right now, this morning, we're
2	going to be going over group one, and that group
3	contains part 514, the fee regulation, which is in
4	your packet, part 523, review and approval of existing
5	ordinances or resolutions, part 542, minimum internal
6	control standards for Class III gaming, part 559,
7	facility license notification, renewals and
8	submissions, and then finally, potential buy Indian
9	regulation or policy.
10	Again, the two handouts that are in
11	your packet are parts 514 and part 559. So if you
12	look at part 514, this draft was posted in April, end
13	of April, and all of these regulations, draft
14	regulations, are on the website as well. If you don't
15	want to carry the packet home with you, you can always
16	find this information on the website.
17	The discussion draft makes a number of
18	changes. One of the changes that we heard and was
19	made was included in this draft was that basing the
20	fee calculation on the gaming operation's fiscal year
21	instead of a calendar year could provide for more
22	accurate fees calculations. That's included in the
23	draft.
24	The fee rate is now being the new
25	regulation would change the publication of the fee

1	rate from February 1st to March 1st. This allows the
2	agency to get in all the fees, look at the audited
3	financials, and then make sure that the preliminary
4	rate that we set is as accurate as possible.
5	There's been some removal of
6	terminology that just doesn't really make sense.
7	Quarterly payments moving from a semi-annual payment
8	to back, actually, back to quarterly payments.
9	Some of you recall that the agency made the move to
10	semi-annual, and now we are going back to quarterly
11	payments. This doesn't prevent anyone from prepaying
12	or anything like that, but the statutory requirement
13	and now the regulatory requirement will be quarterly
14	payments.
15	We thought that some sections of the
16	regulations were not clear and so we clarified we
17	attempted to clarify those sections as we could. We
18	also added a notification period for when a gaming
19	operation changes their fiscal year.
20	One of the comments many, many
21	tribes commented on this new section, which proposes a
22	late payment or a late fee sort of ticketing system.
23	That's what we call it sort of in the agency, is
24	ticketing system for when a tribe submits their fee
25	statements or their audited financials with their fees

So instead of having a Notice of Violation 1 late. issue if a gaming operation is late in submitting 2 their fees to us, this adds a layer of sort of 3 escalation, much like just a penalty you would receive 4 5 if you got a parking ticket, for example. It makes a distinction between what a 6 7 late payment is and then what failure to pay your annual fees are. That is the -- if you're interested 8 9 in looking at that, that's on page -- it begins on 10 page 4 and 5. 11 So if you fail -- if you're simply in 12 the late payment category, then you failed to pay your 13 fees between zero to 90 days, after 90 days, the definition then says that failure to pay your annual 14 fees happens on the 92nd day and at that point the 15 16 chair could issue a Notice of Violation if she chose. 17 Some of the other comments that we've heard from tribes is that we heard some comments on 18 19 what the percentage should be or what the dollar 20 amount should be if a tribe is late paying their fees. 21 It is something that we're interested in hearing 2.2 comments on. There are blanks left in the current 23 draft for those, what the potential late fee or fine 24 could be. 25 It also allows for an appeal of those

1 assessments, and so that's something that if you had 2 comments on, we'd like to hear if you have any 3 questions.

We also added -- this is simply formalizing what we do when the agency processes fingerprints for tribes. It clarifies how we collect them and that the fee will be published biannually on the same dates as we publish the preliminary fee rate and the annual fee rate.

So if we have some questions that are included in the power point, just these are terminology questions and we've heard that admission fee doesn't really -- it's not what the industry standard is; that we should change admission fee in the regulation to entry fee and then perhaps put terminant fees in as an example for an entry fee.

The terminology just didn't seem to work for the industry and so we want to try and make it more uniform so that it makes sense to the people that are trying to calculate the fees. So if you had comments on those, we'd like to hear that.

There's also been some discussion about whether or not we should use the definition gross gaming revenue, change it to a GAAP definition. We've also heard that this may not -- we may not be

able to do this because of the definition included in 1 2 the statute. We didn't make that change in this draft because we sort of had comments all over the board. 3 One of the suggestions that came up, 4 5 though, is that instead of changing the definition for gross gaming revenue, that we should consider defining 6 7 what a wager and a payout is and that might clarify it, how to make the actual calculation as you're 8 9 completing your fees. So if you have comment on that, 10 we'd like to hear that. I know the operations people 11 in the finance offices might have some comment on 12 that. 13 So written comment on this draft 14 closed on May 31st. It was open for 30 days, but if 15 you have comments today, we'd like certainly to hear 16 them. 17 The 523 is what appears to be an 18 obsolete regulation and we're just wondering if the 19 part should be repealed. So far all the comments have 20 come in and have said yes, it's an obsolete reg. 21 Marvin Osborne. I'm MR. OSBORNE: 2.2 with the Shoshone-Bannock tribes. Before we go too

far, I just want to get a clarification. If you're going to change the GAAP -- well, what's being proposed, and if we're going to look at standards that

2 practices of the tribe involving federal agencie 3 always seems important to us that we be consiste 4 with our accounting practices and that's why the 5 we recommended that the GAAP be included in t 6 If you're going to change it or 7 propose to change it, I'd suggest that you tell	nt GAAP
4 with our accounting practices and that's why the 5 we recommended that the GAAP be included in t 6 If you're going to change it or 7 propose to change it, I'd suggest that you tell	GAAP
5 we recommended that the GAAP be included in t 6 If you're going to change it or 7 propose to change it, I'd suggest that you tell	
6 If you're going to change it or 7 propose to change it, I'd suggest that you tell	hat.
7 propose to change it, I'd suggest that you tell	
	us
8 what and why are you proposing to change that sy	stem
9 to go back to another type of system or clarify	what
10 you want to do, because then we could give our	
11 accounting people and address that situation;	
12 otherwise, you know, things are going to slip an	d go
13 through and it may not be what we want.	
14I don't know if it's on a case-b	y-case
15 basis or if it's every time. We'd like to be	
16 consistent with the tribe, and that's a complica	tion.
17 Just one more thing too. Enforc	ement,
18 back up on the enforcement issue. I didn't quit	e hear
19 whether or not the Colorado case was going to co	me to
20 a conclusion, if that was going to be addressed,	
21 because we're talking about enforcement and if y	ou're
secare we re carming about enroreement and rr y	
22 going to set enforcement standards here or propo	se it,
22 going to set enforcement standards here or propo	off

1 (Unintelligible.)

2	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, sir,
3	for your comment. There was a lot of discussion
4	around GAAP internally, and the reason that GAAP came
5	up was because tribes suggested that we try to align
6	ourselves with GAAP. But I might defer to either Lael
7	or Jo-Ann about sort of the hurdles that GAAP creates.
8	I think there's varying definitions as that apply from
9	state to state. Is that the case?
10	MS. ECHO-HAWK: I'm sorry?
11	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: What were some of
12	the internal conversations about the issues
13	surrounding why or why we could not use GAAP?
14	MS. ECHO-HAWK: The main issue is that
15	the act defines gross gaming revenue in a specific
15 16	the act defines gross gaming revenue in a specific way. It's basically wagerless payout. That's less
16	way. It's basically wagerless payout. That's less
16 17	way. It's basically wagerless payout. That's less than clear and it doesn't it has led, that
16 17 18	way. It's basically wagerless payout. That's less than clear and it doesn't it has led, that definition has led to some confusion in how you
16 17 18 19	way. It's basically wagerless payout. That's less than clear and it doesn't it has led, that definition has led to some confusion in how you calculate your fees.
16 17 18 19 20	<pre>way. It's basically wagerless payout. That's less than clear and it doesn't it has led, that definition has led to some confusion in how you calculate your fees. Everyone sort of defines their pay or</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>way. It's basically wagerless payout. That's less than clear and it doesn't it has led, that definition has led to some confusion in how you calculate your fees.</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>way. It's basically wagerless payout. That's less than clear and it doesn't it has led, that definition has led to some confusion in how you calculate your fees.</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>way. It's basically wagerless payout. That's less than clear and it doesn't it has led, that definition has led to some confusion in how you calculate your fees.</pre>

1	One of the suggestions internally was
2	maybe we go to GAAP. Then after we took a look at
3	that and began really evaluating what that would mean,
4	it would be different than what the statutory
5	definition is in the act and so the consensus sort of
6	as we were putting the draft together was, well, let's
7	not change it. It doesn't appear that a GAAP
8	definition, the new GAAP definition is going to meet
9	the act's requirement, but perhaps we can get at it a
10	different way.
11	Because what the goal was was to
12	provide some clarity in how you make these
13	calculations. It is a confusing process and we
14	actually have done like fee 101 calculation ourselves
15	in preparation for these meetings.
16	It's a complicated calculation to
17	make, certainly, when you are doing things such as
18	promotions and comps and all of those other kind of
19	things that maybe are included as the wager, but
20	shouldn't be included in the calculation of a payout,
21	you know, sort of wagering with the operation's money.
22	If you get, say, a match played, all
23	those sort of incidences that come up and then how do
24	you include or subtract them from the calculation of
25	the fees that come to the NIGC, which is how we got to

let's leave this, leave the definition of GAAP alone, 1 2 use the statutory definition, and then perhaps we 3 should think about defining wager and payout. So that was sort of the thought 4 5 process behind it and we're still sort of looking for how we can assist tribes in making those calculations 6 7 accurately so we don't have to have fee audits. And if there's some way we can do that at the agency, then 8 9 we'd certainly like to hear it. 10 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Is there anything 11 more to add, Jo-Ann? 12 MS. SHYLOSKI: The only thing that I 13 wanted to add is that GAAP also changes, is 14 susceptible to change, and so incorporating it into 15 the definition would then create instability. When it 16 changes, then the definition would change. 17 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: So that's the 18 background on where we're at and why we're looking at 19 GAAP and why we're looking at another way around that, 20 to avoid the problem that may happen as a result of 21 applying GAAP by using definitions of wager and 2.2 payout. That might get us to where we need to go, and 23 we are certainly open to your comments on that. 24 With regard to Colorado River Indian tribe, I assume you mean how Class III internal 25

control standards are going to be managed? Yeah, we 1 2 have some enforcement regs that are up and I think they're with regard to the appeal process. That's 3 really all we're looking at. 4 5 In terms of actual enforcement regulations? Regarding Class III minimum internal 6 7 control standards, we'll be talking about that more tomorrow, but we do want to hear about the tribes and 8 9 how they handle Class III, because it varies all 10 throughout the country. We have tribes that have written us 11 12 into their ordinances to allow us Class III 13 enforcement. There are several tribes in southern 14 California, other tribes have them, our regulations, Class III regulations, written into their compacts. 15 16 There are tribes as in Washington that don't need the 17 Class III, Class III minimum internal control standards, because the state and the tribes already 18 19 worked out the minimum internal control standards and 20 there's not a need there, from what we understand. 21 So we would like to hear how Class III, our Class III three-way regs work or don't work 2.2 for you or the need or no need. And then we'll also 23

25 just Class III MICS, because really the question's on

be talking about how are we going to proceed with not

24

the table right now about that are leave them in place, repeal them, revise them and promulgate them again, although that presents a problem, because the Colorado River Indian tribe decision basically said that we don't have the authority under the statute to enforce nor promulgate minimum internal control standards for Class III.

So but then again, we don't want to do 8 9 -- we're trying to make sure that that area is as 10 covered as it needs to be, which, you know, depending 11 on which tribe and what area and what compact and what 12 ordinance you have, is going to differ. We don't want 13 to leave anybody behind. We don't want to upset apple carts, and because we have so many differing needs out 14 15 there, we're counting on tribes to tell us what their 16 need is and also help us come up with a solution that 17 doesn't leave anybody behind. So we'll be talking about that more later. 18

Also the possibility of we have a lot of regs, as you've seen, that we're looking at. Some of them are a little easier to do some clean-up work on, things that we're talking about today. The Class III MICS and the technical standards, Class III, Class II and technical standards are some sort of hefty topics, very detailed and specific controls and 1 regulations.

2	And we've been hearing again and again
3	through this whole process since November the desire
4	for a tribal advisory committee to deal with those and
5	having those be on a separate parallel track, because
6	they're so work intensive and really address the
7	issues that have been lingering for a number of years.
8	It is our desire as a commission to bring some closure
9	to those.
10	I know all of you as regulators, you
11	know, the drafting, the finalization, the
12	implementation time for Class II, it's all been sort
13	of pending for years and that doesn't help your
14	operation, your facilities, your regulatory bodies.
15	It does not give certainty. We really want to bring
16	this to some closure.
17	So if we need to take those three kind
18	of hefty items and move them down a separate track
19	parallel to all these others that are really a little
20	easier to manage, we're getting really good feedback,
21	we're willing to do that. We're looking at other
22	options to make sure that we can address those, but
23	we'll be talking about that tomorrow and getting
24	thoughts. If you have thoughts about those as we
25	proceed, we're open to hearing those.

I hope, Mr. Osborne, that that helped 1 2 clarify when we're going to get to the MICS. I think that was the question around Colorado River Indian 3 tribe. 4 5 MR. OSBORNE: (Unintelligible) feel like we're wasting our time and we had certainty. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Right. I agree with you and I think the commission, we fully agree 8 9 with you, as having come from tribes ourselves, that 10 uncertainty makes it hard for you to do your job and 11 that doesn't help the industry and we do want to bring 12 it to some finality. 13 So with that, I'll have Lael continue 14 We were on the repeal of the prior to 1993, the on. 15 existing ordinances. We haven't heard anything but 16 just pull it back. It's not needed. So she'll 17 continue from here on 559 facility licensing. MS. ECHO-HAWK: You should have 559 in 18 19 your packet. As you can see, we did strike a number 20 of the provisions. The Notice of Inquiry asked if we 21 should revise this part and we received a lot of 2.2 support. There was a significant concern about how 23 the part was adopted and perhaps we needed to open it 24 back up for consultation. There were comments about what authority, questioning the authority the NIGC had 25

over the Environmental Public Health and Safety issues
as well.

So we looked at the draft or we took a 3 look at the reg and we made some changes. We included 4 5 a change. The time frame that currently allows for 120 day notice fee NIGC and the facility license. We 6 7 changed that from a 60 day -- to a 60 day extension if the chair, if the chair needs the extra time at her 8 9 discretion. It also includes a provision for 10 expediting the process when circumstances permit. And 11 this is all on the first page of the draft.

12 Newly issued or renewed licenses to be 13 sent to the NIGC within 30 days. An attestation that 14 savs that the construction and maintenance of the 15 facility and operations conducted in a manner which 16 adequately protects environmental health and public 17 safety. This attestation replaces the requirement in the previous reg that the tribes send in all this 18 19 information.

There was a lot of concern about the information. That it was duplicative. That other government agencies had it. Why do tribes have to send it into the agency as well. So the new reg just simply requires a certification attesting that that construction is constructed in a manner that complies

1 with IGRA.

2	We also included a provision that
3	notice to the NIGC be given within 30 days when the
4	license is terminated or expires or a facility closes
5	or reopens. One of the things that we ask in the
6	well, we include in the regulation, and we left as a
7	blank, is if there's a seasonal closure, there's no
8	need to send in the Notice of Closure, but if it's a
9	temporary closure, for example, if someone's replacing
10	a roof or there's an entire remodel of the facility
11	going on and the tribe needs to close that operation
12	for X number of days, months, we're looking for some
13	input on how long should a temporary closure be before
14	a notice needs to be sent to the NIGC that the
15	operation is closed.
16	Obviously, we need to be aware of what
17	operations are running. Our enforcement, the region,
18	they need to know, you know, the facility is running
19	or if it's closed. But at what point, sort of what's
20	the trigger, and so that's why there's a blank left in
21	that particular section of the draft.
22	Written comment on that regulation
23	closed on June 17th, but if you have comments, we
24	would certainly love to hear them.
25	Finally, we were considering, and we

1	still are thinking about internally, because it turned
2	out to be a little more difficult easier said than
3	done if you go through the official route of a buy
4	indian regulation much like the BIA or the IHS has.
5	So we're trying to figure out a way that the NIGC
6	to require the NIGC to buy Indian when purchasing
7	goods, services and property.
8	It's certainly supported by the act
9	and it obviously is something that we've heard from
10	Indian country and that the commission coming from
11	each of them coming from tribes recognize that it's
12	important to tribes that federal agencies be required
13	to purchase Indian, qualified Indian products and
14	contract with qualified Indian businesses when doing
15	business.
16	So something that we're thinking about
17	and we've heard general support of and if you have
18	ideas or thoughts on that, then we would also like to
19	hear them.
20	Finally, for group one, as the
21	chairwoman said, and I won't repeat in nauseating
22	detail, the question for Class III MICS, what do we do
23	with Class III MICS? How do we address it? This
24	impacts tribes differently across the country. You
25	have tribes that have written the NIGC MICS into their

compacts and their ordinances and in fact all the
rights by law, the tribal law, the NIGC to enforce the
Class III MICS.

You have other tribes, a number of them in Oklahoma, who reference Class III, the NIGC Class III MICS in their compacts. How do we address this sort of varying trend of Class III MICS and regulatory authority and where should the commission take action.

So it's something that the commission is thinking about and we'd love to hear more comment on it. I think the chairwoman sort of summarized how we're thinking about it internally and we certainly will talk about it more tomorrow as well.

We heard a lot of comment. These are included in your packet and I won't go over them again here because I think the chairwoman did that earlier. So that's sort of the summary of group one and we are -- I'll give it back to the chairwoman. The rest of the morning is dedicated to these topics.

21 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, Lael. 22 I want to thank everybody for patiently waiting as we 23 go through one portion of the power point. So those 24 are the topics that are up for discussion right now. 25 Certainly, again, I want to reemphasize if there's other topics that you're needing to discuss because of
your schedule, certainly do so.

If you have any questions about what we've talked about so far or any of the drafts that you have in front of you, please let us know. If you have comments, please feel free to make them now. We're basically going to open the floor for comments, questions, any prepared statements. So we have an open mic.

I do want to reemphasize, as the vice chairwoman just reminded me, all the drafts that you have right now are discussion drafts only. We've made a concerted effort to make sure that that's on this paper.

15 We're doing something that you may be 16 familiar with. The senate committee has done this in 17 the past. None of these have started to go into the 18 formal rule-making process yet. In an effort to 19 comply with Executive Order 13175, consultation 20 coordination with tribal governments, we want to get your input before we start the rule-making process and 21 2.2 that's what has informed the draft that you have in front of us is the number of consultations prior, 23 comments we've received prior to the issue of this 24 discussion draft only. 25

So just a reminder these are not in 1 2 any official rule-making process at this time. We 3 want your input before we go into Notices of Proposed Rule Making. 4 5 Jim Bob, you look like you have 6 something to say. 7 I do. I was reviewing MR. ARMSTRONG: this facility license notification rule and 8 9 submissions, and the previous documents stipulated 10 that you could renew your license every three years or 11 had a requirement to renew every three years. When we 12 do a renewal, is there a time frame on when the tribe 13 has to renew a facility license? Number one, it looks like it's geared for a new facility and not an old 14 facility. So I need a little clarification on that. 15 16 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, James, 17 for your comment. I'm sorry. Do I sound like your mother? We get a number of questions about that 18 19 particular area because of the current regulation that 20 does stipulate renewals and renewal time frames. 21 What this draft does is it defers to 2.2 the tribe and the tribals, the tribes, what the tribe 23 requires. That may be different, but we ask that when there is a renewal, that you just let us know. 24 So the deference is to the tribe and what they set for their 25

licensing. You just need to let us know. Then I'll
let Lael follow up.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: The old regulation required a gaming operation to -- you could only have a three-year facility license, basically. This changes that. You can have a facility license for as long as you have a facility license. You only need to notify us if you close that facility license or if the facility license expires and then is renewed.

10 The time frame, there is none. We 11 just need to know if you're open or if you're closed. 12 MR. ARMSTRONG: What we had done 13 earlier before is the old regulation we put a lifetime 14 expectancy on that license until that regulation came 15 into play. So that's what needed a clarification. 16 MS. ECHO-HAWK: This regulation would

16 MS. ECHO-HAWK: This regulation would 17 allow for that.

18 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Any more? 19 MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes. Now I'm going to 20 the annual fees and the licensing fees and the --21 currently, you're going to change, let's say, the 2.2 fingerprint fees. Are you currently billing on a 23 monthly basis at this time or are you billing a 24 different standard at this time to enable this change on a monthly basis? 25
CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Are you talking 1 2 about fingerprints or the annual fee? 3 MR. ARMSTRONG: Fingerprints. CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: 4 I think 5 fingerprints are based on when tribes submit. When do we have them pay? It's monthly now, for the tribes 6 7 that have us do that work. 8 MR. ARMSTRONG: And previously they 9 would accept a bulk payment at the beginning of the 10 year and work it down from there. So maybe you could 11 have some language in there that we could make an 12 annual bulk payment at the start of the year and work 13 it down so that and find the balance at the end of the 14 vear. 15 For example, give me \$30,000 at the 16 beginning of the year and you just deduct from that as 17 we go throughout the year and if we go over or under, we still have money in the bank. 18

19 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Okay. We can 20 check with our comptroller on that and we appreciate 21 that. I don't know that we've heard that response 2.2 yet, but we would need to make sure that we can still 23 do that and that we have mechanisms in place internally so that if you're over or short, that we 24 have mechanisms to either send the money back or get 25

1 more money if the fees are greater than what you've 2 paid. 3 MR. OSBORNE: (Inaudible.) 4 MS. ECHO-HAWK: This section was only 5 meant to formalize what we currently do. So it

6 doesn't make any changes. We just wanted to put it 7 out if there was nothing on the regulation that 8 explained how that works and so that was what we were 9 trying to do here.

MR. ARMSTRONG: And I think that we could have language added to that where it's at the beginning of the year the tribes could pay in bulk and then you can credit it out to the next year if you have to. Talk to your accountant or to your comptroller what you can do.

MS. HELM: I think what Jim Bob is referring to is what we do at Port Gamble is periodic \$600 deposits. So we'll use up the \$600, then we send in another \$600 deposit.

20 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Do we have any 21 other questions? Yes? No. If you could state your 22 name for the record.

MR. WHEAT: Scott Wheat.
 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Maybe can you
 bring the mic over a little bit?

Page 39 MR. WHEAT: Are you recording this or 1 2 is it because I'm not loud enough? 3 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I know No. you're loud enough. This is for the 4 5 transcriptionist's sake. I just want to remind everybody, if you can speak into a microphone and 6 7 state your name and who you're with for the record, that would be great. Thanks. 8 9 MR. WHEAT: Again, Scott Wheat, 10 attorney with the Spokane tribe. I just want to 11 commend the commission. You probably have been 12 getting these accolades, but facility licensing was a 13 very long, difficult struggle with, you know, your predecessor, and most tribes, including every tribe we 14 15 represent, was vehemently opposed to those 16 regulations. 17 That is a C change, and I say, you know, bravo to the commission for considering going 18 19 this route. It was an unnecessary intrusion and an 20 attempt to vault the NIGC from a well-defined, limited 21 role in tribal gaming into this all-inclusive 2.2 expansive role of tribal governments in which you're theoretically reviewing, you know, Occupational Health 23 and Safety regulations, environmental regulations and 24 on and on and on and on. 25

1	As you know, the comments were
2	universally opposed to these regulations in Indian
3	country. So I just want to thank the commission for
4	stepping up, hearing our concerns and erring what I
5	think we all believe to be a significant error of the
6	predecessors. So thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thanks, Scott,
8	for that comment. We would like to and many of the
9	comments that we've received during this process, many
10	tribes explained to us what other federal agency, what
11	other agreement they had with a state or local
12	government or what they had in their own tribal law
13	that covered many of the areas that you mentioned on
14	public health, safety, environmental, and that it was
15	duplicative.
16	Duplicative meaning also costing
17	tribes more money and also creating some confusion as
18	well about, you know, which federal agency am I
19	supposed to be, you know, complying with.
20	So we welcome any tribal comments on
21	the record or in your written comment detailing to us
22	or, you know, clarifying to us how it is that you all
23	covered those areas in whatever manner that you do.
24	We know Indian health is out there. We know some
25	compacts have some state compacts require

compliance with some state laws. We know that there 1 2 are memorandums of agreement with local agencies. We know OSHA's out there. We know labor's out there. 3 So feel free to let us know for the record, you know, how 4 5 these things are covered. And if I could move on to 6 MR. WHEAT: 7 maybe a little bit more difficult issue, the Class III MICS. As I understand, this is the time to comment on 8 9 that. 10 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: If you need to 11 because of your time constraints, feel free to, yes. 12 Well, maybe a point of MR. WHEAT: 13 clarification then. As I read the agenda, the group one discussions where --14 15 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes, you're 16 right. 17 Okay. So I think I can, MR. WHEAT: 18 you know, from many tribes here in Washington, you 19 know, I'm going to speak for Spokane, but I've got a 20 feeling that you're going to hear some similar views 21 on this. 2.2 The Spokane tribe litigated in print 23 from the ground up. We spent a lot of time presenting 24 those arguments, which ultimately the federal courts agreed with us on, that the NIGC just simply does not 25

have authority to enforce Class III MICS, period, and I think as you know, and certainly as your lawyers know, the whole administration, you know, back to the well, to the DC circuit court a couple times, trying to say, well, you know, you said we couldn't, you know, have MICS authority this way, how about this way, and the court basically said, you know, what part of no do you not understand.

9 So as a matter of law, you just simply 10 don't have the authority to be doing it. So the first 11 preference of the Spokane tribe is to just get out of 12 the business of promulgating Class III MICS. We 13 believe that that is the correct legal answer.

Now, we also understand that this is just, you know, more than technical legal issues in play here. There are tribes in other states that have, you know, kind of put themselves, I should say, with all due respect, in a very tenuous position.

We have known in Indian country for years that the authority of the NIGC to found a Class III MICS was in serious question. CRIT has been litigated for many years throughout the administrative level and on up and the tribes prevail.

24 So to the extent that folks knowing 25 that risk made deals with the state, I don't quite

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1	understand why that puts the NIGC in the bind to carry
2	the water for what was ultimately a bad decision. I
3	think part of, you know, self-determination is also,
4	you know, the responsibility that comes with cleaning
5	up after a bad decision. We all make our mistakes.
6	That being said, you know, I don't
7	mean to offend those tribes that are stuck, but the
8	position of Spokane is that that shouldn't be
9	Spokane's problem and it's not the NIGC's authority to
10	take on the problem.
11	That being said, I think the other
12	concern and this is more of a pragmatic policy
13	concern, and it's no less poignant is that if the
14	NIGC is inclined, legal issues aside, to continue
15	promulgating these MICS, whether you call them
16	guidelines or regulations, it doesn't matter, you're
17	still spending staff money and time to promulgate.
18	The courts don't care what you call
19	them, because they don't think you have the authority
20	to promulgate them in the first place. But if you're
21	going to continue to do that on behalf of those
22	limited number of tribes who are in need of those MICS
23	due to obligations in their compacts, then the rest of
24	us shouldn't have to pay for them, period.
25	So if those tribes and the NIGC, you

know, I think that's something Spokane could probably, 1 you know, understand and accept. It wouldn't be their 2 first preference on this issue, but it would certainly 3 be, you know, a strong preference to kind of soak in 4 5 the rest of the tribes with that expense of the NIGC 6 having to promulgate those and update them. 7 It should be a, you know, pay to play kind of thing for those tribes who are committed. 8 So 9 I think that wraps up our comments on that issue. 10 Thank you. 11 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, Scott. 12 Yes, sir. 13 MR. HARRIS: Robert Harris, eastern 14 This particular area is a primary concern Shoshone. 15 to Eastern Shoshone. The Eastern Shoshone tribe 16 recognize that the internal control standards are 17 extremely important to the Indian gaming industry and more particularly to our tribal gaming due to its 18 19 intent to provide the protection of tribal assets as 20 well as providing for the integrity of the tribe's 21 gaming operations. 2.2 In addition, the Eastern Shoshone 23 recognizes the need for consistency in the auditing process of internal control standards in the Indian 24 25 gaming operation.

1	Experience has shown that many tribes
2	that offer Class III gaming have used the NIGC's
3	bulletins as clear direction to follow certain
4	guidelines and have used said bulletins as primary
5	guidelines for purposes of regulating Indian gaming.
6	Eastern Shoshone believes that tribes
7	that offer gaming are just as technically
8	knowledgeable and professional as most non-Indian
9	gaming commercial entities and are aware that if they
10	did not develop and institute their own tribal
11	internal control standards that they would in effect
12	be opening itself up to illegal activity.
13	Eastern Shoshone looks to industry
14	standards in the gaming area and if is it does not
15	have the expertise or technical ability in certain
16	gaming areas, it retains the expertise to carry out
17	what is needed to protect its gaming operation for the
18	benefit of its tribal members.
19	It is our tribe's belief that it
20	performs the due diligence required in relation to
21	following industry standards, whether or not a
22	regulation requires it. Should part 542 Class III
23	MICS be eliminated and replaced with guidelines, it is
24	Eastern Shoshone's opinion that the tribes will
25	continue to institute industry standard internal

1 controls in the area of Class III.

2 As to those tribes who have incorporated 542 Class III MICS in the ordinance or it 3 is a part of their compact, there may be resulting 4 5 challenges, but we believe that most tribes have appropriate internal controls in place and that the 6 7 internal control guidelines would be used to supplant what is in previously adopted ordinances. 8 9 In summary, Eastern Shoshone would 10 recommend that said internal control standards be 11 issued as guidelines and that they would be provided 12 to the tribes in a bulletin format and be updated on a 13 regular basis as technology changes. Technology is change so quickly that a government notice and 14 15 rule-making process is not only too slow to keep up 16 with the said changes, but may be prove to be more 17 costly in the long run. Possibly internal control standards could be addressed in recommended changes to 18 19 tribal ordinances. 20 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, sir. 21 Feel free, if you'd like, if you want to give us a 2.2 copy of your statement so that we are certain that we 23 are accurate, that would be helpful. 24 We're up for break right now. Any other comments? We'll take one more right back here. 25

Come right up. Have a seat. After this comment we'll
 go ahead and take a break.

3 Thank you very much. MR. MILHULLEN: Elliott Milhullen. I'm here on behalf of Seminole 4 5 tribe of Florida. I have one, I'd like to echo the comments of Mr. Wheat applauding the NIGC for the 6 7 revisions it's made to the facility licensing regulations. Those are regulations which the tribe 8 9 also, like many across the country, adamantly opposed for their unnecessary intrusion into areas which are 10 11 not within the NIGC's purview.

12 We do have one question regarding a 13 new section that has been proposed through these 14 regulations, and the tribe has already submitted comments on this regard and we wondered if we might 15 16 perhaps get some feedback from the NIGC. 559.2 B 17 suggests that there is a process that the NIGC will 18 follow in verifying any land status, as well as a 19 procedure in which once the status is verified the 20 chair shall notify the tribe.

The concern is that this provision could be interpreted as requiring an Indian land status determination or that there's a process for making such a determination prior to some sort of approval of the facility license. The IGRA of

coursing recognizes the role of tribes as the primary
 regulators of Indian gaming and recognizes that tribes
 are the ones with the authority to issue the licenses
 and does not provide a mechanism for NIGC to approve
 of them.

6 So we wondered if we might get a 7 little bit of clarification as to the intent of this 8 provision and hopefully confirmation of the 9 interpretation or the potential interpretation I just 10 mentioned is incorrect.

11 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I'm going to look 12 at Lael or Jo-Ann. I think primarily, you know, one 13 of our responsibilities under the act is to ensure 14 that gaming is taking place on eligible Indian land 15 and ensuring that that happens preferably before a 16 facility opens, because that causes all sorts of 17 problems, and we've seen in the not too distant past 18 in verifying that the land is in fact eligible for 19 gaming.

20 MR. MILHULLEN: Let me just add one 21 more point, which is that of course the NIGC has the 22 discretion to make that determination on a 23 case-by-case basis, but our concern is that this new 24 regulation might suggest that you are somehow tying 25 your hands and requiring yourselves to do that in

1 every case.

2	MS. SHYLOSKI: Well, we certainly
3	appreciate your comments and the commission is well
4	aware of the Ninth Circuit's ruling in the North
5	County case, which held that general ordinances that
6	aren't site specific do not require Indian land's
7	determination. So again, thank you for your comments.
8	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Lael.
9	MS. ECHO-HAWK: One of the comments I
10	received very extensively when we put out the Notice
11	of Inquiry, and we've seen even now, is that tribes
12	were concerned about the 120 days. In the current reg
13	there's 120 day requirement or the tribe has to send
14	in their facility license to the NIGC within 120 days.
15	Now, there's no nothing sort of
16	following that, no action indicating the reg that the
17	chair needs to take, but the 120 days was concerning
18	to tribes. So what that section attempted to do was
19	to tell the NIGC to move it along. If you're
20	conducting these reviews to go ahead and hurry that
21	up. We included a provision for expediting that.
22	We may have framed it incorrectly and
23	so if you have if you have some suggestions on
24	language, we'd like to hear that. But that was the
25	intent of that particular section and we do hear your

concerns and appreciate the commenting. If you have 1 observations or suggestions on how to work that issue 2 out, then we'd like to hear it. 3 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: So we've been in 4 5 here for about an hour and 15 minutes. Let's take a 15 minute break, get up and stretch, return those 6 7 phone calls. We'll be back in 15 minutes. Thank you. 8 (Recess taken.) 9 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Let's open the 10 floor back up for any questions or comments. Yes, 11 sir. 12 MR. HARRIS: I'd like to make a 13 comment on facility license, commissions and renewals. 14 The revised language of the facility license regulation provides the tribal gaming commissions and 15 16 regulatory agencies the ability to exercise their 17 front line regulatory responsibilities in regard to facility licensing and that we believe was the 18 19 original intent of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act at 20 25 USC 2701(5), which states, Indian tribes have the 21 exclusive right to regulate gaming activity on Indian

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

lands if the gaming activity is not specifically

public policy, prohibits such gaming activity.

prohibited by federal law and is conducted within a

state which does not, as a matter of criminal law and

2.2

23

24

25

1 Thank you for this opportunity. Ιf 2 you have questions please contact the Eastern Shoshone Gaming Commission. 3 4 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, sir. 5 Any other questions or comments about fees, facility 6 licensing, buy Indian? What we might do, which we've 7 had some relative success with, is do you have a copy of this, any of the drafts that we can put up and we 8 9 can start asking specific questions? I know everyone 10 has a copy, but it's helpful to have it up here so 11 that we're talking about a section. 12 So some general questions that we do 13 have. We're not hearing much back about changing it 14 back to quarterly payments. That's just something 15 that we've heard tribes say that that's been helpful 16 to them to have it quarterly, as they pay a number of 17 things quarterly. We have a variety of tribes. 18 Sometimes tribes pay us in advance, you know, once a 19 year in full. 20 We certainly accept those, but, you 21 know, we wanted to put in place what the minimum 2.2 requirement is. And so if you have any comments on 23 going from semi-annual to quarterly, just to give you some background on that, and I know Lael will brief 24 you in the power point, but it's really to help us. 25

It makes more sense for us and we don't really know why we went to semi-annual prior to our arrival, but it has been havoc making at our office and it works better this way.

And also, we want to know and so far we've been getting really good feedback, positive, supportive feedback on going to based on the tribe's fiscal year as opposed to a calendar year. That's where we see the greatest number of fee audits and problems with assessing fees, if your fiscal year is different than calendar year.

12 And really, what this does is helps 13 us. If tribes are turning into us their financially 14 audited statements for their fiscal year, then we can base their fees on that and we don't have to do the 15 16 extra leg work and you don't have to do the extra leg 17 work to calculate a separate calendar year for your 18 fees and that was meant, really, to make it easier for 19 tribes.

20

Yes, sir.

21 MR. OSBORNE: Well, I think you have a 22 preliminary fee and so a lot of the tribes will work 23 that preliminary fee based upon the audit because ours 24 is a fiscal year, but then in the spring they'll have 25 the final fee rate and that final fee rate, you know, could adjust the difference between the preliminary
 and the final.

3 So we end up having problems with overpayment or a credit, and, according to your 4 5 regulations and stuff, that should be applied to your next year's fee, but in our situation it's almost like 6 it starts all over again in your assessment. 7 Preliminary, again, you go back in your final fee. 8 9 It's almost like the previous amounts are not 10 documented and released to us. That last year's fee 11 is overpayment; therefore, we're applying it to your 12 next fiscal year or your next year's fee adjustment. CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, thank you 13 14 for your comment. One thing that's of a concern to me 15 and I've written down and I want to check to see what 16 happened. It is a concern that you're not getting 17 your credit, so we'll be getting ahold of our finance people and asking, really, sort of -- we'll follow up 18 19 with you on that. 20 MR. OSBORNE: It did get resolved, but 21 we still have a lot of paperwork to do. 2.2 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: We'll follow up 23 specifically for your tribe. But one of the things on I think it's line 7 and 8 there, it says number two. 24

25 We moved from making our preliminary fee from February

1 1st to March 1st, so that we have -- we're more 2 accurate when we do the final fee rate later in the 3 year.

We were not getting some statements. 4 5 We didn't have enough time from the time statements were coming in and February 1st to get a good 6 7 understanding of what the fee needed to be, and so we gave ourselves an extra month so that we can be more 8 9 accurate and then we set the fee rate sooner rather 10 than July 1st. June 1st, so that you all have more 11 time and we're clearer.

Like this year, we didn't adjust the fee. Our goal is not to have to adjust the fee when it goes final, because we know how disruptive that is. It's disruptive to us. It's disruptive to you. So if we can get it right in the preliminary, that's what we would prefer.

18 If the numbers come in and we're 19 seeing a decrease in the industry, then we need to 20 know that, but we're implementing, you know, something 21 like this and other things internally that help us be 22 more accurate about predicting the revenue in Indian 23 country.

24 One other portion of this I think we 25 need to talk about --

1	MR. SMALL: Excuse me. I have a
2	question, and maybe it's probably already been
3	answered and discussed prior to, kind of like the one
4	from a while back. But why is it that you're imposing
5	fee from Class III gaming activities, when we really
6	don't have any regulatory over Class III games? I can
7	understand Class II, but why is Class III now being
8	considered or is considered now a fee the tribes could
9	take?
10	It's my understanding that the fees to
11	be paid is to help regulate the activity, gaming
12	activity. So if you don't have any like regulatory
13	over Class III, why are you charging fees for that?
14	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I'm going to look
15	at Jo-Ann on this one. I think there's some statutory
16	language and, if not, there are a number of other
17	things that we do outside of minimum internal control
18	standards enforcement around Class III.
19	MS. SHYLOSKI: Yes. The act gives our
20	agency quite a bit of authority in terms of Class III
21	gaming in terms of approving Class III gaming
22	ordinances, approving Class III management contracts.
23	The chair also has the authority to enforce any of the
24	provisions in the act.
25	So there are quite a bit of other

Page 56 authorities that the chair possesses having to do with 1 Class III that don't have to do with the Colorado 2 River Indian tribe's case, which was focused on 3 minimum internal controls, Class III minimum internal 4 5 control standards. 6 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: And they've 7 always been part of the fee, correct? MR. SMALL: No. When the fees first 8 9 came out, there was no fees concerning Class III 10 originally. Like I said, I don't know when that came 11 into effect. Just maybe out of my own personal 12 knowledge I don't know when that happened and why it 13 happened. 14 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: We can look into 15 it and get back to you. 16 MR. SMALL: I'm sorry. My name is 17 Nathan Small. I'm with the Shoshone-Bannock tribes. 18 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you. 19 MR. SMALL: One more thing, not 20 necessarily to do with the fees. The licensing and 21 facilities. I know there was a lot of issues about 2.2 the off reservation gaming and before that could happen there was the land had to be put into your 23 24 What about fee land within the boundaries of trust. the reservation or in seeded lands that were 25

originally found (inaudible) that are still in fee but 1 2 are under ownership of the tribes? Is that a place that can be licensed as long as it's in the name of 3 the tribes? 4 5 MS. SHYLOSKI: If your question is whether fee lands are within -- that are within a 6 7 current day, present reservation, whether they constitute Indian lands under IGRA, the answer is yes. 8 9 MR. SMALL: What about seeded lands 10 for the tribe that has retaining a lot of rights 11 within the areas that were originally a part of the 12 reservation but due to other mistakes of the United 13 States, you lost that land? Can you still retain a 14 lot of the rights in the area and repurchase land or 15 land was given back to the tribe? I'm wondering the 16 status of that. Could that be a licensed facility if 17 the tribe decides to build there? 18 MS. SHYLOSKI: Are you talking about lands within a current day reservation? 19 20 MR. SMALL: No. Lands that were past 21 but were seeded out. 2.2 MS. SHYLOSKI: That's a more 23 complicated analysis. 24 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: We would probably take that by a case-by-case basis. We do have tribes, 25

1	when they have those kinds of questions, talk to our
2	Office of General Counsel. We do operate under a
3	memorandum of understanding with the Department of
4	Interiors Solicitor's Office to help us with those
5	Indian land determinations for complicated land
6	questions such as this.
7	So if there is a question for your
8	tribe, I think that we would work with you on that
9	separate and apart from our reg review.
10	MR. SMALL: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: The other part, I
12	think, this is the percentage and how much, I think
13	it's on page 5 on fees. Page 5, we're at line 13
14	through 20. As Lael has stated, rather than going
15	straight to a Notice of Violation, which there are a
16	number, especially in 2009, a number of NOVs issued
17	from the NIGC for late fee payments, late fees, we
18	were looking at something, as you see starting at line
19	13, in a 30, 60, 90 day time frame.
20	In the blanks you see a dollar sign
21	and a percentage. Should we be applying just a hard
22	dollar amount for 30 day, 60 days, 90 days, or a
23	percentage based on what their fee would have been?
24	We are hearing a number of comments back about this.
25	So that it would be elevated and escalate as time goes

1 by.

2	And I will share, in the absence of
3	any comments on this, the feedback we have received
4	and correct me if I'm wrong, Lael most tribes want
5	a percentage, because it seems like it would be fair,
6	based on the size of their operation. You know, a
7	flat fee might be quite a bit for one very small
8	operation and really nothing for a very large
9	operation and percentage base would more accurately
10	and fairly assess a late fee based on how big or small
11	their operation is.
12	Are there other parts of the fee reg
13	that we were looking for some answers? Okay.
14	MR. ARMSTRONG: For the record, James
15	Armstrong, Snoqualmie Tribal Gaming Commission. I'm
16	looking at section or on page 1, it says line
17	number three, we're establishing the amount of the
18	annual fees and could you please define the operations
19	audited financial statements? More of a clarification
20	and not a question. Is that the one that's due 1st of
21	May?
22	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes. Whenever,
23	yeah, and then it would be based on wouldn't it be
24	based on the fiscal year instead?
25	MR. ARMSTRONG: So up on line 7 and 8

1	is where you made the adjustments, so you have a
2	chance to review the audited financial statements
3	before making a determination on the amount of fees?
4	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes.
5	MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you.
6	MR. OSBORNE: Madam Chair, could I get
7	a clarification? Do you want the accounting
8	(inaudible) that are regulatory and try to stay within
9	the acts or do you want to see some different ideas
10	and methods that would be more comfortable? Because,
11	you know, I'm hearing two things. I can get back with
12	my staff and we can do a lot of accounting details
13	into what, you know, these things mean to us, but then
14	I'm hearing also we've got to comply with this act and
15	it's more favorable toward the act. So can I hear
16	something to make that more clear to me?
17	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, I think
18	that's the desire of this and really are mandated to
19	stay within the bounds of the act and so we do use
20	that as a guide. If there are some ideas that you
21	have that help us do that or, you know, what we're
22	proposing is not going to be helpful to you, we'd like
23	to know.
24	On the fees in particular, we find
25	that a number of tribes, the regulators go to their

1	finance department or their comptroller or their CFO
2	and say what would this mean for us. So far what
3	we're hearing is this is actually going to be helpful
4	and makes it easier for tribes to assess their fees,
5	turn their fees in, and know with some, you know,
6	certainty or relevant certainty.
7	When the preliminary rate is set,
8	we're going to be more accurate, which helps them plan
9	and budget. So I would certainly encourage folks to
10	talk to their finance people who pay your fees for you
11	to see if this works for them, or any suggestions if
12	it doesn't or if we're missing something.
13	If there's no more on fees. One thing
14	that we haven't talked about yet, and I want to give
15	oh, yes.
16	MR. ARMSTRONG: Again, in this draft
17	here, we'll go back to your line items on page 5, 13,
18	15 and 17 and 19, where you have the percentile in
19	there. So when you write this up, you will have an
20	established percentile in here or will it vary
21	throughout?
22	I mean, I understand the reason behind
23	the smaller establishment and a larger establishment,
24	so that allows you to fluctuate that percentage. I
25	understand that, but I believe when (inaudible) and

1 understanding of the rules and so what I'm thinking is
2 that based on knowledge that this percentage should
3 remain the same for everybody throughout.

CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I think that's 4 5 what we intended and we probably should have clarified 6 that. If we put a percentage in there, it's a 7 percentage for everybody, regardless of how much their fees are, how big, because then it becomes fairer. 8 So 9 if you're a tribe that only pays \$61 a year in fees, 10 the percentage is applied to that. If you're a tribe 11 that pays hundreds of thousands, it's applied. It's 12 across the board.

As with other areas of all of our lives, late payments are discouraged, and the later you are the more penalty there is and so that's what we're looking at. So we should have been clear that, yes, we would just set a percentage if a percentage is the way that we go and it applies to everybody across the board.

So if there are no more comments on fees, one thing we haven't really talked about yet is the buy Indian act. I haven't heard any comments on that. We don't have a draft for that. It's not an existing regulation. It's not an existing policy and I want to clarify right off the bat that this is

1 intended to impose the purchase of goods and services 2 from Indian-owned businesses on the NIGC when we do 3 procurement, when we buy supplies, when we travel, on 4 us.

5 We're not trying to impose this on I want to make that clear on the outset. 6 tribes. 7 We've had some folks interpret this discussion to mean that we're trying to impose it on tribes. It's not at 8 9 all what we're trying to do. Because we only serve 10 Indian country, we're funded by Indian country, as is 11 the case with BIA and IHS, we should be making an 12 effort to purchase our goods from Indian country, 13 whether that's conference room space, whether that's, 14 you know, any services we might need to move our 15 office, you know, whatever services we might need to 16 procure, the NIGC should endeavor to buy Indian.

17 Now, we hear different things about how to address this. I think we have a mixed bag of 18 19 doing a reg, doing an internal policy. The thing 20 about the buy Indian is that the way that it's 21 written, you know, I would have to have the secretary 2.2 grant me the authority to institute buy Indian and that would -- you know, I haven't really looked to see 23 24 what kind of effort that would take in order to institute buy Indian. 25

1	We've looked at the BIA regulations.
2	We looked at the IHS regulations. We actually worked
3	through the Department of Interior, because we are
4	within the Department of Interior. So we use the
5	national business center's procurement operations when
6	we procure goods and services and they are already
7	schooled in applying the buy Indian act for the BIA.
8	So we would welcome thoughts from you
9	on whether we should do this and if there's a
10	preference. There's also the supporting language
11	under IGRA that gives the authority to procure goods
12	to the commission and to the chair. That would also
13	allow us to do that. So we'd like to hear any
14	comments on that.
15	MR. OSBORNE: Madam Chair, does that
16	mean developing a procurement method, limitations on
17	how much you want businesses to get more active and
18	involved? We have a vendor licensing that we've
19	established that could play a part, but, you know, I
20	guess it's discretionary. If you want to dig in
21	deeper to buy Indian act and our Indian preference
22	issue, that the BIA or IHS, I mean, they get pretty
23	off the wall.
24	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: We would have to
25	have a procurement process. We have one right now

1	that exists under the Department of Interior and we're
2	bound by those federal processes now. They're not
3	necessarily the processes that they use for the buy
4	Indian. So certainly we would need that and there
5	would probably be thresholds that would have to be
6	written into, you know, a reg or a policy.
7	Do any tribes have entities,
8	Indian-owned entities? Have you had any experiences
9	with federal agencies on procuring Indian?
10	MR. OSBORNE: The Tribal Employment
11	Rights program, TERO, has a lot of usually have a
12	list of the Indian-owned businesses. For us to
13	establish a gaming license and come on a premise,
14	things of that nature, we'd almost have to have, you
15	know, a fairly good background or even a policy that
16	we have to abide by and make sure that whatever they
17	procure in general with the licensing to come on the
18	premises, but there is a lot of practices done with
19	TERO, that kind of comply and meet IHS and BIA
20	standards.
21	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you. Any
22	other thoughts on this? Maybe if we want to just
23	do you want to talk about any specific questions on
24	facility licensing? We've heard some comments. I
25	might, if I may, have the other commissioners, because

I think you may all be getting tired of me and I'm starting to lose my voice, maybe prompt some questions under facility licensing questions that we need to examine.

5 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Well, I know that there were some comments earlier favoring the proposed 6 7 revisions that are included in this draft, and I quess we can pick up from there. This isn't an absolute 8 9 attempt to what the Chairwoman has talked about over 10 and over again, which is to make sure that this agency 11 is operating within the purviews of what we're allowed 12 us to do.

One of the things that we attempted to do in this is to recognize the inherent right of tribes to license their facilities and to bring those down to a manageable system that will take out the duplicity and also keep us within our lane in terms of IGRA.

In 559.2, we did reduce the amount of days from 120 to 60 days notice before opening the facility. And again, that was an attempt to recognize that tribes needed that time, that additional time to get notice to us. And part of the overall objective of the section, as you know, is who to provide information to the commission which will help us

1 verify the land status.

2	I know that Scott had some comments
3	about the revisions that we made in subsection B of
4	this and I'm going to open it up, because if there are
5	follow-up thoughts to that or if we have similar
6	comments or differing views, we'd like to hear from
7	you on how this is worded and if you have ideas that
8	you want to offer on revisions to it.
9	MS. VYVYAN: Dawn Vyvyan on behalf of
10	the Yakama Nation. In reference to 559.2, sub B, one
11	of the questions I have about the first sentence that
12	qualifies the expedited process for verifying Indian
13	land status, you have the words circumstance permits,
14	and I think the sentence may be a little awkward. I
15	had to read it a few times to see what circumstance
16	permits was qualifying, but it looks like whether or
17	not you can meet that expedited process. Is that
18	correct?
19	VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Correct.
20	MS. VYVYAN: Then if you could spell
21	out what those circumstances might be so that we can
22	get better clarification about what that what may
23	occur where you would not be able to meet that 60 day
24	verification process. So in other words, what does
25	circumstance permits mean?

1 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: That's a good 2 observation. Thank you. MS. ECHO-HAWK: 3 The intent of that was, well, like we mentioned earlier, that the intent 4 5 of this section was to basically put some time frames on the agency to respond to tribes when they send in 6 7 the facility license. Sort of what has happened is that when a facility license has come in, the agencies 8 use that as the trigger to start looking at the land 9 10 status and making a determination. 11 Now, as Jo-Ann mentioned earlier, 12 that's not a requirement, and so I think what Elliott 13 was referring to also earlier was that there is no 14 such requirement, that are we creating a new 15 requirement and thus a new process. 16 The phrase when circumstance permits 17 was intended to mean, you know, if someone is building 18 a facility or putting a facility in the middle of a 19 reservation where it's very clear what the status of 20 that land is, then under those circumstances the chair 21 would respond more quickly. If there was additional 2.2 time that was needed to look at the status of the 23 land, which, you know, we see more frequently now, 24 then the chair could elect that one-time extension so that it would still be the 120 day time frame. 25

1	But if we phrased this incorrectly or
2	this isn't what you know, if this is not a process
3	that we should be doing, then maybe we clarify that.
4	I also think you raise a good point and one that we've
5	been talking about internally, is that what happens if
6	the chair doesn't respond in this scenario and are
7	there you know, so the chair doesn't respond. What
8	next? And this reg doesn't say what next.
9	MS. VYVYAN: The second thing that
10	we'd like some possible amendments for is when the
11	chair does elect the one-time extension of an
12	additional 60 days that there be a notification and
13	consultation process given to the tribe so that the
14	tribe can assist in expediting that process, because
15	holding up a facility opening for another two months
16	could prove to be an economic hardship on the tribe.
17	So one of the recommendations, I
18	guess, would be that before the chair does elect to
19	postpone for another 60 day extension, that they first
20	consult and notify the tribe so that any clarification
21	could get worked out about, you know, in terms of
22	verification of the land status.
23	VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Thank you.
24	MS. VYVYAN: And then I'd like to also
25	comment on behalf of Yakama Nation in terms of you

Page 70 were talking about it earlier, the buying Indian, that 1 that be at the discretion and decision on the tribe 2 3 and that may not be necessary to address that in the regs. 4 5 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Are you clear 6 that -- I'm sorry. 7 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: I was going to ask the same question. The buy Indian act wouldn't 8 9 apply to tribes. It would only apply to the agency 10 and how we purchase goods and services. 11 MS. VYVYAN: No, that wasn't clear. 12 Thanks for clarifying that. 13 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Absolutely. 14 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I have a 15 question. Are there some tribes here that do seasonal 16 closures? 17 MR. OSBORNE: Madam Chair, it's sort of related to the facilities. (Inaudible) our concern 18 19 to talk about among our commission, and that is when 20 you license a facility, really what it's boiling down 21 to is the jurisdiction of a person's responsibility, 2.2 mainly the tribe's. 23 You know, we read a lot and we hear a lot and we see a lot of articles being printed where 24 many tribes are trying to get land and build casinos 25

or things of that nature, but they don't have a land 1 2 base structure or the jurisdiction, the law enforcement, all the things that are required for 3 facility licensing. 4 5 (Inaudible) our reservation for 6 emergency operations, all these things that are 7 required in this 552, but when you start dealing with things off the reservation, our concern is the 8 9 lawsuits that may end up out there, which ends up in a 10 Supreme Court issue, which affects us. We just feel 11 that we're very uncomfortable about initiating and 12 supporting such an effort if it's going to come back 13 on us. 14 That's one of our major concerns and 15 that's why we feel that needs to be looked at very 16 carefully. 17 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Thank you. As was discussed earlier as well, we have also 18 19 eliminated, or proposed eliminating, I should say, 20 559.3, which deals with the renewal, the three year 21 renewal provisions. And again, it's an attempt to 2.2 allow tribes to renew their licenses or take actions 23 on their licenses as they deem appropriate. 24 The chairwoman also talked earlier about seasonal closures or temporary closures and that 25

has spurred a lot of discussion in other areas of the
 country. I don't know if anybody present had any
 additional thoughts on that.

MR. SMALL: Nathan Small with Fort Hall. If we're going to do some major renovations and there's a closure, does that have to require a relicensing or is that just... If it's been licensed before but we're going to do a major renovation and it's going to be closed for maybe up to a year, is that going to be a requirement to get a relicense?

11 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, that's what 12 this is about. We're asking you. We know there's 13 some seasonal closures. Some facilities close six 14 months out of the year and that a relicense, they 15 would be exempt from this process if we define a 16 certain amount of time, or a remodel or a fire or a 17 roof collapsed because of a tornado, which we've seen, 18 whatever the reason, that doesn't require this 19 licensing issue, like it has to be reissued, because 20 you're going to stay in the same location but you're just going to be closed while you take care of 21 2.2 whatever it is or you just close for the season because it's winter. 23

24You know, is a year too much, is six25months three months too short, you know, do we need to
break it out for seasonal closures as opposed to temporary closures due to other factors. I think we heard somewhere, you know, sort of breaking out what those instances might be, although I'm pretty sure we wouldn't be able to capture everything that could happen.

You know, should those be separated? You know, how long does a remodel take? I mean, what are your thoughts on it? Say, for example, if you were going to remodel your facility, how long is it going to take?

12 MR. SMALL: I would think that it 13 would be incumbent upon your local commissioners to oversee that and if they see a need to include the 14 15 NIGC then I think that it would be incumbent upon them 16 to do that, but I think it should be local, with your 17 local commissioners to focus in those renovations or 18 closures or those kind of things. That would be my 19 thought.

VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Again, this is discussion language that we're offering up on the temporary closures, like the renovation that you're discussing. We're suggesting a duration point. Would you suggest a revision to that which might say -- I don't know. I'm trying to think of how it would

incorporate your thoughts or to take your thoughts to 1 something that would show up in this instance here. 2 3 I apologize. I'm not trying to put you on the spot. I'm just trying to understand so I 4 5 walk away with a very clear picture in my mind of what you're describing. 6 7 I don't know. Maybe, MR. SMALL: again, I would think that maybe it would be the 8 9 responsibility of your own tribal commissioners to 10 make that determination. If they feel it's going to 11 be closed long enough or if it's going to require a 12 total, maybe a total teardown of a place and the 13 rebuilding of it, maybe that's something that you might want to look at, but if it's going to be just a 14 15 temporary closure for the renovation, I would think 16 that, you know, some of those things would probably 17 take more than a year. 18 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: So I'm sorry. 19 Not to belabor the point. I just want to make sure 20 I'm clear that maybe you're suggesting that the 21 language should be changed so that there's deference 2.2 to the tribal the TGRAs to determine what is or what 23 is not a designated, acceptable amount of time for a facility to be closed before it needs to be 24 relicensed? 25

Page 75 1 MR. SMALL: Fair enough. 2 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you. 3 MS. HOUSE: Madam Chairwoman, I was trying to be quiet. 4 5 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: That's all right. 6 MS. HOUSE: With all due respect, my 7 name is Sharon House, and I'm an attorney with the gaming commission for Eastern Shoshone as well as I 8 9 work with the Shoshone-Bannock Tribe. 10 One of the areas that you have that's 11 not right here what we're talking about is the 12 ordinances and that's, I think, what you may want to 13 consider is putting together another bulletin -- my traditional bulletin recommendations -- that 14 identifies what should be in ordinances and one of 15 16 them is is that there should be guidelines and 17 rationale that a regulatory agency, a local regulatory 18 agency needs to identify whenever there's a temporary 19 closure, a seasonal, you know, whatever closure it is, 20 and I think we're missing part of that language in the 21 ordinances themselves, is something that identifies. 2.2 If there's internal issues, that 23 probably would be a good idea to have guidelines and 24 rationale before you would look at it, you know, that it's not somebody that's just trying to take advantage 25

1	of not sending in a time frame. And I know of no
2	tribes that would ever do this, but in order to avoid
3	that, perhaps something in the ordinance and
4	recommendations to that effect that are similar to
5	what is just being discussed. Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you.
7	VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: I'm going to go
8	back just a little bit now to the provisions that we
9	put in the discussion draft, which would take out most
10	of 559.5, which is now renumbered as 4. So page 3,
11	starting on page 3, line 36. This particular
12	provision would eliminate the reporting requirements
13	that currently exist for Environmental Health and
14	Public Safety.
15	Internally, one of the discussions
16	that we've had is if we eliminate these provisions, it
17	will trigger a need for us to look at another CFR,
18	which has to do which is 502.22, which does deal
19	with the construction and maintenance of a gaming
20	facility and it does provide that the operation of the
21	gaming is conducted in a matter which protects the
22	environment and the public health and safety.
23	Has anyone given thought to that, how
24	we might address that particular provision, or would
25	you like to comment on its relationship to that

1 provision?

2	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Just to clarify.
3	Right now we're asking for an attestation from the
4	tribe. In the absence of it being here in this
5	requirement, which is the current reg, we would
6	request that the tribe attest, basically, that those
7	are those, all of those things we took away the
8	words being highlighted right now that there are
9	provisions that the tribe has in place that adequately
10	protects EPHS.
11	We're taking out the requirement and
12	we're putting in an attestation from the tribe saying,
13	yes, we got it covered.
14	MR. ARMSTRONG: Madam Chairman, James
14 15	MR. ARMSTRONG: Madam Chairman, James Armstrong. I believe each tribe individually has all
15	Armstrong. I believe each tribe individually has all
15 16	Armstrong. I believe each tribe individually has all of the questions in hand pertaining to construction,
15 16 17	Armstrong. I believe each tribe individually has all of the questions in hand pertaining to construction, hazardous materials, health factors. I think they
15 16 17 18	Armstrong. I believe each tribe individually has all of the questions in hand pertaining to construction, hazardous materials, health factors. I think they have that all in hand and are required to submit with
15 16 17 18 19	Armstrong. I believe each tribe individually has all of the questions in hand pertaining to construction, hazardous materials, health factors. I think they have that all in hand and are required to submit with other federal agencies that they have met these
15 16 17 18 19 20	Armstrong. I believe each tribe individually has all of the questions in hand pertaining to construction, hazardous materials, health factors. I think they have that all in hand and are required to submit with other federal agencies that they have met these qualifications.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Armstrong. I believe each tribe individually has all of the questions in hand pertaining to construction, hazardous materials, health factors. I think they have that all in hand and are required to submit with other federal agencies that they have met these qualifications. I believe in the previous regulation
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Armstrong. I believe each tribe individually has all of the questions in hand pertaining to construction, hazardous materials, health factors. I think they have that all in hand and are required to submit with other federal agencies that they have met these qualifications. I believe in the previous regulation you had to supply all the documents to NIGC and

1 this. For clarification, then NIGC could go to look 2 and do a review, if need be, to make sure that we meet 3 these standards and the other departments at the 4 federal entities should be able to provide you with 5 that information.

6 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you. 7 MS. BLUELAKE: Yeah, I had a specific comment on the 502.22. My name is Lisa Bluelake, and 8 9 I'm with the Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde. Ι 10 actually did a written comment on this and we 11 mentioned this in our written comment. The 502.22 is 12 actually the definition of this big long phrase of 13 what it means to be conducted in a manner that 14 protects, et cetera, et cetera. That definition as it 15 is currently written includes all of the language that 16 you took out of 559.

Our recommendation was that you just eliminate that definition altogether and let the individual tribes determine what they believe that it means to be conducted in a manner that protects those, all those items.

VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: If there's no more on facility license, we have a few more minutes and one last subject in group one. We have already

had tribes this morning talk about how to handle Class 1 III minimum internal control standards. We'll talk 2 about it more tomorrow, but it is within group one. 3 There's no req up on it. 4 5 We asked the question in NOI, the Notice of Inquiry, and when we put out the Notice of 6 7 Regulatory Review in April we were asking the same questions, because as we said, it affects tribes 8 9 differently. 10 We heard from a couple of tribes on 11 the record today that Class III authority and 12 promulgating regs should not be something that the 13 NIGC should be doing. So that's what we've heard so 14 far this morning and how should we proceed with Class III and any thoughts on the method by which we use to 15 16 do that. That's this, how should we address these? 17 Do we have tribes here that -- I don't 18 think we do -- any tribes that have written Class III 19 authority into their ordinances, enforcement authority 20 to the NIGC for Class III minimum internal control 21 standards? We have about 16 tribes, I think, in 2.2 California that have done so, and most of the tribes we're seeing here today are Washington, Oregon, Idaho. 23 24 Somebody over there is saying they want lunch. That's 25 Spokane.

1	MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, Madam Chairwoman.
2	I think on the Class III MICS we will be looking at a
3	unique situation for each jurisdiction and each tribe.
4	California and Washington have two separate identities
5	when it comes to Class III MICS.
6	So then what I'm looking at here is
7	the consultation process may need to go a little bit
8	more in depth when you're dealing with tribes in
9	certain regions, region one, two, three, four and
10	five, because it'll be a separate process for each
11	region.
12	But what I've seen here, there's a lot
13	of mentions of Class III MICS in every one of these
14	regulations, so then we're going to have to look at
15	the MICS in depth to see what and I'm not getting
16	on you see what authority you have in implementing
17	anything pertaining to Class III.
18	For example, when you do your external
19	audit or the tribe does an external audit there's a
20	requirement in there that says that they must audit to
21	the MICS. So if we take and work on the MICS, the
22	Class III MICS, eliminate them or make adjustment to
23	them, then you're going to have to make an adjustment
24	to the auditing process on the Class III MICS as well
25	for the fact that most tribes in Washington State are

1 dealing with compacts.

2	So I think we might want to look at
3	how we're going to deal with the audit process too at
4	the same time. When we're talking about MICS, we're
5	talking about a consultation authority and when you're
6	looking at the new regime versus the old regime of two
7	consultation process in a written format similar to
8	regulations following the executive order, but what
9	you will do pertaining to the consultation process.
10	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes.
11	MR. GEORGE: Thank you, Tracie.
12	Richard George, Yakama Nation. I just want to make a
13	little statement on the discussion this morning. I
14	think Yakama agrees with the changing of the calendar
15	to the fiscal year.
16	Another statement on your buy Indian.
17	I think that's got to be an internal policy for NIGC.
18	Another question I have is what constitutes a closure
19	of a facility? We did a internal IT. It was supposed
20	to be six hours and it was three days. Does that
21	classify notification to NIGC? I agree with Scott
22	from the Spokane tribe on the MICS issue.
23	I just had a question. Why would a
24	tribe want to go under NIGC for the MICS? You said
25	California did that? What's their reasoning for that?

1	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, I would
2	hate to speak for the tribes in California. I can
3	only tell you what they've told us. I'm going to look
4	around at the staff that's here. It was just, from
5	what I can understand, some issues they had with their
6	state and that their preference was that the NIGC
7	perform the enforcement on MICS and use the NIGC Class
8	III MICS.
9	I would not want to speak for them and
10	why they chose to do that. Does anyone else? That's
11	about what we know. Their preference was the NIGC as
12	opposed to the state.
13	MR. LEWIS: The Class III issue keeps
14	coming up. There's an issue of concern to varying
15	degrees. We've got a group here from the Northwest
16	and the group travels all over and I wonder what the
17	opinions are of people in other geographic areas,
18	different tribes way out, and the last part of it is
19	is maybe getting some thoughts that gets you talking
20	on where your group may be steering this off of the
21	input that you're receiving from all the respected
22	tribes across the US, not just the Northwest.
23	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, we were
24	just talking about that and that maybe we should share
25	not just what's going on in California, because there

are 16 tribes in California with us written into their 1 2 ordinance with enforcement authority, but there are others. And I'll let some folks talk about what we've 3 heard so far. 4 5 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: There are other situations as well where some of the tribes in 6 7 Oklahoma, for example, have made a decision to use our MICS as well. There are secretarial procedure in 8 9 tribes, which, based on their own unique set of 10 circumstances, may be in the jurisdiction of Class 11 TTT. 12 So really, there's not a whole bunch 13 of them, but there are different reasons that the tribes have elected to do it outside of what's often 14 15 talked about, which is California, because you have 16 such a large bulk of them and theirs was a more recent 17 decision in their compacting processes. 18 MS. SHYLOSKI: And in that regard, the Oklahoma tribes, actually it's their compacts that 19 20 include compliance with the NIGC MICS as a 21 requirement. 2.2 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Any other 23 comments on Class III? Is most everybody going to be here tomorrow to talk about the other groups? 24 Because I do want to talk about at some point -- and I know 25

we're getting up to the lunch hour here -- about tribal advisory committees, your experience with them, your thoughts on past.

The only reason we want to talk about what's happened in the past is so that we can inform our future endeavors and how to proceed with MICS generally, two and three, and the technical standards. So we'd like you all to give us some thoughts about that.

10 Tribes have been very vocal about 11 previous tribal advisory committees, how they have 12 functioned and I don't mean just the former commission 13 right before us, but other advisory committees that took place 10, 12 years ago, and what can we learn 14 15 from those, what worked, what didn't work, and how we 16 can, as Mr. Osborne said, bring some closure and 17 finality to MICS so that we have a set of standards 18 that you can use and you can implement and not leave 19 you hanging in uncertainty if they're going to be 20 modified.

So absent any additional comments, let's break for lunch and we'll come back at 1:30 here and we're going to move on to the next section of the agenda. I think that's a part for a lawyer. So if you're a lawyer and you love process, this is your

Page 86 1 AFTERNOON SESSION 2 1:42 P.M. --000--3 4 5 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I'll probably be deferring to Vice Chairwoman Steffani Cochran to run 6 7 group two, and we'll be going through, again, the power point and then we'll open the floor for 8 9 comments. 10 I think right now I do want to offer 11 the opportunity for anybody who has written testimony 12 that wasn't here earlier the opportunity. If you have 13 prepared statements, if you weren't here for the group 14 one discussion, we're opening the floor for comments 15 before we dig into group two. 16 Yes, sir. 17 MR. SMALL: Just for the discussion, I 18 mean, something happened this morning a little bit 19 (inaudible) that there should be a regulation of Class 20 III MICS for all the tribes. If the 16 or 20 tribes 21 who have the MICS in their ordinances want to be 2.2 regulated by the NIGC, then it should be voluntarily 23 and a separate fee should not be assessed for them --24 and a separate fee should be assessed for them and 25 (inaudible), but all the other tribes that don't agree

with that shouldn't have to be assessed those fees or 1 2 be regulated by the NIGC on Class III. Thanks. 3 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Are there any other comments to be made? Please. 4 5 MR. HARRIS: Robert Harris, Eastern Shoshone Tribal Gaming Commission. A recommendation 6 7 is don't draft regulation on the Class III MICS that would apply to all tribes. We, Eastern Shoshone 8 9 Tribal Gaming Commission, agree with the 10 recommendations of the Shoshone and the Chairman 11 Nathan Small, that those tribes who want the NIGC to 12 enforce the Class III MICS, then let them pay for 13 those services so the rest of the tribes are not 14 assessed those fees. 15 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you. Yes. 16 MS. HELM: Linda Helm, Port Gamble 17 S'Klallam, executive director. I would just like to 18 add our comments that we agree with the previous two 19 gentlemen. 20 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you. Any 21 others? Any follow up from this morning, group one, 2.2 or any prepared statements? With that, I'll turn over 23 group two to Vice Chairwoman Steffani Cochran, and I

think we're just going to probably jump into the power

25 point, but...

24

1 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Yeah, let's go 2 ahead and start with Lael, if you would walk us 3 through. Okay. So this section 4 MS. ECHO-HAWK: 5 of the agenda is sort of focused on group two, which includes the list of regulations that you see in front 6 7 of you right now. Included in your packet is a discussion draft regulation for part 573, the 8 9 enforcement regulations. 10 The other parts, which are the 11 regulations which concern proceedings before the 12 commission, we don't have a draft out yet, although we 13 anticipate having one very soon, but for now, the only handouts you have in relation to this is a discussion 14 15 draft on part 573. 16 The discussion draft -- and we've 17 added section numbers in here. The discussion draft does a number of things. One of the comments that 18 19 we've heard from tribes -- we heard this over and over 20 from tribes -- was that compliance should be the goal 21 of the commission and voluntary compliance and using 2.2 technical assistance and training and the other tools 23 that we have at our disposal to make sure that tribes are able to be in compliance and an enforcement action 24 should only be a sort of a last resort or when things 25

1 are not going as they should.

2	So part 573.1 does add voluntary
3	compliance as a goal of the commission. The rest of
4	the new language outlines a preenforcement action
5	process. 573.2 states that provides that a letter
6	of concern and/or a noncompliance notice may be
7	provided to the respondent. Now, this is before a
8	Notice of Violation issues.
9	A letter of concern indicates that
10	there is something that may be a violation. A
11	noncompliance notice would confirm that there actually
12	was something that's out of compliance and that some
13	sort of corrective action needs to be taken. So
14	there's sort of two different instances where or
15	two different situ the letter of concern addresses
16	a situation that could be different than a letter or a
17	noncompliance notice.
18	Neither of these letters or notices
19	are agency action. We included that in that statement
20	in the regulation, and that they may provide a time
21	period for the respondent or the tribe to come into
22	voluntary compliance. If the corrective action isn't
23	taken, then enforcement action may be taken as well.
24	Now, this doesn't constrain, this
25	process doesn't constrain the chair's discretion to

1	issue an NOV at any time, and I think that's important
2	to note because there may be situations that are very
3	serious that are just simply not possible for the
4	commissioner for the chair to issue the letter of
5	concern or noncompliance notice.
6	Those are the primary changes made to
7	that section. The written comment period closes on
8	August 9th. You can find that draft also on our
9	website and it's included in your handouts.
10	The other issue that was included in
11	the notice or in group two, the NOV, proceeding
12	before the commission. There's a lot of what we
13	heard from tribes is that there was a lot of
14	ambiguities. There was not clarity in the process.
15	So there's some issue concerns about due process
16	rights. The rules are sort of in different places but
17	not together.
18	So the Notice of Inquiry asked whether
19	or not we should take a look at these regs and think
20	about revising them. There was some concerns about if
21	we create a more formal process it's going to be more
22	burdensome, more costly, and could delay review of the
23	issue.
24	The other, a couple of the other
25	comments, that there's a presiding official

and then also adding an informal hearing option f ordinance and management contract appeals. The commission is looking at those regs and we're interested in hearing more from you, if you have concerns on where we're at with those, but those the primary comments that we heard. Again, we don't have a draft out but we do anticipate one fairly soon and if you k an eye on the website and our regions are trying	any
4 commission is looking at those regs and we're 5 interested in hearing more from you, if you have 6 concerns on where we're at with those, but those 7 the primary comments that we heard. 8 Again, we don't have a draft out 9 but we do anticipate one fairly soon and if you k	
5 interested in hearing more from you, if you have 6 concerns on where we're at with those, but those 7 the primary comments that we heard. 8 Again, we don't have a draft out 9 but we do anticipate one fairly soon and if you k	
6 concerns on where we're at with those, but those 7 the primary comments that we heard. 8 Again, we don't have a draft out 9 but we do anticipate one fairly soon and if you k	
7 the primary comments that we heard. 8 Again, we don't have a draft out 9 but we do anticipate one fairly soon and if you k	are
Again, we don't have a draft out 9 but we do anticipate one fairly soon and if you k	are
9 but we do anticipate one fairly soon and if you k	
	yet,
10 an eye on the website and our regions are trying	eep
	to
11 notify the tribes here, the gaming operations in	their
12 region, when we do have a new draft put up.	
13 So that's the quick summary of gr	oup
14 two.	
15 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Thank you, L	ael.
16 I think we'll start with part 573. This was an	
17 important discussion that the commission had	
18 internally, and it really has to do with clearly	
19 articulating that we're looking for voluntary	
20 compliance in the industry and we think that that	' S
21 absolutely a possibility, that many of the issues	that
22 come up can be worked through and that tribes ver	У
23 much want to work through them as well.	
24 So the provisions to the 573.1,	
25 outlining that purpose is, I think, a very clear	
25 outlining that purpose is, I think, a very clear	

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

statement of how the commission's commission looks at our role. Is there any comment or any clarity that needs to be added to that purpose or any thoughts you want to add to it? Yes.

5 MS. HELM: Linda Helm, Port Gamble, S'Klallam tribe. In 573.2, line 25 you have, and/or 6 7 noncompliance notice may be provided. I understand from Lael's comments why you have may rather than 8 9 will, but I wonder if you could list out the more serious violations that were talked about so that we 10 understand if it's a lesser violation we would receive 11 12 the letter of concern and it would be nice to know 13 what those serious violations are.

14 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: I like that idea.
15 Thank you. That would add some clarity, which we're
16 absolutely interested in achieving, so we'll take that
17 back and thank you.

18 MS. HELM: Thank you. 19 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Is there any 20 general comments or thoughts also? Yes, please. 21 MR. MATHERLY: Andrew Matherly, 2.2 Spokane tribe. Under 573.2, the question I have is the letter of concern or the noncompliance notice. 23 24 Under C it provides may provide a time period. Ι 25 think that time period needs to be spelled out.

Sometimes these notices when previous have been given 1 to tribal council, but a lot of your tribal council 2 aren't actively involved in the day-to-day regulation. 3 So I think it needs to be given to the 4 5 appropriate tribal official, which most of the time is your gaming commissioner, but that time period be 6 7 spelled out. Just we have several examples from our tribe that were given a Notice of Violation and then 8 9 some of the corrective action was you have 10 days to 10 remedy the issue and if we don't get it and six days 11 will pass, you know, we're kind of put in a bind. 12 So I think that needs to be spelled 13 out, provide a time period, and even though given the 14 option to the chair, I think by spelling out what the 15 time period would be so that we can provide that to 16 the NIGC. 17 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Would it be 18 helpful to also then allow -- because each 19 circumstance can be so unique, the set of facts can be 20 so unique, and a tribe can give 110 percent to try to 21 come back into compliance. Would it be helpful to 2.2 allow also still under extraordinary circumstances 23 some type of escape for the chair to say, here's your 24 deadline, but under these circumstances? 25 MR. MATHERLY: Exactly. Andrew

Matherly, Spokane tribe. An example was several years 1 2 ago NIGC changed the procedure on the submissions of the external audit, but we're bound by an external 3 audit firm and if they don't get the information 4 5 submitted on time the tribe's held liable. 6 That's happened in our case, being 18 7 days late or 16 days late and we're potentially looking at \$25,000 fine per day, you know. Obviously 8 that's out of our hands at that point, but just some 9 10 time frames would be helpful. 11 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Thank you. Yes. 12 MR. SMALL: I agree with that 13 statement about the verifying your gaming commission 14 of any of these proposed violations or letter, because 15 they're the ones that are delegated to oversee all of 16 our gaming and then they in turn will report to the 17 business council, but I believe that's where it should 18 go in the first place. 19 We've had an incidence in the past 20 where we were issued notices and then it was given to 21 the tribal chair and the tribal chair at that time 2.2 didn't take heed to that and as a result we ended up getting Notices of Violation of the NOVs and then of 23 24 course we had to step into high gear and get the local seats taken care of once our commission found out 25

1 about it.

2	So I think it's imperative that these
3	notices go directly to your gaming commissions rather
4	than to the tribe so that they can be addressed
5	quickly and appropriately.
6	VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: We're just
7	sitting here talking that under the service
8	provisions, part 519, we're wondering if we can
9	actually do both, if we can cover both the leadership
10	and the gaming commission, so that everybody gets
11	them.
12	MR. SMALL: That would be fine, as
13	long as the gaming commission was delegated that
14	authority in the first place under your business
15	council.
16	VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I just want to
18	clarify. We do want to make sure we cover all of our
19	bases when we provide service and basically notice to
20	tribes on anything that we're doing. And it varies
21	from tribe to tribe. Some tribes want their council
22	to have it and they respond accordingly. Some want
23	their regulators, either their commission or their
24	agency to have it.
25	So we might just make sure that it

1 goes both places to accommodate the varying roles of 2 tribes across the country. So if we do both, that 3 might cover it for everybody. VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Any other 4 5 thoughts? Glen, you look 6 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: 7 like you're going to say something. MR. GOBIN: Well, Glen Gobin, vice 8 9 chair, Tulalip tribes. Just so we're assured that both the council and the agencies would be notified 10 11 for sure. So we feel strongly that it's the tribe 12 doing the gaming. It's the council leadership that 13 develops the ordinance and submits it. It's the tribe 14 that delegates the authority and it's the tribe that's 15 going to be held responsible. 16 So in the first instance it needs to 17 be the tribe that's notified, but I also recognize the 18 regulators' responsibilities as well and they need to 19 be notified as well. Just so we're assured that both

21 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Thank you. Well,
22 I think the only other thought that I would add to
23 this to share with you as far as the thought process
24 and how we kind of reached the discussion draft that
25 we did was also a commitment by this commission to

would be notified in these cases.

20

1 make sure that we as an agency are obligated to very 2 clearly communicate with the tribes about what the 3 potential issues are, what we are looking for for 4 compliance.

Again, to extend that open transparent process between the tribes and dealing very one on one with the issues and very directly with the tribes. We try to, again, reflect that in here.

9 All right. The next part, part 577 --10 excuse me.

11 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thanks, Steffani, 12 for allowing me to interrupt for a moment. In 13 particular, this particular draft for 573, the comment 14 period closes on August 9th. If you haven't had the 15 opportunity to take a look at this, the section in 16 particular that we want you to take a look at, if you 17 would, is page 1, 573.2.

18 This is a new, as we said, this is a 19 new section that goes through basically a step 20 Instead of going straight to an NOV, there's process. 21 a letter of concern or a noncompliance notice, so the 2.2 tribes have the opportunity to come into compliance. 23 This may be new to everybody today, but when you do take it back, take a look at it and 24 provide some comment to us. Again, this is a 25

discussion draft only. Submit your comments by August
 9th if you could and any suggestions, clarifications
 you might need.

4 MR. MATHERLY: Just one more comment. 5 Andrew Matherly, Spokane tribe. Is there going to be a time frame when a tribe's issued a letter of concern 6 7 for a noncompliance within a rolling calendar year or fiscal year and so if that incidence's been taken care 8 9 of, the next year that same concern or something comes 10 up, it's in a different nature, it's going to go 11 straight to an NOV or can we have a time period where 12 we can wipe those off, a clean slate?

13 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Something like 14 this is a warning and if you behave yourself for six 15 months the warning goes away?

MR. MATHERLY: Yeah.

17 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Or moreover, a 18 closeout of an investigation -- we heard that already 19 -- that says we've been investigating this matter. 20 The matter is now closed. We would probably put language in there that would preserve the right of the 21 2.2 commission, should it get new information or if it 23 continues, that we may reopen the matter. Is that what I'm hearing? 24

MS. ECHO-HAWK: In one of our drafts

16

25

Page 99 it will be talking about there is a provision. 1 It's 2 571, and it's in your packet, and this may help 3 address your concern. 571.4 is an investigation closure letter, and that's on page 2 of your draft, 4 5 line 28. So this may address some of your concerns. 6 And your concern is something that we've heard repeatedly. So I don't know if this 7 addresses what you were talking about. Okay. 8 MR. PHILLIPS: I think we have a 9 10 question down here as well. 11 MR. SPENCER: Warren Spencer, Yakama 12 Nation. Could you elaborate on the legal enforcement 13 action? 14 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: I'm sorry. 15 Where? 16 MR. SPENCER: Section C. 17 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Where are you at in the draft? 18 19 MR. SPENCER: Line 39, 573.2. 20 MS. SHYLOSKI: This provision actually 21 is not agency action, so it wouldn't be considered an 2.2 enforcement action. It is more of a sort of heads-up 23 letter of concern, we want to let you know and 24 possibly talk about the timing of addressing concerns. 25 MS. JACK: Dolcee Jack, Yakama Nation.

1	I think what we're more concerned of is the legal
2	enforcement, that we want an elaboration on. What
3	kind of legal enforcement action would be taken?
4	MS. SHYLOSKI: We have a separate part
5	of our regulations, which is 575, which discusses
6	Notices of Violation that can be issued by the chair.
7	So this is separate and distinct from that.
8	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: These are
9	intended to be the steps prior to issuing a Notice of
10	Violation. We've heard over the past year that we've
11	been in office that there should be some effort made
12	by the commission in a formal way to bring tribes into
13	compliance, they know what the steps are, prior to
14	issuing a substantial violation through a Notice of
15	Violation and that the legal enforcement action is
16	spelled out in 575.
17	Once we do get past this and it
18	doesn't remedy, then we go to the NOV so that the
19	tribe has an opportunity to correct.
20	VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Does that address
21	your question?
22	MS. JACK: Yes. Thank you.
23	VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: All right. Let's
24	turn our attention now to the regulations that are
25	grouped together by proceedings before the commission.

Part 519, we'll start with that one, as it's not real
 hefty. This has to do with service and this is how
 the agency and parties serve official notices and
 orders.

5 It does require a designation of an 6 agent for service and then sets forth the various 7 types of service that can be used. So for the lawyers 8 sitting in the room, I see your ears perk up. The 9 proposed change that we've looked at has to do with 10 including email service as part of an authorized 11 method.

12 Right now it does allow us to hand 13 deliver to designated agents, hand deliver to the 14 person in charge of the gaming operation, mail, and to 15 fax. With the, again, changes in technology, email 16 being one of the ways that the service should be 17 accomplished.

I should preface, again, this discussion with where the commission's thoughts were in looking at this particular group of regulations. We are looking for ways to bring clarity to our regulations where we can. We're also looking for ways to eliminate some duplicative processes that the tribes are experiencing.

25

We also are looking for ways to ease

some of the burdens that are not only on the tribes 1 2 but on our own internal processes to make sure that 3 due process is afforded properly and that's kind of the underlying theme that you'll see throughout all of 4 5 these revisions. So while it's not particularly sexy, 6 7 if you will, to talk about emailing service, again, the attempt here is to get away from more costly 8 9 methods of service, which include the mail and Federal 10 Express and some other things, and to use technology 11 to ease those expenses. As you all know, what we see 12 in our proceedings can be very voluminous. 13 Is there any reason why we shouldn't 14 include email? All right. Good. 15 MS. VYVYAN: Can I ask for 16 clarification? What type of service? I mean, give me 17 some examples of what you're saying. 18 MS. SHYLOSKI: In court practices 19 today, many courts are allowing filing of pleadings 20 electronically and so what we're wanting to hear from 21 you all is what you think about allowing the same 2.2 thing within the NIGC. So once an initial agency 23 action is served in a very traditional way, whether it 24 be mail, and we do it now by fax as well, whether after that initial service, whether you all think it 25

would be okay for the parties to exchange pleadings 1 2 and other filings via email. 3 MS. VYVYAN: I would just comment that as long as there's agreement between the parties that 4 5 email exchange would be okay, but I think there should 6 be agreement up front, because, you know, computers 7 electronically have glitches and you want to make sure that, you know, timelines were kept and met and that 8 9 sort of thing, so... 10 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Do you have the 11 same type of issues coming up on the fax side? 12 Technology and faxes can create problems. 13 MS. VYVYAN: Well, if it's the 14 original pleadings that are being served on someone, I 15 wouldn't. I mean, faxes get shut down at night and on 16 weekends for that very reason, because law firms 17 generally don't want fax services when they're not 18 present in the office. I mean, yeah, I mean, in 19 answer to your question, faxes can be problematic as 20 well. 21 MS. SHYLOSKI: And that's what we've 2.2 heard from tribes, is that when we initiate service of 23 an agency action via fax, that sometimes faxes get 24 lost or they don't get to the right person and so 25 we've received those types of comments.

1	VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Would it be then
2	your recommendation that after the initial pleadings
3	are filed, that it be by agreement between parties as
4	to the types of service that would be accepted?
5	MS. VYVYAN: I think it would be safer
6	to have an agreement between the parties, you know, on
7	how they want to notify each other.
8	VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Thank you.
9	MS. SLAPE: Hi. Debbie Slape,
10	Nisqually tribe. Why not, if people and tribes agree
11	to the email and the fax, that it be followed up with
12	a hard copy? State in the email, blah, blah, blah,
13	hard copy to follow, and then that way you've got both
14	of them covered and hopefully no miscommunications.
15	VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Thank you. The
16	other sections that are included in this overall group
17	include the appeals of ordinances under 524, the
18	appeals of management contracts under 539, and appeals
19	before the commission under 577.
20	The general question that the NOI
21	asked here has to do with how are these existing
22	appellate rules working, because we know on our end
23	the problems that have come up over time. I have no
24	doubt we've heard from the tribes about some of the
25	problems that have presented themselves in the

existing rules. Plus there were a lot of gaps that
 simply didn't give the agency or the tribes guidance
 on where to go in a particular appeal.

The thoughts here are to look towards some type of more comprehensive set of rules or at least something which gives greater detail. Does anybody want to begin? Do they have thoughts on the existing? We can walk through them one by one, or somebody has thoughts in general about any of the processes.

11 You know, I'm an attorney by 12 profession, and I know my fellow attorneys are not by 13 nature quiet. I'm a little surprised. Maybe what we 14 could do is --

15 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I know we do have 16 some summary of the initial comments we received on 17 the Notice of Inquiry. Maybe we could provide just a 18 verbal summary of those.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: So when we sent the comments out, when we sent out the Notice of Inquiry and we asked the question about whether or not we should be, you know, taking a look at these provisions, we heard quite a bit from tribes that there were some due process concerns, that time frames are not, are not clearly spelled out in the -- the

1 time frames aren't clearly spelled out in some of the 2 proceedings.

A number of the comments, in fact, 22 of the comments -- we received 35 comments on this particular group on this particular issue. Four of those -- well, of those, 20 commenters said that we needed to have a more formal procedural -- we needed to have more formal procedural rules for appeals and that those rules need to guarantee due process.

What's interesting here is that we've done a number of sort of final agency actions that all have some sort of level of appeal. So you have your gaming ordinance approval, your management contract approval. You have situations where an enforcement action's been taken and a tribe wants to appeal that.

16 So there's a number of proceedings 17 that could end up on appeal, and when that happens, what's the time frame? How is it going to work? 18 Part 19 577 right now consolidates the hearing before private 20 presiding officials and the sort of proceedings before 21 the commission where there's no hearings but there's 2.2 just written submissions. All of these are in kind of 23 different places.

24 So a couple of the suggestions were 25 that we put all the proceedings, all these appeals

proceedings together so that it's easy to find it.
You have a management contract that's been
disapproved. Where do I go to find the rules for how
to file an appeal of that? So that was the approach
that we took or that we're taking as we look at these,
how can we address the concerns that were given to us
by tribes.

Some of the other concerns that we've 8 9 heard was that there's issues tribes have had with the 10 chairman sitting on the commission during an appeal 11 and, unfortunately, that is, or, I guess, however you 12 look at it, the commission is defined as including the 13 chairperson. So when there are appeals before the 14 commission, the statute requires that the chair be on 15 the appeal as well and that's why we have three 16 commissioners and that's pretty standard for a 17 commission, an administrative commission such as the 18 NIGC. So that was one thing that we heard and we 19 looked into and it's just that statutory definition. 20 That's the bulk of the comments. You 21 know, there are some things that can be clarified, 2.2 definitions. You know, we're looking at sort of what 23 does days mean, you know, all those things that as

24 lawyers you're really interested in but perhaps your

25 clients might not be as thrilled about.

1	VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: The comments
2	also, some of them addressed provisions or the need
3	for provisions to consolidate appeals or to allow
4	intervention of parties in certain types of appeals
5	and right now, again, looking at clarity in our rules,
6	whether or not we can achieve that in these particular
7	provisions as well.
8	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: We should have a
9	draft out next week, I'm thinking, of what our
10	effort to be responsive to comments we've heard, both
11	in the NOI and what we've heard so far on the road and
12	I know speaking about these in the abstract is
13	difficult to make comment. So we'll get that out.
14	Again, I want to emphasize that is a
15	discussion draft. It will not that the intent is
16	to get feedback before we enter into this formal
17	rule-making process, the Notice of Proposed Rule
18	Making, to help inform that draft and try to get it as
19	to the right place out of the gate as we can.
20	So the proceedings before the
21	commissioner should come out next week and we'll be
22	continuing to talk about them. We may or may not see
23	some of you as we go along. We encourage you to write
24	in comments once you see that draft.
25	MR. ARMSTRONG: Before moving on,

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company
1	Madam Chairman, James Armstrong, Suquamish Tribal
2	Gaming Commission. In review of the enforcement
3	section on issue of the notification, notification of
4	violation. I'm looking at the last page. I'm sorry.
5	My document eyes aren't as fast. The last page of the
6	annual fees it says that in section number 12, line
7	25, statements and/or fee payments over 92 calendar
8	days late constitute a failure to pay an annual fee as
9	set forth in NIGC regulation 25 CFR 573.6A2.
10	In accordance with 576.6 of the tribe
11	management contract, the operation failing to pay
12	their annual fee, the chair may issue a Notice of
13	Violation and (inaudible) to a Notice of Violation a
14	temporary closing order.
15	In looking at 573 section .6A2, there
16	is no such number in that regulation. So I don't know
17	if I'm seeing a typo there or if you've eliminated
18	573.6A2.
19	MS. ECHO-HAWK: Yes. Because we are
20	the fee drafts went out first and before we began
21	sort of working on the 573 draft, when we began making
22	these changes, go back through and review the
23	citations, that particular section is probably
24	referenced above and I'm going to I think that it
25	is and now what is now 573.4.

So, yeah, that's an excellent point. 1 2 Some of these cross-references are incorrect, 3 especially when they're referring to another draft. But if you look at the rule, the current rule that's 4 5 on the books and not the discussion draft, then that provision in there, we'll have to adjust that when we 6 7 go forward with the drafting process. 8 MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you. 9 VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Are there any 10 other comments on this group before we move forward? 11 Madam Chairwoman. 12 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you. So 13 let's go on to group four if the group is ready to just keep rolling along here. We're going to talk 14 15 about background investigations, licensing, and other 16 issues under group four. So I'll turn it over to Lael 17 to discuss group four. 18 MS. ECHO-HAWK: Thank you, Tracie. So 19 once again, we do have -- we actually have four drafts 20 in this group and you have them all in your handout. 21 Part 558, part 556 and 558 are the most recent. Thev actually just went out publicly the other day, but 2.2 23 they are in your handouts. Then part 571 you have a 24 handout for that and part 537 as well. 25 So group four was sort of one that had

miscellaneous things. It seemed to be somewhat 1 2 related to background investigations, licensing, management contract, that type of thing. And the 3 first one -- this is actually the most recent 4 5 regulation, but it's sequentially the first to address 6 -- is the pilot program. As most of you know or already participate in, the NIGC has a pilot program 7 that is very, very old. It's probably 10 years old, I 8 9 think, and one of the -- some of the comments that we 10 received during the Notice of Inquiry was that we 11 should consider amending those regulations to 12 formalize the pilot program. 13 Now, what the pilot program does is it allows tribes -- and about over 90 percent of the 14 15 tribes that game today do participate in the program 16 -- it allows tribes to submit a Notice of Results to 17 the NIGC instead of having to send an entire file with all the investigative material and background 18 19 information. A tribe would just send the Notice of 20 Results to the NIGC and then maintain for yourself the 21 application and investigative reports. 2.2 All the commenters that commented on 23 this particular issue support formalizing the program

we've attempted to do in parts 556 and 558.

either into a regulation or a policy. So that's what

24

1	Part 556, part of formalizing this
2	pilot program, what we try to do is separate and draw
3	a line in the regulations between everything, all the
4	procedures that happens before a gaming license is
5	issued and that's included in part 556 and then
6	everything that could occur after the gaming license
7	occurs and we put that into 558 to try to be clear
8	about where we're at in the licensing process.
9	I want to reiterate that this is only
10	for primary management officials and key employees and
11	it's something that I know your TGAs are very familiar
12	with, as are our regions.
13	So briefly, the discussion draft just
14	lays out what we've just tried to do is formalize
15	what the process already is. A tribe that's looking
16	to license a key employee or primary management
17	official must notify the NIGC of the applicant's
18	background results no later than 60 days after the
19	applicant begins work.
20	It also provides one of the things
21	that we've heard from tribes is, hey, look, we've got
22	an individual here who's already worked for a tribe.
23	Just we have all their information. We just want to
24	update it. Is that okay. Can we do that. And so
25	this regulation allows for a tribe that has that

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

information to simply update the materials and provide us with a Notice of Result, so they don't have to go in and repeat what's already been done.

Now, part 558, so after -- this all 4 5 happens before the gaming license is issued. Moving on to 558. After the gaming license has been issued, 6 7 the tribe -- and the tribe has provided the agency with the Notice of Results, the tribe can license a 8 9 key employee or the primary management official. At 10 that point the tribe has to notify the commission that 11 the license has been issued.

12 Now, I guess, these points are a 13 little bit backwards, perhaps, chronologically. Once the NIGC has received the Notice of Results, we have 14 15 30 days to request additional information from a tribe 16 on the licensee. This was an issue that we had, we 17 talked quite a bit about, because sometimes we get Notice of Results that just don't have all the 18 19 information.

So one of our concerns was when does this 30 day period start. So you'll see in the draft that it says upon receipt of a complete Notice of Results, with all the information that the agency needs in order to do a complete review.

25

So once the licensee has been issued a

1	license, the tribe has to notify the commission within
2	30 days and then the NIGC has 30 days to either
3	request additional information from a tribe or to
4	object or to object to an issuance of the license.
5	Now, this gets a little bit tricky,
6	because if the NIGC if the tribe sends the Notice
7	of Results to the agency before they issue a license
8	and the NIGC sends back some notice that there's an
9	objection, then the tribe takes another look at the
10	licensee and then makes a decision about whether or
11	not they want to issue the license.
12	However, if the tribe issues a license
13	to the licensee before the agency has objected or
14	before the 30 day period and the license has been
15	issued and the NIGC then says, hey, we have an
16	objection, the tribe has to suspend the licensee's
17	license, and then that licensee has a right to a
18	hearing. Most of you are familiar with license
19	revocation hearings held by the tribe.
20	Then at that point the tribe decides
21	whether or not that employee can keep their license
22	and then you have to notify the NIGC.
23	One of the things that has sort of
24	changed from the pilot program is that the statute,
25	the IGRA, Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, requires that

there be two -- that the tribe notify the NIGC twice.
You have to send us the Notice of Results and then you
have to send us a notice that you've licensed the
employee.

5 That has been sort of the second step, 6 the notice that the tribe has issued the license, has 7 been sort of overlooked, I think. In some regions 8 it's not been a uniform sort of process and it is 9 something that's mandated by statute and so you will 10 see it in the regulations. It is one thing we wanted 11 to point out to tribes, because it is a bit different.

12 You have to send in the Notice of 13 Results and you also have to send in a notice that the 14 employee -- the license has been issued to the 15 licensee.

16 We're thinking of ways using 17 electronic, mail or email and other ways to make that 18 not a big process. It goes to the region. Your 19 licensing people, I'm sure, are very familiar with 20 working with the regions and the licensing process, but we're trying to think of ways that we can do it so 21 2.2 it's very manageable and it's not an extra burden. 23 But again, it's required by statute, so we needed to put it in the regulation. 24

25

The discussion draft also says that

1	there may be electronic submissions can be if you
2	work with your region. We need to figure out our own
3	capabilities at the agency and make sure that it's
4	something that can be done easily.
5	And then in the future, ordinances,
6	any ordinance that you submit to amend, that ordinance
7	needs to address this issue, but today, if we were to
8	adopt this today, then as long as you have a gaming
9	ordinance, you don't need to make an amendment
10	immediately just to comply with this part, just only
11	in the future as you amend your ordinance.
12	So that's the pilot program. The
13	comment period closes on August 10th and that is on
14	the top of the draft. The draft is also available
15	online.
16	Group four, we also asked and received
17	some inquiry whether or not the NIGC should allow
18	access to fingerprint processing for any employee
19	designated by the tribe, and comments support this and
20	it's something that we're looking into, but because we
21	work with the FBI on that, we're talking with them,
22	but so far we haven't heard any objections from tribes
23	on that issue.
24	Part 537, this is a draft. You have
25	this in your handout. The Notice of Inquiry asked

1	whether or not we should clarify that management
2	contractors of Class II and Class III facilities must
3	have a completed background information. Most people,
4	most commenters supported this clarification. It's
5	not changing anything, but it's just formalizing it in
6	the regulation, that if you're a management contractor
7	with Class II and a Class III, you still need to have
8	a completed background investigation. Yes.
9	MR. OSBORNE: I have a question about
10	the backgrounds and the timing of it. Of course we
11	have to deal with other outside agencies, tribal
12	court, different human resource levels. What happens
13	when we don't get the information back in time?
14	MS. ECHO-HAWK: Don't get the
15	information from who?
16	MR. OSBORNE: Tribal courts that do
17	background checks on tribal members.
18	MS. ECHO-HAWK: We don't have a time
19	frame on that. I mean, if you're looking to
20	background a key employee or a primary management
21	official, you have to follow the process included in
22	your ordinance for licensing employees and then you
23	just provide us that information and then the NIGC has
24	a 30 day statutory time frame to respond to you.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

Page 118 we allow a new employee to continue on until we get 1 some kind of notice? 2 3 MS. ECHO-HAWK: I know some ordinances do provide --4 5 MR. OSBORNE: We don't do that. We don't allow them to go in until everything's 6 7 satisfied. Looks like it changed a little bit. MS. SHYLOSKI: Our current regulations 8 9 mandate that someone cannot work beyond 90 days 10 without having a license. 11 MS. ECHO-HAWK: Some tribes do have a 12 process for a temporary license and it's usually that 13 90 day period, because obviously if it's a key 14 employee or a primary management official, they want 15 to get that person in to work, but there is only a 90 16 day window, like Jo-Ann just pointed out, and we 17 haven't changed that. 18 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: More specifically, I think it varies from tribe to tribe on 19 20 whether they're going to issue a temporary license. 21 We know of some tribes -- and correct me if I'm wrong 2.2 -- who don't let that person go to work until at least the 30 days or objection pass. We've heard that. 23 24 That's completely up to the tribe whether they want to do that or not. I understand 25

there are reasons why tribes would do that, because 1 2 once you issued them a license, they are now in your licensing process, their due process rights and 3 licensing of your ordinance. 4 5 If you don't put them to work, then 6 those don't exist, and so if you have to pull them 7 back from application as opposed to pull them back from a licensing situation, those may have two 8 9 different effects on the tribe based on how they process their licenses. So some tribes will put them 10 11 to work temporarily, some tribes don't. So that's 12 really all up to how, I think, the tribe is organized, 13 isn't it, in their ordinance? 14 MS. ECHO-HAWK: Yes. 15 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Except if you 16 want to put them to work on a temporary basis. It's 17 just with the understanding that there may be an 18 objection from us. 19 MR. OSBORNE: We don't allow anybody 20 on a temporary basis. 21 MS. ECHO-HAWK: So moving back on to 2.2 537. This is the background investigations for a management contractor of a Class II and Class III 23 24 facility. It's a clarification point. It's included in the discussion draft under 537. 25

1	We did receive some other comments
2 a	about background investigations for approval
3 m	management contracts asking how the process can be
4 s	streamlined, and if you look on the draft on page
5 i	it's the third page, part 537.14 or 1D, there is a
б з	section there that says that the chair can exercise
7 d	discretion and reduce the background investigation to
8 b	pe conducted if it's for a tribe or a wholly-owned
9 t	tribal entity, a national bank or institutional
10 i	investor that's already federal regulated.
11	So it does streamline the process for
12 s	some entities. If you have questions or if you have
13 c	comments on that, we'd like to hear if that's an
14 a	appropriate way to handle this or if something else
15 n	needs to be considered. That's the language there.
16	Written commont nerried on this
	Written comment period on this
17 d	discussion draft closes an August 9th, and you can
18 s	discussion draft closes an August 9th, and you can
18 s 19 a	discussion draft closes an August 9th, and you can send all of these comments into reg.review@NIGC.gov,
18 s 19 a 20 r	discussion draft closes an August 9th, and you can send all of these comments into reg.review@NIGC.gov, and that will be that's on the last slide too, but
18 s 19 a 20 r 21 i	discussion draft closes an August 9th, and you can send all of these comments into reg.review@NIGC.gov, and that will be that's on the last slide too, but reg.review@NIGC.gov. Any comments that are sent in,
18 s 19 a 20 r 21 i	discussion draft closes an August 9th, and you can send all of these comments into reg.review@NIGC.gov, and that will be that's on the last slide too, but reg.review@NIGC.gov. Any comments that are sent in, if you are interested in seeing what other people have
18 s 19 a 20 r 21 i 22 t 23	discussion draft closes an August 9th, and you can send all of these comments into reg.review@NIGC.gov, and that will be that's on the last slide too, but reg.review@NIGC.gov. Any comments that are sent in, if you are interested in seeing what other people have to say, are also posted on the website.

1	require submission and approval of collateral
2	agreements. The majority of comments supported
3	requiring submission of collateral agreements with
4	management contracts when they're submitted for
5	review, but there was a lot of disagreement in the
6	commenters regarding whether or not collateral
7	agreements should be approved, should be part of the
8	management contract approval process.
9	Comments said that requiring the
10	approval by the NIGC of collateral agreements could
11	discourage private investment. Additionally,
12	commenters said that the NIGC doesn't have the
13	authority to approve collateral agreements, that this
14	would be secondguessing business decisions, and that
15	it should be left to the discretion of the tribe.
16	On the other hand, we had commenters
17	that said that the trust's responsibility requires
18	review and approval of these other agreements and that
19	this approval would protect tribe's sole proprietary
20	interest and that it could discourage a business or
21	even management contractors or whoever from attempting
22	to take advantage of the tribe and that these
23	approvals then reduce risks to both parties.
24	We don't have a draft on this and
25	we're still taking comments and considering carefully

about whether or not this is a way for the commission
 to go and if it's an appropriate exercise of their
 authority.

Part 571. You have a draft of this in 4 5 your packet. This asks whether to clarify the NIGC's access to books, papers and records included at sites 6 7 and maintained by third parties. We had a lot of comments, some saying that revisions were unnecessary, 8 9 some saying you already have the authority, but if you 10 think -- you have subpoena authority, but if you think 11 you need to clarify the regulation, then go ahead.

12 One commenter said that if you just 13 require tribes to maintain all records onsite that 14 would eliminate this issue. Another commenter said 15 that the regulation should be revised to clarify the 16 NIGC does not have access to Class III records.

17 So you'll see in our draft, discussion draft, first of all, we did include, as was referenced 18 19 earlier, an investigation closure letter proceeding. 20 So when the NIGC's concluded its investigation and 21 decided it's not going to begin an enforcement action, 2.2 the commission can issue a letter telling the parties that the investigation has been concluded. 23 24 We clarify that that notification

25 would not be a finding that there was no violation,

but that -- and that that notification doesn't preclude further action by the NIGC. It's just simply a letter saying that we concluded that investigation and we're not beginning an enforcement action at this time.

It was an issue that's been brought up 6 7 from a number of consultations. Tribes have been concerned about an investigation that might have 8 9 happened a year or two ago and there was no further 10 follow-up and so this would provide the NIGC the 11 ability to provide that follow-up and maybe some 12 clarity to tribes that they're not under investigation anymore. 13

14 The draft also clarifies in 571.5 that 15 the commission may access those records, books, 16 papers, held or maintained by a person other than a 17 gaming operation. That's something that we've heard 18 comments on that the NIGC already has the subpoena 19 authority to do that, but considering -- we include it 20 in the draft because there is a number of comments 21 that say, well, perhaps it's a point of clarification. 2.2 Written comments on that also close on August 9th. 23 Sort of the last thing that we --24 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I think we have a 25 comment here.

1	MS. HOUSE: Sharon House for Eastern
2	Shoshone. I have a question. If you could take a
3	look at 571.4, investigation closure letter. When I
4	first read that term closure, it was almost talking
5	about investigation closure letter. My first thought
6	was that you were talking about an investigation on
7	closing the facility and I guess the recommendation
8	would be to just clarify that, use termination or
9	conclusion, I'd recommend.
10	And then the other section that I had
11	a concern about is where it says entry of premises,
12	inspection of books and records right under that. A,
13	the commission's authorized representative may enter
14	the premises of an Indian gaming operation or any
15	other person. You ain't entering any other no.
16	I'm sorry. With all due respect, you're not entering.
17	No.
18	Then it says, well, go back up and see
19	what the definition is, and it says, person means an
20	individual, Indian tribe, corporation, partnership or
21	other organization or entity, and ordinarily that's
22	appropriate, but down here it doesn't sound real good,
23	I guess. It doesn't look good and it probably just
24	needs clarification. I know Lael probably put that in
25	there. I'm just kidding. Your legal term.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

Page 125 MS. ECHO-HAWK: It was Larry. Yeah, Larry from Oneida. CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: We can always thank Sharon for lightning up a heavy afternoon. But thank you for pointing that out. MS. HOUSE: And then I wanted to point out. 571.6, B, where it's real clear right there, B, or other facility. Entering of gaming operation or other facility, that's real clear as opposed to the person that you're entering. I don't know. VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Sharon, we had a very similar discussion internally about the terminology. Not quite as humorous, but we... So we had the same concern MR. GOBIN: there on that. It was confusing as to what it meant. CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: T think the intent here was to clarify that there are records that are not kept at the facility, maybe at a management contractor's facility, somewhere else in the Neverlands, far, far away in the Neverlands, that we make clear to them that we have access. Just because it's not in the gaming facility or on tribal land, we still have the right to have access to those records. MR. MATHERLY: Andrew Matherly,

25 Spokane tribe. On that same part B when it talks of

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

commissions, authorized representatives shall present 1 official identification upon entering a gaming 2 operation, and it goes on. I know we talked earlier 3 about transparency and working with the primary 4 5 regulations and that's the tribes, they're self-governed. The only thing I'd recommend is that 6 7 upon communication with the tribal representative. Ιt was discussed earlier whether that tribal council or 8 9 the gaming commissioners, the tribal gaming 10 commissioners. 11 I just think I know you have the 12 authority to go in and do random checks and whatnot. 13 We've experienced in the past -- and I'll just use one 14 example -- the NIGC representative that came into one 15 of our facilities -- this has been probably over a 16 decade ago. Maybe not that far -- and asked if we 17 have a sprinkler system and asked a security guard and the security guard said yes. 18 19 So they walked away, NIGC submits the 20 complaints for the year and has a checkmark. Several 21 years pass and they come in and ask another employee, 2.2 do you have a sprinkler system, and the answer was no. The tribe openly got an NOV, but the security guard's 23 question was do you have a sprinkler system, and yeah, 24 It was out in the RV park. It didn't apply 25 we did.

1 to the facility.

2	So I think that transparency reporting
3	to the proper authority is going to help the
4	commission, the NIGC itself, because sometimes
5	inquiring to certain information for audit purposes or
6	whatnot, if you ask the wrong personnel, because
7	that's not their normal day-to-day, it's not something
8	they're delegated, then you're going to run into that
9	issue all the time, so
10	MS. ECHO-HAWK: So the final point or
11	the final issue that we looked at in group four was
12	let me get to the page the issue of net gaming
13	revenue and whether or not that definition for the
14	calculation of management fee should be revised to be
15	consistent with the act and we talked about this
16	earlier, what the issue is with defining net revenues
17	utilizing GAAP and that has remained a concern and
18	that was also supported in the comments.
19	So you do not see a draft in front of
20	you now. If you have comments about that or concerns
21	about that, then we'd like to hear that, whether or
22	not we do need the clarification and then how can we
23	make that clarification while remaining in compliance
24	with IGRA.
25	MS. HOUSE: Again, my name is Sharon

1	House for Eastern Shoshone. Recently, a new guideline
2	what is it for casinos, auditing for casinos,
3	just recently came out and there's issues in there
4	also that are discussed about net win and right now,
5	because of working in California, they're having
6	issues over that already and they're calling it a
7	continuum, but in order not to get to that point it
8	may be something you want to deal with and look at
9	from that auditing, if they've changed it.
10	I haven't looked at it, but I know
11	they've changed it, is to look at it and just see if
12	it could impact on the definition of net win or maybe
13	even make it more consistent with what's really
14	happening in the industry.
15	MS. ECHO-HAWK: That's all of group
16	four that we had.
17	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: We have a few
18	minutes before the break. I don't know if you want to
19	all take a break and digest some of this. If there
20	are any comments right now on all the parts that we've
21	discussed in four.
22	MR. WHEAT: Does this cover the
23	definition of allowable uses? Does this comment
24	period address to that 502 definition?
25	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Say that again.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 Restate your question.

2	MR. WHEAT: Scott Wheat, attorney for
3	the Spokane tribe. So is the definition of allowable
4	uses kind of in play? We know that some commentators
5	have suggested that they want to see some specificity
6	with respect to putting cash reserves aside and
7	whether that was an allowable use of tribal gaming
8	revenues.
9	I'm just wondering, since we're trying
10	to wade our way through a thicket of regulatory
11	review, is this a time to discuss that topic or is
12	that for tomorrow?
13	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Did we open that
14	question? I think we were only talking about this
15	when we asked for the Notice of Inquiry. Are you
16	suggesting that we do have that be part of the play
17	here?
18	MR. WHEAT: Well, it's in our comments
19	because we know that some people have suggested that,
20	whether in order with the whether you've asked for
21	those comments or not, we've reviewed them, we've
22	reviewed your comments on this epic journey we're all
23	
	taking.
24	taking. So I would just like to get it on the

1	kind of definition of what an allowable use is. We
2	note the concerns maintaining adequate reserves in
3	cash flow, but we think that's already an allowable
4	use under other economic development under IGRA. So
5	to the extent that the commission wants to entertain
6	those suggestions, that there be cart offs and further
7	definitions of allowable uses for net revenue and we
8	would just humbly request that you all not go there.
9	VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Madam Chairwoman,
10	if I could, because it is a monumental task and we are
11	covering a lot. Just to refresh your memory, it did
12	ask about allowable uses, so your comment is in the
13	right place; however, we're doing those tomorrow,
14	so
15	MR. WHEAT: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Just waiting with
17	baited breath. Let's get up and take a stretch here,
18	a little break, and we'll come back and that'll give
19	everyone some time to think about any additional
20	comments or for Jim Bob to. You look like you're over
21	there studying. So give you some time to do some more
22	of that.
23	MR. ARMSTRONG: I am.
24	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: So give you time

1	(Decode taken)
	(Recess taken.)
2	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: If we can go
3	ahead and resume. So we do want to open the floor
4	back up to comments on the parts that we've just
5	discussed, either in group two or group four, and also
6	if anyone has anything to say about group one, you
7	know, we're just trying to keep it open.
8	So Jim Bob, were you able to finish
9	your thoughts?
10	MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, ma'am. Okay.
11	Under the guidelines of the Washington State compact,
12	once we've done a preliminary review of background
13	investigation, we're allowed to provide the new
14	employee a temporary license to work at the casino,
15	and looking at 558.2, notification to NIGC, license
16	issuance and retention obligations, in section A it
17	says, after the tribe has provided a notification of
18	the results of the background check to the commission,
19	a tribe may license a primary management official or
20	key employee.
21	So basically, we bring the review to
22	our tribal gaming commission and they find them
23	suitable and that license has already been issued and
24	you go down to section B and it says, within 30 days
25	of issue of the license a tribe shall notify the

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 commission of the issuance.

2	So my question here is the way we do
3	it, I don't think it is necessary to notify you in 30
4	days, because the notification of results of the
5	background check is our notification to you that a
6	license has been issued. So I'm probably looking for
7	a little clarification on that.
8	MS. ECHO-HAWK: And that was something
9	that we look for clarification for ourself on, because
10	most tribes do that. They send us a Notice of
11	Results. That means not only have they completed the
12	background investigation, but they're also issuing a
13	license.
14	Infortunately, the way that the act is
	Unfortunately, the way that the act is
15	written, it requires two separate steps, and that's
15	written, it requires two separate steps, and that's
15 16	written, it requires two separate steps, and that's the extra step that I was referring to earlier. And
15 16 17	written, it requires two separate steps, and that's the extra step that I was referring to earlier. And so we're trying to figure out a way to do that that's
15 16 17 18	written, it requires two separate steps, and that's the extra step that I was referring to earlier. And so we're trying to figure out a way to do that that's easy to manage, because the statute says that the
15 16 17 18 19	written, it requires two separate steps, and that's the extra step that I was referring to earlier. And so we're trying to figure out a way to do that that's easy to manage, because the statute says that the tribe not only has to issue us the notice of the
15 16 17 18 19 20	written, it requires two separate steps, and that's the extra step that I was referring to earlier. And so we're trying to figure out a way to do that that's easy to manage, because the statute says that the tribe not only has to issue us the notice of the background investigation results; they also have to
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	written, it requires two separate steps, and that's the extra step that I was referring to earlier. And so we're trying to figure out a way to do that that's easy to manage, because the statute says that the tribe not only has to issue us the notice of the background investigation results; they also have to notify us once they issue a license, and that's
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	written, it requires two separate steps, and that's the extra step that I was referring to earlier. And so we're trying to figure out a way to do that that's easy to manage, because the statute says that the tribe not only has to issue us the notice of the background investigation results; they also have to notify us once they issue a license, and that's statute, so we can't do anything about that.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	written, it requires two separate steps, and that's the extra step that I was referring to earlier. And so we're trying to figure out a way to do that that's easy to manage, because the statute says that the tribe not only has to issue us the notice of the background investigation results; they also have to notify us once they issue a license, and that's statute, so we can't do anything about that. It hasn't been done uniformly in the

think perhaps oversight. So we tried to remedy that
 in the draft.

MR. MINKER: Fred Minker, Jamestown Tribal Gaming Commission. Take care of that one form and you have to parts on it where they could check a box for each and be done with it? It's just a form we send.

MS. SHYLOSKI: Right. And what we 8 9 found was that IGRA says that tribes must notify the 10 NIGC of the results of a background check before the 11 issuance of a license, and IGRA specifically uses the 12 term before, so hence is why the two notifications. 13 Once background results are in and then another once the license is issued, but one has to be before the 14 15 other.

MR. MINKER: But one happens 30seconds before the other.

18 MS. ECHO-HAWK: Exactly. But 19 unfortunately -- and we went over this, because that 20 was my thing, one of the things that we looked at, can you just use one form, and because the way the statute 21 2.2 was written, whether it's a drafting error or an issue or just a little it was less than clear or weren't 23 24 sure how it was all going to play out at the time they drafted this, the words were written that way and so 25

Page 134 1 we have to draft the regs to meet those requirements. 2 MR. MINKER: Just as you start reading 3 the form at the top and then we'll have it. CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Pause. 4 Pause 5 Linda, do you have... here. MS. HELM: Yeah. I just wanted to 6 7 say, could we have a standard form for the second notification? I assume that you're planning on that? 8 9 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes. What we 10 found was that the regions were all doing it a little 11 bit differently. We were asking for the same 12 information, but the forms were not uniform across the 13 regions and that's what we will work on as an internal 14 practice, ensuring that what we're doing and the 15 manner in which we request this and process this 16 information, the forms you all have to fill out, are 17 consistent across all of the regions. 18 MR. ARMSTRONG: So I take it there's a 19 process in place where we submit the NOR 20 electronically to NIGC. So my recommendation at this 21 time would be to also have that issuance form of a 2.2 license set up electronically so when we do submit the 23 NOR, we can almost immediately at that time send you 24 an issue of the license.

25

CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: That's also

1	something that we've heard. Some regions see a lot of
2	electronic activity. There's some regions who do not,
3	simply because the tribes may not have that technology
4	open, so we want to leave those avenues open. But
5	certainly electronically would be part of this process
6	and would continue to be.
7	MR. SMALL: Excuse me. Nathan Small
8	with the Shoshone-Bannock tribe. It was discussed in
9	the '90s, and I don't know if it's ever been put
10	together, but do you have a list of people that are
11	considered like undesirables and once their name comes
12	up that the tribes are notified immediately that not
13	to deal with them? I think at one time there was
14	tribes were asking if that list could be made
15	available to the tribes.
16	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I don't believe
17	that we do. I know I was just on the Nevada website
18	the other day and I note they have a list of
19	unsuitables or they've been revoked, denied, suspended
20	for whatever reason.
21	We actually had this discussion last
22	week, didn't we, about, you know, to what extent are
23	we able to share information. It comes into the same
24	arena as the NIGC sharing other tribe's information.
25	We've been asked, you know, can you be some sort of

can you help us somehow so that we're not going
 through the same processes. If another tribe has
 licensed someone, shouldn't we be able to know more
 about them.

5 If you use the tribal access portal, 6 the TAPs program, and many folks do, what comes up is 7 just information about where that licensee has worked before and it will tell you the name of the tribe and 8 9 then you can go and call that tribe and get that 10 information. And we've been asked can't you do more 11 than that? Can you tell us the status? Are they 12 unsuitable? Have they been licensed there, revoked, 13 suspended for any reason?

There's a number of problems, I guess, we've encountered and I probably want to talk to the legal ones first or I might punt to Jo-Ann here about why we may be limited on how much information that we can share.

MS. SHYLOSKI: One of the practical concerns is the NIGC having up-to-date information about individuals, and under our current regulations I believe that when someone is not licensed, tribes may but don't have to necessarily share that information with us and so for us to have the information on why someone has been denied a license, we need that

1 information.

2 But this all gets into the privacy act 3 and whether we can share this type of information with tribal regulatory bodies and so we are taking a look 4 5 at it, but we have to deal with both the practical and legal issues involved. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: We understand that that would probably make things a lot easier for 8 9 you, but we do want to be careful about what our 10 authorities are and that we're not causing other 11 problems in doing so. 12 Do we have any other comments on the 13 pilot program, the 556 or 558? Yes, Linda. 14 MS. HELM: I would just like to thank 15 you, Tracie, and the rest of the NIGC staff, Mark, for 16 the opportunity to share our comments with you today 17 and for your openness to hear what the tribes have to 18 say about these proposed regulations. It's most 19 appreciated. 20 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Well, thank you 21 very much. Yes, Scott. 2.2 MR. WHEAT: Scott Wheat. I do have 23 some comments on behalf of Spokane with respect to 558 issues or kind of 558. It ties back into 24 fingerprinting. One of the things, and you may have 25

1	heard this from other tribes. I wouldn't be surprised
2	if you have. As all the commissioners or staff in the
3	tribes grew up in this industry, you know that all
4	tribes are you know, obviously we have to
5	fingerprint and background PMOs and key employees.
6	The state requires us to do that, but some tribes as a
7	matter of self-government choose to engage in
8	backgrounding of a broader base of their facility
9	employees and certainly it's appropriate for them to
10	do so, self-governing entities.
11	However, we get into practical
12	difficulties in trying to adequately background folks.
13	You know, for instance, here in Washington State.
14	Some states are different. Washington tribes can get
15	decent access to the state and criminal database, but
16	that doesn't help us in Oregon and that doesn't help
17	us in Idaho.
18	Representing Spokane, you know,
19	Idaho's not that far away from the Spokane reservation
20	and you can have someone that looks like a saint in
21	Washington but, you know, they may have done some
22	things not so saintly over in Idaho, and that
23	information can be real hard to get to.
24	So Spokane requests that the
25	commission consider if tribes request fingerprinting

or at least NCIS criminal history checks, that coveted
 nationwide criminal database, that the commission at
 TGA's request accommodate those backgroundings. We
 also understand that it costs money.

5 So we would propose that tribes that want to do that beyond, you know, what IGRA requires, 6 7 that they be required to pay an additional to cover the costs to the NIGC for that, but just practically 8 9 speaking for tribes that want to do that and there are 10 sound policy reasons to do that, it's very difficult 11 and onerous and federal access to that national 12 criminal database is very helpful. So we would just 13 request that the commission consider that.

14 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: We had some 15 tribes, I'm not sure that it's gone quite like that, 16 that sort of situation where you're having issues with 17 other states and getting backgrounding information. Ι know we've been asked about if -- other than key 18 19 employees or vendors, tribes have said can we run them 20 through your fingerprint data system and we have --21 I'm looking at Jo-Ann. This would fall into that 2.2 category? 23 MS. SHYLOSKI: Yes, it would.

24 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: So we'd pay to 25 play on the fingerprinting, and I guess that would be

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

a possibility. I'm trying to think of how we could do
 that or a tribe would have to do it through their
 ordinance or just an agreement or if they utilize
 that.

5 MS. SHYLOSKI: We would have to take a 6 look at our statute and we would also have to 7 coordinate with the FBI on the issue. One of the 8 things that was done a few years ago was to change our 9 regulations to allow tribes to deem anyone that they 10 think falls within the PMO or key employee category as 11 a primary management official or a key employee.

12 But see, that gives us MR. WHEAT: 13 problems. I mean, vendor is like one category that's eligible or in a pool of folks that the tribes might 14 15 want to background. So are casino employees who 16 aren't PMOs or are primary management officials. So 17 the tribes may not want to impose the more stringent 18 kind of, you know, qualifications, have you ever been 19 convicted of a felony, this or that.

20 What I'm trying to say here is there's 21 tribes that are looking sometimes to help people that 22 may not have the impeccable background of a PMO or key 23 employee but still a good, solid person that they want 24 to have on the facility staff somewhere, but they want 25 to do a real cursory background check to just make

sure that they haven't done some of the big, insane, 1 2 murder, chaos, mayhem, and make sure they're safe on that level, but don't want to put them in this elite 3 tier of PMO or key employees. 4 5 This is really where I get most of my tribal clients asking, you know, we'd like to do this, 6 7 but we always run into a problem. It's not necessarily the states are uncooperative; it's just 8 9 difficult to do a 50 state search on somebody. It's a lot easier to punch it through the FBI's database. 10 11 MS. SHYLOSKI: Right, right. And 12 we've heard this comment from other tribes in terms of 13 wanting to background vendors and contractors and 14 others. 15 MR. WHEAT: Right. But I guess I'm 16 saying that treating them as a key employee or 17 designating them under your gaming ordinance as a key 18 employee or a PMO doesn't necessarily appease all of 19 the issues that are resulting in that. 20 MS. SHYLOSKI: Right. I understand 21 that. I just wanted to mention that as sort of an 2.2 aside so that folks know about that change. 23 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: But it does give us something to think about. If we can or what the 24 barriers would be to not -- to do what you're asking 25

but not through that higher level scrutiny, higher
 scrutiny process.

3 MR. WHEAT: Let me just give you an example. Like folks like Sharon, if she's still here, 4 5 who represents commissions could probably appreciate this. You're sitting around the table. You're 6 7 thinking about drafting, you know, tribal licensing criteria and you get to your non-PMO and your nonkey 8 9 employees and they say, well, we'd like to hire folks, 10 but we just want to make sure that we don't have like 11 a murderer or a pedophile on our staff.

12 I mean, goodness gracious, what if 13 something horrible happens and we didn't know because 14 we didn't even do a background check. That's real 15 practical. You know, as a lawyer, I can really 16 appreciate that concern and I think that's a big 17 reason where the ask is coming from. Just how much you would facilitate those kind of checks and make it 18 19 much easier for the facilities and I think they have 20 sound policy reasons for it.

21 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, Scott.
22 So that was 556, 558. Any others on monitoring and
23 investigations aside from Sharon House's fun
24 observations?

25

Actually, I'm considering other words

-	
1	besides closure. It looks as though this has to do
2	with temporary closure or permanent closure of a
3	facility, so we'll examine some other terms we might
4	be able to use instead that would show that we're
5	terminating an investigation.
6	I do want to open the floor up for
7	anything that may have come up earlier today in group
8	one on fees, facility licensing, issues with Class III
9	minimum internal control standards or processes by
10	which we should undertake addressing Class III minimum
11	internal control standards.
12	Anyone who wasn't here this morning,
13	this would be a good time to speak.
14	MR. GOBIN: I'll raise my hand. I
15	wasn't here this morning. Glen Gobin. I understand
16	that there was some discussion on Class III and the
17	authority of NIGC to issue regs, and, again, just want
18	to state that from Tulalip's perspective, we would ask
19	that NIGC repeal any promulgation of any regulation
20	regarding Class III MICS, and as the CRIT decision
21	clearly defines that NIGC does not have the authority
22	for that and accept that and move forward in a
23	positive manner acknowledging that.
24	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you.
25	MR. GOBIN: That being said, I would

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

just like to make an overall statement that we've 1 2 participated and commented a number of times on 3 amendments to the regulations and we would encourage the NIGC to move forward with the promulgation of 4 5 formal rule-making process to move forward with the 6 good and practical changes that have been made, 7 recognizing the tribe's concerns that have been raised and the issues that have been raised and move forward 8 9 and start to bring a conclusion to this process here 10 and encourage you to move forward in that. 11 And again, I just want to thank you 12 again for your commitment to get this done and the 13 consultation process and schedule that you have, but 14 it's time to move forward into that final stage and 15 bring it to a conclusion. I encourage you to do that 16 and just commend you and thank you for upholding the 17 true meaning of consultation and listening to tribal concerns and comments and addressing that with a 18 19 positive manner. 20 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Thank you, Vice 21 Chairman. I appreciate your desire to move these 2.2 forward. One of the things we are doing and it may 23 seem because it is such a departure for this agency 24 and this commission or for the NIGC, we are adding

25 extra steps, where we're talking first before we're
drafting and then having a discussion draft. 1 2 It does seem a lot, you know, 3 repetitive, but we do want to be honorable and respectful in the way we consult in honoring executive 4 5 order 13175 where we discuss the need for change before making the change and having tribes at the 6 7 table when we draft. It does add some extra time, it does 8 9 add extra steps, but we hope that what it does is when 10 we get to Notice of Proposed Rule Making and when we 11 get to final, we have a more finished product. That, 12 in theory, when we address the concerns as we go 13 along, the comments will shrink and there's more 14 likelihood that they'll go through more smoothly. 15 But I'm with you. I think we'd like 16 to speed this along, but we do have to be mindful of 17 trying to include everybody. You keep seeing us 18 around and meeting to meeting to meeting and trying to 19 reach out to as many regions and tribes as we can at 20 each step of the process. And it does seem like a 21 lot, but I think we're going in a pretty good clip in 2.2 government time. 23 So I appreciate that. I think you 24 just chop chopped us and said hurry up. We hear you. 25 Thank you.

1	MR. WHEAT: I just wanted to
2	Consultation's an interesting thing and, you know,
3	when it works its best, on the best day we're talking
4	about how you might be able to do the right thing,
5	bringing a conclusion. So there's talking about doing
6	the right thing, but where I'm from I was always
7	taught that the real key is doing the right thing, not
8	just talking about it.
9	And on that note I really wanted to
10	express my deep appreciation for the tremendous work,
11	Madam Chair, that you've done on the Fond du Lac NOV
12	that was issued just recently. We haven't discussed
13	it today, but I want to encourage all of the attorneys
14	in the room and all the folks in the room that have
15	attorneys to talk to between now and tomorrow before
16	we get to the issue of sole proprietary interest
17	tomorrow, that you review that NOV.
18	It's a very strong analysis of sole
19	proprietary interest and the taxation and agreements
20	in which a state or a city may be getting whopping
21	percentages and type of gaming revenue and whether or
22	not that violates IGRA or basically the requirements
23	that tribes have the sole proprietary interest in the
24	gaming operation.
25	So that's been the talk of the legal

1	community today and so I'd encourage you all to get on
2	the TAP where the NOV is posted and get a good look.
3	But I just want to thank the
4	commission. That's been a long-standing issue. Since
5	before IGRA was even enacted these agreements were in
6	place and it's been a long time coming but the day's
7	finally come where the commission's taken action.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yeah, we will be
10	having a discussion tomorrow on sole proprietary
11	interest. And in terms of the Fond du lac NOV, that
12	was an issue that I, as the chair, issued two days
13	ago. We will not be able to speak to any specifics on
14	that NOV. I think that we have posted on our website
15	the redacted? The redacted. Although my
16	understanding is what has been in the news was the
17	actual NOV that was released by some other party. It
18	was not released by the NIGC.
19	But, you know, we won't be able to
20	speak to it because it's an open matter. There's time
21	frames around the issuance of NOVs where the parties
22	have due process rights under appeal and so we cannot
23	talk about it publicly, nor should we. So please
24	don't talk about it tomorrow or ask questions about
25	it.

The NOV speaks for itself, so feel 1 free to read what we have on our website or whatever 2 version you might have that we did not release, but we 3 will talk about sole proprietary interest tomorrow as 4 5 we talk about group five. Any other comments on anything we've 6 7 talked about today? Yes, Dawn. MS. VYVYAN: Thanks. T have a 8 question about the collateral agreements and what 9 10 prompted the discussion or the policy reasons behind 11 why you may want to consider looking at approval of 12 collateral agreements. 13 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: T think it was 14 tribes. Tribes brought it to our attention and sole 15 proprietary interest. I know Jo-Ann and Lael want to 16 talk about that more of what kinds of instances that 17 have been brought to our attention. 18 MS. ECHO-HAWK: We get requests from 19 tribes all the time to take a look at these agreements 20 and it's sort of hindsight, right, so they come to us 21 much later and they say, look, if you look at all 2.2 these agreements that are collateral to a management 23 agreement, you put them all together and we're paying 80 percent of gross out. 24 25 Maybe the true impact wasn't known at

the time they entered into the agreement, but one of their concerns was why aren't you looking at these, why don't you approve them. So we get -- it was a request that came from tribes, something that we looked at. There was enough sort of disparity in the comments from the Notice of Inquiry that we put it forward for additional review.

Because there's not -- you know, a 8 9 number of these reqs in the instances of the comments 10 that came to us, they were all pretty uniform. 11 Everyone was like, yeah, okay, or, no way. In this 12 case there's a lot of sort of disagreement about which 13 way we should go and concerns about sole proprietary 14 violations and what our trust's responsibility is 15 given that we have to approve or disapprove management 16 contracts and should that also include those 17 agreements that are collateral to that. 18 So there was enough disagreement that 19 the commission felt like it was something we should 20 take a closer look at in this process. 21 MS. VYVYAN: Will you give me an 2.2 example, because I'm thinking of a management

23 contract, there are subcontractors involved. So
24 something that's collateral to a management contract

25 would be...?

1	MR. WHEAT: Financing, loan
2	agreements, consulting agreements leading up to the
3	management contract, for the scoping, design,
4	engineering, environmental work leading up to the
5	facility that they may one day manage, is what you
6	typically see coming across their desk. And they're
7	all tied into, you know, the interest rate's X if we
8	get to manage your facility and the interest rate's Y
9	if we don't. So they're all tied into that end
10	management agreement.
11	But oftentimes the other side of that
12	coin is you get tribes that you need money to develop
13	these things and so you're balancing the trust's
14	responsibility to ensure that there's no violations of
15	IGRA and other instances with a sovereign need to be
16	able to contract to find money to develop tribal
17	resources. So it's really a pretty weighty issue
18	that's on the table here.
19	MS. ECHO-HAWK: We also get some
20	pretty crafty contracts. So, say, you have a person
21	who's a developer, who also provide a loan, who also
22	has like his son is like the owner of the management
23	contract and then they also lease the slot agreements
24	to the tribe at X amount of rate and you see some very
25	some maneuvering out there, so that, really, it's

maybe one or two people that are benefiting, but 1 2 they're getting so much money. You see stuff like that come across 3 So it's pretty complicated and a lot of times we 4 too. 5 don't see those agreements until 10 years down the line and all of a sudden the tribe hands us this stack 6 7 of agreements and says, hey, look, this violates the sole propriety interest. These agreements are all 8 9 collateral because they all relate back to the 10 management contract that you approved. 11 So it's pretty complex, but, you know, 12 we're trying to find the balance, I guess. 13 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: And is there a 14 need to define that, you know, we'll talk more about 15 sole proprietary interest tomorrow so that it's clear 16 for those going into business with tribes what we're 17 looking for. Right now it's just what our management contract say. Most of the banks or the developers or 18 19 consultants and all the people involved with these 20 scour our approved management contract or declination 21 letters to see what the pattern is. 2.2 We do, I think, have some guidelines, 23 have some regs, but, you know, with regard to collateral agreements, do we need to be clearer about 24

25 what those are so that we don't run into the executed

Page 151

1 10-year-old collateral agreement.

2	MS. VYVYAN: I guess I'm just thinking
3	if there are other laws in place that would govern
4	that type of activity. I don't know. Maybe not.
5	MS. SHYLOSKI: The Senate Indian
6	Affairs Committee a few years ago contemplated
7	revising IGRA to have the NIGC address
8	MR. WHEAT: All the contracts.
9	MS. SHYLOSKI: all different types
10	of contracts. So it has been an issue that's been
11	lingering.
12	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: What we've been
13	trying to do is just contract by contract and really
14	asking questions, are there other collateral
15	agreements. And it may be true that we see everything
16	on the front end.
17	MS. SHYLOSKI: IGRA gives the chair
18	the authority to approve or disapprove management
19	contracts, but we have issued a bulletin and we
20	issued it years ago where if folks are unclear
21	about what type of contract they have entered into or
22	are contemplating entering into, they can submit it to
23	the NIGC and our Office of General Counsel will issue
24	a legal opinion about whether it constitutes a
25	management contract or whether it violates the sole

1 proprietary interest mandate statute.

2 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: And speaking of 3 lending instruments. We're asking that if there are -- you know, in the face of the Lake of Torches 4 5 decision, one, for those lending documents that have been executed, we've had some tribes and we've been 6 7 very consistent about we're not going to address those that are already executed. They've already gone 8 9 under. They're on the road. While this is under 10 litigation, we will not revisit those at this time 11 until we see what happens in the courts. 12 We've had tribes -- and we've been 13 very clear about this -- send us 20 page briefs about why we need to revisit it, right in the middle of this 14 15 litigation. Save your money on your lawyers. I'm not 16 sure how much that cost the tribe to do that, but 17 we're not at a point when this is still in litigation to be making determinations on already executed 18 19 lending instruments and looking for management 20 provisions. 21 However, going forward, banks are now 2.2 asking for a declination letter from our Office of 23 General Counsel, not from me, not from the 24 commissioners, to see if there's any provisions in the

25 | lending instruments for management. We ask, please,

give us six to eight weeks on unexecuted instruments.
 We encourage you to share some of your language with
 us before you make your deal.

What we've seen is tribes make the 4 5 deal and then we had a problem with language and now 6 you have to renegotiate your terms. So before you get 7 to signing on the dotted line and finalizing your terms, please work with us and give us six to eight 8 9 weeks, please, to help you with the terms and make sure that we, the Office of General Counsel, can give 10 11 you a declination letter.

MR. WOOLSEY: Hi. Tim Woolsey from Colville tribes. So let me just ask. Are you also contemplating a separate analysis of sole proprietary interest from the management contract context or is it all going to be under the umbrella of a management contract?

Is there going to be any separate kind of analysis for sole proprietary interest through some other instrument or agreement the tribe might be? CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Where are we at? I'm just thinking, because we're on tomorrow's agenda now. MR. WOOLSEY: We can talk about this

25 tomorrow if I'm being premature.

1	MS. ECHO-HAWK: I think the quick
2	answer is that yes, that that is, that is a mandate of
3	IGRA and it's not solely in the context of a
4	management contract. Just, I mean, you can see that
5	from some of the information that's on our website.
6	Lately, it has mostly primarily come
7	up in that context, but I think that there are other
8	situations contemplated that that analysis that the
9	agency would want to do that analysis.
10	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Yes.
11	MR. GOBIN: I'll probably get in
12	trouble now. Well, I understand it so that what I
13	hear the commission saying is that they're going to
14	wait until a court decision comes out from the lending
15	lawsuit, the fire
16	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Lake of Torches.
17	MR. GOBIN: Lake of Torches and so
18	you're going to wait for that decision. Well, there
19	is a decision in the CRIT decision, and so we're still
20	talking about regulations when the court has decided
21	there's no authority. Just so we're looking at those
22	in the same manner.
23	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: Got you. The
24	other thing, too, I was reminded that I wanted to make
25	an announcement that we are having training in

Page 156 Where are you at, Nimish? Can you let us 1 Alabama. 2 know the next training that's taking place so we can invite everybody to come out? 3 MR. PUROHIT: Can I have it tomorrow 4 5 morning? I'll have all the list. I'll put it up on a 6 web page. 7 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: It's RGT training in Alabama. 8 9 MR. PUROHIT: There's back in Tulsa 10 too the week after next, I believe. 11 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: So we have 12 regional trainings that are coming up. I think we 13 might even have some machine gaming training coming up. Please check our website and our calendar. 14 We 15 invite you all to attend and if you have any requests 16 for training, you can always contact the region and 17 they will address your concerns and we'll figure out a way to address your request for training. 18 19 So if there's nothing else, then I'd 20 like to go ahead and break for the day. Tomorrow 21 we'll be back and we'll talk about group three and 2.2 five. Group three being Class II minimum internal control standards, technical standards for Class II 23 24 gaming machines, and then group five is the 25 self-regulation regulation. And I'm not stuttering.

Page 157 That is the self-regulation regulation for Class II and the possibility of sole proprietary interest regulations or how we address that. So those are the fun-filled topics for We invite you to come back, and in the tomorrow. meantime, you all have a good day. Thank you again. (Adjourned at 4:04 p.m.) 2.2

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, CHERYL J. HAMMER, the undersigned Certified Court Reporter, pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 authorized to administer oaths and affirmations in and for the state of Washington, do hereby certify:

7 That the sworn testimony and/or proceedings, a transcript of which is attached, was given before me 8 9 at the time and place stated therein; that any and/or 10 all witness(es) were by me duly sworn to tell the 11 truth; that the sworn testimony and/or proceedings 12 were by me stenographically recorded and transcribed 13 under my supervision, to the best of my ability; that 14 the foregoing transcript contains a full, true, and 15 accurate record of all the sworn testimony and/or proceedings given and occurring at the time and place 16 17 stated in the transcript; that I am in no way related 18 to any party to the matter, nor to any counsel, nor do 19 I have any financial interest in the event of the 20 cause.

WITNESS MY HAND this 25th day of July 2011.

22

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

CHERYL J. HAMMER,

23 Washington State Certified Court Reporter, #2512 chammer@yomreporting.com

24

25

[1 - accurately]

Page 1

1	25th 158:21	142:22	90s 135:9
1	2701 50:20	558.2 131:15	905 133.9 92 109:7
1 59:16 97:17	2701 50.20 28 99:5	559 13:11 17:6,11	92 109.7 92nd 19:15
10 9:7 84:14 93:9		29:17,18 78:16	9:13 1:13 2:2
111:8 151:5 152:1	3	559.2 47:16 66:19	9:13 1:13 2:2 9th 90:8 97:14 98:2
101 24:14	3 76:10,11		
10200 1:9	30 21:14 30:13 31:3	67:10 550 2 71:20	120:17 123:22
10th 116:13	58:19,22 113:15,21	559.3 71:20	a
110 93:20	114:2,2,14 117:24	559.5 76:10	a.m. 1:13 2:2 85:2
11:51 85:2	118:23 131:24	571 99:2 110:23	abide 65:16 77:25
12 84:14 109:6	132:3 133:16	122:4	ability 45:15 50:16
120 30:6 49:12,13	30,000 37:15	571.4 99:3 124:3	123:11 158:13
49:14,17 66:20	31st 21:14	571.5 123:14	able 21:1 67:23 73:5
68:25	35 106:4	571.6 125:7	78:4 88:24 131:8
13 58:13,19 61:17	36 76:11	573 88:8,15 91:16	135:23 136:3 143:4
13175 34:19 145:5	39 99:19	97:13 109:15,21	146:4 147:13,19
14 1:12 2:1	4	573.1 89:2 91:24	150:16
15 50:5,6,7 61:18		573.2 89:5 92:6,22	absence 59:2 77:4
130:25	4 19:10 76:10	573.2. 97:17 99:19	absent 84:21
16 79:21 83:1 86:20	4:04 157:7	573.4. 109:25	absolute 66:8
94:7	5	573.6a2. 109:9,18	absolutely 70:13
17 61:18	5 3:17 19:10 50:20	575 100:5,16	91:21 92:16
17th 31:23	58:13,13 61:17	576.6 109:10	abstract 108:12
18 94:6	5.28.010 158:4	577 97:9 104:19	accept 37:9 44:2
19 61:18	50 141:9	106:19	51:20 143:22
1993 29:14	502 128:24	6	acceptable 74:23
1:30 84:22 85:3	502.22 76:18 78:11	60 15:4,7 30:7,7	accepted 104:4
1:42 86:2	502.22. 78:8	58:19,22 66:20	access 116:18 122:6
1d 120:5	514 13:10 17:3,11	67:23 69:12,19	122:16 123:15
1st 18:1,1 54:1,1,6	17:12	112:18	125:21,23 136:5
54:10,10 59:20	519 95:8 101:1	600 38:18,18,19	138:15 139:11
2	523 17:4 21:17	61 62:9	accolades 39:12
	524 104:17	6a2 109:15	accommodate 96:1
2 99:4 20 58:14 86:20	537 110:24 116:24	7	139:3
106:6 153:13	119:22,25	-	accomplished
2009 58:16	537.14 120:5	7 53:24 59:25	101:17
2009 58:16 2011 1:12 2:1	539 104:18	8	accountant 38:14
158:21	542 17:5 45:22 46:3	8 53:24 59:25	accounting 22:1,4
22 106:3	552 71:7	80 148:24	22:11 60:7,12
22 100:5 22,000 3:9	556 110:21 111:25	9	accurate 15:21
22,000 3:9 25 50:20 92:6 109:7	112:1,5 137:13	90 19:13,13 58:19	17:22 18:4 46:23
109:9	142:22	58:22 111:14 118:9	54:2,9,22 61:8
25,000 94:8	558 110:21,21	118:13,15	158:15
25,000 94.8 2512 1:24 158:23	111:25 112:7 113:4	90,000 3:21	accurately 25:7 59:9
4314 1.24 138:23	113:6 137:13,23,24	70,000 5.21	

achieve 108:6	additionally 9:16	65:9 68:8 77:19	ain't 124:15
achieving 92:16	15:22 121:11	96:10 117:11	alabama 156:1,8
acknowledging	address 22:11 28:6	agency 18:2,9,23	align 23:5
143:23	28:22 32:23 33:6	20:5 25:8 30:23	allow 26:12 36:17
acres 3:10	63:18 70:3 76:24	40:10,18 55:20	64:13 71:22 93:18
act 23:15 24:5 32:8	79:16 99:3,5 100:20	66:10 68:6 70:9	93:22 101:12 108:3
48:13 50:19 55:19	107:6 111:5 116:7	75:17,18 89:19	116:17 118:1,6
55:24 60:14,15,19	128:24 145:12	95:24 97:1 99:21	119:19 140:9
62:22 64:7,21 70:8	152:7 153:7 156:17	101:3 102:22	allowable 128:23
114:25 127:15	156:18 157:3	103:23 105:2	129:3,7 130:1,3,7
132:14 137:2	addressed 22:20	106:11 113:7,23	130:12
act's 24:9	46:18 95:4 108:2	114:7,13 116:3	allowed 66:11
acting 9:18	addresses 89:15	144:23 155:9	131:13
action 33:9 49:16	99:8	agenda 7:15 10:7,10	allowing 8:3 97:12
88:24 89:4,13,19,22	addressing 99:24	10:11,20,21 12:22	102:19,21
89:23 93:9 99:13,21	143:10 144:18	12:23 13:7 16:12,18	allows 18:1 19:25
99:22 100:3,15	adds 19:3	41:13 84:24 88:5	30:5 61:24 111:14
102:23 103:23	adequate 130:2	154:22	111:16 112:25
122:21 123:2,4	adequately 30:16	agent 101:6	altogether 78:18
147:7	77:9 138:12	agents 101:13	ambiguities 90:14
action's 106:15	adjourned 157:7	ago 10:12 84:14	amend 116:6,11
actions 71:22	adjust 53:1 54:12,13	94:2 123:9 126:16	amending 111:11
106:11	110:6	140:8 147:13 152:6	amendment 116:9
active 64:17	adjustment 53:12	152:20	amendments 69:10
actively 93:3	80:22,23	agree 29:7,8 81:21	144:3
activities 55:5	adjustments 60:1	86:25 87:9,18 94:12	amount 19:20 58:22
activity 45:12 50:21	administer 158:5	104:10	59:17 60:3 66:19
50:22,25 55:11,12	administration 42:3	agreed 41:25	72:16 74:23 150:24
135:2 152:4	administrative	agreement 40:11	amounts 53:9
acts 60:9	42:22 107:17	41:2 103:4,6 104:3	analysis 57:23
actual 21:8 26:5	admission 20:12,14	104:6 140:3 148:23	146:18 154:14,19
147:17	adopt 116:8	149:1 150:10 152:1	155:8,9
adamantly 47:9	adopted 29:23 46:8	154:20	andrew 92:21 93:25
add 25:11,13 48:20	advance 51:18	agreements 121:2,3	98:5 125:24
87:18 89:2 92:4,15	advantage 75:25	121:7,10,13,18	ann 2:20 9:19 23:7
96:22 145:8,9	121:22	146:19 147:5 148:9	25:11 48:12 55:15
added 18:18 20:4	advisory 28:4 84:2	148:12,19,22	68:11 118:16
38:11 88:17 92:3	84:11,13	149:17 150:2,2,23	136:16 139:21
adding 91:2 144:24	affairs 152:6	151:5,7,8,24 152:15	148:15
addition 44:22	affirmations 158:5	agrees 81:14	announcement
additional 66:22	afforded 102:3	ahead 47:2 49:20	155:25
68:21 69:12 72:3	afternoon 86:1	88:2 122:11 131:3	annual 18:7,10 19:8
84:21 113:15 114:3	125:4	156:20	19:14 20:9 36:20
130:19 139:7 149:7	agencies 22:2 30:22	ahold 53:17	37:2,12 51:23 52:2
	32:12 41:2 50:16		59:18 109:6,8,12
	•		•

42:12:57:9	122.2 124.22 128.0	120.2.124.11	
answer 42:13 57:8	122:2 124:22 138:9	120:3 134:11	august 4:9 90:8
103:19 126:22	appropriately 95:5	135:14 141:6,25	97:14 98:1 116:13
155:2	approval 17:4 47:25	152:14 153:3,22	120:17 123:22
answered 55:3	106:13,14 120:2	asks 122:5	authorities 56:1
answers 59:13	121:1,8,10,18,19	assess 59:10 61:4	137:10
anticipate 88:13	148:11	assessed 86:23,24	authority 27:5
91:9	approvals 121:23	87:1,14	29:25,25 33:8 42:1
anybody 3:14,18	approve 48:4	assessing 52:10	42:6,10,20 43:9,19
27:13,17 72:2 86:11	121:13 149:3,15	assessment 53:7	48:3 55:20,23 63:22
105:7 119:19	152:18	assessments 20:1	64:11 79:11,19,19
anymore 123:13	approved 121:7	assets 44:19	80:16 81:5 83:2
apart 58:9	151:10,20	assist 25:6 69:14	95:14 96:14 121:13
apologize 74:3	approving 55:21,22	assistance 88:22	122:3,9,10 123:19
appeal 19:25 26:3	april 4:2 16:12	associate 8:6,8	126:12 127:3
105:3 106:12,15,17	17:12,13 79:7	assume 25:25 134:8	143:17,21 152:18
107:4,10,15 147:22	area 7:11 27:9,11	assured 96:9,19	155:21
appeals 91:3 104:17	35:19 44:14 45:14	attached 158:8	authorized 101:10
104:18,18 106:8,25	46:1 57:14	attempt 39:20 66:9	124:13 126:1 158:4
107:13 108:3,4	areas 40:13,23	66:21 71:21 102:8	available 116:14
appear 24:7	45:16 47:10 57:11	attempted 18:17	135:15
appears 21:17	62:13 72:1 75:10	49:18 66:13 111:25	avenues 135:4
appease 141:18	82:17	attempting 121:21	avoid 25:20 76:2
appellate 104:22	arena 135:24	attend 13:18 156:15	aware 31:16 45:9
applauding 47:6	arguments 41:24	attendance 3:4	49:4
applauding 47:6 apple 27:13	arguments 41:24 armstrong 6:18,18	attendance 3:4 attention 100:24	49:4 awkward 67:14
	armstrong 6:18,18		awkward 67:14
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19	6	attention 100:24	awkward 67:14 b
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3	attention 100:24 148:14,17	awkward 67:14 b b 47:16 67:3,10
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6	awkward 67:14 b b 47:16 67:3,10 125:7,7,25 131:24
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23	awkward 67:14 b b 47:16 67:3,10 125:7,7,25 131:24 back 4:19 5:20 9:10
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23 attesting 30:24	awkward 67:14 b 67:3,10 125:7,7,25 131:24 back 4:19 5:20 9:10 9:13 12:14 13:6 13:6
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7 applied 53:5 62:10	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1 108:25 109:1 110:8	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23	awkward 67:14 b 47:16 67:3,10 125:7,7,25 131:24 back 4:19 5:20 9:10 9:13 12:14 13:6 18:8,8,10 22:9,18
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7 applied 53:5 62:10 62:11	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1 108:25 109:1 110:8 130:23 131:10	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23 attesting 30:24 attorney 6:11 7:3	awkward 67:14 b b 47:16 67:3,10 125:7,7,25 131:24 back 4:19 5:20 9:10 9:13 12:14 13:6 18:8,8,10 22:9,18 29:16,24 33:19
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7 applied 53:5 62:10 62:11 applies 62:18	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1 108:25 109:1 110:8 130:23 131:10 134:18	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23 attesting 30:24 attorney 6:11 7:3 39:10 75:7 105:11	awkward 67:14 b b 47:16 67:3,10 125:7,7,25 131:24 back 4:19 5:20 9:10 9:13 12:14 13:6 18:8,8,10 22:9,18 29:16,24 33:19 37:25 42:3 46:25
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7 applied 53:5 62:10 62:11 applies 62:18 apply 23:8 70:9,9	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1 108:25 109:1 110:8 130:23 131:10 134:18 arrival 52:2	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23 attesting 30:24 attorney 6:11 7:3 39:10 75:7 105:11 129:2	awkward67:14bb47:1667:3,10125:7,7,25131:24back4:195:209:1312:1413:618:8,8,1029:16,2437:2542:346:2550:7,1051:13,14
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7 applied 53:5 62:10 62:11 applies 62:18 apply 23:8 70:9,9 87:8 126:25	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1 108:25 109:1 110:8 130:23 131:10 134:18 arrival 52:2 articles 70:24	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23 attesting 30:24 attorney 6:11 7:3 39:10 75:7 105:11 129:2 attorneys 105:12	awkward67:14bb47:1667:3,10125:7,7,25131:24back4:195:209:1312:1413:618:8,8,1029:16,2433:1937:2542:346:2550:7,1051:13,1453:855:456:15
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7 applied 53:5 62:10 62:11 applies 62:18 apply 23:8 70:9,9 87:8 126:25 applying 25:21 53:11 58:21 64:7	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1 108:25 109:1 110:8 130:23 131:10 134:18 arrival 52:2 articles 70:24 articulating 91:19	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23 attesting 30:24 attorney 6:11 7:3 39:10 75:7 105:11 129:2 attorneys 105:12 146:13,15	awkward67:14bb47:1667:3,10125:7,7,25131:24back4:195:209:1312:1413:618:8,8,1029:16,2437:2542:346:2550:7,1051:13,1453:855:456:1557:1558:2460:11
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7 applied 53:5 62:10 62:11 applies 62:18 apply 23:8 70:9,9 87:8 126:25 applying 25:21 53:11 58:21 64:7 appreciate 3:2 8:16	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1 108:25 109:1 110:8 130:23 131:10 134:18 arrival 52:2 articles 70:24 articulating 91:19 aside 43:14 129:6 141:22 142:23	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23 attesting 30:24 attorney 6:11 7:3 39:10 75:7 105:11 129:2 attorneys 105:12 146:13,15 audit 52:23 80:19 80:19,20 81:3 94:3	awkward67:14bb47:1667:3,10125:7,7,25131:24back4:195:209:1312:1413:618:8,8,1029:16,2433:1937:2542:346:2550:7,1051:13,1453:855:456:1557:1558:2460:1161:1771:1276:8
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7 applied 53:5 62:10 62:11 applies 62:18 apply 23:8 70:9,9 87:8 126:25 applying 25:21 53:11 58:21 64:7 appreciate 3:2 8:16 37:20 49:3 50:1	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1 108:25 109:1 110:8 130:23 131:10 134:18 arrival 52:2 articles 70:24 articulating 91:19 aside 43:14 129:6	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23 attesting 30:24 attorney 6:11 7:3 39:10 75:7 105:11 129:2 attorneys 105:12 146:13,15 audit 52:23 80:19 80:19,20 81:3 94:3 94:4 127:5	awkward67:14bb47:1667:3,10125:7,7,25131:24back4:195:209:1312:1413:618:8,8,1022:9,1829:16,2437:2542:346:2550:7,1051:13,1453:855:456:1557:1558:2460:1161:1771:1276:884:2292:1793:21
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7 applied 53:5 62:10 62:11 applies 62:18 apply 23:8 70:9,9 87:8 126:25 applying 25:21 53:11 58:21 64:7 appreciate 3:2 8:16	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1 108:25 109:1 110:8 130:23 131:10 134:18 arrival 52:2 articles 70:24 articulating 91:19 aside 43:14 129:6 141:22 142:23 asked 29:20 79:5 90:18 104:21	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23 attesting 30:24 attorney 6:11 7:3 39:10 75:7 105:11 129:2 attorneys 105:12 146:13,15 audit 52:23 80:19 80:19,20 81:3 94:3	awkward67:14bb47:1667:3,10125:7,7,25131:24back4:195:209:1312:1413:618:8,8,1022:9,1829:16,2437:2542:346:2550:7,1051:13,1453:855:456:1557:1558:2460:1161:1771:1276:884:2292:1793:2197:24109:22114:8
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7 applied 53:5 62:10 62:11 applies 62:18 apply 23:8 70:9,9 87:8 126:25 applying 25:21 53:11 58:21 64:7 appreciate 3:2 8:16 37:20 49:3 50:1 142:5,16 144:21 145:23	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1 108:25 109:1 110:8 130:23 131:10 134:18 arrival 52:2 articles 70:24 articulating 91:19 aside 43:14 129:6 141:22 142:23 asked 29:20 79:5 90:18 104:21 105:21 116:16,25	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23 attesting 30:24 attorney 6:11 7:3 39:10 75:7 105:11 129:2 attorneys 105:12 146:13,15 audit 52:23 80:19 80:19,20 81:3 94:3 94:4 127:5 audited 18:2,25 52:14 59:19 60:2	awkward 67:14 b b 47:16 67:3,10 125:7,7,25 131:24 back 4:19 5:20 9:10 9:13 12:14 13:6 18:8,8,10 22:9,18 29:16,24 33:19 37:25 42:3 46:25 50:7,10 51:13,14 53:8 55:4 56:15 57:15 58:24 60:11 61:17 71:12 76:8 84:22 92:17 93:21 97:24 109:22 114:8 117:13 119:7,7,21
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7 applied 53:5 62:10 62:11 applies 62:18 apply 23:8 70:9,9 87:8 126:25 applying 25:21 53:11 58:21 64:7 appreciate 3:2 8:16 37:20 49:3 50:1 142:5,16 144:21 145:23 appreciated 137:19	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1 108:25 109:1 110:8 130:23 131:10 134:18 arrival 52:2 articles 70:24 articulating 91:19 aside 43:14 129:6 141:22 142:23 asked 29:20 79:5 90:18 104:21 105:21 116:16,25 120:25 126:16,17	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23 attesting 30:24 attorney 6:11 7:3 39:10 75:7 105:11 129:2 attorneys 105:12 146:13,15 audit 52:23 80:19 80:19,20 81:3 94:3 94:4 127:5 audited 18:2,25 52:14 59:19 60:2 auditing 44:23	awkward67:14bb47:1667:3,10125:7,7,25131:24back4:195:209:1312:1413:618:8,8,1022:9,1829:16,2437:2542:346:2550:7,1051:13,1453:855:456:1557:1558:2460:1161:1771:1276:884:2292:1793:2197:24109:22114:8117:13119:7,7,21124:18130:18,25
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7 applied 53:5 62:10 62:11 applies 62:18 apply 23:8 70:9,9 87:8 126:25 applying 25:21 53:11 58:21 64:7 appreciate 3:2 8:16 37:20 49:3 50:1 142:5,16 144:21 145:23 appreciated 137:19 appreciation 146:10	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1 108:25 109:1 110:8 130:23 131:10 134:18 arrival 52:2 articles 70:24 articulating 91:19 aside 43:14 129:6 141:22 142:23 asked 29:20 79:5 90:18 104:21 105:21 116:16,25 120:25 126:16,17 129:15,20 135:25	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23 attesting 30:24 attorney 6:11 7:3 39:10 75:7 105:11 129:2 attorneys 105:12 146:13,15 audit 52:23 80:19 80:19,20 81:3 94:3 94:4 127:5 audited 18:2,25 52:14 59:19 60:2 auditing 44:23 80:24 128:2,9	awkward67:14bb47:1667:3,10125:7,7,25131:24back4:195:209:1312:1413:618:8,8,1022:9,1829:16,2437:2542:346:2550:7,1051:13,1453:855:456:1557:1558:2460:1161:1771:1276:884:2292:1793:2197:24109:22114:8117:13119:7,7,21124:18131:4137:24151:9
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7 applied 53:5 62:10 62:11 applies 62:18 apply 23:8 70:9,9 87:8 126:25 applying 25:21 53:11 58:21 64:7 appreciate 3:2 8:16 37:20 49:3 50:1 142:5,16 144:21 145:23 appreciated 137:19 appreciation 146:10 approach 107:4	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1 108:25 109:1 110:8 130:23 131:10 134:18 arrival 52:2 articles 70:24 articulating 91:19 aside 43:14 129:6 141:22 142:23 asked 29:20 79:5 90:18 104:21 105:21 116:16,25 120:25 126:16,17 129:15,20 135:25 136:10 139:18	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23 attesting 30:24 attorney 6:11 7:3 39:10 75:7 105:11 129:2 attorneys 105:12 146:13,15 audit 52:23 80:19 80:19,20 81:3 94:3 94:4 127:5 audited 18:2,25 52:14 59:19 60:2 auditing 44:23 80:24 128:2,9 auditor 9:14	awkward67:14bb47:1667:3,10125:7,7,25131:24back4:195:209:1312:1413:618:8,8,1029:16,2437:2542:346:2550:7,1051:13,1453:855:456:1557:1558:2460:1161:1771:1276:884:2292:1793:2197:24109:22114:8117:13119:7,7,21124:18130:18,25
apple 27:13 applicant 112:19 applicant's 112:17 application 111:21 119:7 applied 53:5 62:10 62:11 applies 62:18 apply 23:8 70:9,9 87:8 126:25 applying 25:21 53:11 58:21 64:7 appreciate 3:2 8:16 37:20 49:3 50:1 142:5,16 144:21 145:23 appreciated 137:19 appreciation 146:10	armstrong 6:18,18 35:7 36:12,19 37:3 37:8 38:10 59:14,15 59:25 60:5 61:16 77:14,15 80:1 108:25 109:1 110:8 130:23 131:10 134:18 arrival 52:2 articles 70:24 articulating 91:19 aside 43:14 129:6 141:22 142:23 asked 29:20 79:5 90:18 104:21 105:21 116:16,25 120:25 126:16,17 129:15,20 135:25	attention 100:24 148:14,17 attest 77:6 attestation 30:13,17 77:3,12,23 attesting 30:24 attorney 6:11 7:3 39:10 75:7 105:11 129:2 attorneys 105:12 146:13,15 audit 52:23 80:19 80:19,20 81:3 94:3 94:4 127:5 audited 18:2,25 52:14 59:19 60:2 auditing 44:23 80:24 128:2,9	awkward67:14bb47:1667:3,10125:7,7,25131:24back4:195:209:1312:1413:618:8,8,1022:9,1829:16,2437:2542:346:2550:7,1051:13,1453:855:456:1557:1558:2460:1161:1771:1276:884:2292:1793:2197:24109:22114:8117:13119:7,7,21124:18131:4137:24151:9

[background - capture]

background 25:18	basketball 5:8	blah 104:12,12,12	bulletin 46:12 75:13
51:24 65:15 110:15	bat 62:25	blank 31:7,20	75:14 152:19
111:2,18 112:18	bearing 14:16	blanks 19:22 58:20	bulletins 45:3,4
117:3,8,17,20	bed 3:16 4:19	bluelake 78:7,8	bunch 83:12
119:22 120:2,7	began 24:3 109:20	blvd 1:9	burch 7:12,12
131:12,18 132:5,12	109:21	board 3:25 21:3	burden 115:22
132:20 133:10,13	beginning 37:9,16	62:12,19	burdens 102:1
138:5,12 140:15,22	38:12 123:4	bob 35:5 38:16	burdensome 90:22
140:25 141:13	begins 19:9 112:19	130:20 131:8	business 10:23
142:14	begun 10:11	bodies 28:14 137:4	32:15 42:12 64:5
backgrounding	behalf 43:21 47:4	boiling 70:20	94:17 95:14 121:14
138:8 139:17	67:9 69:25 137:23	books 110:5 122:6	121:20 151:16
backgroundings	behave 98:14	123:15 124:12	businesses 32:14
139:3	belabor 74:19	bordered 3:10	63:2 64:17 65:12
backgrounds	belief 45:19	bound 65:2 94:3	buy 17:8 32:3,6 51:6
117:10	believe 4:25 40:5	boundaries 56:24	62:22 63:3,16,20,22
backwards 113:13	42:13 46:5 50:18	bounds 60:19	63:25 64:7,21 65:3
bad 43:2,5	61:25 77:15,21	box 133:6	70:8 81:16
bag 63:18	78:19 94:17 135:16	bravo 39:18	buying 70:1
baited 130:17	136:22 156:10	break 46:24 47:2	c
balance 37:13	believes 45:6	50:6 73:1 84:22	c 39:17 92:24 99:16
151:12	benefit 45:18	128:18,19 130:18	c 39.17 92.24 99.10 c3 14:7
balancing 150:13	benefiting 151:1	156:20	c3 14.7 cabela's 3:25 4:6
ballroom 1:10	best 146:3,3 158:13	breakfast 3:17 4:20	calculate 20:20
bank 37:18 120:9	better 52:4 67:22	breaking 73:3	23:19 52:17
banks 151:18	beyond 118:9 139:6	breaks 12:1	calculation 17:20
153:21	bia 32:4 63:11 64:1	breath 130:17	21:8 23:24 24:14,16
bannock 21:22	64:7,22 65:19	brief 51:24	24:20,24 127:14
56:17 75:9 135:8	biannually 20:7	briefly 112:13	calculations 17:22
bardow 6:16	big 3:11 4:10 59:10	briefs 153:13	24:13 25:6
barriers 141:25	62:8 78:12 115:18	bring 16:7 28:8,15	calendar 17:21 52:8
base 52:15 59:9 71:2	141:1 142:16	29:11 38:25 66:15	52:11,17 81:14 98:7
138:8	billing 36:22,23	84:16 100:12	109:7 156:14
based 37:5 52:7,23	bind 43:1 93:11	101:21 131:21	california 26:14
58:23 59:6,10,23,24	binders 16:5	144:9,15	79:22 80:4 81:25
62:2 83:9 119:9	bishop 9:10	bringing 2:23 146:5	82:2,25 83:1,15
bases 95:19	bit 3:9,19 13:5 38:25	broader 138:8	128:5
basically 23:16 27:4	41:7 48:7 55:20,25	broke 10:13	call 18:23 43:15,18
34:7 36:5 42:7 68:5	59:7 76:8 80:7	brought 123:6	136:9
77:6 95:19 97:19	86:18 105:23	148:14,17	calling 128:6
131:21 146:22	113:13,17 114:5	budget 61:9	calls 50:7
basing 17:19	115:11 118:7	build 57:17 70:25	capabilities 116:3
basis 22:15 36:23,25	10111	building 68:17	
	134:11	0	capture 73.5
46:13 48:23 57:25 119:16,20	134:11 bits 11:24	bulk 37:9,12 38:12 83:16 107:20	capture 73:5

	1	1	
care 43:18 72:21	cfo 61:1	64:24 65:21 66:9	charging 55:13
94:25 98:8 133:4	cfr 76:17 109:9	70:5,14 71:24 72:11	check 37:20 53:15
careful 137:9	chair 2:7,18 7:20	74:18 75:2,3,5 76:6	131:18 132:5 133:5
carefully 71:16	12:17 19:16 30:8,8	77:2 78:6,23 80:1	133:10 140:25
121:25	47:20 49:17 55:23	81:10 82:1,23 83:22	142:14 156:14
carry 16:5 17:15	56:1 60:6 64:12,15	86:5,6 87:15,20,23	checkmark 126:20
43:1 45:16	66:5 67:19 68:1,20	95:17 96:6 97:11	checks 117:17
cart 130:6	68:24 69:6,7,11,18	98:17 100:8 105:15	126:12 139:1
carts 27:14	69:23 70:7,13,17	108:8 110:11,12	142:18
case 22:14,14,19	71:17 73:20 76:7	118:18 119:15	cheryl 1:24 158:3,22
23:9 48:23,23 49:1	78:22 83:5 87:3	123:24 125:3,16	chickasaw 7:22
49:5 56:3 57:25,25	88:1 90:4 91:15	128:17,25 129:13	chinook 1:10
63:11 94:6 149:12	92:14,19 93:14,17	130:9,16,24 131:2	choose 138:7
cases 96:20 132:25	93:23 94:11,21,21	134:4,9,25 135:16	chop 145:24
cash 129:6 130:3	95:6,16 96:4,9,21	137:7,20 139:14,24	chopped 145:24
casino 1:8 131:14	98:13 99:14,17	141:23 142:21	chose 19:16 82:10
140:15	100:6,20,23 103:10	143:24 144:20	chronologically
casinos 70:25 128:2	104:1,8,15 107:14	147:9 148:13	113:13
128:2	108:1 109:12 110:9	151:13 152:12	circuit 42:4
catchpole 9:14	120:6 125:11 130:9	153:2 154:21	circuit's 49:4
category 19:12	146:11 147:12	155:10,16,23 156:7	circumstance 67:13
139:22 140:10,13	152:17	156:11	67:15,25 68:16
cause 158:20	chair's 89:25	challenges 46:5	93:19
causes 48:16	chairman 5:14 6:25	chammer 158:23	circumstances
causing 137:10	7:23 8:12,13 13:4	chance 60:2	30:10 67:21 68:20
ccr 1:24	77:14 87:10 107:10	change 17:25 20:14	83:10 93:22,24
ceda 1:9	109:1 144:21	20:24 21:2,24 22:6	citations 109:23
center's 64:5	chairperson 6:15	22:7,8 24:7 25:14	city 146:20
certain 45:3,15	107:13	25:16 30:5 36:21,24	clarification 21:23
46:22 72:16 80:9	chairs 12:18	39:17 46:14 101:9	35:15 36:15 41:13
108:4 127:5	chairwoman 2:15	140:8 141:22 145:5	48:7 59:19 60:7
certainly 10:23 16:8	5:12,13 7:9,13,14	145:6	67:22 69:20 78:1
21:15 24:17 25:9,23	7:18,21,25 8:6 9:3,4	changed 22:25 30:7	102:16 117:4
31:24 32:8 33:13,25	9:22 13:1 14:13	74:21 94:2 114:24	119:24 123:21
34:2 42:2 44:3 49:2	23:2,11 25:10,17	118:7,17 128:9,11	124:24 127:22,23
51:20 61:9 65:4	29:7 32:21 33:12,17	changes 17:18,18	132:7,9
135:5 138:9	33:19,21 34:11	18:19 25:13,16 30:4	clarifications 98:2
certainty 28:15 29:6	35:16 36:18 37:1,4	36:6 38:6 46:13,16	clarified 18:16 62:5
61:6,6	37:19 38:20,24 39:3	46:18 90:6 101:15	107:21
certificate 158:1	40:7 41:10,15 44:11	109:22 144:6	clarifies 20:6 123:14
certification 30:24	46:20 48:11 49:8	changing 21:5 51:13	clarify 18:17 21:7
certified 158:3,23	50:4,9 51:4 53:13	81:14 117:5	22:9 29:2 62:25
certify 158:6	53:22 55:14 56:6,14	chaos 141:2	69:3 77:2 95:18
cetera 78:14,14	56:18 57:24 58:11	charge 101:14	117:1 122:5,11,15
	59:22 60:4,17 62:4		122:24 124:8

[clarify - commission]

105.17	70.0 01 74.11 04		106.6
125:17	72:9,21 74:11,24	colville 154:13	commenters 106:6
clarifying 40:22	98:20	come 4:18 5:16,24	111:22 117:4 121:6
70:12	closeout 98:18	8:3 10:17 11:12	121:12,16
clarity 24:12 90:14	closer 149:20	15:6 16:10 21:20	commenting 50:1
92:2,15 101:21	closes 31:4 90:7	22:19 24:23,25	comments 11:6
108:5 123:12	97:14 116:13	27:16 29:9 47:1	13:22 14:17,25
class 17:6 25:25	120:17	54:18 65:13,17 68:8	15:18,22 16:7,10
26:6,9,12,15,17,17	closet 4:4	71:12 84:22 89:21	18:20 19:17,18,22
26:21,22,25 27:7,22	closet's 4:6	91:22 93:21 97:22	20:2,21 21:3,15,19
27:23,23 28:12	closing 109:14 124:7	104:23 108:21	25:23 29:24 31:23
32:22,23 33:3,5,6,7	closure 28:8,16 31:7	126:21 130:18,25	34:6,7,24 40:1,9,20
41:7 42:1,12,20	31:8,9,13 72:6	143:7 147:7 148:20	44:9 46:25 47:6,15
45:2,22 46:1,3 55:5	74:15 75:19,19	151:3 155:6 156:3	49:3,7,9 50:10 51:5
55:6,7,7,13,18,20,21	81:18 84:16 99:4	157:5	51:22 58:24 59:3
55:22 56:2,4,9 79:1	122:19 124:3,4,5	comes 3:7 23:24	62:20,22 64:14
79:11,14,18,20 80:2	143:1,2,2	43:4 80:5 98:9	65:24 66:6 67:2,6
80:5,13,17,22,24	closures 70:16 71:25	135:11,23 136:6	83:23 84:21 86:9,14
82:7,13 83:10,23	71:25 72:13 73:1,2	155:14	87:4,18 88:18 90:25
86:19 87:2,7,12	73:18,22	comfortable 60:10	91:7 92:8,20 98:1
117:2,2,7,7 119:23	clue 22:23	coming 2:23 3:25	103:25 105:16,20
119:23 122:16	coach 8:1	4:2 5:4,5 13:5 32:10	106:3,4,4 107:20
143:8,10,16,20	cochran 2:18 7:18	32:11 54:6 82:14	108:1,10,24 110:10
156:22,23 157:1	7:20 66:5 67:19	103:11 142:17	111:9 116:19 120:1
classify 81:21	68:1 69:23 70:7,13	147:6 150:6 156:12	120:13,18,20 121:2
clean 27:21 98:12	71:17 73:20 76:7	156:13	121:9,25 122:8
cleaning 43:4	78:22 83:5 86:6	commend 39:11	123:18,20,22
clear 15:10 16:13	87:3,23 88:1 91:15	144:16	127:18,20 128:20
18:16 23:17 45:3	92:14,19 93:17	comment 13:21 15:4	129:18,21,22
60:16 62:16 63:6	94:11 95:6,16 96:4	15:7 16:3 21:9,11	130:20 131:4
68:19 70:5,11 74:5	96:21 98:13 99:14	21:13 23:3 31:22	137:12,16,23
74:20 91:25 112:7	99:17 100:20,23	33:11,15 35:17 40:8	144:18 145:13
125:7,9,21 133:23	103:10 104:1,8,15	40:21 41:8 47:1	148:6 149:6,9
151:15 153:13	108:1 110:9 125:11	50:13 53:14 69:25	commercial 45:9
clearer 54:11	130:9	76:25 78:8,10,11	commission 1:3
151:24	coeur 8:25	90:7 92:2 97:13,25	2:15 6:1,15,21,25
clearly 91:18 97:2	coin 150:12	98:4 103:3 108:13	7:6,16 9:5 10:1 15:3
105:25 106:1	collapsed 72:17	116:13 120:16	16:2,8,13 28:8 29:8
143:21	collateral 121:1,3,6	123:25 128:23	32:10 33:8,10 39:11
click 15:25	121:10,13 148:9,12	130:12 141:12	39:18 40:3 49:3
clients 107:25 141:6	148:22 149:17,24	commentators	51:3 59:15 64:12
clip 145:21	151:9,24 152:1,14	129:4	66:25 70:19 75:8
close 31:11 36:8	collect 20:6	commented 18:21	84:12 87:6,9 88:12
72:13,22 123:22	colorado 22:19	111:22 144:2	88:21 89:3 90:12
closed 15:1 21:14	25:24 27:4 29:3	commenter 122:12	91:4,17 92:1 94:13
31:15,19,23 36:11	56:2	122:14	94:25 95:10,13,23

[commission - contracts]

96:25 98:22 100:12	complaints 126:20	145:12 149:2,13	consult 14:8 69:20
100:25 104:19	complete 113:22,24	156:17	145:4
106:21 107:10,12	completed 117:3,8	concerted 34:13	consultants 151:19
107:14,17,17 109:2	132:11	concluded 122:20	consultation 1:5
113:10 114:1 122:1	completely 118:24	122:23 123:3	8:25 9:7 13:16 14:2
122:22 123:15	completing 21:9	conclusion 22:20	15:25 29:24 34:19
127:4 130:5 131:18	complex 151:11	124:9 144:9,15	69:13 80:7 81:5,7,9
131:22 132:1 133:4	compliance 41:1	146:5	144:13,17
138:25 139:2,13	83:20 88:20,21,24	conducted 30:15	consultation's 146:2
144:24 147:4	89:3,12,22 91:20	50:23 76:21 78:13	consultations 9:25
149:19 155:13	93:21 97:4,22	78:20 120:8	13:25 14:3 15:17
commission's 14:1,4	100:13 127:23	conducting 49:20	34:23 123:7
92:1 101:19 124:13	complicated 24:16	confederated 78:9	consulting 150:2
147:7	57:23 58:5 151:4	conference 63:13	consumption 4:10
commissioner 2:19	complication 22:16	confirm 89:11	contact 51:2 156:16
8:7,8 90:4 93:6	complies 30:25	confirmation 48:8	contains 11:18 17:3
108:21	comply 22:1 34:19	confusing 24:13	158:14
commissioners	60:14 65:19 116:10	125:15	contemplated 152:6
65:25 73:13,17 74:9	complying 40:19	confusion 23:18	155:8
107:16 126:9,10	comprehensive	40:17	contemplating
138:2 153:24	105:5	consensus 24:5	152:22 154:14
commissions 50:13	comps 24:18	consider 21:6 75:13	context 154:15
50:15 95:3 126:1	comptroller 37:20	111:11 138:25	155:3,7
142:5	38:15 61:1	139:13 148:11	continue 29:13,17
commitment 14:1,4	computers 103:6	considered 16:4	43:14,21 45:25
16:2 96:25 144:12	concern 29:22 30:20	55:8,8 99:21 120:15	118:1 135:6
committed 15:3	43:12,13 44:14	120:23 135:11	continues 98:23
16:13 44:8	47:21 48:23 53:14	considering 31:25	continuing 108:22
committee 28:4	53:16 70:18 71:8	39:18 121:25	continuum 128:7
34:16 152:6	82:14 88:11 89:6,9	123:19 142:25	contract 4:1 32:14
committees 84:2,11	89:15 90:5 92:12,23	consistency 44:23	91:3 106:13 107:2
84:13	97:21 98:6,9 99:3,6	consistent 22:3,16	109:11 111:3 121:8
communicate 97:2	99:23 124:11	127:15 128:13	149:23,24 150:3,16
communication	125:14 127:17	134:17 153:7	150:23 151:10,18
126:7	142:16	consolidate 108:3	151:20 152:13,13
communities 3:3	concerned 49:12	consolidates 106:19	152:21,25 154:15
community 3:12	100:1 123:8	constitute 57:8	154:17 155:4
8:14 147:1	concerning 49:17	109:8	contractor 117:6
compact 27:11 46:4	56:9	constitutes 81:18	119:23
131:11	concerns 40:4 50:1	152:24	contractor's 125:19
compacting 83:17	71:14 90:15,20 91:6	constrain 89:24,25	contractors 117:2
compacts 26:15	99:5,24 105:24	constraints 41:11	121:21 141:13
33:1,6 40:25,25	107:6,8 113:20	constructed 30:25	contracts 55:22
43:23 81:1 83:19	127:20 130:2	construction 30:14	104:18 120:3 121:4
	136:20 144:7,18	30:25 76:19 77:16	149:16 150:20

[contracts - definition]

152:8,10,19	country 3:6,9 4:24	78:15	deals 42:25 71:20
control 11:2 12:21	26:10 32:10,24 40:3	cursory 140:25	debbie 2:8 104:9
17:6 26:1,7,17,19	42:19 47:9 54:23	d	decade 126:16
27:6 44:16,24 45:11	63:10,10,12 72:2	d'alene 8:25	decent 138:15
46:7,10,17 55:17	96:2	dan 2:19 8:7 9:14	decided 122:21
56:5 79:2,20 143:9	county 49:5	daniel 6:20	155:20
143:11 156:23	couple 42:4 79:10	data 139:20	decides 57:17
controls 27:25 46:1	90:24 106:24	database 138:15	114:20
46:6 56:4	course 4:19 48:21	139:2,12 141:10	decision 27:4 43:2,5
conversation 2:24	94:24 117:10	date 136:20	70:2 83:7,17 114:10
8:5	coursing 48:1	dates 20:8	143:20 153:5
conversations 4:24	court 42:4,7 71:10	dates 20:8 dave 7:1	155:14,18,19,19
23:12	102:18 117:12	dave 7:1 dawn 6:10 67:9	decisions 121:14
convicted 140:19	155:14,20 158:4,23		declination 151:20
coordinate 140:7	courts 41:24 43:18	148:7 dox 10:11 11:4 15:4	153:22 154:11
coordination 14:2	102:19 117:16	day 10:11 11:4 15:4	decrease 54:19
34:20	153:11	15:7 19:15 30:6,7,7	dedicated 13:8
copies 13:9	cover 10:13 12:24	49:13 57:7,19 58:19	33:20
copy 11:20 16:25	13:14 95:9,18 96:3	58:22 67:23 68:25	dedication 8:14
46:22 51:7,10	128:22 139:7	69:19 93:3,3 94:8	deduct 37:16
104:12,13	covered 27:10 40:13	110:22 113:21	deem 71:23 140:9
corner 4:9	40:23 41:5 77:13	114:14 117:24	deep 146:10
corporation 124:20	104:14	118:13,16 127:7,7	deeper 64:21
corpuz 6:8,9	covering 130:11	135:18 146:3 150:5	defer 23:6
correct 42:13 56:7	covers 16:18	156:20 157:6	deference 35:25
59:4 67:18,19	coveted 139:1	158:21	74:21
100:19 118:21	crafty 150:20	day's 147:6	deferring 86:6
corrective 89:13,22	create 3:21 25:15	days 2:10 19:13,13	defers 35:21
93:9	90:21 103:12	21:14 30:13 31:3,12	define 23:21 59:18
cost 153:16	creates 23:7	49:12,14,17 58:22	72:15 151:14
costing 40:16	creating 40:17	58:22 66:20,20	defined 10:15 39:20
costly 46:17 90:22	68:14	69:12 81:20 93:9,10	107:12
102:8	credit 38:13 53:4,17	94:7,7 107:23 109:8 112:18 113:15	defines 23:15,20
costs 139:4,8	criminal 50:24		143:21
council 6:3,7,9,17	138:15 139:1,2,12	114:2,2 118:9,23 131:24 132:4	defining 21:6 25:3
7:2 93:2,2 94:17	crit 42:21 143:20		127:16
95:15,21 96:10,12	155:19	147:12 dc 42:4	definition 19:14
126:8	criteria 142:8	deadline 93:24	20:23,24 21:1,5
counsel 2:20 58:2	critical 9:24		23:18 24:5,8,8 25:1
152:23 153:23	cross 110:2	deal 4:1 28:4 76:18	25:2,15,16 78:12,14
154:10 158:18	current 19:22 35:19	81:3 117:11 128:8	78:18 107:19
counsel's 9:21	49:12 57:7,19 77:5	135:13 137:5 154:3	124:19 127:13
counselor 9:22	110:4 118:8 136:21	154:5 dealing 71:7 80.8	128:12,23,24 129:3
counting 27:15	currently 30:5	dealing 71:7 80:8	130:1
	36:21,22 38:5 76:13	81:1 97:6	
	,		

[definitions - duration]

	1.1.1.1.50.01	10 4 0 100 4 01	10.10.50.00
definitions 23:8	developer 150:21	126:8 128:4,21	dollar 19:19 58:20
25:21 107:22 130:7	developers 151:18	131:5 135:8 146:12	58:22
degrees 82:15	developing 64:16	discusses 100:5	dotted 154:7
delay 90:22	development 130:4	discussing 16:23	doubt 104:24
delegated 94:15	develops 96:13	73:23	draft 11:19 14:25
95:13 127:8	differ 27:12	discussion 10:2,3	17:12,13,17,19,23
delegates 96:14	difference 53:1	11:15,18,18 12:1,3	19:23 21:2,13 24:6
deliver 101:13,13	different 3:3 14:15	13:9 14:25 15:14	30:3,11 31:21 34:22
denied 135:19	24:4,10 35:23 36:24	17:17 20:22 23:3	34:25 35:21 61:16
136:25	52:11 60:9 63:17	33:24 34:12,25 63:7	62:23 66:7 76:9
department 58:3	82:18 83:13 89:14	72:1 73:21 76:9	87:7 88:8,12,15,16
61:1 64:3,4 65:1	89:15,16 90:16	81:13 86:14,17 88:8	88:17 90:8 91:8,12
departments 78:3	98:10 106:23	88:14,16,17 91:17	96:24 97:13 98:1
departure 144:23	115:11 117:12	96:24 98:1 101:19	99:4,18 108:9,15,18
depending 27:10	119:9 138:14 152:9	108:15 110:5	108:24 109:21
deposit 38:19	differently 23:21,22	112:13 115:25	110:3,5 112:13
deposition 85:2	32:24 79:9 134:11	119:25 120:17	113:21 115:25
deposits 38:18	differing 27:14 67:6	122:17 125:12	116:14,14,24
depth 80:8,15	difficult 32:2 39:13	135:21 143:16	119:25 120:4,17
describing 74:6	41:7 108:13 139:10	145:1 147:10	121:24 122:4,17,18
design 150:3	141:9	148:10	123:14,20 127:19
designate 5:18	difficulties 138:12	discussions 41:14	133:2 134:1 145:1,7
designated 74:23	dig 64:20 86:15	76:15	drafted 133:25
101:13 116:19	digest 128:19	disparity 149:5	drafting 11:15 14:5
designating 141:17	diligence 45:20	disposal 88:23	14:24 15:1 28:11
designation 101:5	direction 45:3	disruptive 54:14,15	110:7 133:22 142:7
designees 13:18	directly 95:3 97:7	54:15	145:1
desire 28:3,8 60:18	director 6:12,19,23	distant 48:17	drafts 11:18 13:9
144:21	9:10,18 12:16 87:17	distinct 100:7	15:15,16 34:4,11,12
desk 150:6	disagreement 121:5	distinction 19:6	51:8 98:25 109:20
detail 11:9 32:22	149:12,18	divided 14:14	110:19
105:6	disapprove 149:15	division 9:15	draw 112:2
detailed 27:25	152:18	document 109:5	du 146:11 147:11
detailing 40:21	disapproved 107:3	documented 53:10	due 42:18 43:23
details 60:12	discourage 121:11	documents 35:9	44:18 45:20 57:12
determination 43:3	121:20	77:22 153:5	59:20 73:2 75:6
47:23,24 48:22 49:7	discouraged 62:14	doing 2:12 5:4 11:8	90:15 102:3 105:24
60:3 68:10 74:10	discretion 30:9	24:17 32:14 34:15	106:9 119:3 124:16
determinations 58:5	48:22 70:2 89:25	42:10 63:19,19 69:3	147:22
153:18	120:7 121:15	79:13 95:20 96:12	duly 158:10
determine 74:22	discretionary 64:20	130:13 134:10,14	duplicative 30:21
78:19	discuss 34:1 110:17	137:11 144:22	40:15,16 101:23
determining 14:7	129:11 145:5	146:5,7	duplicity 66:17
develop 45:10	discussed 14:13	dolcee 6:4 99:25	duration 73:23
150:12,16	55:3 71:18 76:5		

	131:5	enacted 147:5	erring 40:4
e	elaborate 99:12	encountered 136:15	error 40:5 133:22
earlier 14:13 33:17	elaboration 100:2	encourage 61:9	error 40.5 155.22 es 158:10
36:13 66:6 68:4,11	elders 2:25	108:23 144:3,10,15	escalate 58:25
68:13 70:1 71:18,24	elect 68:24 69:11,18	146:13 147:1 154:2	escalation 19:4
86:12 122:19 126:3	elected 83:14	endeavor 63:16	escape 93:23
126:8 127:16	electronic 115:17	endeavors 84:6	especially 2:14
132:16 143:7	116:1 135:2	ended 94:22	10:17 58:16 110:3
early 2:10	electronically	ends 71:9	establish 14:8 65:13
ears 101:8	102:20 103:7	enforce 27:6 33:2	established 61:20
ease 101:25 102:11	134:20,22 135:5	42:1 55:23 87:12	64:19
easier 27:21 28:20	elevated 58:25	enforcement 9:15	establishing 59:17
32:2 52:18 61:4	eligible 48:14,18	22:17,18,21,22 26:2	establishment 61:23
137:8 141:10	140:14	26:5,13 31:17 55:18	61:23
142:19	eliminate 76:12,16	71:3 79:19 82:7	estimated 14:18
easily 116:4	,		
eastern 44:13,15,15	78:18 80:22 101:23	83:2 88:9,24 89:23	et 78:14,14
44:22 45:6,13,24	122:14	99:12,22 100:2,3,15	evaluating 24:3
46:9 51:2 75:8 87:5	eliminated 45:23	106:14 109:2	event 8:23 158:19
87:8 124:1 128:1	71:19 109:17	122:21 123:4	everybody 2:14 8:4
easy 107:1 132:18	eliminating 71:19	engage 138:7	8:11 10:4 33:22
echo 2:20 9:21 12:20	91:1	engineering 150:4	39:6 62:3,7,18
12:25 13:1 23:10,14	elite 141:3	enjoys 3:18	83:23 95:10 96:3
29:18 36:3,16 38:4	elliott 47:4 68:12	ensure 48:13 150:14	97:23 145:17 156:3
47:5 49:9 68:3 88:4	email 13:22 101:10	ensuring 48:15	everybody's 10:4
98:25 105:19	101:15 102:14	134:14	everything's 118:6
109:19 110:18	103:2,5 104:11,12	enter 108:16 124:13	exactly 93:25
117:14,18 118:3,11	115:17	entered 149:1	133:18
119:14,21 125:1	emailing 102:7	152:21	examine 66:4 143:3
127:10 128:15	emergency 71:6	entering 124:15,16	example 11:1 19:5
132:8 133:18	emphasize 13:15	125:8,10 126:2	20:16 31:9 37:15
148:18 150:19	14:3 108:14	152:22	73:9 80:18 83:7
155:1	employee 112:16	entertain 130:5	94:1 126:14 142:4
economic 69:16	113:9 114:21 115:4	entire 31:10 111:17	149:22
130:4	115:14 116:18	entities 45:9 65:7,8	examples 93:7
effect 45:11 56:11	117:20 118:1,14	78:4 120:12 138:10	102:17
76:4	126:21 131:14,20	entity 120:9 124:21	excellent 110:1
effects 119:9	140:10,11,23	entry 20:15,16	exchange 103:1,5
effort 34:13,18	141:16,18	124:11	exclusive 50:21
63:12,24 71:12	employees 112:10	environment 76:22	excuse 55:1 97:10
100:11 108:10	117:22 138:5,9	environmental 30:1	135:7
efforts 8:15	139:19 140:15	30:16 39:24 40:14	executed 151:25
eight 154:1,8	141:4 142:9	76:13 150:4	153:6,8,18
either 23:6 37:25	employment 65:10	ephs 77:10	executive 6:19 14:1
95:23 111:24 114:2	enable 36:24	epic 129:22	34:19 81:8 87:17
70.20 III.2 II f.2			145:4

[exempt - fine]

		126.16.129.10	55.10.12.56.0.0.20
exempt 72:15	f	126:16 138:19	55:10,13 56:8,9,20
exercise 50:16 120:6	face 153:4	fast 109:5	58:13,17 59:18 60:3
122:2	facilitate 142:18	favorable 60:15	60:24 61:4,5,10,13
exhibits 4:14	facilities 8:20 28:14	favoring 66:6	62:8,9,21 87:1,14
exist 76:13 119:6	56:21 66:15 70:18	fax 101:15 102:24	109:6 143:8
existing 17:4 29:15	72:13 117:2 126:15	103:11,17,23	feet 3:21
62:24,24 104:21	142:19	104:11	fell 14:21
105:1,8	facility 13:11 17:7	faxes 103:12,15,19	fellow 8:13 105:12
exists 65:1	29:17 30:6,15 31:4	103:23	felony 140:19
expanding 3:20	31:10,18 35:8,13,14	fbi 116:21 140:7	felt 149:19
expansive 39:22	35:15 36:5,6,7,8,9	fbi's 141:10	field 9:10,12
expectancy 36:14	39:12 47:7,25 48:16	february 18:1 53:25	figure 32:5 116:2
expectations 3:16	49:14 50:13,14,18	54:6	132:17 156:17
expedited 67:12,17	51:5 57:16 65:24	federal 13:17,24	file 107:4 111:17
expediting 30:10	66:3,21 68:7,8,18	14:8 22:2 32:12	filed 104:3
49:21 69:14	68:18 69:15 70:20	40:10,18 41:24	filing 102:19
expense 44:5	71:4 73:10 74:24	50:23 65:2,9 77:19	filings 103:2
expenses 102:11	76:20 78:24 81:19	78:4 102:9 120:10	filkins 7:7,7
experience 16:4	119:24 124:7 125:8	139:11	fill 134:16
45:1 84:2	125:9,18,19,22	fee 17:3,20,24,25	filled 157:4
experienced 126:13	127:1 138:8 140:24	18:22,24 19:23 20:7	final 15:11,12,12
experiences 65:8	143:3,8 150:5,8	20:8,9,13,14,15,16	16:9 52:25,25 53:2
experiencing 101:24	fact 33:1 48:18	24:14 25:7 30:6	53:8 54:2,14 106:11
expertise 45:15,16	80:25 106:3	37:2 52:9,22,23,25	127:10,11 144:14
expires 31:4 36:9	factors 73:2 77:17	52:25 53:6,8,10,12	145:11
explained 38:8	facts 93:19	53:25 54:2,7,9,13	finality 29:12 84:17
40:10	fail 19:11	54:13 55:5,8 56:7	finalization 28:11
express 8:21 102:10	failed 19:12	56:24 57:1,6 58:17	finalizing 154:7
146:10	failing 109:11	58:23 59:7,10,12	finally 15:9 17:8
extend 97:5	failure 19:7,14	86:23,24 109:7,8,12	31:25 32:20 147:7
extension 30:7	109:8	109:20 127:14	finance 21:11 53:17
68:24 69:11,19	fair 59:5 75:1	feedback 28:20	61:1,10
extensively 49:10	fairer 62:8	47:16 52:6,7 59:3	financial 59:19 60:2
extent 42:24 130:5		108:16	158:19
135:22	fairly 59:10 65:15 91:9	feel 5:19 29:5 34:6	financially 52:13
external 80:18,19		41:4,11 46:21 71:10	financials 18:3,25
94:3,3	fall 139:21	71:15 74:10 96:11	financing 150:1
extra 30:8 52:16,16	falls 140:10	148:1	find 4:18 15:25
54:8 115:22 132:16	familiar 34:16	feeling 41:20	17:16 37:13 60:24
144:25 145:8,9	112:11 114:18	fees 13:10 17:22	90:8 107:1,3 131:22
extraordinary 93:22	115:19 for 21.10.22.24.4	18:2,25 19:3,8,13	150:16 151:12
extremely 44:17	far 21:19,23 34:4	19:15,20 20:16,20	finding 122:25
eye 91:10	52:5 61:2 79:14	21:9 23:19 24:25	fine 19:23 94:8
eyes 109:5	83:4 96:23 108:11	36:20,20,22 38:1	95:12
	116:22 125:20,20	51:5 52:10,15,18	
		21.002.10,10,10	

[fingerprint - given]

6	f II. 11.01.02	f	01 11 02 6 04 12 16
fingerprint 36:22	follow 11:21,23	framed 49:22	91:11 93:6 94:13,16
116:18 138:5	13:25 36:2 45:3	frames 35:20 68:5	95:3,10,13 96:12
139:20	47:18 53:18,22 67:5	94:10 105:24 106:1	101:14 106:13
fingerprinting	87:21 104:13	147:21	109:2 112:4,6 113:5
137:25 138:25	117:21 123:10,11	fred 6:12 133:3	113:6 114:25 116:8
139:25	followed 104:11	free 5:19 34:6 41:4	123:17 124:14
fingerprints 20:6	following 7:15 15:1	41:11 46:21 148:2	125:8,22 126:2,9,9
37:2,3,5	45:21 49:16 81:8	frequently 68:23	127:12 129:7
finish 131:8	fond 146:11 147:11	front 9:11 34:5,23	131:22 133:4
finished 145:11	foot 22:24	50:17 88:6 103:6	141:17 146:21,24
fire 11:13 72:16	foregoing 158:14	127:19 152:16	156:13,24
155:15	forget 23:23	fruitful 5:1	gaps 105:1
firm 94:4	forgotten 8:15	full 10:18 51:19	gate 108:19
firms 103:16	form 13:23 133:4,6	158:14	gear 94:24
first 4:14 5:15 12:22	133:21 134:3,7,21	fully 29:8	geared 35:14
13:14 30:11 42:10	formal 14:11 34:18	fun 142:23 157:4	general 9:20 22:1
43:20 44:3 56:8	90:21 100:12 106:7	functioned 84:12	32:17 49:5 51:12
67:11 69:19 94:18	106:8 108:16 144:5	funded 63:10	58:2 65:17 92:20
95:14 96:16 109:20	formalize 38:5	further 123:2,9	104:20 105:9
111:4,5 122:18	111:12 112:14	130:6	152:23 153:23
124:4,5 136:16	formalizing 20:5	future 84:6 116:5,11	154:10
144:25	111:23 112:1 117:5	g	generally 84:7
fiscal 17:20 18:19	format 46:12 81:7	gaap 20:24 21:24	103:17
52:8,10,14,24 53:12	former 2:7 84:12	22:4,5 23:4,4,6,7,13	gentlemen 87:19
59:24 81:15 98:8	forms 134:12,16	24:2,7,8 25:1,13,19	geographic 82:17
fishing 3:12	fort 72:4	25:21 127:17	george 6:6,7 81:11
five 14:14 16:18,23	forth 101:6 109:9	galloway 7:5,5	81:12
80:10 148:5 156:22	forward 8:4,10	gamble 6:21,22 8:19	getting 28:20,23
156:24	16:17 110:7,10	38:17 87:16 92:5	39:12 52:6 53:16,17
flat 59:7	143:22 144:4,5,8,10	game 111:15	54:4 66:1 80:15
floor 12:1,3 34:7	144:14,22 149:7	games 55:6	82:19 84:1 94:23
50:10 86:8,14 131:3	153:21	gaming 1:3 2:15	139:17 146:20
143:6	found 8:2 42:20	3:12 4:24 6:15,19	151:2
florida 47:5	57:1 94:25 133:9	6:21,25 7:6 8:19 9:5	give 9:23 22:10
flow 130:3	134:10	9:17 17:6,20 18:18	28:15 33:19 37:15
fluctuate 61:24	four 16:22 80:9	19:2 20:24 21:6	46:21 51:23 61:14
focus 73:17	106:5 110:13,16,17	23:15 36:4 39:21	84:8 93:20 102:16
focused 56:3 88:5	110:19,25 116:16	44:17,18,21,25 45:2	105:2 130:18,21,24
folks 5:20,22 8:17	120:24 127:11	44:17,18,21,25 45:2	141:23 142:3
8:24 42:24 61:9	128:16,21 131:5	48:2,14,19 50:15,19	149:21 154:1,8,10
63:7 83:3 136:6	frame 30:5 35:12	50:21,22,25 51:3	given 11:17 31:3
138:12 140:14	36:10 58:19 68:25	, ,	57:15 69:13 76:23
141:22 142:4,9	76:1 98:6 106:18		93:1,4,8,13 94:20
146:14 152:20	117:19,24		107:6 149:15 158:8
		/0:19,21 8/:0,9	158:16
141:22 142:4,9	76:1 98:6 106:18	55:5,11,21,21 56:22 59:15 65:13 75:8 76:19,21 87:6,9	93:1,4,8,13 94:20 107:6 149:15 158:8

gives 55:19 64:11	71:12 72:5,8,9,10	gross 20:24 21:6	143:14 158:21
105:6 140:12	72:20,21 73:10,11	23:15 148:24	handle 26:9 79:1
152:17	74:10,11,14 76:7	ground 41:23	120:14
glen 96:6,8 143:15	80:14,23 81:3 82:3	group 12:5,22 13:8	handout 16:25
glitches 103:7	82:25 83:23 84:19	13:10 14:15,20	110:20,24 116:25
go 5:3,7,10 11:9,10	84:23 86:7 87:24	16:23 17:2,2 32:20	handouts 17:10
12:22 16:20 21:22	89:1 90:21 96:7,15	33:18 41:13 78:25	88:14 90:9 110:23
22:9,12 24:2 25:22	97:20 98:5,10	79:3 82:15,16,20	hands 48:25 94:9
32:3 33:16,23 34:17	106:18 109:24	86:7,13,15 87:21,23	151:6
35:3 37:17,17 47:2	110:14 118:20	88:5 90:11 91:13	hanging 84:19
49:20 53:8 60:25	122:21 127:3,8	101:20 104:16	hanson 6:20,20
61:17 62:18 76:7	133:24 136:1	106:5 110:10,13,13	happen 25:20 56:23
78:1 80:7 81:24	145:21 151:16	110:16,17,20,25	73:6
88:1 94:18 95:3	153:7,21 154:16,18	116:16 120:24	happened 53:16
98:10 100:18 105:3	155:13,18	127:11 128:15	56:12,13 68:7 84:5
107:3 108:23	good 6:2,4,6,8,10	131:5,5,6 143:7	86:18 94:6 123:9
109:22 110:7,13	7:5,20 8:8 9:1 12:25	148:5 156:21,22,24	happening 128:14
113:2 118:6,22	28:20 52:6 54:6	grouped 100:25	happens 19:15
122:2,11 124:18	65:15 68:1 69:4	groups 11:10 14:14	48:15 69:5 106:17
126:12 130:8 131:2	75:23 102:14	14:15 16:19,21,23	112:4 113:5 117:12
131:24 136:9	124:22,23 140:23	83:24	133:16 142:13
145:12,14 149:13	143:13 144:6	guarantee 106:9	153:11
156:20	145:21 147:2 157:6	guard 126:17,18	hard 29:10 58:21
goal 24:11 54:13	goodness 142:12	guard's 126:23	104:12,13 138:23
88:20 89:3	goods 32:7 63:1,12	guess 64:20 66:7	hardship 69:16
gobin 96:8,8 125:14	64:6,11 70:10	69:18 107:11	harris 44:13,13
143:14,15,25	govern 152:3	113:12 124:7,23	50:12 87:5,5
155:11,17	governed 126:6	136:14 139:25	hate 82:2
goes 54:14 58:25	governing 138:10	141:15 151:12	havoc 52:3
96:1 97:19 98:15	government 13:17	152:2	hawk 2:20 9:21
115:18 126:3	13:24,24 30:22	guidance 105:2	12:20,25 13:1 23:10
going 2:13 3:20 4:6	40:12 46:14 138:7	guide 60:20	23:14 29:18 36:3,16
4:10,14,16,25 5:1	145:22	guideline 128:1	38:4 49:9 68:3 88:4
8:2 10:14 11:4,5,10	governments 13:17	guidelines 43:16	98:25 105:19
11:13 12:21 16:16	34:20 39:22	45:4,5,23 46:7,11	109:19 110:18
16:20,21,21,22 17:2	gracious 142:12	75:16,23 131:11	117:14,18 118:3,11
17:2 18:10 21:24,25	gradually 4:4	151:22	119:14,21 125:1
22:6,12,19,20,22	grand 78:9	h	127:10 128:15
24:8 26:1,24 27:12	grant 63:22	half 13:5	132:8 133:18
29:2 31:11 34:7	grateful 3:22	hall 72:5	148:18 150:19
36:19,21 39:18	great 4:7 8:24 39:8	hammer 1:24 158:3	155:1
41:19,20 43:21	greater 38:1 105:6	158:22	hazardous 77:17
48:11 51:23 52:7	greatest 52:9	hand 77:16,18	heads 99:22
55:14 58:14 60:22	grew 138:3	101:12,13 121:16	health 30:1,16 39:23
61:3,8 67:4 70:7		101.12,13 121.10	40:14,24 76:13,22

[health - incorporate]

77:17	127:3 136:1 138:16	hurdles 23:7	impact 128:12
hear 20:2,21 21:10	138:16 140:21	hurry 49:20 145:24	148:25
21:15 22:18 25:9	154:9	i	impacts 32:24
26:8,21 31:24 32:19	helped 29:1	idaho 79:23 138:17	impeccable 140:22
33:11 41:20 49:24	helpful 15:20 46:23	138:22	imperative 95:2
49:25 50:3 60:15	51:10,15 60:22 61:3	idaho's 138:19	implement 84:18
63:17 64:13 67:6	93:18,21 94:10	idea 75:23 92:14	implementation
70:23 102:20	139:12	ideas 32:18 60:9,20	28:12
120:13 127:21	helps 52:12 61:8	67:7	implementing 54:20
137:17 145:24	hey 112:21 114:15	identification 126:2	80:16
155:13	151:7	identifies 75:15,21	important 22:3
heard 3:24 17:18	hi 104:9 154:12	identify 75:18	32:12 44:17 90:1
19:18,18 20:12,25	high 94:24	identities 80:4	91:17
29:15 32:9,17 33:15	higher 142:1,1	igra 31:1 47:25 57:8	impose 63:1,5,8
37:21 51:15 62:22	highlighted 77:8	0	140:17
65:24 73:3 79:10,13	hindsight 148:20	64:11 66:18 114:25 127:24 130:4 133:9	imposing 55:4
83:4 88:19,19 90:13	hire 142:9		inaudible 38:3 57:1
91:7 98:18 99:7	history 4:13 139:1	133:11 139:6	60:8 61:25 70:18
100:10 103:22	hit 11:13	146:22 147:5	71:5 86:19,25
104:24 105:23	hold 10:1	150:15 152:7,17	109:13
107:9,18 108:10,11	holding 69:15	155:3	incidence 94:19
112:21 116:22	holiday 8:20	ihs 32:4 63:11 64:2	incidence's 98:8
118:23 123:17	home 2:16 5:7,10	64:22 65:19	incidences 24:23
135:1 138:1 141:12	13:5 17:15	ii 27:24 28:12 55:7	inclined 43:14
hearing 8:10 10:13	honorable 145:3	117:2,7 119:23	include 16:10 23:22
19:21 28:2,25 40:4	honoring 145:4	156:22,23 157:1	24:24 31:6 73:14
51:13 58:24 60:11	hope 4:1,18 29:1	iii 17:6 25:25 26:6,9	83:20 102:9,14
60:14 61:3 91:2,5	145:9	26:12,15,17,17,22	104:17 122:18
98:24 106:19	hopefully 3:15 48:8	26:22,25 27:7,23,23	123:19 145:17
114:18	104:14	32:22,23 33:3,5,6,7	149:16
hearings 106:21	horrible 142:13	41:7 42:1,12,21	included 13:10
114:19	hose 11:13	45:2,22 46:1,3 55:5	17:19,22 20:11 21:1
heavy 125:4	hosting 7:24	55:6,7,13,18,20,21	22:5 24:19,20 30:4
heed 94:22	hotel 3:15 8:18	55:22 56:2,4,9 79:2	31:2 33:16 49:21
hefty 27:24 28:18	hour 50:5 84:1	79:11,15,18,20 80:2	66:7 88:7 89:19
101:2	hours 81:20	80:5,13,17,22,24	90:9,10 104:16
held 49:5 94:5 96:15	house 75:3,6,7 124:1	82:8,13 83:11,23	112:5 117:21
114:19 123:16	124:1 125:6 127:25	86:20 87:2,7,12	119:24 122:6
helm 6:22,22 38:16	128:1	117:2,7 119:23	includes 30:9 78:15
87:16,16 92:5,5,18	house's 142:23	122:16 143:8,10,16	88:6
134:6 137:14	human 117:12	143:20	including 39:14
help 27:16 28:13	humbly 130:8	illegal 45:12	101:10 107:12
29:11 51:25 54:21	humorous 125:13	immediately 116:10	inclusive 39:21
55:11 58:4 60:21	hundreds 62:11	134:23 135:12	incorporate 74:1
66:25 99:2 108:18	141141 VUD 02.11		
00.23 77.2 100.10			

[incorporated - issues]

		1	
incorporated 46:3	135:24 136:7,10,17	147:11 148:4,15	142:23
incorporating 25:14	136:20,23,24 137:1	150:7,8 151:8,15	investigative 111:18
incorrect 23:25	137:3 138:23	153:1 154:15,19	111:21
48:10 110:2	139:17 155:5	157:2 158:19	investigator 9:11,13
incorrectly 49:22	informed 34:22	interested 19:8,21	investment 121:11
69:1	inherent 66:14	91:5 92:16 107:24	investor 120:10
incumbent 73:13,15	initial 15:16 102:22	120:21	invite 156:3,15
index 16:24	102:25 104:2	interesting 106:10	157:5
indian 1:3 2:10,14	105:16	146:2	inviting 16:15
3:6 4:23 9:5 14:2	initiate 103:22	interior 64:3,4 65:1	involved 64:18 93:3
17:8 25:24 27:4	initiating 71:11	interiors 58:4	137:6 149:23
29:3 32:4,6,10,13	inn 8:20	internal 11:2 17:5	151:19
32:13,14 40:2,24	input 31:13 34:21	23:12 25:25 26:6,17	involving 22:2
42:19 44:17,24 45:5	35:3 82:21	26:19 27:6 44:16,24	issuance 114:4
45:8 47:22 48:2,14	inquiring 127:5	45:11,25 46:6,7,10	131:16 132:1
49:6 50:19,20,21	inquiry 14:18 16:11	46:17 55:17 56:4,4	133:11 134:21
51:6 54:22 56:3	29:20 49:11 79:6	63:19 75:22 79:2,20	147:21
57:8 58:5 62:22	90:18 105:17,20	81:17,19 102:2	issue 15:4 19:2,16
63:2,10,10,12,16,20	111:10 116:17,25	134:13 143:9,11	22:18 23:14 34:24
63:22,25 64:7,21,21	120:25 129:15	156:22	41:7 44:3,9 48:3
65:4,8,9,12 67:12	149:6	internally 23:4 24:1	50:2 64:22 71:10
70:1,8 81:16 114:25	insane 141:1	32:1 33:13 37:24	72:19 81:22 82:13
124:14,20 152:5	inspection 124:12	54:21 69:5 76:15	82:14 90:1,4,10,15
indians 6:25	instability 25:15	91:18 125:12	90:23 93:10 106:5
indicate 14:21	instance 74:2 96:16	interpret 63:7	109:3,12 111:23
indicates 89:9	138:13	interpretation 48:9	113:16 114:7,11
indicating 49:16	instances 73:4 89:14	48:9	116:7,23 118:20
individual 78:19	148:16 149:9	interpreted 47:22	120:23 122:14,22
112:22 124:20	150:15	interrupt 97:12	123:6 127:9,11,12
individually 77:15	institute 45:10,25	intervention 108:4	127:16 131:25
individuals 136:21	63:22,25	introduce 5:23,25	132:19,21 133:22
industry 20:13,18	institutional 120:9	7:16,19	134:24 140:7
29:11 44:17 45:13	instrument 154:20	introduced 13:1	143:17 146:16
45:21,25 54:19	instruments 153:3	intrusion 39:19	147:4,12 150:17
91:20 128:14 138:3	153:19,25 154:1	47:10	152:10,23
inform 84:5 108:18	integrity 44:20	investigating 98:19	issued 30:12 46:11
informal 91:2	intended 5:21 62:5	investigation 98:18	58:16 94:20 98:6
information 15:6	63:1 68:17 100:9	99:3 117:8 120:7	100:6 112:5 113:5,6
16:1 17:16 30:19,21	intensive 28:6	122:19,20,23 123:3	113:11,25 114:15
66:25 78:5 94:4	intent 44:19 48:7	123:8,12 124:3,5,6	115:6,14 119:2
98:22 111:19	49:25 50:19 68:3,4	131:13 132:12,20	131:23 132:6
112:23 113:1,15,19	108:15 125:17	143:5	133:14 146:12
113:23 114:3 117:3	intention 2:10	investigations	147:12 152:19,20
117:13,15,23 127:5	interest 121:20	110:15 111:2	issues 11:14 23:12
134:12,16 135:23	146:16,19,23	119:22 120:2	28:7 30:1 42:15

[issues - left]

1	1	1	1
43:14 56:21 75:22	keeps 82:13	105:15,22 107:21	land's 49:6
82:5 89:8 91:21	kept 103:8 125:18	107:22,23 108:12	lands 50:22 56:25
97:3,7 103:11 107:9	key 112:10,16 113:9	109:16 111:6	57:6,8,9,19,20
110:16 114:12	117:20 118:13	112:11 118:3,21	lane 66:17
128:3,6 137:6,24	131:20 138:5	124:24 125:10	language 37:11
139:16 141:19	139:18 140:10,11	126:3,11 128:10,18	38:11 49:24 50:14
143:8 144:8	140:22 141:4,16,17	129:4,19 131:7	55:16 64:10 73:21
issuing 100:9,14	146:7	135:9,17,22,25	74:21 75:20 78:15
132:12	kidding 124:25	136:3 138:3,4,13,18	89:4 98:21 120:15
it'll 80:10	kind 24:18 28:17	138:21 139:6,18	154:2,5
items 28:18 61:17	42:17 44:4,8 55:3	140:18 141:6,22	large 59:8 83:16
78:21	63:24 65:19 73:18	142:7,13,15 145:2	larger 61:23
itinerary 2:17	93:11 96:24 100:3	146:2 147:19	larry 125:1,2
j	102:3 106:22 118:2	148:15 149:8 150:7	late 18:22,22 19:1,2
j 1:24 158:3,22	129:4 130:1 137:24	151:11,14,23 152:4	19:7,12,20,23 58:17
j 1.24 138.3,22 jack 6:4,4 99:25,25	140:18 142:18	153:4 156:2	58:17 59:10 62:14
Jack 0.4,4 <i>JJ</i> .2 <i>J</i> ,2 <i>J</i> 100:22	154:18	knowing 42:24	94:7,7 109:8
jackson 6:14,14	kinds 58:1 148:16	knowledge 56:12	lately 155:6
james 6:18 35:16	know 2:7 4:8 8:13	62:2	law 33:2,2 40:12
59:14 77:14 109:1	8:14 9:9 10:14,21	knowledgeable 45:8	42:9 50:23,24 71:2
jamestown 6:13	11:1,23 12:13,14	known 4:3 42:19	103:16
133:3	21:10 22:12,14,23	148:25	laws 41:1 152:3
jim 35:5 38:16	24:21 27:10 28:10	knows 16:16	lawsuit 155:15
130:20 131:8	28:11 31:18,18 34:5	1	lawsuits 71:9
jo 2:20 9:19 23:7	35:24 36:1,11 37:21	labor's 41:3	lawyer 84:24,25
25:11 48:12 55:15	39:3,13,18,23 40:1	lac 146:11 147:11	142:15
68:11 118:16	40:18,19,22,24,24	ladies 3:22	lawyers 42:2 101:7
136:16 139:21	41:1,3,3,4,4,18,19	lady 2:7	107:24 153:15
148:15	42:2,3,3,5,6,7,15,17	lael 2:20 9:21 11:8	layer 19:3
job 1:25 29:10	43:3,4,6 44:1,2,4,7	12:20,24 13:1 23:6	lays 112:14
journey 5:7,11	48:12 51:9,18,21,24	29:13 33:21 36:2	leader 2:8
129:22	52:1,5,25 54:14,20	48:12 49:8 51:24	leaders 5:16,18,25
jr 6:9	54:20 56:10,12,21	58:14 59:4 88:2	7:10 10:17
july 1:12 2:1 54:10	59:6 60:11,13,21,23	91:15 110:16	leadership 2:11 3:2
158:21	61:5,5 63:14,15,21	124:24 148:15	95:9 96:12
jump 87:24	63:23 64:19 65:6,15	lael's 92:8	leading 150:2,4
june 31:23 54:10	66:5,24 67:2 68:17	lake 153:4 155:16	learn 84:14
jurisdiction 70:21	68:23 69:2,7,21	155:17	lease 150:23
71:2 80:3 83:10	70:23 72:2,12,24,25	land 47:18,22 48:14	leave 11:7 25:1,1
k	73:3,7,8,25 74:7,16	48:18 56:23,24	27:1,13,17 84:18
	75:19,24 76:1 82:11	57:13,14,15 58:5,5	135:4
keep 46:15 66:17	83:25 92:12 93:11	67:1,13 68:9,20,23	led 23:17,18
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	left 9:22 19:22 31:6
91:9 110:14 114:21	94:8 99:7,23 100:13	69:22 70:25 71:1	
131:7 145:17	102:11 103:6,8	69:22 70:25 71:1 125:22	31:20 121:15
	,	69:22 70:25 71:1 125:22	

[leg - majority]

lea 52,16,16	Baangag 20:10 40 2	Brog CO.14	140.0 151.17
leg 52:16,16	licenses 30:12 48:3	lives 62:14	149:2 151:17
legacy 3:6	71:22,23 119:10	loan 150:1,21	153:19 155:21
legal 42:13,15 43:14	licensing 13:11	local 40:11 41:2	looks 35:13 45:13
99:12 100:1,3,15	29:17 36:1,20 39:12	73:13,16,17 75:17	67:16 92:1 118:7
124:25 136:16	47:7 50:18 51:6	94:24	138:20 143:1
137:6 146:25	56:20 64:18 65:17	location 72:20	lose 66:2
152:24	65:24 66:3 71:4	long 2:7 11:12 31:13	lost 57:13 103:24
lending 153:3,5,19	72:19 110:15 111:2	36:7 39:13 46:17	lot 2:22 5:3 23:3
153:25 155:14	112:8 115:19,20	57:3 73:8,10 74:11	27:19 29:21 30:20
lesser 92:11	117:22 119:3,4,8	78:12 95:13 103:4	33:15 41:23 52:22
letter 89:5,9,15,16	142:7 143:8	116:8 147:4,6	53:21 56:21 57:10
90:4 92:12,23 94:14	lifetime 36:13	longer 5:9	57:14 60:12 65:11
97:21 98:6 99:4,23	lightning 125:4	look 8:4,10 17:12	65:18 70:23,24,24
122:19,22 123:3	likelihood 145:14	18:2 21:25 24:2	72:1 80:12 90:12,13
124:3,5 153:22	limitations 64:16	30:4 35:5 48:11	93:2 105:1 121:5
154:11	limited 39:20 43:22	55:14 56:14 68:22	122:7 130:11 135:1
letters 89:18 151:21	136:17	74:14 75:24 76:17	137:8 141:10 145:2
level 42:23 106:12	linda 6:22 87:16	78:1 80:14 81:2	145:21 149:12
141:3 142:1	92:5 134:5 137:13	82:3 90:19 96:6	151:4
levels 117:12	line 50:17 53:24	97:15,16,24 105:4	loud 39:2,4
lewis 6:16,16 82:13	58:13,18 59:16,25	105:22 107:5,12	love 31:24 33:11
liable 94:5	61:17 76:11 92:6	110:4 112:21 114:9	84:25
license 17:7 30:6	99:5,19 109:6 112:3	120:4 124:3,23	lunch 79:24 84:1,22
31:4 35:8,10,13	151:6 154:7	128:8,11 130:20	m
36:5,6,7,8,9,14	lingering 28:7	132:9 137:4 140:6	ma'am 131:10
47:25 49:14 50:13	152:11	147:2 148:19,21,21	machine 156:13
50:14 65:13 66:15	lisa 78:8	149:20 151:7	machines 156:24
68:7,8 70:20 78:24	list 65:12 88:6 92:9	looked 14:16 16:5	madam 60:6 64:15
112:4,6,16 113:5,6	135:10,14,18 156:5	30:3 63:23 64:1,2	70:17 75:3 77:14
113:8,11 114:1,4,7	listening 144:17	71:15 101:9 107:19	80:1 109:1 110:11
114:11,12,14,17,18	litigated 41:22	127:11 128:10	130:9 146:11
114:21 115:6,14	42:22	133:20 149:5	mail 101:14 102:9
118:10,12,20 119:2	litigation 153:10,15	looking 10:7 19:9	102:24 115:17
131:14,15,19,23,25	153:17	25:5,18,19 26:4	main 23:14
132:6,13,21 133:11	little 2:19,20 3:9,16	27:20 28:21 31:12	maintain 111:20
133:14 134:22,24	3:19 4:8,19,20 5:9	58:18 59:13,16	122:13
136:25	8:7,8 13:5 27:21	62:16 68:9 80:2,6	maintained 122:7
licensed 57:3,16	28:19 32:2 35:15	81:6 91:4,19 94:8	123:16
72:7 115:3 136:3,12	38:25 41:7 48:7	97:3 101:20,21,22	
136:22	67:14 76:8 80:7	101:25 107:22	maintaining 130:2 maintenance 30:14
licensee 113:16,25	81:13 86:18 105:13	108:5 109:4,15	76:19
114:10,13,17	113:13 114:5 118:7	112:15 116:20	major 71:14 72:5,8
115:15 136:7	130:18 132:7	117:19 131:15	major /1:14 /2:3,8 majority 121:2
licensee's 114:16	133:23 134:10	132:6 139:21	
		140:21 148:11	

2.160.24		4. 1. 10. 12	
making 2:16 9:24	materials 77:17	mentioned 40:13	mixed 63:18
10:3 14:12 15:2,5,8	113:1	48:10 68:4,11 78:11	modest 3:16
15:10,12,13 25:6	matherly 92:21,21	mentions 80:13	modified 84:20
34:18,21 35:2,4	93:25 94:1 98:4,5	met 3:15 77:19	moment 97:12
46:15 47:24 52:3	98:16 125:24,24	103:8	money 24:21 37:18
53:25 60:3 63:11	matter 14:17 42:9	method 64:16 79:15	37:25 38:1 40:17
68:10 108:17,18	43:16 50:24 76:21	101:11	43:17 139:4 150:12
109:21 144:5 145:6	98:19,20,23 138:7	methods 60:10	150:16 151:2
145:10 153:18	147:20 158:18	102:9	153:15
manage 10:8 28:20	max 6:8	mic 12:16,17 34:9	monitoring 142:22
132:18 150:5,8	mayhem 141:2	38:25	month 54:8
manageable 11:11	mean 24:3 25:25	microphone 12:13	monthly 36:23,25
66:16 115:22	43:7 60:13 61:2,22	39:6	37:6
managed 26:1	63:7 64:16,22 67:25	mics 26:25 27:23	months 31:12 69:15
management 55:22	68:17 73:8 84:12	29:2 32:22,23,25	72:14,25,25 98:15
91:3 104:18 106:13	86:18 102:16	33:3,6,7 41:8 42:1,6	monumental 130:10
107:2 109:11 111:3	103:15,18,18	42:12,21 43:15,22	morning 3:1 5:4 6:2
112:10,16 113:9	107:23 117:19,25	45:23 46:3 80:2,5	6:4,6,8,10 7:6,20
117:1,6,20 118:14	140:13 142:12	80:13,15,21,21,22	8:1,9 11:4 12:18,25
119:23 120:3 121:4	155:4	80:24 81:4,22,24	13:8 17:1 33:20
121:8,21 125:18	meaning 40:16	82:7,8 83:8,20 84:6	79:1,14 81:13 86:18
127:14 131:19	144:17	84:17 86:20,21 87:7	87:21 143:12,15
140:11,16 148:22	means 78:13,20	87:12 143:20	156:5
149:15,22,24 150:3	124:19 132:11	middle 68:18 153:14	morris 9:15
150:10,22 151:10	meant 38:5 52:18	milhullen 47:3,4	mother 35:18
151:17,20 152:18	125:15	48:20	move 16:16 18:9
152:25 153:19,25	mechanism 48:4	military 3:7 8:15	28:18 41:6 49:19
154:15,16 155:4	mechanisms 37:23	mind 74:5	63:14 84:23 110:10
mandate 118:9	37:25	mindful 145:16	143:22 144:4,5,8,10
153:1 155:2	meet 24:8 65:19	minimum 11:2 15:4	144:14,21
mandated 60:18	67:17,23 78:2 134:1	17:5 26:6,17,19	moved 53:25
115:9	meeting 10:1 145:18	27:6 51:21 55:17	moving 12:17,18
maneuvering	145:18,18	56:4,4 79:2,20	18:7 108:25 113:5
150:25	meetings 13:16,19	143:9,10 156:22	119:21
manner 30:15,25	13:23 24:15	minker 6:12,12	murder 9:20 141:2
40:23 78:13,20	member 7:22 9:5	133:3,3,16 134:2	murderer 142:11
134:15 143:23	13:2,20	minute 7:19 50:6	museum 4:10,17
144:19 155:22	members 45:18	minutes 50:5,7	n
march 18:1 54:1	117:17	78:24 128:18	name 7:21 9:3,20
mark 9:8,9 12:13	memorandum 58:3	miscellaneous 111:1	13:1 15:19 38:22
137:15	memorandums 41:2	miscommunications	39:7 56:16 57:3
marvin 21:21	memory 130:11	104:14	75:7 78:8 127:25
match 24:22	men 3:4 4:15	missing 61:12 75:20	135:11 136:8
material 111:18	mention 141:21	mistakes 43:5 57:12	133.11 130.0

[names - numbers]

names 10:4	30:8 31:11,14 49:17	131:15 133:10	115:12,13 116:25
nathan 56:17 72:4	71:15 74:24 75:18	134:20 135:24	118:2 120:25
87:11 135:7	89:13 92:3,25 93:4	136:20 137:15	129:15 132:10,19
nation 6:3,5,11 7:22	93:12 96:16 113:24	139:8 143:17,19,21	145:10 149:6
8:25 13:2 67:10	116:7 120:15	144:4,24 147:18	notices 35:3 89:18
69:25 81:12 99:12	124:24	152:7,23	93:1 94:20,23 95:3
99:25	neither 89:18	nigc's 43:9 45:2	100:6 101:3
national 1:3 2:14	net 127:12,16 128:4	47:11 122:5,20	notification 17:7
9:4 64:5 120:9	128:12 130:7	nigc.gov 15:24	18:18 35:8 69:12
139:11	nevada 135:17	120:18	81:21 109:3,3
nationwide 139:2	never 4:3	nigc.gov. 120:20	122:24 123:1
native 8:14	neverlands 125:20	night 103:15	131:15,17 132:4,5
nature 65:14 71:1	125:20	nimish 2:21 9:16	134:8
98:10 105:13	new 17:24 18:21	156:1	notifications 133:12
nauseating 32:21	24:8 30:23 35:14	ninth 49:4	notified 96:10,17,19
ncis 139:1	47:13 48:23 68:14	nisqually 104:10	96:20 135:12
necessarily 10:25	68:15 81:6 89:4	nj331347 1:25	notify 36:8 47:20
56:20 65:3 136:23	91:12 97:18,19,23	noi 79:5 104:20	69:20 91:11 104:7
141:8,18	98:22 118:1 128:1	108:11	112:17 113:10
necessary 70:3	131:13	non 45:8 142:8	114:1,22 115:1
132:3	newly 30:12	noncompliance 89:6	131:25 132:3,21
need 10:22 11:6,25	news 147:16	89:11,17 90:5 92:7	133:9
13:14,15 14:9 15:10	nice 8:4 13:3,6	92:23 97:21 98:7	nov 90:1,11 97:20
15:11 25:22 26:16	92:12	nonkey 142:8	98:11 100:18
26:20,23,23 27:16	nigc 4:25 8:18 15:24	normal 127:7	126:23 146:11,17
28:17 31:8,16,18	24:25 29:25 30:6,13	north 3:19 49:4	147:2,11,14,17
35:15 36:1,7,11	31:3,14 32:5,6,25	northwest 13:6	148:1
37:22 41:10 43:22	33:2,5 39:20 41:25	82:15,22	november 28:3
44:23 54:19,25	42:20 43:1,14,25	note 90:2 130:2	novs 58:16 94:23
63:14,15 65:4 66:3	44:5 47:6,16,17	135:18 146:9	147:21
72:25 73:14 76:17	48:4,21 49:14,19	notes 11:21	number 9:7 10:8
78:2 80:7 96:18	58:17 63:2,16 73:15	notice 14:17 15:2,5	14:15 15:15 17:17
98:3 106:9 108:2	77:22 78:1 79:13,20	15:8,9,11,12 16:11	28:7 29:19 31:12
116:2,9 117:7	81:17,21,24 82:6,7	16:11 19:1,16 29:20	33:4 34:23 35:13,18
122:11 127:22	82:11 83:20 86:22	30:6 31:3,8,14	43:22 51:16 52:9
136:25 145:5	87:2,11 93:16 94:2	46:14 49:10 58:15	53:24 55:16 58:16
150:12,15 151:14	102:22 107:18	66:20,23 79:6,6	58:16,24 59:17
151:24 153:14	109:9 111:7,17,20	89:6,8,11,17 90:5	60:25 88:18 106:3
needed 29:16,23	112:17 113:14	90:11,18 92:7,23	106:11,16 109:6,16
36:15 45:17 54:7	114:2,6,8,15,22	93:8 95:19 97:21	123:7,20 136:14
66:22 68:22 106:7,7	115:1 116:17	100:9,14 105:17,20	144:2 149:9
115:23	117:23 120:25	108:17 109:12,13	numbers 14:20
needing 34:1	121:10,12 122:16	111:10,16,19 113:2	54:18 88:17
needs 10:24 12:6,8	123:2,10,18 126:14	113:8,14,18,22	
12:10,14 27:10,14	126:19 127:4	114:6,8 115:2,3,6	
L	1	1	1

[oaths - paid]

	88:4 99:8 103:1,5	operation's 17.20	oshomo 21.21.21
0	112:24 131:10	operation's 17:20 24:21	osborne 21:21,21
oaths 158:5			29:1,5 38:3 52:21
object 114:4,4	149:11	operations 21:10	53:20 60:6 64:15
objected 114:13	oklahoma 13:2 33:5	30:15 31:17 44:21	65:10 70:17 84:16
objection 114:9,16	83:7,19	59:18 64:5 71:6	117:9,16,25 118:5
118:23 119:18	old 35:14 36:3,13	91:11	119:19
objections 116:22	81:6 111:8,8 152:1	opinion 45:24	osha 77:25
objective 66:23	once 47:19 51:18	152:24	osha's 41:3
obligated 97:1	94:25 100:17	opinions 82:17	ourself 132:9
obligations 43:23	102:22 108:24	opportunity 3:13,23	outlet 8:1
131:16	110:19 113:13,25	4:7,11 5:14 51:1	outlets 3:18
observation 68:2	119:2 131:12	86:11,12 97:15,22	outlines 89:4
observations 50:2	132:21 133:13,13	100:19 137:16	outlining 91:25
142:24	135:11	oppose 129:25	outset 63:6
obsolete 21:18,20	oneida 125:2	opposed 39:15 40:2	outside 55:17 83:14
obviously 8:19	onerous 139:11	47:9 52:8 73:1	117:11
31:16 32:9 94:8	ones 48:3 94:15	82:12 119:7 125:9	overall 66:23 104:16
118:13 138:4	136:16	option 91:2 93:14	144:1
occupational 39:23	online 116:15	options 28:22	overload 11:11
occur 67:23 112:6	onsite 122:13	order 10:5 11:1 14:1	overlooked 115:7
occurring 158:16	000 2:3 86:3	34:19 63:24 76:2	overpayment 53:4
occurs 112:7	open 4:2 10:24 12:2	81:8 109:14 113:24	53:11
offend 43:7	13:19 21:14 25:23	128:7 129:20 145:5	oversee 73:14 94:15
offer 45:2,7 67:8	28:25 29:23 34:7,9	orders 101:4	oversight 133:1
86:10	36:11 50:9 67:4	ordinance 27:12	owned 63:2 65:8,12
offering 73:21	86:8 97:5 129:13	46:3 76:3 83:2 91:3	120:8
office 9:21 52:3 58:2	131:3,7 135:4,4	96:13 106:13 116:6	owner 150:22
58:4 63:15 100:11	143:6 147:20	116:6,9,11 117:22	ownership 57:2
103:18 152:23	opened 4:10	119:4,13 140:3	р
153:22 154:10	opening 2:5 12:1,5	141:17	p.m. 85:3 86:2 157:7
offices 21:11	45:12 66:20 69:15	ordinances 17:5	-
official 32:3 35:2	86:14	26:12 29:15 33:1	packet 11:16 13:8 15:16 16:19 17:4,11
90:25 93:5 101:3	openly 126:23	46:8,19 49:5 55:22	17:15 29:19 33:16
112:17 113:9	openness 137:17	75:12,15,21 79:19	88:7 99:2 122:5
117:21 118:14	opens 48:16	86:21 104:17 116:5	
126:2 131:19	operate 58:2	118:3	packets 13:12
120.2 131.19	operating 66:11	ordinarily 124:21	page 19:9,10 30:11
	operation 18:19	oregon 79:23 138:16	58:13,13 59:16
officials 14:9 106:20	19:2 28:14 31:11,15	organization 124:21	61:17 76:10,11
112:10 140:16	36:4 44:25 45:17	organized 9:25	77:24 97:17 99:4
offs 130:6	59:6,8,9,11 76:20	119:12	109:4,5 120:4,5
oftentimes 150:11 oh 61:15	101:14 109:11	original 50:19	127:12 153:13
	123:17 124:14	103:14	156:6
okay 3:15 12:12,19	125:8 126:3 146:24	originally 56:10	paid 38:2 55:11
37:19 41:17 59:13		57:1,11	
		7	

[paper - policy]

	I	I	1
paper 34:14	pass 93:11 118:23	43:24 89:21 90:7	147:6 152:3 156:2
papers 122:6 123:16	126:21	92:24,25 93:6,13,15	158:9,16
paperwork 53:21	patiently 33:22	97:14 98:11 113:21	places 5:3 90:16
parallel 28:5,19	pattern 151:21	114:14 116:13	96:1 106:23
park 126:25	pause 134:4,4	118:13 120:16	plan 61:8
parking 19:5	pawnee 13:2	128:24	planning 134:8
part 2:16 3:11 13:11	pay 19:7,12,14	periodic 38:17	play 36:15 42:16
17:3,4,5,6,11,12	23:20 37:6 38:12	periods 10:20	44:7 64:19 129:4,16
21:19 29:21,23 42:7	43:24 44:7 51:16,18	perk 101:8	133:24 139:25
43:3 45:22 46:4	61:10 87:12 109:8	permanent 143:2	played 24:22
56:7 57:11 58:11	109:11 139:7,24	permit 30:10	playing 10:8
64:19 66:23 75:20	paying 19:20 148:23	permits 67:13,16,25	pleadings 102:19
82:18 84:24 88:8,15	payment 18:7,22	68:16	103:1,14 104:2
89:2 91:16 95:8	19:7,12 37:9,12	person 101:14	please 5:11,19 8:21
97:9,9 100:4 101:1	payments 18:7,8,11	103:24 118:15,22	15:18 34:5,6 51:2
101:10 106:18	18:14 51:14 58:17	123:16 124:15,19	59:18 87:4 92:20
110:21,21,23,24	62:14 109:7	125:10 140:23	147:23 153:25
112:1,1,5 113:4	payout 21:7 23:16	150:20	154:8,9 156:14
116:10,24 120:5	23:21,23 24:20 25:3	person's 70:21	pleasure 7:22
121:7 122:4 125:25	25:22	personal 16:4 56:11	plus 105:1
129:16 135:5	pays 62:9,11	personnel 127:6	pmo 140:10,22
participate 13:18	pearl 2:12	perspective 143:18	141:4,18 142:8
111:7,15	pedophile 142:11	pertaining 77:16	pmos 138:5 140:16
participated 144:2	penalty 19:4 62:15	80:17 81:9	poignant 43:13
participating 3:7	pending 28:13	phase 14:23,24	point 8:11 11:12,21
particular 11:14	people 3:6 4:12	phases 14:22	12:2,5 16:19,25
31:21 35:19 44:14	10:17 20:19 21:10	phillips 9:8,9 12:13	19:15 20:11 31:19
49:25 60:24 76:11	22:11 23:21 53:18	99:9	33:23 41:12 48:21
76:24 97:13,13,16	61:10 82:17 104:10	phone 50:7	51:25 69:4 73:23
101:20 105:3 106:5	115:19 117:3	phrase 68:16 78:12	74:19 83:25 86:8
106:5 108:6 109:23	120:21 129:19	phrased 69:1	87:25 94:9 110:1
111:23	135:10 140:21	pick 66:8	113:10 114:20
particularly 14:3	151:1,19	picture 74:5	115:11 119:24
44:18 102:6	percent 93:20	pictures 4:16	123:21 125:6
parties 101:3 103:1	111:14 148:24	pieces 11:11,24	127:10 128:7
103:4 104:3,6 108:4	percentage 19:19	pilot 111:6,7,12,13	153:17
121:23 122:7,22	58:12,21,23 59:5,9	112:2 114:24	pointed 118:16
147:21	61:24 62:2,6,7,10	116:12 132:24	pointing 125:5
partnership 124:20	62:17,17	137:13	points 113:12
parts 12:23 13:10	percentages 146:21	place 27:2 37:23	policy 17:9 43:12 50:25 62:24 63:19
			1 SUL75 6777/ 63710
17:11 59:12 88:10	percentile 61:18,20	43:20 46:6 48:14	
111:25 128:20	perform 82:7	51:21 57:2 74:12	65:6,15 81:17
111:25 128:20 131:4 133:5	perform 82:7 performs 45:20	51:21 57:2 74:12 77:9 84:14 94:18	65:6,15 81:17 111:24 139:10
111:25 128:20	perform 82:7	51:21 57:2 74:12	65:6,15 81:17

[pool - promulgation]

pool 140:14	preface 101:18	126:4 131:19	64:25 67:12,17,24
port 6:20,22 38:17	prefer 54:17	140:11,16	68:15 69:2,13,14
87:16 92:5	preferably 48:15	print 41:22	72:15 80:7,10,24
portal 136:5	preference 42:11	printed 70:24	81:3,7,9 84:25 89:5
portion 12:22 33:23	44:3,4 64:10,21	prior 29:14 34:23,24	89:25 90:14,15,21
54:24	82:6,11	47:24 52:2 55:3	96:23 97:6,20 102:3
portland 1:4	preliminary 14:23	100:9,13	105:24 106:9
posey 2:8	15:14 18:3 20:8	priority 14:16,21	108:17 110:7 112:8
position 42:18 43:8	52:22,23 53:1,8,25	privacy 137:2	112:15 115:8,18,20
positive 5:1 52:6	54:16 61:7 131:12	private 106:19	117:21 118:12
143:23 144:19	premature 154:25	121:11	119:3,3,10 120:3,11
possesses 56:1	premise 65:13	probably 39:11 44:1	121:8 134:15,19
possibility 27:19	premises 65:18	55:2 57:24 62:5	135:5 142:2 144:5,9
91:21 140:1 157:2	124:11,14	65:5 74:16 75:23	144:13 145:20
possible 18:4 69:10	premium 3:17	86:5 87:24 98:20	147:22 149:20
90:3	preparation 24:15	109:23 111:8	processes 20:5 65:2
possibly 46:17 99:24	prepared 10:1,18	124:23,24 126:15	65:3 83:17 101:23
posted 11:19,20	34:8 86:13 87:22	132:6 136:15 137:8	102:2 105:10 136:2
15:24 17:12 120:22	prepaying 18:11	142:5 155:11	143:9
147:2,14	present 57:7 72:2	problem 25:20 27:3	processing 116:18
posting 16:14	103:18 126:1	43:9,10 141:7 154:5	procure 63:16 64:6
postpone 69:19	presentation 13:13	problematic 103:19	64:11 65:17
potential 17:8 19:23	presented 3:13	problems 48:17	procurement 63:3
48:9 97:3	104:25	52:10 53:3 103:12	64:5,16,25
potentially 94:7	presenting 41:23	104:23,25 136:14	procuring 65:9
power 11:12,21 12:2	presents 27:3	137:11 140:13	product 145:11
12:5 16:19,25 20:11	preserve 98:21	procedural 106:7,8	products 32:13
33:23 51:25 86:8	presiding 90:25	procedure 47:19	profession 105:12
87:24	106:20	83:8 94:2	professional 45:8
practical 136:19	pretty 64:22 73:4	procedures 112:4	program 65:11
137:5 138:11	107:16 145:21	proceed 26:24 28:25	111:6,7,12,13,15,23
142:15 144:6	149:10 150:17,20	79:14 84:6	112:2 114:24
practically 139:8	151:4,11	proceeding 90:11	116:12 132:24
practice 134:14	prevail 42:23	91:1 122:19	136:6 137:13
practices 22:2,4	prevent 18:11	proceedings 88:11	prohibited 50:23
65:18 102:18	previous 30:18 35:9	100:25 102:12	prohibits 50:25
pragmatic 43:12	53:9 77:21 84:11	106:2,16,20,25	promotions 24:18
prayer 2:5	87:18 93:1	107:1 108:20 158:7	prompt 66:2
preclude 123:2	previously 37:8 46:8	158:11,16	prompted 148:10
predecessor 39:14	primarily 48:12	process 12:21 14:10	promulgate 27:2,6
predecessors 40:6	155:6	14:12,22 16:14	43:17,20 44:6
predicting 54:22	primary 44:14 45:4	24:13 25:5 26:3	promulgating 14:5
preenforcement	48:1 90:6 91:7	28:3 30:10 34:18,21	42:12 43:15 79:12
89:4	112:10,16 113:9	35:2 40:9 44:24	promulgation
	117:20 118:14	46:15 47:17,23	143:19 144:4

proper 127:3	99:20 110:6	qualifies 67:12	rcw 158:4
properly 102:3	provisions 29:20	qualifying 67:16	reach 145:19
property 32:7	55:24 71:21 76:8,16	quarterly 18:7,8,10	reached 96:24
propose 22:7,22	77:9 91:24 95:8	18:13 51:14,16,17	read 12:9 41:13
139:5	105:23 108:2,3,7	51:23	67:15 70:23 124:4
proposed 15:2,5,8	153:20,24	question 14:19 15:6	148:2
15:10 16:9 21:25	public 13:19,21 30:1	29:3 32:22 42:21	reading 134:2
35:3 47:13 66:6	30:16 40:14 50:25	47:12 55:2 57:5	ready 110:13
71:19 94:14 101:9	76:14,22	58:7 59:20 70:8,15	real 101:1 124:22
108:17 137:18	publication 17:25	79:5 81:18,23 92:22	125:7,9 138:23
145:10	publicly 110:22	99:10 100:21	140:25 142:14
proposes 18:21	147:23	103:19 104:20	146:7
proposing 22:8	publish 20:8	105:21 117:9 124:2	really 8:15 18:6
60:22	published 20:7	126:24 129:1,14	20:13 24:3 26:4,25
proprietary 121:19	pull 29:16 119:6,7	132:2 148:9	28:6,15,19,20 51:25
146:16,19,23	punch 141:10	question's 26:25	52:1,6,12,18 53:18
147:10 148:4,15	punt 136:16	questioning 29:25	55:5 59:8 60:18
149:13 151:15	purchase 32:13 63:1	questions 12:15	62:21 63:23 70:20
153:1 154:14,19	63:12 70:10	20:3,10,12 34:3,8	83:12 91:18 107:24
157:2	purchasing 32:6	35:18 38:21 50:10	119:12 128:13
propriety 151:8	purohit 2:21 9:16	51:2,5,9,12 58:1,6	141:5 142:15 146:9
protect 45:17	156:4,9	65:23 66:2,3 67:11	150:17,25 152:13
121:19	purpose 91:25 92:3	77:16 79:8 120:12	reason 23:4 61:22
protection 44:19	purposes 45:5 127:5	147:24 152:14	72:18 84:4 102:13
protects 30:16 76:21	pursuant 158:4	quick 91:13 155:1	103:16 132:25
77:10 78:14,20	purview 47:11	quickly 46:14 68:21	135:20 136:13
proud 4:11	purviews 66:11	95:5	142:17
prove 8:22 46:16	put 16:12 20:15	quiet 75:4 105:13	reasoning 81:25
69:16	36:13 38:6 42:17	quil 1:9	reasons 83:13 119:1
provide 17:21 24:12	49:10 51:8,21 56:23	quite 22:18 42:25	139:10 142:20
44:19 48:4 66:24	62:6 68:5 74:3 76:9	55:20,25 59:7	148:10
76:20 78:4 89:20	79:6 91:12 93:11	105:23 113:17	rebuilding 74:13
92:24 93:13,15	98:20 106:25 112:7	125:13 139:15	recall 18:9
95:19 97:25 105:17	115:24 119:5,10,16	r	receipt 113:22
113:1 117:23 118:4	124:24 135:9 141:3	raise 69:4 143:14	receive 19:4 92:11
123:10,11 131:13	148:23 149:6 156:5	raised 144:7,8	120:1
150:21	puts 43:1	random 126:12	received 29:21
provided 46:11 89:7	putting 24:6 68:18	rate 17:24 18:1,4	34:24 40:9 49:10
92:7 113:7 131:17	75:13 77:12 129:6	20:8,9 52:25,25	59:3 103:25 105:16
provides 50:15 89:5	q	54:2,9 61:7 150:24	106:4 111:10
92:24 112:20	qualifications 77:20	rate's 150:7,8	113:14 116:16
providing 44:20	140:18	rationale 75:17,24	receiving 82:21
provision 30:9 31:2	qualified 32:13,14	rayanne 9:15	recess 50:8 131:1
47:21 48:8 49:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		recessed 85:2
76:12,24 77:1 99:1			

[reckoned - repurchase]

	1		1
reckoned 2:9	reg 12:21 21:20 30:4	regulations 10:9,12	reminder 35:1
recognize 9:7 10:16	30:18,23 49:12,16	13:9 14:14,19 17:13	remodel 31:10
32:11 44:16 66:14	58:9 59:12 63:19	17:14 18:16 26:6,14	72:16 73:8,10
66:21 96:17	65:6 69:8 77:5 79:4	26:15 28:1 39:16,24	removal 18:5
recognizes 44:23	reg.review 120:18	39:24 40:2 43:16	renegotiate 154:6
48:1,2	120:20	47:8,8,14 53:5 64:1	renew 35:10,11,13
recognizing 8:12	regard 25:24 26:3	64:2 80:14 81:8	71:22
144:7	47:15 50:17 83:18	88:6,9,11 100:5,24	renewal 35:12,20,24
recommend 46:10	151:23	101:20,22 111:11	71:20,21
124:9 126:6	regarding 26:6	112:3 115:10 118:8	renewals 17:7 35:20
recommendation	47:12 121:6 143:20	126:5 136:21	50:13
78:17 87:6 104:2	regardless 62:7	137:18 140:9 144:3	renewed 30:12 36:9
124:7 134:20	regime 81:6,6	155:20 157:3	renovation 72:8
recommendations	region 1:4 31:17	regulators 28:10	73:22 74:15
69:17 75:14 76:4	80:9,11 91:12	48:2 60:25 95:23	renovations 72:5
87:10	115:18 116:2	96:18	73:17
recommended 22:5	156:16	regulatory 1:5	renumbered 76:10
46:18 91:1	regional 9:9 156:12	16:12 18:13 28:14	reopen 98:23
reconvened 85:3	regions 80:9 91:10	33:8 50:16,17,19	reopens 31:5
record 10:19 12:7	112:12 115:7,20	55:6,12 60:8 75:17	repeal 27:2 29:14
12:11 38:22 39:7	134:10,13,17 135:1	75:17 79:7 114:25	143:19
40:21 41:4 59:14	135:2 145:19	129:10 137:4	repealed 21:19
79:11 129:25	regs 11:24 13:11	reissued 72:19	repeat 32:21 113:3
158:15	26:2,22 27:20 70:4	reiterate 112:9	repeatedly 99:7
recorded 158:12	79:12 90:19 91:4	relate 151:9	repetitive 145:3
recording 39:1	134:1 143:17 149:9	related 70:18 111:2	replaced 45:23
records 122:6,13,16	151:23	158:17	replaces 30:17
123:15 124:12	regular 46:13	relation 45:20 88:14	replacing 31:9
125:17,23	regulate 50:21	relationship 76:25	report 94:16
redacted 147:15,15	55:11	relative 51:7	reported 1:24
reduce 66:19 120:7	regulated 86:22	release 148:3	reporter 158:4,23
121:23	87:2 120:10	released 53:10	reporter's 158:1
reemphasize 33:25	regulating 45:5	147:17,18	reporting 76:12
34:10	regulation 10:15	relevant 61:6	127:2
refer 13:12	17:3,9,25 20:15	relicense 72:10,14	reports 111:21
reference 33:5	21:18 31:6,22 32:4	relicensed 74:25	represent 39:15
67:10	35:19 36:3,13,14,16	relicensing 72:7	representative
referenced 109:24	38:7 45:22 48:24	remain 62:3	124:13 126:7,14
122:18	50:15 62:24 77:21	remained 127:17	representatives
references 110:2	86:19 87:7 88:8	remaining 127:23	126:1
referring 38:17	89:20 93:3 109:9,16	remedy 93:10	representing 2:9
68:13 110:3 132:16	111:5,24 112:25	100:18 133:1	15:20 138:18
reflect 97:8	115:24 117:6	remind 8:17 39:5	represents 142:5
refresh 130:11	122:11,15 143:19	reminded 34:11	repurchase 57:14
	156:25,25 157:1,1	155:24	

magnage 77.6 112.15	respondent 89:7,21	reviews 49:20	roles 96:1
request 77:6 113:15 114:3 130:8 134:15	· · ·	revise 27:2 29:21	
	response 37:21		rolling 98:7 110:14
138:25 139:3,13	responsibilities	revised 50:14	ronde 78:9
149:4 156:18	48:13 50:17 96:18	122:15 127:14	roof 31:10 72:17
requests 138:24	responsibility 43:4	revising 90:20 152:7	room 2:22 5:16 10:5
148:18 156:15	70:21 74:9 121:17	revision 73:24	63:13 101:8 146:14
require 32:6 40:25	149:14 150:14	revisions 47:7 66:7	146:14
49:6 72:6,18 74:11	responsible 96:15	67:3,8 102:5 122:8	route 32:3 39:19
101:5 121:1 122:13	responsive 108:10	revisit 153:10,14	rule 14:12 15:2,5,8
required 32:12 36:4	rest 4:17 33:19	revocation 114:19	15:10,11,12,12 16:9
45:20 71:3,7 77:18	43:23 44:5 87:13	revoked 135:19	34:18,21 35:2,4,8
115:23 132:24	89:3 137:15	136:12	46:15 108:17,17
139:7	restate 129:1	rgt 156:7	110:4,4 144:5
requirement 18:12	result 25:20 94:22	rich 3:6	145:10
18:13 24:9 30:17	113:2	richard 6:6 81:12	rules 14:6,24 62:1
35:11 49:13 51:22	resulting 46:4	right 4:9 7:17 9:11	90:16 104:22 105:1
68:12,14,15 72:10	141:19	9:16,17,18 12:8,11	105:5 106:8,9 107:3
77:5,11 80:20 83:21	results 111:16,20	17:1 22:24 27:1	108:5
requirements 76:12	112:18 113:8,14,18	29:7 33:24 34:12	ruling 49:4
134:1 146:22	113:23 114:7 115:2	41:16 46:24,25 47:1	run 46:17 86:6
requires 30:24	115:13 131:18	50:21 54:16 62:25	127:8 139:19 141:7
35:23 45:22 107:14	132:4,11,20 133:10	64:25 66:14 75:5,11	151:25
114:25 121:17	133:13	77:3,8 84:13 86:10	runner 12:16
132:15 138:6 139:6	resume 131:3	88:7 97:9 98:21	running 12:17 31:17
requiring 47:22	retain 57:13	100:23 101:12	31:18
48:25 121:3,9	retaining 57:10	102:14 103:24	rv 126:25
reservation 56:22	retains 45:16	106:19 108:5,19	S
56:25 57:7,12,19	retention 131:16	114:17 124:12	s'klallam 87:17 92:6
68:19 71:5,8 138:19	return 50:6	125:7,23 128:4,20	s klanam 87:17 92:0 safe 5:7,11 141:2
reserve 5:17	revenue 20:24 21:6	130:13 133:8	safer 104:5
reserves 129:6	23:15 54:22 127:13	141:11,11,15,20	
130:2	130:7 146:21	146:4,6,7 148:20	safety 30:1,17 39:24
resolutions 17:5	revenues 127:16	151:17 153:14	40:14 76:14,22
resolved 53:20	129:8	rights 33:2 57:10,14	saint 138:20
resort 1:8 88:25	review 1:5 12:21	65:11 90:16 119:3	saintly 138:22
resource 117:12	14:18 16:12 17:4	147:22	sake 39:5
resources 14:18	58:9 60:2 78:2 79:7	risk 42:25	salmon 3:11
150:17	90:22 109:2,22	risks 121:23	salt 3:10
respect 42:18 75:6	113:24 121:5,18	river 25:24 27:4	satisfied 118:7
124:16 129:6	129:11 131:12,21	29:3 56:3	save 153:15
137:23	146:17 149:7	road 4:8 108:11	saying 77:12 79:24
respected 82:21	reviewed 16:3	153:9	102:17 122:8,9
respectful 145:4	129:21,22	robert 44:13 87:5	123:3 141:16
respond 68:6,21	reviewing 35:7	role 39:21,22 48:1	155:13
69:6,7 95:22 117:24	39:23	92:2	
57.5,7 75.22 117.21			

$aava = 14.7 \ 10.14$	sections 11:10 18:15	sense 18:6 20:19	shanning 2:19.21
says 14:7 19:14 30:14 53:24 59:16	18:17 104:16	52:1	shopping 3:18,21 4:8,20
80:20 98:19 109:6	security 126:17,18	sensitivity 12:6	short 37:24 72:25
113:22 114:15	126:23	sent 30:13 31:14	short 37.24 72.23 shoshone 21:22
115:25 120:6	see 4:5 8:4,24 9:1	105:19,20 120:20	44:14,15,15,22 45:6
	,		
124:11,18,19 131:17,24 132:18	12:23 13:7 15:15	sentence 67:11,14	45:13 46:9 51:2
,	29:19 52:9 53:15	separate 28:5,18	56:17 75:8,9 87:6,8
133:9 151:7	58:18,20 60:9 61:11	52:17 58:9 80:4,10	87:10 124:2 128:1
scenario 69:6	63:23 67:15 68:23	86:23,24 100:4,7	135:8
schedule 10:18 34:2	70:24 73:14 80:15	112:2 132:15	shoshone's 45:24
144:13	80:16 88:6 101:8	154:14,18	shout 9:23
scheduled 11:2 12:7	102:4,11 108:22,24	separated 73:7	show 74:2 143:4
schooled 64:7	113:21 115:10	sequentially 111:5	showcase 4:17
scoping 150:3	122:17 124:18	serious 42:21 90:3	shown 45:1
scott 7:3 38:23 39:9	127:19 128:11	92:10,13	shrink 145:13
40:7 44:11 67:2	129:5 135:1 140:12	serve 63:9 101:3	shut 103:15
81:21 129:2 137:21	150:6,24 151:3,5,21	served 102:23	shy 5:20
137:22 142:21	152:15 153:11,24	103:14	shyloski 2:21 9:19
scour 151:20	155:4	service 3:5 95:7,19	9:20 25:12 49:2
scrutiny 142:1,2	seeded 56:25 57:9	101:2,6,7,10,16	55:19 57:5,18,22
search 141:9	57:21	102:7,9,16,25	83:18 99:20 100:4
season 72:22	seeing 54:19 79:23	103:22 104:4	102:18 103:21
seasonal 31:7 70:15	109:17 120:21	services 32:7 63:1	118:8 133:8 136:19
71:25 72:13 73:1	145:17	63:14,15 64:6 70:10	139:23 140:5
75:19	seen 5:2 14:24 16:10	87:13 103:17	141:11,20 152:5,9
seat 47:1	27:20 48:17 49:11	session 86:1	152:17
seating 7:10	72:17 80:12 154:4	set 18:4 22:22 35:25	side 103:11 150:11
seats 94:25	self 43:3 126:6	54:9 61:7 62:17	sightseeing 4:21
seattle 3:17	138:7,10 156:25	83:9 84:17 93:19	sign 58:20
second 69:9 115:5	157:1	105:5 109:9 134:22	significant 29:22
134:7	,	sets 101:6	40:5
secondguessing	52:2	sexy 102:6	signing 154:7
121:14	seminole 47:4	shannon 7:5	similar 41:20 67:5
seconds 133:17	senate 34:16 152:5	share 4:12,13 5:4	76:4 81:7 125:12
secretarial 83:8	send 13:22 30:18,23	59:2 82:24 96:23	simply 19:11 20:4
secretary 63:21	31:8 37:25 38:18	135:23 136:18,23	30:24 41:25 42:9
section 14:7 18:21	49:13 68:6 111:17	137:3,16 154:2	90:3 105:2 113:1
31:21 38:4 47:13	111:19 115:2,3,12	sharing 5:6 135:24	123:2 135:3
49:18,25 51:11	115:13 120:18	sharon 75:7 124:1	sips 11:14
59:16 66:24 68:5	132:10 133:7	125:4,11 127:25	sir 23:2 44:12 46:20
84:23 88:4,17 90:7	134:23 153:13	142:4,23	50:11 51:4 52:20
97:15,19 99:16	sending 76:1	she'll 29:16	86:16
109:3,6,15,23 120:6	sends 114:6,8	sheldon 2:6	sit 5:20
120:24 124:10	senior 9:14	shelley 7:12	site 49:6
131:16,24			

[sites - statutory]

gitag 122.6	con 150.22	specifies 147.12	142.0 11 156.22 22
sites 122:6	son 150:22	specifics 147:13	143:9,11 156:23,23
sitting 8:4 95:7	soon 3:20 88:13	speed 16:6 145:16	standing 147:4
101:8 107:10 142:6	91:9	spell 67:20	start 5:23 7:17
situ 89:15	sooner 54:9	spelled 92:25 93:7	34:21 37:12 51:9
situation 22:11 53:6	sorry 23:10 35:17	93:12 100:16	68:9 71:7 88:2
80:3 89:16 119:8	56:16 70:6 74:18	105:25 106:1	91:16 101:1 113:21
139:16	99:14 109:4 124:16	spelling 93:14	134:2 144:9
situations 83:6 90:2	sort 16:24 18:22,23	spencer 6:2,3 99:11	started 34:17
106:14 155:8	19:3 21:3 23:7,20	99:11,16,19	starting 58:18 66:2
six 13:4 72:13,24	24:5,21,23 25:4,5	spending 43:17	76:11
81:20 93:10 98:14	27:24 28:12 31:19	spent 41:23	starts 53:7
154:1,8	33:7,12,18 47:24	spokane 6:24,25 7:2	state 15:19 23:9,9
size 59:6	49:15 53:18 68:7	7:4 9:2 39:10 41:19	26:18 38:21 39:7
skoho 3:8 4:12	70:17 73:3 88:5,25	41:22 42:11 43:8	40:11,25 41:1 42:25
slape 104:9,9	89:13,14 90:16	44:1 79:25 81:22	50:24 80:25 82:6,12
slate 98:12	99:22 103:9 106:11	92:22 94:1 98:5	104:12 131:11
slide 120:19	106:12,20 107:22	125:25 129:3,25	138:6,13,15 141:9
slip 22:12	109:21 110:25	137:23 138:18,19	143:18 146:20
slot 150:23	114:23 115:5,7,8	138:24	158:5,23
slow 46:15	123:23 135:25	spokane's 43:9	stated 58:14 158:9
small 55:1 56:8,16	139:16 141:21	spot 74:4	158:17
56:17,19 57:9,20	148:20 149:5,12	spring 52:24	statement 10:22,24
58:10 59:7,10 72:4	sorts 48:16	sprinkler 126:17,22	12:7,9,9,10,15
72:4 73:12 74:7	sound 35:17 124:22	126:24	46:22 81:13,16
75:1 86:17 87:11	139:10 142:20	spurred 72:1	89:19 92:1 94:13
94:12 95:12 135:7,7	southern 26:13	square 3:21	144:1
smaller 61:23	sovereign 150:15	stack 151:6	statements 10:18
smoothly 145:14	space 3:21 63:13	staff 2:22,23 6:1	11:6,25 18:25 34:8
snoqualmie 7:12	speak 5:18 15:18	7:16 9:8,24 10:6	52:14 54:4,5 59:19
59:15	16:4,15 39:6 41:19	16:7 43:17 60:12	60:2 86:13 87:22
soak 44:4	82:2,9 143:13	82:4 137:15 138:2	109:7
sole 121:19 146:16	147:13,20	140:24 142:11	states 42:16 50:20
146:18,23 147:10	speaker 6:24	stage 144:14	57:13 89:5 138:14
148:4,14 149:13	speaking 108:12	stages 11:15	139:17 141:8
151:8,15 152:25	139:9 153:2	standard 20:14	status 47:18,19,23
154:14,19 157:2	speaks 148:1	36:24 45:25 107:16	57:16 67:1,13 68:10
solely 155:3	special 8:11	134:7	68:19,22 69:22
solicitor's 58:4	specific 23:15 27:25	standards 11:3 14:8	136:11
solid 140:23	49:6 51:9 65:23	14:9 17:6 21:25	statute 21:2 27:5
solution 27:16	78:7	22:22 26:1,7,18,19	107:14 114:24
somebody 75:25	specifically 50:22	27:7,23,24 44:16,24	115:9,23 132:18,22
79:24 105:9 141:9	53:23 118:19	45:11,14,21 46:10	133:21 140:6 153:1
someone's 31:9	133:11	46:18 55:18 56:5	statutory 18:12 24:4
somewhat 111:1	specificity 129:5	65:20 77:25 78:3	25:2 55:15 107:19
		79:2,21 84:7,17	117:24

[stay - talk]

stay 3:14 4:19 5:9	144:20 147:9	subsection 67:3	103:7 115:19 116:3
16:6 60:8,19 72:20	144.20 147.9	substantial 100:14	133:24 139:15
staying 3:15 8:18,20	148.13 151.15 152:12 153:2	subtract 24:24	141:1,2 142:10
8:21	154:21 155:10,16	subtract 24.24 success 51:7	153:16 154:10
steering 82:20	155:23 156:7,11	sudden 151:6	surprised 105:13
steffani 7:18,21 86:6	stipulate 35:20	suggest 22:7 48:24	138:1
87:23 97:11	stipulated 35:9	73:24	surrounding 23:13
steiner 9:12	store 8:1	suggested 23:5	susceptible 25:14
stenographically	stories 4:16	129:5,19	suspend 114:16
158:12	straight 58:15 97:20	suggesting 73:23	suspended 135:19
step 94:24 97:19	98:11	74:20 129:16	136:13
115:5 132:16	streamline 120:11	suggestions 21:4	sworn 158:7,10,11
145:20	streamlined 120:4	24:1 49:23 50:2	158:15
stepping 40:4	stretch 50:6 130:17	61:11 98:2 106:24	system 18:22,24
steps 100:9,13	strike 29:19	130:6	22:8,9 66:16 126:17
132:15 144:25	stringent 140:17	suggests 47:17	126:22,24 139:20
145:9	strong 44:4 146:18	suitable 131:23	t
steve 7:7 9:12	strongly 96:11	summarized 33:12	tab 15:25
stevens 2:15 5:13	structure 71:2	summary 16:10	table 5:15,17,17,21
7:9,14,25 8:6 9:3,4	structured 11:22	33:18 46:9 91:13	5:23 27:1 142:6
23:2,11 25:10,17	struggle 39:13	105:16,18	145:7 150:18
29:7 33:21 35:16	stuck 43:7	supervision 158:13	take 2:13 5:14 7:18
36:18 37:1,4,19	studying 130:21	supplant 46:7	11:14,21 12:24
38:20,24 39:3 40:7	stuff 53:5 151:3	supplement 77:24	23:23 28:17 33:9
41:10,15 44:11	stuttering 156:25	supplies 63:3	43:10 46:25 47:2
46:20 48:11 49:8	sub 67:10	supply 77:22	49:17 50:5 55:9
50:4,9 51:4 53:13	subcontractors	support 29:22 32:17	57:25 63:24 66:16
53:22 55:14 56:6,14	149:23	111:23 116:19	71:22 72:21 73:8,11
56:18 57:24 58:11	subject 14:17 78:25	supported 32:8	74:1,17 75:25 76:9
59:22 60:4,17 62:4	submission 121:1,3	117:4 121:2 127:18	80:21 90:19 92:16
64:24 65:21 70:5,14	submissions 17:8	supporting 64:10	94:22 97:15,16,24
72:11 74:18 75:2,5	35:9 94:2 106:22	71:12	97:24 121:22 124:2
76:6 77:2 78:6,23	116:1	supportive 52:7	128:19 130:17
81:10 82:1,23 83:22	submit 10:19 37:5	supposed 40:19	133:4 134:18 140:5
86:5 87:15,20 95:17	77:18 98:1 111:16	81:19	148:19 149:20
96:6 97:11 98:17	116:6 134:19,22	supreme 71:10	taken 50:8 89:13,23
100:8 105:15 108:8	152:22	suquamish 6:15,16	89:23 94:25 98:8
110:12 118:18	submits 18:24 96:13	6:19 109:1	100:3 106:15 131:1
119:15 123:24	126:19	sure 9:24 10:3,5	147:7
125:3,16 128:17,25	submitted 15:23	15:20 16:6,15 18:3	takes 114:9
129:13 130:16,24	47:14 94:5 121:4	27:9 28:22 34:13	
131:2 134:4,9,25	submitting 19:2	37:22 65:16 66:10	talk 10:9,10,14 11:2
135:16 137:7,20	subpoena 122:10	73:4 74:19 78:2	11:14 33:14 38:14
139:14,24 141:23	123:18	88:23 95:18,25	54:25 58:1 61:10
142:21 143:24		96:11 97:1 102:2	65:23 70:19 79:1,2

[talk - ticketing]

92.2 24 25 94.4	110.20 121.14	thanks 2.22 20.9	96.10 97.24 00.1 10
83:3,24,25 84:4	119:20 131:14	thanks 2:23 39:8	86:10 87:24 90:1,19
99:24 102:7 108:22	143:2	40:7 70:12 87:2	91:16,20,25 92:25
110:14 136:15	tenuous 42:18	97:11 148:8	93:4,12,14 95:2
146:15,25 147:23	term 124:4,25	theirs 83:16	96:22 99:9 100:1
147:24 148:4,5,16	133:12	theme 102:4	102:21,25 103:5
151:14 154:24	terminant 20:16	theoretically 39:23	104:5 109:24 111:9
156:21	terminated 31:4	theory 145:12	115:7,21 118:19
talked 34:4 61:14	terminating 143:5	thicket 129:10	119:12 122:10,10
62:21 66:9 71:24	termination 124:8	thing 12:12 13:14	123:24 125:16
83:15 92:10 113:17	terminology 18:6	22:17 25:12 44:8	126:11 127:2
126:3 127:15 148:7	20:12,17 125:13	53:14 56:19 61:13	129:14 130:3,19
talking 14:4,11	terms 26:5 55:20,21	62:21 63:19 69:9	132:3 133:1 135:13
22:21 26:7,24 27:17	66:17 69:21,25	102:22 103:9	140:1,10 141:24
27:22 28:23 37:1	141:12 143:3	107:18 111:3	142:16,19 145:15
51:11 57:18 69:5	147:11 154:6,8,9	115:10 123:23	145:21,23 147:14
70:1 75:11 81:4,5	tero 65:11,19	126:6 133:20 146:2	148:13 151:22
82:19,24 95:7 99:1	testimony 86:11	146:4,6,7 155:24	155:1,7 156:12
99:8 116:21 124:4,6	158:7,11,15	things 10:2 11:3	thinking 32:1,16
129:14 144:25	tga 6:22	22:12,24 23:22,23	33:11,13 62:1 108:9
146:3,5,8 155:20	tga's 139:3	24:17,19 27:22 31:5	115:16 142:7
talks 125:25	tgas 112:11	41:5 51:17 53:23	149:22 152:2
tap 147:2	tgras 74:22	54:21 55:17 60:11	154:22
taps 136:6	thank 2:10,12,16,18	60:13 63:17 65:14	third 120:5 122:7
task 130:10	2:20,21 3:1,5 4:23	66:13 71:1,3,6,8	thought 18:15 25:4
taught 146:7	5:5,6,11,13 7:23 8:3	73:18 74:16 77:7	73:19 76:23 96:22
taxation 146:19	8:11 9:2 10:6 13:3	88:18,25 102:10	96:23 124:5
team 2:8	23:2 33:21,22 35:16	107:21,23 111:1	thoughts 28:24,24
teardown 74:12	40:3,6 44:10,11	112:20 114:23	32:18 64:8 65:22
technical 27:23,24	46:20 47:3 49:7	133:20 137:8,25	67:5 72:3 73:9 74:1
42:15 45:15 84:7	50:7 51:1,4 53:13	138:22 140:8	74:1 79:15 82:19
88:22 156:23	56:18 58:10 60:5	144:22 150:13	84:3,8 92:3,20 96:5
technically 45:7	65:21 68:2 69:23	think 5:2 9:6,11	101:19 105:4,7,9
technology 9:17	71:17 75:2 76:5,6	23:8 25:3 26:2 29:2	131:9
46:13,13 101:15	78:6,22 81:11 87:15	29:8 33:12,17 37:4	thousands 62:11
102:10 103:12	87:20 91:15 92:15	38:10,16 40:5 41:17	three 10:11 14:22
135:3	92:17,18 94:11	42:2 43:3,11,19	16:23 26:22 28:17
tell 3:19 22:7 27:15	95:16 96:21 100:22	44:1,9 48:12 52:21	35:10,11 36:5 59:17
49:19 82:3 136:8,11	104:8,15 110:8,12	53:24 54:24 55:15	71:20 72:25 80:9
158:10	110:18 125:4,5	58:8,12,12 60:17	81:20 84:7 107:15
telling 122:22	130:15 137:14,20	62:4 63:18 66:1	156:21,22
temporarily 119:11	142:21 143:24	67:14 68:12 69:4	thresholds 65:5
temporary 31:9,13	144:11,16,20	73:2,12,15,16,25	thrilled 107:25
71:25 73:2,22 74:15	145:25 147:3,8	74:8,15 75:12,20	ticket 19:5
75:18 109:14	157:6	77:17 79:18,21 80:2	ticketing 18:22,24
118:12,20 119:16		81:2,14,17 84:24	

tied 150:7,9	told 82:3	transparent 16:13	111:19 112:15,22
tier 141:4	tomorrow 11:1	97:5	112:25 113:7,7,8,10
ties 137:24	16:22 26:8 28:23	travel 63:3	113:15 114:1,3,6,9
tim 154:12	33:14 79:3 83:24	travels 4:18 82:16	114:12,16,19,20
time 2:7,13 4:4,5,18	129:12 130:13	treating 141:16	115:1,6 116:19
5:6,7,10 10:20,21	146:15,17 147:10	tremendous 146:10	118:19,19,24 119:9
11:5 12:4,6,7,19	147:24 148:4	trend 33:7	119:12 120:8
13:23 14:18 15:2	151:15 154:25	tribal 4:15 5:16,18	121:15,22 124:20
22:15 28:12 29:6	156:4,20 157:5	5:24 6:3,7,9,15,17	125:25 126:23
30:5,8 35:2,12,20	tomorrow's 154:22	6:19,21 7:1,6,10	129:3 131:17,19,25
36:10,23,24 41:8,11	tools 88:22	10:17 13:15,16,24	132:19 135:8 136:2
41:23 43:17 54:5,5	top 116:14 134:3	14:9 15:25 28:4	136:8,9 140:2
54:11 58:19,25	topic 85:1 129:11	33:2 34:20 39:21,22	150:24 151:6
66:22,22 68:5,22,24	topics 27:25 33:20	40:12,20 44:18,19	153:16 154:20
68:25 69:11 72:16	33:24 34:1 157:4	45:10,18 46:19	tribe's 44:20 45:19
74:23 76:1 81:4	torches 153:4	50:15 59:15 65:10	52:7 56:3 70:22
89:20 90:1 92:24,25	155:16,17	74:9,22 84:2,11	94:5 98:6 121:19
93:5,6,13,15 94:5	tornado 72:17	87:6,9 93:2,2,5	135:24 144:7
94:10,21 98:6,11	total 74:12,12	94:21,21 109:1	tribes 3:3 9:6 10:14
104:23 105:24	tournament 5:10	117:11,16,17 120:9	13:17 14:2,4,11
106:1,18 117:13,18	tournaments 5:8	125:22 126:7,8,9	18:21 19:18 20:6
117:24 123:5 127:9	tracie 2:15,23 5:12	129:7 131:22 133:4	21:22 23:5 25:6
129:11 130:19,21	9:4 81:11 110:18	136:5 137:4 141:6	26:8,11,13,14,16,18
130:24 133:24	137:15	142:7 144:17	27:15 29:9 30:18,22
134:21,23 135:13	track 28:5,18	150:16	32:11,12,24,25 33:4
143:13 144:14	traditional 75:14	tribals 35:22	35:22 37:5,6 38:12
145:8,22 147:6,20	102:23	tribe 6:24 7:2,4,13	39:14 40:10,17
148:19 149:1	traffic 12:20	9:2 15:19 18:24	41:18 42:16,23 43:7
153:10 158:9,16	trainer 9:17	19:20 22:2,16 25:25	43:22,25 44:5,8
timelines 103:8	training 9:18 88:22	27:4,11 29:4 31:11	45:1,6,24 46:2,5,12
times 42:4 67:15	155:25 156:2,7,13	35:12,22,22,25	48:1,2 49:11,18
144:2 151:4	156:16,18	39:10,14 41:22	50:20 51:15,17,18
timing 99:24 117:10	trainings 156:12	42:11 44:15 47:5,8	52:13,19,22 55:8
tina 6:14	transcribed 15:17	47:14,20 49:13	56:17 57:2,4,25
tinkering 129:25	158:12	53:23 57:10,15,17	59:4 60:25 61:4
tired 66:1	transcript 158:8,14	58:8 62:9,10 69:13	63:6,8 65:7 66:15
today 2:17,24 3:4	158:17	69:14,16,20 70:2	66:22 68:6 70:9,15
4:25 7:17 8:3 9:8	transcriptionist	75:9 77:4,6,9,12,15	70:25 71:22 76:2
11:3 14:23 16:18,20	9:13 10:4	77:23 80:3,19 81:22	78:9,19 79:1,8,10
21:15 27:22 79:11	transcriptionist's	81:24 89:21 92:6,22	79:17,18,21,22 80:8
79:23 97:23 102:19	39:5	93:8,20 94:1 95:4	80:25 82:2,18,22
111:15 116:7,8	transcripts 15:21,23	95:21,21 96:11,13	83:1,6,9,14,19
137:16 143:7	transitioning 3:12	96:14,17 98:5	84:10 86:20,20,25
146:13 147:1 148:7	transparency 126:4	100:19 104:10	87:8,11,13 88:19,20
	127:2	106:15 109:10	88:23 90:13 91:11

91:22 95:20,21 96:2	152:13	underlying 102:4	130:4 133:21 136:5
96:9 97:2,6,7,22	tulalip 1:8,11 2:1,14	undersigned 158:3	143:4
100:12 101:24	2:16,24 3:9 4:3,12	understand 5:19	uses 128:23 129:4
102:1 103:22	4:19 5:6 9:6 96:9	26:20 41:8 42:8,14	130:7,12 133:11
104:10,24 105:2,23	tulalip's 143:18	43:1 44:2 55:7	usually 65:11
107:7,9 111:14,15	tulsa 156:9	61:22,25 74:4 82:5	118:12
111:16 112:21	turn 12:20 61:5	92:7,11 118:25	utilize 140:3
115:11 116:22	87:22 94:16 100:24	137:7 139:4 141:20	utilizing 127:17
118:11,21 119:1,10	110:16	143:15 155:12	V
119:11 122:13	turned 32:1	understanding 54:7	van 8:1
123:7,12 126:5	turning 52:13	55:10 58:3 62:1	varies 26:9 95:20
132:10 133:9 135:3	twice 115:1	119:17 147:16	118:19
135:12,14,15	two 10:11 14:24	undertake 143:10	variety 51:17
136:22 137:17	16:21,22 17:10	undesirables 135:11	various 101:6
138:1,3,4,6,14,25	53:24 60:11 69:15	unexecuted 154:1	vary 61:20
139:5,9,15,19 140:9	80:4,9 81:6 84:7	unfortunately	varying 11:15 23:8
140:14,17,21	86:7,15 87:18,23	107:11 132:14	33:7 82:14 96:1
141:12 145:6,19	88:5 89:14,15 90:11	133:19	vault 39:20
146:23 148:14,14	91:14 115:1 119:8	unidentified 6:24	vehemently 39:15
148:19 149:4	123:9 131:5 132:15	uniform 20:19	vendor 64:18
150:12 151:16	133:12 147:12	115:8 134:12	140:13
153:6,12 154:4,13	151:1	149:10	vendors 139:19
tricky 114:5	tying 48:24	uniformly 132:23	141:13
tried 112:14 133:1	type 22:9 93:23	unintelligible 7:8	verbal 105:18
trigger 31:20 68:9	102:16 103:11	23:1 29:5	verification 67:24
76:17	105:5 111:3 137:3	unique 80:3 83:9	69:22
tromping 2:9	146:21 152:4,21	93:19,20	verified 47:19
trouble 155:12	types 101:7 103:25	united 57:12	verify 67:1
true 144:17 148:25	104:4 108:4 152:9	universally 40:2	verifying 47:18
152:15 158:14	typically 150:6	unnecessary 39:19	48:18 67:12 94:13
trust 56:24	typo 109:17	47:10 122:8	version 148:3
trust's 121:17	u	unsuitable 136:12	versus 81:6
149:14 150:13	ubc 77:25	unsuitables 135:19	veteran 8:13,13
truth 158:11	ultimately 41:24	update 44:6 112:24	veterans 3:4 4:15,15
try 5:17 20:18 23:5	43:2	113:1	8:12,16
60:8 93:20 97:8	umatilla 7:6	updated 46:12	vice 2:18 7:17,20,21
108:18 112:2,7	umbrella 154:16	upholding 144:16	34:10 66:5 67:19
trying 20:20 27:9	uncertainty 29:10	upset 27:13	68:1 69:23 70:7,13
32:5 38:9 42:4 63:5	84:19	usc 50:20	71:17 73:20 76:7
63:8,9 70:25 73:25 74:2 4 75:4 25	unclear 152:20	use 20:23 23:13 25:2	78:22 83:5 86:6
74:3,4 75:4,25	uncomfortable	38:18 60:19 64:4	87:3,23 88:1 91:15
91:10 115:21 129:9	71:11	65:3 68:9 79:15	92:14,19 93:17
131:7 132:17	uncooperative	82:7 83:7 84:18	94:11 95:6,16 96:4
138:12 140:1,20	141:8	102:10 124:8	96:8,21 98:13 99:14
145:17,18 151:12		126:13 129:7 130:1	

99:17 100:20,23	13:12 16:15 17:15	way 5:23,24 10:21	weekends 103:16
103:10 104:1,8,15	20:18 21:23 22:10	22:25 23:16 24:10	weeks 10:11 154:1,9
108:1 110:9 125:11	22:13 26:8 27:8,12	25:8,19 26:22 32:5	weighty 150:17
130:9 144:20	27:13 28:15 29:11	42:6,7 52:4 62:18	welcome 2:13,15 3:8
vida 9:10	33:22,25 34:10,20	63:20 82:18 100:12	5:16 8:9,23 9:2
views 41:20 67:6	35:3 39:5,10 40:3	102:23 104:13	10:23 11:6,24 13:4
violates 146:22	46:21 52:5 53:15	120:14 122:1	40:20 64:8
151:7 152:25	59:4 60:7,9 61:14	129:10 132:2,14,17	went 52:2 109:20
violation 19:1,16	62:25 63:6 64:17,20	133:21,25 145:4	110:22 133:19
58:15 89:8,10 92:11	65:22,23 67:8 74:14	149:11,13 156:18	whatnot 126:12
93:8 94:23 100:6,10	74:19 75:12 79:24	158:17	127:6
100:14,15 109:4,13	81:2,12,24 82:9	ways 101:16,21,22	wheat 7:3,3 38:23
109:13 122:25	83:25 84:4 86:10,21	101:25 115:16,17	38:23 39:1,9,9 41:6
violations 92:10,13	87:11 91:23 92:4	115:21	41:12,17 47:6
94:14 149:14	95:17,18,21,22	we've 10:7,11,13	128:22 129:2,2,18
150:14	97:16 99:23 100:2	14:19 16:5,5,10	130:15 137:22,22
vocal 84:10	103:7,17 104:7	19:17 20:12,25 28:2	140:12 141:15
voice 66:2	105:7 108:14 112:9	32:9,17 34:4,12,24	142:3 146:1 150:1
voluminous 102:12	112:23 114:11	37:21 40:9 48:17	152:8
voluntarily 86:22	118:14,25 119:16	49:11 50:4 51:6,15	wholly 120:8
voluntary 8:1 88:21	128:8,18 129:5	52:6 60:14 63:7	whopping 146:20
89:2,22 91:19	131:3 135:4 136:15	64:1,18 65:24 69:4	willing 28:21
vyvyan 6:10,11 67:9	137:9 139:6,9	72:17 76:16 79:13	win 128:4,12
67:9,20 69:9,24	140:15,17,23,24	82:15 83:3 88:16,19	window 118:16
70:11 102:15 103:3	141:3 142:10 143:6	94:19 98:19 99:7	winter 72:23
103:13 104:5 148:8	143:17 144:11	100:10,10 101:9	wipe 98:12
149:21 152:2	145:3 146:13 147:3	103:21,25 104:24	witness 158:10,21
W	148:11,15 155:9	106:10 107:8	women 3:5 4:15
wade 129:10	wanted 4:22 25:13	108:10,11 111:25	wonder 82:16 92:9
wager 21:7 23:21,22	38:6 51:21 115:10	112:14,21,21	wondered 47:15
24:19 25:3,21	125:6 134:6 141:21	118:23 123:17	48:6
wagering 24:21	146:1,9 155:24	126:13 128:20	wondering 21:18
wagerless 23:16	wanting 102:20	129:21,21 131:4,12	57:15 95:8 129:9
wait 155:14,18	141:13	135:1,25 136:10,15	woolsey 154:12,12
waiting 22:24 33:22	wants 106:15 130:5	139:18 141:12	154:24
130:16	warning 98:14,15	144:1 148:6 152:12	worded 67:7
walk 74:5 88:2	warren 6:2 99:11	153:6,6,12,12 154:4	words 67:13,24 77:8
105:8	washington 1:11 2:1	web 156:6	133:25 142:25
walked 126:19	26:16 41:18 79:23	website 11:19 15:24	work 4:23 20:18
wall 64:23	80:4,25 131:11	17:14,16 90:9 91:10	26:22,22 27:21 28:6
walmart 4:3,5	138:13,14,21 158:6	120:22 135:17	37:7,10,12 50:2
want 5:20 7:18 8:9	158:23	147:14 148:2 155:5	52:16,17,22 58:8
8:11,17 9:7,23 10:5	wasting 29:6	156:14	75:9 80:21 84:15
10:9,10,13 11:12	water 3:10 43:2	week 108:9,21	91:23 106:18
		135:22 156:10	112:19 116:2,21

118:21 127:6 wynecoop 7:1,1 x	
90:7 106:22 120:16 123:22 132:15 133:22,25 wrong 8:22 59:4	
31:22 32:25 40:21 53:15 63:21 65:6 78:10,11,15 79:18 81:7 83:1 86:11	zero 19:13
written 12:9 13:22	yomreporting.com
14:25 15:4,7,22,23	158:23
21:13 26:11,15	Z
wraps 44:9	152:6,20
write 61:19 108:23	yesterday 8:2
works 38:8 52:3	35:10,11 42:20,22
61:11 146:3	84:14 94:1 111:8
worry 10:2	126:21 140:8 151:5
working 12:16	98:7,8,9 100:10
15:16 104:22	123:9 126:20 152:1
109:21 115:20	year's 53:6,10,12
126:4 128:5	years 13:5 28:7,13
worked 4:1 13:4	52:10,11,14,17,24
26:19 64:2 69:21	53:12 54:3,12 59:24
84:15 91:22 112:22	62:9 71:20 72:9,14
136:7	72:24 74:17 81:15
118:9,15,22 119:5	year 4:2 17:20,21
119:11,16 131:14	18:19 36:5 37:10,12
134:13 146:10	37:14,16,17 38:12
150:4 154:8	38:13 51:19 52:8,8