	Page 442
	TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 8, 2011
1	
2	
3	TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
4	MEETING
5	SUQUAMISH, WASHINGTON
6	VOLUME III
7	
8	SUQUAMISH CLEARWATER CASINO RESORT
9	15347 SUQUAMISH WAY NORTHEAST
10	CHIEF KITSAP HALL
11	DECEMBER 8, 2011
12	8:11 A.M.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Job No. NJ366120
25	TRANSCRIBED BY: MARY L. GREEN, CCR 2981

SUQUAMISH, WASHINGTON; DECEMBER 8, 2011

8:11 A.M.

2.

MR. FISHER: Good morning, everybody.

Welcome to if I have the count right day nine of our work together. So we do need to talk about our agenda for the day in part, because I'm thinking we do not have a full day's worth of work ahead of us. We should talk about that. We do need to pick up where we left off at the end of yesterday at 543.12 on the player tracking system.

Before we get into any of that, anybody have anything on your mind that you want to bring up with the group from yesterday or that you thought about overnight? Tom.

MR. WILSON: I consulted this morning with some of our tribal leadership as well as our chief executive officer of gaming enterprise just to talk about this concept of the player tracking and the follow-up to our discussion on promotions, and there's no doubt that we are all in agreement that we need to have some controls around them, but it is definitely a sovereignty issue as far as my tribe is concerned that the regulation of those activities or even the control,

that those are viewed as business activities, and there would even be discussion at the tribal gaming office level in terms of how we would regulate or not regulate those particular types of things.

In difference from the NIGC standpoint, I need to go on record that we view those as sovereignty issues and that they're best left up to the tribe to determine how they're going to manage the risks associated with that.

MR. FISHER: Matt.

MR. MORGAN: I thought about this a lot last night on this subject. I completely agree that you operate a gaming operation, and if you have clear tracking, it is an area that needs to be controlled. My dilemma as always is who has the authority to control it?

One of the things I thought about this morning, because I told you yesterday I feel really split sometimes, you know, on this. I look at it from a lawyer's perspective sometimes, and sometimes I look at it from a regulator's perspective to try to come to terms with what we're doing or what I do as the commissioner at Chickasaw.

As a representative of the Chickasaw Nation, I don't think I could support, you know, this being in a

2.2

federal regulation. With that being said, I do want to bring up and make sure the group understands if we do say that, NIGC, this is not your area, that doesn't mean it's not anybody's area.

You know, Former Chairman Colby had this term he liked to call the federal family. One of the things we talk about in Indian gaming is that we are the most regulated gaming industry in the world when you look at federal, if you have compact and joint relations with the state, tribal level.

There's lots of groups that possibly have authority over this area, and just because we say, NIGC, you don't have the authority doesn't mean that we may not have to deal with one of your brothers from the federal government when you start talking about DOJ or IRA or a multitude of others that we deal with in gaming law on a day-to-day basis.

The other part of that is, because I do like Phil's approach. He'd always give deference to the tribal regulator. We're kind of in the background overlooking stuff with the federal family.

That being said, one of the things I heard yesterday was a concern about the agency being very focused on enforcement. I think, you know, if the NIGC changes some of its issues, changes some of its thought

2.

2.2

processes and becomes more of an agency of technical assistance, training, that just because -- I think this is where the discussion comes up with the class 3 world. Just because you may not have the ability to enforce something doesn't mean it's not a good idea to train on it and provide assistance on it and maybe even speak to it.

I know there are several within that federal family that feel that unless I can come in and issue an NOV or enforce, then what's the use of talking about it? I think there's great utility on speaking on some of these subjects that we all agree need to be controlled.

While I still believe you may not have the authority to do it, somebody needs to do it. It's a good thing to speak to to make sure that at a local level somebody is looking at these kinds of things.

I think you'll even see this when we get into class 2 gaming systems, because remember that's a system whether this subject touches that and what kind of effect it may or may not have. There's other avenues to reach your goal other than through a regulation.

MR. FISHER: Anybody else? Anything on your mind? Do you want to start by talking a little

2.

bit about the agenda for the day? We are scheduled to go to 5:00 p.m. It appears to me that we don't have a full day's worth of work ahead of us, which means that we might end up getting done early.

2.0

We have -- at least on my list we have 543.12, which we spent a fair amount of time talking about yesterday and which may or may not go quickly. We talked about doing the bingo guidance document, and NIGC is prepared to do that, right?

MR. LITTLE: Uh-huh.

MR. FISHER: Then we have a couple of housekeeping things to deal with including projecting ahead a little bit partly for the January meeting, maybe even a little further ahead.

There was some discussion, Dan, before you got here about -- because I mentioned I don't think we need -- we might not need nine full meeting days to get through the rest of our work as laid out on the work plan, and so people were more than willing to jettison Kansas.

MR. CULLOO: To replace with Florida.

MR. FISHER: So we need to talk a little bit about how many meeting days we have left and whether people should be making arrangements for those days or not and then anything else we need to cover.

Even with all of that, that could still be just about a half a day's worth of time with a wild guess estimate. It could take longer. It could be shorter. That's what I think we need to cover before we're done today.

MR. LITTLE: That sounds fine to me.

MR. FISHER: What about everybody else?

What are you thinking that we need to do?

MR. RAMOS: I have one issue with the February meeting. I don't think a date has been set on the February meeting yet.

MR. LITTLE: It has not, and that's because we're still trying to tie down a location, and we're pretty close to that, so I think you should have some information here within the next week or so.

MR. FISHER: Anybody else have anything else on the agenda or what we need to cover today before we're done for the day? So I'll just keep checking with you around kind of whether there are other things we need to do and how we're doing timewise in terms of these various topics. Tom.

MR. WILSON: I do -- since the checklist was sent out to us yesterday, if we could talk briefly about those. I know I have some comments about the checklist that I would like to share with the group.

2.2

Page 449 MR. FISHER: Tom, I sent it out at the 1 2 end of the day yesterday. We're having some e-mail transmission glitches, and there are at least some 3 people around the table who did not receive it yet. 4 5 Michelle? MS. STACONA: I can't even open it. 6 7 MR. FISHER: It's a zip file. It's in a zip file because it's a lot of documents. 8 9 MS. STACONA: I prefer not to talk about 10 it before I get a chance to open it. 11 MR. FISHER: We may need to put that on 12 the agenda for the next meeting. I didn't get any 13 bounce back messages. I got one bounce back message, 14 which was the result of a bad e-mail address, which I fixed, so I haven't gotten any server rejects yet, but 15 16 obviously if you haven't gotten it in a short period of 17 time or if you can't open it for some reason, let me know and we'll figure out a different way to send it 18 19 off to people. 20 MR. LITTLE: I prefer we probably put 21 this on our website, but if it's going to change, 22 should we wait or should we put what's up there now? Should we put the disclosure? 23 24 They're definitely not MS. LASH: 25 anywhere near final form.

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 8, 2011

Page 450 MR. FISHER: I did not send it to read 1 2 it to put on the web based on your message. MS. LASH: I actually haven't even had a 3 chance to look at it either, so maybe we should wait 4 5 until we have a chance to discuss it. MR. LITTLE: Because if we could get 6 7 everyone to go online and download it --MS. LASH: They'd go what's this? 8 MR. WILSON: There's fundamental issues 9 10 with those checklists. MS. LASH: I can only reiterate what I 11 12 was told that they were in the process of working on it 13 and then had conversations with your office that clearly the checklists were going to change as the 14 documenting evolved, so everything just kind of 15 16 stopped, so where they are is just kind of a middle of 17 the process state right now. MR. FISHER: It sounds like they're in 18 19 the working form and they're not ready to be posted. 20 MR. LITTLE: I definitely don't want to confuse people. Brian might go and download them and 21 22 get a different copy. MR. FISHER: Anything else before we 23 24 turn back to 543.12? Okay. So when we broke for the

evening yesterday, we hadn't had a discussion about

what to recommend for 543.12, and we asked that everybody think about this overnight, figure out what it is you wanted to do, so maybe we should just check in. People can just check in about what you're thinking or we can do kind of a vote test if that's what you prefer. How would you like to proceed?

MR. McGHEE: I understand where everybody's coming from. Do they have authority? Do they not? At the same time, we have to consider the fact that even though we may recommend that they don't have authority for this and that's our recommendation and they decide that they're going to publish it anyway, the only way that would be hassled out is in some kind of challenge.

Do we really want to be able to just -- our recommendation be that we don't think you have the authority or should it be we don't think you have the authority, however, if you choose to exert what you think is your authority, here's our recommendations, because otherwise you're going to send forth a document that you haven't even given any comment on that could end up being in the Federal Register at the end of the day, and we haven't made any comments on their copies, which is what we're here for is to advise on the comments.

I don't know at the end of the day, like I said, if we recommend to do whatever but not have any input on it, then you risk a document being published that you basically didn't say anything about.

MR. CULLOO: Daniel's question has always raised kind of a red flag in my mind, the process as far as the NIGC with their recommendations. What I heard yesterday was at least concern on your part, Daniel, that if we choose not to put something in, it could be a concern. Is it realistic I think was your word.

What it tells me is that what Daniel said is that we could maybe go test it and say we don't want it in there, and then NIGC could come back later and say we believe something should be in there.

The question is at what point does NIGC during this process say we think this should be in there and not this? Is it wait until the whole project is done, we make the recommendations, we're gone, and then you guys sit down as a group and go through it and say we like this, we don't think this is strong enough? What step is that process happening with NIGC?

MR. LITTLE: I'm just one of three commissioners, so I only have one out of three votes.
We're going to thoroughly review everything that this

committee provides us.

2.

You know, I don't think it would be a good exercise of everyone's expertise around here for me to make a decision right now that yes or no we're not going to go there. We want to hear all the options. That was the reason for my saying that and the chairman saying that two days ago is that we need to have realistic expectations of what we can get accomplished here.

MR. CULLOO: Is the full commission not going to meet until we're done with the process or each time?

MR. LITTLE: We have discussed these, although we haven't received any recommendations yet. I'm not sure where those are. You know, I do updates for them, and they know the progress of where we are, you know, with this meeting and, you know, some of the topics and, you know, high points that we've covered here, but we're not -- we're going to review everything in the whole before we make decisions, because as we've already seen, things that we're doing in one section has consequences and effects on another section here.

You know, we still have six or eight more sections to cover, so we'll definitely wait until, you know, we conclude going through all these sections

until we can make the best possible decision.

MS. LASH: I think this is a good point in the road to just touch on what Matt said earlier. There are clearly areas where we're discussing topics that are outside the jurisdiction of the NIGC, this being one of them, and it's also important to point out that it is valuable for tribes to have guidance and direction on some of these topics and I think what we did yesterday with the promotions indicating that a bulletin would be appropriate and we could go into detail on the specifics of something of the topics and the areas that should be included for guidance on topics like player tracking or promotions for use by the NIGC in formulating their final product.

But I just think we need to keep in mind that I think it's inappropriate that we recommend that something be a regulation when there's not any jurisdiction in that area.

MR. McGHEE: I was saying that you may recommend that it not be regulation, but I definitely wouldn't let it pass by with that alone without discussing in case they don't accept it.

MS. LASH: We should discuss it and have the appropriate detail that gives you a comfort level.

They're on the right track for what the tribes would

need to see.

MR. WILSON: Just to follow up on the comment you made, is it beneficial, then, because there is such a time constraint in trying to get all of this done, recognizing that that's one of the paramount goals that you have for areas that we have already discussed, so let's say 547, the technical standards, would it be beneficial, then, that we get those to you and would the assumption be that NIGC would start those in the pipe process, you know, federal review process, everything, as opposed to waiting until we're totally done with everything?

MR. LITTLE: I did hear there was some discussion going on about that, and on the surface, you know, it sounds fine to me. I'm just not sure if this group is going to come across an area as we continue it along, because there are some, you know, references to the technical standards. Will there be any changes that you may want to go back and touch upon? I don't know.

You know, if the group decides they want to wrap up those recommendations and send them to us, you know, I think we'd definitely like to look at them.

We've got some, you know, I'm sure some flexibility in there that if you do come across some changes, you

know, later on in this process here that we can adjust.

It will take us a little while to go through them and then formulate, you know, a draft in the way that we've done these in the past. We've probably got about six or eight in the pipeline in some form, whether it be a draft. The chair was here on Monday. He was consulting on our proposed rules and some of our draft rules we have out there.

We had generally in the past put things in a draft form on our website and then -- before we formally published them, so we haven't made any decisions yet, but I assume we may try to go along that path if time permits. It could be helpful if the committee decides they want to get those to us early.

MR. FISHER: Do you know how you would like to receive them officially? What official stamp do you need from the group? Who does it get transmitted to? What form does it have to be in? Have you thought anything about that?

MR. LITTLE: I don't think so, no. I'll leave that up to the committee, I would assume.

MR. FISHER: Because it will become part of the public record, so if there is any guidance to the group about what it needs to say and how it needs to be presented, then they would need that now sooner

2.2

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 8, 2011

Page 457 rather than later. 1 2 MR. HOENING: There's no required 3 protocol right now. We can certainly come up with something. 4 5 MR. FISHER: Think about if there is something that you need the group to do to say this is 6 7 our final work product and here it is that represents the group's work. 8 9 MR. MAGEE: I'd like to discuss maybe 10 not at this meeting but a couple meetings down the road 11 how we package this and get it ready for submittal. 12 MR. FISHER: It actually is on the work 13 plan, but it's -- it was on the work plan, I think, for the meeting 5. We're obviously getting there faster. 14 I think it should go on the agenda for the next 15 16 meeting, because the technical standards document, once 17 you see all the compilation of all the changes, that document could be ready to submit by the next meeting. 18 19 MR. MAGEE: Are we going to do like a 20 final review to make sure we're all in agreement? 21 MR. FISHER: Absolutely. 22 MR. McGHEE: If I know what we're thinking along the lines of this is in the authority, 23 this is outside the authority, I mean, if the group 24 takes on that approach, then it probably needs to be 25

discussed that if that is the one view, then that also means -- does that mean lines of credit isn't up for discussion and deposit accounts isn't up for discussion?

Because it's the same concept. Those are not things that have to be regulated. I mean, not regulated or not -- excuse me. You're looking at possibly one, two, three, four other sections or at least three other sections we haven't even discussed that we will need to discuss.

I just want to know if the same way of thinking that way let's go ahead and identify the areas that that would infringe upon so we know. If I'm going to go back and ask my opinion about player tracking, I'm going to ask them about comp services and lines of credit, not just one, instead of getting there and saying this is one of the other things. Can we talk about that?

MR. MAGEE: I think outside of the jurisdiction it's appropriate to be part of the regulation or not there is the practical reality that some of these areas are problematic, and player tracking, promotional marketing, there are inherent problems that surface with this.

Although they may not be right for part of

2.

their regulations, what Robin said is maybe they will be part of some sort of guidance document and/or bulletin. Yesterday we even shot down the bulletin.

Just thinking out loud on this and trying to think of the approaches to this, I'm trying to think of and put it in perspective of the jurisdiction and also put it in real perspective in real time the practical nature of the issues.

In my mind, if I can prevent a start-up property or another property having to face, you know, the same problems that we went through, you know, learn from it and go through guidance documents or some sort of bulletin. I don't know. That's what I'm thinking. I get the legal side of it, but I also understand the practical side of it as well.

MR. FISHER: Daniel, when would you propose that we talk about what you just said? Before we leave today?

MR. McGHEE: Yeah, because what I'm getting is an overall -- I think each tribe or each person here is going to have to have an opinion not just on player tracking. It's going to have to be on the concept of NIGC's jurisdiction in some of these areas.

So maybe at least so I'll know by the next

2.

meeting we've identified these four areas that we need to talk with my tribe about and see if they're on board with so when I come back here I can say my tribe is in agreement that we don't think you have the authority or jurisdiction or we wish you had on these areas, because it's not not player tracking. It's the concept we're talking about here. I'd rather know that before I leave.

MS. LASH: Maybe it's better to hold that off as well and just stay where we were with going over bingo and give everybody a chance to speak with their tribal leadership and legal counsel and kind of maybe come back with more a confident level.

MR. McGHEE: I'd just as soon not talk about player tracking anymore.

MR. LITTLE: Everybody agreed and it was very clear in the nomination process for this position that you came here with the ability to speak on behalf of the tribe.

MR. McGHEE: I thought I was here to defy you on these sections right here that you had on the agenda. That's what I was going to do.

MR. FISHER: Tom, then Jason.

MR. WILSON: What I'd like to propose is that on those areas -- Daniel, I agree that a vacuum is

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

2.

never a good thing, and by not talking about these things, there could be the appearance that there is a vacuum, and as much as this is about educating people as well as deciding on things, it seems to me that on those areas, I certainly would be very comfortable advocating that on those areas where we don't believe that NIGC has the regulatory authority that the discussion still take place about the inherent risks and controls that should be in place but the recommendation being that NIGC take a training role in training tribes on the risks in those areas as opposed to regulating, and for me, that then causes the discussion to happen amongst the group and people to become educated about the risks that are inherent in those things but allows me to then from my tribe's perspective endorse the concepts without endorsing that it needs to be regulated from a federal level.

That would be my approach to trying to work through this issue on those topics where we think there's a jurisdictional sovereignty issue.

MR. FISHER: Matt.

MR. MORGAN: I agree with what Tom said.

Just as a point of reference for the group, while it
was before my time in the gaming, originally the first
internal controls stated were offered by subcommittee

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

of NIGC as best practices because of this very subject, things that needed to be done but who had the authority to do it, so they got around that issue by issuing them as guidance for tribes to take up.

It was only when the NIGC kind of jumped on the band wagon and said, yes, but we need enforceability and switched it to regulations that some of this tension came up, you know, because, you know, even then the industry theory that you needed best practices throughout the entire country in order to run your operation.

That's one of those dynamics that had been going on for 20-plus years on what is the role of the NIGC? Do they have the authority and can we get there through bulletins and guidance as opposed to regulations?

I wholeheartedly endorse Tom's point. If you want to talk about guidance documents and training and things that need to be covered out there for smaller, for newer, as refresher, keep in your mind as you're running your operation. I can get behind that statement.

MR. WILSON: I have one follow-up on that. In my discussions this morning with my peeps, one of the things that we talked about -- and this has

2.

2.2

been certainly something that I have been advocating at our tribe and in my dealings with other tribes -- is that there has been a general lack of tribes taking advantage of the regulatory authority that they have to move some of these issues forward, and what I mean by that is that -- and our tribe was no exception in this regard -- that when the minimum internal controls were put out, and, you know, ideally this was all supposed to be a framework with which tribes would build off of, but what happened in so many cases is the MICS were adopted and then that was it. It just stopped at that point.

Every tribe through IGRA has the ability to determine the level of additional regulatory oversight that they wish to grant to the NIGC through ordinance, so sometimes I think that we tend to forget that as a sovereign, you have the ability to bring in additional regulatory oversight from different things. I mean, that certainly happens through the compacting process.

So for us in our discussions this morning, we recognize that there's an obligation of our tribe to step up to the plate and take responsibility for those things that we have sovereign control over, and I just think sometimes that that message gets lost in tribes that there are avenues out there for which you can

achieve some of the things, so for a tribe that really does not have the expertise or have the ability to do some of these things that are being advocated, they do have the ability to increase regulatory oversight or invite the NIGC in to provide that from a regulatory standpoint.

So I just want everybody to recognize that there are avenues out there that I think sometimes get lost in the discussion.

MR. FISHER: What does that mean for what we should do right now? Should we talk about 543.12 or do we need to come to a resolution about how to address this depending on what the decision is in 543.12?

MR. WILSON: I would move again that, you know, if this furthers to get the conversation forward, then I would propose formally to the group that those items where we do not have consensus in terms of sovereignty issue that we still hold discussion and debate for benefit of education and understanding of the issues but that we approach it from that our recommendation would be an educational role as opposed to a regulatory role for dealing with those issues.

MR. FISHER: So are people willing to do

2.

2.2

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 8, 2011

Page 465 1 that? We need some response to Tom's suggestion. 2 Brian. MR. CALLAGHAN: The comical statement I 3 made yesterday about the soft regulations is the idea 4 5 as I see this is below the line guidance. Certainly you don't have any -- certain opinions say that you 6 7 don't have the regulatory authority over this particular area. 8 9 However, I agree and I think the purpose of 10 this brain trust here is to come up with a minimum internal control standard. I see this as there's 11 12 regulatory requirements and also a complete grouping of 13 MICS that can be addressed, and that is below the fold. 14 That's below the line. Those are the areas where the NIGC would go in 15 16 and audit, and then there would be that NOV aspect, and 17 then the other aspect of this is the best practices, which could very well extend upon things that we have 18 19 not touched upon that are still critical to the 20 operation. 21 MR. FISHER: There's a couple of 22 different ways to go. 23 MR. MAGEE: I think yesterday when we 24 left we were just about to test this for a vote. 2.5 MR. FISHER: Uh-huh. The question where

we were at the end of the day yesterday if I'm remembering correctly was to test like we did with the promotions whether to -- two questions, whether to basically remove this section from the recommendation or to delete this section, and then if so, should there be any recommendation with respect to guidance or a bulletin?

We talked about either doing them separately or doing them combined. If we're going to do it, I think it would be easier to do it separately, separate it out. So the first question would be what do people think about either including this or removing it and then depending on what the answer is figure out whether we need to talk about guidance or bulletin.

Are people willing to test it? So if you support deleting 543.12 as presented in the TGWG document from the tax recommendations, raise your hand. Is that a hand raised, Daniel?

MR. McGHEE: No. This is whatever.

MR. FISHER: That got us everyone but

three. Kathy, was your hand up?

MS. HAMEL: (Shaking head).

MR. FISHER: That's everybody but four.

For those of you that -- let's just check. Those of

25 you that didn't support it, do you oppose it? If you

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 8, 2011

Page 467

oppose it, raise your hand. That would be zero. If you're willing to abstain, raise your hand. That got three. That's called you're not ready yet. What it means is we don't have consensus if you're not ready to.

MR. McGHEE: I abstained.

MR. FISHER: If you abstained, then that means that we have consensus to delete this. So then the question is as we did with the promotions, what's your -- do you want to present any guidance -- a recommendation to NIGC either with respect to issuance of guidance or a bulletin for this -- for the player tracking system subject?

MR. CULLOO: Could someone explain to me the different things it can be? I understand the bulletins, and the question was raised to some people the bulletin becomes a reg. What are the options? You don't post guidance.

MR. LITTLE: I think bulletins are almost like a vehicle for providing in essence a guidance. It's, I think, a common nomenclature. We call them bulletins, and it's basically a best practice representation, guidance.

MR. HOENING: You can find guidance in other places on our website. The introduction to the

environmental public health and safety section has some pointers on there, and some people would argue that's quidance.

It's not anything that has force and effect of law as a regulation would, and it may not rise to the level that some people are saying, but essentially a bulletin is a form of guidance. There's no force and effect of law. It's not enforceable by the agency, so it is basically a form of guidance, but the guidance could theoretically take all kinds of forms.

MR. McGHEE: How much of your resources would you put on training and putting out guidance and bulletins on something that you don't have authority to do? Then you start spending money or time on things that's not even in the realm -- if it's being not in your authority, why would you do it?

MR. LITTLE: That's a very good point, and we do do training on things outside of the regulatory authority we have. We train on what the tribes request we train. You know, we have the expertise, and if a tribe comes to us and asks us for something, we're going to do everything we can to try to provide that training.

One, it's required by law, so it's something we have to do, and it's something that we feel strongly

that we should do. It's good for the industry. It's good for our underlying goal of, you know, maintaining compliance. That's where we want to be. That's the effort of this commission.

You know, we made some recent organizational changes. We have a new division of compliance for a reason, because that's the underlying goal is to have everyone in compliance so we don't have to take enforcement action.

MR. McGHEE: If it's not for your authority --

MR. LITTLE: It complies with the laws and the regulations.

MR. McGHEE: I'm saying if they want bulletins and training on something that's not a regulation or authority, would NIGC still put time and effort into something that's not a reg or within your authority?

MR. LITTLE: Yes. We have done that, and I assume we'll continue to do that.

MR. FISHER: Did that answer your question, Leo?

MR. CULLOO: Yeah. It sounds like you're saying that some levels at a higher standard or level of where a bulletin gives an expectation, has a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

little more behind it than, say, another format.

MR. HOENING: I can't speak for how people in the industry interpret bulletins versus any other kind of guidance. I can only say that the bulletins don't carry any more force and effect than any other kind of guidance. It is all just a kind of guidance.

MR. McGHEE: I've always viewed them as recommendations, opinions, you know.

MR. FISHER: Matthew.

MR. MORGAN: Sort of on this topic, you know, I agree with Mike that protocol comes forward and you have lots of vehicles to deliver that message. If hearing some of the conversation yesterday on people being apprehensive of what you do with some of these things, I think that did go back to, you know -- Dan, I think you and your commission are doing a wonderful job, and you all are changing some of the environment, and you all are moving down the road the way I see as proper. I want to make sure that you understand I do think you all are doing a good job, and I know it takes time in order to effect change.

In years past, when we look at your make-up of enforcement versus training, even today, you know, as, you know, what is your training budget and how many

resources have you put into training, you know, as you begin that change in your culture, my hope is that people get more comfortable seeing your office as not a hammer but somebody to go to as a resource in order to help them, assist them, get to places where as an industry we need to be.

You know, I hope bulletins or guides or whatever you want to call them become more commonplace and that we do work in partnership with all of your resources out there, everybody in the federal, you know.

I know you started talking more to your state counterparts and some of the state regulatory bodies to see how they do it in the industry, because sometimes that's helpful to see how other people in the industry, whether it's commercial gaming or charitable gaming, do something and is that applicable in tribal gaming?

Mostly you work with your tribal partners.

You're regulatory bodies. You're elected officials.

You're tribal law enforcement, because there are some great resources out there to be had and to make sure that we as the industry rise up to the level that we need to be meeting.

When I go out and look, I think we're doing really well. I think you see that just based on the

1 lack of publicity as a whole that the industry sees.

2 That's a pat on the back to everybody, operational,

regulatory, at every level that's doing a good job, but

that change in culture -- and I know it takes time.

Like I say, I think you're doing a good job. When

People begin to view you all a little differently, I

7 | think that's going to be helpful down the road.

MR. LITTLE: I appreciate those words that you said, and training is a major focus of this commission. We've identified four major priorities, and that is training and technical assistance is one of them, and it's done for a reason.

You know, I think in the past the commission has kind of struggled getting kind of set up a structure because of the historic structure of the organization. We had a division of one person that was our director of training, and that was the only person in training, and basically we would set up trainings, and he did a good job at that, but then he would have to rely on the other divisions to volunteer their staff to provide the training. We have a limited staff. To dedicate them to do training was an additional, you know, task that was not kind of, you know, often scheduled into their overall work requirements.

Now, I don't want to confuse training with

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

technical assistance. They're two different things.

Our staff, including Rest and our compliance officers
that we call field investigators, when they go out in
the field and they're doing their site visits and they
meet with a tribe and they come across an issue, they
do technical assistance immediately whether it's
organizing ad hoc training.

These guys are great, and they do a wonderful job. I've witnessed what they do, and they're dedicated and very helpful, and we'll continue to do that and expand more upon that.

But a lot of it has to do with the relationship those folks in the field have with their tribes. In different parts of the country, it's fantastic. In other areas, you know, it could be improved, so we're working on that and just kind of getting the message down to our staff that this training and technical assistance is a vital component of their responsibility.

I appreciate those comments, and thank you. It does take time, but I think we're getting there.

MR. CALLAGHAN: With the technology today and conceptually with the idea that somebody may not want to raise their hand directly -- and I recommended this before -- is online training. Is

2.

2.2

there some way that we could go in and even -- and this may be going to Nth degree, but even a help desk or a help desk e-mail communication where someone came up with a question, a technical question with definitely online training. That's the biggest bang for my buck is acquiring slot training. IGT advantage we can do online training.

There's a number out there, and I think I thought that typically when you take a look at your resources, if you're to go in that direction, I think it would be incredible.

MR. LITTLE: All great ideas, and we have talked about this before. We've got our staff working on it. We do have some structural issues with our own capability, our technology capabilities within the agency. We're working on areas where we can partner with some of our sister agencies, including the Department of Interior, to tap into some of their IT capabilities, utilizing webinars and online training.

We're looking as far as partnering, you know. There's no reason why we can't partner with some of the tribal associations that are doing training. A lot of folks do great training. Partnering with them, partnering with some of the manufacturers in the industry, some of the testing labs, you know.

There's nothing out there to say that we're the only folks that can provide the training. There's a lot of other organizations that are doing it that are being responsible members of this industry, and there's no reason why we can't partner with them and look for areas.

We've all got one goal, and that is to, you know, help this industry, you know, continue to provide strong regulation and identify areas where we can look for improvement, so we're working on that. It's definitely something I think we're all in agreement on, and we'll continue to kind of update you guys as we make progress on that.

MR. FISHER: Are we ready to see if there's something that you want to recommend now to NIGC with respect to the player tracking systems? Bear in mind that we have said people want to talk about the general concept, and I have it on the list -- that concept on the list of things to talk about at the next meeting.

MR. LITTLE: Can I make one statement?
There's been a lot of conversation about, you know,
sovereignty and authorities of the commission. Like I
said yesterday, I'm not going to talk about our
authority. You know, don't confuse my silence with,

you know, just agreeing with what's been said, but it's really important to me, that, you know, these discussions are, you know, gone through. I'm interested in hearing what everybody has to say.

How you decide to make your recommendations, you know, I'll leave that up to you. However, it is very helpful to discuss the issues, you know. Then whether or not you decide you don't think it's something within our authority, I don't want to discuss that, but, you know, it is helpful for us to hear the discussions on the matters.

MR. WILSON: You know, it seems yesterday that we certainly had a consensus that the guidance needed to be stronger, and so, I mean, I think that is something that could be voted on. Whatever form the guidance takes, it seemed yesterday that we were all in agreement that that could be a better document and that there's definitely issues there that need to be addressed from a guidance perspective. When I use the term guidance in this context, I don't mean a regulatory attached guidance but best practices.

MR. FISHER: So what would that -- do you want to talk about what would be included or just the general recommendations of including -- of saying that NIGC should publish best practices or something

2.

2.2

like that? Do you want to do the overall thing or do you want to do what it would also include?

MR. WILSON: I don't know. I just recall yesterday we had this general -- I mean, I for one did not disagree with any of the comments that NIGC had about concerns with the risks associated with player tracking system, so I agree that those concerns are valid and that any guidance or training that could be done would be a beneficial thing.

MR. MORGAN: From my chair, I think it is useful to say that the guidance best practice document or whatever term you want to use needs to be stronger.

If you got these expertise around the table and people have specific ideas or at least general areas that need to be stronger in this area, if there's something that needs to be included to some of the concerns that you brought up, I think it would be appropriate at the very least to list the subject or areas that you may see some weaknesses in while you have us assembled, because to me yesterday there were clear ideas that people thought clearly there was some weaknesses in the documents, and they're probably better to articulate what the weaknesses were.

I don't think we have to get into a line by

2.

line discussion of what the document needs to say, because conceptually this area needs to be strengthened, and we missed this whatever that may be may be helpful in that regards.

MR. FISHER: Maybe we should just spend a few minutes fleshing that out and then we can come back and see what people think of the idea of making a general recommendation on guidance, bulletins, or training to NIGC. Unfortunately, I had that whole list in a recommendation, and when the recommendation went in a different direction, I deleted it all.

MR. WILSON: If we need to go back through the document, then let's.

MR. FISHER: I think it would be useful just to do that, because there are some specific things that they recommended, and that list got incorporated, and there was a general comment that you made, Tom, about emphasizing the importance of this area and the potential for abuse or something similar to that.

MR. MAGEE: Robert, it seems like a point of order is that we need to determine whether we're going to as a group determine whether the guidance document is needed or not before we go down and start going through line by line in the guidance document. In the end we may not include it and it will

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 479 be a waste of time. Let's determine that first. Are 1 we going to include a bulletin or a guide document in 2. 3 lieu of? MR. McGHEE: We didn't include a 4 5 bulletin in the promotions. We said no bulletin. MR. WILSON: Let's ask the question, 6 7 then. MR. FISHER: Let's test it. The 8 9 question is if you support recommending to NIGC that 10 there be guidance or bulletin or best practices for player tracking systems, raise your hand. 11 12 MR. McGHEE: At the very least? Is that 13 what you're asking? 14 MR. FISHER: As a minimum, yeah. 15 that got everybody except one person. If you're 16 opposed, raise your hand. If you're willing to 17 abstain, please raise your hand. 18 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I think I'm only 19 comfortable recommending such if we actually were to 20 sit down and write up the kind of exact bulletin to say we recommend a bulletin and this is what we're 21 22 recommending, this bulletin in these words. MR. MAGEE: I kind of agree with that. 23 We should actually see it in written form. 24 25 MR. FISHER: What that means is that we

Page 480 1 need to task someone or --2. MR. McGHEE: Did she abstain? 3 MR. FISHER: She did. MR. McGHEE: So we had a consensus? 4 5 MR. FISHER: Correct. We need to ask if somebody or task a small group of folks to go and 6 7 develop a draft of what the guidance or bulletin or whatever the recommendation with look like and bring 8 9 that back to the full committee unless you want to 10 spend the time --11 MR. LITTLE: We already have a guidance. 12 MS. LASH: I think the working group's 13 guidance gives guidance. That's a good starting point. Do we just want to say are there areas that need 14 strengthened or are there areas in the guidance here or 15 16 would we recommend this as the guidance? 17 MR. FISHER: Maybe I should have framed the question for consensus differently. That's a good 18 19 question, because the discussion yesterday was around 20 strengthening the guidance that was presented. Robin's 21 question is in essence do you want to test whether the 2.2 TGWG guidance document is good the way it is or whether it needs to be strengthened? Do you want to test that? 23 24 MR. WILSON: In the context that that 2.5 document -- that the vote on that is that it's a

non-regulatory guidance document. I mean, I think it just needs to be clear so there isn't confusion down the road about -- because everything else talks about these guidance documents. We've already stated that they have to be part and parcel to --

MR. CULLOO: Best practice guidance.

MR. WEST: I have one comment. If it was all in the guidance document, it seems like it would -- since the if you will the risk phase area is in the standards, would those need to be pulled down out of the standards into the guidance as sort of a framework for the more detailed guidance that's actually in the existing guidance documents?

It kind of flows together, and that would be my only recommendation. Since you're identifying the risks and the standards, it seems like you'd want to publish those in the guidance document.

MR. FISHER: Daniel.

MR. McGHEE: I just want to put it out there that I'm getting mixed messages, because it's like we're going to delete a promotion section but then, no, let's not do guidance documents. Those almost end up being a regulation or something.

So we vote not to do a bulletin or guidance document on that section, and then we come to this

section and delete it, and then we say, yes, let's do a guidance document. It's like what do we want?

One minute we feel this way.

If you delete promotion, you think it's a risk but not in this jurisdiction but it's important, we should have a bulletin, and then we vote not to have a bulletin, and then the next day we do the same thing.

MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I think we should treat them all the same way. If we do this, we need to backtrack it --

MR. WILSON: I agree. I think we've evolved, and I think we've realized from yesterday to today that there is value to this, and I just think we've evolved. I agree with Leo that that we should treat it all the same when we run into these issues, which hence goes back to my recommendation that for those things that we find are a sovereignty issue that we agree that they be handled in this manner, in this guidance or best practice manner. If we have to go back and revisit promotions to go on record to make that statement, then we probably should.

MR. FISHER: What do you think of that,

Daniel?

2.2

2.5

MR. McGHEE: Yes. I agree.

MR. FISHER: What do other people think?

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

I just need to get some sense. The suggestion is to treat them the same, which is in part -- part of the evolution has been the acknowledgment that it presents a sovereignty issue, there's an authority issue, and that as I typed up on the screen there that it's a suggestion for non-regulatory approach. That's what I'm hearing.

That's different than what we talked about yesterday, because one of the reasons why people didn't support -- I can go back and show you -- guidance on promotions was because of the potential for it to move into a regulatory -- take on a regulatory character if you will or become part of the regulations.

If anybody disagrees with treating them similarly, each of those -- any section that we have for sovereignty reasons or authority reasons suggested or recommended that it not be included, that we treat all those areas the same with respect to best practices and guidance, if you disagree with that, I need you to say so now so that we can figure out whether we're all in alignment to move forward with that or whether there's anything more we need to do before we move forward. If you disagree with that, please say so now.

like -- do you want to flesh this out as a group what

Nobody disagreed. This means -- how would you

these would look like or in what way you're going to build on or strengthen what the TGWG has proposed or would you like to ask some folks to do that?

MR. MORGAN: Before we get to that, what I heard from Rest was kind of go to general best practices. Make should I understood the rest. You had concern that the guidance documents in becoming a best practices document may not be able to stand by itself without something --

MR. WEST: I think it might, but if you're providing information and guidance to a tribe, it would seem like you would want to identify the risk that you've identified in the standards.

MR. MORGAN: If we're going to get uniformity in how we present that document, that may be something within that document that you do identify the risk or the concern you have, and this is best practices of how you address that concern. Is that your issue?

MR. WEST: I just think since they're combined, to only present one part of it may not be as informative as you'd want it to be.

MR. WILSON: As I see it, in the standard for player tracking or promotions, there are some things that are identified as must haves as part

2.

of if it were a regulation, so to the same extent that in guidance those components should not be included in the guidance document, because they tie part of the rationale of why you have all this guidance, and without identifying that you should have these components, that should be an inherent part of the best practice document, because they are part and parcel to one another.

MR. MORGAN: I did understand where he was going. My point was to try to lead him to the conclusion to make sure that the group understood that just because you don't have the standard doesn't mean you can't have the best practices, and because now we've shifted our thought process and the purpose of the document is a little bit different, there's a way to incorporate those concerns into a best practices document. I understood where he was going. I just wanted to make sure we were on the same page.

MR. FISHER: Brian.

MR. CALLAGHAN: When we first started, one of the thoughts I had and still have is that this is great for what we're doing because we have a defined purpose, but I also thought and respectfully suggest that the NIGC convene another TAC of directors of internal audit or you could still have Kathy there and

2.

2.2

others to discuss best practices and minimum internal controls, and then maybe you could come up with a document based on that.

We're charged with taking a look at a little bit of a different level of things rather than getting it down into should, shall, how many people does it take to do a drop? I thought it may be beneficial to the NIGC as well to convene a group of directors of internal audit and maybe come up with some kind of a white paper based on that.

MR. FISHER: You'll take that under advisement?

MR. LITTLE: Absolutely.

MR. FISHER: Do you want to do the work in the whole group or do you want to task a smaller group to come back with recommendations to the whole group?

MR. LITTLE: Would that be today? Dan's leaving at 10:00. You could task him with that.

MR. CULLOO: Personally, I'd like the opportunity to go back to my facility and think about this and talk to some of my staff and say, look, what are your suggestions? It's at the local level it's got to succeed.

MR. FISHER: We could task a small group

2.

2.2

to serve as a coordinator in essence to gather that information from the rest of the members of the committee and present something back. Dan, are you volunteering?

MR. McGHEE: No. I was just thinking that we want to discuss the bingo guidelines, so maybe because we've been going around in circles I suggest we go to something that we know exactly what we want to do with it, and this whole issue that the agenda committee can decide when would be the best time to address that at a future time.

I think we've established the core part of it that when it was voted on that guidance documents begun and that we recommended that it not be included in the reg. Anything else, maybe we could leave that up to the agenda planning committee how to address that.

As long as we're not going back to the regulation, I'm all good and we're just talking about guidance documentation, because I was here for that, but I'd at least like to get into that.

MR. FISHER: Let's see if I can do this, because at some point or another we got to do this. Who is willing to work on this off-line?

MR. McGHEE: This is a more detailed document of what we already have? Is that what they're

2.

Page 488 asking to do? 1 2. MR. FISHER: Yeah. 3 MR. McGHEE: Taking the NIGC's comments. MR. FISHER: Change it and bring back a 4 proposal to the group. There's Jeff and Christina. 5 That's enough for right now. Then we can figure out 6 7 how to do it. Thanks for volunteering. I appreciate it. 8 9 Why don't we -- I know we went around and 10 around a little bit. We've changed some things that we 11 did yesterday. We're about to embark on a new 12 direction with some of these things, and we want to 13 talk about the bingo guidance before Daniel has to leave. It's time to move there. Before we do that, 14 why don't we take a short break, short meaning by my 15 16 clock we're going to start up again at 9:30. 17 (Recess taken.) 18 MR. FISHER: I wrote up on the screen 19 here on the next line an action item around doing the 20 best practices guidance, and after everybody 21 volunteered, Daniel also said he would work, and so 22 that will get going. Why don't we now turn our attention to the bingo guidance. 23 24 MR. LITTLE: I think at the last meeting we went over this, but I think it was decided that you 25

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 8, 2011

Page 489 1 only want to talk about the standard and not the guidance, and I appreciate you all indulging us to kind of go back and not talk about the guidance. I think 3 we're working off the comparison document that we 4 5 provided you at the last meeting just so that we're pretty clear. I hope everybody still has it. 6 7 MR. FISHER: I quess I could put it up 8 on the screen too. 9 MR. WHEATLEY: Please. 10 MR. LITTLE: I think what our interest 11 in talking about the guidance is kind of an overall 12 kind of philosophy on this particular section. 13 going to let Rest kind of expand on it a little bit 14 Some generalized questions are -- hopefully you 15 can help us understand. I'm going to let Rest. 16 MR. WEST: This is my opinion as far as 17 the standards themselves that basically grew out of the original MICS standards which were developed basically 18 19 to cover paper bingo. 2.0 The standard and the guidance documents as far 21 as the codes by NIGC are not basically any different 22 than those that are in the Federal Register right now 23 that were supposed to go into effect. Now they've been

My concern is how does the small gaming

postponed to October of 2012.

24

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 8, 2011

Page 490

operation or how does the gaming operation represented here if you're purely a class 2 facility, you have electronic bingo machines or electronic bingo player terminals, whatever the nomenclature is, how do you take the standards and the guidance developed by the TGWG and develop adequate control procedures for electronic gaming?

I haven't looked at the checklist developed by the TAC. I don't know if they segregate the paper and electronic, the differences between the two. It's always been an issue with the tribes that are in class 2 or have adopted -- even the ones that have adopted the 543.7. How do they comply with the standards if they're purely operating electronic bingo player terminals?

MR. McGHEE: What I found when we were looking through this, because we do have class 2, is a lot of the things that are asking to be done in the bingo section, whether it be paper bingo or electronic bingo, is still a requirement. It's just that now you rely on the electronic that -- the player interface or the server is doing a lot of what you may have manually done before.

So when I read through it, I would say, well, it's saying that bingo is asking for this, and then I

would say, well, our system does that, so I'd meet that requirement.

Then any other thing that we're used to -kind of the crossover is covered in the server section,
you know. Not the server section. What is it called?
I forget the new section. Service systems and
something. It's covered there, because I have now
incorporated a system or server into my bingo game
play, so I use that section and this bingo section and
still comply.

So a lot of what's here as I was going through it that asks to be done is done. It's just now done electronically. I can pull it up from a server or a report or a log versus usually it was done this way.

So I wanted to be sure -- and I was helping write some of the regs for this -- that there was nothing here that would be, oh, well, I can or cannot do that because it's paper and it says gaming, and to the best of my determination, they crossed over just fine.

MR. WEST: I have a different opinion.

I think it would be some of the operations that don't have the expertise and having being working in class 2 so long -- I don't know if anybody else has looked into the standards and see how they can develop adequate

2.0

internal control procedures for electronic bingo or 1 not. I think a lot of operations have difficulty 2. taking the 543.7 that's in the Federal Register and 3 developing adequate controls. I know we struggled with 4 5 543.7 to see what we determined was applicable to paper bingo versus electronic bingo. 6 7 MR. McGHEE: With this one? 8 MR. WEST: The Federal Register. MS. HAMEL: I think there's maybe --9 10

being a completely class 2 facility is that the bingo section on its own doesn't identify all the controls of controlling bingo. So much of it is in the server section and is pushed to accounting and auditing and to drop and count.

So standing on its own, it may not look like it covers all the controls, but those other controls do encompass the full controls that the standards that need to be set for controlling bingo.

MR. WEST: So have you developed principles or procedures at your facility just for electronic bingo?

MS. HAMEL: Uh-huh.

MR. WEST: And they would follow what

TGWG has recommended?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. HAMEL: Ours haven't been changed to

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

this particular format, but we still cover all of the standards as recommended here.

MR. WEST: I think moving things to different areas is also added to the complexity and the concern that I have for a lot of the gaming operations' ability to develop and determine what is necessary to develop internal controls for electronic bingo versus paper bingo.

MS. LASH: Maybe that's where the checklist could become more helpful since we have reorganized and moved things. You could have your checklist of the areas and then direct through the checklist the different sections where it is.

MR. FISHER: Matthew, then Tom.

MR. MORGAN: My comment has to come back to I know you go back and you look at how bingo is played, but when you talk about internal controls for the game of bingo, it was defined in IGRA, so you're working within that limitation first.

There's three things. Bingo, you have court cases that back up there's only three elements of bingo. When you start going to player terminals, some are hand-held and session games and paper games, but trying to develop internal controls that meet all three of those at a minimum level, that is difficult

2.

sometimes, because, again, you have to encompass all three of those type of activities in bingo.

I think Kathy was right in that, you know, for the gaming section, if you're just talking about electronic player terminal bingo, you know, you do have to look at accounting, drop and count, some of the other sections incorporated with that that goes along with a player terminal, because after you get outside those three elements of bingo, you get into a conversation of a technological aid. This aids in your play. You don't have to use it, but it's an aid.

How you deal with those aids to bingo, you know, is trying to look at technological invasions while at the same time not leaving anything in the past behind that you once did, because anything you can do at an electronic player terminal fundamentally you did in a paper bingo game.

Sometimes that can be a little difficult, complex if you're looking at how many operations you run, because a lot of operations these days don't run paper bingo sessions today. You look at it and you say could you do that in a paper bingo game?

The answer is yes. You do it by some other means. That's the reason you do incorporate some of these sections into -- you don't read it in a vacuum by

itself. You have to read the document in its entirety.

MR. McGHEE: A lot of it is the main addition to this bingo section was the technological aids to play bingo. You say what are the main properties of bingo that need to be controlled, and you label those out and say controls need to be in place for those.

Then when you get into the actual guidance document where it talks about technological aids, then it talks about issues with shipping and receiving and then issues with access credentials and recordkeeping and audit processes for electronic gaming, testing.

There's a whole area devoted just to when it becomes electronic, because at the end of the day, bingo is bingo. The process is bingo. Then when you add electronics to it, then you have to start thinking about that, which is why the technological aids section of the guide talks about that. It goes into what added risks are now there because you have technological aids? Whether it's done adequately or not, it's addressed in here.

MR. WILSON: I would tend to agree with Rest that one of the problems that I see fundamentally with the format of the TGWG document is that it lacks maybe a sufficient amount of cross-referencing, because

2.2

what I see could occur, and I think what you're speaking to is that somebody turns to the section on bingo and the assumption is that everybody's going to read this document in totality in order to conclude upon whether their controls -- that they've covered all the controls.

What your documents do is every transaction has a life cycle cradle to grave, so theoretically the entire document covers the life cycle of these various gaming activities and things, but I think that there needs to be a clear one-to-one relationship in order to capture that entire life cycle to ensure that you have adopted all of the controls, because as you pointed out, it's not just the game.

You know, you've got an accounting aspect to it. You've got all these various aspects that there needs to be a good tie to lead the reader to understand that this isn't everything in this piece but you've got to refer and make sure that you have included all these other components in order to have a complete control structure of the life cycle.

MR. MORGAN: 543.3, system of internal controls, when you're setting up something, you don't go in and just look at bingo, not when you're setting it up. You look at what is required for the totality

2.2

of the system. That's why 543 says you have to adopt internal control standards to cover the areas that are covered in this part, all the parts. That is the point.

So when you go into a specific subject matter, you're reading on that subject matter, but you can't read it without looking at that 543.3 document. Again, that's the reason we stress. That is so important when you read that first part. People actually gloss over it and go right to the subject matter. That is why we put in there a system of internal controls to cover.

Fundamentally class 2 is that you can't have a player terminal. It is a system. It has to be linked. You have to have player participation. If you don't have a system, you don't have class 2.

Downloadable is a new big thing in class 3. It was already set up with a player. You know, you put money in. It goes back to the server. You have a game server. You have a money server. You have servers in the back. It's always been a system when you run a class 2 electronic player terminal.

Early on we get into downloadable.

It's always been that way. If you go in to look at historically how you did it, this is not a new concept. This was the concept. If you just go back

and read that 543.3, that point of reference you're looking at, that is what sets you off and you understand you do have that system of internal controls for no matter what you do. If that's cards or bingo, pull tabs, whatever gaming actives you decide to take up, it does include that.

MR. WILSON: Just to follow up on that, I'm not stating that it doesn't state that. What I'm saying is that -- and we see this in our organization with our comment packet and various things. We have a compact, and we've got four or five appendices to the compact, and there is no clear reference that this item is talked about here but it's also talked about over here, and if you don't read the over here part, you're going to miss something.

All I'm suggesting is that human nature, as you just said, people often gloss over that first part, so I'm merely stating that to the extent that you can minimize human nature in glossing over that first part and ensure throughout the document that there's a clear tie between all the components that you need would be beneficial to the document format that it's in now being more successful.

MR. LITTLE: I know we talked about this a number of times. I think getting back to Rest's

2.

2.2

2.5

original point about -- I know the underlying goal or the concept of this was to organize things in a better fashion to make them easier, you know, for -- you know, to follow a grouping type of things so there's some continuity.

But I think one of the issues that Rest had raised is that, you know, we have to create standards and regulations that encompass the entire industry, and I think what we're looking at is how do we ensure that, you know, facilities from varying levels of maturity are able to comply with this and not miss anything like Tom was saying there?

Maybe like he was saying there needs to be a better effort on references. In this one here, the only reference is to the accounting section, but there are some areas that probably it would be helpful. I don't know if that's something that could be looked at again or if there's any way to beef it up.

MR. MORGAN: I will say going to your point, Tom, there was always discussion with redundancy in there, and one of the reasons we thought the MICS as written right now are so thick is, one, you have a lot of procedures in there, and, two, there's a lot of redundancy in there. When you look at it operationally or regulatorily and you tell your staff go read this

2.

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 8, 2011

Page 500

and you bring a document like this, they drop their head and go, oh, my goodness. Whether we covered the subjects adequately and are there places where you need to be redundant was a question that came up several times.

We did try to put in points of reference. On this one, internal controls was very big in our mind that, hey, we're putting out a cue here that says you need more than just this subject matter, because otherwise if you go into pull tabs, within the pull tabs section, are you going to list out every subject you need to operate a pull tab or are you going to organize it the way that we decided to organize it was you organize it by subject matter with some type of pointers?

We're looking around the table saying is it clear enough to you, to you, to you? We may have got their concerns in, but we may have missed somebody, because, again, it wasn't a national -- everybody wasn't included just because of how the effort was placed.

That could be a concern here, because sometimes we were overly redundant sometimes, because we wanted to stress the point. That may be a concern.

MR. WILSON: I'm not advocating

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 8, 2011

Page 501

redundancy. I'm just advocating pointers to move people to ensure that they know. I'm trying to eliminate all of the human aspects of things that we all deal with every day, and that's people not knowing, you know.

So to the extent -- I mean, what I envision in my mind not in the document, but if I were, let's say, going to be training my folks on this document, I would show the life cycle of this, envision a flow chart, and here's what this transaction does, and here's all the different things that it touches to where ultimately that's why you have to have these controls in these different areas, and I think that the document does that.

I would just want to make sure that for those areas or those tribes even that don't have the level of sophistication perhaps to get to that point that there are clear pointers that ensure to the best possible way that they can get there.

MR. FISHER: We got Daniel and then Matthew.

MR. McGHEE: I see your point, but I guess I'm missing what you're saying is actually lacking, because nowhere would you really need to point, because you don't point to a section and the

other section.

It's relevant that if you're dealing with money and bingo that you're going to have to -- if you're dealing with a system that you're going to have to consult the IT section. It's inherent. I don't see any less or more pointers in this section than I do in any other section that you have to refer to the same things for.

The process or life cycle going through here, unless someone could point them out, then I would be better off that are here. You know what I mean?

Because it's a gaming system or it has a drop box, there's going to be a drop. Why do we have to put in here please refer to the drop when it may not be in the other section we need to refer to a drop because it's common knowledge.

People dealing with bingo are no less familiar than people dealing with card games. I don't think we have to completely spell it out in here just because it's bingo.

MR. FISHER: I don't know which one of the two of you got there first.

MS. HAMEL: I have a suggestion. When the TGWG worked on these individual regulations, we saw as we went on that there was what we call boilerplate

language that we eventually went back to each section and entered. An example would be internal control procedures, computer application variances, and supervision.

Does there need to be a fourth -- a fifth boilerplate that says that it's each operation's responsibility to check if we're in bingo, patron deposit accounts, cage involved, lines of credit, security -- I mean, is that enough direction in the introduction of the regulation rather than regurgitating the same information as part of the boilerplate? The fifth thing you have to do is go check those other sections and comply.

MR. CULLOO: That's a really good idea.

MS. HAMEL: It could be a fifth

boilerplate. That's everyone's responsibility to check surveillance, drop account, auditing and accounting, complimentary, service security.

MR. LITTLE: Would that reach your goal of trying to simplify this for a regulator where I think currently it's basically in a reg, you read it, where now you're flipping back and forth?

MR. McGHEE: Because the cage like I said reflects certain things.

MR. FISHER: Go ahead, Kathy.

MS. HAMEL: It's only 30 pages long. Somebody could read it in an hour.

MR. LITTLE: The standard is. However, the guidance won't be. There will be -- it will be a pretty sizable document. Then that will have to be whether or not the TGRA adopts that guidance into their own guidance, so it will be -- I think it's going to be a lot more complex than just a 30-page document.

MR. McGHEE: You need somebody to identify maybe where that cross-reference is lacking in the guidance document to help me understand maybe what the real issue is. I know we're talking about the concept of it, but I haven't seen any details of this is lacking this. You know what I mean?

MR. MORGAN: Two things. I keep wondering is it good enough or is it clear enough, which is a very subjective standard that slides back and forth depending on your experience or maybe your operations, so whether it's clear enough is sometimes hard to pinpoint. That's where other training, technical aspects, networking will be relied upon.

My worry there sometimes is when you start putting in references is how do I read the document?

Do I read that document saying you put in every reference so that document is all encompassing and what

2.2

if you missed one? Is it not required at that point to go look?

Two, I keep going back to that how system of internal controls is defined. When you start talking about overall operational framework for gaming operations, it uses some of the language that you're concerned about, and that's that thought, the idea behind that system of internal controls document that, you know, after you have your MICS and you have your --you still have to go down and get into your policies and procedures. That's exactly how you carry that out. It needs to be more detail.

MS. HAMEL: It's far more detailed than the guides document.

MR. FISHER: It might be useful to acknowledge that Daniel is going to leave us right now.

MR. LITTLE: I do appreciate the goal of trying to streamline this. I'm not trying to -- I'm just trying to be maybe asking pointed questions. I know the effort, and I really respect it, and I know you guys did a good job at trying to organize it so it is clear and that everything flows and there's no -- we even came across where you've got the same item written differently in two different sections. So I do understand that. Please don't take my comments as --

2.

MS. HAMEL: No.

MR. FISHER: It's also that partly their reading it, people who worked on it all that time have a better appreciation for what it is you were trying to accomplish and how all the pieces fit together, and now you're getting people who are reading it kind of cold and looking at the whole thing and asking these kind of questions.

MR. WILSON: This brings up an interesting point, because something that Brian mentioned yesterday. See, to me, the true test of any of this is you put it in use and you see what all doesn't work, because when you sit in a room and you conceptualize, it all makes perfect sense, but oftentimes when it's implemented it does not go the way that everybody envisioned simply because somebody interpreted this, somebody did this, or somebody didn't know.

This concept of a pilot program, when you're talking about a different way of approaching that is intended to be more efficient, more effective, it seems to me that a pilot program to help work out some of the bugs if you will or a beta test would be beneficial, because at the end of the day, what I don't want is something that ends up getting published that then

afterwards we're all saying, well, how come and what about this and why wasn't this considered or that but then we're stuck with it at that point because it's a regulation now.

If there is some way to pilot test before as part of the something, the process, that would be great, because I can tell you that sitting in a room and going through this document, I can't tell you that the concept that is hoped to be achieved can actually work the way that you're anticipating until it's tried.

MR. MORGAN: I will tell you that at my place when you did publish the 2008, we tried to be proactive and adopted and tried to marry 542 and 543 together. The issue that we ran into we're not operating under 542 or 543. It was trying to make 543 line up with 542, so, okay, I'm doing it correctly under 543, but now I'm out of compliance with 542. That was our -- the conflict between those two standards was the area that we had the most trouble with.

Me saying that, we have 5,000 plus electronic terminals. We have two session bingo games, and at my place they tell me I don't have challenges. I've had lots of opportunities for trial and error, so I'm not in a position that Rest is looking at for a new gaming

operation. We're in a little bit different position.

This did work in the guidance and helped us develop something there. My issue was reading it to make sure that on the board because I have class 2 and class 3 electronic games, making sure that I met both standards. That's where my trouble came in.

MR. FISHER: Did you have other questions or comments?

MR. WEST: I want to reiterate that to me the standards are based on and did an adequate job in the past of providing controls for the standard for paper bingo, and I think they've kind of been massaged and a few things have been added by the committee back in 2007 or 2008, whenever it was; that basically what's published in the Federal Register, also what is basically in proposed draft on the website, I don't see any significant changes between the two documents.

So, again, I have trouble seeing how an operation with not a good background, I guess, in class 2 gaming or maybe not the level of expertise could develop adequate internal control procedures can take even a 543.7 documents in the Federal Register or the TGWG document and do a good job of safeguarding their revenue stream.

MR. CULLOO: My experience, Rest, you

keep going back to inexperienced organizations or start-ups. When I start up -- when I was TGWG director, I had nothing to go by except documents with this and with the state. Invariably what I'd do is go to other organizations and ask counterparts. Hey, I don't really follow this somewhere. Could you kind of explain to me how this works, kind of how it flows?

I think invariably most people, I would think, if they can't grasp concepts, they're going to seek advice. Now, granted there will be some that won't, but you can't help everyone that doesn't want to be helped, and I think it's incumbent upon people when they get to the point they got to develop a set of controls that everyone I ever known that's been involved in something new seeks advice from counterparts that had experience in it.

A lot of what I got was internal controls from my counterparts, and I adapted it to my specific organization understanding the minimum controls I had to meet and then take what they have and incorporate it in. I don't think you can write a document that everyone is going to comprehend. I just don't think it's possible.

MR. WEST: I think you can provide proper guidance, and I think there's a lot of

operations in my opinion that do not go outside CP guidance. Whether they don't want to, the reasons for that, they're all various and sundry.

To me, the purpose of this to me is to provide the proper amount of information whether you're doing paper bingo. Electronic bingo I think there's some challenges. I don't know if the committee here have taken these standards to sit down and see if they can follow them and determine which standards and guidance is appropriate for paper versus electronic. I don't know if that's been done or not.

MR. FISHER: Tom. And then is that your card up, Matthew?

MR. MORGAN: Yeah. I'm trying to stay out of Tom's way.

MR. WILSON: I just want to touch upon this concept, though, of regulating to the least -- the lowest common denominator. The problem with that is that if a tribe elects to get into gaming, inherently there are certain things that they have to do, and if they don't do those things, they need to, but it shouldn't be incumbent upon another organization that is doing the things that they're supposed to do -- I mean, the issue in my mind becomes one of you have to take responsibility for what comes with what you ask

for, and if you're going to get into gaming, then at some point there's a personal responsibility that that tribe has to take on to develop the systems of internal controls that has to happen.

We went through this similar debate in the SOCS(phonetic) world, which was, well, how is it that a company like Boeing that can spend \$80 million on SOCS(phonetic) compliance but the mom and pop operation that happens to be a public company doesn't have \$80 million to come into compliance.

So there does have to be, I think, this balance of how does an entity like this achieve, and I think that that's the whole safe harbor aspect, which is, okay, if you can't figure it out, here is the safe harbor that says, well, if you do this, because there certainly are those tribes that probably need what I would call the off-the-shelf solution to be able to do that.

But to the extent that a tribe isn't owning up to their responsibility to have internal controls, I look at that as a different issue that regulation can't address. I mean, that gets to that whole enforcement side of you got to step up to the plate and meet this minimum, and if a tribe isn't, I don't want to be penalized through regulation to put something in place

to ensure that that tribe is meeting their responsibilities.

MR. MORGAN: Rest, I mean, you've been in the industry a long time. You've been working at this a long time. If I hear your comments correctly, you know, your statement was that the tribal gaming work group document wasn't much different from the 2010 or the 2008 and that you thought it adequately covered paper but maybe not electronics.

With your years of experience and with your expertise you have in the agency, if you see some weaknesses in the document, do you have suggestions in areas where they're weak, because if you've seen this time and time again, I know you want knowledge coming this way, but I would like some knowledge coming this way too, because clearly we saw some things in here we thought we addressed, and if you're seeing weaknesses somewhere, I really would like to hear where it is or what you're seeing just for purposes of discussion and dialogue.

MR. MAGEE: Maybe a subcommittee should be formed with Rest to flesh out what the issues are and come to some sort of agreement or guidance document, because the risk of not doing that, we could have NIGC developing what we fear would be regs that

2.

would be not in the best interest of the industry.

That's my suggestion.

MR. MORGAN: That's a good question.

MS. HAMEL: I guess if it was so lacking, why was it published before? I don't mean that to be sarcastic, but...

MR. WEST: I don't know. You'd have to ask the prior commission.

MR. MORGAN: I agree that that's useful in the sense of how we operate too. We are cast with getting documents coming, ready to discuss on bingo. I don't feel like you all came prepared as much on bingo, which is really a very important section.

I mean, we've had great discussion on other subjects, but it seems like the most important subject for class 2 -- I felt that way in South Dakota that, you know, do you have concerns, where they're at, what points do you want to hear? Maybe we just haven't got into them far enough yet, but from my chair, I haven't heard enough back on this subject.

I hear you have concerns, but I'm really not for sure what those concerns are or where those weaknesses are specifically even conceptually specifically that we can talk about, because bingo really is a large concern, you know. If we don't get

bingo right here, we can do some serious damage to the industry.

MR. FISHER: I don't know if this fits with what you're asking for, Matthew, but when I look at what's on the screen and the bingo section in terms of the NIGC comments on the guidance documents, there are some very specific comments in there, and so I don't know if it would be useful to go through each of those comments in the bingo section like we've done for other sections or whether there are some specific things that it would be more useful to focus on.

Why don't we take -- maybe we should take a short break right now. Let NIGC confer. Give people a chance to check out who want to check out, and then reconvene in about 15 minutes.

(Recess taken.)

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ FISHER: When we paused, we were at the point of --

MR. LITTLE: Talking about bingo. Rest has another item that he would like to talk about, but I think what we struggle -- we're understanding the whole concept that Matthew and Kathy has helped expand upon this being an entire system.

What I think would be helpful for us is Rest wants to talk on one specific subject, but it would be

2.

helpful to us if we maybe raise this issue, maybe table it until after we go through the remaining sections, because we have reference to guidance, and it had been a very difficult time to compare these documents.

Mike and his staff and audits with Rest and his staff, this has been a large group operation, and trying to find where there's adequate references and -- because some of the components have been moved have been addressed in the accounting section and patron deposit accounts, so there's different areas.

It would be helpful for us if let's go through those sections first, and then we will ask that we maybe revisit this again, and in the meantime, we'll go through it, and we heard from a couple folks that you guys would like specific areas where we feel that there could be components that were overlooked or other areas that could be beefed up or maybe even just the opposite. I think we did touch upon some of the controls for handling pay-outs that we thought maybe were a little too strict in the guidance.

I think that's what we were asking if you can maybe move on or table this after Rest talks about the one item he wants to talk about and then revisit it after we go through the remaining sections.

MS. LASH: And this is something

2.2

2.3

separate, but to keep in mind while we're moving forward with this process, I want to make it really clear to the TAC and to the NIGC the checklists that were sent in were not a work product endorsed by the Tribal Gaming Work Group. There were some subcommittees that were trying to attempt checklists to begin the process. I've not seen them. I don't know if Matt's seen them.

We cannot say that this is a Tribal Gaming
Work Group document, because it is not. It was just a
starting point that a subcommittee made an attempt to
begin. None of us have seen them or worked on them or
reviewed them or approved them.

So really I think it's better that your group as you go through the document make your own checklists, and that's what Rest is familiar with doing with his compliance position, so I think it's safer that you rely on your own checklists and not those.

MR. FISHER: Rest.

MR. WEST: Just a couple things that have been kind of dropped. This is not meant to be comprehensive of everything that I cannot locate at this time. The voucher system section, was that moved from bingo to where?

MR. FISHER: Who can answer that for the

2.

	Page 517
1	TGWG?
2	MR. MORGAN: I think Daniel could.
3	MR. WHEATLEY: You don't want to answer
4	for him?
5	MR. FISHER: No. He gave back the card.
6	MR. CULLOO: Too much responsibility.
7	MR. WEST: It says here that they were
8	covered in 543.14 in this document.
9	MR. LITTLE: What we'll do is we'll go
10	through these and basically go through the bingo the
11	areas where we identify that something has been
12	dropped. We'll go look and make the reference and then
13	go into the other section and look at it and make
14	comments.
15	MR. FISHER: From a systems approach,
16	right? So figuring out how all the pieces fit
17	together?
18	MR. LITTLE: Exactly.
19	MR. WEST: Another point, is statistical
20	analysis a game performance for bingo? I don't see
21	where it ended up in the TGWG document or guidance.
22	MR. FISHER: Statistical analysis for?
23	MR. WEST: Game performance. It's an
24	important control and management tool.
25	MR. FISHER: Where is it in your

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 8, 2011

Page 518 existing regulation? 1 2. MR. WEST: It's under accounting in the document. 3 I6. MR. LITTLE: No. He's looking at the 4 5 2008, right? MR. FISHER: Shouldn't there be a 6 7 cross-reference in the TGWG document about where that 8 went? 9 MR. WILSON: What document are we 10 referencing? 11 MR. WEST: It's a general question. 12 there any guidance or standards in the TGWG documents 13 related to statistical analysis of gaming performance for bingo? 14 MR. MORGAN: I don't remember sitting 15 16 here today if there's anything. I can tell you some of 17 the discussion around, you know, when we talk about conflicts between 542 and 543 on the statistical 18 19 analysis of game performance and how you determine your 20 actual and how that relates to theoretical class 3 21 stand-alone machine is so much different on a bingo 2.2 basis, and that's one of the inherent conflicts that we got into. I don't remember how we addressed it or if 23 24 they decided to leave it out. 2.5 Going back to that difference, that is always

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

one of our issues for a class 2 electronic machine, because you can't sit down at a player terminal and say what is your actual versus theoretical on this machine, because it's not -- it's a game. How many machines is that game played on? Where in the cycle are you on that game?

It has lots of impact on it, and maybe people in operations could talk to the usefulness of it, but I could look back through it and call some people and ask them to better clarify that, because I do remember we had large discussions around statistical analysis and its usefulness. I just don't recall if we put it somewhere else or if we deleted it entirely.

MS. LASH: I think we could revisit that obviously not today, but if you give us the points, we can revisit with the group and come back with better answers.

MR. WEST: There's a section in the proposed and also the published document on statistical reporting. Honestly, I'm not very happy with the way it was developed in here, but I think that the concept of statistical reporting is very important.

MR. WILSON: Are you looking at the accounting section, item 4, where it talks about a monthly audit to include 3 percent variance? Is that

Page 520 what you're referencing? 1 2 MR. WEST: I'm referencing the section actually which was probably the starting point for the 3 TGWG. It's not this document, but it's identical to 4 5 this document, I would think, that's being proposed, the 2010 draft. If it isn't, it should be in there. 6 7 MR. LITTLE: I don't think everybody's got a copy of the 2008 regulation that's been 8 9 postponed. 2008 had been postponed, and then we've got 10 a 2010 draft that's on our website, but that's never 11 been published or proposed. It's just a draft on the 12 website. 13 MR. FISHER: So there's follow-up that 14 needs to be done there. Rest, did you have anything 15 else? 16 MR. WEST: No. 17 MS. HAMEL: Rest, in 2010, was it in 18 bingo or --19 MR. LITTLE: So I'm clear, it's the 2010 20 draft. It's not a proposal. MR. MORGAN: Where is it located in that 21 22 document? MR. FISHER: We could look. 23 24 Can you get on our website? MR. LITTLE: 25 MR. FISHER: Sure.

Page 521 MR. WEST: On the 2010 document, it was 1 moved to the accounting and audit of 543.50, and it's 2 listed as performance analysis. 3 MR. WILSON: Did you say 50 or 15? 4 5 MR. WEST: 50. It's just something to put on the table for the next meeting, I guess. 6 7 MR. WILSON: Just for my own clarification, there's two pieces to this comment, 8 9 then. One is that the section 543.50, which is titled 10 revenue audit, in there it talks about some of this analysis that should be taking place, but that is 11 12 lacking in totality in the TGWG document, and then the second part is that what is in the 2010 document in 13 your opinion is probably not sufficient anyhow? 14 MR. WEST: Correct. It could be in the 15 16 TGWG document. I just haven't found it. I'm not 17 saying it's not in there. MR. FISHER: What's the subsection? 18 19 MR. WEST: Statistical performance. 20 That's where it was. 21 MR. FISHER: There's nothing in there 22 about statistical performance. Statistical reporting, is that what you're talking about, Rest? 23

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

MR. WEST: Yeah.

24

25

MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: It's in the

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 8, 2011

Page 522 guidance documents for accounting on page 10. 1 2. MR. FISHER: Tab L in the notebook, page 10, section 43I. 3 4 MR. WILSON: That's the same one we 5 talked about yesterday. 6 MR. FISHER: Right. 7 MR. WEST: I think this gets back to trying to cross-reference where the accounting and 8 9 auditing sections are. 10 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: And this goes back 11 to the pull tabs and card games. 12 MR. LITTLE: Do we need to look at the 13 guidance documents here to see if that's sufficient? 14 MR. WEST: The bingo? 15 MR. LITTLE: No. The accounting. 16 MR. WEST: I think it's probably lacking 17 in both documents. I'm just putting it on the table for the members of the committee to look at to see if 18 19 the guidance or the standards need to be expanded. 20 MR. WILSON: Rest, the problem I have 21 with saying that it's lacking, again, we're not getting 22 -- obviously it was submitted and the working group thinks that it meets it, so I'm just having difficulty 23 24 if you look at it without more feedback about what 25 specific --

Page 523 MR. LITTLE: This is one of the items 1 we'll cover. We'll address this before the next 2 3 meeting. MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: It might be 4 lacking, but how effective is it the way it is now? 5 You're not just looking at that one machine, so how do 6 7 you effectively analyze it? MR. FISHER: Anything more we need to do 8 9 on bingo right at this point? 10 MR. WEST: No. 11 MR. LITTLE: We're good. Thank you. 12 Anybody else? 13 MR. FISHER: Anybody else have anything else on bingo, the bingo quidance you want to bring up? 14 I did make a note on the action item list that we would 15 16 revisit the bingo quidance after we've looked at the 17 other sections. Is there more we need to do that we can do right now on the MICS on 543 or have we worked 18 19 our way through all that for now and we should turn our 20 attention to moving into closing out? 21 MR. WILSON: Are we going to have a 22 public comment? I don't know of 23 MR. FISHER: Yes. anything more that we can do on the MICS right now, so 24 25 if anybody has anything else to bring up, please do so.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

So we're obviously way ahead of schedule, and so do you want to do the public comment -- create the opportunity for public comment before we do our housekeeping and kind of closing session? Yes? Why don't we do our opportunity for public comment right now, so this is an invitation for anyone in the audience who would like to present direct public comment to the committee to do so now. Step forward. Do you want a microphone, Sheila? SHEILA: I don't know. Can you hear me? I've never been accused of needing a microphone. I'm from Oklahoma Gaming Association. I just want to let you know that the transcripts for Rapid City are back. We will post them -- NIGC will post them on Monday. Would you like me to send you each individual copies or would you just like to pull them off NIGC's website? MS. LASH: I think we discussed that issue the last meeting, and we're supposed to get our information from them. SHEILA: That's fine. For those people

who want to go to OIGA's website, it will also be posted on there, so multiple places for you to get it.

MR. FISHER: Thank you. Anyone else wish to provide public comment to the committee?

MR. GREEN: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 8, 2011

Page 525

MR. FISHER: We pushed that up on our schedule, so now would be the time.

MR. GREEN: I apologize for stepping out. I had a phone call from another one of my keepers. That's what they refer to me most of the time.

MR. FISHER: Can you tell us who you are for the record?

MR. GREEN: My name is Jess Green. By trade I am an attorney. I work for many tribes, including two of the TAC members at this table. I am in fact a qualified expert for one of the TAC members at this table being an employee by trial resolution and specific designation of their election. We have furnished that and we had it ready, and I think we are the only ones that have done that and I think the only qualified expert that has made that kind of delivery.

What I would like to speak about is the NIGC jurisdiction issue on player tracking. I'm often brought to the table when everybody is at the table and in a biased position, and one of the first things I do that may be unusual is I step back about ten steps and I walk around the table and try to see the issue that is in front of everyone and try to see it from their perspective, and that's a little different, but I like

2.2

to win when I have a confrontation, and if you don't understand everyone's positions and all of the issues and all of the risks, you can't understand how to have a confrontation and prevail. Lots of times you have to tell your client you can't win this. We need to find a way to save face and go home.

This issue about NIGC jurisdiction of player tracking is not an issue that the tribes can't win. It's an issue that overwhelmingly they can. No one here for the TAC wants to be accused of surrender of any sovereignty to the NIGC over these course of events. Please note NIGC did not originate this. This concept didn't come from the folks we have now. They do find themselves drug to the table with it, and they're going to need some kind of response.

I would also point out that one of the things that Tom continues to emphasize is that the TAC is focused op the risk-based regulation. This is all about risk, and, Tom, I'm not making fun of you. I really think that's the most important thing that's been said here. If you have risk-based regulation, there's no risk because you took care of the risk. If you take care of all the risk, there's no -- there's nothing to discuss on a wide scale.

The NIGC has, I believe, for this issue at

2.

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 8, 2011

Page 527

this time failed to evaluate all the risks and document them in their attempt to assert authority over player tracking. The NIGC has in 25USAB134 been given carte blanche (inaudible) that is the class 2 that is defined in.

got carte blanche to go copy everything. If you go copy everything in our facilities, your \$60,000 employees can basically go to Comanches and copy our player tracking information, go to Chickasaws at Wind Star that's a half a mile long, the world's third larger casino, and copy, go over to Choctaw and copy our player tracking, and when Texas, if they came online in a commercial endeavor, he might not lose that when he left your employ and go from being a \$60,000 employee to a \$2 million a year employee for at least two or three years at that Texas facility.

There is a risk of NIGC copying that information, especially when you don't have jurisdiction. That's what I'm concerned about. That's a concern here that I think tribal sovereigns need to not forget in this is that that player tracking information would be an emerging Internet market, has enormous value.

And with due respect to the NIGC, if the

2.

information is lost and it's an NIGC agent that gets that loss of that information, how do we get our money? How do we get what it cost us? Again, if Alabama were to legalize some of their charitable gaming operations and anybody had information of their player tracking information, that would be enormously valuable.

MR. FISHER: I need to ask you to respectfully wrap up your comments.

MR. GREEN: In sum, there are risks for all of us, and, again, NIGC does not need to exercise jurisdiction in a gray area that puts risk on the NIGC, because just as tribal enterprises can create huge repercussions if we screw up, if it's one of your people that screws us, the repercussions will be on the NIGC.

MR. FISHER: Thank you. Anybody on the committee have any questions or anything in response to anything that you've heard in public comment? Anyone else in the audience wish to give public comment directly to the committee? No one stepped forward, so that will bring the public comment period to a close.

Let's move into what's on the agenda as our housekeeping, what we need to be doing, looking ahead for our next meeting. I started to capture a list of the action items up on the screen here, including some

2.

2.2

of the additional agenda topics for the January meeting that the agenda planning group can sort through. If we have time, the agenda planning group may meet this afternoon before we clear out of here. Otherwise we'll be doing it by conference call.

We talked about developing a best practices guidance non-regulatory version, and Christina and Jeff and Daniel also volunteered to do that, and they're prepared to do something to bring back to the group before the January meeting. Then the last thing I had on my list was Matt checking on the statistical analysis of game performance from the TGWG perspective.

Is there any other action item that got mentioned during the meeting that I didn't capture up here that I need to put up here? I know you can't see the whole thing all at once. Do you want me to go from the top again?

MS. STACONA: When will we get the final meeting summary from the first meeting and the next meeting in a draft?

MR. FISHER: The draft for October and November will go out to the committee sometime next week. I talked briefly to two of the people on the drafting committee, so I'm probably going to run the November summary past them, the draft of it for them to

2.

see the format and things before it goes out to the group, so that might come a little bit later in the week.

So next week you should receive the draft -the revised draft October summary and the initial draft
of the November summary. Then it will take me probably
another week or two to get this one done. Partly how
fast this one goes depends on whether the set-up we've
done on the November summary is good or whether I need
to make changes to that.

Anything else to add to the list here?

MS. LASH: Voucher systems, did we find

MR. FISHER: We figured that out.

Anybody have anything else? Then I'll put this list into the December meeting summary so that we capture that list.

So then let me just check on the agenda to see if there's anything else we needed to do. We talked about the topics for the next meeting. So now we're up at the point where we bring the meeting to a close and give everybody an opportunity to make any concluding remarks or anything that you want to say as we bring this meeting to a close, so I think every meeting I've started at the left and worked our way around, so maybe

2.0

that?

this time we should start on the right and work our way around to the left.

MS. THOMAS: So I don't actually have to say repetitive stuff. I actually don't really have anything to say in closing. This entire process for me has been a huge learning experience just in the different areas where different tribes have concerns in how their regs are put together, so I think a lot of this discussion is great for me to take back home and say this particular tribe sees this issue or this tribe sees this issue. How does it affect us? For me I think that's great.

MR. FISHER: Thanks.

MR. CULLOO: I'd also like to say that I think again going around the room and listening to the advice and input of my fellow TAC members who certainly has experiences that I don't have is very beneficial to me as I move forward through my operation as we develop some of these internal controls and SOPs and regulations, so I enjoyed it.

MS. HAMEL: Well, I think the last two and a half days have been incredible, and we've had great exchange. I think probably by the end of Monday I was worried that we wouldn't get this done in a year, and the progress we made yesterday and today has been

2.

incredible, so I think it's been a good experience and showing a lot of great progress.

MR. MORGAN: I echo the comments. I enjoyed the dialogue. I always learn something from these meetings. I appreciate how the group is evolving and how the dialogue that goes on with each subject is very helpful.

MR. WILSON: I ditto everything, and I'm hopeful that with whatever course corrections we've made from this meeting that our next meeting won't start with a scolding and that we are now on track with NIGC's expectation that you're getting out of this what you need to get out of this in order to conclude on the matters.

MR. CALLAGHAN: I enjoyed it. I second what they said and wish everybody happy holidays.

MS. CHINO: I think I said at the last meeting I appreciate everybody's support and everybody's advice and issues that I'm learning on, so it's been an experience. Other than that, I say the same thing as everyone else.

MS. STACONA: Same thing here. I appreciate everybody's dialogue, and I too am learning a lot and want to thank Leo for inviting us out to his casino.

2.

2.2

Page 533 MR. CULLOO: Sorry I confused the dates 1 2. on that. MS. STACONA: That's okay. It's good to 3 get out and see other properties. I enjoyed spending 4 5 the off hours with everybody getting to know everybody, so thanks. 6 7 MR. MAGEE: I echo all the sentiments around the room. It is a great learning experience. 8 It's great to hear from other individuals on the TAC. 9 10 It is great socializing in a non-work environment getting to know everybody. 11 12 MR. GARVIN: Thanks to everybody. 13 MS. LASH: I think this is a really good 14 meeting. I too appreciate all the input from the other TAC members, and I mirror Matt's comments that we've 15 16 evolved and learned and are moving in the right 17 direction. We have important work to do, and I think everybody is doing a great job so far. 18 19 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: We continue to be 20 very impressed with the effort of the TAC, and we've taken very serious the tasks that we've been given. 21 22 Then despite some of the procedural drama that we've had at the start of what seems like every meeting, the 23 24 latter part of our meetings have been very successful

and we accomplished a lot.

Then I kind of wanted to just go back to our Connecticut meeting. I was looking through my notes, and we made this long list of principles, and I don't want us to lose sight of those principles, and I'd like to maybe see them maybe kind of up or in the background at every meeting so we can remember that when we're going through these sections that we made these things that we want to remember when we're going through each session that we don't lose sight of those principles that are really important to all of us that we made.

I was just thinking about that, because on that list of those principles we had a lot of things about technology and the technological advances that are going to emerge in the future, and it is so important for us to remember those technological advances that are going to come about, and we have to remember that in five years, are parts even going to be applicable or are they going to be left in the dust? In five years, is it going to be a player tracking system or is it going to be something brand new? We have to remember those as we go through those things. That's why it's so important for the regs to be broad enough for tribal gaming.

Then I just want to thank Leo very much for inviting us to his casino. The food was fantastic.

The staff was great. They were so nice. I want to thank Michelle for the coffee every morning. It's so wonderful. And then I want to say we had a great what seemed like team building exercise last night. A whole bunch of us, we all played trivia, and we all worked as a team, and we had so much fun.

MR. WHEATLEY: I really enjoyed especially this meeting. I thought we had some great exchanges and a lot of good substance was discussed, and a lot of conceptual ideas that went around the room that were really important were brought on the table, so I look forward to tackling the same future types of issues in the next few meetings. Thank you.

MR. RAMOS: I don't have anything to add that hasn't already been said, but thank you, Leo, for dinner.

MR. FISHER: I would echo that. It was great. Thank you.

MR. LITTLE: On behalf of Chairman

Stevens and Vice Chairman Cochran, the commission wants
to thank all of you for once again taking time away
from your family and your operations. I know a lot of
you have a lot of work at home. You know, in our
breaks you're there checking your e-mail, making calls.
You still got issues outside of what we're doing here,

2.

so once again, the commission really appreciates the dedication that you've all given to this.

Mia makes a really good point about the importance of what we're doing here and the long-term effort. You know, this issue or this issue began, you know, because a result of a court action in, again, the early 2000s, you know, and there still isn't a regulation here, so, you know, we need to be looking how it's going to affect this industry, you know, not just one year, two years, but five years and further and make sure that. It's a challenge that we look at all the time. How do we make one regulation fit a very diverse industry?

I just want to make a point to thank the Suquamish tribe for hosting this event. Their hospitality has been great. This is a wonderful facility. I think one of the best things about my job is I get to come out and see all the wonderful facilities. You all know this, because you work at them. It's always nice get out here from the northeast to see another beautiful part of our country.

I want to thank our staff of Rest and Mike and Rita from our staff. We have a lot of folks. A lot of our audit folks are working on these comparisons. A lot of our folks have spent a lot of time. I always

2.0

2.2

Page 537 1 want to make sure I recognize these folks, because without them, we can't do our job. 2 3 Leo, I guess I could thank you for hosting the event. I wasn't there, but thanks for taking care of 4 5 this. I really appreciate the hospitality that was shown there. I think that's about it. I want to wish 6 7 everybody happy holidays, safe travels. Brian, thank you for the gift. We'll play that often, I'm sure. 8 9 Thank you everyone. Have a safe trip home. 10 MR. FISHER: Thank you everybody. We're adjourned. 11 (Meeting concluded at 11:27 a.m.) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

[10 - ahead] Page 1

1	464:12,14 466:16	accounts 458:3	adjust 456:1
10 522:1,3	543.14 517:8	503:8 515:10	adopt 497:1
10:00 486:19	543.3 496:22 497:7	accused 524:11	adopted 463:11
11:27 537:12	498:1	526:10	490:12,12 496:13
15 514:15 521:4	543.50 521:2,9	achieve 464:1	507:13
15347 442:9	543.7 492:3,5	511:12	adopts 504:6
	508:22	achieved 507:9	advances 534:13,16
2	543.7. 490:13	acknowledge	advantage 463:4
2 446:19 490:2,12	547 455:7	505:16	474:6
490:17 491:23	5:00 447:2	acknowledgment	advice 509:10,15
492:10 497:12,15	6	483:3	531:16 532:19
497:22 508:4,20		acquiring 474:6	advise 451:24
513:16 519:1 527:4	60,000 527:8,15	action 469:9 488:19	advisement 486:12
527:16	8	523:15 528:25	advisory 442:1,3
20 462:13	8 442:1,11 443:1	529:13 536:6	advocated 464:3
2000s 536:7	80 511:7,10	actives 498:5	advocating 461:6
2007 508:14	8:11 442:12 443:2	activities 443:25	463:1 500:25 501:1
2008 507:12 508:14	9	444:1 494:2 496:10	affect 531:11 536:9
512:8 518:5 520:8,9	9:30 488:16	actual 495:8 518:20	afternoon 529:4
2010 512:7 520:6,10	9:30 488:10	519:3	agencies 474:17
520:17,19 521:1,13	a	ad 473:7	agency 445:23 446:1
2011 442:1,11 443:1	a.m. 442:12 443:2	adapted 509:18	468:8 474:16
2012 489:24	537:12	add 495:16 530:11	512:11
25usab134 527:3	ability 446:4 460:18	535:14	agenda 443:7 447:1
2981 442:25	463:13,17 464:2,4	added 493:4 495:18	448:17 449:12
3	493:6	508:13	457:15 460:22
3 446:3 497:17	able 451:15 484:8	addition 495:3	487:9,16 528:22
508:5 518:20	499:11 511:17	additional 463:14	529:1,2,3 530:18
519:25	absolutely 457:21	463:17 472:22	agent 528:1
	486:13	529:1	ago 453:7
30 504:1,8	abstain 467:2	address 449:14	agree 444:12 446:12
4	479:17 480:2	464:13 484:18	460:25 461:22
4 519:24	abstained 467:6,7	487:10,16 511:22	465:9 470:12 477:7
43i 522:3	abuse 478:19	523:2	479:23 482:11,14
5	accept 454:22	addressed 465:13	482:18,24 495:22
5 457:14	access 495:11	476:19 495:21	513:9
5,000 507:21	accomplish 506:5	512:17 515:9	agreed 460:16
50 521:4,5	accomplished 453:8	518:23	agreeing 476:1
542 507:13,15,16,17	533:25	adequate 490:6	agreement 443:22
518:18	account 503:17	491:25 492:4	457:20 460:4
543 497:1 507:13,15	accounting 492:13	508:10,21 515:7	475:11 476:17
507:15,17 518:18	494:6 496:15	adequately 495:20	512:23
523:18	499:15 503:17	500:3 512:8	ahead 443:9 447:3
543.12 443:11 447:5	515:9 518:2 519:24	adjourned 537:11	447:13,14 458:12
450:24 451:1	521:2 522:1,8,15		503:25 524:1
430.24 431.1			303.23 32 1.1

[ahead - best] Page 2

_			_
528:23	approaches 459:5	association 524:12	497:19,21,25
aid 494:10,11	approaching 506:20	associations 474:22	498:25 503:1,22
aids 494:10,12	appropriate 454:10	assume 456:12,21	504:17 505:3
495:4,9,17,20	454:24 458:20	469:20	508:13 509:1
alabama 528:3	477:19 510:10	assumption 455:9	513:20 517:5
alignment 483:21	approved 516:13	496:3	518:25 519:9,16
allows 461:15	area 444:14 445:3,4	attached 476:21	522:7,10 524:13
amount 447:6	445:12 454:18	attempt 516:6,11	525:22 529:9 531:9
495:25 510:5	455:16 465:8	527:2	534:1
analysis 517:20,22	477:16 478:2,18	attention 488:23	background 445:20
518:13,19 519:11	481:9 495:13	523:20	508:19 534:5
521:3,11 529:12	507:19 528:11	attorney 525:10	backtrack 482:10
analyze 523:7	areas 454:4,12	audience 524:7	bad 449:14
answer 466:13	455:6 458:12,22	528:19	balance 511:12
469:21 494:23	459:24 460:1,5,25	audit 465:16 485:25	band 462:6
516:25 517:3	461:5,6,11 465:15	486:9 495:12	bang 474:5
answers 519:17	473:15 474:16	519:25 521:2,10	based 450:2 471:25
anticipating 507:10	475:6,9 477:16,20	536:24	486:3,10 508:10
anybody 443:13	480:14,15 483:18	auditing 492:13	526:18,21
446:24 448:16	493:4,12 497:2	503:17 522:9	basically 452:4
483:14 491:24	499:16 501:13,16	audits 515:5	466:4 467:22 468:9
523:12,13,25 528:5	512:13 515:10,15	authorities 475:23	472:18 489:17,18
528:16 530:15	515:16 517:11	authority 444:15	489:21 503:21
anybody's 445:4	531:7	445:12,13 446:15	508:14,16 517:10
anymore 460:15	argue 468:2	451:8,11,17,18,19	527:9
anyway 451:13	arrangements	457:23,24 460:4	basis 445:17 518:22
apologize 525:3	447:24	461:7 462:2,14	bear 475:16
appearance 461:2	articulate 477:24	463:4 465:7 468:13	beautiful 536:21
appears 447:2	asked 451:1	468:16,19 469:11	becoming 484:7
appendices 498:11	asking 479:13 488:1	469:16,18 475:25	beef 499:18
applicable 471:17	490:18,25 505:19	476:9 483:4,16	beefed 515:17
492:5 534:18	506:7 514:4 515:21	527:2	began 536:5
application 503:3	asks 468:21 491:12	avenues 446:22	begun 487:13
appreciate 472:8	aspect 465:16,17	463:25 464:8	behalf 460:18
473:20 488:7 489:2	496:15 511:13	b	535:19
505:17 532:5,18,23	aspects 496:16	back 449:13,13	believe 446:14
533:14 537:5	501:3 504:21	450:24 452:14	452:15 461:6
appreciates 536:1	assembled 477:21	455:19 458:14	526:25
appreciation 506:4	assert 527:2	460:3,13 470:16	beneficial 455:3,8
apprehensive	assist 471:5	472:2 478:7,12	477:9 486:7 498:22
470:15	assistance 446:2,6	480:9 482:16,20	506:23 531:17
approach 445:19	472:11 473:1,6,18	483:10 486:16,21	benefit 464:20
457:25 461:18	associated 444:9	487:3,17 488:4	best 444:7 454:1
464:21 483:6	477:6	489:3 493:15,16,21	462:1,9 465:17
517:15		707.3 773.13,10,21	467:22 476:21,25

[best - clear] Page 3

477:11 479:10	bounce 449:13,13	511:17 519:9 525:4	change 449:21
481:6 482:19	box 502:12	529:5	450:14 470:22
483:18 484:5,7,17	brain 465:10	callaghan 465:3	471:2 472:4 488:4
485:6,13,16 486:1	brand 534:20	473:22 485:20	changed 488:10
487:10 488:20	break 488:15	532:15	492:25
491:19 501:18	514:13	called 467:3 491:5	changes 445:25,25
513:1 529:6 536:17	breaks 535:24	calls 535:24	455:18,25 457:17
beta 506:23	brian 450:21 465:2	capabilities 474:15	469:6 508:17
better 460:9 476:17	485:19 506:10	474:19	530:10
477:24 499:2,14	537:7	capability 474:15	changing 470:18
502:11 506:4	briefly 448:23	capture 496:12	character 483:12
516:14 519:10,16	529:23	528:24 529:14	charged 486:4
biased 525:21	bring 443:14 445:2	530:16	charitable 471:16
big 497:17 500:7	463:17 480:8 488:4	card 502:18 510:13	528:4
biggest 474:5	500:1 523:14,25	517:5 522:11	chart 501:9
bingo 447:8 460:11	528:21 529:9	cards 498:4	check 451:3,4
487:6 488:13,23	530:21,23	care 526:22,23	466:24 503:7,13,16
489:19 490:3,3,14	brings 506:9	537:4	514:14,14 530:18
490:19,19,20,25	broad 534:22	carry 470:5 505:11	checking 448:19
491:8,9 492:1,6,6	broke 450:24	carte 527:3,7	529:11 535:24
492:10,12,18,21	brothers 445:14	case 454:22	checklist 448:22,25
493:7,8,16,18,20,22	brought 477:18	cases 463:10 493:21	490:8 493:10,12,13
494:2,5,9,12,17,21	525:20 535:11	casino 442:8 527:12	checklists 450:10,14
494:22 495:3,4,5,15	buck 474:5	532:25 534:25	516:3,6,16,18
495:15,15 496:3,24	budget 470:25	cast 513:10	chickasaw 444:23
498:4 502:3,17,20	bugs 506:23	causes 461:12	444:24
503:7 507:22	build 463:9 484:2	ccr 442:25	chickasaws 527:10
508:12 510:6,6	building 535:4	certain 465:6	chief 442:10 443:18
513:11,12,24 514:1	bulletin 454:10	503:24 510:20	chino 532:17
514:5,9,19 516:24	459:3,3,13 466:7,14	certainly 457:3	choctaw 527:12
517:10,20 518:14	467:12,17 468:7	461:5 463:1,19	choose 451:18 452:9
518:21 520:18	469:25 479:2,5,5,10	465:5 476:13	christina 488:5
522:14 523:9,14,14	479:20,21,22 480:7	511:16 531:16	529:7
523:16	481:24 482:6,7	chair 456:6 477:10	circles 487:7
bit 447:1,13,23	bulletins 462:15	513:19	city 524:13
485:15 486:5	467:16,19,22	chairman 445:5	clarification 521:8
488:10 489:13	468:13 469:15	453:6 535:19,20	clarify 519:10
508:1 530:2	470:3,5 471:7 478:8	challenge 451:14	class 446:3,19 490:2
blanche 527:4,7	bunch 535:5	536:11	490:11,17 491:23
board 460:2 508:4	business 444:1	challenges 507:23	492:10 497:12,15
bodies 471:13,19	c	510:7	497:17,22 508:4,5
boeing 511:7	cage 503:8,23	chance 449:10 450:4	508:19 513:16
boilerplate 502:25	call 445:6 467:22	450:5 460:11	518:20 519:1 527:4
503:6,12,16	471:8 473:3 502:25	514:14	clear 444:13 460:17
			477:22 481:2 489:6

[clear - controls] Page 4

			_
496:11 498:12,20	comments 448:24	compliance 469:3,6	concluded 537:12
500:17 501:18	451:23,25 473:20	469:8 473:2 507:17	concluding 530:22
504:16,19 505:22	477:5 488:3 505:25	511:8,10 516:17	conclusion 485:11
516:3 520:19 529:4	508:8 512:5 514:6,7	complies 469:12	confer 514:13
clearly 450:14 454:4	514:9 517:14 528:8	complimentary	conference 529:5
477:22 512:16	532:3 533:15	503:18	confident 460:13
clearwater 442:8	commercial 471:16	comply 490:13	conflict 507:18
client 526:5	527:14	491:10 499:11	conflicts 518:18,22
clock 488:16	commission 453:10	503:13	confrontation 526:1
close 448:14 528:21	469:4 470:17	component 473:18	526:4
530:21,24	472:10,13 475:23	components 485:2,6	confuse 450:21
closing 523:20 524:4	513:8 535:20 536:1	496:20 498:21	472:25 475:25
531:5	commissioner	515:8,16	confused 533:1
cochran 535:20	444:23	comprehend 509:22	confusion 481:2
codes 489:21	commissioners	comprehensive	connecticut 534:2
coffee 535:2	452:24	516:22	consensus 464:18
colby 445:5	committee 442:1,3	computer 503:3	467:4,8 476:13
cold 506:6	453:1 456:14,21	concept 443:20	480:4,18
comanches 527:9	480:9 487:3,9,16	458:5 459:23 460:6	consequences
combined 466:9	508:13 510:7	475:18,19 497:25	453:22
484:21	522:18 524:8,24	497:25 499:2	consider 451:9
come 444:21 446:9	528:17,20 529:22	504:13 506:19	considered 507:2
452:14 455:16,25	529:24	507:9 510:17	constraint 455:4
457:3 460:3,13	common 467:21	514:22 519:21	consult 502:5
464:12 465:10	502:16 510:18	526:13	consulted 443:17
473:5 478:6 481:25	commonplace 471:8	concepts 461:16	consulting 456:7
486:2,9,16 493:15	communication	509:9	context 476:20
507:1 511:10	474:3	conceptual 535:10	480:24
512:23 519:16	comp 458:15	conceptualize	continue 455:16
526:13 530:2	compact 445:9	506:14	469:20 473:10
534:16 536:18	498:11,12	conceptually 473:23	475:8,12 533:19
comes 446:3 468:21	compacting 463:19	478:2 513:23	continues 526:17
470:12 510:25	company 511:7,9	concern 445:23	continuity 499:5
comfort 454:24	compare 515:4	452:8,10 484:7,17	control 443:25
comfortable 461:5	comparison 489:4	484:18 489:25	444:16 463:23
471:3 479:19	comparisons 536:24	493:5 500:22,24	465:11 490:6 492:1
comical 465:3	compilation 457:17	513:25 527:21	496:20 497:2 503:2
coming 451:8	complete 465:12	concerned 443:24	508:21 517:24
512:14,15 513:11	496:20	505:7 527:20	controlled 444:14
comment 451:21	completely 444:12	concerns 477:6,7,18	446:13 495:5
455:3 478:17 481:7	492:10 502:19	485:16 500:18	controlling 492:12
493:15 498:10	complex 494:19	513:17,21,22 531:7	492:18
521:8 523:22 524:2	504:8	conclude 453:25	controls 443:23
524:3,6,8,24 528:18	complexity 493:4	496:4 532:13	461:9,25 463:7
528:19,21			486:2 492:4,11,16
1	1	1	1 ' '

[controls - differences] Page 5

492:16,17 493:7,17	covered 453:18	day 443:6,8 445:17	delete 466:5 467:8
493:24 495:6 496:5	462:19 491:4,7	445:17 447:1	481:21 482:1,4
496:6,13,23 497:11	496:5 497:3 500:2	448:18 449:2	deleted 478:11
498:3 500:7 501:12	512:8 517:8	451:23 452:1 466:1	519:13
505:4,8 508:11	covers 492:16 496:9	482:7 495:14 501:4	deleting 466:16
509:14,17,19 511:4	cp 510:1	506:24	deliver 470:13
511:20 515:19	cradle 496:8	day's 443:9 447:3	delivery 525:17
531:19	create 499:7 524:2	448:2	denominator 510:18
convene 485:24	528:12	days 447:17,23,25	department 474:18
486:8	credentials 495:11	453:7 494:20	depending 464:13
conversation 464:16	credit 458:2,16	531:22	466:13 504:18
470:14 475:22	503:8	deal 445:14,16	depends 530:8
494:10	critical 465:19	447:12 494:12	deposit 458:3 503:8
conversations	cross 495:25 504:10	501:4	515:10
450:13	518:7 522:8	dealing 464:23	designation 525:14
coordinator 487:1	crossed 491:19	502:2,4,17,18	desk 474:2,3
copies 451:23	crossover 491:4	dealings 463:2	despite 533:22
524:15	cue 500:8	debate 464:20 511:5	detail 454:11,24
copy 450:22 520:8	culloo 447:21 452:5	december 442:1,11	505:12
527:7,8,9,12,12	453:10 467:14	443:1 530:16	detailed 481:12
copying 527:18	469:23 481:6	decide 451:12 476:5	487:24 505:13
core 487:12	486:20 503:14	476:8 487:10 498:5	details 504:13
correct 480:5	508:25 517:6	decided 488:25	determination
521:15	531:14 533:1	500:13 518:24	491:19
corrections 532:9	culture 471:2 472:4	decides 455:21	determine 444:8
correctly 466:2	currently 503:21	456:14	463:14 478:21,22
507:16 512:5	cycle 496:8,9,12,21	deciding 461:4	479:1 493:6 510:9
cost 528:3	501:9 502:9 519:5	decision 453:4 454:1	518:19
counsel 460:12	d	464:13	determined 492:5
count 443:6 492:14	dakota 513:16	decisions 453:20	develop 480:7 490:6
494:6	damage 514:1	456:12	491:25 493:6,7,24
counterparts 471:13	dan 447:15 470:16	dedicate 472:22	508:3,21 509:13
509:5,16,18	487:3	dedicated 473:10	511:3 531:18
country 462:10	dan's 486:18	dedication 536:2	developed 489:18
473:14 536:21	daniel 452:9,12	deference 445:19	490:5,8 492:19
couple 447:11	459:16 460:25	defined 485:22	519:21
457:10 465:21	466:18 481:18	493:18 505:4 527:4	developing 492:4
515:14 516:20	482:23 488:13,21	definitely 443:23	512:25 529:6
course 526:11 532:9	501:20 505:16	449:24 450:20	devoted 495:13
court 493:20 536:6	517:2 529:8	453:24 454:20	dialogue 512:20
cover 447:25 448:4	daniel's 452:5	455:23 474:4	532:4,6,23
448:17 453:24	date 448:10	475:11 476:18	difference 444:5
489:19 493:1 497:2	dates 533:1	defy 460:21	518:25
497:11 523:2	uates 333.1	degree 474:2	differences 490:10

•	5-		2
different 449:18	discussion 443:21	484:7 487:13	easier 466:10 499:3
450:22 463:18	444:2 446:3 447:15	489:20 496:7	echo 532:3 533:7
465:22 467:15	450:25 455:14	508:17,22 509:3	535:17
473:1,14 478:11	458:3,4 461:8,13	513:11 514:6 515:4	educated 461:14
483:8 485:15 486:5	464:9,20 478:1	518:12 522:1,13,17	educating 461:3
489:21 491:21	480:19 499:20	doing 444:22 447:8	education 464:20
493:4,13 501:11,13	512:19 513:14	448:20 453:21	educational 464:22
505:24 506:20	518:17 531:9	466:8,9 470:17,21	effect 446:21 468:4
508:1 511:21 512:7	discussions 462:24	471:24 472:3,5	468:8 470:5,22
515:10 518:21	463:20 476:3,11	473:4 474:22 475:3	489:23
525:25 531:7,7	519:11	485:22 488:19	effective 506:21
differently 472:6	ditto 532:8	490:22 507:16	523:5
480:18 505:24	diverse 536:13	510:5,23 512:24	effectively 523:7
difficult 493:25	division 469:6	516:16 528:23	effects 453:22
494:18 515:4	472:16	529:5 533:18	efficient 506:21
difficulty 492:2	divisions 472:20	535:25 536:4	effort 469:4,17
522:23	document 447:8	doj 445:15	499:14 500:20
dilemma 444:15	451:20 452:3	doubt 443:22	505:20 533:20
dinner 535:16	457:16,18 459:2	download 450:7,21	536:5
direct 493:12 524:7	466:17 476:18	downloadable	eight 453:23 456:5
direction 454:8	477:12 478:1,13,23	497:16,17	either 450:4 466:8
474:10 478:11	478:25 479:2	draft 456:3,6,8,10	466:12 467:11
488:12 503:9	480:22,25 481:1,8	480:7 508:16 520:6	elected 471:19
533:17	481:17,25 482:2	520:10,11,20	election 525:14
directly 473:24	484:8,15,16 485:3,7	529:20,21,25 530:4	electronic 490:3,3,7
528:20	485:15,17 486:3	530:5,5	490:10,14,19,21
director 472:17	487:25 489:4 495:1	drafting 529:24	492:1,6,21 493:7
509:3	495:9,24 496:4,9	drama 533:22	494:5,16 495:12,14
directors 485:24	497:7 498:20,22	drop 486:7 492:14	497:22 507:21
486:8	500:1 501:7,8,13	494:6 500:1 502:12	508:5 510:6,10
disagree 477:5	504:5,8,11,23,24,25	502:13,14,15	519:1
483:19,23	505:8,14 507:8	503:17	electronically
disagreed 483:24	508:23 509:21	dropped 516:21	491:13
disagrees 483:14	512:7,12,24 516:10	517:12	electronics 495:16
disclosure 449:23	516:15 517:8,21	drug 526:14	512:9
discuss 450:5	518:3,7,9 519:19	due 527:25	elects 510:19
454:23 457:9	520:4,5,22 521:1,12	dust 534:18	elements 493:21
458:10 476:7,9	521:13,16 527:1	dynamics 462:12	494:9
486:1 487:6 513:11	documentation	e	eliminate 501:3
526:24	487:19	e 449:2,14 474:3	embark 488:11
discussed 453:13	documenting	535:24	emerge 534:14
455:7 458:1,9	450:15	earlier 454:3	emerging 527:23
524:17 535:9	documents 449:8	early 447:4 456:14	emphasize 526:17
discussing 454:4,22	459:12 462:18	497:16 536:7	emphasizing 478:18
	477:23 481:4,13,22	177.10 330.7	

[employ - fisher] Page 7

[fisher - goes] Page 8

465:21,25 466:20	526:13 536:23,24	fundamental 450:9	498:25 506:6,25
466:23 467:7	536:25 537:1	fundamentally	513:11 522:21
469:21 470:10	follow 443:21 455:2	494:16 495:23	532:12 533:5,11
475:14 476:22	462:23 492:23	497:12	gift 537:8
478:5,14 479:8,14	498:7 499:4 509:6	furnished 525:15	give 445:19 460:11
479:25 480:3,5,17	510:9 520:13	further 447:14	514:13 519:15
481:18 482:22,25	food 534:25	536:10	528:19 530:22
485:19 486:11,14	force 468:4,7 470:5	furthers 464:16	given 451:21 527:3
486:25 487:21	forget 463:16 491:6	future 487:11	533:21 536:2
488:2,4,18 489:7	527:22	534:14 535:12	gives 454:24 469:25
493:14 501:20	form 449:25 450:19	g	480:13
502:21 503:25	456:5,10,18 468:7,9	game 491:8 493:18	glitches 449:3
505:15 506:2 508:7	476:16 479:24	494:17,22 496:14	gloss 497:9 498:17
510:12 514:3,17	formally 456:11	497:19 517:20,23	glossing 498:19
516:19,25 517:5,15	464:17	518:19 519:4,5,6	go 444:6 447:2,7
517:22,25 518:6	format 470:1 493:1	529:12	450:7,8,21 452:13
520:13,23,25	495:24 498:22	games 493:23,23	452:20 453:5
521:18,21 522:2,6	530:1	502:18 507:22	454:10 455:19
523:8,13,23 524:23	formed 512:22	508:5 522:11	456:2,12 457:15
525:1,7 528:7,16	former 445:5	gaming 443:19	458:12,14 459:12
529:21 530:14	forms 468:10	444:2,13 445:7,8,17	465:15,22 470:16
531:13 535:17	formulate 456:3	446:19 461:24	471:4,24 473:3
537:10	formulating 454:14	471:16,16,17	474:1,10 478:12,23
fit 506:5 517:16	forth 451:20 503:22	489:25 490:1,7	480:6 482:19,20
536:12	504:18	491:18 493:5 494:4	483:10 484:5
fits 514:3	forward 463:5	495:12 496:10	486:21 487:8 489:3
five 498:11 534:17	464:17 470:12	498:5 502:12 505:5	489:23 493:16
534:19 536:10	483:21,23 516:2	507:25 508:20	496:24 497:5,10,23
fixed 449:15	524:8 528:20	510:19 511:1 512:6	497:25 499:25
flag 452:6	531:18 535:12	516:5,9 518:13	500:2,10 503:12,25
	found 490:16	524:12 528:4	505:2,10 506:15
fleshing 478:6	521:16	534:23	509:3,4 510:1 514:8
flexibility 455:24	four 458:8 460:1	garvin 533:12	515:2,11,13,24
flipping 503:22	466:23 472:10	gather 487:1	516:15 517:9,10,12
florida 447:21	498:11	general 463:3	517:13 524:21
flow 501:9	fourth 503:5	475:18 476:24	526:6 527:7,7,9,10
flows 481:14 505:22	framed 480:17	477:4,15 478:8,17	527:12,15 529:16
509:7	framework 463:9	484:5 518:11	529:22 534:1,21
focus 472:9 514:11	481:12 505:5	generalized 489:14	goal 446:22 469:2,7
focused 445:24	front 525:24	generally 456:9	475:7 499:1 503:19
526:18	full 443:9 447:3,17	getting 447:4 457:14	505:17
fold 465:13	453:10 480:9	458:16 459:20	goals 455:6
folks 473:13 474:23	492:17	472:14 473:17,21	goes 482:16 494:7
475:2 480:6 484:3	fun 526:19 535:6	481:20 486:5	495:18 497:19
501:8 515:14		101.20 100.5	522:10 530:1,8

[goes - hopefully] Page 9

532:6	gray 528:11	484:7,11 485:2,3,4	haves 484:25
going 444:8 449:21	great 446:11 471:21	487:13,19 488:13	head 466:22 500:2
450:14 451:12,20	473:8 474:12,23	488:20,23 489:2,3	health 468:1
452:25 453:5,11,19	485:22 507:7	489:11,20 490:5	hear 453:5 455:13
453:25 455:14,16	513:14 531:9,12,23	495:8 504:4,6,7,11	476:10 512:5,18
457:19 458:13,15	532:2 533:8,9,10,18	508:2 509:25 510:2	513:18,21 524:10
459:21,22 460:10	535:1,3,8,18 536:16	510:9 512:23 514:6	533:9
460:22 462:13	green 442:25 524:25	515:3,20 517:21	heard 445:22 452:8
466:9 468:22 472:7	525:3,9,9 528:9	518:12 522:1,13,19	484:5 513:20
474:2 475:24	grew 489:17	523:14,16 529:7	515:14 528:18
478:22,24 479:2	group 443:15 445:2	guide 479:2 495:18	hearing 470:14
481:21 484:1,14	448:25 452:20	guidelines 487:6	476:4 483:7
485:10,17 487:7,17	455:16,21 456:17	guides 471:7 505:14	held 493:23
488:16,22 489:13	456:24 457:6,24	guys 452:20 473:8	help 471:5 474:2,3
489:15 491:11	461:13,23 464:17	475:12 505:21	475:8 489:15
493:22 496:3	478:22 480:6	515:15	504:11 506:22
498:15 499:19	483:25 485:11	h	509:11
500:11,12 501:8	486:8,15,16,17,25	half 448:2 527:11	helped 508:2 509:12
502:3,4,9,13 504:7	488:5 512:7 515:6	531:22	514:22
505:3,16 507:8	516:5,10,14 519:16	hall 442:10	helpful 456:13
509:1,9,22 511:1	522:22 529:2,3,9	hamel 466:22 492:9	471:15 472:7
518:25 523:21	530:2 532:5	492:22,25 502:23	473:10 476:7,10
526:15 529:24	group's 457:8	503:15 504:1	478:4 493:10
531:15 534:7,8,14	480:12	505:13 506:1 513:4	499:16 514:24
534:16,17,18,19,20	grouping 465:12	520:17 531:21	515:1,11 532:7
536:9	499:4	hammer 471:4	helping 491:15
good 443:5 446:5,16	groups 445:11	hand 466:17,18,21	hey 500:8 509:5
453:2 454:2 461:1	guess 448:3 489:7	467:1,2 473:24	high 453:18
468:17 469:1,2	501:23 508:19	479:11,16,17	higher 469:24
470:21 472:3,5,19	513:4 521:6 537:3	493:23	historic 472:15
480:13,18,22	guidance 447:8	handled 482:18	historically 497:24
487:18 496:17	454:7,12 456:23	handling 515:19	hoc 473:7
503:14 504:16	459:2,12 462:4,15	happen 461:13	hoening 457:2
505:21 508:19,23	462:18 465:5 466:6	511:4	467:24 470:2
513:3 523:11 530:9	466:14 467:10,12	happened 463:10	hold 460:9 464:19
532:1 533:3,13	467:18,21,23,24	happening 452:22	holidays 532:16
535:9 536:3	468:3,7,9,9,12	happens 463:19	537:7
goodness 500:2	470:4,6,7 476:14,16	511:9	home 526:6 531:9
gotten 449:15,16	476:19,20,21 477:8	happy 519:20	535:23 537:9
government 445:15	477:11 478:8,23,24	532:16 537:7	honestly 519:20
grant 463:15	479:10 480:7,11,13	harbor 511:13,15	hope 471:2,7 489:6
granted 509:10	480:13,15,16,20,22	hard 504:20	hoped 507:9
grasp 509:9	481:1,4,6,8,11,12,13	hassled 451:13	hopeful 532:9
grave 496:8	481:17,22,24 482:2		hopefully 489:14
	482:19 483:10,19		

L 4 . P4	522.17.524.10.15	·	: 445 16
hospitality 536:16	533:17 534:10,15	inexperienced 509:1	ira 445:16
537:5	534:22 535:11	information 448:15	issuance 467:11
hosting 536:15	impressed 533:20	484:11 487:2	issue 443:24 446:9
537:3	improved 473:16	503:11 510:5	448:9 461:19,20
hour 504:2	improvement	524:19 527:10,19	462:3 464:19 473:5
hours 533:5	475:10	527:23 528:1,2,5,6	482:17 483:4,4
housekeeping	inappropriate	informative 484:22	484:19 487:9
447:12 524:3	454:16	infringe 458:13	490:11 504:12
528:23	inaudible 527:4	inherent 458:23	507:14 508:3
huge 528:12 531:6	include 477:2	461:8,14 485:6	510:24 511:21
huh 447:10 465:25	478:25 479:2,4	502:5 518:22	515:1 524:18
492:22	498:6 519:25	inherently 510:19	525:19,23 526:7,8,9
human 498:16,19	included 454:12	initial 530:5	526:25 531:10,11
501:3	476:23 477:17	input 452:3 531:16	536:5,5
i	483:17 485:2	533:14	issues 444:7 445:25
i6 518:3	487:14 496:19	intended 506:21	450:9 459:8 463:5
idea 446:5 465:4	500:20	interest 489:10	464:21,24 474:14
473:23 478:7	includes 527:6	513:1	476:7,18 482:15
503:14 505:7	including 447:12	interested 476:4	495:10,11 499:6
ideally 463:8	466:12 473:2	interesting 506:10	512:22 519:1 526:2
ideas 474:12 477:15	474:17 476:24	interface 490:21	532:19 535:13,25
477:22 535:10	525:11 528:25	interior 474:18	issuing 462:3
identical 520:4	incorporate 485:16	internal 461:25	item 488:19 498:12
identified 460:1	494:24 509:20	463:7 465:11	505:23 514:20
472:10 484:13,25	incorporated	485:25 486:1,9	515:23 519:24
identify 458:12	478:16 491:8 494:7	492:1 493:7,17,24	523:15 529:13
475:9 484:12,16	increase 464:4	496:22 497:2,11	items 464:18 523:1
492:11 504:10	incredible 474:11	498:3 500:7 503:2	528:25
517:11	531:22 532:1	505:4,8 508:21	j
identifying 481:15	incumbent 509:12	509:17 511:3,20	january 447:13
485:5	510:22	531:19	529:1,10
igra 463:13 493:18	indian 445:7	internet 527:23	jason 460:23
igt 474:6	indicating 454:9	interpret 470:3	jeff 488:5 529:7
iii 442:6	individual 502:24	interpreted 506:17	jess 525:9
immediately 473:6	524:15	introduction 467:25	jettison 447:19
•	individuals 533:9	503:10	job 442:24 470:18
impact 519:7	indulging 489:2	invariably 509:4,8	•
implemented	industry 445:8	invasions 494:13	470:21 472:3,5,19
506:15	462:9 469:1 470:3	investigators 473:3	473:9 505:21
importance 478:18	471:6,14,15,22	invitation 524:6	508:10,23 533:18
536:4	472:1 474:25 475:4	invite 464:5	536:17 537:2
important 454:6	475:8 499:8 512:4	inviting 532:24	joint 445:9
476:2 482:5 497:8	513:1 514:2 536:9	534:25	jumped 462:5
513:13,15 517:24	536:13	involved 503:8	jurisdiction 454:5
519:22 526:20		509:15	454:18 458:20
	I .	I .	I.

[jurisdiction - longer] Page 11

450.6.22.460.5	471.7.11.12.472.4	515.25 510.14	1: 465.5 14 477.25
459:6,23 460:5	471:7,11,12 472:4	515:25 519:14	line 465:5,14 477:25
482:5 525:19 526:7	472:13,23,23	524:17 530:12	478:1,24,24 487:23
527:20 528:11	473:15 474:20,25	533:13	488:19 507:16
jurisdictional	475:8,8,22,25 476:1	law 445:17 468:5,8	lines 457:23 458:2
461:20	476:2,3,6,7,10,12	468:24 471:20	458:15 503:8
k	477:3 487:8 488:9	laws 469:12	linked 497:13
kansas 447:20	490:9 491:5,24	lawyer's 444:20	list 447:5 475:18,19
kathy 466:21 485:25	492:4 493:16 494:3	lead 485:10 496:17	477:19 478:9,16
494:3 503:25	494:5,13 496:15	leadership 443:18	500:11 523:15
514:22	497:18 498:24	460:12	528:24 529:11
keep 448:18 454:15	499:1,3,3,7,10,17	learn 459:11 532:4	530:11,15,17 534:3
462:20 504:15	501:2,5 502:11,21	learned 533:16	534:12
505:3 509:1 516:1	504:12,14 505:9,20	learning 531:6	listed 521:3
keepers 525:5	505:20 506:18	532:19,23 533:8	listening 531:15
kind 445:20 446:20	510:7,11 512:6,14	leave 456:21 459:18	little 446:25 447:10
448:19 450:15,16	513:7,17,25 514:3,8	460:8 476:6 487:15	447:13,14,22 448:6
451:5,14 452:6	516:7 518:17	488:14 505:16	448:12 449:20
460:12 462:5 470:4	523:23 524:10,13	518:24	450:6,20 452:23
470:6,6 472:14,14	529:15 533:5,11	leaving 486:19	453:13 455:13
470:0,0 472:14,14	535:22,23 536:5,6,7	494:14	456:2,20 460:16
475:12 479:20,23	536:8,9,19	left 443:10 444:7	467:19 468:17
481:14 484:5 486:9	knowing 501:4	447:23 465:24	469:12,19 470:1
489:2,11,12,13	knowledge 502:16	527:15 530:25	472:6,8 474:12
491:4 506:6,7	512:14,15	531:2 534:18	475:21 480:11
508:12 509:6,7	known 509:14	legal 459:14 460:12	485:15 486:4,13,18
516:21 524:4	l	legalize 528:4	488:10,24 489:10
525:17 526:15	1 442:25 522:2	leo 469:22 482:14	489:13 494:18
534:1.5	label 495:6	532:24 534:24	498:24 503:19
kinds 446:17 468:10	labs 474:25	535:15 537:3	504:3 505:17 508:1
kitsap 442:10	lack 463:3 472:1	level 444:3 445:10	514:19 515:20
know 444:19,25	lacking 501:24	446:17 454:24	517:9,18 518:4
445:5,24 446:8	504:10,14 513:5	460:13 461:17	520:7,19,24 522:12
448:24 449:18	521:12 522:16,21	463:14 468:6	522:15 523:1,11
452:1 453:2,15,16	523:5	469:25 471:22	525:25 530:2
453:17,17,18,23,25	lacks 495:24	472:3 486:5,23	535:19
455:10,15,17,20,21	laid 447:18	493:25 501:16	local 446:16 486:23
455:23,24 456:1,3	language 503:1	508:20	locate 516:22
456:15 457:22	505:6	levels 469:24 499:10	located 520:21
458:11,13 459:10	large 513:25 515:6	lieu 479:3	location 448:13
459:11,13,25 460:7	519:11	life 496:8,9,12,21	log 491:14
462:8,8 463:8	larger 527:12	501:9 502:9	long 487:17 491:24
464:16 468:20	lash 449:24 450:3,8	liked 445:6	504:1 512:4,5
469:2,5 470:9,12,16	450:11 454:2,23	limitation 493:19	527:11 534:3 536:4
470:21,24,25 471:1	460:9 480:12 493:9	limited 472:21	longer 448:3
170.21,27,23 771.1	100.7 100.12 773.7		

[look - monday] Page 12

look 444:19,20	m	470:8 479:4,12	533:15
445:8 450:4 455:23	machine 518:21	480:2,4 481:19	mentioned 447:16
470:23 471:24	519:1,3 523:6	482:24 487:5,24	506:11 529:14
474:9 475:5,9 480:8	machines 490:3	488:3 490:16 492:7	merely 498:18
484:1 486:4,22	519:4	495:2 501:22	message 449:13
492:15 493:16	magee 457:9,19	503:23 504:9	450:2 463:24
494:6,13,21 496:24	458:19 465:23	mean 445:4,13	470:13 473:17
496:25 497:24		446:5 457:24 458:2	messages 449:13
499:24 505:2	478:20 479:23	458:6 463:5,18	481:20
511:21 514:4	512:21 533:7	464:10 476:14,20	met 508:5
517:12,13 519:9	mail 449:2,14 474:3	477:4 481:1 485:12	mia 536:3
520:23 522:12,18	535:24	501:6 502:11 503:9	michelle 449:5
522:24 535:12	main 495:2,4	504:14 510:24	535:2
536:11	maintaining 469:2	511:22 512:3 513:5	microphone 524:9
looked 490:8 491:24	major 472:9,10	513:14	524:11
499:17 523:16	making 447:24	meaning 488:15	mics 463:10 465:13
looking 446:17	478:7 508:5 526:19	means 447:3 458:2	489:18 499:21
458:7 474:20	535:24	467:4,8 479:25	505:9 523:18,24
490:17 494:19	manage 444:8	483:24 494:24	middle 450:16
497:7 498:2 499:9	management 517:24	meant 516:21	mike 470:12 515:5
500:16 506:7	manner 482:18,19	meet 453:11 473:5	536:22
507:25 518:4	manually 490:22	491:1 493:24	mile 527:11
519:23 523:6	manufacturers	509:20 511:23	million 511:7,10
528:23 534:2 536:8	474:24	529:3	527:16
lose 527:14 534:4,9	market 527:23	meeting 442:4	mind 443:14 446:25
loss 528:2	marketing 458:23	447:13,17,23	452:6 454:15 459:9
lost 463:24 464:9	marry 507:13	448:10,11 449:12	462:20 475:17
528:1	mary 442:25	453:17 457:10,14	500:7 501:7 510:24
lot 444:11 449:8	massaged 508:12	457:16,18 460:1	516:1
473:12 474:22	matt 444:10 454:3	471:23 475:20	minimize 498:19
475:3,22 490:18,22	461:21 529:11	488:24 489:5 512:1	minimum 463:7
491:11 492:2 493:5	matt's 516:8 533:15	521:6 523:3 524:18	465:10 479:14
494:20 495:2	matter 497:5,6,10	528:24 529:1,10,14	486:1 493:25
499:22,23 504:8	498:4 500:9,14	529:19,19,20	509:19 511:24
509:17,25 531:8	matters 476:11	530:16,20,21,24,24	minute 482:3
532:2,24 533:25	532:14	532:10,10,18	minute 482.3 minutes 478:6
532.2,24 533.23	matthew 470:10	532:10,10,18	514:15
535:23 536:23,23	493:14 501:21	535:8 537:12	mirror 533:15
536:25,25	510:13 514:4,22		missed 478:3 500:18
lots 445:11 470:13	maturity 499:10	meetings 457:10 532:5 533:24	505:1
507:24 519:7 526:4	mcghee 451:7	535:13	missing 501:23
loud 459:4	454:19 457:22	meets 522:23	mixed 481:20
lowest 510:18	459:19 460:14,20	meets 322:23 members 475:4	mom 511:8
iowest 310:18	466:19 467:6	487:2 522:18	
	468:11 469:10,14		monday 456:6
		525:11,12 531:16	524:14 531:23

[money - oppose] Page 13

money 468:14	462:19 464:12	489:21 512:25	oftentimes 506:15
497:18,20 502:3	465:1 466:14 471:6	514:6,13 516:3	oh 491:17 500:2
528:2	471:23 476:19	524:14 525:18	oiga's 524:21
monthly 519:25	477:16 478:12,21	524.14 323.16	okay 450:24 507:16
morgan 444:11	480:1,5,14 481:10	527:3,18,25 528:1	511:14 533:3
461:22 470:11	· · ·	, ,	
	482:9 483:1,19,22	528:10,11,15	oklahoma 524:12
477:10 484:4,14	492:18 495:5,6	nigc's 459:23 488:3	once 457:16 494:15
485:9 493:15	498:21 500:3,9,12	524:16 532:12	529:16 535:21
496:22 499:19	501:24 502:15	night 444:12 535:4	536:1
504:15 507:11	503:5 504:9 510:21	nine 443:6 447:17	ones 490:12 525:16
510:14 512:3 513:3	511:16 522:12,19	nj366120 442:24	online 450:7 473:25
513:9 517:2 518:15	523:8,17 526:5,15	nomenclature	474:5,7,19 527:14
520:21 532:3	527:21 528:7,10,23	467:21 490:4	ooo 443:3
morning 443:5,17	529:15 530:9	nomination 460:17	op 526:18
444:18 462:24	532:13 536:8	non 481:1 483:6	open 449:6,10,17
463:20 535:2	needed 462:2,9	529:7 533:10	operate 444:13
move 463:5 464:15	476:14 478:23	northeast 442:9	500:12 513:10
483:11,21,22	530:19	536:20	operating 490:14
488:14 501:1	needing 524:11	note 523:15 526:12	507:15
515:22 528:22	needs 444:14 446:15	notebook 522:2	operation 444:13
531:18	456:24,24 457:25	notes 534:2	462:11,21 465:20
moved 493:11 515:8	461:17 477:12,17	nov 446:10 465:16	490:1,1 508:1,19
516:23 521:2	478:1,2 480:23	november 529:22	511:8 515:6 531:18
moving 470:19	481:2 496:11,17	529:25 530:6,9	operation's 503:6
493:3 516:1 523:20	499:13 505:12	nth 474:2	operational 472:2
533:16	520:14	number 474:8	505:5
multiple 524:22	networking 504:21	498:25	operationally
multitude 445:16	never 461:1 520:10	0	499:24
n	524:11	obligation 463:21	operations 491:22
name 525:9	new 469:6 488:11	obviously 449:16	492:2 493:5 494:19
nation 444:24	491:6 497:17,24	457:14 519:15	494:20 504:19
national 500:19	507:25 509:15	522:22 524:1	505:6 510:1 519:8
nature 459:8 498:16	534:20	occur 496:1	528:4 535:22
	newer 462:20		opinion 458:14
498:19 near 449:25	nice 535:1 536:20	october 489:24	459:21 489:16
	nigc 444:5 445:3,13	529:21 530:5	491:21 510:1
necessary 493:6	445:24 447:9 452:7	offered 461:25	521:14
need 443:7,10,22	452:14,16,22 454:5	office 444:2 450:13	opinions 465:6
444:5 446:12	454:14 455:9 461:7	471:3	470:9
447:16,17,22,25	461:10 462:1,5,14	officer 443:19	opportunities
448:4,8,17,20	463:15 464:5	officers 473:2	507:24
449:11 453:7	465:15 467:11	official 456:16	opportunity 486:21
454:15 455:1	469:16 475:16	officially 456:16	524:2,5 530:22
456:17,25 457:6	476:25 477:5 478:9	officials 471:19	oppose 466:25 467:1
458:10 460:1 462:6	479:9 485:24 486:8		- F F 555 155.25 157.1
	11212 12212 1 10010		

[opposed - point] Page 14

opposed 455:11		patron 503:7 515:9	pilot 506:19,22
461:11 462:15	p	paused 514:17	507:5
464:23 479:16	p.m. 447:2	pay 515:19	pinpoint 504:20
	package 457:11		
opposite 515:18	packet 498:10	peeps 462:24	pipe 455:10
options 453:5	page 485:18 504:8	penalized 511:25	pipeline 456:5
467:17	522:1,2	people 447:19,24	place 461:8,9 495:6
order 462:10 470:22	pages 504:1	449:4,19 450:21	507:12,23 511:25
471:4 478:21 496:4	paper 486:10	451:4 461:3,13	521:11
496:11,20 532:13	489:19 490:9,19	464:25 466:11,15	placed 500:21
ordinance 463:15	491:18 492:5 493:8	467:16 468:2,6	places 467:25 471:5
organization 472:16	493:23 494:17,21	470:3,14 471:3,15	500:3 524:22
498:9 509:19	494:22 508:12	472:6 475:17	plan 447:19 457:13
510:22	510:6,10 512:9	477:15,22 478:7	457:13
organizational	paramount 455:5	482:25 483:9 486:6	planning 487:16
469:5	parcel 481:5 485:7	497:9 498:17 501:2	529:2,3
organizations 475:3	part 443:8 445:18	501:4 502:17,18	plate 463:22 511:23
509:1,5	452:9 456:22	506:3,6 509:8,12	play 491:9 494:11
organize 499:2	458:20,25 459:2	514:13 519:7,9	495:4 537:8
500:13,13,14	481:5 483:2,2,13	524:20 528:14	played 493:17 519:5
505:21	484:21,25 485:3,6,7	529:23	535:5
organizing 473:7	487:12 497:3,9	percent 519:25	player 443:11,20
original 489:18	498:14,17,19	perfect 506:14	454:13 458:14,22
499:1	503:11 507:6	performance 517:20	459:22 460:6,15
originally 461:24	521:13 533:24	517:23 518:13,19	467:12 475:16
originate 526:12	536:21	521:3,19,22 529:12	477:7 479:11
outs 515:19		period 449:16	484:24 490:3,14,21
outside 454:5	participation	528:21	493:22 494:5,8,16
457:24 458:19	497:14	permits 456:13	497:13,14,18,22
468:18 494:8 510:1	particular 444:4	person 459:21	519:2 525:19 526:7
535:25	465:8 489:12 493:1	472:16,17 479:15	527:2,10,13,22
overall 459:20	531:10	personal 511:2	528:5 534:19
472:24 477:1	partly 447:13 506:2	personally 486:20	please 479:17
489:11 505:5	530:7	perspective 444:20	483:23 489:9
overlooked 515:16	partner 474:17,21	444:21 459:6,7	502:14 505:25
overlooking 445:21	475:5	461:16 476:19	523:25 526:12
overly 500:23	partnering 474:20	525:25 529:12	plus 462:13 507:21
overnight 443:16	474:23,24	phase 481:9	point 452:16 454:2
451:2	partners 471:18	phil's 445:19	454:6 461:23
oversight 463:14,18	partnership 471:9	philosophy 489:12	462:17 463:12
464:4	parts 473:14 497:3	phone 525:4	468:17 478:21
	534:17	phonetic 511:6,8	480:13 485:10
overwhelmingly	pass 454:21	*	
526:9	pat 472:2	pick 443:10	487:22 497:4 498:1
owning 511:19	path 456:13	piece 496:18	499:1,20 500:24
		pieces 506:5 517:16	501:17,22,25,25
		521:8	502:10 505:1

[point - quickly] Page 15

506:10 507:2		34 454.14	
506:10 507:3	prepared 447:9	product 454:14	published 452:3
509:13 511:2	513:12 529:9	457:7 516:4	456:11 506:25
514:18 516:11	present 467:10	program 506:19,22	508:15 513:5
517:19 520:3 523:9	484:15,21 487:3	progress 453:16	519:19 520:11
526:16 530:21	524:7	475:13 531:25	pull 491:13 498:5
536:3,14	presented 456:25	532:2	500:10,10,12
pointed 496:13	466:16 480:20	project 452:18	522:11 524:16
505:19	presents 483:3	projecting 447:12	pulled 481:10
pointers 468:2	pretty 448:14 489:6	promotion 481:21	purely 490:2,14
500:15 501:1,18	504:5	482:4	purpose 465:9
502:6	prevail 526:4	promotional 458:23	485:14,23 510:4
points 453:18 500:6	prevent 459:9	promotions 443:21	purposes 512:19
513:18 519:15	principles 492:20	454:9,13 466:3	pushed 492:13
policies 505:10	534:3,4,9,12	467:9 479:5 482:20	525:1
pop 511:8	prior 513:8	483:11 484:24	put 449:11,20,22,23
position 460:17	priorities 472:10	proper 470:20	450:2 452:9 456:9
507:25 508:1	proactive 507:13	509:25 510:5	459:6,7 463:8
516:17 525:21	probably 449:20	properties 495:5	468:12 469:16
positions 526:2	456:4 457:25	533:4	471:1 481:19 489:7
possible 454:1	477:23 482:21	property 459:10,10	497:11,18 500:6
501:18 509:23	499:16 511:16	proposal 488:5	502:13 504:24
possibly 445:11	520:3 521:14	520:20	506:12 511:25
458:8	522:16 529:24	propose 459:17	519:12 521:6
post 467:18 524:14	530:6 531:23	460:24 464:17	529:15 530:15
524:14	problem 510:18	proposed 456:7	531:8
posted 450:19	522:20	484:2 508:16	puts 528:11
524:22	problematic 458:22	519:19 520:5,11	putting 468:12
postponed 489:24	problems 458:24	protocol 457:3	500:8 504:23
520:9,9	459:11 495:23	470:12	522:17
potential 478:19	procedural 533:22	provide 446:6 464:5	q
483:11	procedures 490:6	468:23 472:21	qualified 525:12,17
practical 458:21	492:1,20 499:23	475:2,8 509:24	question 452:5,16
459:7,15	503:3 505:11	510:4 524:24	465:25 466:11
practice 467:22	508:21	provided 489:5	467:9,16 469:22
477:11 481:6	proceed 451:6	provides 453:1	474:4,4 479:6,9
482:19 485:7	process 450:12,17	providing 467:20	480:18,19,21 500:4
practices 462:1,10	452:7,17,22 453:11	484:11 508:11	513:3 518:11
465:17 476:21,25	455:10,10 456:1	public 456:23 468:1	questions 466:3
479:10 483:18	460:17 463:19	511:9 523:22 524:2	489:14 505:19
484:6,8,18 485:13	485:14 495:15	524:3,5,7,24 528:18	506:8 508:8 528:17
485:16 486:1	502:9 507:6 516:2,7	528:19,21	quickly 447:7
488:20 529:6	531:5	publicity 472:1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
prefer 449:9,20	processes 446:1	publish 451:12	
451:6	495:12	476:25 481:17	
		507:12	

[raise - required] Page 16

	mangama 492.0 16 16	mofomomos 461,02	
r	reasons 483:9,16,16	reference 461:23	regulatory 461:7
raise 466:17 467:1,2	499:21 510:2	498:1,12 499:15	463:4,14,18 464:4,5
473:24 479:11,16	recall 477:4 519:12	500:6 504:10,25	464:23 465:7,12
479:17 515:1	receive 449:4	515:3 517:12 518:7	468:19 471:13,19
raised 452:6 466:18	456:16 530:4	522:8	472:3 476:21 481:1
467:16 499:7	received 453:14	references 455:17	483:6,12,12 529:7
ramos 448:9 535:14	receiving 495:10	499:14 504:23	regurgitating
ran 507:14	recess 488:17	515:7	503:11
rapid 524:13	514:16	referencing 495:25	reiterate 450:11
rationale 485:4	recognize 463:21	518:10 520:1,2	508:9
reach 446:22 503:19	464:7 537:1	reflects 503:24	rejects 449:15
read 450:1 490:24	recognizing 455:5	refresher 462:20	related 518:13
494:25 495:1 496:4	recommend 451:1	reg 467:17 469:17	relates 518:20
497:7,9 498:1,14	451:10 452:2	487:15 503:21	relations 445:9
499:25 503:21	454:16,20 475:15	regard 463:7	relationship 473:13
504:2,23,24	479:21 480:16	regards 478:4	496:11
reader 496:17	recommendation	register 451:22	relevant 502:2
	451:11,16 461:10	489:22 492:3,8	relied 504:21
reading 497:6 506:3	464:22 466:4,6	508:15,22	rely 472:20 490:21
506:6 508:3	467:11 478:8,10,10	regs 491:16 512:25	516:18
ready 450:19 457:11	480:8 481:15	531:8 534:22	remaining 515:2,24
457:18 467:3,4	482:16	regulate 444:3,3	remarks 530:23
475:14 513:11	recommendations	regulated 445:8	remember 446:19
525:15	451:19 452:7,19	458:6,7 461:17	518:15,23 519:10
real 459:7,7 504:12	453:14 455:22	regulating 461:12	534:6,8,15,17,21
realistic 452:10	466:17 470:9 476:5	510:17	remembering 466:2
453:8	476:24 486:16	regulation 443:25	remove 466:4
reality 458:21	recommended	445:1 446:23	removing 466:12
realized 482:12	473:25 478:16	454:17,20 458:21	reorganized 493:11
really 444:18 451:15	483:17 487:14	468:5 469:16 475:9	repercussions
464:1 471:25 476:2	492:24 493:2	481:23 485:1	528:13,14
501:24 503:14		487:18 503:10	repetitive 531:4
505:20 509:6	recommending 479:9,19,22	507:4 511:21,25	replace 447:21
512:18 513:13,21	reconvene 514:15	518:1 520:8 526:18	report 491:14
513:25 516:2,14			_
526:20 531:4	record 444:6 456:23	526:21 536:8,12	reporting 519:20,22
533:13 534:10	482:20 525:8	regulations 459:1	521:22
535:7,11 536:1,3	recordkeeping	462:7,16 465:4	representation
537:5	495:11	469:13 483:13	467:23
realm 468:15	red 452:6	499:8 502:24	representative
reason 449:17 453:6	redundancy 499:20	531:20	444:24
469:7 472:12	499:24 501:1	regulator 445:20	represented 490:1
474:21 475:5	redundant 500:4,23	503:20	represents 457:7
494:24 497:8	refer 496:19 502:7	regulator's 444:21	request 468:20
	502:14,15 525:5	regulatorily 499:25	required 457:2
			468:24 496:25

[required - serious] Page 17

505.1		and annual in a	andiana 452,24.25
505:1	revisit 482:20	safeguarding	sections 453:24,25
requirement 490:20	515:13,23 519:14	508:23	458:8,9 460:21
491:2	519:16 523:16	safer 516:17	493:13 494:7,25
requirements	right 443:6 447:9	safety 468:1	503:13 505:24
465:12 472:24	450:17 453:4	sarcastic 513:6	514:10 515:2,12,24
resolution 464:12	454:25 457:3	save 526:6	522:9 523:17 534:7
525:13	458:25 460:21	saw 502:24 512:16	security 503:9,18
resort 442:8	464:11 488:6	saying 453:6,7	see 446:18 455:1
resource 471:4	489:22 494:3	454:19 458:17	457:17 460:2 465:5
resources 468:11	497:10 499:22	468:6 469:14,24	465:11 470:19
471:1,10,21 474:10	505:16 514:1,13	476:24 490:25	471:14,15,25
respect 466:6	517:16 518:5 522:6	498:9 499:12,13	475:14 477:20
467:11 475:16	523:9,18,24 524:6	500:16 501:23	478:7 479:24
483:18 505:20	531:1 533:16	504:24 507:1,21	484:23 487:21
527:25	rise 468:5 471:22	521:17 522:21	491:25 492:5
respectfully 485:23	risk 452:3 481:9	says 491:18 497:1	495:23 496:1 498:9
528:8	482:4 484:12,17	500:8 503:6 511:15	501:22 502:5
response 465:1	512:24 526:18,19	517:7	506:11,12 508:16
526:15 528:17	526:21,22,22,23	scale 526:24	510:8 512:11
responsibilities	527:18 528:11	schedule 524:1	517:20 522:13,18
512:2	risks 444:8 461:8,11	525:2	525:23,24 529:15
responsibility	461:14 477:6	scheduled 447:1	530:1,18 533:4
463:22 473:19	481:16 495:19	472:24	534:5 536:18,21
503:7,16 510:25	526:3 527:1 528:9	scolding 532:11	seeing 471:3 508:18
511:2,20 517:6	rita 536:23	screen 483:5 488:18	512:17,19
responsible 475:4	road 454:3 457:10	489:8 514:5 528:25	seek 509:9
rest 447:18 473:2	470:19 472:7 481:3	screw 528:13	seeks 509:15
484:5,6 487:2	robert 478:20	screws 528:14	seen 453:21 504:13
489:13,15 495:23	robin 459:1	second 521:13	512:13 516:7,8,12
499:6 507:25	robin's 480:20	532:15	sees 472:1 531:10,11
508:25 512:3,22	role 461:10 462:13	section 453:21,22	segregate 490:9
514:19,24 515:5,22	464:23,23	466:4,5 468:1	send 449:18 450:1
516:16,19 520:14	room 506:13 507:7	481:21,25 482:1	451:20 455:22
520:17 521:23	531:15 533:8	483:15 489:12	524:15
522:20 536:22	535:10	490:19 491:4,5,6,9	sense 483:1 506:14
rest's 498:25	rules 456:7,8	491:9 492:11,13	513:10
result 449:14 536:6	run 462:10 482:15	494:4 495:3,17	sent 448:23 449:1
revenue 508:24	494:20,20 497:21	496:2 499:15	516:4
521:10	529:24	500:11 501:25	sentiments 533:7
review 452:25	running 462:21	502:1,5,6,7,15	separate 466:10
453:19 455:10	s	503:1 513:13 514:5	516:1
457:20		514:9 515:9 516:23	separately 466:8,10
reviewed 516:13	safe 511:13,14 537:7	517:13 519:18,24	serious 514:1
revised 530:5	537:9	520:2 521:9 522:3	533:21
2011000 330.3		320.2 321.7 322.3	333.21

[serve - struggle] Page 18

serve 487:1	six 453:23 456:5	525:14	started 471:12
server 449:15	sizable 504:5	specifically 513:23	485:20 528:24
490:22 491:4,5,8,13	slides 504:17	513:24	530:25
492:12 497:19,20	slot 474:6	specifics 454:11	starting 480:13
497:20	small 480:6 486:25	spell 502:19	516:11 520:3
servers 497:20	489:25	spend 478:5 480:10	state 445:10 450:17
service 491:6 503:18	smaller 462:19	511:7	471:12,13 498:8
services 458:15	486:15	spending 468:14	509:4
session 493:23	socializing 533:10	533:4	stated 461:25 481:4
507:22 524:4 534:9	socs 511:6,8	spent 447:6 536:25	statement 462:22
sessions 494:21	soft 465:4	split 444:19	465:3 475:21
set 448:10 472:14,18	solution 511:17	stacona 449:6,9	482:21 512:6
492:18 497:18	somebody 446:15	529:18 532:22	stating 498:8,18
509:13 530:8	446:17 471:4	533:3	stating 478.8,18
sets 498:2	473:23 480:6 496:2	staff 472:20,21	518:13,18 519:11
setting 496:23,24	500:18 504:2,9	473:2,17 474:13	519:19,22 521:19
shaking 466:22	506:16,17,17	486:22 499:25	521:22,22 529:11
share 448:25	soon 460:14	515:5,6 535:1	stay 460:10 510:14
share 448.23 sheila 524:9,10,20	sooner 456:25	536:22,23	step 452:22 463:22
shelf 511:17	sophistication	stamp 456:16	511:23 524:8
shifted 485:14	501:17	stand 484:8 518:21	525:22
shipping 495:10	sops 531:19	standard 465:11	stepped 528:20
short 449:16 488:15	sorry 533:1	469:24 484:24	stepping 525:3
488:15 514:13	sort 459:2,12 470:11	485:12 489:1,20	steps 525:22
shorter 448:4	481:11 512:23	504:3,17 508:11	stevens 535:20
shot 459:3	529:2	standards 455:7,18	stopped 450:16
show 483:10 501:9	sounds 448:6 450:18	457:16 481:10,11	463:11
showing 532:2	455:15 469:23	481:16 484:13	stream 508:24
shown 537:6	south 513:16	489:17,18 490:5,13	streamline 505:18
side 459:14,15	sovereign 463:17,23	491:25 492:17	strengthen 484:2
511:23	sovereigns 527:21	493:2 497:2 499:7	strengthened 478:3
sight 534:4,9	sovereignty 443:24	507:19 508:6,10	480:15,23
significant 508:17	444:6 461:20	510:8,9 518:12	strengthening
silence 475:25	464:19 475:23	522:19	480:20
similar 478:19	482:17 483:4,16	standing 492:15	stress 497:8 500:24
511:5	526:11	standpoint 444:5	strict 515:20
similarly 483:15	speak 446:7,16	464:6	strong 452:21 475:9
simplify 503:20	460:11,18 470:2	star 527:11	stronger 476:14
simply 506:16	525:18	start 445:15 446:25	477:13,16
sister 474:17	speaking 446:11	455:9 459:9 468:14	strongly 468:25
sit 452:20 479:20	496:2	478:24 488:16	structural 474:14
506:13 510:8 519:2	specific 477:15	493:22 495:16	structure 472:15,15
site 473:4	478:15 497:5	504:22 505:4 509:2	496:21
sitting 507:7 518:15	509:18 514:7,10,25	509:2 531:1 532:11	struggle 514:21
	515:15 522:25	533:23	

[struggled - tgwg] Page 19

struggled 472:14	supposed 463:8	tackling 535:12	tap 474:18
492:4	489:23 510:23	tahdooahnippah	task 472:23 480:1,6
stuck 507:3	524:18	479:18 482:8	486:15,19,25
stuff 445:21 531:4	suquamish 442:5,8	521:25 522:10	tasks 533:21
subcommittee	442:9 443:1 536:15	523:4 533:19	tax 466:17
461:25 512:21	sure 445:2 446:16	take 448:3 456:2	team 535:4,6
516:11			technical 446:1
	453:15 455:15,24 457:20 470:20	461:8,10 462:4	
subcommittees		463:22 468:10	455:7,18 457:16
516:6	471:21 485:11,18	469:8 473:21 474:9	472:11 473:1,6,18
subject 444:12	491:15 496:19	483:12 486:7,11	474:4 504:21
446:20 462:1	501:15 508:4,5	488:15 490:5 498:5	technological
467:13 477:19	513:22 520:25	505:25 508:21	494:10,13 495:3,9
497:5,6,10 500:9,11	536:11 537:1,8	509:20 510:25	495:17,19 534:13
500:14 513:15,20	surface 455:14	511:3 514:12,12	534:15
514:25 532:6	458:24	526:23 530:6 531:9	technology 473:22
subjective 504:17	surrender 526:10	taken 488:17 510:8	474:15 534:13
subjects 446:12	surveillance 503:17	514:16 533:21	tell 499:25 507:7,8
500:3 513:15	switched 462:7	takes 457:25 470:21	507:11,23 518:16
submit 457:18	system 443:12	472:4 476:16	525:7 526:5
submittal 457:11	446:20 467:13	talk 443:7,10,19	tells 452:12
submitted 522:22	477:7 491:1,8	445:7 447:22	ten 525:22
subsection 521:18	496:22 497:1,11,13	448:23 449:9	tend 463:16 495:22
substance 535:9	497:15,21 498:3	458:17 459:17	tension 462:8
succeed 486:24	502:4,12 505:3,8	460:2,14 462:18	term 445:5 476:20
successful 498:23	514:23 516:23	464:11 466:14	477:12 536:4
533:24	534:20	475:17,19,24	terminal 494:5,8,16
sufficient 495:25	systems 446:19	476:23 486:22	497:13,22 519:2
521:14 522:13	475:16 479:11	488:13 489:1,3	terminals 490:4,15
suggest 485:23	491:6 511:3 517:15	493:17 513:24	493:22 507:22
487:7	530:12	514:20,25 515:23	terms 444:3,22
suggested 483:16	t	518:17 519:8	448:21 464:19
suggesting 498:16	tab 500:12 522:2	talked 447:8 462:25	514:5
suggestion 465:1	table 449:4 477:14	466:8 474:13 483:8	test 451:5 452:13
483:1,6 502:23	500:16 515:1,22	498:13,13,24 522:5	465:24 466:2,15
513:2	521:6 522:17	529:6,23 530:19	479:8 480:21,23
suggestions 486:23	525:11,13,20,20,23	talking 445:15	506:11,23 507:5
512:12	526:14 535:11	446:10,25 447:6	testing 474:25
sum 528:9	tabs 498:5 500:10	460:7 461:1 471:12	495:12
summary 529:19,25	500:11 522:11	487:18 489:11	texas 527:13,17
530:5,6,9,16	tac 485:24 490:9	494:4 504:12 505:4	tgra 504:6
sundry 510:3	516:3 525:11,12	506:20 514:19	tgwg 466:16 480:22
supervision 503:4	526:10,17 531:16	521:23	484:2 490:6 492:24
support 444:25	533:9,15,20	talks 481:3 495:9,10	495:24 502:24
466:16,25 479:9	333.7,13,40	495:18 515:22	508:23 509:2 517:1
483:10 532:18		519:24 521:10	517:21 518:7,12

[tgwg - training] Page 20

720 4 721 12 15		504.44	1. 1. 1.1.10.150.10
520:4 521:12,16	think 444:25 445:24	534:11	told 444:18 450:12
529:12	446:2,11,18 447:16	thinks 522:23	tom 443:16 448:21
thank 473:20	448:4,10,14 451:2	third 527:11	449:1 460:23
523:11 524:23	451:16,17,19	thomas 531:3	461:22 478:17
528:16 532:24	452:10,17,21 453:2	thoroughly 452:25	493:14 499:12,20
534:24 535:2,13,15	454:2,8,15,16	thought 443:15	510:12 526:17,19
535:18,21 536:14	455:23 456:20	444:11,17 445:25	tom's 462:17 465:1
536:22 537:3,7,9,10	457:5,13,15 458:19	456:19 460:20	510:15
thanks 488:7 531:13	459:5,5,20 460:4	474:9 477:22	tool 517:24
533:6,12 537:4	461:19 463:16,24	485:14,23 486:7	top 529:17
theoretical 518:20	464:8 465:9,23	499:21 505:7 512:8	topic 470:11
519:3	466:10,12 467:19	512:17 515:19	topics 448:21
theoretically 468:10	467:21 470:16,17	535:8	453:18 454:4,8,11
496:8	470:21 471:24,25	thoughts 485:21	454:13 461:19
theory 462:9	472:5,7,13 473:21	three 452:23,24	529:1 530:20
thick 499:22	474:8,10 475:11	458:8,9 466:21	totality 496:4,25
thing 446:16 461:1	476:8,14 477:10,18	467:3 493:20,21,24	521:12
477:1,9 482:7 491:3	477:25 478:7,14	494:2,9 527:17	totally 455:11
497:17 503:12	479:18 480:12	tie 448:13 485:3	touch 454:3 455:19
506:7 526:20	481:1 482:4,8,11,12	496:17 498:21	510:16 515:18
529:10,16 532:21	482:13,22,25	time 447:6 448:2	touched 465:19
532:22	484:10,20 486:21	449:17 451:9	touches 446:20
things 444:4,17	487:12 488:24,25	453:12 455:4	501:11
445:6,22 446:17	489:3,10 491:22	456:13 459:7	track 454:25 532:11
447:12 448:20	492:2,9 493:3 494:3	461:24 468:14	tracking 443:12,20
453:21 456:9 458:6	496:1,10 498:25	469:16 470:22	444:14 454:13
458:17 461:2,4,15	499:6,9 501:13	472:4 473:21 479:1	458:14,23 459:22
462:2,19,25 463:18	502:18 503:21	480:10 487:10,11	460:6,15 467:13
463:23 464:1,3	504:7 508:12 509:8	488:14 494:14	475:16 477:7
465:18 467:15	509:8,12,21,22,24	506:3 512:4,5,14,14	479:11 484:24
468:14,18 470:16	509:25 510:6	515:4 516:23 525:2	525:19 526:8 527:3
473:1 475:19	511:11,13 514:21	525:6 527:1 529:3	527:10,13,22 528:5
478:15 482:17	514:24 515:18,21	531:1 535:21	534:19
484:25 486:5	516:14,17 517:2	536:12,25	trade 525:10
488:10,12 490:18	519:14,21 520:5,7	times 498:25 500:5	train 446:6 468:19
493:3,11,20 496:10	522:7,16 524:17	526:4	468:20
498:10 499:2,4	525:15,16 526:20	timewise 448:20	training 446:2
501:3,11 502:8	527:21 530:24	titled 521:9	461:10,11 462:18
503:24 504:15	531:8,12,15,21,23	today 448:5,17	468:12,18,23
508:13 510:20,21	532:1,17 533:13,17	459:18 470:24	469:15 470:24,25
510:23 512:16	536:17 537:6	473:23 482:13	471:1 472:9,11,17
514:11 516:20	thinking 443:8	486:18 494:21	472:18,21,22,25
525:21 526:16	448:8 451:5 457:23	518:16 519:15	473:7,18,25 474:5,6
530:1 534:7,12,21	458:12 459:4,13	531:25	474:7,19,22,23
536:17	487:5 495:16		475:2 477:8 478:9
	<u> </u>	1	1

[training - want] Page 21

501.9 504.20	4	f or-t	
501:8 504:20	trying 448:13 455:4	unfortunately 478:9	volume 442:6
trainings 472:18	459:4,5 461:18	uniformity 484:15	volunteer 472:20
transaction 496:7	493:24 494:13	unusual 525:22	volunteered 488:21
501:10	501:2 503:20	update 475:12	529:8
transcribed 442:25	505:18,18,19,21	updates 453:15	volunteering 487:4
transcripts 524:13	506:4 507:15	ups 509:2	488:7
transmission 449:3	510:14 515:7 516:6	use 446:10 454:13	vote 451:5 465:24
transmitted 456:18	522:8	476:20 477:12	480:25 481:24
travels 537:7	turn 450:24 488:22	491:9 494:11	482:6
treat 482:9,15 483:2	523:19	506:12	voted 476:15 487:13
483:17	turns 496:2	useful 477:11	votes 452:24
treating 483:14	two 453:7 458:8	478:14 505:15	voucher 516:23
trial 507:24 525:13	466:3 473:1 490:10	513:9 514:8,11	530:12
tribal 442:1,3	499:23 502:22	usefulness 519:8,12	w
443:18 444:2	504:15 505:3,24	uses 505:6	wagon 462:6
445:10,20 460:12	507:18,22 508:17	usually 491:14	wait 449:22 450:4
471:17,18,20	521:8 525:11	utility 446:11	452:18 453:24
474:22 512:6 516:5	527:17 529:23	utilizing 474:19	waiting 455:11
516:9 527:21	530:7 531:21	v	walk 525:23
528:12 534:23	536:10	vacuum 460:25	want 443:14 445:1
tribe 443:24 444:7	type 494:2 499:4	461:3 494:25	446:25 450:20
459:20 460:2,3,19	500:14	valid 477:8	
463:2,6,13,21 464:1	typed 483:5		451:15 452:13
468:21 473:5	types 444:4 535:12	valuable 454:7	453:5 455:19,21
484:11 510:19	typically 474:9	528:6	456:14 458:11
511:3,19,24 512:1	u	value 482:13 527:24	462:18 464:7
531:10,10 536:15		variance 519:25	467:10 469:3,14
tribe's 461:15	uh 447:10 465:25	variances 503:3	470:20 471:8
tribes 454:7,25	492:22	various 448:21	472:25 473:24
461:11 462:4 463:2	ultimately 501:11	496:9,16 498:10	475:15,17 476:9,23
463:3,9,24 468:20	underlying 469:2,7	510:3	477:1,2,12 480:9,14
473:14 490:11	499:1	varying 499:10	480:21,23 481:16
501:16 511:16	understand 451:7	vehicle 467:20	481:19 482:2
525:10 526:8 531:7	459:14 467:15	vehicles 470:13	483:25 484:12,22
tried 507:10,12,13	470:20 485:9	version 529:7	486:14,15 487:6,8
trip 537:9	489:15 496:17	versus 470:3,24	488:12 489:1
trivia 535:5	498:3 504:11	491:14 492:6 493:7	501:15 506:24
trouble 507:19	505:25 526:2,3	510:10 519:3	508:9 509:11 510:2
508:6,18	understanding	vice 535:20	510:16 511:24
true 506:11	464:21 509:19	view 444:6 458:1	512:14 513:18
trust 465:10	514:21	472:6	514:14 516:2 517:3
try 444:21 456:12	understands 445:2	viewed 444:1 470:8	523:14 524:2,9,12
468:22 485:10	understood 484:6	visits 473:4	524:21 529:16
500:6 525:23,24	485:11,17	vital 473:18	530:23 532:24
300.0 343.43,44			534:4,8,24 535:1,3

[want - zip] Page 22

536:14,22 537:1,6	week 448:15 529:23	witnessed 473:9	510:14 521:24
wanted 451:3	530:3,4,7	wonderful 470:17	year 527:16 531:24
485:18 491:15	welcome 443:6	473:8 535:3 536:16	536:10
500:24 534:1	went 459:11 478:10	536:18	years 462:13 470:23
wants 514:25	488:9,25 502:25	wondering 504:16	512:10 527:17
	′	word 452:11	
515:23 526:10	503:1 511:5 518:8		534:17,19 536:10
535:20	535:10	words 472:8 479:22	536:10
washington 442:5	west 481:7 484:10	work 443:7,9 447:3	yesterday 443:11,15
443:1	484:20 489:16	447:18,18 457:7,8	444:18 445:23
waste 479:1	491:21 492:8,19,23	457:12,13 461:18	447:7 448:23 449:2
way 442:9 449:18	493:3 508:9 509:24	471:9,18 472:24	450:25 452:8 454:9
451:13 456:3	513:7 516:20 517:7	486:14 487:23	459:3 465:4,23
458:11,12 470:19	517:19,23 518:2,11	488:21 506:13,22	466:1 470:14
474:1 480:22 482:3	519:18 520:2,16	507:10 508:2 512:7	475:24 476:13,16
482:9 484:1 485:15	521:1,5,15,19,24	516:4,5,10 525:10	477:4,21 480:19
491:14 497:23	522:7,14,16 523:10	531:1 533:10,17	482:12 483:9
499:18 500:13	wheatley 489:9	535:23 536:19	488:11 506:11
501:18 506:15,20	517:3 535:7	worked 502:24	522:5 531:25
507:5,10 510:15	white 486:10	506:3 516:12	Z
512:15,16 513:16	wholeheartedly	523:18 530:25	zero 467:1
519:20 523:5,19	462:17	535:5	zip 449:7,8
524:1 526:6 530:25	wide 526:24	working 450:12,19	
531:1	wild 448:2	473:16 474:14,16	
ways 465:22	willing 447:19	475:10 480:12	
we've 453:18,20	464:25 466:15	489:4 491:23	
455:24 456:4,4	467:2 479:16	493:19 512:4	
460:1 472:10	487:23	522:22 536:24	
474:13 475:7 481:4	wilson 443:17	works 509:7	
482:11,12,14	448:22 450:9 455:2	world 445:8 446:4	
485:14 487:7,12	460:24 462:23	511:6	
488:10 498:11	464:15 476:12	world's 527:11	
513:14 514:9 520:9	477:3 478:12 479:6	worried 531:24	
523:16 530:8	480:24 482:11	worry 504:22	
531:22 532:9	484:23 495:22	worth 443:9 447:3	
533:15,20,21,22	498:7 500:25 506:9	448:2	
weak 512:13	510:16 518:9	wrap 455:22 528:8	
weaknesses 477:20	519:23 521:4,7	write 479:20 491:16	
477:23,24 512:12	522:4,20 523:21	509:21	
512:17 513:23	532:8	written 479:24	
web 450:2	win 526:1,5,8	499:22 505:23	
webinars 474:19	wind 527:10	wrote 488:18	_
website 449:21	wish 460:5 463:15	y	
456:10 467:25	524:24 528:19	yeah 459:19 469:23	
508:16 520:10,12	532:16 537:6	479:14 488:2	
520:24 524:16,21			