		Page 1
1		
2		
3	TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE	
4	MEETING	
5	SUQUAMISH, WASHINGTON	
6	VOLUME I	
7		
8		
9	SUQUAMISH CLEARWATER CASINO RESORT	
10	15347 SUQUAMISH WAY NORTHEAST	
11	CHIEF KITSAP HALL	
12	DECEMBER 6, 2011	
13	8:12 A.M.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	TRANSCRIBED BY: CHERYL L. O'HALECK	
25	Job No. NJ366120	

Page 2 1 SUQUAMISH, WASHINGTON; DECEMBER 6, 2011 2 8:12 A.M. 3 --000--4 MR. FISHER: Okay, let's start. So good 5 morning, everybody. I'm going to just turn it over to 6 7 Tracie. Thanks, Robert. 8 CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: I 9 wanted to, first of all, being a co-Salish person, I 10 want to welcome everybody to the great Northwest. Ι 11 hope everybody made it across the water, for you 12 landlubbers, got across the water fine. 13 My grandfather was a member of the Suquamish Tribe. So part of my family is over here at 14 Suquamish. The other part of my family is over at 15 16 Tulalip. And I was hoping that Chairman Forsman of the 17 Suguamish Tribe might be able to come and welcome us, but he's got a very busy schedule. So he might be able 18 19 to stop by at some point today or at some point in the three days to welcome you all here in his way. But I 20 21 want to welcome you at least generally to the Northwest. 22 And it's a quiet spot. And I hope you all enjoy your 23 stay here. 24 I want to go ahead and -- now, I know Dan 25 has been working with everybody on the agenda. And I

want to just, you know, welcome everybody, and I'm glad 1 2 you could make it. 3 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Good morning, everybody. Thanks for coming out for another 4 5 one of these events. I know it was a short break between the last one, and we really did our best to get 6 the information out to you as quickly as we could. 7 It was a lot going back through all the 8 9 notes and making sure that we covered all the areas 10 where you guys said that you needed some additional information. We got you copies of the Tribal Gaming 11 12 Working Group's document, and then I know Mike Hoenig 13 and his staff and Rest West have been working on those comparison documents. I hope you all got those. 14 MR. FISHER: I think there may be some 15 16 people that didn't get them. I'm not sure. We need to 17 check. 18 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Is the 19 email not working? 20 MR. FISHER: For some reason, I sent it 21 actually twice, once as a whole, all together on 2.2 Thursday, and then when I -- I asked people to confirm back. Some people didn't confirm back. So I sent it 23 again on Saturday, I think, in two parts. So I 24 25 separated the attachments into two separate messages to

1	try to make it go through whatever filters were doing
2	it, but I think there may be still some people around
3	the table that didn't get it.
4	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: All
5	right. They're on the Web site, if you want to take a
6	look at them. We'll have to figure out a work-around
7	for this right now.
8	But in any event, I just want to thank
9	everybody for getting back here safely. And like the
10	Chairwoman said, this is just a wonderful place to be,
11	especially coming from the Northeast. This is just a
12	wonderful, wonderful great place, and I'm happy to be
13	here.
14	MR. FISHER: Okay. So the agenda for the
15	next three days was set up by our agenda planning group;
16	Mia, let's see if I remember, Mia, Christina, Leo,
17	Matthew, Dan. And it tracks pretty closely what was on
18	the meeting work plan document as a follow-up from how
19	far we got at the meeting in Rapid City. And then there
20	
	is an additional discussion on the agenda for this
21	is an additional discussion on the agenda for this morning around the concept of the risk-based approach to
21 22	
	morning around the concept of the risk-based approach to
22	morning around the concept of the risk-based approach to regulations and compliance, which you can see that as

1	agenda, but we don't have anything planned for tonight.
2	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yeah, I
3	was confused. I thought the one last night was tonight.
4	MR. FISHER: Yeah, it was on the agenda
5	for tonight, but it ended up getting set up for
6	yesterday, last night. So it's on the agenda, but we
7	don't have anything scheduled, set up for tonight. So
8	we can do something informally, if people want.
9	Okay. So any questions on the agenda,
10	any maybe what we can do, in terms of the people
11	who didn't receive the documents? Let's just how
12	many people?
13	Two. Okay. Maybe we should get copies.
14	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Okay.
15	MR. FISHER: If somebody has a clean set,
16	get copies.
17	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Can we
18	email them to you?
19	MR. FISHER: I can send them again, I can
20	try to send them again, if that would help.
21	MS. THOMAS: I know Mia tried to send
22	them to me again this morning.
23	MR. FISHER: It might be something on
24	your security end. I can divide it up into smaller
25	numbers and see if I can get it through in three

1	messages or four messages. As soon as we take a little
2	break here, I'll do that for both of you. Okay? And
3	then you can pull you can just pull it up on the
4	screen. Yeah, they can pull them up on the screen.
5	CHAIRWOMAN STEVENS: They can pull them
6	up right now, but I think we do need to figure out
7	you can check on your end what the problem is.
8	MR. FISHER: So, Mike, are you asking for
9	their email addresses?
10	MR. HOENIG: Yeah, and I'll just send
11	each document one at a time.
12	MR. FISHER: Okay. That will get squared
13	away.
14	Where we were on our agenda, then, based
15	on the request from the group at the last meeting, is to
16	go off the record and go into a working session.
17	THE TRANSCRIPTIONIST: Off the record?
18	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yes.
19	(Working session off the record
20	taken from 8:18 to 10:46 a.m.)
21	MR. FISHER: Back on the record.
22	So we're going to pick up on our agenda.
23	We're at the topic of the discussion about the
24	risk-based approach to regulation and compliance based
25	on a question that came up about how would this work,

1	principally from the NIGC, about how would how would
2	a risk-based approach work, and what's kind of the
3	connection between the regulation and the guidance end,
4	right? How much focus do we have to have on the
5	guidance-related documents.
6	Okay. So how do you want to kick off
7	this discussion?
8	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I think
9	we put together the questions as some general, like
10	Tracie said, food for thought, questions to get you
11	thinking of, you know, when we discussed this at the
12	last meeting, you know, your logic and thinking.
13	So we got a chance to kind of think about
14	it a little bit more, you know, what are the practical
15	applications you feel would be necessary. One point
16	that I came up with is how would the independent audits
17	be done if each individual tribe has a unique set of
18	regulations. You know, our auditors use checklists and
19	they're pretty standard for any operation, where it
20	seems like this would vary by operation to operation.
21	How would that like how do you envision this taking
22	place?
23	MR. McGHEE: Dan McGhee.
24	I would like just to so I'm sure
25	everybody at the table, we all are familiar and have

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1	experience auditing, but as far as the anyone with
2	experience as a specific auditor, you know, as a
3	certified auditor or an accountant or someone that can
4	maybe address how would an audit work, something like
5	this, because the auditors go in places all the time
6	without a preset checklist because they don't know, you
7	know, until they get there, what their because
8	everybody's rules are different. And I know you have
9	some experience.
10	MR. WILSON: I can speak to that.
11	MR. McGHEE: But is there anybody else in
12	here that has that kind of certified auditing
13	experience, professional whatever certification for it?
14	Then let's hear from Tom.
15	MR. WILSON: I do have those
16	certifications.
17	So what an auditor does when you go into
18	any entity, either a casino or business or anything, is
19	assess the internal controls that are in place. So most
20	organizations have documented internal controls, as
21	casinos do, in whatever format they're in. And then
22	essentially, you're applying a methodology to determine
23	if those controls are working as they're designed to
24	work. So for an auditor, it's pretty easy to come up
25	with an audit plan about how you're going to test those

1 particular controls.

2	Now, it just so happens that a lot of
3	controls look alike, so the things that you test for are
4	not uncommonly different from one property to another,
5	from one business to another. That's not a particularly
6	onerous-type task.
7	And we saw this with Sarbanes-Oxley,
8	where, clearly, every public company had to get
9	certified in the country, and clearly you had many,
10	many, many auditors from many different firms, public,
11	private firms, internal auditors, that all had to assess
12	the internal controls inherent in a particular
13	organization.
14	So the guidelines that were established
14 15	So the guidelines that were established were done to universally kind of tell people here are
15	were done to universally kind of tell people here are
15 16	were done to universally kind of tell people here are the kind of things that you're looking for, but you as
15 16 17	were done to universally kind of tell people here are the kind of things that you're looking for, but you as an auditor have to go in and design the test and
15 16 17 18	were done to universally kind of tell people here are the kind of things that you're looking for, but you as an auditor have to go in and design the test and actually test and conclude upon whether those controls
15 16 17 18 19	were done to universally kind of tell people here are the kind of things that you're looking for, but you as an auditor have to go in and design the test and actually test and conclude upon whether those controls are working or not.
15 16 17 18 19 20	were done to universally kind of tell people here are the kind of things that you're looking for, but you as an auditor have to go in and design the test and actually test and conclude upon whether those controls are working or not. MR. CALLAGHAN: Thomas, though, when
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	were done to universally kind of tell people here are the kind of things that you're looking for, but you as an auditor have to go in and design the test and actually test and conclude upon whether those controls are working or not. MR. CALLAGHAN: Thomas, though, when we're talking about external auditors, we're talking
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>were done to universally kind of tell people here are the kind of things that you're looking for, but you as an auditor have to go in and design the test and actually test and conclude upon whether those controls are working or not. MR. CALLAGHAN: Thomas, though, when we're talking about external auditors, we're talking about reasonable assurance, we're talking about</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>were done to universally kind of tell people here are the kind of things that you're looking for, but you as an auditor have to go in and design the test and actually test and conclude upon whether those controls are working or not. MR. CALLAGHAN: Thomas, though, when we're talking about external auditors, we're talking about reasonable assurance, we're talking about financial statements, and we're talking about</pre>

1 machines. So unless there is something materially wrong 2 with the financial statements with that, you're going to 3 see a lot of the risk assessment is going to be at a 4 much higher level.

5 And that's why I asked the question early on of NIGC, what is your dog in this fight? There is 6 7 reasonable assurance from financial statement presentation, there is reasonable assurance from a 8 9 regulatory compliance standpoint. And when you take a 10 look again at the NIGC's mission, you take a look at 11 they're going to go in there and see whether they do, in 12 fact, have internal controls and whether they're being 13 applied.

So again, that's why I mentioned before, there are different types of audits. There is financial audits, there is compliance audits, and I think there is functional audits.

18 So I think we're mixing metaphors here when we're dealing with risk, risk assessment, and how 19 we would apply these to internal controls. I think we 20 need to have established a firm set of internal 21 22 controls, which is what we're about, we're establishing 23 minimum internal controls, and from there auditors will 24 come in and assess risk on top of that, based on each individual location. 25

1	MR. WILSON: Yes.
2	MR. CALLAGHAN: So to state from a global
3	standpoint, yes, there should be some risk assessment,
4	that's great, but I think that's not what we're handling
5	here. That's why I mentioned to you before that I
6	wanted to have a conversation relative to risk.
7	MR. WILSON: And I'm not advocating I
8	mean, the question I'm answering is strictly how would
9	you audit controls that are different than that might
10	vary from organization to organization. And I think the
11	important takeaway is that that happens all the time, it
12	happens every day.
13	So to think you know, I don't want the
14	Commission to think that every organization has adopted
15	identical controls, and therefore they all look the same
16	and there is one checklist that's used universally. You
17	know, the checklist exists because it's an efficient
18	means to take a standard that exists and say this is
19	what we're going to audit to. But the components of
20	controls are fairly universal.
21	And there is a document that I sent out
22	to the group and I will send to you, as well, that I dug
23	up this morning that sort of talks about this idea of
24	the standards that exist, the risk that's associated
25	with not achieving these standards, and then what you

would expect these controls to sort of look like in that environment.

So the -- you know, I don't want to get 3 lost in the message that we're talking about this 4 5 formalized risk assessment that has to happen to get to the controls. And as I mentioned before, the risks in 6 7 gaming are well documented. So it's not as though we are trying to come up with what are the risks. I think 8 9 that is a known fact out there. The key is what 10 controls are you putting in place to mitigate and what do those controls look like. 11

To the extent that the standards might say that the controls have to include certain components is absolutely appropriate, but to define down to the absolute procedural level of what a control has to look like, I think that's where it is going to differ, under this scenario, from property to property.

18 MR. McGHEE: Well, when you say that, I 19 mean, the risks vary from property to property, too, 20 right? So who makes the decision -- who determines 21 what's the risk?

22 MR. WILSON: Well, I -- I can comment on 23 that.

I think this is where I think that there is a little bit of fear in terms of this idea of who

1 decides what the risks are.

2	What I am advocating and what my tribe is
3	advocating is that the NIGC's role in this process is to
4	establish the control standards, if you will, that need
5	to be in place to mitigate these risks.
6	The risks are fairly universal. There
7	are things operationally that can change the dynamics of
8	a risk and make one thing at one property riskier, if
9	you will, than another property. But the standards of
10	control are really what, it seems to me, the NIGC should
11	be focusing on, establishing those standards of control.

And the risks, like I say, are already known. I think if you went around and asked anybody that's in gaming, they could probably articulate pretty well what are the general risks in this type of transaction and this type of game and this type of process.

So I don't know that there has to be a lot of focus on risk identification as much as there is focus on establishing the control standards that you, the NIGC, think need to be in place to mitigate the already recognized risks.

23 MR. McGHEE: I think the document, what 24 actually goes out should identify primarily the risk. 25 It should state the risk and that it is the tribe's

1 responsibility to mitigate that risk.

2 So what we're doing here as a group, because everybody's risk is different, is to make sure 3 Mia's risks and Jeff's risks are identified as something 4 5 that needs to be controlled. Not how to control it, but that it needs to be controlled. And then when someone 6 7 comes in, whatever they've decided to do, then if it would be your guys or whoever, would say, yes, I agree 8 9 that what you've done here does mitigate that risk or 10 fix that risk, you know, because what I'm trying -- what I'm feeling is maybe if we go this way, then what would 11 12 NIGC's role be? Whereas it used to be they would come 13 in and they had a checklist and they would check it off and they could say, well, they made all the checklists 14 so we feel good about them, they're good. You know what 15 16 I mean? If they did come in and didn't do an audit 17 checklist, maybe there is a need for an in-depth audit. You know, it was kind of a tool for you guys. 18 19 If it goes this way, then it kind of

reconditions your whole thought and your whole staff setup in a way to who do we need on board to regulate it. Are we going to have to have more auditors, actually, on board to go in first, or reviewers. I don't know how you hire them now.

25

And that's a concern you should have,

1 because if this were to go and the tribes bought into it, then you're going to have a responsibility now to 2 figure out how to make it work. And it can work, but I 3 think we do have to have a frank decision about what 4 5 your role would be or what a tribe would expect your role to be, you know? Like from my standpoint, and what 6 7 you would expect us to do us a tribe. You know what I 8 mean?

9 If you're going to say, and I'm not 10 advocating one way or another, but if you're going to 11 say, well, if this is the case, then you need to have a 12 risk analysis performed by whoever so we make sure you 13 did that. You know what I mean? Whether we liked it or not or what not. But it would be better to know that 14 15 that's where -- because at the end of the day, Rest is 16 going to be the one in this. You know what I mean?

17 So what are your -- you know, what would make you feel more comfortable if this passed? You know 18 19 Whether it would be popular or not. what I mean? MR. FISHER: Rest, do you want to answer 20 that now or do you want to hear the rest of these --21 22 MR. WEST: Well, they can go ahead. 23 MR. FISHER: Let's just do Brian, Michele 24 and Matthew. 25 MR. CALLAGHAN: We have auditors this

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

week that are out at my Hartford property. They're doing a MICS review. They're qualified to do that. I would think, then, that NIGC would be satisfied with a letter, similar to what they do in Nevada, they require outside CPA firms to sign off and submit that to the gaming control board. So CPA firms are also looking to mitigate risk, as well.

So I would think it would make the NIGC's 8 9 job easier for those that can do that, and again, we're 10 talking about different levels of financials and who 11 must do what, you know, again, you need to stratify this into -- if we can submit a letter saying we did MICS 12 13 testing and submit that to NIGC relative to our Class II operation, I think that should be satisfactory. Again, 14 that's just my suggestion. And then you could 15 16 concentrate on your risk relative to that, and that 17 would be those that may not have the financial ability or infrastructure to be able to do that on their own. 18 That's where Rest would be more beneficial, I think. 19 20 MR. McGHEE: Which is required now, 21 right? It's required if you have an external CPA come 22 in and do a compliance review of the Minimum Internal Control Standard, which would be a different Minimum 23 Internal Control Standard. I imagine the cost of what 24 you're going to pay your outside auditor may go up as a 25

1 tribe, because now they don't have just this one little 2 standard to go by, now they're going to have to actually 3 look at the risk.

4 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: That's 5 one of the points we were making, is does this add additional cost. And you guys can explain this to me 6 7 I'm assuming there is a handful of independent better. auditors that go out there and they probably have a lot 8 of experience and they go to multiple facilities and 9 10 they know what to look for, where this would require that they create a specific plan for a property. 11 Correct? I mean, that would actually pass that cost 12 13 along to the tribe. 14 MR. FISHER: So we've got Michele and then Matthew and then Leo and then Jeff. 15

16 MS. STACONA: I just want to make sure 17 that you know those OMB circulars were done for the federal agency. And we need to understand that we are 18 all sovereign, independent tribal nations. And I hope 19 we leave it at that and leave it to the tribal 20 governments to accept these circulars out there or not. 21 22 I think you're delving into a much bigger area than I think the NIGC has control over. 23

24 So I think some of this needs to just be 25 decided upon by your tribal government. And I think

1	what's out there in the rules right now for NIGC is
2	adequate enough. You get the requirements of submitting
3	your financials and your comment from your auditors. I
4	think that should suffice right now, and I think that's
5	working. I don't think we need to put any more
6	standards or anything more in there to make it more
7	complicated. It works right now. Don't ruin it.
8	MR. FISHER: Matthew.
9	MR. MORGAN: I'm going to kind of tag on
10	what Michele said.
11	The way IGRA was written and I think
12	we all have to put that in the back of our minds, is
13	we're operating off statute. Tribes are the primary
14	regulator in Class II. NIGC, you can take a monitoring
15	role.
16	The role for your auditors I really don't
17	see changing, because it's still up to the tribe to
18	decide how you do your internal, whether it's a function
19	of your government, whether it's your regulatory body,
20	whether you contract that service out to some other
21	outside agency to do that. You still have external
22	auditors coming in, they still have the ability to do
23	agreed-upon procedures, they have the ability to do
24	internal audit sampling when they come in. You still
	Incernal addit sampling when they come in. You still

1	The difference will be you won't have one
2	way to do it any more, you have the ability to actually
3	design your internal controls that fit your operation
4	better, which, in my mind, actually does a better job,
5	because I don't have to fit into a broad,
б	cookie-cutter-based approach that may or may not
7	actually get to the risk at my property, but it is good
8	enough for me to pass a cursory, standardized,
9	high-level MICS audit.
10	And then I know we moved on to cost.
11	One, there is an argument to be made that it's a cost
12	associated with doing business, but you already have to
13	pay for that, especially the auditors that come in.
14	And I think, as Tom pointed out, this is
15	not a new concept in the auditing world. The auditors
16	do this every day in every other industry. We're just
17	trying to apply this to our Indian gaming industry on an
18	approach of how that works within our paradigm. So
19	shifting that responsibility to the tribe to come up
20	with, I understand that right there is worrisome. It
21	worries me as an Indian, it worries me as a regulator.
22	But that being said, that's one of the
23	reasons we stress that you look at guidance along with
24	that, because those guidance documents would serve as a
25	safe harbor provision that says tribes, if you do it

1	this way, NIGC is okay with you doing it this way.
2	We're just changing the focus to say if you want to do
3	it some other way, you're able to. And then I'm
4	replying upon those industry professionals to say is
5	it have you mitigated your risk in a way that we feel
6	comfortable. And that's both your internal, your
7	external, and the NIGC auditors coming in who have that
8	professional expertise.
9	MR. McGHEE: Those guidance documents
10	should come from NIGC.
11	MR. MORGAN: I think they should give an
12	example of a safe harbor that they're good with.
13	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: And,
14	Matt, I appreciate you bringing up the whole issue of
15	the cost to the tribes, because we have to be cognizant
16	of the fact that there are some tribes out there that,
17	you know, they're under huge financial pressure. And
18	gaming commissions are a target for cuts. I've seen it
19	happen. They're an area.
20	So we don't want to do anything that's
21	going to I mean, we always have to be very mindful of
22	how does this affect the poorest tribe or the tribe
23	that's just you know, they have a gaming operation
24	that is making a few bucks. And if there is any
25	additional cost, it's something we need to be wary of.

1	MR. MORGAN: But that's the importance of
2	a guidance document, that you have a safe harbor. And
3	that's the importance of having training and technical
4	assistance from your agency to go out and make sure that
5	tribes do possess those skills and, if they don't, have
6	some resources out there to call upon them to make sure
7	that they are meeting standards that are required.
8	MR. FISHER: Leo, Jeff, Tom.
9	MR. CULLOO: It seems to me sometimes we
10	rely too much on a checklist as a way to validate
11	compliance. To me, it's just one small tool, because
12	any checklist I've ever seen from whatever regulatory
13	body, there are always parts that aren't applicable.
14	Sometimes that confuses the person doing the review,
15	does this pertain to me or not.
16	I think the checks are there. We have
17	our external audit. You're going to send them your
18	internal controls ahead of time, they're going to review
19	it, and they're going to come up with their own way of
20	validating it with their own checklist.
21	Internally, at least from an operation
22	point, my tribal gaming agency, they have their own
23	checklist they use. They put together, based on risk,
24	what are the important things.
25	To give you an example, the state, who

1	regulates Class III, they'll come in and do things like
2	send an agent out to check incident reports, spend a
3	whole day doing all that. And that's billable hours.
4	What's the risk? Okay, so someone didn't write an
5	incident report. So internally, I think, the regulatory
6	agencies are better able to put together their own
7	checklists.
8	And as far as cost, I don't see the
9	additional cost to the tribe. External audits are
10	required. That's not going to change. And I don't see
11	internally where it's going to require a great deal more
12	of personnel to put together checklists based on what
13	you've developed internally and do your audits against
14	those.
15	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: We can
16	ask Rest, how do you feel this would affect, you know,
17	your staff?
18	MR. CULLOO: Because that's the concern.
19	How does a field agent come in from NIGC, how do they
20	check compliance. Well, to me, they would have to go to
21	the Tribal Gaming Regulator Agency and say, hey, let me
22	see your what's your compliance program? How do you
23	audit your compliance? Do you have a checklist? What's
24	your methodology? And I think it's against that you
25	would say is that reasonable and is that prudent, does

1 that meet the minimum standards that any rational person 2 would do.

MR. FISHER: Do you want me keep going around? Do you want to think about it? Do you want to respond, or do you want to keep going around? They want feedback.

MR. WEST: Well, I'm still confused. 7 You 8 know, the TGWG presented a whole package. And we 9 haven't seen the checklist part of it yet. In the 10 July 28th, 2011 submission there was supposed to be a 11 checklist to be provided pretty soon. So I'm still 12 confused about what we're supposed to be looking at, 13 whether it's the standards themselves, which is maybe that many pages (indicates), or does that include the 14 15 quidance. I hear now that we're supposed to develop the 16 quidance.

17 So I don't know what the benefit of -- I mean, you know, it seems like it's a package deal. 18 In 19 fact, I think if you look at the submission on July 28th, Vice-Chairwoman Bryan says this is a whole 20 package. I forgot the wording. It's down here about 21 the core of these documents or what they're submitting. 22 23 So it's not just these little standards. The standards say you've got to develop -- you know, look at the risk 24 and develop controls to mitigate those risks. 25

1	I have audited and been involved with
2	many gaming operations and many tribal regulatory
3	authorities from Florida to Washington state, from
4	California to Connecticut, probably in excess of 75
5	Tribal Gaming Regulatory Authorities. And I will
6	honestly say, in my opinion, there is a significant
7	number of those who are ineffective in their oversight
8	of tribal gaming.
9	So to me, and that would be maybe, if you
10	will, the target audience that this committee is trying
11	to develop standards for, you should you know, to me,
12	the committee should be developing standards that they
13	can hand to those type of organizations for ineffective
14	Tribal Gaming Regulatory Authorities and then they can
15	take it from there.
16	Again, there are only Minimum Internal
17	Control Standards. And those, the operations in here,
18	some of them have a lot more expertise, they have
19	developed these SOPs that are that thick (indicate) with
20	all these operational procedures that the gaming
21	operation should follow. But my concern is the smaller
22	operations are those who are you know, I don't know
23	what the level of background of the individuals here on
24	committee are, but if you've only worked for one tribe,
25	you've only been involved in one situation in tribal

1	gaming, some of you I don't know how much experience
2	with various types of TGRAs these representative in the
3	room have, but I can tell you there is you know,
4	there is a lot of exposure out there to some of these
5	operations who are, in my opinion, ineffectively
6	regulated.
7	MR. FISHER: Okay. So where we are is
8	Jeff, Tom, Robin, Matthew.
9	MR. WHEATLEY: I was just going to
10	piggyback on what Matthew and Leo said regarding the
11	costs incurred by tribes. I, too, don't see it as an
12	additional cost. These audits by independent firms are
13	already happening on a regular basis. I see reports
14	where they're giving us guidance on strengthening our
15	own system of internal controls, where they feel they
16	see a risk and they're offering their expertise.
17	A lot of these firms, if they're a
18	credible firm out there, they have a lot of gaming
19	expertise themselves, so they're well versed in gaming
20	operations. And I think they tailor their audits based
21	on each individual property, based on our own operation.
22	So I don't see it as an additional cost,
23	it's just a different set of regulations that they're
24	going to be auditing, too, and ensuring that the systems
25	that we have in place are strong enough to mitigate

1 those risks.

2 MR. FISHER: Tom. 3 MR. WILSON: I don't -- I don't disagree with your observation, but I think that same observation 4 5 exists in any environment. We saw the same thing with Sarbanes-Oxley. And when you have to take thousands of 6 7 companies in different businesses across the country, and indeed the world, and say, well, now you have to 8 9 have -- you have to meet this new standard, clearly 10 there were some companies that could invest millions of 11 dollars to come up with something that they felt met the 12 criteria, there were other companies that did not have 13 millions of dollars. And whatever regulations derive have to be flexible enough to allow for the different 14 15 types of organizations to meet the objective. 16 And you know, I think the -- the issue 17 for me and our tribe has always been with the Minimum Internal Controls, that they are so rigid that they 18 become obsolete and they require a standard -- they give 19 a certainty of comfort that really doesn't exist by the 20 21 Minimum Internal Controls. 2.2 So what happens when you come in and do a checklist audit of those Minimum Internal Controls and 23 24 you say well, yes, you're in compliance, I can come in and do a risk assessment of that same organization and 25

come up with a totally different picture of what is at
 risk in that organization that never got touched upon by
 the Minimum Internal Controls.

And oftentimes what happens with the Minimum Internal Controls is that is the level that gets adopted and nothing more. And really, there is a whole aspect of the operation that never gets taken into account when we're talking about risk. So I think there is a false sense of security sometimes when we -- when we set up these minimum levels of control.

But the other point I want to make is that every organization deals with these issues of how do we manage our business. And casinos are businesses, no different than anything else. There are public casinos that have to comply with Sarbanes-Oxley.

So it's -- this is not an unknown in the casino world. It's an unknown in Indian gaming. And I think it's important that we keep that in context, that there is an educational aspect to all of this that NIGC could be incredibly helpful with to tribes, in terms of developing and strengthening internal controls.

Our issue has always been that if a Minimum Internal Control says I have to have three people do X, and if I know that I don't need to have three people to do X and, by creation of an automated

1 control and a manual control, you know, I'm down to now that I can have two people doing this, I don't want to 2 have to be having the argument that all of the common 3 sense goes out the window. And what we're arguing is 4 5 the fact that the MICS says you have to have three people. We don't care whether that makes sense or not, 6 7 or we don't care if you've figured out a better way to do it or a more efficient or effective way, you have to 8 have three people, and if you don't have three people 9 10 you're not in compliance. That is my tribe's biggest complaint 11 12 about Minimum Internal Controls, is the locking you into 13 this control that may not be realistic or may be so outdated that you're past that. 14 15 So from my perspective and my tribe's 16 perspective, it's really more about opening up a broader 17 framework with which a tribe can grow as to the Minimum Internal Controls as they currently stand in the way 18 that they are designed and what you have to go through 19 to try and add on to those controls. There is really no 20 21 incentive to take it any further than it is. 2.2 So those Minimum Internal Controls 23 become, in my opinion, the maximum internal controls and 24 nothing really evolves in Indian country beyond that. And that would be, you know, the concern 25

1 that we would have in any regulatory scheme, even within our own tribe, that we do not develop a control 2 structure or regulation structure that becomes 3 unworkable or that the operators of our casino can't 4 work within to achieve a control structure. And 5 oftentimes, we allow them -- we set the standard, but we 6 7 allow them to come up with the way in which they're going to achieve that. And then we audit that on the 8 9 back end to ensure that the control objective is being 10 met. And if it is, we're okay. We're less concerned 11 about the you got to have three people. Why? Well, 12 because it says you've got to have three people. We're 13 concerned that the risk is being mitigated. And if I can just diverge to one quick 14

And if I can just diverge to one quick thing and give you one little flavor of this, there is a document that I sent everybody here. And as you know, I'm trying to come up with -- pull things out of industry to help talk about this concept of controls and risk.

But you know, in every business there are business cycles, things in the accounting world, what we call business cycles, processes. And guidance documents were created extensively for the Sarbanes-Oxley world to comply. And the overriding concept in Sarbanes-Oxley was that you have a standard of internal controls. So

I'm just going to read you a quick example, one that
 doesn't have to do specifically with gaming but it has
 to do with cash receipts.

And one of these standards that's listed is all cash receipts must be restrictively endorsed and secured immediately upon receipt. That's the standard that's in place out there.

8 Now, corresponding to that, there is an 9 identification of a risk if that standard isn't met. 10 And the risk is the cash receipts may be lost and/or 11 misappropriated. Well, we would probably all agree with 12 that.

What it doesn't say is here is what your control has to look like. That is what is left up to the individual entity to determine; well, how am I going to meet that standard? So in that same sense, what it appears to me that the tribal working group document is addressing is it is really outlining a whole bunch of standards.

The risk is inherent, but I think it could be more specifically stated, that here is the risk that can occur if you don't meet this standard. And then it's focusing on a broader contingency that the guidance document gives you different paths, things of how you can meet that internal control standard.

1	So to the extent that in one of your
2	questions that you had on the twelve-question document,
3	the very first one dealing with you know, under IGRA,
4	NIGC's authority that you have to develop standards, I
5	guess from my perspective it would be that yes, you do
6	need to develop the standards of internal controls. You
7	do not need to develop the look and feel of the internal
8	control.
9	MR. FISHER: Robin, then Matthew.
10	MS. LASH: With regard to the question
11	where we are with the checklist, I apologize that those
12	haven't been submitted. And I spoke with them last
13	week, and they are working on the checklist. And I
14	think it was promised as a package, you know, the
15	regulations revised, then the guidance documents and the
16	checklist. So they will be coming, and I apologize for
17	the delay in that.
18	And I think when you pointed out the
19	small tribes specifically and the tribes without big
20	budgets, those are the ones that really we were looking
21	to when we prepared the guidance documents, as Matt
22	mentioned, a safe harbor for the tribes that don't have
23	the large employee staff to attempt that themselves. At
24	least by following these minimum guidelines, they will
25	be in compliance. And we will be submitting the

1 checklists.

2	MR. FISHER: Matthew?
3	MR. MORGAN: My point, it's probably a
4	little bit higher level policy-wise, when we talked
5	about, and kind of tagging on to Tom's a little bit,
б	procedurally based on the current MICS, I always think
7	of it you have to look at the role. And if we put a
8	person in the room, you know, in the middle of this
9	table right here, one side is the tribe and one side is
10	your external CPAs and one side is NIGC. In the past,
11	it seems like NIGC wanted to play everybody's role and
12	make sure everybody was doing it the way they wanted to
13	do it instead of relying upon the tribe or your external
14	auditors and your NIGC agency to try to work together to
15	make sure that all those risks were mitigated. And I
16	know sometimes I question them, are you focused on your
17	role?
18	Your role set forth in IGRA, and I had
19	Kathi look it up, 2706(b)(10), you know, it says you're
20	required to produce standards. And it goes back to your
21	question one, promoting the federal standards, but it
22	says and guidelines necessary to implement the statute.
23	IGRA thought about you being able to
24	implement guidelines, they're not standards but
25	guidelines, in your role to assist tribes. You know,

1	when Rest talked about, you know, that particular tribe,
2	it seems to me that, you know, depending on what your
3	role is, you create your environment, your culture. I
4	would ask you to look at your staff, how many auditors,
5	whether that's financial auditors, compliance auditors,
6	IT auditors, you have on staff versus law enforcement,
7	investigative folks. What type of culture are you
8	putting out there and what does your allocation of
9	resources say to people? What is your focus?
10	Currently, the makeup to me doesn't say
11	I'm focused on auditing risk based, I'm focused on
12	providing technical assistance and training to help you
13	meet that.
14	That would be my question going back, if
15	you say there are a significant number out there that
16	are not up to whatever that line is, is that NIGC's
17	opinion? Is that your opinion after you looked at what
18	the tribe done and your CPA reports that we're required
19	to give you through external audit? If that's still
20	your opinion, what did you do that next step to help
21	them achieve that standard? Was there a next step taken
22	in that case to help them achieve a level that you feel
23	like is meeting the norms to protect the assets of the
24	tribe?
25	And thirdly, if it's still an issue with

a particular tribe, you know, that is why the statute
 gives you that enforcement role after you went through
 all these steps.

4 When you re-allocate some of your resources and create a culture or an environment to say 5 this is what we want to do, we want to make sure that 6 7 tribes, primary regulator, it's your job to do. CPA, you're supposed to come in, external auditors help you. 8 9 NIGC, we're supposed to come in and help you doing 10 compliance audits and coming in performing technical 11 assistance. And if all of those break down and fail, 12 and there will be instances where that happens, you 13 know, sometimes no matter what procedures or controls 14 you put in place, you have failure sometime, you have to go back and reevaluate why you failed. But one of the 15 16 tools in your bag that the statute gives you is that 17 enforcement.

So instead of going out and trying to 18 write a broad rule to try to fix an issue with a tribe, 19 sometimes you do have to take that approach and say you 20 21 know what? Maybe enforcement is proper here because 22 we've tried to talk to you, we've tried to train you, we've tried to give you guidance documents. None of 23 24 this has helped yet. This is where we're at. We think this rises to imminent harm and this meets the necessary 25

1 requirements.

2	And I know that's not where you want to
3	get to. I don't think anybody wants to get there. But
4	sometimes that is where we get to, is people are not
5	meeting the standard that's required that you set forth.
6	All we're asking is that you accept an approach where I
7	get to decide what my risk is, but I still need buy-in
8	from my CP and external operators, I need buy-in from my
9	operation, and I still need buy-in from you guys. I
10	just don't have to do it in the exact way that the NIGC
11	MICS prescribes and, going back to Tom's point, that I
12	need three people to do it.
13	MR. FISHER: Leo.
14	MR. CULLOO: Two points, first to Tom,
15	what Matt just said, the requirement that you have to
16	have X amount to do this.
17	You talk about costs to the tribes.
18	Well, there is a direct cost on the regulatory side,
19	where that has a direct impact on cost. And maybe there
20	is a way to do it through automation or some type of
21	system to reduce that cost.
22	The second point to Matt's thing is,
23	Rest, earlier you said, I think your words, I may be
24	wrong, there is a lot of tribes or quite a few tribes
25	MR. WEST: A significant number I think

1 is what I said.

2	MR. CULLOO: But you already have rules,
3	checklists. So if they're not adhering to them, this
4	approach you're taking is really not going to have an
5	impact on them anyway. So it sounds to me like it's
6	enforcement or going in and giving them guidance and
7	saying, look, you guys, your program is totally
8	inadequate. We're going to take enforcement action
9	unless you do something to tighten up your program.
10	So the fact that people aren't really
11	adhering to them right now, it has nothing to do with
12	what we're doing, because they're not adhering to the
13	checklist you already have in place.
14	MR. FISHER: Go ahead, Brian.
15	MR. CALLAGHAN: I have to second what
16	Matthew says. I've said this, I've said it to my only
17	tribal council. I believe the NIGC should take a look
18	at their mission or how they apply their mission,
19	
	because I think what Matthew said is spot on.
20	
20 21	because I think what Matthew said is spot on.
	because I think what Matthew said is spot on. Rest, no matter what we write here today,
21	because I think what Matthew said is spot on. Rest, no matter what we write here today, no matter how much guidance we provide, you're going to
21 22	because I think what Matthew said is spot on. Rest, no matter what we write here today, no matter how much guidance we provide, you're going to still end up, most likely, with the same scenario that

1	look at the field offices, you've got investigators.
2	Well, what are they investigating? You've got auditors.
3	What are they auditing?
4	I think more of an audit approach you
5	know where your risk is. You've already done the risk
6	assessment, Rest. You're there. Let us finish this
7	out, maybe get some guidance documents and things like
8	that.
9	And here is your opportunity, Daniel. I
10	mean, you could very well put a great polish on a
11	fabulous apple that's out there and we'll get you a
12	statue or something. I don't know. It is seconded and
13	thirded. That's exactly what I've been trying to and
14	I'm glad I've got an opportunity right now to make a
15	record of that.
16	MR. FISHER: All right. So do you want
17	to respond back to any of this?
18	MR. WEST: Yeah, I'll take a shot at it.
19	There is so many comments, I'll probably miss half of
20	them.
21	Back to SOX, those are public companies.
22	And probably that works better for some of these casinos
23	that have their 10-Ks and their auditing and they're a
24	publically traded company. But again, I'm back to the
25	small properties. And there is a lot of medium-sized

properties that I don't think are doing an effective
 job.

As far as the MICS being hard and fast, they're not. If there is a control in there that says it takes three people, you as the commissioner have the ability to grant a variance. Matthew Morgan was just granted a variance for a surveillance standard that he sent in. His compliance division, I don't know who it was, requested a variance from a certain standard.

I don't know where everybody thinks that the MICS are that hard and fast. There is alternative procedures, there is ways to develop alternative procedures and other options to get around this stuff. So this thing of three people doing this and three people doing this is totally -- you know, I don't think it's realistic when you look at MICS.

17 NIGC can go out there and audit, but we 18 only have a limited staff. There is 300 and how many 19 tribes? It's unreasonable to think we can go out there 20 and take a look at all -- even the ones that may have, 21 you know, a lower level of oversight, regulatory 22 oversight. So I think that's pretty unrealistic, also. 23 Maybe we could get started on it.

24 But when you don't have hard-and-fast 25 rules, you're also going to get into kind of a

negotiation, a litigation situation with, well, we're doing this control, but we think we should be doing this. So I think what I'm just saying, there should be a basic minimum set of standards out there for those types of operations.

You know, again, this is not SOX 6 7 companies. We're talking about small tribal gaming commissions. And if the tribal council changes 8 9 tomorrow, your whole commission may change tomorrow. Ι 10 mean, I think it's -- you know, I think it's unrealistic 11 to apply this to some of these operations whose 12 regulatory oversight is not what it should be. 13 Another thing I want to point out, it's probably bad to go on the record but I will --14 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Just to 15 16 let you know that Rest is speaking as to his experience 17 as an auditor, not the position of the Commission. MR. WEST: Yeah, exactly. I will 18 19 probably be without a job tomorrow. 20 You know, people -- you're talking about

audits and whatever. There are several different types. You have your financial audit, you have your AUP, you go out and engage a CPA firm to do your AUP, which is your MICS. The financial auditors also look at the other parts of your operation; your payroll, your hotel or

1 whatever. They look at the risk. They did an internal control questionnaire, internal control checklist for 2 all of the operations. 3 I always recommend to the Tribal Gaming 4 5 Regulatory Authorities that once they get their gaming audits, you know, down, they need to start looking at 6 7 payroll, they need to start looking at purchasing, they needed to start looking at other aspects. Not many of 8 9 them do. 10 You know, part of the basis for my personal comment about the level of oversight is a lot 11 12 of the Tribal Gaming Regulatory Authorities, their 13 internal audit function is very suspect. A lot of them have to go out and hire outside firms to do their 14 internal audit. 15 16 Another thing is don't rely on your CPA 17 firms. They will tell you right up that they're not going to find all instances of fraud. They're not there 18 doing a fraud audit. The same applies to your AUPs. 19 They might miss something, they might miss some big 20 21 things.

22 The level of professionalism in the CPA 23 firms is very varied. There is some that do a very poor 24 job, there is some that do a great job.

We even see differences between the

25

offices of Big Four firms, how good they do their job.
 We know that this office over here, typically, does a
 poorer job than the Vegas office, say, of a Big Four
 outfit. So don't sit back and rest on your CPA firm to
 disclose fraud, internal control violations, or
 whatever.

So again, MICS are not hard and fast.
Anybody in here that is a tribal gaming authority can
obtain a variance.

10 MR. FISHER: So we just have to do a 11 little time check. I know there is cards up. By my 12 clock, it's a little after 11:30. On our agenda at 13 11:30 we are scheduled for public comment. I don't know if anybody has signed up for public comment. So do you 14 want to -- and we're scheduled to break for lunch at 15 16 noon. So do you want to check on the public comment 17 right now or do you want to keep going? 18 MR. CALLAGHAN: I just want to make one statement for the record, as well. 19 20 Mr. West spoke openly and honestly, and it's greatly appreciated. And I think everything he 21 22 said was factual and everything was objective out of him. And it was greatly appreciated. I support his 23 24 opening up as he did. 25 Well, good. Maybe you can MR. WEST:

1 hire me when I get fired.

MR. McGHEE: I want to hear his issues
with it, because, like he says, when he goes back to the
office, that's going to be his issue. So if we can
somehow as a group figure out how to address that issue,
which I take from NIGC's point of view he's going to be
one of your guys, you know, so I need to know what he's
worried about when it comes to, okay, if they did these
and they go pass this like they are, I just have a real
issue about how I'm going to do my job effectively and
feel like I'm doing a good job.
That way, we have the opportunity to help
him understand our position.
ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: And
ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: And that's one of the reasons why we want him here, is
that's one of the reasons why we want him here, is
that's one of the reasons why we want him here, is because, like Brian is saying, Rest can articulate very
that's one of the reasons why we want him here, is because, like Brian is saying, Rest can articulate very well some of the issues that are out there. It doesn't
that's one of the reasons why we want him here, is because, like Brian is saying, Rest can articulate very well some of the issues that are out there. It doesn't mean it's the standard, you know, we see everyday, but
that's one of the reasons why we want him here, is because, like Brian is saying, Rest can articulate very well some of the issues that are out there. It doesn't mean it's the standard, you know, we see everyday, but some of the challenges that are out there. So it's
that's one of the reasons why we want him here, is because, like Brian is saying, Rest can articulate very well some of the issues that are out there. It doesn't mean it's the standard, you know, we see everyday, but some of the challenges that are out there. So it's important that this group kind of understand those. And
that's one of the reasons why we want him here, is because, like Brian is saying, Rest can articulate very well some of the issues that are out there. It doesn't mean it's the standard, you know, we see everyday, but some of the challenges that are out there. So it's important that this group kind of understand those. And I do appreciate him raising those issues.
that's one of the reasons why we want him here, is because, like Brian is saying, Rest can articulate very well some of the issues that are out there. It doesn't mean it's the standard, you know, we see everyday, but some of the challenges that are out there. So it's important that this group kind of understand those. And I do appreciate him raising those issues. MR. WEST: I'm still confused about what

1 sure about what you're recommending. 2 MR. McGHEE: Well, we put on the record we recommended this with guidance documents. 3 That was put on record, public comment, that we only support the 4 5 reqs as they are written if accompanying, not these, but accompanying guidance documents were provided to support 6 7 the regs. We didn't say they had to be these but they could be. 8 9 MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's take the cards 10 that are up. I'm not sure who was first, Matthew or 11 Tom. 12 MR. MORGAN: I was first. 13 MR. FISHER: You're up, then. 14 I kind of want to address MR. MORGAN: 15 some of your thoughts. I agree with everything Brian 16 said; I appreciate candor. 17 One of our ideas from the Tribal Gaming Work Group, not from TAC, but the Tribal Gaming Work 18 19 Group perspective was we did want to shift your focus -you know, going through that process, I'm not for sure 20 21 how, again, your job changes in a broad sense. Some of 22 the details may change, but in a broad sense, your role 23 is still your same role that you always played working 24 in conjunction, again, with the external auditors, your internal auditors and the tribe. Just like I think Tom 25

1	said, you know, a lot of times these are not minimum,
2	they turn out to be maximum, because whoever has that
3	ability within your tribe to approve your TICS. You
4	know, you get political pressure, you get operational
5	pressure, you get pressure from the outside people. Why
б	are you doing this because tribe B, C, D isn't doing
7	that? Why do you want to do that?
8	That innovation is stymied. To shift
9	that mindset to say yes, it is available to get a
10	variance, but we really hope that you do this, A, B, C,
11	D, E, because that's what your MICS said, this is what
12	we want you to do. And if you step outside of it, we
13	may bless it, we may not, but we're given you our
14	thought on the subject of what it should be.
15	It changes your mindset of how you
16	approach it, because suddenly you're not being a part of
17	the group, you're being one of the minorities because
18	I've asked for a variance. Why did I ask for a variance
19	and Jeff's tribe didn't ask for a variance, Jason's
20	tribe didn't ask for a variance. What made it
21	different?
22	It's available, but there is a stigma out
23	there that goes along with that big time. We're asking
24	you to shift your approach and say you know what? We
25	are stating from the Commission there is plenty of ways

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 to do that. If your guidance document that we're suggesting NIGC adopt on this, if it is very procedural 2 in nature, prescriptive in nature and it goes back to 3 being -- and that makes you guys feel comfortable and 4 5 this is your safe harbor for tribes, that's where you guys are. And you can tell tribes out there, if you 6 want to be in our good graces, you do it exactly like 7 this. 8

9 I hope you don't do it that way, I hope 10 there is some give-and-take on these guidance documents, but you do have that authority, that's where you feel 11 12 comfortable. We're asking you to change your mindset to 13 going from it's very procedurally based to why you can do it differently, change from we prefer you do it this 14 way to one of there is many ways to do it and this is 15 16 but one way to do it.

17 That allows tribes to be innovative, that allows tribes to take advantage of technology, and that 18 19 encourages, that puts out there from I think the Commission itself's perspective that we encourage this, 20 we want this industry to grow, get better and take 21 22 advantage of some of these innovations out there that we 23 can. And it does not have to be so prescriptive, because we welcome that idea. 24

25

Right now, that is not the thought that

1	goes out to Indian country, that variances are welcomed.
2	That is not what I think, at least in Oklahoma, that I
3	see, that people are welcome to do that.
4	MR. FISHER: Tom.
5	MR. WILSON: I would second that. And of
6	course, I have to speak more from a Class III than a
7	Class II, but I can say that, with absolute certainty,
8	that in the state of Arizona, variances to the MICS,
9	which Arizona has adopted the NIGC MICS as our
10	(inaudible) the wording that you may adopt something as
11	long as it is more stringent than the minimum is a real
12	problem, because this idea of more stringent than is
13	difficult to overcome.
14	And you know, the example that I continue
15	to bring forth to this group is that in MICS, you know,
16	there is a surveillance requirement that all activity
17	must be logged. Well, maybe I don't really need to log
18	all activity and I should be logging certain kinds of
19	activity. But it's difficult for me to make the case
20	that anything less than all is more stringent. And so,
21	you know, we end up in these kinds of conversations.
22	The other thing, and I think that you
23	point out, what I hear you saying, Rest, is that there
24	are tribes out there that do a very poor job of meeting
25	the current minimums or the proposed minimums, or

1	whatever we're operating under as the case may be. So
2	how in the world, if they if they can't meet that,
3	how is the expectation that they're going to meet
4	something that's even viewed as less structured or
5	controlled or rigid or what not?
6	And I think that's a valid a valid
7	point. But I would get back to what I don't know if
8	it was Matthew or whoever said that it doesn't speak
9	well of the entire process right now if, in fact, there
10	are tribes that aren't meeting the minimums. That's a
11	bigger problem. But I don't know that, from an industry
12	standpoint, that should determine the direction that you
13	take in creating regulations. To me, you should be
14	focusing on those exceptions and figuring out why that's
15	the case.
16	You know, running a casino is a business.
17	And I you know, when I bring up the SOX stuff, it's
18	not to say that that should apply to Indian country. It
19	should not. SOX was very expensive in this country and
20	a lot of wasted effort was part of that. I'm merely
21	just trying to address the questions of these things
22	have been dealt with, they just haven't been dealt with
23	in Indian country.
24	But I'm really most concerned about the
25	fact that if there are tribes out there that aren't

meeting the minimums and NIGC is aware of that fact, then why is the focus not on correcting those tribes and getting them up to where they need to be as opposed to developing a regulatory structure that penalizes everybody because of the performance of, you know, a subset.

7 And you can speak more authoritatively than I on what that subset is or isn't. But it seems to 8 9 me inherent in a tribe adopting gaming is the idea that 10 they have to adopt a level of control to run a casino. And any operators will tell you that they do not operate 11 12 a casino any different from, generally, one jurisdiction 13 to another, that there are inherent controls that the operators understand and adopt in the process. 14

But it seems to me that if the regulatory side is flawed at a particular tribe, then that's -that focus clearly is within NIGC's jurisdiction to address that. And so my question would be more of why haven't we brought that tribe or tribes up to the level of the minimum as opposed to trying to make the regulations fit the lowest performer.

23 MR. CALLAGHAN: You've got the pilot 24 program. When people demonstrated that they could do 25 the backgrounds, I think part of it was due to the fact

MR. FISHER: Brian.

2.2

1	that you couldn't handle all the paper, be that as it
2	may, but you do have a pilot program. That addresses
3	those that have demonstrated the core competencies to be
4	able to do the backgrounds.
5	So take it from what Tom is just saying,
6	why don't we come up with a new pilot program? And
7	then, if that works, expand it, expand it. Then you can
8	deal with those that aren't doing the backgrounds
9	correctly or not doing their audits correctly, a
10	different methodology.
11	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: You want
12	a ten-year pilot program, also? We're working on fixing
13	that.
13 14	MR. FISHER: Jason.
14	MR. FISHER: Jason.
14 15	MR. FISHER: Jason. MR. RAMOS: I think these ideas about
14 15 16	MR. FISHER: Jason. MR. RAMOS: I think these ideas about risk analysis in tribal gaming as an industry aren't
14 15 16 17	MR. FISHER: Jason. MR. RAMOS: I think these ideas about risk analysis in tribal gaming as an industry aren't going away. I think that if it's not the NIGC, you will
14 15 16 17 18	MR. FISHER: Jason. MR. RAMOS: I think these ideas about risk analysis in tribal gaming as an industry aren't going away. I think that if it's not the NIGC, you will see it on the IRS. As a matter of fact, in California,
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. FISHER: Jason. MR. RAMOS: I think these ideas about risk analysis in tribal gaming as an industry aren't going away. I think that if it's not the NIGC, you will see it on the IRS. As a matter of fact, in California, that's what we're already seeing.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. FISHER: Jason. MR. RAMOS: I think these ideas about risk analysis in tribal gaming as an industry aren't going away. I think that if it's not the NIGC, you will see it on the IRS. As a matter of fact, in California, that's what we're already seeing. Originally in 2007, it was a single
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FISHER: Jason. MR. RAMOS: I think these ideas about risk analysis in tribal gaming as an industry aren't going away. I think that if it's not the NIGC, you will see it on the IRS. As a matter of fact, in California, that's what we're already seeing. Originally in 2007, it was a single document with a checklist, and in 2009, when they did a
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. FISHER: Jason. MR. RAMOS: I think these ideas about risk analysis in tribal gaming as an industry aren't going away. I think that if it's not the NIGC, you will see it on the IRS. As a matter of fact, in California, that's what we're already seeing. Originally in 2007, it was a single document with a checklist, and in 2009, when they did a whole series of audits, the checklist had grown to be 10

1	living document that you go and readdress every year.
2	So I think whether or not it happens on
3	NIGC's side, risk analysis in tribal gaming is going to
4	be a reality in the future.
5	MR. FISHER: All right. So Dan and Rest,
6	do you have anything else?
7	MR. WEST: I mean, for the (inaudible)
8	Nation, the Chickasaw, I think they would take minimum
9	standards and do a risk-based analysis. They're going
10	to expand their level of control ten times over what the
11	MICS or I would expect them to.
12	I'm trying to advocate for the operations
13	out there that are not effective in their oversight.
14	And I'm sorry that I mean, I would I would think
15	that some of the other members of the committee have
16	these types of experiences. Maybe not. Maybe everybody
17	is just working with one organization. But that's not
18	been my experience.
19	MR. McGHEE: Are they not effective
20	because of lack of funds or are they not effective
21	because they don't care?
22	MR. WEST: Funds, tribal politics.
23	Anybody who has been around Indian gaming
24	MR. McGHEE: There are different reasons.
25	MR. WEST: knows there may be a

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 multitude of reasons.

2	MR. McGHEE: There is no one reason, but
3	I don't think any of those reasons are the regs. It's
4	usually driven by something else. Either they don't
5	have support, they don't have funds, but it's usually
6	not because the regs are bad or good that they're
7	ineffective. So whether it was these regs or other
8	regs, it's probably not the same issues, because it's
9	usually driven by something else, they don't have the
10	support of the council or something.
11	MR. WEST: But when at least they had,
12	say, the 542 regs, at least they had something to base
13	their TICS on. Unfortunately, most of them, and
14	probably several of them that are represented here, just
15	did a wholesale adoption of the MICS as their TICS
16	instead of developing, further expanding and developing
17	a comprehensive set of TICS.
18	MR. WILSON: That's absolutely true,
19	Rest. And what's interesting is that, from my
20	perspective, you can take 542 and adopt that as your
21	safe harbor, but just don't tie all of this into saying
22	that's for us.
23	So I mean, the reality is that every
24	tribe is complying with standards that currently exist
25	out there. If you wanted to take and say that those

1	current standards are the safe harbor and as long as
2	you're doing those you're fine, I certainly my tribe
3	would have no problem with that, as long as we have
4	flexibility to be greater flexibility than what
5	currently is allowed to say okay, but we're approaching
6	it from a different standpoint.
7	And if my path gets me to the same place
8	that the safe harbor gets a tribe that, for whatever
9	reason, can't you know, just doesn't have the
10	resources, the capability, the expertise, whatever, to
11	get there any other route other than through just
12	adopting that safe harbor, I would think that that's
13	what's important at the end of the day, not that this
14	same standard, necessarily, has to be universally
15	applied.
16	MR. FISHER: Matthew.
17	MR. MORGAN: I'm going to follow up on
18	Daniel's point a little bit, on some of the reasons why
19	that doesn't take place, and there are a multitude of
20	reasons why.
21	My question would go to you guys is,
22	again, going back to your role and your culture and your
23	environment, do those tribes happily pick up the phone
24	and say I do need some help, guys? Or are they going
25	I'm going to call Jason, I'm going to call John, I'm

1	going to call anybody in the world except for you,
2	because if you find out, I've got an NOV coming and I
3	don't want those.
4	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: That is a
5	good point. We have heard that. But it varies. It
6	varies by tribe, it varies by region.
7	MR. MORGAN: I know I get called. And I
8	know that in Oklahoma we have a regulatory-based group,
9	I know they field calls. Nationally, we have a
10	regulatory group, that they field calls. But us giving
11	them what we do sometimes doesn't fit, because we don't
12	know the ins and out of your organization. We're trying
13	to, on a 15-minute call, help.
14	But I will tell you, they don't feel
15	comfortable calling you guys, the majority of people,
16	they're scared of what's going to happen to them,
17	because that culture is not there to say you're going to
18	give me assistance, you're going to provide me
19	documents, you're going to give me what I need to do in
20	order to meet that standard. Some of it may be
21	embarrassment. They're new on the job, day one, and
22	they hand in these documents, and on day two you guys
23	are showing up for an audit. That's a little scary,
24	that is very scary in situations.
25	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Well, I

1	mean, you raise a good point, something we talked about
2	earlier, about the whole training and technical
3	assistance aspect of our responsibility. And that's one
4	thing we're working on. I don't know if you've noticed,
5	but we've got some positions posted out there, former
6	enforcement staff, our field investigator staff, how
7	they're compliance officers.
8	We're changing the focus on compliance,
9	making a point that Brian made earlier, is that to some
10	degree, it's our responsibility to make sure folks stay
11	in compliance. And you know, as a Commissioner, I don't
12	want to be issuing NOVs. That's kind of something that
13	we're not doing our job if we're issuing NOVs. If we
14	can provide the training and technical assistance to
15	keep everybody in compliance, then I think everybody
16	wins.
17	So we're working on that. And that will
18	come with finding additional resources and staff for our
19	training and technical assistance program.
20	Our staff when they're out there, and I
21	know for a fact, you know, we have a lot of really good
22	dedicated folks, that when they do see an issue, they
23	are immediately providing technical assistance and
24	they're immediately doing an ad hoc little training
25	session with the TGRA, or whomever, to help fix the

1	issue before it becomes a potential violation.
2	But we do hear quite often, more often
3	than not, that folks say, well, we don't want to call
4	you guys because we're afraid you guys are going to nail
5	us with an NOV. So we're working on that. I think
6	that's a little bit of trust that we need to earn and
7	that tribes need to provide to us, because we are here
8	to not issue NOVs but to maintain compliance.
9	MR. FISHER: Were you done, Matt?
10	Okay, so
11	MR. WEST: And if it's Class III, I would
12	imagine some of the calls you're getting are non-MICS,
13	because MICS is only a small part of it, and most of
14	them are backgrounding and other issues we're
15	discussing. In a Class III operation, you know, we
16	don't go out and audit for MICS unless we're requested.
17	MR. MORGAN: I will say some of the
18	questions I get is, in Oklahoma, the Oklahoma City
19	region looks at it this way, Tulsa looks at it this way,
20	but I talked to my buddy in California and they look at
21	it that way. Where am I safe at? Because all three
22	opinions of your regional offices do not line up. So
23	how do I stay in compliance? That's the goal. I want
24	to be in compliance. That's what everybody wants.
25	MR. WEST: When we get a MICS request

Page 56 1 about the intent of a standard, we run it through everybody and then get a consensus of opinion. I'm 2 hoping you're talking about a backgrounding or a -- some 3 other issue. We don't have anybody in Oklahoma City, so 4 5 there is no opinion coming out of Oklahoma City. MR. FISHER: All right. 6 7 MR. McGHEE: So of these 13 questions, did we touch on all of them? 8 9 MR. FISHER: We touched on some of them, 10 I think. 11 Time-wise, we're at about ten of 12:00, 12 scheduled to break for lunch. So maybe we should check 13 to see if there is anybody in the audience that wants to provide public comment before we break for lunch. 14 15 MS. OGAS: Everything they said. They 16 hit the nail on the head. 17 MR. FISHER: Okay, so there is one public 18 comment. 19 All right. So the way that the committee works is to provide an opportunity for people in the 20 audience to provide public comment to the group, if you 21 22 so choose. And we usually ask people to sign up in advance, because we've got -- we worked in the closed 23 24 session this morning. 25 So is there anybody in the audience that

Page 57 wants to provide any direct comment to the committee, at 1 2 this point? Okay, so I would invite you --3 4 MR. ARMSTRONG: James Armstrong, 5 Suguamish Tribe, the host tribe, executive director. I believe -- I believe we hit it all on 6 7 the nail head here on the change in establishing the guidelines and rules. Basically, in 95 --8 9 MR. FISHER: Can you just pause for one 10 second? Cheryl here is asking for you to use a 11 microphone. Just bear with us one second. 12 Also, Daniel, are you doing your timing 13 thing here for us? 14 MR. ARMSTRONG: It's not going to be that 15 long. 16 MR. FISHER: Okay. 17 MR. ARMSTRONG: In 1995, in working with 18 NIGC and their agents, very easygoing, fast-paced environment of maintaining compliance. 19 20 Now, as the years have gone by, NIGC has 21 now sort of went into a police state that makes the 22 tribe feel very embarrassed and they don't want to come 23 forward and ask them for help. 24 So basically, what we have here with the new regime of NIGC, working together in cooperation to 25

1	assist those lower tribes that can't afford it, bringing
2	them up to compliance, I do agree that there should be a
3	process in place for the ones that are out of compliance
4	and need assistance, because those are the ones that
5	need the help the most.
6	MR. FISHER: Okay, thank you.
7	And did you get his name?
8	THE TRANSCRIPTIONIST: James Armstrong.
9	MR. FISHER: Does anybody on the
10	committee have any questions or follow-up for that?
11	Okay. Anybody else in the audience wish
12	to give any comment directly to the committee?
13	Okay. So we're at five of 12:00. Do you
14	want to keep going or do you want to break for lunch?
15	All right, so let's break for lunch. So
16	on our schedule, we're scheduled to come back from
17	lunch we gave one hour to do that. So we'll start up
18	again at 1:00, a little bit longer than an hour.
19	(TAC meeting recessed at 11:55 to
20	be resumed at 1:00 p.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 59
1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	1:14 P.M.
3	000
4	
5	MR. FISHER: Okay. I don't know if
6	anybody has any follow-up from the discussion before we
7	broke for lunch. It occurred to me it occurred to me
8	that we should have a little more discussion about the
9	guidance documents and so figure out how we're going to
10	talk about the guidance-related things, because that is
11	going to become an integral discussion point for every
12	section that we do in the MICS.
13	Go ahead, Leo.
14	MR. CULLOO: One more comment. When we
15	talk about it seems like everything is driven by
16	checklist to determine compliance and how good they are.
17	And I recall when I first got in this
18	business, when I didn't have any knowledge, really,
19	about that kind of stuff and going to peers for help,
20	but a lot of times there is always a checklist provided,
21	saying here is a checklist, this is to help you with
22	your program. And I found myself at some point
23	thinking, wow, I have all the yeses checked, I have a
24	great compliance. I should be nominated for compliance
25	manager of the year.

1	Then I started thinking that I'm training
2	my staff to the list. And what that does is narrowing
3	scope, your ability to really check what your risks are.
4	So to me a checklist should not be
5	anything more than one small tool in a complete
6	compliance program, because you don't want to train
7	people to the list, because then that's all they look
8	at. And I think that's what happened sometimes, is
9	people get so focused on the list, I have this piece of
10	paper, all the yeses, I'm good to go, I have a great
11	program.
12	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yeah, I
13	think one aspect of the way (inaudible) that you do your
14	job there.
15	MR. FISHER: All right. So, Dan, what
16	are you thinking about in terms of the discussion around
17	the guidance?
18	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Dan,
19	Smokin' Dan I think brought up a really good he
20	brought up a really good point about the guidance
21	document. I think there was some confusion.
22	When we say we want to discuss the
23	guidance document, we don't want to go, okay, Tab T, we
24	don't want to do that. We just want to highlight the
25	areas that we pointed out in the comparison documents.

So maybe that provides a little more ease for the group
 and maybe eliminates the fear that we're going to go
 line by line.

4 I think Rest and his staff did a pretty 5 good job at highlighting some areas that, you know, whether or not they were removed from the regulation 6 7 altogether, whether they were taken from the regulation and put into the guidance documents, just a little bit 8 9 of rationale or reasoning why did you do that. And I 10 think that would be really helpful overall to the 11 process there.

So that was -- I mean, what's the whole point that we are trying to make. And I'm sorry there was a little confusion there about we don't want to open up the tab and go line by line, if that helps out anybody.

Anybody have any comment on that? Okay. MR. FISHER: So we're not trying to draft the guidance, but there are questions that you have that are in the comparison document about the guidance that came along with the TGWG proposal. ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yes.

23 MR. FISHER: Okay. And that's what you 24 want to focus on? 25 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yes.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1	MR. FISHER: Okay. So is there anything
2	more we need to talk about on either the risk-based
3	approach or on your questions? Are there questions that
4	NIGC sent out at the end of last week, before we turn to
5	starting in on the MICS 543.1, which is the next subject
6	on the agenda?
7	Okay. So then let's let's go to 543.1
8	and that whole section in there, 543.1, 543.3, 543.4 and
9	543.6. And I heard I think Matthew, you said this
10	morning that it was important to understand those
11	sections and how those sections fit into the rest of the
12	proposal from the Tribal Gaming Working Group.
13	So does somebody who participated on the
14	Tribal Gaming Working Group want to give any kind of
15	overview or explanation of what that represents?
16	MS. HAMEL: I would be glad to.
17	I think more that 543.3 was really the
18	key to the mission that the TGWG had in developing a
19	MICS document that would only be successful if there
20	were tribal internal controls as well as a system of
21	internal controls developed and implemented by the
22	operations.
23	And I think we tried to do a really good
24	job in 543 and in our draft of identifying how those
25	internal controls need to be implemented to make this

Page 63

whole process of Minimum Internal Control Standards
 successful.

Also, in 542.3 and 543.3 proposed, there 3 was all of this language about CPAs and CPA testing and 4 5 all of these regulations and under GAAP and GASB and on and on and on. And those are really kind of an outcome 6 7 of an external audit or some guidelines that we thought really should be in the audit section or accounting 8 9 section as part of the annual audit. And I think the 10 whole tone of all of 547 and 543 was that if there were 11 other regulations that an operation or TGRA needed to 12 make sure they were in compliance with that we didn't 13 regurgitate what those regulations are, because they 14 could indeed change and then you would have outdated information. 15

16 So we moved all the CPA language over to 17 procedures, information in the accounting section under audit and accounting under an annual audit, and we used 18 19 real generalizations of different regulations outside of 20 NIGC regulations that could be referred to. And then 21 we, in our guidance document, I think we also talked 22 about responsibilities of the operations and the 23 management of the operation to have enough information 24 that they understood what their CPAs were supposed to be looking for in the agreed-upon procedures rather than 25

1	regurgitating what the agreed-upon procedures were.
2	I truly believe that that 543.3 is the
3	foundation of every one of these regulations going
4	forward.
5	MR. FISHER: You're nodding your head in
6	agreement?
7	MR. MORGAN: Yes. I completely agree
8	with all of Kathi's statement there. And that really
9	I personally will be looking to the NIGC as we go
10	through these sections, seeing if you buy in, again, to
11	that concept of how you implement that concept. If you
12	buy into the concept that's set forth in 543.3, 543.4
13	really is telling for the rest of the document to make
14	sure that you understand at least how the Tribal Gaming
15	Working Group looks at it and, so far in our
16	discussions, I think how the majority of the folks are
17	seeing the structure set up.
18	So hopefully, this will give everybody a
19	good understanding. And either at that point we can,
20	you know, talk about whether you agree with that concept
21	or no, you don't agree with that concept. And maybe
22	we're you know, what we talked about this morning,
23	what the Chairwoman talked about, is that there may need
24	to be specific issues from the guidance that you thought
25	need to be back in there. And I'm going to look to you

1 guys to kind of bring those points up, that I'm not 2 there yet, how do I get there. It's very important that 3 we grasp the foundation of how this at least proposed 4 standard was drafted.

5 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I think for the underlying premises, and I know you've been 6 7 pretty clear about wanting us to basically buy into the concept or not buy into the concept, and that's just 8 9 something we can't do right now. I think we're 10 comfortable with you helping us understand how you came 11 to these conclusions, and I quess working off the 12 premise that if, I guess, all this could be accepted, 13 how did you come to these conclusions? All right? Not putting us in a, say, a box that yes, okay, we're agreed 14 that we support this philosophy but, you know, don't 15 16 work -- you know, working off this draft, explain to us 17 how you came to some of these conclusions.

You know, I think for 543.3, you know, we had some points we were hoping to get clarified. There isn't any guidance documents, but, you know, as far as like time frames, the independent certified public accountant audit report, some of those types of things would be helpful to help us understand.

24That's why, Dan, when you were out, I was25talking about the conversation that we had about, you

1	know, we don't necessarily want to look through all the
2	guidance documents and go line by line, we're just
3	trying to touch upon these comparison documents that we
4	highlighted that we'd like a little more information on.
5	If there is other areas of the guidance
6	documents, and I do understand some of these sections
7	have guidance documents, some don't, if there is other
8	areas that the group feels it's important to talk about,
9	even amongst yourselves, I mean, that's fine, also. But
10	these are the areas that we raised and we thought were
11	worthwhile to discuss a little bit further.
12	MR. McGHEE: So for 543.3, for instance,
13	you're really only you have two, well, questions
14	about two sections, (a)(2) and (b)(2), okay?
15	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yeah.
16	MR. McGHEE: I'm looking at the NIGC
17	comments to proposed regulations.
18	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Right.
19	MR. McGHEE: Right?
20	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Exactly,
21	yeah. And I think the I think, Kathi, you might have
22	answered the CPA testing request on the last page that
23	we talked about. Is that being moved to another
24	section?
25	MS. HAMEL: Yes. It's under audit and

1 accounting, 19.

2 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Okay, I 3 see that.

MR. MORGAN: I know you made available 4 5 some of the Tribal Gaming Working Group documents and some of the memos in there that I think are actually 6 7 under Tab O. That does set forth some of those concepts, especially when we talk about the Tribal 8 9 Gaming Working Group worked hard not to delete, we 10 worked very hard to move things by subject matter into sections and group them similarly with those other 11 12 subject matters.

So a lot of times when you look at something and it looks to delete this section, it's just because it's been moved to another section. We thought it worked better for frontline folks to find it more conveniently.

18 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: And I'm not sure -- I don't think we got a redline copy of the 19 actual whole -- we had a difficult time, in some 20 21 instances, of trying to piece together where some things 22 went and, you know, some areas you created, you know, new sections, and trying to find a reference, whether it 23 be in the 2008 regs in the 2010 draft, or I think in 24 some instances we even had to reference the Part 542. 25

	Page 68
1	So we'd appreciate your kind of helping
2	us explain these things, how you got there.
3	MR. WEST: So did you move these any
4	of these standards in total over or were there some
5	deletions taken out?
6	MS. HAMEL: There were some deletions
7	taken out. We tried to focus it on the objective and
8	not the details of whether (inaudible) under the annual
9	audits.
10	MR. FISHER: Just bear with us one
11	second. That's a good reference.
12	MS. HAMEL: It's (g), it's this entire
13	annual audit section.
14	MR. WEST: It's really not an audit,
15	though.
16	MR. FISHER: In what sense?
17	MR. WEST: An AUP is not an audit.
18	I think that's what I was touching on
19	earlier, there is a lot of different terminology that's
20	floating around. Your agreed-upon procedures is not an
21	audit. So it's not a good terminology for the function.
22	MR. MORGAN: Could I ask you to explain,
23	in your thought process, what an AUP would be if not an
24	audit?
25	MR. WEST: An audit, generally, a CPA

<pre>15 what the correct term you said audit. I don't know 16 if there is a better word to distinguish between an 17 agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown 18 MICS compliance audit or a 19 MR. WEST: They're entirely separate. 20 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements 21 on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a 22 financial audit? 23 MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is 24 entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon</pre>	1	renders an opinion on the financial statements of an
4It's probably easier to say it's at a lower level than5an audit.6MR. MORGAN: But the function is7performed by whom?8MR. WEST: External audit CPA firm.9MR. MORGAN: I asked that question10because of my idea on the subject matter, when you're11talking about audits, we gave different names to12different types of audits, but we still found that that13subject matter fell into auditing. It may not rise to14the level of what, Rest, you're saying, and I don't know15what the correct term you said audit. I don't know16if there is a better word to distinguish between an17agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown18MICS compliance audit or a19MR. WEST: They're entirely separate.20MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements21on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a22MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is23MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is	2	entity. In this case, there is they're given a
5 an audit. 6 MR. MORGAN: But the function is 7 performed by whom? 8 MR. WEST: External audit CPA firm. 9 MR. MORGAN: I asked that question 10 because of my idea on the subject matter, when you're 11 talking about audits, we gave different names to 12 different types of audits, but we still found that that 13 subject matter fell into auditing. It may not rise to 14 the level of what, Rest, you're saying, and I don't know 15 what the correct term you said audit. I don't know 16 if there is a better word to distinguish between an 17 agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown 18 MICS compliance audit or a 19 MR. WEST: They're entirely separate. 20 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements 21 on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a 22 MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is 23 MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is 24 entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon	3	testation agreement. So it's different than an audit.
6MR. MORGAN: But the function is7performed by whom?8MR. WEST: External audit CPA firm.9MR. MORGAN: I asked that question10because of my idea on the subject matter, when you're11talking about audits, we gave different names to12different types of audits, but we still found that that13subject matter fell into auditing. It may not rise to14the level of what, Rest, you're saying, and I don't know15what the correct term you said audit. I don't know16if there is a better word to distinguish between an17agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown18MICS compliance audit or a19MR. WEST: They're entirely separate.20MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements21on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a22MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is23MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is24entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon	4	It's probably easier to say it's at a lower level than
7performed by whom?8MR. WEST: External audit CPA firm.9MR. MORGAN: I asked that question10because of my idea on the subject matter, when you're11talking about audits, we gave different names to12different types of audits, but we still found that that13subject matter fell into auditing. It may not rise to14the level of what, Rest, you're saying, and I don't know15what the correct term you said audit. I don't know16if there is a better word to distinguish between an17agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown18MICS compliance audit or a19MR. WEST: They're entirely separate.20MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements21on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a22MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is23MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is24entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon	5	an audit.
8 MR. WEST: External audit CPA firm. 9 MR. MORGAN: I asked that question 10 because of my idea on the subject matter, when you're 11 talking about audits, we gave different names to 12 different types of audits, but we still found that that 13 subject matter fell into auditing. It may not rise to 14 the level of what, Rest, you're saying, and I don't know 15 what the correct term you said audit. I don't know 16 if there is a better word to distinguish between an 17 agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown 18 MICS compliance audit or a 19 MR. WEST: They're entirely separate. 20 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements 21 on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a 22 MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is 23 MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is 24 entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon	6	MR. MORGAN: But the function is
9MR. MORGAN: I asked that question10because of my idea on the subject matter, when you're11talking about audits, we gave different names to12different types of audits, but we still found that that13subject matter fell into auditing. It may not rise to14the level of what, Rest, you're saying, and I don't know15what the correct term you said audit. I don't know16if there is a better word to distinguish between an17agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown18MICS compliance audit or a19MR. WEST: They're entirely separate.20MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements21on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a22financial audit?23MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is24entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon	7	performed by whom?
because of my idea on the subject matter, when you're talking about audits, we gave different names to different types of audits, but we still found that that subject matter fell into auditing. It may not rise to the level of what, Rest, you're saying, and I don't know what the correct term you said audit. I don't know if there is a better word to distinguish between an agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown MICS compliance audit or a MR. WEST: They're entirely separate. MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a financial audit?	8	MR. WEST: External audit CPA firm.
11 talking about audits, we gave different names to 12 different types of audits, but we still found that that 13 subject matter fell into auditing. It may not rise to 14 the level of what, Rest, you're saying, and I don't know 15 what the correct term you said audit. I don't know 16 if there is a better word to distinguish between an 17 agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown 18 MICS compliance audit or a 19 MR. WEST: They're entirely separate. 20 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements 21 on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a 22 financial audit? 23 MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is 24 entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon	9	MR. MORGAN: I asked that question
different types of audits, but we still found that that subject matter fell into auditing. It may not rise to the level of what, Rest, you're saying, and I don't know what the correct term you said audit. I don't know if there is a better word to distinguish between an agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown MICS compliance audit or a MR. WEST: They're entirely separate. MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a financial audit? MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon	10	because of my idea on the subject matter, when you're
<pre>13 subject matter fell into auditing. It may not rise to 14 the level of what, Rest, you're saying, and I don't know 15 what the correct term you said audit. I don't know 16 if there is a better word to distinguish between an 17 agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown 18 MICS compliance audit or a 19 MR. WEST: They're entirely separate. 20 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements 21 on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a 22 financial audit? 23 MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is 24 entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon</pre>	11	talking about audits, we gave different names to
14 the level of what, Rest, you're saying, and I don't know 15 what the correct term you said audit. I don't know 16 if there is a better word to distinguish between an 17 agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown 18 MICS compliance audit or a 19 MR. WEST: They're entirely separate. 20 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements 21 on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a 22 financial audit? 23 MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is 24 entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon	12	different types of audits, but we still found that that
<pre>what the correct term you said audit. I don't know if there is a better word to distinguish between an agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown MICS compliance audit or a MR. WEST: They're entirely separate. MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a financial audit? MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon</pre>	13	subject matter fell into auditing. It may not rise to
16 if there is a better word to distinguish between an 17 agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown 18 MICS compliance audit or a 19 MR. WEST: They're entirely separate. 20 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements 21 on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a 22 financial audit? 23 MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is 24 entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon	14	the level of what, Rest, you're saying, and I don't know
<pre>17 agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown 18 MICS compliance audit or a 19 MR. WEST: They're entirely separate. 20 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements 21 on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a 22 financial audit? 23 MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is 24 entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon</pre>	15	what the correct term you said audit. I don't know
MICS compliance audit or a MR. WEST: They're entirely separate. MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a financial audit? MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon	16	if there is a better word to distinguish between an
 MR. WEST: They're entirely separate. MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a financial audit? MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon 	17	agreed-upon procedures type of an audit and a full-blown
MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a financial audit? MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon	18	MICS compliance audit or a
21 on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a 22 financial audit? 23 MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is 24 entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon	19	MR. WEST: They're entirely separate.
<pre>22 financial audit? 23 MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is 24 entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon</pre>	20	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, is statements
23 MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is 24 entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon	21	on standards for a testation engagement, isn't that a
24 entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon	22	financial audit?
	23	MR. WEST: No. The financial audit is
25 procedures. Financial audit, every tribe is required to	24	entirely different from the AUP, from the agreed-upon
	25	procedures. Financial audit, every tribe is required to

Page 70 1 do that, submit it to the NIGC. And it's -- the purpose 2 of the audit is for the CPA to render an opinion on the financial statements. It is not to go in and look at 3 4 the MICS. That's a completely separate thing that's 5 required. MS. HAMEL: But doesn't that fall under 6 7 571? MR. MORGAN: 25 CFR Part 571. 8 9 MR. WEST: I don't think so. What's 571 10 about? MS. HAMEL: Audited financial statements. 11 12 MR. WEST: Their requirement is each 13 gaming operation should have an annual audit conducted 14 by an external CPA firm and submit a copy of the financial audit to NIGC within 120 days at the end of 15 16 the fiscal year. Also, an overlook requirement is the 17 management letter should be submitted, also. Is that 18 1715? 19 MS. HAMEL: 571 is the reference in the 20 proposed 543. 21 MR. WILSON: Kathi, what reference are 22 you saying? 23 MS. HAMEL: He just pulled this reference 24 to 571 right here. 25 She's looking at MR. MORGAN:

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 543.19(g)(2). 2 MR. WILSON: Do I understand correctly that the issue is that the Tribal Gaming Working Group 3 used the term "audit" to include both a financial audit 4 as well as a MICS controls audit? Is that what you're 5 6 savinq? 7 MR. WEST: That's not what I'm saying. I'm saying that the heading of (j), annual audits, under 8 this audit and accounting, probably needs to be changed. 9 10 MR. McGHEE: It's just a matter of it being moved to the auditing section, is what he's 11 talking about, not that it shouldn't be done, but is it 12 13 in the right section when we moved it. 14 MR. FISHER: So just to be clear, Rest, 15 your reference to section -- which part were you 16 referring to? 543.3? MR. WILSON: No, 543.19, I think. 17 MR. WEST: This is just a point of 18 19 clarification. 20 MR. McGHEE: Agreed-upon procedures, 21 should it be under the section annual audits if it's not 22 an actual audit, is what he's talking about. Am I 23 right? Not that it's not important, but should it be under the statement annual audits or should it be back 24 25 where it was or be titled with something else.

Page 72 1 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Does that 2 make sense? MR. WEST: The annual audit is not a MICS 3 4 requirement, it's a Commission regulation. It's an IGRA 5 requirement. MR. FISHER: Oh, so that's why it's 6 7 sitting here in 571. 8 MS. HAMEL: Right. 9 MR. MORGAN: Right. MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I took it as saying 10 now that it's -- you're going to have -- your annual 11 12 audit is going to include AUPs and financial. 13 MR. WEST: Well, I don't think financials should be addressed in this document, in 543, because 14 you might conflict with something in IGRA. 15 16 MR. FISHER: Okay. So what are we --17 what question are we trying to figure out? ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: We're 18 19 just explaining to them the issues that we see, and then 20 the group can adjust accordingly and make some 21 recommendations, or if you feel that it's fine, you 22 think it's clear enough --23 MR. MORGAN: So in a previous MICS, I don't want to use that "previous" with all of its 24 25 misnomers out there, in at least the redline version,

1	the syntax we got says the agreed-upon procedures may be
2	performed in conjunction with the annual audit.
3	So I mean, that statement was in
4	something that was marked out. The Tribal Gaming
5	Working Group put that language moved it, because it
6	all dealt with the subject matter we felt of audits,
7	moved it over, put it in 543.19(g) under annual audits.
8	And the only thing I'm trying to figure
9	out is if we still because it does say "may." You
10	know, the agreed-upon procedures, do we require it? So
11	now we have to do an annual audit and an AUP, or is it
12	you may do it in conjunction with your annual audit?
13	Because to me, at least in my mind, when your auditors
14	come in, no matter what function they're performing,
15	that's you're all talking about auditing.
16	Because one of the things we did, and I
17	hate to jump forward like this, I promise, because if
18	I'm causing confusion, just tell me to shut up, because
19	we tried to turn them into the annual audits, an
20	internal audit and an operational audit, trying to make
21	sure you understood who was supposed to be performing
22	the audit and the subject matter covered, but it was all
23	covered under the umbrella audits.
24	I took it from Rest that he didn't think
25	it fell under audits. I wanted to make sure the group

1	understood that it may not fall under audits. But in my
2	mind, it's still an audit but it may not be one of those
3	audits. And if not, maybe we need to adjust and have a
4	separate section under audits that we discuss
5	agreed-upon procedures. But if the point is it
6	shouldn't be there, then my question is, well, if it's
7	not there, then where do you think it should be?
8	MR. FISHER: And the "there" is in
9	543.19(g)?
10	MR. MORGAN: Yes.
11	MR. FISHER: Thank you.
12	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Will that
13	work?
14	MR. WEST: My point to begin the whole
15	thing is it's typically not known as an audit. So the
16	heading for that section needs to be changed, because
17	the confusion here is whether you're talking about a
18	financial audit or MICS audit.
19	MR. WILSON: And clearly, there is I
20	mean, a financial audit is distinct and separate. So
21	the agreed-upon procedures are really to address this
22	other component that, for efficiency's sake and cost,
23	makes sense, particularly in concert with when your
24	financial audit is being done, most firms will also do
25	the agreed-upon procedures audit or the MICS audit,

Page 75 1 whatever you want to call it, at the same time because 2 it makes sense to do so. 3 But one is not directly tied to the other. You can do a financial audit independent without 4 5 doing the other one, or vice versa. MS. HAMEL: But it must be done annually. 6 7 MR. WILSON: Yes. 8 MR. MORGAN: Well, that's what I think 9 our term was, annual. 10 MR. FISHER: Oh, I see. So is it a 11 heading change or is there a substantive change? Is 12 this a nomenclature change? 13 MR. WEST: A heading. Because as I was speaking before lunch, there is a lot of confusion as to 14 all the audits and different types of things that are 15 16 done by whether it's internal auditors or external 17 auditors or whatever. MR. MORGAN: Well, I think at least from 18 19 the working group, that's one of the reasons we tried to 20 bring it all into one place. And if we failed to 21 distinguish something there -- because the way we were 22 looking at it was while IGRA does require an annual 23 audit, annually you also have to do an AUP. So we're 24 just going to put it under the general heading of annual audit. Maybe we need to split those two things up in 25

Page 76 1 the audit. 2 MR. WEST: My point is the financial audit shouldn't even be discussed in this document, 3 unless you're saying you can do it in conjunction with 4 5 it or something. I don't think you do. I think you just discuss --6 7 MS. HAMEL: That's what we said, we just said it could be in conjunction, as required. 8 9 MR. FISHER: Where? What section are you 10 referring to? 11 MR. MORGAN: 543.19(q). 12 MS. HAMEL: (q)(2). 13 MR. McGHEE: But in that paragraph, it 14 does say it must be submitted with the annual financial 15 audit versus it may be. 16 MR. FISHER: Okay. Everybody keeping 17 track with where we are? 18 MR. WILSON: I mean, (q) says annual audits. And really what you're pointing out is there 19 20 are required audits that need to be done. 21 MS. HAMEL: Annual. 22 MR. WILSON: Forget annual, just say there are required audits, and then you can identify the 23 24 required audits and their frequency. 25 I'm saying get away from MR. WEST:

Page 77 1 audit. 2 MR. McGHEE: Should it be review? 3 Reporting procedure? 4 MR. FISHER: So don't call the AUP an 5 audit? 6 MR. WEST: No. 7 MR. MORGAN: Even though it's done by an auditor? 8 9 MR. WEST: They don't give an opinion on 10 it. If they have findings, they list them, but they 11 don't -- we were not engaged to and did not perform an 12 examination objective (inaudible) expression of opinion 13 on internal control structures as a whole. Further, we do not express such an opinion. 14 15 I mean, that's typical language in all 16 your AUPs, if you were to pull them up and take a look 17 at them, see what your CPA firm said on your last AUP that you received. 18 19 MR. McGHEE: In the internal controls, is that the only place where they say a CPA must do this in 20 21 the 543 as a whole? Is that the only place? 22 MR. WEST: I think so. 23 MR. McGHEE: So could that just be the only place you mention that a CPA has to be -- instead 24 of calling it an annual audit, just say CPA requirement 25

Page 78 1 or something? 2 MR. WEST: I think it says CPA testing in the 543. 3 4 MR. CALLAGHAN: Rather than calling it an 5 audit, what if we called it an engagement? MR. FISHER: It's 543 -- the existing 6 7 543.3(f) labeled CPA testing. And then it says an independent certified public accountant must be engaged 8 9 to perform the AUP. 10 MR. McGHEE: Why don't we just call (g) 11 CPA -- what did you say? Reporting? 12 MR. FISHER: Testing. 13 MR. McGHEE: CPA testing. 14 MR. WEST: We're not even on 19 yet. 15 MR. McGHEE: But it's a question that 16 first we can fix it now. 17 (Simultaneous discussion.) 18 MR. MORGAN: If I could bring up one 19 thing, and I'm trying to requrgitate, again, back to 20 conversations from the work group, is that the worry 21 was, again, we're talking about like a new commission, 22 if you walk in and you say what am I supposed to do, we 23 tried to set forth in one section, saying this is what you have to do on this subject matter. 24 25 We may not have got there. That's my

1	only worry about just calling it AUP there. Maybe it
2	needs a different section somewhere else. Because if
3	you're just reading your MICS and somehow you forget to
4	go back to 571 and remember, oh, yeah, I need an annual
5	audit, it doesn't show up in
6	MR. McGHEE: But you can still leave it
7	under 19 under those kind of requirements, just a
8	different name.
9	MR. MORGAN: That's kind of a separate
10	issue. I want to make sure that, if our goal is to help
11	smaller or new commissions, you don't forget something.
12	Where would be the best place to put that reminder? And
13	maybe it's not in the Class II, it may not be, but
14	somewhere where you say, hey, guys, don't forget about
15	this requirement, too, over here. Because otherwise I
16	think we've talked about things in my world, I'm not
17	an auditor, when I hear audits, you told me everything
18	in that one section, everything that I need to do there.
19	And if I take something out from there, then I want to,
20	you know, from my perspective, I want a pointer
21	somewhere saying, oh, yeah, don't forget about this
22	thing that you have hanging out here that you have to
23	do, as well.
24	MS. THOMAS: I think if you just change
2 E	it to the CDA testing (insudible) it would be find not

25 it to the CPA testing (inaudible) it would be fine, not

1	change that paragraph that points to it.
2	MR. MORGAN: I'm just regurgitating the
3	conversation we had. You don't have to convince me.
4	Sorry, Christina.
5	MR. FISHER: If I understand Christina,
6	you're still the Tribal Gaming Working Group wanted
7	to consolidate all of those things in one place, you
8	moved it out of various places, put it in 543.19,
9	particularly (g) here. And if you're okay with that
10	concept of consolidating all of it, then it's just a
11	then it's just a name change. But if you have a problem
12	with consolidating it, then we need to be talking about
13	that.
14	Rest?
14 15	Rest? MR. WEST: It's more logical for me to
15	MR. WEST: It's more logical for me to
15 16	MR. WEST: It's more logical for me to leave it in 543.3. But then you talk about such things
15 16 17	MR. WEST: It's more logical for me to leave it in 543.3. But then you talk about such things as determination to your level, gaming operation moved
15 16 17 18	MR. WEST: It's more logical for me to leave it in 543.3. But then you talk about such things as determination to your level, gaming operation moved from one tier to the other, it's in this tribal
15 16 17 18 19	MR. WEST: It's more logical for me to leave it in 543.3. But then you talk about such things as determination to your level, gaming operation moved from one tier to the other, it's in this tribal governments comply with this (inaudible). It seems
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WEST: It's more logical for me to leave it in 543.3. But then you talk about such things as determination to your level, gaming operation moved from one tier to the other, it's in this tribal governments comply with this (inaudible). It seems logical to me to leave it in that part of the MICS. The
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WEST: It's more logical for me to leave it in 543.3. But then you talk about such things as determination to your level, gaming operation moved from one tier to the other, it's in this tribal governments comply with this (inaudible). It seems logical to me to leave it in that part of the MICS. The TGRA should ensure that the TICS are established and
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WEST: It's more logical for me to leave it in 543.3. But then you talk about such things as determination to your level, gaming operation moved from one tier to the other, it's in this tribal governments comply with this (inaudible). It seems logical to me to leave it in that part of the MICS. The TGRA should ensure that the TICS are established and implemented that (inaudible), evaluation TICS. So it

Page 81 1 MR. WEST: Yes. 2 MS. HAMEL: Operationally, if it doesn't get assigned to a department, it's very likely it can be 3 If it isn't owned by accounting or if it isn't missed. 4 5 owned by bingo or if it isn't owned by the cage, it will fall through the cracks. 6 7 MR. FISHER: So that was part of the reason for taking it out of subsection (3)? 8 9 MR. MORGAN: I can see that, because when 10 we started talking, we went straight from the technical 11 standards right into bingo and we just went right by it because it wasn't a subject matter jumping out at us. 12 13 MR. CALLAGHAN: That makes sense. 14 MR. FISHER: What makes sense, Brian? 15 MR. CALLAGHAN: We recommend it. 16 (Simultaneous discussion.) 17 MR. McGHEE: Made sense to them that it 18 be over here. That's where they were going. 19 MR. FISHER: Let's have one conversation going at a time, if we could. 20 21 Okay. Brian, tell us, does it make 22 sense? 23 MR. CALLAGHAN: I'm sorry. What I was 24 rudely saying was just change that section from control standards for auditing, make it auditing, accounting and 25

1 attestation, and then you've got your all-inclusive section, and then you've got the nomenclature that you 2 3 may be more --4 MR. WEST: But this is the responsibility 5 of the TGRA to make sure this is performed. And the rest of the discussion of 543.3 is the same. I mean, 6 7 it's one of the -- it's not a specialized area of the gaming operation like internal audit or whatever that 8 9 needs to be, to me. Well, I mean, I'm --10 MR. CALLAGHAN: The very first section 11 there, internal controls subject to the approval and 12 oversight of the TGRA, this has to do with internal 13 control procedures. We could make it part of TGRA's 14 ownership. 15 MR. WILSON: Well, that's an interesting 16 question, because in --17 MR. FISHER: What's an interesting 18 question? 19 MR. WILSON: Well, this idea of who has ownership of the annual audit. 20 21 In our operation, the casino has 22 ownership of that. And in fact, they select the public 23 accounting firm as approved by the tribal council. Our role is merely to ensure that it's being done but not --24 we aren't really -- we actually aren't involved at all 25

1 in that audit, per se. I don't know if it's the same 2 with other folks. 3 MR. FISHER: So I see people nodding

4 their head around the table, that that's the way it 5 works in other tribes, as well, other situations.

MR. MORGAN: Because that's the reason 6 7 the question has been directed to the tribe to decide. The tribal government decides who owns it, in a way. 8 And if it does sit at a council level, while you're 9 10 required to make sure they get it done, sometimes it's 11 hard to tell political leaders you need to get to that. 12 Sometimes you remind them of the risk associated with 13 not, but I do agree with your statement earlier, having somebody own that and making sure that it's their 14 15 responsibility.

MR. WILSON: It's our responsibility, we are the ones who actually send the report to NIGC once it's completed, but we don't have a lot of active involvement in that audit. We're a part of the audit. MS. HAMEL: Because what the TGRA'S TICS

21 look like in comparison to the MICS are evaluated by the 22 external auditors (inaudible).

23 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: We own, as a 24 commission, we own our internal audit, so we take 25 ownership of that and perform that function. And we

1 provide those to our external auditors. 2 MR. McGHEE: I'm not married to it either way. If it were in this section or in this section, it 3 still has to be done at the end of the day. So I think 4 5 it's more about what it says to do is more important than where it is. 6 7 So I could see your point. I could see the other side of it, too. But if you're trying to say 8 9 that -- and maybe, like you said, if you look at this, 10 people take ownership of these sections. So basically, the auditing -- my accounting and my auditing people at 11 12 the operation are going to look to that section and 13 they're going to see that, and then they may claim, oh, that's my responsibility to do that, when really it 14 doesn't matter whose responsibility it is, it's just 15 16 that the tribal government has to make sure that it's 17 done. 18 So I'm not opposed to it being back in 543.3. It's a matter of what it says. 19 20 MR. WEST: So do you now have internal 21 audit in 543.19? MS. HAMEL: Yes. 2.2 23 MR. WEST: That's not the responsibility of your accounting department. Why wouldn't that be 24 25 separated out?

1 MR. MORGAN: Accounting and auditing is in that section. 2 MR. WEST: It's not a part of that gaming 3 operation's responsibility, it's a TGRA function or 4 5 whoever does your internal audit. Why would it not be in a separate section? 6 7 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: But it could be. MR. WEST: Sometimes the tribal 8 9 government does the internal audit, sometimes the TGRA 10 does it, sometimes it's contracted out. MR. MORGAN: Where the NIGC decides to 11 12 put it, it's a requirement, it's really you all's call 13 where it goes. I'm just trying to explain from the work 14 group why we put it there. We thought accounting and auditing kind of went hand in hand. If I'm an 15 16 accountant or I'm an auditor and I see my name up there, 17 I need to go through and figure out who does that. Whether I'm located in the tribal government, whether 18 19 I'm located in the gaming commission, whether I'm 20 located externally, if I know my job is to audit, I go 21 look through that section. I don't have to look through 22 543.3, 543.7, 543.19, I know I can go to that section and say that's what I need to do. That was our thought 23 24 process. 25 MR. McGHEE: Any kind of audit, any kind

1 of review, anything of that kind in that section that has to be done by somebody, that's that concept. 2 Whether you stick with that or change that --3 4 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Any 5 recommendations? MR. WEST: I mean, the original intent 6 7 when this section was developed through, I don't know, one of the committees, they had expanded the auditing --8 9 the revenue auditing is what I call it, expanded the 10 revenue audit to the standards. And then some members 11 of the committee decided they were going to take all the 12 revenue audit standards and move them to this one 13 section, this 543.50. So I think that's where the -- this whole 14 15 idea or thought process was. But it's just for what you 16 call operational auditing and what I call revenue 17 auditing. So the revenue auditors would have this one 18 section and know what to do. But in 543.19, you have internal audit in there, too, and also the AUP, which 19 are entirely separate from the operational auditing 20 21 function. 2.2 MR. FISHER: So you weren't trying to combine them on the ground, you were just trying to put 23 in one place in the regulation all the similar kinds of 24 provisions. And I see it says operational audit, 25

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 6, 2011

	Page 87
1	formerly a revenue audit, in 19.
2	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: All
3	right. I think we've beat this issue.
4	MR. FISHER: Yes. So
5	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: So I
6	understand it now.
7	MR. MORGAN: That's what we wanted, you
8	to understand it.
9	MR. FISHER: We beat it enough we
10	actually get it. All right. So
11	MR. WEST: So that's something to think
12	about when we get to 543.19, I guess.
13	MR. McGHEE: But it answers the question
14	you had for 543.3, right?
15	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Right.
16	MR. FISHER: He's looking at the comments
17	on the comparison document.
18	MR. WILSON: For 543.3?
19	MR. FISHER: Yes, 543.3. The first NIGC
20	comment was on section $(a)(2)$, the date of the
21	independent CPA audit report.
22	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I read it to be the
23	date of the opinion.
24	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: What was
25	that, date of the what?

Page 88 1 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Date of the opinion, 2 the way I interpreted it. MR. FISHER: Was that what was intended? 3 4 MR. MORGAN: I think the previous one 5 said you had six months to come into compliance. ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: And now 6 it's nine, right? 7 MR. MORGAN: And then if you want an 8 9 extension, you will get another six months. And the 10 work group said, well, we think you should have nine months to come into compliance, and you still get that 11 12 extra six months. 13 And the reason we did that, if you notice that gives you more than a year, that next question 14 15 talks about it I think, the year, because sometimes with 16 new regulations -- like my office, I'm annually 17 budgeted. So I know in October -- actually, I know in June, prior to October, what my budget is going to be. 18 If you come up with a new rule in December and I'm 19 required to implement that within that fiscal year and I 20 didn't get budgeted for it, that presents me with a huge 21 22 problem. 23 So that was to give you some type of 24 overlap, something longer than a twelve-month period, if you're in that situation, so you're not required to meet 25

1 a standard within a budget year that you already have 2 been budgeted for. 3 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I thought we went over this. You said there was no added cost for 4 5 compliance. Sorry, I had to. MR. MORGAN: Because you reserve the 6 7 right to do something later. I don't know what you want to do, I have to build in that. But that's the worry 8 9 sometimes. 10 But again, going back to smaller, going 11 back to newer, just going back into that wasn't in my 12 priorities for this budgeted year. I don't know how I'm 13 going to take care of that now without going back to tribal council. And you're going to have arguments of 14 15 how come you're putting demands on tribal council to put 16 something in gaming that's not there. 17 MR. WEST: The regulations usually have a lot of lead time before their compliance is required, 18 don't they? 19 20 MR. MORGAN: Rest, I would give you an 21 example of this Class II. It was published in 08 22 originally. We spent lots of time and efforts gearing up for it, trying to implement it, and then you pushed 23 24 it back and you pushed it back. 25 So sometimes it doesn't pay to be ahead

1	of the curve, because you put in time, and effort and
2	two years down the line we weren't there. Sometimes it
3	does serve you best to wait until the implementation to
4	say yes, this is what I'm going to do and it's going to
5	be in this form when it's finally published in the
6	Federal Register, because that's the requirements that I
7	have to meet.
8	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yeah,
9	we're going through that process for the regs that we're
10	promulgating right now, for the proposed rules that are
11	out there, is how can we basically work them into the
12	flow and folks comply with it and be on time. And
13	obviously, you make a good point about making sure that
14	tribes are budgeted for these types of things, because
15	there are issues there.
16	MR. MORGAN: I'm not tied to the dates,
17	I'm just saying the reason why they extended it beyond
18	twelve months, was that was the concern from some folks
19	in the room.
20	MR. WEST: So back to the first question,
21	the date of the report
22	MR. FISHER: Okay. Everybody see where
23	we are? We're on page nine of the comparison document.
24	MR. WEST: And actually, you go back to
25	the first page, it's paragraph (a)(2), gaming operations

	Page 91
1	moving from one tier to another shall have nine months
2	from the date of the independent certified public
3	accountant's audit report (inaudible).
4	So what is the date? What date is that
5	referring to?
6	MR. FISHER: It starts the date of the
7	opinion letter or the date of the fiscal year?
8	MR. McGHEE: It says the certified public
9	accountant's audit report.
10	MR. WEST: Is that the date of his
11	opinion or the date of the fiscal year?
12	MR. FISHER: Because the opinion relates
13	to a specific fiscal year. That's the question, right?
14	So Mr. CPA, what should it be?
15	MR. WILSON: I'm not a CPA and I don't
16	play one on TV, but they're certifying for a period in
17	time. So the date, in my mind, would be because the
18	firm has what, 120 however long they have before they
19	have to issue their report. But if you go from the date
20	of issuance of their report, that's going to be could
21	be potentially a variable, whereas the end of the fiscal
22	year that they're reviewing is a definitive date that
23	would be absolute for everybody.
24	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: But
25	isn't I'm sorry, is the report, when it's all done,

1	is that what's going to then all of a sudden say now you
2	have moved it to tier B, whoever gets that report
3	doesn't know that until they get this report. So if
4	they issue a report 120 days after the year ends, that's
5	when they have to start their timeline of coming into
6	compliance, is what I know about it. So it's not the
7	year that it was done, it's when they actually get a
8	report saying, hey, you're in tier B now or you're in
9	tier C now. Now you have 120 days to get into
10	compliance.
11	MR. WILSON: Your financials, I mean, at
12	the end of the fiscal year you know your financials.
13	And if you've reached a new threshold
14	MR. McGHEE: Not necessarily does your
15	TGRA know you've reached a new threshold without getting
16	something.
17	MR. WEST: Don't you get annual
18	monthly financials from the gaming operation?
19	MR. McGHEE: Yeah, but I don't track them
20	to see
21	(Simultaneous discussion.)
22	MR. WILSON: I mean, personally, I can
23	tell you, I wouldn't for me, the trigger of the
24	external accountant's report as the definitive now it
25	clicks in wouldn't make sense to me. The event has

1	already happened. So those financials if you've
2	reached that revenue threshold at the end of the year,
3	that's easily determined to trigger that, oh, we're
4	going to be in a new tier now, from that standpoint.
5	I mean, if the only thing that
6	possibly could change would be that if you were so close
7	on the line and the audited financials, through their
8	process, discovered some error in accounting that caused
9	that number to change, then yes, that would
10	retroactively say okay, now you're no longer required to
11	do that. But there would have to be a pretty
12	significant material issue that I would think would
13	likely cause that scenario to come into play.
14	MR. McGHEE: Isn't there some point where
15	everybody gets this information notification at the same
16	time? Your commission knows, operation knows, everybody
17	knows we're now at tier B. And what triggers that
18	notification to all parties?
19	MR. WILSON: Well, I would say it's TGRA
20	who ultimately makes that formalization that you're now
21	at a different tier. I mean, the financials serve as
22	the support to say you have reached this thing, but it
23	would be the TGRA's responsibility, then, to ensure,
24	then, that the establishment now and that the MICS, that
25	everything is now compliant to whatever that new tier is

1 that you have rolled into. 2 MR. WEST: Another thing, I mean, the opinion may come out more than 120 days after the fiscal 3 year end. And we've had a lot of operations -- well, 4 5 some operations have had issues with their various and sundry things, and it may be six months before the 6 7 opinion gets issued. So there is a lot of variables. MR. McGHEE: So should that now be, what 8 9 I'm hearing, is from the fiscal year -- I mean 10 whatever -- whatever your tribe is operating on is what that should be -- the language should be changed to. 11 12 MR. WILSON: Yeah, and I would think that 13 in part of the guidance documents would be that a TGRA needs to at least annually make that assessment if that 14 tier level has changed, based on the --15 16 MR. WHEATLEY: Before they see the audit 17 report. 18 MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. 19 So the answer to the MR. McGHEE: question is change it. I mean, that's what I'm getting 20 21 at, change it, without us coming up with the language. 22 Because it could be a fiscal year, it could be a 23 calendar year. 24 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I think it should be 25 up to the TGRA to determine.

Page 95 MR. CULLOO: On whether it's fiscal or 1 2 calendar? MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: No, on what the date 3 4 is. 5 MR. McGHEE: We can agree that it's confusing. 6 7 MR. MORGAN: So then I just want to make sure, my concern has to deal with crossing over a budget 8 year. Whether you tie it to the end of your fiscal year 9 10 or to the date, it's not so relevant for my position, as long as you -- to me, it's more significant I get nine 11 12 months plus an additional six, if it's deemed relevant, 13 as opposed to six and six. That's more my issue. 14 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: And that wouldn't cause any gaps in compliance or performing --15 16 MR. MORGAN: Not that I can think of. 17 MR. WEST: So you're saying 15 months after they become -- well, we're not sure about the date 18 19 yet, but say it is fiscal year, so you're saying you're going to allow 15 minutes to come into compliance? 20 21 MR. MORGAN: Not necessarily, because, 22 again, I have to do nine months and then what the reg 23 says is you can apply to your regulatory body for a six-month extension. I think that's subject to your 24 25 individual regulatory body granting that. I don't think

1	it's a foregone conclusion you're going to get it. At
2	least in my world, you have to show me something that
3	you've been doing in order to meet that standard and
4	there has to be some type of justification of why you
5	didn't meet that nine months. If it's deemed relevant,
6	I may grant it, but it's not a foregone conclusion
7	you're going to get that nine months. You have nine
8	months instead of six months is where I start out at
9	when I'm trying to evaluate some of those requests.
10	But back to your question, you know,
11	potentially, yes, you would have 15 months instead of
12	the 12 months to come into compliance, potentially.
13	MR. FISHER: Because that initial nine
14	months is important to you, instead of six. Nine plus
15	six rather than six plus six.
16	MR. MORGAN: Because if I got
17	surveillance and suddenly you do a pixel requirement and
18	I got to upgrade something, that's \$7 million I didn't
19	budget, I'm going to push that upgrade maybe at the end
20	of this fiscal year into the next fiscal year so I come
21	in compliance with that requirement on surveillance, as
22	opposed to trying to tackle that in one fiscal year.
23	(Simultaneous discussion.)
24	MR. MORGAN: That's where I find that
25	leeway helpful in those instances.

	Page 97
1	MR. FISHER: Tom?
2	MR. WILSON: Well
3	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: We'll go
4	with that.
5	MR. WILSON: Okay, then I have nothing.
6	MR. FISHER: Very wise.
7	So where did we come out on the question
8	on the date?
9	MR. McGHEE: That it would be not from
10	the report but from the actual from your year end
11	until you changed over to a new tier.
12	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I think
13	we're asking if you could just clarify that a little bit
14	better, it would be helpful.
15	MR. MORGAN: So we need a recommendation
16	for your recordkeeping?
17	MR. McGHEE: I think a recommendation to
18	specify a specific time, not so much the language.
19	MR. MORGAN: But if you want to tie it to
20	the end of the fiscal year, just say we tie it to the
21	fiscal year, not from the date of the opinion. Because
22	that's the concept, right?
23	MR. McGHEE: Yeah. I accept that
24	recommendation.
25	MR. MORGAN: Nine and six months instead

1 of the six and six. 2 MR. FISHER: He's trying to get some 3 traction there. 4 MR. McGHEE: Are we typing this 5 recommendation? MR. FISHER: We're going to have to type 6 7 it if it actually is a recommendation. So here is one way to do it, is to say -- let's see if this will work. 8 9 We might have to wake it up again. 10 So where I am on the screen is at the 11 very end of 543.3. If I scrolled up, you would see it. 12 So if we were doing just the specific thing that we did 13 here, you could say -- I'm at the end of 543.3. And so 14 one question is whether you're recommending the -- we haven't talked about the whole section. The first is 15 16 whether you're recommending that TGWG version of 543.3 17 and then are you recommending -- and then with these -with whatever changes we're doing. The nine plus six is 18 in the TGWG version, right? 19 So you could say this. So I don't know 20 whether that captures it or not. You have to tell me. 21 22 So what it says is the recommendation would be the TG -- does that help? TGWG version of 23 24 543.3 clarifying that the date in (a)(2) is from the end of the fiscal year. So you could say that, if you 25

wanted to be specific, the date of the -- it's actually 1 2 (a)(2), yes. The operation's fiscal year. So it could 3 also be that -- you know, we had a long discussion about 4 5 the accounting provisions in 543.19(g) and whether those should be back here or not, but for right now, you could 6 7 postpone that discussion and say the things that in 543.3, based on what the TGWG has proposed, is what 8 9 you'd like to see with this one change. 10 Tom, were you going to say something? 11 MR. WILSON: Just recalling our 12 conversation with the reporting group that we had last 13 week, are we working out of the version of the document 14 that we --15 MR. FISHER: Yes. So we're working out 16 of the version that is in Tab A of what's in the 17 notebook. 18 MS. HAMEL: So you're not just going to 19 run on with the change? 20 MR. McGHEE: We don't have the change, 21 just a recommendation. 2.2 MR. FISHER: Well, two things. So redline the change means -- what I heard was a 23 24 conceptual recommendation, not a language suggestion. So we can, if you want to do language changes, then I 25

1 can -- I can do that. 2 This version of the document, also, currently, this contains everything that we did in Rapid 3 City, also. So it's already one version beyond what's 4 5 in Tab A. But it is the document that the drafting group -- the starting point for the document on the 6 7 screen was what the drafting group did. I probably should have clarified that. 8 9 MS. HAMEL: So are we taking those notes 10 and then going back and redlining? 11 MR. FISHER: So what I -- the question is 12 whether you're making a conceptual recommendation, which 13 is accept the TGWG change with this clarification, or 14 whether you're presenting to them the actual revised 15 language. That's the question. 16 MR. McGHEE: You would redline if it was actually -- we knew exactly what we wanted them to say, 17 we would fix it right there now in redline. Since we 18 19 don't, we just conceptually know we want them to do 20 this. MR. FISHER: Right. So it's up to you, 21 22 whether you want to do what we did in Rapid City, where we actually made the changes. Well, I'm actually not a 23 24 redline quy. 25 What did you say? MR. McGHEE:

1 MR. FISHER: I said I'm not a redline quy. I really don't use redlining. But I can explain 2 that when we get there. 3 4 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Wasn't 5 there some confusion in the TGWG document that we had posted online, there was actually some changes to that 6 7 section that were redlined but then they were accepted and they're not? So it probably would be easier if we

9 just do this instead of trying to actually edit the 10 text.

MS. HAMEL: Right.

12 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: And this 13 is just a concept. So I would prefer that.

14 MR. FISHER: Well, of the drafting group, so which was Leo -- I mean Tom and Kathi and Daniel, had 15 16 a discussion after the Rapid City meeting about which 17 documents to work from and what's the way to present the information in the summary. And so on the MICS part, we 18 opted to start from what's in Tab A in the notebook that 19 got distributed, because that encompasses everything up 20 21 to the last minute of all the changes from the TGWG.

22 All right. And so we can -- this is up 23 to you, if you're comfortable doing this, to do a conceptual recommendation here. And if you want to come 24 back later and actually change the language, we can do 25

8

11

	Page 102
1	that, or if there are other sections that you want to
2	change the language on.
3	So again, what this says is that you
4	recommend the TGWG version of 543.3, clarifying that the
5	date in (a)(2) is from the end of the operation's fiscal
6	year, okay? Everybody understand that?
7	So do you want to so let's test it.
8	If you're in agreement with that recommendation, please
9	raise your hand.
10	Okay, that would be unanimous.
11	(Simultaneous discussion.)
12	MR. FISHER: So is there anything more we
13	need to do in 543.3?
14	MS. HAMEL: Yes.
15	MR. FISHER: Okay. Kathi?
16	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I'm
17	sorry, go ahead. I'll wait until after you're done.
18	MS. HAMEL: There was a question for the
19	NIGC about the twelve-month compliance date which was
20	MR. FISHER: I thought we got through
21	that, because we made it 15.
22	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Just a
23	clarification.
24	MS. HAMEL: Well, (a)(2) is determination
25	of tiers. (b) is when the TICS have to be implemented.

	Page 103
1	MR. MORGAN: Right.
2	MS. HAMEL: So there is two different
3	subjects. So I didn't want there to be any confusion
4	between (a)(2) and the compliance date in (b)(2).
5	MR. McGHEE: Is that the 15 months that
6	you talked about?
7	MR. MORGAN: No. You had 15 months to
8	come into compliance when you change tiers. (b)(2)
9	actually talks about how long you have to come into
10	compliance with the Class II MICS. So it's two
11	different compliance dates.
12	MR. WILSON: So the initial compliance
13	for everybody?
14	MR. MORGAN: If you move tiers, you have
15	potentially 15 months to come into compliance when you
16	change tiers. That's (a)(2). (b)(2) is how long do you
17	have to come into compliance once the MICS are
18	promulgated.
19	MS. HAMEL: And the comment on page nine
20	was that twelve months appears to be an excessively long
21	time to develop TICS.
22	MR. MORGAN: You have 15 months to come
23	into compliance with the tier change, you have
24	potentially 18 months to come into compliance once the
25	TICS are promulgated. The comment was is that too long,

Page 104 1 the initial requirement that you come into compliance. Previously, again, the compliance with 2 any federal regulations, normally you do the six months, 3 you have six months to come into compliance. If you 4 don't, the TGRA will give you an additional six months, 5 which, again, goes back to the fiscal year question I 6 7 had before. So on this particular question on 8 compliance with the MICS, 18 months instead of twelve 9 10 months. MR. FISHER: So it's this section right 11 12 here? 13 MR. MORGAN: Yes. And whether the group is comfortable with those dates I think is Kathi's 14 concern, to make sure that we understand they are two 15 16 different compliance dates. 17 MR. FISHER: So are you asking the group or are you asking NIGC? Because what I understood the 18 group to do was do a recommendation on the whole 543.3. 19 So if I got that wrong, you need to tell me. 20 21 MS. HAMEL: We didn't discuss the NIGC's 2.2 concern. MR. McGHEE: We want to -- your concern 23 was (b)(2), not to be confused with what we discussed in 24 25 the earlier time frame-wise.

1	MR. WEST: Well, the draft regs give a
2	period of six months and then an additional six months
3	can be provided. Now the TGWG is saying twelve months
4	and possibly another six, although these are standards
5	that have been in the Federal Register for you know,
6	I don't know how long for compliance, typically, I mean
7	before the effective date.
8	MR. WILSON: So how long, when they're
9	published in the register, typically, they're published
10	before the effective date?
11	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: We can
12	determine that at our discretion.
13	MR. CULLOO: What is typical?
14	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Mike?
15	MR. HOENIG: Yes?
16	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Implement
17	ation dates, they vary by whatever the issue is. And
18	clearly, what we're looking to see is how the issue
19	would comply or how they would be able to comply, but
20	there is no set requirement that a rule be implemented
21	at some particular time frame once it's been
22	MR. HOENIG: After the notice of a
23	proposed rule or after a final rule?
24	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: After a
25	final rule.

1	MR. HOENIG: So there is a minimum amount
2	of time it has to stay before it can go into effect. It
3	can be longer than that, it just can't be shorter.
4	It's either 30 or 60 days, depending on the kind of rule
5	it is. You have either a minimum 30 days or 60 days.
6	But if you want to expand it beyond that, as we have
7	with some of the rules that haven't gone into effect
8	yet, then that's an option that the commission could do.
9	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: All
10	right. Thank you.
11	MR. WEST: Actually, I would vote for
12	the I would prefer the twelve months because of all
13	the delay and everything in getting this out.
14	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: So the
15	twelve months plus the six months?
16	MR. WEST: Yeah.
17	MR. McGHEE: Which is what's proposed.
18	MS. LASH: Robert, can you magnify that?
19	It's hard to see back here.
20	MR. FISHER: Is that better?
21	MS. LASH: Yes, thank you.
22	MR. FISHER: Okay. So that's already
23	contained in the TGWG 543.3 version. So that means we
24	don't have to do anything else with it?
25	Go ahead, Rest.

	Page 107
1	MR. WEST: I do have one question,
2	though, since we're really operating under no MICS, I
3	guess at this point what are we operating in?
4	MR. FISHER: Who are you asking?
5	MR. McGHEE: The second paragraph?
6	MR. WEST: What is in effect right now as
7	far as
8	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: 2008.
9	MR. WEST: But it's been extended.
10	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Oh, I'm
11	sorry. What was that document? 2006?
12	(Simultaneous discussion)
13	MR. MORGAN: This is a stretch. You did
14	543.3 for Class II for 2006.
15	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: In 2006.
16	MR. MORGAN: I don't think it ever become
17	effective. You proposed new ones that have been
18	postponed. So we still operate under 543.3.
19	MS. HAMEL: 542.
20	MR. MORGAN: 542, I'm sorry, for class
21	purposes as far as what would be
22	MR. WEST: But after I stated
23	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: June 27, 2002.
24	MR. HOENIG: So the 2008 is in a final
25	reg but it's never been implemented, it doesn't go into

Г

1	effect.
2	MR. WEST: My idea was does the
3	committee this is something for the committee to
4	think about. Do you want to try to get the regs out
5	quicker? Because it's there is a lot of, I don't
6	know, confusion as to what's
7	MR. MORGAN: My worry is I think somehow,
8	you, again, agency, has to has to somehow give some
9	thought process of how does 542.3 and 542.2 mesh
10	together for people that have both Class II and
11	Class III on the floor? Because that was the issue we
12	ran into last time, is you proposed a 543.3, and you
13	tried to make those mesh what was already in effect as
14	542.2. And the operation on the floor made it very
15	difficult. You had to pick one or the other that didn't
16	quite mesh.
17	So for me, it's not a question of do you
18	go fast or do you go slow, it's can you somehow publish
19	something in conjunction so that your Class III MICS
20	line up with your Class II MICS, if you're going to do
21	Class III MICS. I shouldn't assume that's what you're
22	going to do. If you do something, it needs to be a
23	standard that is workable at a front line level. That
24	is my concern for the MICS issue.
25	MR. WEST: What about an entirely

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

Page 109 1 Class II operation? I mean, because they're kind of operating in limbo right now, I guess. That was just a 2 3 thought. (Simultaneous discussion.) 4 5 MR. WEST: You're not operating in limbo but --6 7 That is a concern, because I MR. MORGAN: know there are several operations out there that are 8 9 Class II only. I don't know. What do they do about 10 that? 11 MR. McGHEE: What are we following? 12 MR. MORGAN: Well, you guys, you guys, 13 and I know the Salish Kootenai, as well, and a few other 14 operations are purely Class II. MS. HAMEL: We use 542 as our basis and 15 16 do the best we can to match up Class II against a 17 Class III regulation. 18 MR. McGHEE: We do the same thing. We use the same ones everybody has been using since 2004, 19 because when they tried to put out some that were just 20 for bingo, it became more confusing to us. (Inaudible.) 21 22 So we do that and we take out any section that applies 23 and doesn't apply and piece it together. 24 MR. MORGAN: Daniel, from your agency's 25 perspective, how do you all view Class II operations

1	right now when you all go out and perform an audit or
2	have a compliance officer go and check on them? I mean,
3	what are you comparing them to, if anything?
4	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: A
5	checklist?
6	MR. McGHEE: They don't do the electronic
7	gaming section, because it became more complicated. If
8	they did an internal audit, it was way too confusing,
9	you know. We do our internal audit.
10	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Well,
11	Dan, I think your question is it varies by operation and
12	what they've adopted for their TICS.
13	MR. MORGAN: So right now, NIGC field
14	investigative staff go in, look at the operation and
15	what they're doing and audit based on what they're
16	doing.
17	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Well, not
18	the field investigators, the auditors.
19	MR. MORGAN: The auditors, very similar
20	to the same approach that we're advocating right now
21	that you do.
22	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Touche.
23	MR. MORGAN: I got mine in, too.
24	MR. WILSON: You guys are there and you
25	didn't even know it.

1	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: One of
2	the other reasons why this is so important we get
3	through this process.
4	MR. WEST: So my point was for the
5	Class II operation, would it be better to try to I
6	guess you could look at what the final product is and
7	see if you wanted to go ahead and adopt it in advance,
8	or whatever, or before the effective date or the
9	compliance date or whatever. I was just trying to think
10	about that when we were talking about compliance days.
11	MS. HAMEL: I think the biggest
12	difference is terminology. And that will take some time
13	to go look at your TICS and your system of internal
14	controls to make sure they mirror the language in the
15	MICS. So it's just a process and a fine review.
16	Those of us that have been on TGWG
17	forever understand what a financial instrument is.
18	Those that haven't are going to have to then interpret
19	that and how does that apply to their operations. So
20	that's going to take a little longer than, in my
21	opinion, six months.
22	MR. FISHER: Okay. Do we need to do
23	anything more on 543.3 for now?
24	MR. McGHEE: The last sentence is
25	confusing as it relates to the implementation. It does

Page 112 1 not indicate whether the TICS or the SICS are the 2 documents being changed. MR. FISHER: Where are you looking at? 3 MR. McGHEE: At your NIGC comments. 4 5 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Page nine. MS. HAMEL: (b) of that section is 6 7 referring to TICS. 8 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Is that 9 what you're saying, it's referring to TICS? 10 MR. McGHEE: Yeah, it says come into compliance with the TICS. You all's question is does it 11 12 indicate whether it's the TICS or the SICS? 13 MR. CULLOO: (2)(b) is TICS and (2)(c) is 14 SICS. 15 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I'd like to say that 16 all changes necessary (inaudible) with this part, 17 meaning this part, and the part also includes (c). So your TICS would have to come into compliance and then 18 your systems of internal controls would also have to 19 20 come into compliance within that time frame. 21 MR. FISHER: Okay. So is there anything 2.2 here that needs to be clarified or revised? Some people 23 have specific ideas about where it should go, but I 24 thought we would do that when we got to section 19, unless you want to go there now. But we don't have a 25

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 6, 2011

	Page 113
1	comparison document for 19 yet.
2	All right. So anything more on 543.3?
3	So, Kathi, when you started the
4	explanation of those sections, you said 543.3 was an
5	important one, kind of skipped over 543.1. So do you
6	want to go back to 543.1 or
7	MS. HAMEL: Sure.
8	MR. FISHER: or do you want to move
9	on?
10	MS. HAMEL: No, we can start with one. I
11	think in 543.1 we just took out any reference to 542.
12	MR. FISHER: So is there any discussion?
13	I know there is no comment or question
14	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yeah, we
15	didn't have any comment, just cleanup.
16	MR. FISHER: So that means are we
17	doing a recommendation here on 543.1 or not?
18	MR. WILSON: Recommendation about
19	MS. HAMEL: Can I just bring up one
20	question? Should we assume that if there aren't any
21	comments from the NIGC that NIGC is comfortable with the
22	other language?
23	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: In 543.1,
24	yeah, we didn't have any comments on that.
25	MR. FISHER: So I would really caution

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

Г

against making assumptions. I think you need to check
 with them.

MR. McGHEE: Well, if we just said, okay, we're all good with 543.3 because we only addressed the concerns you had in those two questions, it makes it, like you said, an assumption that they must be okay with the rest of it because they didn't have a comment about it.

9 So to make a blanket statement to accept 10 the whole section without actually looking at the changes TGWG made to the original could be premature. 11 12 We could only say basically what we discussed. If we 13 went and seen all the changes -- of course, we didn't do that with this, we just kind of discussed their 14 (inaudible). And if we only want to do that, then I 15 16 assume they have no concerns with the rest. 17 MR. FISHER: Right. So the question is back to you, Dan, about --18 19 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: 543.1 is 20 one sentence. 21 MR. FISHER: So that's an easy one. 22 MR. McGHEE: I'm talking about (3) now, 23 because we only discussed a few issues about the whole 24 section. It makes it seem as though those were the only concerns you would have had with those changes. 25

1	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yes, yes.
2	And that I think was we talked about it earlier, was
3	that and I think there was some confusion that we
4	wanted to go line by line through the guidance. I know
5	these aren't guidance questions, these are more on the
6	regulation, but let's work off the comparison and the
7	question that we raised there.
8	MR. McGHEE: So what I'm getting is if we
9	go to a section and we discuss the issues you have
10	written down there and we address those issues as a
11	group and give our opinion or advice on that, the rest
12	of the document you don't want advice on it or you don't
13	need advice unless we just wanted to add to it, correct?
14	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Right, or
15	there I'm assuming everybody is agreed to the
16	documents and maybe other members might have some
17	questions that they want to raise.
18	MR. McGHEE: If they have a concern.
19	MR. FISHER: Right. Otherwise, if we do
20	the whole section, then
21	MR. McGHEE: We assume they're okay, it's
22	okay to assume they didn't have any you didn't need
23	our opinion on it?
24	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Right.
25	MR. FISHER: Okay. So what are we doing

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 6, 2011

	Page 116
1	about 543.1? Is there a vote?
2	MS. LASH: Test it.
3	MR. FISHER: So if you agree with the
4	change, that one sentence that was proposed by the TGWG,
5	raise your hand, please.
6	Voila.
7	MR. McGHEE: Now do we ask about .3 as a
8	whole?
9	MR. FISHER: We did.
10	MR. McGHEE: I thought you said one.
11	MR. FISHER: We just went out of order
12	because Kathi focused in on 543.3.
13	MR. McGHEE: We did that as a whole, we
14	accepted the whole section?
15	MR. FISHER: Yes.
16	MR. McGHEE: I just wanted to be sure.
17	MR. FISHER: Okay. So now we're going to
18	section 543.4.
19	MR. CULLOO: Don't we have to test 543.3?
20	MR. FISHER: We want to test it again?
21	MR. CULLOO: No, that's all right.
22	MR. McGHEE: I know we tested our little
23	recommendation of the line but
24	MR. FISHER: So what I put up here was I
25	heard that the recommendation was the entire 543.3

Г

	Page 117
1	section. And so that's what that says. But if
2	people
3	MS. LASH: We did already right vote,
4	right?
5	MR. FISHER: Yes. So we're up to four,
6	543.4.
7	MR. WILSON: 543.4, it references the
8	Technical Standards, is that correct? Because this
9	looks like it was narrated was left reserved in 2008
10	and that the TGWG has inserted this (inaudible). But
11	I'm just curious why Technical Standards is there when
12	we're in the MICS.
13	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Can you repeat that,
14	please?
15	MR. WILSON: So in 543.4, the addition
16	that the TGWG, or at least that's in this version, says
17	the TGRA may establish and implement additional
18	Technical Standards. But my guess is
19	MS. HAMEL: I think that's a typo.
20	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I think that's a
21	mistake.
22	MS. LASH: My guess is that's a typo. We
23	should delete that.
24	MR. WILSON: It should just say
25	additional standards?

Г

	Page 118
1	MS. LASH: Right.
2	MR. WILSON: So this is something we need
3	to redline, then.
4	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: So just
5	the word "technical"?
6	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Yes.
7	MR. WILSON: We need to redline the blue
8	line.
9	MR. FISHER: So if you actually want me
10	to take it out, I will.
11	MS. LASH: Yes, but we should probably
12	redline it.
13	MR. McGHEE: You have to redline it.
14	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Maybe you could do
15	purple or pink.
16	MR. FISHER: Actually, I will redline it,
17	if you want to, but usually the way that I that I
18	track these documents is because then you get redlines
19	on top of redlines and all kinds of strange colors, so
20	if you do a comparison document instead of a redline
21	document, it will show all the changes but it keeps all
22	the redlining in codes out of it. So for instance
23	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: What about comments?
24	MS. LASH: Is there a bubble off to the
25	side, then, or just nothing?

	Page 119
1	MR. FISHER: Well, I did this here, so
2	MS. LASH: Oh, I see, down there.
3	MR. FISHER: Right.
4	MS. LASH: Oh, okay. And so when it
5	comes up in the comparison document, when you see the
6	summary, it will show that it's been it will be
7	actually we'll see the deletion up there on the side
8	in a bubble. And it's then you have a clean document
9	that has all the changes in it and then you have one
10	that shows what the changes are to the TGWG document.
11	So I can we will see if this system
12	will work. If not, then I'll go back and redline the
13	documents.
14	All right. Is there anything more in
15	543.4?
16	MR. WILSON: I have another comment, if I
17	may.
18	This wording, and I talked about this our
19	last time, about as stringent or more stringent, and we
20	talked about the concept of mitigating the risk for
21	something. So I would have that same question here,
22	that depending on what well, to be consistent I guess
23	with what we are saying in the preamble
24	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I think we were
25	going to add those to all the other sections.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

Γ

Page 120 1 MR. WILSON: That's what I'm pointing 2 out, that it's not really here. And so just to avoid confusion --3 MR. McGHEE: You made this recommendation 4 in the Technical Standards. It's the same language. 5 You want to copy that over to this recommendation? 6 7 MR. WILSON: Yes. MR. FISHER: It's this language here, if 8 9 I got this right. So it's the language that we added in 10 the Technical Standards? MR. McGHEE: 557.5 is where it is in the 11 12 Technical Standards. 13 MR. WILSON: Yeah, I'm not bringing it up to debate it again, I just wanted to point it out. 14 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: We did it in bingo. 15 16 MR. FISHER: Yeah, it's in bingo. 17 MR. WILSON: I thought that's what we 18 agreed on. 19 MR. FISHER: Yeah. See, it's right there, actually. So this is the working draft of the 20 21 summary from the Rapid City meeting. 22 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Can you make it 23 bigger? 24 MR. FISHER: I'm trying to get there, I'm 25 trying.

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 6, 2011

	Page 121
1	So the language that we added is that
2	language right there in blue. Can you see that?
3	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Can you
4	make it bigger?
5	MR. FISHER: How is that?
6	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: There you
7	go.
8	MR. FISHER: Okay. Can you see it now?
9	Okay, let me just go up. There is one other section
10	where we did that, right? And then there is that note
11	that we did that recommendation that said you have to
12	put this in all other provisions in 543.
13	So where would that go in? So hold on a
14	second. I'm sorry I'm moving so slowly.
15	So it's this thing, it's really necessary
16	to mitigate the identified risks. Is that what you're
17	saying? So in place of this, in place of that?
18	MR. WILSON: In place of the stringent.
19	MR. FISHER: The as stringent or more
20	stringent than language?
21	MR. WILSON: Yes. Robert, if you could
22	just read it with the change.
23	MR. FISHER: Yeah, I'll blow it up and
24	make it bigger in one second.
25	So it says here TGRA may establish and

Г

1	implement additional standards that are necessary to
2	mitigate the risks identified in this part. And I'm
3	pretty sure that tracks that language necessary to
4	mitigate the risks identified by the standards of this
5	section, is what we said in the other one. Right?
6	MR. WILSON: And I would just point out
7	that the term "risk," I mean, it might end up being a
8	term that is adopted, you know, in the control standards
9	or whatever, but we're talking about that same concept.
10	And I wonder if I could get just get a
11	quick feedback from NIGC on this. I'm not sure that
12	it's clear, at least from my perspective, this term
13	stringent or more stringent.
14	Why my tribe feels that that wording is
15	problematic, because we have that discussion currently
16	with our regulators at the state level about this
17	stringent. And the reason this falls back, in my mind,
18	to NIGC is because in our compact, the Class III MICS
19	were adopted as regulated by the NIGC. So that language
20	is important. Whereas you guys might be okay to grant a
21	variance even though you don't regulate Class III in
22	that sense, but because you created the Class III MICS
23	that have been adopted, our state is not open to that
24	kind of interpretation.
25	So that's why it's important to me that

25

So that's why it's important to me that

1 what -- how it's worded here in Class II I think can ultimately have a carryover effect in Class III. So 2 this idea of stringency seems to be a difficult concept 3 to prove. 4 5 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Ιt doesn't appear to be a problem for me. I'm not sure if 6 7 Rest has any maybe issue with performing his function, but --8 9 MR. WEST: I think in some places it's 10 described as a level of control or -- does that also cause issues with the state? 11 12 MR. WILSON: Yeah, because what happens 13 is we end up going back to the words, and then it becomes very interpretational about, well, is this 14 really an improved level of control or less of a 15 16 control? And we just don't have success in that. 17 And if I were arguing that I'm mitigating the risk, I can argue that. But that's not an argument 18 19 that can come into play under the current wording in the MICS. So I'm precluded from making the point that I 20 21 think I can make by virtue of the words. 2.2 MR. FISHER: Okay. Anybody else have 23 anything else on 543.4? 24 Okay. So then why don't we just see what -- let's test whether people agree with the TGWG 25

Page 124 1 version with these changes. 2 So if you're in agreement with that section 543.4 with those two changes that we've talked 3 about, which I can read them again if you want, raise 4 5 your hand. Okay, that would be unanimous. You 6 7 wouldn't believe how important it was to actually have this stuff highlighted that you agreed to when I went 8 9 back through this. 10 And I'm counting on you double checking this. 11 12 All right. So, well, we're at ten of 13 3:00. So do you want to move to .6 or do you want to take a break? 14 15 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Ι 16 think .6 we can get done pretty quick. 17 MR. WILSON: Yeah, let's finish .6. 18 MR. FISHER: All right, .6. Let me just 19 drop down here. 20 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: It seems 21 like it's just cleanup. 22 MS. HAMEL: I think it was a typo. 23 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yeah, the 24 gasb.gov doesn't exist. 25 MS. HAMEL: Yeah, and I think we took it

1 out of one section and we need to take it out of the other. That should just come out. 2 3 MR. FISHER: So we're taking out dot.gov. 4 So do you want to start there? 5 MR. McGHEE: The only question is why they want GASB to be -- what discussion of it, where, 6 7 what. MR. WEST: Well, GASB stands for the 8 9 Governmental Accounting Standards Boards, and it applies 10 to tribal gaming operations. And in fact, probably a lot of the entities here, their financial statements are 11 12 on GASB format. 13 So I just wanted to point that out, that there may be some GASB requirements, I'm not familiar 14 with any, but GASB may have some effect on those. 15 16 MS. HAMEL: Isn't the difference mostly 17 just reporting? I'm not aware of any accounting 18 principles. 19 I mean, generally accepted MR. WEST: accounting principles is the main principal to be in 20 21 compliance with. 22 MR. FISHER: So what is it that we need to -- that you want to talk about? Is it whether it 23 should be deleted or what does it provide or is --24 25 MR. WEST: I just want to bring up for

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1	discussion if anyone knew of any GASB requirements that
2	would apply to this section. I don't really know of
3	any, either, but I thought there might be some.
4	MR. McGHEE: So the recommendation would
5	be that we don't see the need for GASB to be mentioned
6	in this section as far as a recommendation and that we
7	agree with the change.
8	MR. FISHER: Well, because you already
9	took it out of yours, right?
10	MR. McGHEE: It was never there.
11	MS. THOMAS: Well, it was removed out of
12	one section and left in another. So for consistency on
13	the second one, it should be removed.
14	MR. McGHEE: The Internet.
15	MR. FISHER: So that we got rid of the
16	Internet.
17	MS. HAMEL: I would delete the whole
18	sentence, for more information.
19	MR. FISHER: So you would delete the
20	whole sentence?
21	MS. HAMEL: Yes.
22	MR. FISHER: So I'm sorry, I'm lagging
23	behind here in terms of what you guys are doing. So you
24	want to test the TGWG version of 543.6 with that change,
25	right? Even though that's not quite right. So that

Page 127 1 deletes the whole sentence, I just deleted the whole sentence out of there. 2 MR. McGHEE: The second recommendation 3 was that we saw no need for GASB to be mentioned in this 4 5 area, which was a concern. MR. FISHER: Okay, I got it. That would 6 7 be it, those three things? Can you see that? MR. McGHEE: Just the whole version as 8 9 is. 10 MR. FISHER: Yes, the whole version as is 11 with these changes, with the change in number one and no 12 mention of GASB. 13 MR. McGHEE: What is number three, what 14 is the purpose of your number three you have? MR. FISHER: It's to say that you took 15 16 the whole rest of it. It's the way to track, because 17 there is multiple sections in there. So it's really -it's really that with this -- with the changes in number 18 two, right? It's the version proposed by the TGWG with 19 that deletion, no mention of GASB. 20 21 Okay, everybody with it? 22 MR. WEST: Is that consistent with what you've done on 543.1 and 543.3? 23 24 MR. McGHEE: We only put down what we did. Like that number one wouldn't need to be there. 25

Page 128 MR. CULLOO: We've never done it on any 1 2 other one. MR. FISHER: I've been doing it the whole 3 4 time. 5 MR. WEST: I wasn't watching. MR. FISHER: So seriously, if you're not 6 7 recommending the whole thing of the TGWG, then I'm confused. 8 9 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: He's saying we're 10 doing three things. We're approving the whole section, we're approving the sentence to be deleted from four, 11 12 and then no mention of GASB, like the comment. 13 MR. WEST: Okay. 14 MR. MAGEE: Don't you usually highlight 15 it in yellow? 16 MR. FISHER: Yes, that's what you 17 actually do. See, that's the way I've been tracking, because anything highlighted in yellow is a consensus 18 19 recommendation. So yes. 20 So should we test it? 21 MR. WHEATLEY: Yes. 22 MR. FISHER: Okay. So if you're in 23 agreement with 543.6 with those changes and the 24 recommendation, please raise your hand. 25 Okay, that's it. Good to go.

Page 129 1 Okay. Now it's 3:00. Let's take a 2 break. (Recess taken.) 3 4 MR. FISHER: Okay. What do you say we 5 start again? I made it bigger up on the screen. 6 How 7 is that? MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Much better. 8 9 MR. FISHER: You might even be able to 10 read it from the audience, too. So next on our agenda is, if I have that 11 12 right, is card games. 13 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: We're 14 skipping to --MR. FISHER: No, card games is next on 15 16 the list, because card games was what had been on the 17 list at the end of Rapid City. So then we moved it over 18 here. 19 Okay, so we're going to do card games. 20 Robin? 21 MS. LASH: I just wanted to mention, I, 22 per my electronic equipment here, I got an update on the 23 checklist. Apparently, the main communicator between 24 the TGWG and the NIGC spoke about the checklist, and the Tribal Gaming Working Group was told that there was no 25

rush on the checklist because the checklist may change
 according to the document, how it unfolds through these
 meetings.

But we do have a significant number of 4 5 checklists already completed and those are being sent to And I'll go ahead and print those out and hand them 6 me. out to everybody tomorrow. So just an update on that. 7 MR. WEST: Wouldn't electronic be better 8 9 and just save the paper? 10 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Because 11 we want to put it online on our Web site. 12 MR. FISHER: Send them over to me and 13 I'll distribute them, assuming they can be received. 14 Maybe the way to do it is, Robin, why 15 don't you send it to me and send it to, I quess, to Rita 16 or -- so they can get posted so people can access it 17 okay, send it to me and I'll just forward it on. Okay. Thanks for that. 18

All right. So we're moving into card games. And we should have -- everybody should have a revised version of the comparison document. So one was handed out for Rapid City, and then a new one was provided in the set that went out last week. So it should have a date on it of November 30th. And this, I guess, is also the first place where there is

Page 131 1 quidance-related things that we're going to need to talk 2 about. So do you want to start with the TGWG 3 overview of this section or is it -- is it kind of 4 5 self-exploratory and we should just go to your questions and comments? 6 7 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Remember, we didn't compare (a) and (b), because those were 8 9 standard throughout the whole section. So --10 although -- we're at nine. MR. FISHER: We're out of sequence in the 11 12 regulation because that's the way the work plan got set 13 up. 14 MS. HAMEL: I think we wanted to wait for 15 our pull tab expert, too. MR. WHEATLEY: She couldn't make it. 16 17 MR. FISHER: So we could go to pull tabs instead of card games. It's just up to you. On the 18 agenda, it's card games. 19 20 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: We're here, right? 21 2.2 MS. HAMEL: It doesn't matter. 23 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: We're 24 here at nine, right? Let's do nine. 25 MR. FISHER: Okay. I got my computer

1 open to nine, 543.9. 2 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I think the first on our comparison document, we highlight old, 3 I guess, in the 2010 draft, 543.9(b), which has I guess 4 5 been replaced with computer applications. But I just wanted to talk about count (inaudible) and what was the 6 7 reasoning behind moving that or --8 MR. FISHER: You have to go to that 9 comparison document. ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: We're 10 11 just trying to understand what was the reasoning behind 12 the movement of that section. If it was moved, was it 13 moved to another section or was it incorporated into something else? 14 MR. WHEATLEY: It looks like it was moved 15 16 to 21. 17 MR. MORGAN: From recollection, Dan, following our thought process at the work group, it was 18 19 because if you work in drop and count, you don't really care so much if you go in what the card inventory is. 20 21 You have a specific job function in mind. 22 And so that was the purpose, we moved it to 21 to cover all the drop and count issues. Whether 23 24 you're dropping an electronic game or dropping a card game, you're still worried about the same controls and 25

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 6, 2011

	Page 133
1	risks, and to try to make sure that if you're on the
2	floor, you're looking at one section.
3	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Okay. So
4	you just moved it to streamline to make it clearer for
5	the TGRA?
6	MS. HAMEL: Yes.
7	MR. FISHER: Where did it go?
8	MS. HAMEL: Dot 21.
9	MR. MORGAN: 543.21.
10	MR. FISHER: Okay. So was there any
11	other kind of overview that anybody wanted to present,
12	or do you want to just keep going? I'll just go down
13	and start with 543.9(g).
14	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: (g).
15	MR. WEST: The comment is the draft
16	standard is silent as to exchange of cash and cash
17	equivalent and (inaudible). So we're just wondering why
18	those were deleted. Because typically, card games don't
19	have a card room bank located in the card room.
20	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: It does
21	appear it's addressed in the guidance, isn't it?
22	MS. HAMEL: It is addressed in the
23	guidance (inaudible). We didn't name all the banks, but
24	any cash or cash equivalents or their exchanges were
25	identified in the guidance document. And then 543.14

Г

1 talks about cash or cash equivalents in all areas, not just in the cage. So those transactions that would be 2 involved in other areas. 3 MR. WEST: And then down under the 4 5 guidance, the comment was controls related to counting and (inaudible) once per shift don't appear to be 6 7 addressed. MR. FISHER: So in other words, it 8 9 doesn't say anything in the guidance about counting once 10 per shift? 11 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Does it 12 say it in 543.14? Is that what you're saying, Kathi? 13 MS. HAMEL: It's addressed in 543.14. 14 And if you issue a bank and return a bank, there is a 15 count. 16 MR. WEST: But this is within the card 17 room. I mean, would it be more effective to have the standard in the card room, card games section? 18 19 MS. HAMEL: Isn't that what (q) says, that you have controls for cash and cash equivalents? 20 21 MR. WEST: I'm sorry? 22 MS. HAMEL: That's what (g) says, cash or cash equivalents must be controlled in a manner designed 23 24 to prevent, 543.9(q). 25 MR. FISHER: It's right up here on the

Page 135 1 screen, for those of you looking at the screen. 2 MR. WEST: Yeah, it's guidance as far as the accounting and the bank. It's a general statement 3 about cash and cash equivalents throughout the MICS. 4 5 But even the guidance is silent as far as that issue. MR. FISHER: So is the problem that it's 6 7 in a different section or is the problem about what it says here? 8 9 MR. MORGAN: That was going to be my 10 question. Does the guidance for this section or does the guidance for 543.14 cover that adequately or not? 11 12 MR. WEST: .9. 13 MR. MORGAN: .9(g) points to 543.14, 14 which has its own guidance documents, as well, that 15 support it. 16 MR. WEST: I thought part of the intent 17 of the committee was to have, you know, the controls within that section. There is a difference between the 18 19 cage, typically, and the tables (inaudible). 20 MR. MORGAN: And sort of a shift, I think maybe this is where we placed it at, may be an issue. 21 22 Before the MICS, you talked about like the bingo game, 23 you tried to address everything associated with bingo within bingo, and then you may have addressed it 24 somewhere else generally, which would require the reader 25

to go in and read the bingo section, if you were talking about drop and count, to read the drop and count, too. Because there may be something about dropping and counting over there, and there may be something about surveillance in bingo, and it may be in the surveillance section, too.

7 So we tried to move it, generally, to a location. So whether cash and cash equivalents, we 8 9 didn't really try to capture it around the activity that 10 was taking place, we were generally trying to address how you would control cash and cash equivalents. It 11 12 doesn't make any difference what activity is taking 13 place, these are the controls that need to be, I guess, 14 implemented.

15 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: So we 16 understand that part, you're obviously still attempting 17 to organize things in a morphological fashion that are common areas. So we just need to just figure out if 18 19 that's something that would be better addressed through actually putting some particulars in this regulation. 20 21 MR. CULLOO: It also seems to be 22 repeating the same standards over and over and over. 23 MS. HAMEL: We're saying it differently in a different standard. So if I'm at a card games 24 bank, do I count it differently there than I do in bingo 25

1	or pull tabs or in the cage?
2	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: And
3	you're saying it should be counted the same regardless?
4	MS. HAMEL: It should be the same.
5	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Okay.
6	MR. MORGAN: And tell me if I'm reading
7	this wrong, this is not my area, but on 543.14(g)(2), we
8	do have a statement there saying the cage involved
9	inventories, including coins and (inaudible) vault,
10	should be counted by at least two agents, attested by
11	signature and recorded (inaudible) at the end of each
12	shift during which the activity took place. And there
13	is similar language in the guidance documents, which, at
14	least in my mind, talks about your controls related to
15	counting the card room bank once per shift.
16	While we don't reference the card room
17	bank specifically. We do say if there is some changes
18	in a cage or vault, generally, this is what you're
19	supposed to do, no matter if it's the card room bank or
20	whatever, if it's your main bank. That's at least how
21	we approached it.
22	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: All
23	right. I'm fine with that. Do you have anything else?
24	MR. WEST: I'm still my sense is it
25	belongs in card games.

1	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: (Inaudible.)
2	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Page
3	nine?
4	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: (k).
5	MR. WEST: My perspective is take it from
6	an internal auditor's perspective. You're an internal
7	auditor and you go in and do the internal audit for card
8	games. And if you're using the standards in the
9	guidance documents, you would go through this and
10	possibly not know that the main card room banks are even
11	being counted down. So to me, it's logical to have the
12	function in the appropriate section.
13	MS. HAMEL: But it's in the guidance
14	document, open, close and count.
15	MR. WEST: Of what section?
16	MS. HAMEL: It's page three in the
17	guidance document for 543.9.
18	MR. WEST: It talks about the table
19	inventories there, at least the thing I'm looking at.
20	MS. HAMEL: Oh, right.
21	MR. WEST: It doesn't speak to the
22	(inaudible).
23	MR. WILSON: Does that language need to
24	be added?
25	MR. RAMOS: When you say main card room

1	bank, do you mean in the cage?
2	MR. WEST: No, in the card room.
3	Typically, like the card room here, I don't know if they
4	do or not, but a lot of them has an imprest bank, you
5	know, where they check out the table banks and stuff.
6	And there could be a lot of there is coins, there is
7	chips.
8	MR. MORGAN: Rest, what if you don't
9	follow the typical? What if your main vault or your
10	imprest bank, when you're operating off that, and if you
11	unnecessarily prescribe a procedure that you have to
12	have something, you therefore limited those innovation
13	options that you may want to do based upon your
14	operation and whether you deem that a material risk or
15	not.
16	Is there some concern there? I mean, I
17	understand how typically it is performed, but is that
18	your I mean, is that a concern you have, as well?
19	MR. WEST: I'm not can you repeat your
20	question?
21	MR. MORGAN: You said typically you have
22	an imprest bank for your card room, but it's not
23	required.
24	MR. WEST: Right.
25	MR. MORGAN: Is what you're requiring on

1 the counting of card rooms once per shift in the card 2 room, does that unnecessarily detail out what is a 3 typical situation?

MR. WEST: No. You can -- anything in the MICS can be nonapplicable. I mean, that's another thing I think people get bogged down in. There is N/As on whole portions of sections. There is nothing being required as far as operating the structure of the casino in the MICS, that I know of. I mean, especially in this instance.

If you have a main card room bank, it should be counted down each shift. If you don't have one, it's N/A, you go forward.

MR. CALLAGHAN: We did away with oursatellite and we use the main bank.

MR. MORGAN: No, I agree, and that's why I'm wondering is it a -- do you think you have to have them in the card section as opposed to the 543.3 section? I'm trying to figure out is it we agree upon the language and it's okay and it's just a matter of where the language is placed?

22 MR. WEST: No. My concern is it should 23 be in the appropriate section. If you're talking about 24 a card room bank, it should be in the card room section. 25 MR. MORGAN: But if you take that one

1	step higher and you say I'm talking about a bank, a
2	vault, a cage, I'm not allocating it to the card room.
3	MR. WEST: Then that's covered in 543.14,
4	is my understanding from Kathi.
5	MR. MORGAN: Right. But I'm trying to
6	see it from your but you don't think it is covered if
7	it's a card room bank?
8	MR. CULLOO: What's the definition of a
9	bank? A bank is a bank whether it's in a card room or a
10	cage.
11	MR. WILSON: It seems to me that what
12	Rest is saying is that there is a risk that that bank
13	might not get included in a review if it isn't
14	identified somewhere that that if somebody just takes
15	it literally and, remember, you preface it by saying,
16	well, if I'm coming in as an auditor or somebody to do
17	this review, I need to know that you're using that if
18	you're using a card room bank that that's being counted
19	down.
20	So the issue is that there is a risk that
21	something might be missed, I guess, if it's not spelled
22	out.
23	MS. HAMEL: With that title?
24	MR. WILSON: Yes.
25	MR. MORGAN: My only comment would be if

I'm coming in auditing and it truly says if it's cash or cash equivalent it has to be controlled or established in sub part 543.14, I'm, as an auditor, pointed there to go look.

5 MR. FISHER: So this is a similar issue to what we talked about in terms of the AUP and putting 6 7 all the accounting and auditing things in one section. It's a different organizing structure than what you're 8 9 saying, because if I'm understanding you correctly, 10 they're lumping things that apply to multiple sections in one section and then saying in the individual 11 12 sections to go look at -- this other section applies to 13 this, go look in that other section.

And so instead of -- and so part of the work that you did, if I understand it correctly, is to take the things out of all the individual sections and create an overall section and then point people to that overall section.

So the question would be -- I hear you saying you'd rather see it in the individual sections, that's the way it is right now. Is there -- can you imagine a way that it could work and still cover the things that you're saying are important if you have this -- use this other organizing system? MR. WEST: My issue is practicality of

having an internal auditor come in. Maybe it's your in-house internal auditor, maybe you hired it out and they come in, and in this six-month period card games is part of the audit they're going to do. Maybe they're going to do 543.19 down the road.

6 Typically, these situations have an 7 imprest amount of money. If you're going to count the 8 tables down, you should count the main room bank down, 9 and that might equal \$10,000, if the card game is 10 (inaudible). To do half, to just do the tables is not 11 logical to me. I think it should (inaudible.)

12 And also, if I've got a poker supervisor, 13 I want to hand him this section here and say you need to read these controls and see if you have any issues with 14 them, if you've got -- developed your own operating 15 16 procedures. Because they need to develop operating 17 procedures for counting down these tables or dropping them in a drop box, counting the card room bank, 18 19 whatever else that's covered in the section.

20 So to me it's better for your external 21 auditors, your internal auditors, possibly your 22 operations personnel, to have everything that's 23 logically placed in the same section. 24 MR. CULLOO: I've never seen an operation

25 in a card room where they didn't know they had to do

1 openers and closers and fills and credits. And they would know to go to that spot, if it tells them to go 2 there. I mean, that's an industry norm, that you never 3 do it any way but that. The shift comes on, you open 4 5 the table, you've got two people independently count down the opener. And then at the end of the shift, when 6 you close, you have two people independently counting 7 down as closer. Open imprest banks, you're doing, you 8 9 know, imprest from the bank to the tables, an even 10 exchange. I mean, and at the end of the shift they 11 count down the imprest bank. 12 It's a fixed amount, where on the tables 13 it's a floater, because you're buying off the table and all that. So what you want to do is look at your fills, 14 your credits and your open inventories. Everyone knows 15 16 that. I don't see how anyone could come to a situation 17 at the table and not realize that. And that simply tells them go there if you don't understand it. 18 19 I think I have to stand up MR. WILSON: 20 with my fellow auditor over here and say, just so that 21 everybody is understanding, I don't think you're fully 22 maybe getting what Rest is saying. 23 Typically in an audit, you know, you 24 break out work programs or things by components. So what happens is, you know, when we're talking about 25

1 cash, well, there is cash throughout the casino. And all of those different components make up what I'll call 2 the cash cycle, or whatever you want to call it. 3 And usually to effectively audit that, you would look at the 4 5 entire cycle, which means you're counting banks here, you're counting banks here, this entire piece, or you're 6 7 splitting that up. I believe, Rest, and correct me if I'm 8 9 wrong, his concern is that in auditing, when you break 10 up these work programs and do things, that a component of that cycle may get missed if it's not all inclusive 11 12 in where you would typically expect the cash cycle or 13 the revenue cycle, whatever, you know, the term is to 14 be. 15 So I think that's -- that's what he's 16 saying, is that from an audit perspective, not from an 17 operational perspective, but from an audit perspective, it can get lost when you're trying to -- unless you 18 audit the entire thing 100 percent, but if you split it 19 out into sections and say, you know, we're going to 20 audit this section of the MICS today, but if there is a 21 22 component of that section of the MICS in another section 23 of the MICS, either it has to be very clear that you 24 always have to reference that core piece or you ensure that all the components that make up that cycle are in 25

1 one section of the MICS.

2 And I don't know that there is an easy answer to this, because we struggle with this now with 3 the MICS. You know, you're looking at one section but 4 5 then there is another section in the MICS that might also deal with this or, in the case of, in our state, we 6 7 have these various appendices that we have to reference, as well. 8 9 But I think to the extent that however 10 your documentation is designed, if it allows people to segment it out and audit off of that is an important 11 12 consideration, you know, from the auditing perspective. 13 So I mean, he's exactly right that even with the external auditors, you know, audit is time and 14 money. So the less that the auditor has to figure out 15 16 in terms of piecing together the picture of what they're 17 trying to audit, the more efficient that audit is going 18 to be. 19 MR. CULLOO: I think that it's written there, it's clear for the auditor what it includes. 20 And 21 I don't think it adds any time or any confusion. I 22 mean, it's a simple -- especially an auditor, they ought to understand, they ought to read a document real 23

24 clearly and understand what needs to be done.

MR. FISHER: Let's just take a pause for

25

1 a moment. 2 So let's go to Kathi. THE WITNESS: Well, I think the general 3 statement about controlling cash and cash equivalents in 4 5 card games is very specific in 543.9(g). You've got to control it. Your TICS would say what kind of banks you 6 7 would have. And you may not call it a main card room bank, you may call it a satellite bank, you may call it 8 9 a podium bank, you may call it whatever. But the intent 10 of (g) is to control that cash or cash equivalent, and 11 your TICS and your system internal controls tell you that those banks exist. And then your checklist, 12 13 whether it be an internal auditor or an external 14 auditor, would include are they counting the bank as 15 their proper documentation, are there transfers, 16 et cetera. I believe that was the intent of (g). 17 MR. WEST: But you provide specific quidance in the quidance documents on your table counts 18 but you don't on the main card room bank. 19 20 MS. HAMEL: We do under cash and cash 21 equivalents. 2.2 MR. WEST: And this could be a large 23 amount of money in your bank and all of it has to 24 balance out, depending on how many tables you have open, because all of it typically adds up to a big imprest 25

1 amount. 2 And also on another point, you don't have fills and credits. This is card games. So you have 3 imprest tables, typically. 4 5 MS. HAMEL: Right. MR. WEST: This is not table games, this б 7 is card games. So there is not a lot of flow. If the poker room is charged with maybe \$10,000 imprest that 8 9 they should have out there, then that's what they should 10 have, at a point in time. MR. MORGAN: So, Rest, could you take 11 12 language from 543.14 and move it over and that would 13 satisfy your concern there, because the information 14 would be addressed there? Because, you know, while our group may have thought it was redundant and didn't need 15 16 to, there may be areas that you didn't find necessarily 17 redundant and it makes you rest easier. Again, we're not arguing about language, it's about placement of 18 where the language is. We don't need to be going around 19 in a circle for no reason. If we've addressed it 20 21 somewhere else, then we can just pick it up and move it. 2.2 MR. FISHER: So that would mean looking at section 14 and seeing -- 543.14 and seeing whether 23 24 the way it was laid out there could be moved or repeated or restated in some way in 543.9, whether you're fixing 25

1 the guidance or whether you're changing the regulation. 2 MS. HAMEL: And I'd like to bring up one other point, is when the TGWG worked through each one of 3 these sections, the reference to managing or controlling 4 5 cash and cash equivalents was different in every single section. 6 7 And so the risk is, when you go put it 8 back in each section, the control, most likely, will be different. And the way you control it is different in 9 10 bingo than card games, than cage, than pull tabs. And that's why we pulled it out and put it in where we did 11 12 and said develop controls that are the same. They 13 shouldn't be any different depending on where the money 14 is located. MR. WILSON: Does 543.14, Rest, does --15 16 within that section, does it address the concern you 17 have about the table banks? MR. WEST: I don't have concern about the 18 19 table bank. And again, this is guidance, we're talking 20 about the quidance. 21 Where are you looking in 543.14? 22 MR. WILSON: I'm just saying in general 23 in that document. I just want to establish first that 24 the risk that you're concerned about is addressed in the 25 quidance document.

Do you know, Kathi? Is this specific
concern in --

MS. HAMEL: If the word "counting" is missing, then that's a significant change. But the guidance document says there has to be controls for bank issuance and return and there has to be exchanges. So if the word "counting" needs to be added --

8 MR. WHEATLEY: I mean, I think you can 9 easily take a section of that and insert it in the 10 guidance under the card room section. And I don't think 11 that would be an issue at all, if that's the guidance 12 that you wanted to offer up in those documents.

MR. FISHER: Well, you heard the concerns. And there is some suggestions for how to address the concerns. Do you want to -- how do you want to do this? Do you want to ask whether those -- whether those things would meet the concerns or whether you want to address -- just put a specific recommendation to the NIGC with respect to how to fix it?

Michele?

MS. STACONA: I've got a question. In talking about imprest banks or however you do your card room at your property, talking about Rest's concern about being counted each shift or whatever, you're including that in, I believe, in (g)(2), right, which

20

1	talks about your cage and vault inventories, which
2	imprest being one of them, correct?
3	MR. WEST: Typically, that wouldn't be
4	covered on your I mean, it could be covered under
5	your cage inventory sheet. But since it's not
6	(inaudible) responsibility, generally, of cage
7	inventory, I don't think a cage supervisor would be
8	signing the document that they're attesting to the
9	that that \$10,000 is part of their inventory, because
10	they don't have responsibility for it.
11	MR. WHEATLEY: Unless for some reason it
12	returns to the cage at the end of the
13	MS. STACONA: Yeah, in our property it
14	returns to the cage, so it shows up on your main bank.
15	So it depends on how each property does
16	it where this is going to fall into. And everybody's
17	concern about cage and vault may be something different
18	to you know, may not include imprest, where at my
19	property it does.
20	So you may have some issues here.
21	Because if this went out like that, if you say cage and
22	vault, to me it's not going to mean it may not mean
23	imprest to some people in everything that's included in
24	there.
25	MR. FISHER: Okay. Where are we?

Page 152 1 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I think 2 we've raised an issue. And however the group wants to address it, take it into consideration and move on or --3 4 MR. FISHER: Maybe we need to empower the group to -- I mean, put it aside for now and empower 5 some smaller group to talk about different ways to 6 7 address the concerns and come back with a proposal to the TAC. 8 9 MR. WILSON: May I just have one second 10 here? MR. CULLOO: So you agree that an imprest 11 12 bank would be a satellite window? 13 MR. WEST: It depends. I mean, every operation is different. Typically, it would be covered 14 15 under the poker room responsibility for accountability. 16 MR. CULLOO: But you probably can't 17 identify what it's called in every property. MR. WEST: Well, I don't think we should 18 say that. I just said a typical main card room bank is 19 a pretty generic term in the gaming industry. 20 21 MR. CULLOO: So if that said cage, vault, 22 card room inventory and satellite windows --23 MR. WEST: No, that's -- that's getting 24 away from my point of trying to get as much guidance as 25 possible in each -- in the appropriate section.

1	And again, if I handed this section off
2	to an internal auditor, they would probably go through
3	this. They could miss the whole point of counting
4	verifying the (inaudible).
5	MR. CULLOO: If I told them to go to that
6	section and they missed that, then they're not a very
7	good auditor.
8	MR. WEST: Well, you would be surprised.
9	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: If I was an auditor
10	and I was doing 543.9 on (g), you know, it refers to
11	543.14. I think that would tell me that I should look
12	there and that this section also is you know, this
13	section needs to be looked at when you're doing this
14	audit.
15	MR. FISHER: Okay. So let's just let
16	me just try this again and see if this works, because
17	people still need some time to move through this.
18	I mean, you can either make the
19	recommendation the way it is, and you've heard what the
20	concerns are, or you can we can do some work,
21	continue to do some work here around the full table or
22	in a smaller group to try to figure out how to address
23	the concerns that have been raised.
24	So what's your preference?
25	MR. WILSON: Well, I mean, speaking for

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1	me, I don't know that this requires a subgroup to I
2	mean, I sense that we're all understanding that there is
3	a potential piece here that could get overlooked if
4	there isn't direct reference. I would put a caveat with
5	that, though, that typically, in any internal audit or
6	external audit, we perform a walk-through of any process
7	to make sure that your understanding of the process is,
8	in fact, in line with how it's actually operating before
9	you conduct the audit.
10	And so in that regard, a good
11	walk-through would normally trigger the here is what we
12	have, here is the type of banks that we have, here is
13	you know, just what our operation looks like or what we
14	have.
15	So I guess the more fundamental question
16	for me is do we have to make the document to such an
17	extent that, you know, for lack of a better term, it
18	idiot proofs the document so that an auditor who only
19	has six months of experience versus somebody that has
20	ten years I guess I'm not sure. Is the onus on the
21	regulation to account for that or is the onus on the
22	auditor to do what they should be doing?
23	So I hear the concern. My issue, though,
24	is that I'm afraid we're going to run into this same
25	theme over and over and over in this type of what's

1	being proposed here. And one has to come to the
2	conclusion in their own mind, who are we making the
3	regulation for in that regard? And I would just be
4	concerned that, just like we don't necessarily want to
5	create regulations for the poorest performer in the
6	country, I don't know that we can make these so specific
7	as to, you know, idiot proof them because there are I
8	don't know. So I'm not sure I just think that the
9	same theme is going to exist in this type of
10	documentation.
11	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I agree
12	with you, Tom. I think this is just an area that you
13	take under consideration. These are the areas that
14	we've expressed, and proceed however you guys deem
15	appropriate.
16	MR. FISHER: Well, this provision is in
17	every basically, in every section. So it's it's a
18	fundamental way in which the document and the structure
19	is proposed. And so people have said various times it
20	points in this direction, it does this. And what I keep
21	hearing from this side of the table is it doesn't
22	there is still concerns, it doesn't work, it doesn't fit
23	in the way it is.
24	And so the question is, right, you have
25	to take this you have to figure out how to deal with

Page 156 1 this not just for this section but throughout. It may be that this is just the place where it's most 2 highlighted because of the way the guidance is written. 3 4 I don't know. 5 So are we ready to move on to the next section in here or do you want to consider this more or б 7 what's the -- what do you want to do? 8 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: We 9 highlighted our issues. 10 MR. CALLAGHAN: Is 14 on our radar? 11 MR. FISHER: Eventually. 12 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: It will 13 be. Not today. 14 MR. FISHER: Okay, because there are 15 other things in this -- this is an 18-page comparison 16 document. All right. So we're done with (g), or is 17 there anything else in (g) before we move to (e)? 18 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: They don't go in order. 19 20 MR. FISHER: No, I can see that. 21 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Inventory 22 of playing cards. 23 MR. FISHER: Okay, we're moving on to 24 543.9(e). I see the guidance document is really long, 25 though. So the NIGC comments are on page six, if I got

Page 157 1 that right. 2 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Page 3 four, top of page four. MR. FISHER: Oh, I see. I went ahead to 4 5 the guidance and you're still in the regulation language. 6 7 Robin? MS. LASH: With the last section we were 8 9 discussing, can we test it? Because I don't think we 10 can just leave the section. We've gone through every one that we've discussed, if we're comfortable with the 11 12 TGWG version, and then we go to the next section. So --13 MR. FISHER: It's up to you. 14 MS. LASH: So leaving this one without any formal recommendation, how do we want to handle 15 that? Or are we coming back to it or what? 16 17 MR. FISHER: You want to test the whole 543.9 or do you want to test individual subsections? 18 19 It's up to you. 20 MS. THOMAS: I'm fine with the whole 21 section. 22 MS. HAMEL: We did subsections for bingo, 23 so --24 MR. FISHER: Okay. So you want to 25 test --

Page 158 1 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Well, you 2 have to go back, then -- okay, that wasn't included. MR. FISHER: Okay. So you want to test 3 that 543.9(g), the one that's highlighted up on the 4 5 board there? MS. HAMEL: I have a question, because I 6 7 can't remember. What did we do with all the sections in between that were not on the comparison document from 8 9 NIGC? 10 MS. LASH: (Inaudible). MS. HAMEL: So if there is no discussion 11 12 in (a), (b, (c, (d) --13 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: (a) and (b), we standardized those for all the sections. So at 14 the last meeting, you told us we didn't have to do a 15 16 comparison because they were all the same. 17 MS. HAMEL: (a) and (b) were the same language in most of the documents. 18 19 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Exactly. And you're right, if we didn't address it, then we 20 didn't have any issues. 21 22 MR. FISHER: Okay. Are we going to test this section (q)? Yes? 23 24 MS. LASH: I think so. 25 MR. FISHER: Okay. So if you're --

Page 159 1 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Did we change 2 something? 3 MR. FISHER: No. So what's highlighted up on the screen is 4 5 the TGWG version of subsection (g) in the card games section. So it's 543.9(g). And so if you are in --6 7 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: (Inaudible.) MR. FISHER: Say again? 8 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: What about (e) and 9 10 (f)? MR. FISHER: The comparison document is 11 12 backwards. I mean, it just moves around. So do you 13 want to do them in order? I'm trying to figure out what 14 you want to do. MR. WHEATLEY: Well, it's not that 15 16 they're going backwards, it's the way that the Tribal 17 Gaming Working Group renumbered things. 18 MR. FISHER: Right. 19 MR. WHEATLEY: So the NIGC went in the 20 order of (a), (b) and (c) of the current reg. 21 MR. FISHER: Right, which has the effect 22 of taking us backwards. 23 MR. WHEATLEY: Yes. Not backwards, but 24 back in an earlier part. 25 MR. CULLOO: Well, we discussed (g), so

1 that's probably where we should vote. 2 MR. FISHER: Right, so we focused on (g). The question is are you ready to make a recommendation 3 in favor of the TGWG proposal on section (g)? So if you 4 5 are, do you want to just test it and see what happens? So if you're in favor of doing it that 6 7 way, raise your hand. 8 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I just 9 have a question when you're done. 10 MR. FISHER: Okay. So it means we didn't 11 get everybody. 12 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: May I ask 13 you a question? What about the sections that we don't 14 cover? Are you going to have a vote on section (a), internal control procedures, and then in (b), computer 15 16 applications, (c), variances? I'm just trying to 17 understand, by going section by section, that's what you're going to require yourself to do. If you'd rather 18 just wait, go through the document, through the section 19 and make the changes and do it all in one batch, I'm 20 21 just saying it might be an easier approach, or maybe 22 address when you have specific issues or specific 23 recommendations, address those and then do the whole 24 section. MR. WILSON: Well, you know, it would 25

1	seem like the problem I have with stopping to vote at
2	each section is that it disrupts the flow of discussion.
3	And if we I think at our last meeting we discussed
4	that, barring somebody bringing up an issue to the
5	table, the default position is that if nobody brings up
6	an issue about a particular section or thing, then
7	that's deemed that we're okay with it.
8	So it would seem that if we can continue
9	with our discussion of each section and then vote on the
10	total package at the end, it should cover that we don't
11	have to vote on each section because we're discussing
12	it, unless we can't seem to come to resolution about
13	each section.
14	Did that make sense?
15	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: It made
16	sense.
17	MR. CULLOO: Why didn't we do that in
18	bingo?
19	MR. WHEATLEY: We've evolved.
20	MR. WILSON: We put our big boy pants on
21	and we discovered that we can actually talk about
22	MR. FISHER: Do I hear music? Okay.
23	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: So what's
24	your plan?
25	MR. RAMOS: I thought I knew it.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

	Page 162
1	MR. FISHER: That's what I thought, I
2	thought I knew it.
3	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Could I
4	then respectively request that you just move on to the
5	next section and then do these at the end, one vote,
6	which I think the next one would be inventory?
7	MR. WHEATLEY: I'll second your
8	respectful request.
9	MR. WILSON: Well, that's a valid point.
10	MR. CULLOO: The problem is if we go on
11	this section by section, if there is only one section
12	hanging us up, then it means the whole section is not
13	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: A good
14	point, right.
15	MR. McGHEE: That's why last time we did
16	it section by section.
17	MR. FISHER: All right. So what's the
18	answer? Are we doing it section by section or I
19	mean, individual sections or the whole section as a
20	whole?
21	MS. LASH: Well, I think the reason I
22	suggested a vote on this individual section is because
23	there may be concerns in the group, because we've just
24	spent so much time on it. So for those that are not
25	comfortable with approving this, I think the next

-	
1	question we would ask is what would make you feel more
2	comfortable with it. Because this is a new concept
3	where the information is in one section and may point to
4	something else instead of spelling it out in every
5	section. That's part of this new concept.
6	MR. FISHER: Okay. So if I have this
7	right, Michele, so what's your what stopped you from
8	saying okay to this section, or what concern do you
9	have?
10	MS. STACONA: I guess in 543.14, I'm
11	going to come back to the only way this will work is
12	everybody's got to know what flows through their main
13	bank, what's listed on there, including imprest.
14	So maybe if we can add some language in
15	here because you talk about including card rooms and
16	vaults in (g)(2). I think you've got to give some other
17	examples there to make sure you don't miss some items
18	out there.
19	MR. WEST: Are we voting on the standard
20	or the guidance?
21	MR. FISHER: Standards.
22	MR. WEST: It seems like you're testing
23	the standard, and that's a guidance issue.
24	MR. WHEATLEY: My thought is that if
25	there is an issue regarding controls of cash within the

1	card game area that appropriate guidance documents would
2	be drafted. And NIGC staff, whatever safe harbor plan
3	that they decide to adopt with guidance documents and
4	maybe a portion of what the Tribal Gaming Working Group
5	has done or some type of hybrid of that, where they've
6	added additional, that they'll take care of that and
7	address specific card room issues, while any other
8	property that's going to develop their own and not take
9	the guidance that's proposed by the NIGC will adopt
10	whatever controls that they need specific to their area.
11	So in that instance where they have a satellite bank
12	within the card game area, that they'll address those
13	cash equivalent controls and how they handle those
14	controls within that section of their system of internal
15	controls. That's how I view it.
16	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: It sounds
17	like a recommendation to me.
18	MR. FISHER: Could be.
19	Robin?
20	MS. LASH: But isn't what you just
21	referenced? It's a regulation, 543.14. Is that what
22	you were saying was the
23	MS. STACONA: With the new TGWG.
24	MS. LASH: Right, 543.14(f). So that is
25	not a guidance, that is a regulation that she's

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

Page 165 uncomfortable with. So if we added language there in 1 that regulation --2 3 MR. FISHER: Right here? MS. LASH: -- then I think we would be 4 5 okay. MR. FISHER: So how would we change it? 6 7 Kathi? MS. HAMEL: Could we just make a note to 8 9 be sure to address card room banks? 10 MS. STACONA: Or imprest banks or 11 something to that effect. 12 MR. FISHER: So make a note right here 13 when we come back to this section? 14 MS. HAMEL: Because there may be other banks that we talk about in pull tabs, bingo. 15 16 MR. FISHER: Okay. So that's the note. 17 So when we get to that section we can go back. And I thought it was easier to use the track changes, even 18 19 though they're not really track changes, so that people can actually see it on the screen, you can see what I'm 20 21 doing. 22 MR. MORGAN: Before you leave it, do we want to make sure that Michele is okay with it? 23 24 MS. STACONA: Yes. 25 (Simultaneous discussion.)

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

Page 166 1 MR. FISHER: So I want to go back, 2 because Jeff also had a suggestion. 3 Christina, what section are you in? MS. THOMAS: Just reading the cash and 4 5 cash equivalent controls underneath 543.9, that's pointing to 543.14. 6 7 MR. FISHER: Right. MS. THOMAS: So my thought process would 8 9 be to go to the cash and cash equivalent controls of 10 543.14 and not the entire section of 543.14, as applicable. I would only look at that particular 11 12 provision, because I would actually read the cage and 13 vault accountability as specifically a cage and vault 14 function and not really controls for cash and cash equivalents, because the section of 543.9 is 15 16 specifically cash and cash equivalent controls. Then I 17 would go to 543.14 and specifically read those provisions. Reading it outside of that skews the whole 18 19 regulation. 20 MR. FISHER: So you would do this? 21 MR. WHEATLEY: It should be (e). 2.2 MR. FISHER: (b)? 23 MR. WHEATLEY: (e), Edward. 24 MS. THOMAS: That's what I would do, 25 yeah.

Page 167 1 MR. FISHER: Does that get at what you 2 were saying, Rest? I know we don't need to, but --ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I think 3 4 what we would say would be helpful is what Jeff was 5 saying, this could be addressed in guidance. MR. WHEATLEY: If it's not enough for the 6 7 NIGC, then they would establish guidance documents within the card game section that basically mirrored 8 9 543.14, basically, on how you would go about counting. 10 And it would be more, actually, procedural since it's 11 quidance documents. 12 MR. FISHER: So what would you say? 13 MR. WHEATLEY: That they could establish quidance documents to handle card room bank inventories 14 consistent with 543.14. 15 16 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I think 17 that would be a recommendation, too, right? MR. FISHER: Yes. The notes to NIGC in 18 19 this thing become a recommendation. So we can test 20 that, as well. 21 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: All 22 right. 23 MR. FISHER: So do you want to test that 24 whole thing, or do you want to test the section and then test the note separately? Let's try the whole thing, 25

Page 168 1 see if that works. 2 Okay. So if you're in favor of this provision as we've changed it, please raise your hand. 3 That got everybody. 4 5 So now we're going back to (e). 6 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Inventory 7 of playing cards. MR. FISHER: Right. And now we're at the 8 9 top of page four, right? 10 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yes. 11 MR. FISHER: Top of page four of the 12 That's the place where it talks about it. comparison. 13 Kathi? 14 MS. HAMEL: Well, I can explain that 543.9(e) came from the May document, not the July 15 document. We corrected the reference to tabs in the 16 17 July document. If you go to A, page 11 of 34, there is no reference to tabs, it's cards. 18 19 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: The word "tabs" should be deleted, that was deleted? 20 21 MS. HAMEL: Well, it was changed to say 22 such controls shall address cards exchanged between 23 agents. 24 MR. FISHER: Yeah, you can see that right 25 there.

Page 169 1 So does that take care of your --2 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Between agents, not to agents, right? That also was changed? 3 4 MR. FISHER: Right. 5 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I think the issue that we had raised was canceling of cards. 6 7 Oh, okay, I see where it says it right there. MS. HAMEL: It's in the guidance 8 9 document. 10 MR. FISHER: Okay. So did you have 11 anything else, Dan, on the regulation? 12 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: No. 13 MR. FISHER: So we're going to move to questions on the guidance, which are on the top of page 14 six in the comparison document. 15 16 MS. HAMEL: I can comment on dice and 17 tiles. MR. FISHER: Good, because that's 18 19 the first part. 20 MS. HAMEL: We do have dice and tiles in 21 a player-banked game that we control in the same fashion 22 that we control cards. 23 MR. WEST: You have dice -- I'm sorry? 24 MS. HAMEL: Dice and tiles in a 25 player-banked game that we control in the same fashion

Page 170 1 that we do playing cards. We purchase them, we count 2 them, we issue them, and we cancel them. MR. WEST: Is that a Class III game? 3 MS. HAMEL: No, it's a player-banked 4 5 game, Class II game. MR. WEST: All right. 6 7 MR. RAMOS: Kind of like California 8 craps? 9 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Where the 10 player rolls the dice and then the dealer turns over 11 some cards? 12 MR. RAMOS: Yes. 13 MR. FISHER: So we're checking that off? 14 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yeah, 15 that was just a statement anyway. 16 MS. HAMEL: Maybe typically is true, but 17 it does exist. MR. WEST: So the second comment is just 18 an addition to the guidance documents for decreases to 19 the card (inaudible), which I don't think is addressed 20 21 in four (inaudible). 22 MS. HAMEL: Issuance of inventory? 23 That's a repeat. 24 MR. WEST: I'm sorry? 25 MS. HAMEL: I guess issuance of inventory

Page 171 1 is a decrease, I quess we just used the word decrease in 2 the guidance document, six. MR. WILSON: In number 10(d), it talks 3 about card inventory being received (inaudible) issued, 4 removed (inaudible). 5 MR. WEST: The next comment is on the б 7 plastic cards. MR. WILSON: What was the next --8 9 MR. FISHER: The next comment, the 10 paragraph that starts assuming the use of inventory 11 refers to the use of plastic cards. 12 MS. HAMEL: It may not, you may have your 13 card control in such a fashion that the cards always leave the card room, and then we turn to this main card 14 15 inventory before they're destroyed even though they were 16 used. So it could be either way. You could go -- be 17 received into the inventory and issued to the room, and then the procedure is that once they're a used card 18 19 they're sent directly to destruction. Then your inventory tracking would take place from the card room 20 or podium, potentially, to destruction, or it may go 21 22 back through your overall inventory. It's just two different fashions of controlling those cards. 23 MR. WEST: And I think the main focus of 24 this comment is there is nothing to address plastic 25

Page 172 cards as far as washing, inspection or -- washing and 1 inspection, or washing and cleaning. It's not a big 2 3 point --4 MR. CULLOO: So is it your thought cards 5 should only be used so many times before they have to be washed? 6 7 MR. WHEATLEY: No. The overall life cycle of the cards, regular maintenance of washing. But 8 9 that can't stretch for longer than three months, 10 typically. 11 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Kathi, do you 12 remember why in the working group there wasn't anything 13 about washing cards? MS. HAMEL: No. I don't even remember us 14 15 talking about it. We have all kinds of cards, so --16 MR. WILSON: I was going to say, do you 17 use plastic cards? 18 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: We do. 19 MR. WHEATLEY: Yeah, poker. 20 MR. CULLOO: Well, the whole purpose of 21 using them is because after a while your dealers would 22 make mistakes and not shuffle them and (inaudible). 23 MR. WILSON: So this brings up an interesting question in my mind. And Jeff, as you said, 24 well, typically not more than three months. So is 25

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 6, 2011

	Page 173
1	washing of cards a standard or is it a guidance?
2	MS. HAMEL: We're in the guidance.
3	MR. WILSON: The question that I'm asking
4	is is washing of your cards something that should be
5	part of a standard and
6	MS. HAMEL: It's a procedure.
7	MR. WILSON: It's a procedure, though,
8	that one can choose to do or not do?
9	MS. HAMEL: You can throw them away.
10	MR. CALLAGHAN: Which is inventory
11	reconciliation.
12	MR. WILSON: I guess the question I'm
13	asking is I only bring this up because in the
14	Class III world, we have a requirement about washing
15	cards. And so that's where I'm just saying is this
16	something what if somebody does it? Is that okay?
17	That's the question I'm asking, is it okay if somebody
18	chooses not to wash cards?
19	MS. HAMEL: I don't know what the risk is
20	as long as you've inspected them.
21	(Simultaneous discussion.)
22	MR. WHEATLEY: Well, if you're employing
23	a shuffler and you have dirty cards, you could alter the
24	effectiveness of the shuffle by not maintaining your
25	cards properly, thus altering the game.

1	MR. WEST: And do they typically inspect
2	them for people bending corners and stuff?
3	MR. WHEATLEY: Yeah, yeah. And the
4	plastic cards are typically a little more difficult to
5	do that with than the standard paper cards.
6	MR. CULLOO: They last longer, more
7	durable, and you can't bend them and crease them.
8	MR. WILSON: And again, I only bring that
9	up just because in the guidance, the guidance is
10	guidance. So if it doesn't talk about that and
11	again, this is that whole thing of how much do you have
12	to say, and that's why I throw out the question. Is it
13	inherent that washing the cards is, in fact, a critical
14	component or not. And it sounds like it could be. And
15	if it is, then it probably needs to be specific in the
16	guidance for part of a standard.
17	MR. WHEATLEY: It makes no mention of
18	maintaining cards within the standard. So I mean, an
19	operation could formulate guidance documents on how you
20	handle that procedurally, but yeah, there is no
21	reference to ensure that they do do that.
22	MS. HAMEL: I would suggest it's a
23	suggested guidance procedure rather than a standard.
24	MR. WHEATLEY: But what's if there is
25	nothing stated in the standard that says a TGRA needs to

1	ensure that there is controls ensuring that this is
2	handled, what's to say that it doesn't get overlooked?
3	And I guess, and maybe the same thing, too, now that I
4	think about it, is or maybe it's addressed somewhere
5	else, but maintenance of shufflers.
6	(Simultaneous discussion.)
7	MS. HAMEL: The standard says that there
8	has to be controls to prevent unauthorized access,
9	misappropriation, forgery, theft or fraud. So one
10	fashion of doing that would be cleaning cards, right?
11	MR. WHEATLEY: Broadly, I think yeah,
12	very broadly, because the section, yeah, it's more
13	talking about the inventory rather than the physical
14	upkeep.
15	MS. HAMEL: Well, there is an inspection
16	in the guidance document.
17	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: So one way to
18	prevent fraud is to make sure that you're taking care of
19	your cards.
20	MR. WILSON: Mia, I couldn't hear you.
21	Could you speak up?
22	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: You know, fraud does
23	mean, you know, how you maintain your cards.
24	MR. FISHER: Okay. So we've had some
25	question raised about whether guidance or whether there

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1	is a broader thing going on with washing cards that
2	turns out to be maintenance-related stuff. So where are
3	you? Do you need to say anything about this or are you
4	comfortable the way it is?
5	MR. WHEATLEY: What's NIGC's standpoint?
6	MR. WILSON: For me, this is under the
7	section of inventory of playing cards. So I'll say
8	again, if maintenance is important, then that if
9	that's a critical component, then I think it needs to
10	say so somewhere, from that standpoint.
11	MR. CULLOO: Where else in the controls
12	is there anything about maintenance of other gaming
13	equipment other than cards?
14	(Simultaneous discussion.)
15	MR. WHEATLEY: Didn't we touch on repair
16	and maintenance of electronic equipment in the Technical
17	Standards?
18	MR. CULLOO: Electronic.
19	MR. WHEATLEY: Which would cover
20	shufflers.
21	I don't have an issue with adding a small
22	make it (j), just if plastic cards are in use, adequate
23	controls will be in place to ensure that the integrity
24	of those cards is maintained.
25	MR. MORGAN: Added to the standard or

1	added to the guidance document?
2	MR. FISHER: I heard him say guidance
3	document. Isn't that where you're focusing, the
4	guidance document?
5	MR. WHEATLEY: Well, I think Thomas and I
6	referenced this a little bit earlier, if it's not in a
7	standard, then what's to say that TGRA is going to
8	actually ensure that it's in there? I'm not saying that
9	there shouldn't be a procedure in the standard, but a
10	reference to plastic cards may be important.
11	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I think it better
12	fits in the guidance section.
13	MR. FISHER: Okay. So you could put
14	plastic cards in this list, right, or you could say put
15	something in the guidance on washing plastic cards?
16	What's your suggestion? What would you like the group
17	to consider?
18	MR. WHEATLEY: I don't think it fits in
19	inventory.
20	MR. WILSON: I didn't hear that, Jeff.
21	MR. WHEATLEY: I don't think it fits in
22	inventory.
23	MR. FISHER: So you would not put it
24	there?
25	MR. CULLOO: Under (f), playing cards, to

Page 178 1 ensure the integrity of the card game, cards should be 2 cleaned --3 MR. WHEATLEY: Where are you? 4 MR. CULLOO: Right under (f) in the 5 quidance, where it addresses playing cards, to ensure the integrity of the card game, cards should be cleaned, 6 7 controls must be established. I mean --8 MS. LASH: Maintenance? 9 MR. CULLOO: Yeah, maybe maintenance 10 would be better. 11 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Also, in (f), 12 playing cards, (f)(3), making sure that they have not 13 been marked, altered or otherwise manipulated, I mean, I think that it's kind of referencing washing. It's not 14 15 saying it. 16 MR. WHEATLEY: But when we're talking 17 about guidance documents, we're talking about this is a set of documents that a tribe who doesn't have much 18 experience in gaming can take and use as a safe harbor 19 provision. 20 21 At no point are we being detailed enough 22 on when you wash cards, what the cycle of washing cards 23 is, how long those cards can be in play even though they 24 have regular washing cycles, the log that goes along with washing cards. All the physical controls that goes 25

Page 179 1 along with washing cards we're not even referencing. 2 So if it's got to go in guidance, I think it's going to be more detailed. 3 MR. CULLOO: You would have to tell them 4 5 how to wash cards, too, because some people may not know what that means. 6 7 (Simultaneous discussion.) MR. MORGAN: I still haven't -- getting 8 back to your concern, Jeff, where you're talking --9 10 lawyers, you start with you can't do anything in a 11 guidance that's somehow not pointed to by your 12 regulation, and you can't do anything in your regulation 13 somehow you don't have authorized by your statute. Ι mean, it all has to move up in an orderly sequence. 14 So I'm not for sure how we got there in guidance. 15 16 I have a feeling, going back to your 17 point, in the actual reg we don't say anything about maintenance. We're telling you about maintenance in the 18 19 guidance document, but we're not telling you anything in 20 the regulation. MR. WHEATLEY: I think you just make a 21 22 quick (j) under 543.9 that talks about that adequate 23 controls will be established for plastic cards if they 24 are in use. 25 MS. HAMEL: What about everything else?

TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; December 6, 2011

Page 180 We're just picking out one piece of the card game. 1 2 We're not talking about progressive sign, we're not talking about shuffler, we're not talking about the 3 chips. Why are we just picking on the playing cards? 4 5 (Simultaneous discussion.) MR. CALLAGHAN: To me, it's all part of б 7 inventory, which is in the regulation. MS. HAMEL: That's right. 8 9 MR. FISHER: It's all up in here you 10 mean? 11 MR. CALLAGHAN: (Inaudible.) And that's 12 what you're looking to be doing, should be doing. 13 MR. FISHER: Okay. So let's -- we are 14 focused -- we have been focused on plastic cards and 15 washing plastic cards. So, Kathi, your question is why 16 focus just on that particular thing? So is there 17 something --18 MS. HAMEL: I'm not suggesting that you don't wash them, I just don't know what they have to do 19 20 with the regulation and the guidance documents 21 associated with --22 MR. CALLAGHAN: You've got misappropriation, forgery, theft, fraud. I think the 23 24 mechanics of these other aspects -- and I agree with some of the I don't want to call it frustration, but 25

1 there is a lot of things that we've left off the table in the regulation, everything down to what happens if 2 your communication on why your progressive bingo goes 3 down, should you shut your bank down or should you allow 4 5 it to play in the house with the probability that the progressive could be hit. What does that have to do 6 7 with regulation. That's more internal protecting yourself as opposed to your mission of keeping fraud and 8 other things out of -- I mean, we're moving in with your 9 10 mission, we're not moving into how we operate a casino. 11 And crime, fraud, theft, misappropriation is right up 12 there. 13 So you're so right, Kathi, you're so 14 right. 15 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: So would 16 it be helpful for a new gaming commission if you do put 17 it in the guidance document? MS. HAMEL: You could, there is no harm, 18 I just don't think it's a regulation. You could put --19 20 MR. CULLOO: You assume (inaudible) that 21 are completely ignorant of the game to run it. I mean, 22 that's pretty standard in the business. I've never 23 known anyone in a poker room not to (inaudible.) Now, some may choose not to do it, but they know they should 24 do it. That's another issue. 25

	Page 182
1	MR. FISHER: Okay. So what does that
2	mean? Are we changing anything or not?
3	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I think
4	these are, once again, these are guidance these are
5	points that we're raising that we see in the guidance
6	document. Take them into consideration or not. Do as
7	you see fit.
8	I think we should move on to the next
9	one, which is in regard to storage requirements for the
10	cards.
11	MR. FISHER: Everybody ready to move on?
12	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: You know,
13	another area where there could be some clarification in
14	the guidance. I don't know if anybody has any comment
15	on it.
16	MS. HAMEL: I think in the third and the
17	fourth, the discussion about adding something to the
18	guidance document about used cards is a very valid
19	point. So there may just need to be more information
20	than removal from table after inspection, that there is
21	a process to segregate used cards from new cards.
22	MR. FISHER: So we're still on this. So,
23	Kathi, I heard you you're making a suggestion about
24	adding that to the guidance document?
25	MS. HAMEL: I think it would be

1 appropriate to add it to the quidance document after the 2 inspection, that there just be a comment that used cards are segregated from the new cards after they're 3 4 inspected. 5 MR. WILSON: Kathi, can you cite the section specifically? After inspection, but what --6 7 The top of page 5 of 18. MS. HAMEL: It 8 says (ii), upon return of inventory the cards are 9 inspected. I mean that whole (inaudible.) 10 MR. WILSON: Oh. MS. HAMEL: And again, I'll just make the 11 12 comment, the final guidance documents that we submitted 13 in July is a little bit different than what we're looking at here. So like we took -- we just took return 14 of inventory and we broke it into some subsections of 15 16 (i) and (ii). So maybe there is a (iii) that ensures 17 that -- which is page 5 of 11 of Tab D. 18 MR. WILSON: Can I just get a point of 19 clarification? Tab D in the binder, which is the guidance document, you're saying is not the same 20 guidance document that's referenced in the comparison? 21 2.2 MS. HAMEL: No. I believe that was the 23 main version, because it still has the reference to tabs instead of cards. 24 25 MR. WILSON: Because the date on the top

Page 184 1 of it says 7/28/2011. 2 MR. FISHER: So what's in the comparison -- go ahead, Dan. 3 4 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: We didn't 5 have guidance documents when we got the May draft, we only got the guidance documents when we got the July 6 7 draft. So it should be the same. MS. LASH: We're looking at the July 8 9 draft right here. 10 MR. WILSON: Yeah, and -- because what --MS. HAMEL: It still has reference to 11 12 tabs, for the regulation. 13 Comparison document, page 3 of 18, 543.9(e), such controls shall address tab cards. 14 15 MS. LASH: Are you on Tab E? 16 MR. MORGAN: Of the comparison document. 17 MS. HAMEL: So under that section of return of inventory, there could be a (iii) that says 18 19 used cards should be segregated from new cards. 20 MR. FISHER: Oh, I see. So it would be 21 like this. Can you look on the screen, Kathi? This 22 would be this. 23 MS. HAMEL: Sure. 24 MR. FISHER: Okay. So I think we're still trying to ascertain document versions. 25

Page 185 1 MS. HAMEL: So it's just the regulation. 2 MR. FISHER: It's just the regulation? Okay, okay. So now we're back to the guidance comments, 3 the top of page six. 4 5 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: That was this one right here, then cancellation log. 6 7 MR. FISHER: Right. MR. WILSON: So one of the NIGC comments 8 there about controls in this section should include 9 10 storage requirement, that's referencing to controls in 11 the guidance document? Because the guidance document 12 does have a section on storage requirements. 13 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: All these 14 are references to the quidance documents, all these questions here reference guidance documents. 15 16 MR. WILSON: So the controls in this 17 section should include storage requirements, oh, for used cards and the time period within which cards should 18 19 be canceled. 20 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: So do you 21 feel that it's adequately addressed in the guidance 22 documents? 23 Yes. Page 4 of 11 under Tab MS. LASH: 24 D, it says storage. (i) says new and used playing cards 25 must be maintained in a secure location, i.e., under

Page 186 1 lock and key with the appropriate surveillance coverage 2 and accessible to authorized agents to prevent unauthorized access and tampering. So that does 3 reference used, as well. 4 5 MR. WEST: What about the second part, the cancellation period? 6 7 MS. LASH: Page 5 of 11 under Tab D has a cancellation and removal section, and page 6 of 11 under 8 9 Tab D on number ten has a logs section. I think it's 10 covered. MR. FISHER: Does it cover the time 11 12 period? 13 MR. WEST: No, I don't see where the time 14 period is addressed. 15 MR. FISHER: So Rest is asking about the 16 time period. Is that --17 MS. HAMEL: I think he means time of day. 18 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: The 19 length, right. 20 MR. FISHER: Total time, right? Total 21 time. 22 MS. LASH: We need to add that. 23 MR. FISHER: Okay. Where would you put 24 it? 25 MS. LASH: Oh, sorry. Page 6 of 11,

Page 187 1 number ten, logs, (ii)(a) says date and (c) says time. 2 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: But the 3 time --4 MR. WEST: It's the time period for 5 cancellation. MR. MORGAN: You have so long to store 6 7 them and then --MS. LASH: And you have cancellation? 8 9 Okay. 10 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: They can only sit in 11 the podium for 30 days or two days. 12 MR. FISHER: Got it. 13 MS. HAMEL: I think it's kind of dictated 14 on your size, right, based on your space? Do you make a 15 specific time or do you --16 MR. CULLOO: What if you don't use a deck 17 three times in the 90 days? It makes no sense. MR. WEST: You're not going to remove it 18 19 from play, you're probably going to keep it at the podium. These are the ones that have been removed from 20 21 play and need to be canceled before they disappear. 22 MS. HAMEL: Is your question 23 cancellation --24 MR. WEST: Cancellation. 25 MS. HAMEL: -- or destroyed?

	Page 188
1	Oh, I didn't understand.
2	MR. RAMOS: (9)(iii).
3	MR. FISHER: Can you say that a little
4	louder, Jason?
5	MR. RAMOS: I think it goes under
6	(9)(iii).
7	MR. FISHER: That's what I got right
8	there.
9	MR. RAMOS: Actually, I'm sorry.
10	(Simultaneous discussion.)
11	MR. WILSON: These decks that are no
12	longer in play, how long are they going to be sitting
13	somewhere before they're destroyed so that they can't be
14	reintroduced into play, with the theory being that cards
15	that are taken out of play have less visibility than
16	cards that are in play. And therefore, you don't want
17	them sitting for an indeterminate amount of time that
18	they you could lose track that, in fact, you have
19	cards missing that have been reintroduced into the game
20	even from outside.
21	And that is a common scam, is the
22	reintroduction of non-destroyed cards by outside parties
23	back into the game.
24	MR. RAMOS: Robert, it probably goes
25	under (ii).

Г

	Page 189
1	MR. FISHER: (ii)? Is it a new one?
2	MR. RAMOS: No. I think if we put it
3	anywhere in there it goes (9), some language in (ii).
4	MS. HAMEL: The cancellation?
5	MR. FISHER: Right.
6	MR. RAMOS: (ii).
7	MR. FISHER: Sorry.
8	MS. HAMEL: So cancellation and removal,
9	guidance document 9(i), used playing cards that are not
10	to be reused shall be properly cancelled and then
11	removed from service to prevent reuse and retire cards.
12	I think it should have another statement
13	that says best practice suggests this cancellation take
14	place in X amount of days.
15	MR. MORGAN: In (ii) you already have a
16	second sentence that says best practice suggests that
17	the cancellation process be under surveillance to
18	prevent (inaudible). You could add something in there
19	on time period.
20	MR. WHEATLEY: Maybe like seven days or
21	whatever.
22	MR. MORGAN: You could add best practice
23	suggests that it's under surveillance and it occurs
24	within whatever that date is.
25	(Simultaneous discussion.)

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

Г

Page 190 1 MR. FISHER: Okay, okay, so we got a lot 2 of conversations going at the same time. 3 MR. RAMOS: It is getting late. 4 MR. FISHER: It is getting late. Maybe 5 we should take a short break. We've got another hour to go. Let's take a little stretch break. Let's just take 6 7 a five-minute stretch break. 8 (Recess.) MR. FISHER: All right, folks. We've got 9 10 50 minutes left. Where we took a little break was to add a recommendation in the guidance on the best 11 12 practices section right here. So I don't know if you 13 want to specify a time frame or just say --14 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I think 15 the standard is seven days, yeah, or the -- I don't want 16 to say standard, but the recommended best practice. 17 MR. WHEATLEY: Common practice. 18 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Best 19 practice, yeah. 20 MR. FISHER: Good? Okay, going to move 21 on here. That's it for (e), right? 22 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: No. 23 MR. FISHER: What did I miss? 24 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: We're at number five 25 now on page six.

Page 191 1 MR. FISHER: Oh, I see, yep. 2 MR. WHEATLEY: I think that's in there, though, (10)? 3 4 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I think 5 that's what Robin was saying in (10)(ii), is that what --6 7 MS. HAMEL: I think maybe there is a misunderstanding of the comment. And it says management 8 should determine based on the size of the operation and 9 10 inventory needs where the logs are maintained, not whether or not you should have logs. 11 12 Is that the confusion? 13 MR. WEST: Yes, it is. 14 MS. HAMEL: It's where the logs themselves are maintained, are they maintained at the 15 16 podium, are they maintained in a closet or do you have a 17 room. MR. WEST: No, it says where card 18 19 inventory logs are required. That means, in my mind, 20 management can say we don't need a card inventory log. MS. HAMEL: And I think our intent was 21 22 location, not whether or not they were required. So there probably needs to be some clarification. 23 24 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: The 25 location where card inventory logs are required? That

Page 192 1 doesn't make any sense. 2 MR. CULLOO: Maybe just the location of 3 the inventory log. MS. HAMEL: Yes, change the language. 4 5 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Cleaner, yeah. So that would be in (10(i). 6 7 MR. CULLOO: Management determines the location of the log. 8 9 MR. FISHER: All right. Did I get that 10 right? Yes? 11 MR. CULLOO: Yes. 12 MR. FISHER: Does that work, Kathi? 13 MS. HAMEL: It may need some 14 wordsmithing. 15 MR. FISHER: So do you want to just 16 change it and say add the concept in there --17 MS. HAMEL: Yes. MR. FISHER: -- where it's clarified that 18 it's the location, not whether there are logs, right? 19 20 MS. HAMEL: Not whether. And then we 21 would get rid of that. 22 MR. FISHER: Does that do it? Okay? 23 Does that do it? 24 Okay, all right. So we made three 25 changes. So like we did with (g), you want to do (e)?

	Page 193			
1	MR. CULLOO: Uh-huh.			
2	MR. FISHER: So we didn't make any change			
3	to (e). So it would be TGWG version (e), and then all			
4	of these things would be guidance related to (e). We			
5	would just put that in there like that, like this.			
6	Okay. So should we test this? Everybody			
7	ready?			
8	Okay. So if you agree with the changes			
9	up on the screen, raise your hand.			
10	Perfect. All right. So back to the			
11	comparison document. Now we're up to (f).			
12	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Shills.			
13	MR. FISHER: Okay. What did you have to			
14	say about that?			
15	MR. WEST: I just didn't put it on the			
16	comparison document, but I was looking at the actual			
17	wording of the standard. Issuance and return of shill			
18	funds shall be recorded and have the written approval of			
19	another agent. Should it say an authorized agent or			
20	approved agent? Because it reads			
21	MR. MORGAN: Sorry, it's kind of an			
22	inside discussion. The Tribal Gaming Working Group,			
23	when we used the generic term "agent," it assumes that			
24	you have to be authorized in order to be an agent.			
25	MR. FISHER: It's a defined term.			

Page 194 1 MR. MORGAN: Yeah, under the defined term of a definition of agent. 2 3 MR. WEST: I'm going to say are they going to authorize a shill be used and approve funds. 4 5 That's the question, it's not that agents are not authorized, it's do they have that authority. 6 7 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I think the idea is that while an agent can be any employee 8 9 within the facility, they may not be authorized to do 10 that particular task. 11 MR. McGHEE: A person authorized by the 12 gaming operation as approved by TGRA to make (inaudible) 13 on behalf of the gaming operation. 14 MR. FISHER: That's the way the definition is, right? 15 16 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: 17 MR. McGHEE: It means that agent was assigned to perform that task. 18 19 MR. FISHER: Right. 20 MR. WHEATLEY: It's also in the guidance, 21 (g)(1)(ii), agents authorized to make the decision to 22 use a shill. Or are you just talking about signing out 23 the cash? That would be separate. 24 MR. WEST: So it sets up a different 25 class, it sets up particular agents that are only

Page 195 1 authorized to do that, which would be not global to all 2 the agents. MR. FISHER: Isn't that what it means to 3 be a shill? 4 5 MR. CULLOO: I was going to sing that 6 song. 7 MR. FISHER: Okay. So did that way of piecing that together, did that address your concern, or 8 9 do you still have a concern? 10 MR. WEST: No, it didn't. MS. HAMEL: So the definition of agent 11 12 doesn't create the control? Because an agent has to be 13 authorized to perform the assigned task. 14 MR. WEST: What if the agent is a dealer? 15 That doesn't mean you're authorized to approve someone 16 to become a shill. 17 MS. HAMEL: Well, then they wouldn't be authorized to perform that task. 18 19 MR. WEST: Well, it doesn't say authorized, it just says --20 21 MR. FISHER: The definition of agent says 22 that in order to be an agent, you have to be authorized 23 to do that task. 24 MR. CULLOO: Specific task. 25 MR. WEST: Okay, all right.

Page 196 1 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: So that 2 addresses this issue here. 3 MR. WEST: So that would address that, 4 too. 5 MR. FISHER: So we're good? We don't have anything else? Okay. Are we done with shills? 6 7 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Just for my own personal knowledge, is this a common practice in 8 9 card games? 10 MR. WHEATLEY: I use a shill. Our poker room manager is our shill. And she's authorized to, up 11 12 to \$100 a day, to get a game going. Once the game has 13 enough players to sustain itself, then she withdraws, 14 turns her cash back in. But she can go in and out of the game at any point in order to keep the game going 15 16 with enough players so that we have action. 17 MR. MORGAN: That's when you need it. It depends on your card room. You've got one guy that 18 19 comes in all the time and wants to play, you've got to give him that ability to play and get the game going. 20 21 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: All 22 right. 23 MR. McGHEE: Does that address the last 24 comment from NIGC? 25 MR. WEST: Yes.

	Page 197
1	MR. FISHER: All right. So I think we
2	addressed all the comments on
3	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: (f).
4	MR. FISHER: section (f) in the
5	guidance. So do you want to test this?
6	If you support that language, raise your
7	hand, please.
8	Okay, that got everybody.
9	All right. So what is next? No
10	comparable TGWG section. So now we're on page eight.
11	We already did the counting room thing. The card room
12	bank, that comment on page eight is the same as before
13	we got on cash and cash equivalents, right?
14	MR. WEST: Right.
15	MR. FISHER: So have we taken care of
16	that?
17	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I think
18	SO.
19	MR. WHEATLEY: We just said that they're
20	going to establish guidance.
21	MR. FISHER: Right.
22	So now we're on (i), page
23	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Eight.
24	MR. FISHER: 13 is all the way to
25	where it goes to, but that's where your comments begin,

Page 198 1 right, on page 13? So you want to start on page eight, start on page eight. So there is a comment about the 2 3 regulation. 4 MR. McGHEE: (Inaudible). 5 MR. FISHER: Say again? MR. McGHEE: It talks about the effects, 6 7 which we talked about before. And the only other thing 8 it says is guidance appears to be relevant. 9 MR. FISHER: Where are you looking at? 10 MR. McGHEE: We're on (i), right? 11 MR. FISHER: Yes. 12 MR. WEST: You're looking at the standard 13 part of it, though, page eight -- top of page 13, I'm 14 sorry. 15 MR. FISHER: Top of page 13. 16 MR. McGHEE: Got it. 17 MR. FISHER: Effect the TGWG proposal, 18 page eight. I mean 13. 19 It's not a question, is it? MR. McGHEE: 20 Okay, yeah, I got it. MR. FISHER: The standard could be more 21 22 specific. Further, it's not clear whether it's intended 23 to require verification of increases and decreases, and then it goes on. So it's what -- I mean, what's the 24 25 question?

1	MR. WEST: I want to back up to the
2	heading of (i). Unless it's been changed, it says
3	promotional progressive pots and pools such as contests,
4	tournaments, drawings and giveaway programs. I don't
5	understand "such as." Promotional progressive pots and
6	pools are not contests, drawings or giveaway programs.
7	To me they're two separate I mean, it's separate
8	programs or promotions, if you will.
9	MR. FISHER: Okay. So I think we need to
10	turn to the TGWG folks and see what was intended there.
11	MS. LASH: So does deleting the "such as"
12	language help at all or
13	MR. WHEATLEY: Deleting the references
14	altogether? Because I'd have to agree, they're not the
15	same thing.
16	MR. WEST: And then the rest of the
17	standard talks about promotional pool contributions, and
18	then it's just like it's silent as to contests, drawings
19	or giveaway programs. It's just like it's dropped off.
20	I don't know, it's just a confusing section to me.
21	MS. HAMEL: So if that was removed, would
22	it be clearer, if it just ended at pools, remove "such
23	as"?
24	MR. WHEATLEY: So pools shall be returned
25	and documented in accordance with the posted rules? Is

Page 200 1 that what you're saying? Delete "such as" all the way 2 through -- well, never mind. There is two separate 3 sentences there. 4 MR. FISHER: There is a period right 5 after programs. MR. WHEATLEY: So get rid of the "such 6 7 as" and the rest of that sentence? MR. MORGAN: Does that make it clear? 8 MR. WHEATLEY: I believe so. 9 10 MR. MORGAN: The heading is confusing but the language is not, so clarify the heading. 11 12 MS. THOMAS: It's still confusing with 13 the guidance documents, though, because you guys actually break down promotions and tournaments. It's 14 15 still two separate things. 16 MR. WHEATLEY: Wouldn't those be more 17 appropriate in section (10), the promotion section? MR. WEST: Was it the intent of the TGWG 18 to address contests in this section or not? 19 20 MS. HAMEL: If it involved contributions 21 from the patron. 22 MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Because we use their 23 money to fund tournaments out of that player pool, we 24 use their money to have some sort of promotional or contest, returning their money. 25

1	MR. CALLAGHAN: What about if you had a
2	bad beat and it was seeded, you would be able to take
3	part of that rake and if you so long as it was
4	stated, the house could get paid back?
5	MR. WHEATLEY: For their seed amount?
6	MR. MORGAN: Yeah.
7	MS. HAMEL: And tournaments may or may
8	not be funded by the player. That's why we had that in
9	there.
10	MR. MORGAN: I think that is the
11	distinguishing thing. We're talking about what the
12	casino does and the word for general patrons to come in
13	and maybe obtain some incentive. And this term, we're
14	talking about cards, because Class II is player banked.
15	We're using a portion of their funds. And we're still
16	running the tournament and we're still running the
17	contest, but we're not running it with the, quote
18	unquote, house any more, we're running it with the
19	player's pool funds.
20	MR. CALLAGHAN: So it's just like a
21	progressive. Once you post a progressive, it's used
22	with the player's money. Regardless of whether it comes
23	off of that game or it comes off of another game, the
24	money is always returned to the player.
25	MR. MORGAN: Back to the player and

1 understand what the percentages are.

2 MR. CALLAGHAN: So you should be allowed for cancellation so long as the funds are (inaudible). 3 MS. HAMEL: So that's why in the guidance 4 5 document that we made we talked about tournaments as an example. Not that that's the only example, but there 6 7 could be. Maybe that's why we had the "such as" in 8 there. 9 MR. MORGAN: That could be. It reminds 10 me of the term "reasonable" when we're talking about variances. Remember when we had that discussion about 11 12 how to use the term "variance" in this context? That's 13 sort of the way we used promotions and tournaments in this, because it's a specific context within the card 14 games, it doesn't have the natural attributes a 15 16 promotion would have. It's used very specifically. And 17 when it's used that way, either suggested guidance of how you control that (inaudible). 18 19 MR. CULLOO: Maybe we should be more specific, player-contributed funds in promotions. 20 21 Because if we do a free roll tournament or something, 22 that doesn't have anything to do with this. There is a 23 lot of promotions that you can do that have nothing to 24 do with this. 25 MR. WHEATLEY: Exactly.

	Page 203
1	MS. HAMEL: And that was the intent of
2	it.
3	MR. CULLOO: Maybe if it was only a
4	player-contributed funded promotion.
5	MR. CALLAGHAN: Patron funded, yeah.
6	MR. FISHER: Are we still talking about
7	changing the heading or are we talking about what this
8	section covers?
9	MR. CALLAGHAN: It does say funds
10	contributed by patrons to prize pools shall be returned
11	and documented in accordance with posted rules. That
12	fits exactly the scenario.
13	MR. MORGAN: So far the language is okay,
14	it's the heading that's confusing.
15	MR. CALLAGHAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
16	MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: In the accounting
17	section of 543.19(6)(d), it talks specifically about the
18	promotions and the cash returned to the patrons and pool
19	balances and the reconciliation process, that it's
20	accounted for, on page 10.
21	MS. HAMEL: Maybe that section just needs
22	to be added to the guidance document and removed from
23	the regulations.
24	MR. CULLOO: I think the old header is
25	correct.

	Page 204
1	MS. HAMEL: Pots and pools.
2	MR. FISHER: So the old header is just
3	that, right?
4	MS. HAMEL: Right.
5	MR. FISHER: With the "such as" not
6	included.
7	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: You
8	suggest it be moved into the guidance documents?
9	MS. HAMEL: Right, because we just we
10	talk about tournaments, but maybe in the guidance
11	document where it talks about (inaudible) card games and
12	related promotions and tournament, maybe it should say
13	promotional progressive pots and pools such as, so long
14	as the control is around those funds that are
15	contributed by the patrons.
16	MR. MORGAN: If I'm following everybody,
17	I'm just trying to make sure I'm up to speed here, so
18	we're looking at the comparison document of what NIGC
19	(inaudible). That paragraph there, the first part, they
20	kind of said, well, the section title is confusing, so
21	we modified that.
22	Mia, your comment went to say it's moved
23	to accounting to take care of some of that stuff, that's
24	where you need to look if you have issues with whether
25	it's specifically referenced in how to do this, it's

1 actually found in the accounting section.

2 And then your last thing there is talking about once per day or per week. And it gives you that 3 4 independence, because it depends on how frequently your 5 game is done. If you don't open your game except for on Thursday, Friday, Saturday, then why should you be doing 6 that once a day? You know, it kind of depends on the 7 operation to perform that function based on its risk 8 9 associated with what kind of a frequency your card game 10 gets.

11 So to me that's kind of the -- addressing 12 all of those. So change the title, make it less 13 confusing. Mia's point was you look to the accounting section to, I quess, get into specifically how those 14 functions are performed now, remove it out of the cards 15 16 and move it to accounting. And then that once per day 17 per slash week issue allows the operations some 18 flexibility.

MS. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: The word "day" is struck, so it actually is, in the most current one, it's week, at least once a week.

MR. MORGAN: Okay.

23		MR.	FISHER:	Okay. So where are we?
24		MR.	MORGAN:	It depends on what issue you
25	have.	The section	heading,	I think we got through that.

22

1	I think we're ready to move on to his next point as to
2	whether it's covered adequately. And that's what Mia
3	was talking about in the accounting section.
4	MR. FISHER: Okay. So are we ready to
5	back to talking about 13, then?
6	MR. WILSON: So on the top of page 13,
7	NIGC, on the second comment, the proposed standards
8	appear like it's too late in the day.
9	MS. HAMEL: They're not specific.
10	MR. WILSON: But a lot of these issues
11	are addressed in the guidance document. And in the
12	guidance, your comments on the guidance portions state
13	that you're okay with the guidance, basically.
14	So I guess what my question is,
15	understanding the guidance document now that you may not
16	have understood when this comment was made, is this
17	comment still valid?
18	MR. WEST: No. Which comment?
19	MR. WILSON: Comment number two, comment
20	two about the proposed standard appears to lack any
21	MS. LASH: Specificity.
22	MR. WILSON: Yes, that word, it appears
23	that your comment under the guidance section below sort
24	of confirms that, okay, the guidance really does address
25	these concerns that you had that weren't in the

1 standard.

2	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LITTLE: We're
3	thinking we may have made these comments prior to us
4	receiving the guidance documents.
5	MR. WILSON: Yeah, I think so. It
6	appears that way, and that's why I just want to if
7	these are no longer applicable, those comments, we don't
8	need to talk about them.
9	MR. WEST: I think it's going to flow
10	from however the standard is resolved, because in the
11	guidance documents you talk about tournaments. So are
12	you going to take tournaments out? I'm confused,
13	because the way the I mean, the actual standard is,
14	in my personal opinion, is poorly written, or at least
15	maybe I don't understand the intent.
16	MR. FISHER: I'm thinking that it might
17	be getting late in the day. To sort this out in a way
18	that actually maybe it would be better if we came
19	back fresh in the morning to look at this, because there
20	still seems to be a conceptual question about what's
21	included in this section, and therefore what's included
22	in the guidance and whether the regulation covers
23	everything that's included in the guidance.
24	So I'm I'll check with you. You know,
25	we have 15 more minutes. If you want to keep going, we

Page 208 1 can. I'm thinking it might be better to come back fresh 2 on this. We do have to do public comment. 3 MR. WILSON: We have to do public 4 5 comment. MR. FISHER: Yes, we do. So that's how 6 7 we would end the day, we would check on public comment and come back tomorrow on this topic. Everybody good 8 9 with that? Anybody want to keep going on this? 10 So we'll start up again tomorrow on this 11 topic. Before we adjourn for the day, we want to check 12 with the folks in the audience to see if anybody wishes 13 to provide public comment, at this point, to the TAC. I'm checking to see if anybody in the audience wants to 14 provide public document. 15 16 Okay. So for the purposes of our record 17 here, nobody in the audience expressed a desire to provide public comment. And so let's adjourn for the 18 19 day. We'll start up again at 8:00 a.m. and pick up 20 where we left off. 21 (TAC meeting recessed at 5:46 p.m.) 22 23 24 25

[08 - accept]

0	104:24 112:13,13	543.1. 113:5	179:22 184:14
08 89:21	137:7 150:25	543.14 133:25	543.9. 132:1 138:17
	163:16	134:12 135:11,13	547 63:10
1	2002 107:23	137:7 141:3 142:3	557.5 120:11
1 194:21	2004 109:19	148:12,23 149:15	571 70:7,8,9,19,24
10 32:19 37:23	2006 107:11,14,15	149:21 163:10	72:7 79:4
49:22 171:3 191:3,5	2007 49:20	164:24 166:10,10	5:46 208:21
192:6 200:17	2008 67:24 107:8,24	166:17 167:9	6
203:20	117:9	543.14. 134:13	6 1:12 2:1 124:13,16
10,000 143:9 148:8	2009 49:21	153:11 164:21	124:17,18 186:8,25
151:9	2010 67:24 132:4	166:6 167:15	203:17
100 145:19 196:12	2011 1:12 2:1 23:10	543.19 71:1,17 73:7	60 106:4,5
10:46 6:20	21 132:16,23 133:8	74:9 76:11 80:8	6:00 4:25
11 168:17 183:17	25 70:8	84:21 85:22 86:18	7
185:23 186:7,8,25	27 107:23	87:12 99:5 143:5	
11:30 41:12,13	2706 32:19	203:17	7 96:18
11:55 58:19	28th 23:10,20	543.21. 133:9	7/28/2011 184:1
12 96:12	3	543.3 62:8,17 63:3	75 24:4
120 70:15 91:18	3 81:8 114:22 116:7	64:2,12 65:18 66:12	8
92:4,9 94:3 12:00 56:11 58:13	178:12 184:13	71:16 78:7 80:25	8:00 208:19
13 56:7 197:24	30 106:4,5 187:11	82:6 85:22 87:14,18	8:12 1:13 2:2
198:1,13,15,18	300 38:18	98:16,24 99:8 102:4	8:18 6:20
206:5,6	30th 130:24	102:13 106:23	9
14 148:23 156:10	34 168:17	107:14 108:12	9 135:12,13 188:2,6
15 49:23 53:13	3:00 124:13 129:1	111:23 113:2,4	189:3,9
95:17,20 96:11	4	114:4 116:19,25	90 187:17
102:21 103:5,7,15	4 185:23	127:23 140:18 543.3. 80:16,23	95 57:8
103:22 207:25	5	84:19 87:19 98:11	a
15347 1:10		98:13 104:19	a.m. 1:13 2:2 6:20
1715 70:18	5 183:7,17 186:7	107:18 116:12	208:19
18 103:24 104:9	50 190:10 542 51:12,20 67:25	543.4 62:8 64:12	ability 16:17 18:22
156:15 183:7	107:19,20 109:15	117:7,15 119:15	18:23 19:2 38:6
184:13	113:11	123:23 124:3	44:3 60:3 196:20
19 67:1 78:14 79:7	542.2 108:9	543.4. 116:18 117:6	able 2:17,18 16:18
87:1 112:24 113:1	542.2. 108:14	543.50. 86:13	20:3 22:6 32:23
1995 57:17	542.3 63:3 108:9	543.6 126:24 128:23	49:4 105:19 129:9
1:00 58:18,20	543 62:24 63:10	543.6. 62:9	201:2
1:14 59:2	70:20 72:14 77:21	543.7 85:22	absolute 12:15 46:7
2	78:3,6 121:12	543.9 132:4 133:13	91:23
2 66:14,14 71:1	543.1 62:5,7,8 113:6	134:24 147:5	absolutely 12:14
76:12 87:20 90:25	113:11,17,23	148:25 153:10	51:18
98:24 99:2 102:5,24	114:19 116:1	156:24 157:18	accept 17:21 35:6
103:4,4,8,16,16	127:23	158:4 159:6 166:5	97:23 100:13 114:9
		166:15 168:15	

[accepted - answer]

accepted 65:12	177:1 203:22	111:7 164:3,9	73:10 74:5,21,25
101:7 116:14	adding 176:21	adopted 11:14 27:6	115:15 120:18
125:19	182:17,24	46:9 110:12 122:8	124:8
access 130:16 175:8	addition 117:15	122:19,23	agreement 64:6 69:3
186:3	170:19	adopting 48:9 52:12	102:8 124:2 128:23
accessible 186:2	additional 3:10 4:20	adoption 51:15	ahead 2:24 15:22
accompanying 43:5	17:6 20:25 22:9	advance 56:23	21:18 36:14 59:13
43:6	25:12,22 54:18	111:7	89:25 102:17
account 27:8 154:21	95:12 104:5 105:2	advantage 45:18,22	106:25 111:7 130:6
accountability	117:17,25 122:1	advice 115:11,12,13	157:4 184:3
152:15 166:13	164:6	advisory 1:3	alike 9:3
accountant 8:3	address 8:4 42:5,25	advocate 50:12	all's 85:12 112:11
65:22 78:8 85:16	43:14 47:21 48:18	advocating 11:7	allocate 34:4
accountant's 91:3,9	74:21 115:10	13:2,3 15:10 110:20	allocating 141:2
92:24	135:23 136:10	affect 20:22 22:16	allocation 33:8
accounted 203:20	149:16 150:15,18	afford 58:1	allow 26:14 29:6,7
accounting 29:21	152:3,7 153:22	afraid 55:4 154:24	95:20 181:4
63:8,17,18 67:1	158:20 160:22,23	afternoon 59:1	allowed 52:5 202:2
71:9 81:4,25 82:23	164:7,12 165:9	agencies 22:6	allows 45:17,18
84:11,24 85:1,14	168:22 171:25	agency 17:18 18:21	146:10 205:17
93:8 99:5 125:9,17	184:14 195:8 196:3	21:4,22 22:21 32:14	alter 173:23
125:20 135:3 142:7	196:23 200:19	108:8	altered 178:13
203:16 204:23	206:24	agency's 109:24	altering 173:25
205:1,13,16 206:3	addressed 72:14	agenda 2:25 4:14,15	alternative 38:11,12
achieve 29:5,8 33:21	114:4 133:21,22	4:20 5:1,4,6,9 6:14	altogether 61:7
33:22	134:7,13 135:24	6:22 41:12 62:6	199:14
achieving 11:25	136:19 148:14,20	129:11 131:19	amount 35:16 106:1
action 4:23 36:8	149:24 167:5	agent 22:2,19	143:7 144:12
196:16	170:20 175:4	193:19,19,20,23,24	147:23 148:1
active 83:18	185:21 186:14	194:2,8,17 195:11	188:17 189:14
activity 46:16,18,19	197:2 206:11	195:12,14,21,22	201:5
136:9,12 137:12	addresses 6:9 49:2	agents 57:18 137:10	analysis 15:12 49:16
actual 67:20 71:22	178:5 196:2	168:23 169:3,3	49:24,25 50:3,9
97:10 100:14	addressing 30:18	186:2 194:5,21,25	annual 63:9,18 68:8
179:17 193:16	205:11	195:2	68:13 70:13 71:8,21
207:13	adds 146:21 147:25	agree 14:8 30:11	71:24 72:3,11 73:2
ad 54:24	adequate 18:2	43:15 58:2 64:7,20	73:7,11,12,19 75:9
add 17:5 28:20	176:22 179:22	64:21 83:13 95:5	75:22,24 76:14,18
115:13 119:25	adequately 135:11	116:3 123:25 126:7	76:21,22 77:25 79:4
163:14 183:1	185:21 206:2	140:16,19 152:11	82:20 92:17
186:22 189:18,22	adhering 36:3,11,12	155:11 180:24	annually 75:6,23
190:11 192:16	adjourn 208:11,18	193:8 199:14	88:16 94:14
added 89:4 120:9	adjust 72:20 74:3	agreed 18:23 63:25	answer 15:20 94:19
121:1 138:24 150:7	adopt 45:2 46:10	64:1 65:14 68:20	146:3 162:18
164:6 165:1 176:25	48:10,14 51:20	69:17,24 71:20 73:1	

answered 66:22	approaching 52:5	aspects 40:8 180:24	169:12 170:9,14
answering 11:8	appropriate 12:14	assess 8:19 9:11	181:15 182:3,12
answers 87:13	138:12 140:23	10:24	184:4 185:5,13,20
anybody 8:11 13:13	152:25 155:15	assessment 10:3,19	186:18 187:2
35:3 41:8,14 50:23	164:1 183:1 186:1	11:3 12:5 26:25	190:14,18,22 191:4
53:1 56:4,13,25	200:17	37:6 94:14	191:24 192:5
58:9,11 59:6 61:16	approval 82:11	assets 33:23	193:12 194:7,16
61:17 123:22	193:18	assigned 81:3	196:1,7,21 197:3,17
133:11 182:14	approve 44:3 194:4	194:18 195:13	204:7 207:2
208:9,12,14	195:15	assist 32:25 58:1	associated 11:24
anyway 36:5 170:15	approved 82:23	assistance 21:4	19:12 83:12 135:23
apologize 31:11,16	193:20 194:12	33:12 34:11 53:18	180:21 205:9
apparently 129:23	approving 128:10	54:3,14,19,23 58:4	assume 108:21
appear 123:6	128:11 162:25	associate 3:3,18 4:4	113:20 114:16
133:21 134:6 206:8	area 17:22 20:19	5:2,14,17 6:18 7:8	115:21,22 181:20
appears 30:17	82:7 127:5 137:7	17:4 20:13 22:15	assumes 193:23
103:20 198:8	155:12 164:1,10,12	39:15 42:14 49:11	assuming 17:7
206:20,22 207:6	182:13	53:4,25 60:12,18	115:15 130:13
appendices 146:7	areas 3:9 60:25 61:5	61:22,25 65:5 66:15	171:10
apple 37:11	66:5,8,10 67:22	66:18,20 67:2,18	assumption 114:6
applicable 21:13	134:1,3 136:18	72:1,18 74:12 86:4	assumptions 114:1
166:11 207:7	148:16 155:13	87:2,5,15,24 88:6	assurance 9:22 10:7
applications 7:15	argue 123:18	89:3 90:8 91:24	10:8
132:5 160:16	arguing 28:4 123:17	95:14 97:3,12 101:4	ation 105:17
applied 10:13 52:15	148:18	101:12 102:16,22	attach 9:24
applies 40:19	argument 19:11	105:11,14,16,24	attachments 3:25
109:22 125:9	28:3 123:18	106:9,14 107:8,10	attempt 31:23
142:12	arguments 89:14	107:15 110:4,10,17	attempting 136:16
apply 10:20 19:17	arizona 46:8,9	110:22 111:1 112:8	attestation 82:1
36:18 39:11 47:18	armstrong 57:4,4,14	113:14,23 114:19	attested 137:10
95:23 109:23	57:17 58:8	115:1,14,24 118:4	attesting 151:8
111:19 126:2	articulate 13:14	121:3,6 123:5	attributes 202:15
142:10	42:16	124:15,20,23	audience 24:10
applying 8:22	ascertain 184:25	129:13 130:10	56:13,21,25 58:11
appreciate 20:14	aside 152:5	131:7,20,23 132:2	129:10 208:12,14
42:21 43:16 68:1	asked 3:22 10:5	132:10 133:3,14,20	208:17
appreciated 41:21	13:13 44:18 69:9	134:11 136:15	audit 8:4,25 11:9,19
41:23	asking 6:8 35:6	137:2,5,22 138:2	14:16,17 18:24 19:9
approach 4:21 6:24	44:23 45:12 57:10	152:1 155:11 156:8	21:17 22:23 26:23
7:2 19:6,18 34:20	97:13 104:17,18	156:12,18,21 157:2	29:8 33:19 37:4
35:6 36:4 37:4	107:4 173:3,13,17	158:1,13,19 160:8	38:17 39:22 40:13
44:16,24 62:3	186:15	160:12 161:15,23	40:15,19 49:25
110:20 160:21	aspect 27:7,19 54:3	162:3,13 164:16	53:23 55:16 63:7,8
approached 137:21	60:13	167:3,16,21 168:6	63:9,18,18 65:22
		168:10,19 169:2,5	66:25 68:13,14,17

	1	1	1
68:21,24,25 69:3,5	73:13 75:16,17	back 3:8,23,23 4:9	149:19 150:5
69:8,15,17,18,22,23	83:22 84:1 86:17	6:21 18:12 29:9	151:14 152:12,19
69:25 70:2,13,15	110:18,19 143:21	32:20 33:14 34:15	163:13 164:11
71:4,4,5,9,22 72:3	143:21 146:14	35:11 37:17,21,24	167:14 181:4
72:12 73:2,11,12,20	audits 7:16 10:15,16	41:4 42:3 45:3 47:7	197:12
73:20,22 74:2,15,18	10:16,17 22:9,13	52:22 58:16 64:25	banked 169:21,25
74:18,20,24,25,25	25:12,20 34:10	71:24 78:19 79:4	170:4 201:14
75:4,23,25 76:1,3	39:21 40:6 49:9,22	84:18 89:10,11,11	banks 133:23
76:15 77:1,5,25	68:9 69:11,12 71:8	89:13,24,24 90:20	138:10 139:5 144:8
78:5 79:5 82:8,20	71:21,24 73:6,7,19	90:24 96:10 99:6	145:5,6 147:6,12
83:1,19,19,24 84:21	73:23,25 74:1,3,4	100:10 101:25	149:17 150:22
85:5,9,20,25 86:10	75:15 76:19,20,23	104:6 106:19 113:6	154:12 165:9,10,15
86:12,19,25 87:1,21	76:24 79:17	114:18 119:12	barring 161:4
91:3,9 94:16 110:1	aup 39:22,23 68:17	122:17 123:13	base 51:12
110:8,9,15 138:7	68:23 69:24 73:11	124:9 149:8 152:7	based 4:21 6:14,24
143:4 144:23 145:4	75:23 77:4,17 78:9	157:16 158:2	6:24 7:2 10:24 19:6
145:16,17,19,21	79:1 86:19 142:6	159:24 163:11	21:23 22:12 25:20
146:11,14,17,17	aups 40:19 72:12	165:13,17 166:1	25:21 32:6 33:11
153:14 154:5,6,9	77:16	168:5 171:22 179:9	45:13 50:9 53:8
audited 24:1 70:11	authoritatively 48:7	179:16 185:3	62:2 94:15 99:8
93:7	authorities 24:3,5	188:23 193:10	110:15 139:13
auditing 8:1,12	24:14 40:5,12	196:14 199:1 201:4	187:14 191:9 205:8
19:15 25:24 33:11	authority 31:4 41:8	201:25 206:5	basic 39:4
37:3,23 69:13 71:11	45:11 194:6	207:19 208:1,8	basically 57:8,24
73:15 81:25,25	authorize 194:4	background 24:23	65:7 84:10 90:11
84:11,11 85:1,15	authorized 179:13	backgrounding	114:12 155:17
86:8,9,16,17,20	186:2 193:19,24	55:14 56:3	167:8,9 206:13
142:1,7 145:9	194:6,9,11,21 195:1	backgrounds 48:25	basis 25:13 40:10
146:12	195:13,15,18,20,22	49:4,8	109:15
auditor 8:2,3,17,24	196:11	backwards 159:12	batch 160:20
9:17 16:25 39:17	automated 27:25	159:16,22,23	bear 57:11 68:10
77:8 79:17 85:16	automation 35:20	bad 39:14 51:6	beat 87:3,9 201:2
138:7 141:16 142:3	available 44:9,22	201:2	behalf 194:13
143:1,2 144:20	67:4	bag 34:16	believe 36:17 57:6,6
146:15,20,22	avoid 120:2	balance 147:24	64:2 124:7 145:8
147:13,14 153:2,7,9	aware 48:1 125:17	balances 203:19	147:16 150:25
154:18,22	b	bank 133:19 134:14	183:22 200:9
auditor's 138:6	b 32:19 44:6,10	134:14 135:3	belongs 137:25
auditors 7:18 8:5	66:14 92:2,8 93:17	136:25 137:15,17	bend 174:7
9:10,11,21 10:23	102:25 103:4,8,16	137:19,20 139:1,4	bending 174:2
14:22 15:25 17:8	104:24 112:6,13	139:10,22 140:11	beneficial 16:19
18:3,16,22,25 19:13	131:8 132:4 158:12	140:15,24 141:1,7,9	benefit 23:17
19:15 20:7 32:14	158:14,17 159:20	141:9,9,12,18 143:8	best 3:6 79:12 90:3
33:4,5,5,6 34:8 37:2	160:15 166:22	143:18 144:9,11	109:16 189:13,16
39:24 43:24,25		147:8,8,9,14,19,23	189:22 190:11,16

[best - cards]

190:18	box 65:14 143:18	buying 144:13	candor 43:16
better 15:14 17:7	boy 161:20		capability 52:10
19:4,4 22:6 28:7	break 3:5 6:2 34:11	C	capture 136:9
36:24 37:22 45:21	41:15 56:12,14	c 44:6,10 92:9	captures 98:21
67:16 69:16 97:14	58:14,15 124:14	112:13,17 158:12	card 129:12,15,16
106:20 111:5 129:8	129:2 144:24 145:9	159:20 160:16	129:19 130:19
130:8 136:19	129.2 144.24 143.9	187:1	131:18,19 132:20
143:20 154:17	brian 15:23 36:14	cage 81:5 134:2	131:18,19 132:20
	42:16 43:15 48:22	135:19 137:1,8,18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
177:11 178:10		139:1 141:2,10	133:19 134:16,18
207:18 208:1	54:9 81:14,21	149:10 151:1,5,6,7	134:18 136:24
beyond 28:24 90:17	bring 46:15 47:17	151:12,14,17,21	137:15,16,19,25
100:4 106:6	65:1 75:20 78:18	152:21 166:12,13	138:7,10,25 139:2,3
big 31:19 40:20 41:1	113:19 125:25	calendar 94:23 95:2	139:22 140:1,1,11
41:3 44:23 147:25	149:2 173:13 174:8	california 24:4	140:18,24,24 141:2
161:20 172:2	bringing 20:14 58:1	49:18 55:20 170:7	141:7,9,18 143:3,9
bigger 17:22 47:11	120:13 161:4	call 21:6 29:22	143:18,25 147:5,7
120:23 121:4,24	brings 161:5 172:23	52:25,25 53:1,13	147:19 148:3,7
129:6	broad 19:5 34:19	55:3 75:1 77:4	149:10 150:10,22
biggest 28:11	43:21,22	78:10 85:12 86:9,16	152:19,22 159:5
111:11	broader 28:16 30:23	86:16 145:2,3 147:7	163:15 164:1,7,12
billable 22:3	176:1	147:8,8,9 180:25	165:9 167:8,14
binder 183:19	broadly 175:11,12	callaghan 9:20 11:2	170:20 171:4,13,14
bingo 81:5,11	broke 59:7 183:15	15:25 36:15 41:18	171:14,18,20 178:1
109:21 120:15,16	brought 48:19 60:19	48:23 78:4 81:13,15	178:6 180:1 191:18
135:22,23,24 136:1	60:20	81:23 82:10 140:14	191:20,25 196:9,18
136:5,25 149:10	bryan 23:20	156:10 173:10	197:11 202:14
157:22 161:18	bubble 118:24 119:8	180:6,11,22 201:1	204:11 205:9
165:15 181:3	bucks 20:24	201:20 202:2 203:5	cards 41:11 43:9
bit 7:14 12:25 32:4,5	buddy 55:20	203:9,15	156:22 168:7,18,22
36:24 52:18 55:6	budget 88:18 89:1	called 53:7 78:5	169:6,22 170:1,11
58:18 61:8 66:11	95:8 96:19	152:17	171:7,11,13,23
97:13 177:6 183:13	budgeted 88:17,21	calling 53:15 77:25	172:1,4,8,13,15,17
blanket 114:9	89:2,12 90:14	78:4 79:1	173:1,4,15,18,23,25
bless 44:13	budgets 31:20	calls 53:9,10 55:12	174:4,5,13,18
blow 121:23	build 89:8	cancel 170:2	175:10,19,23 176:1
blown 69:17	bunch 30:18	canceled 185:19	176:7,13,22,24
blue 118:7 121:2	business 8:18 9:5	187:21	177:10,14,15,25
board 14:21,23 16:6	19:12 27:13 29:20	canceling 169:6	178:1,5,6,12,22,22
158:5	29:21,22 47:16	cancellation 185:6	178:23,25 179:1,5
boards 125:9	59:18 181:22	186:6,8 187:5,8,23	179:23 180:4,14,15
body 18:19 21:13	businesses 26:7	187:24 189:4,8,13	182:10,18,21,21
95:23,25	27:13	187:24 189:4,8,13	183:2,3,8,24 184:14
bogged 140:6	busy 2:18	cancelled 189:10	184:19,19 185:18
bought 15:1	buy 35:7,8,9 64:10		185:18,24 188:14
	64:12 65:7,8		188:16,19,22 189:9

100 11 201 14	4 1477.16		55 15 70 12 00 21
189:11 201:14	cetera 147:16	checked 59:23	55:15 79:13 89:21
205:15	cfr 70:8	checking 124:10	103:10 107:14,20
care 28:6,7 50:21	chairman 2:16	170:13 208:14	108:10,11,19,20,21
89:13 132:20 164:6	chairwoman 2:8	checklist 8:6 11:16	109:1,9,14,16,17,25
169:1 175:18	4:10 6:5 23:20	11:17 14:13,17	111:5 122:18,21,22
197:15 204:23	64:23	21:10,12,20,23	123:1,2 170:3,5
carryover 123:2	challenges 42:19	22:23 23:9,11 26:23	173:14 194:25
case 15:11 33:22	chance 7:13	31:11,13,16 36:13	201:14
46:19 47:1,15 69:2	change 13:7 22:10	40:2 49:21,22 59:16	clean 5:15 119:8
146:6	39:9 43:22 45:12,14	59:20,21 60:4 110:5	cleaned 178:2,6
cash 30:3,5,10	57:7 63:14 75:11,11	129:23,24 130:1,1	cleaner 192:5
133:16,16,24,24	75:12 79:24 80:1,11	147:12	cleaning 172:2
134:1,1,20,20,22,23	81:24 86:3 93:6,9	checklists 7:18	175:10
135:4,4 136:8,8,11	94:20,21 99:9,19,20	14:14 22:7,12 32:1	cleanup 113:15
136:11 142:1,2	99:23 100:13	36:3 130:5	124:21
145:1,1,3,12 147:4	101:25 102:2 103:8	checks 21:16	clear 65:7 71:14
147:4,10,10,20,20	103:16,23 116:4	cheryl 1:24 57:10	72:22 122:12
149:5,5 163:25	121:22 126:7,24	chickasaw 50:8	145:23 146:20
164:13 166:4,5,9,9	127:11 130:1 150:4	chief 1:11	198:22 200:8
166:14,14,16,16	159:1 165:6 192:4	chips 139:7 180:4	clearer 133:4
194:23 196:14	192:16 193:2	choose 56:22 173:8	199:22
197:13,13 203:18	205:12	181:24	clearly 9:8,9 26:9
casino 1:9 8:18	changed 71:9 74:16	chooses 173:18	48:17 74:19 105:18
27:17 29:4 47:16	94:11,15 97:11	christina 4:16 80:4	146:24
48:10,12 82:21	112:2 168:3,21	80:5 166:3	clearwater 1:9
140:8 145:1 181:10	169:3 199:2	circle 148:20	clicks 92:25
201:12	changes 39:8 43:21	circulars 17:17,21	clock 41:12
casinos 8:21 9:25	44:15 98:18 99:25	cite 183:5	close 93:6 138:14
27:13,15 37:22	100:23 101:6,21	city 4:19 55:18 56:4	144:7
cause 93:13 95:15	112:16 114:11,13	56:5 100:4,22	closed 56:23
123:11	114:25 118:21	101:16 120:21	closely 4:17
caused 93:8	119:9,10 124:1,3	129:17 130:22	closer 144:8
causing 73:18	127:11,18 128:23	claim 84:13	closers 144:1
caution 113:25	137:17 160:20	clarification 71:19	closet 191:16
caveat 154:4	165:18,19 192:25	100:13 102:23	codes 118:22
certain 12:13 38:9	193:8	182:13 183:19	cognizant 20:15
46:18	changing 18:17 20:2	191:23	coins 137:9 139:6
certainly 52:2	54:8 149:1 182:2	clarified 65:19	colors 118:19
certainty 26:20 46:7	203:7	100:8 112:22	combine 86:23
certification 8:13	charged 148:8	192:18	come 2:17 8:24
certifications 8:16	check 3:17 6:7 14:13	clarify 97:13 200:11	10:24 12:8 14:12,16
certified 8:3,12 9:9	22:2,20 41:11,16	clarifying 98:24	16:21 18:24 19:13
65:21 78:8 91:2,8	56:12 60:3 110:2	102:4	19:19 20:10 21:19
certifying 91:16	114:1 139:5 207:24	class 16:13 18:14	22:1,19 26:11,22,24
	208:7,11	22:1 46:6,7 55:11	27:1 29:7,17 34:8,9
	Veritert/NLDer	,	<i>2</i> ,., <i>2</i> ,.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

			1
49:6 54:18 57:22	comments 37:19	181:15 182:3,12	completely 64:7
58:16 65:13 73:14	66:17 87:16 112:4	184:4 185:5,13,20	70:4 181:21
88:5,11,19 89:15	113:21,24 118:23	186:18 187:2	compliance 4:22
93:13 94:3 95:20	131:6 156:25 185:3	190:14,18,22 191:4	6:24 10:9,16 16:22
96:12,20 97:7	185:8 197:2,25	191:24 192:5	21:11 22:20,22,23
101:24 103:8,9,15	206:12 207:3,7	193:12 194:7,16	26:24 28:10 31:25
103:17,22,24 104:1	commission 11:14	196:1,7,21 197:3,17	33:5 34:10 38:8
104:4 112:10,18,20	39:9,17 44:25 45:20	204:7 207:2	54:7,8,11,15 55:8
123:19 125:2 143:1	72:4 78:21 83:24	commissions 20:18	55:23,24 57:19 58:2
143:3 144:16 152:7	85:19 93:16 106:8	39:8 79:11	58:3 59:16,24,24
155:1 161:12	181:16	committee 1:3 24:10	60:6 63:12 69:18
163:11 165:13	commissioner 3:3	24:12,24 42:23	88:5,11 89:5,18
201:12 208:1,8	3:18 4:4 5:2,14,17	50:15 56:19 57:1	92:6,10 95:15,20
comes 14:7 42:8	6:18 7:8 17:4 20:13	58:10,12 86:11	96:12,21 102:19
119:5 144:4 196:19	22:15 38:5 39:15	108:3,3 135:17	103:4,8,10,11,12,15
201:22,23	42:14 49:11 53:4,25	committees 86:8	103:17,23,24 104:1
comfort 26:20	54:11 60:12,18	common 28:3	104:2,4,9,16 105:6
comfortable 15:18	61:22,25 65:5 66:15	136:18 188:21	110:2 111:9,10
20:6 45:4,12 53:15	66:18,20 67:2,18	190:17 196:8	112:11,18,20
65:10 101:23	72:1,18 74:12 86:4	communication	125:21
104:14 113:21	87:2,5,15,24 88:6	181:3	compliant 93:25
157:11 162:25	89:3 90:8 91:24	communicator	complicated 18:7
163:2 176:4	95:14 97:3,12 101:4	129:23	110:7
coming 3:4 4:11	101:12 102:16,22	compact 122:18	comply 27:15 29:24
18:22,25 20:7 31:16	105:11,14,16,24	companies 26:7,10	80:19 90:12 105:19
34:10 53:2 56:5	106:9,14 107:8,10	26:12 37:21 39:7	105:19
92:5 94:21 141:16	107:15 110:4,10,17	company 9:8 37:24	complying 51:24
142:1 157:16	110:22 111:1 112:8	comparable 197:10	component 74:22
comment 12:22 18:3	113:14,23 114:19	compare 131:8	145:10,22 174:14
40:11 41:13,14,16	115:1,14,24 118:4	comparing 110:3	176:9
43:4 56:14,18,21	121:3,6 123:5	comparison 3:14	components 11:19
57:1 58:12 59:14	124:15,20,23	60:25 61:20 66:3	12:13 144:24 145:2
61:17 87:20 103:19	129:13 130:10	83:21 87:17 90:23	145:25
103:25 113:13,15	131:7,20,23 132:2	113:1 115:6 118:20	comprehensive
114:7 119:16	132:10 133:3,14,20	119:5 130:21 132:3	51:17
128:12 133:15	134:11 136:15	132:9 156:15 158:8	computer 131:25
134:5 141:25	137:2,5,22 138:2	158:16 159:11	132:5 160:15
169:16 170:18	152:1 155:11 156:8	168:12 169:15	concentrate 16:16
171:6,9,25 182:14	156:12,18,21 157:2	183:21 184:3,13,16	concept 4:21 19:15
183:2,12 191:8	158:1,13,19 160:8	193:11,16 204:18	29:18,24 64:11,11
196:24 197:12	160:12 161:15,23	competencies 49:3	64:12,20,21 65:8,8
198:2 204:22 206:7	162:3,13 164:16	complaint 28:11	80:10 86:2 97:22
206:16,17,18,19,19	167:3,16,21 168:6	complete 42:24 60:5	101:13 119:20
206:23 208:3,5,7,13	168:10,19 169:2,5	completed 83:18	122:9 123:3 163:2,5
208:18	169:12 170:9,14	130:5	192:16

[concepts - cover]

concepts 67:8	108:6 115:3 120:3	77:13 81:24 82:13	copy 67:19 70:14
conceptual 99:24	146:21 191:12	122:8 123:10,15,16	120:6
100:12 101:24	conjunction 43:24	136:11 147:6,10	core 23:22 49:3
207:20	73:2,12 76:4,8	149:8,9 160:15	145:24
conceptually 100:19	108:19	169:21,22,25	corners 174:2
concern 14:25 22:18	connecticut 24:4	171:13 195:12	correct 17:12 69:15
24:21 28:25 90:18	connection 7:3	202:18 204:14	115:13 117:8 145:8
95:8 104:15,22,23	consensus 56:2	controlled 14:5,6	151:2 203:25
108:24 109:7	128:18	47:5 134:23 142:2	corrected 168:16
115:18 127:5	consider 156:6	controlling 147:4	correcting 48:2
139:16,18 140:22	177:17	149:4 171:23	correctly 49:9,9
145:9 148:13	consideration	controls 8:19,20,23	71:2 142:9,15
149:16,18 150:2,23	146:12 152:3	9:1,3,12,18 10:12	corresponding 30:8
151:17 154:23	155:13 182:6	10:20,22,23 11:9,15	cost 16:24 17:6,12
163:8 179:9 195:8,9	consistency 126:12	11:20 12:1,6,10,11	19:10,11 20:15,25
concerned 29:10,13	consistent 119:22	12:13 19:3 21:18	22:8,9 25:12,22
47:24 149:24 155:4	127:22 167:15	23:25 25:15 26:18	35:18,19,21 74:22
concerns 114:5,16	consolidate 80:7	26:21,23 27:3,5,21	89:4
114:25 150:14,15	consolidating 80:10	28:12,18,20,22,23	costs 25:11 35:17
150:17 152:7	80:12	29:18,25 31:6 34:13	council 36:17 39:8
153:20,23 155:22	contained 106:23	48:13 62:20,21,25	51:10 82:23 83:9
162:23 206:25	contains 100:3	71:5 77:19 82:11	89:14,15
concert 74:23	contest 200:25	111:14 112:19	count 132:6,19,23
conclude 9:18	201:17	132:25 134:5,20	134:15 136:2,2,25
conclusion 96:1,6	contests 199:3,6,18	135:17 136:13	138:14 143:7,8
155:2	200:19	137:14 143:14	144:5,11 170:1
conclusions 65:11	context 27:18	147:11 149:12	counted 137:3,10
65:13,17	202:12,14	150:5 163:25	138:11 140:12
conduct 154:9	contingency 30:23	164:10,13,14,15	141:18 150:24
conducted 70:13	continue 46:14	166:5,9,14,16	counting 124:10
confirm 3:22,23	153:21 161:8	168:22 175:1,8	134:5,9 136:4
confirms 206:24	contract 18:20	176:11,23 178:7,25	137:15 140:1
conflict 72:15	contracted 85:10	179:23 184:14	143:17,18 144:7
confused 5:3 23:7	contributed 202:20	185:9,10,16	145:5,6 147:14
23:12 42:22 104:24	203:4,10 204:15	conveniently 67:17	150:3,7 153:3 167:9
128:8 207:12	contributions	conversation 11:6	197:11
confuses 21:14	199:17 200:20	65:25 80:3 81:19	country 9:9 26:7
confusing 95:6	control 12:15 13:4	99:12	28:24 46:1 47:18,19
109:21 110:8	13:10,11,20 14:5	conversations 46:21	47:23 155:6
111:25 199:20	16:6,23,24 17:23	78:20 190:2	counts 147:18
200:10,12 203:14	24:17 27:10,23 28:1	convince 80:3	course 46:6 114:13
204:20 205:13	28:1,13 29:2,5,9	cookie 19:6	cover 132:23 135:11
confusion 60:21	30:14,25 31:8 38:4	cooperation 57:25	142:22 160:14
61:14 73:18 74:17	39:2 40:2,2 41:5	copies 3:11 5:13,16	161:10 176:19
75:14 101:5 103:3	48:10 50:10 63:1		186:11
/5.14 101:5 105:5	40.10 30:10 03:1		100.11

[coverage - detailed]

coverage 186:1	179:4 181:20	dates 90:16 103:11	defined 193:25
covered 3:9 73:22	187:16 192:2,7,11	104:14,16 105:17	194:1
73:23 141:3,6	193:1 195:5,24	day 11:12 15:15	definition 141:8
143:19 151:4,4	202:19 203:3,24	19:16 22:3 52:13	194:2,15 195:11,21
152:14 186:10	culture 33:3,7 34:5	53:21,22 84:4	definitive 91:22
206:2	52:22 53:17	186:17 196:12	92:24
covers 203:8 207:22	curious 117:11	205:3,7,16,19 206:8	degree 54:10
cp 35:8	current 32:6 46:25	207:17 208:7,11,19	delay 31:17 106:13
cpa 16:5,6,21 33:18	52:1 123:19 159:20	days 2:20 4:15	delete 67:9,14
34:7 39:23 40:16,22	205:20	70:15 92:4,9 94:3	117:23 126:17,19
41:4 63:4,16 66:22	currently 28:18	106:4,5,5 111:10	200:1
68:25 69:8 70:2,14	33:10 51:24 52:5	187:11,11,17	deleted 125:24
77:17,20,24,25 78:2	100:3 122:15	189:14,20 190:15	127:1 128:11
78:7,11,13 79:25	cursory 19:8	deal 22:11 23:18	133:18 168:20,20
87:21 91:14,15	curve 90:1	49:8 95:8 146:6	deletes 127:1
cpas 32:10 63:4,24	cuts 20:18	155:25	deleting 199:11,13
cracks 81:6	cutter 19:6	dealer 170:10	deletion 119:7
craps 170:8	cycle 145:3,5,11,12	195:14	127:20
crease 174:7	145:13,25 172:8	dealers 172:21	deletions 68:5,6
create 17:11 33:3	178:22	dealing 10:19 31:3	delving 17:22
34:5 142:17 155:5	cycles 29:21,22	deals 27:12	demands 89:15
195:12	178:24	dealt 47:22,22 73:6	demonstrated 48:24
created 29:23 67:22	d	debate 120:14	49:3
122:22		december 1:12 2:1	department 81:3
122:22 creating 47:13	d 44:6,11 158:12	december 1:12 2:1 88:19	department 81:3 84:24
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25	d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18	d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15	d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3	d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23	 december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11	d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11 criteria 26:12	d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23 50:5 60:15,18,19	 december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8 85:11 	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18 205:4,7,24
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11 criteria 26:12 critical 174:13	d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23 50:5 60:15,18,19 65:24 110:11	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8 85:11 decision 12:20 15:4	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18 205:4,7,24 depth 14:17
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11 criteria 26:12 critical 174:13 176:9	d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23 50:5 60:15,18,19 65:24 110:11 114:18 132:17	 december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8 85:11 decision 12:20 15:4 194:21 	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18 205:4,7,24 depth 14:17 derive 26:13
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11 criteria 26:12 critical 174:13 176:9 crossing 95:8	d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23 50:5 60:15,18,19 65:24 110:11 114:18 132:17 169:11 184:3	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8 85:11 decision 12:20 15:4 194:21 deck 187:16	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18 205:4,7,24 depth 14:17 derive 26:13 described 123:10
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11 criteria 26:12 critical 174:13 176:9 crossing 95:8 culloo 21:9 22:18	 d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23 50:5 60:15,18,19 65:24 110:11 114:18 132:17 169:11 184:3 daniel 37:9 57:12 	 december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8 85:11 decision 12:20 15:4 194:21 deck 187:16 decks 188:11 	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18 205:4,7,24 depth 14:17 derive 26:13 described 123:10 design 9:17 19:3
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11 criteria 26:12 critical 174:13 176:9 crossing 95:8 culloo 21:9 22:18 35:14 36:2 59:14	 d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23 50:5 60:15,18,19 65:24 110:11 114:18 132:17 169:11 184:3 daniel 37:9 57:12 101:15 109:24 	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8 85:11 decision 12:20 15:4 194:21 deck 187:16 decks 188:11 decrease 171:1,1	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18 205:4,7,24 depth 14:17 derive 26:13 described 123:10 design 9:17 19:3 designed 8:23 28:19
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11 criteria 26:12 critical 174:13 176:9 crossing 95:8 culloo 21:9 22:18 35:14 36:2 59:14 95:1 105:13 112:13	 d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23 50:5 60:15,18,19 65:24 110:11 114:18 132:17 169:11 184:3 daniel 37:9 57:12 101:15 109:24 daniel's 52:18 	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8 85:11 decision 12:20 15:4 194:21 deck 187:16 decks 188:11 decrease 171:1,1 decreases 170:19	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18 205:4,7,24 depth 14:17 derive 26:13 described 123:10 design 9:17 19:3 designed 8:23 28:19 134:23 146:10
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11 criteria 26:12 critical 174:13 176:9 crossing 95:8 culloo 21:9 22:18 35:14 36:2 59:14 95:1 105:13 112:13 116:19,21 128:1	 d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23 50:5 60:15,18,19 65:24 110:11 114:18 132:17 169:11 184:3 daniel 37:9 57:12 101:15 109:24 daniel's 52:18 date 87:20,23,25 	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8 85:11 decision 12:20 15:4 194:21 deck 187:16 decks 188:11 decrease 171:1,1 decreases 170:19 198:23	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18 205:4,7,24 depth 14:17 derive 26:13 described 123:10 design 9:17 19:3 designed 8:23 28:19 134:23 146:10 desire 208:17
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11 criteria 26:12 critical 174:13 176:9 crossing 95:8 culloo 21:9 22:18 35:14 36:2 59:14 95:1 105:13 112:13 116:19,21 128:1 136:21 141:8	 d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23 50:5 60:15,18,19 65:24 110:11 114:18 132:17 169:11 184:3 daniel 37:9 57:12 101:15 109:24 daniel's 52:18 date 87:20,23,25 88:1 90:21 91:2,4,4 	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8 85:11 decision 12:20 15:4 194:21 deck 187:16 decks 188:11 decrease 171:1,1 decreases 170:19 198:23 dedicated 54:22	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18 205:4,7,24 depth 14:17 derive 26:13 described 123:10 design 9:17 19:3 designed 8:23 28:19 134:23 146:10 desire 208:17 destroyed 171:15
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11 criteria 26:12 critical 174:13 176:9 crossing 95:8 culloo 21:9 22:18 35:14 36:2 59:14 95:1 105:13 112:13 116:19,21 128:1 136:21 141:8 143:24 146:19	 d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23 50:5 60:15,18,19 65:24 110:11 114:18 132:17 169:11 184:3 daniel 37:9 57:12 101:15 109:24 daniel's 52:18 date 87:20,23,25 88:1 90:21 91:2,4,4 91:6,7,10,11,17,19 	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8 85:11 decision 12:20 15:4 194:21 deck 187:16 decks 188:11 decrease 171:1,1 decreases 170:19 198:23 dedicated 54:22 deem 139:14 155:14	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18 205:4,7,24 depth 14:17 derive 26:13 described 123:10 design 9:17 19:3 designed 8:23 28:19 134:23 146:10 desire 208:17 destroyed 171:15 187:25 188:13,22
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11 criteria 26:12 critical 174:13 176:9 crossing 95:8 culloo 21:9 22:18 35:14 36:2 59:14 95:1 105:13 112:13 116:19,21 128:1 136:21 141:8 143:24 146:19 152:11,16,21 153:5	 d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23 50:5 60:15,18,19 65:24 110:11 114:18 132:17 169:11 184:3 daniel 37:9 57:12 101:15 109:24 daniel's 52:18 date 87:20,23,25 88:1 90:21 91:2,4,4 91:6,7,10,11,17,19 91:22 95:3,10,18 	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8 85:11 decision 12:20 15:4 194:21 deck 187:16 decks 188:11 decrease 171:1,1 decreases 170:19 198:23 dedicated 54:22 deem 139:14 155:14 decemed 95:12 96:5	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18 205:4,7,24 depth 14:17 derive 26:13 described 123:10 design 9:17 19:3 designed 8:23 28:19 134:23 146:10 desire 208:17 destroyed 171:15 187:25 188:13,22 destruction 171:19
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11 criteria 26:12 critical 174:13 176:9 crossing 95:8 culloo 21:9 22:18 35:14 36:2 59:14 95:1 105:13 112:13 116:19,21 128:1 136:21 141:8 143:24 146:19 152:11,16,21 153:5 159:25 161:17	 d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23 50:5 60:15,18,19 65:24 110:11 114:18 132:17 169:11 184:3 daniel 37:9 57:12 101:15 109:24 daniel's 52:18 date 87:20,23,25 88:1 90:21 91:2,4,4 91:6,7,10,11,17,19 91:22 95:3,10,18 97:8,21 98:24 99:1 	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8 85:11 decision 12:20 15:4 194:21 deck 187:16 decks 188:11 decrease 171:1,1 decreases 170:19 198:23 dedicated 54:22 deem 139:14 155:14 decemed 95:12 96:5 161:7	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18 205:4,7,24 depth 14:17 derive 26:13 described 123:10 design 9:17 19:3 designed 8:23 28:19 134:23 146:10 desire 208:17 destroyed 171:15 187:25 188:13,22 destruction 171:19 171:21
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11 criteria 26:12 critical 174:13 176:9 crossing 95:8 culloo 21:9 22:18 35:14 36:2 59:14 95:1 105:13 112:13 116:19,21 128:1 136:21 141:8 143:24 146:19 152:11,16,21 153:5 159:25 161:17 162:10 172:4,20	 d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23 50:5 60:15,18,19 65:24 110:11 114:18 132:17 169:11 184:3 daniel 37:9 57:12 101:15 109:24 daniel's 52:18 date 87:20,23,25 88:1 90:21 91:2,4,4 91:6,7,10,11,17,19 91:22 95:3,10,18 97:8,21 98:24 99:1 102:5,19 103:4 	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8 85:11 decision 12:20 15:4 194:21 deck 187:16 decks 188:11 decrease 171:1,1 decreases 170:19 198:23 dedicated 54:22 deem 139:14 155:14 deemed 95:12 96:5 161:7 default 161:5	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18 205:4,7,24 depth 14:17 derive 26:13 described 123:10 design 9:17 19:3 designed 8:23 28:19 134:23 146:10 desire 208:17 destroyed 171:15 187:25 188:13,22 destruction 171:19 171:21 detail 140:2
122:22 creating 47:13 creation 27:25 credible 25:18 credits 144:1,15 148:3 crime 181:11 criteria 26:12 critical 174:13 176:9 crossing 95:8 culloo 21:9 22:18 35:14 36:2 59:14 95:1 105:13 112:13 116:19,21 128:1 136:21 141:8 143:24 146:19 152:11,16,21 153:5 159:25 161:17	 d 44:6,11 158:12 171:3 183:17,19 185:24 186:7,9 203:17 dan 2:24 4:17 7:23 50:5 60:15,18,19 65:24 110:11 114:18 132:17 169:11 184:3 daniel 37:9 57:12 101:15 109:24 daniel's 52:18 date 87:20,23,25 88:1 90:21 91:2,4,4 91:6,7,10,11,17,19 91:22 95:3,10,18 97:8,21 98:24 99:1 102:5,19 103:4 105:7,10 111:8,9 	december 1:12 2:1 88:19 decide 18:18 35:7 83:7 164:3 decided 14:7 17:25 86:11 decides 13:1 83:8 85:11 decision 12:20 15:4 194:21 deck 187:16 decks 188:11 decrease 171:1,1 decreases 170:19 198:23 dedicated 54:22 deem 139:14 155:14 decemed 95:12 96:5 161:7	department 81:3 84:24 depending 33:2 106:4 119:22 147:24 149:13 depends 151:15 152:13 196:18 205:4,7,24 depth 14:17 derive 26:13 described 123:10 design 9:17 19:3 designed 8:23 28:19 134:23 146:10 desire 208:17 destroyed 171:15 187:25 188:13,22 destruction 171:19 171:21

details 43:22 68:8	difficult 46:13,19	distinct 74:20	documents 3:14
determination	67:20 108:15 123:3	distinguish 69:16	5:11 7:5 19:24 20:9
80:17 102:24	174:4	75:21	23:22 29:22 31:15
determine 8:22	dinner 4:25	distinguishing	31:21 34:23 37:7
30:15 47:12 59:16	direct 35:18,19 57:1	201:11	43:3,6 45:10 53:19
94:25 105:12 191:9	154:4	distribute 130:13	53:22 59:9 60:25
determined 93:3	directed 83:7	distributed 101:20	61:8 65:20 66:2,3,6
determines 12:20	direction 47:12	diverge 29:14	66:7 67:5 94:13
192:7	155:20	divide 5:24	101:17 112:2
develop 23:15,24,25	directly 58:12 75:3	division 38:8	115:16 118:18
24:11 29:2 31:4,6,7	171:19	document 3:12 4:18	119:13 135:14
38:12 103:21	director 57:5	6:11 11:21 13:23	137:13 138:9
143:16 149:12	dirty 173:23	21:2 29:16 30:17,24	147:18 150:12
164:8	disagree 26:3	31:2 45:1 49:21	158:18 164:1,3
developed 22:13	disappear 187:21	50:1 60:21,23 61:20	167:7,11,14 170:19
24:19 62:21 86:7	disclose 41:5	62:19 63:21 64:13	174:19 178:17,18
143:15	discovered 93:8	72:14 76:3 87:17	180:20 183:12
developing 24:12	161:21	90:23 99:13 100:2,5	184:5,6 185:14,15
27:21 48:4 51:16,16	discretion 105:12	100:6 101:5 107:11	185:22 200:13
62:18	discuss 60:22 66:11	113:1 115:12	204:8 207:4,11
dice 169:16,20,23,24	74:4 76:6 104:21	118:20,21 119:5,8	dog 10:6
170:10	115:9	119:10 130:2,21	doing 4:1 14:2 16:2
dictated 187:13	discussed 7:11 76:3	132:3,9 133:25	19:12 20:1 21:14
differ 12:16	104:24 114:12,14	138:14,17 146:23	22:3 28:2 32:12
difference 19:1	114:23 157:11	149:23,25 150:5	34:9 36:12 38:1,14
111:12 125:16	159:25 161:3	151:8 154:16,18	38:15 39:2,2 40:19
135:18 136:12	discussing 55:15	155:18 156:16,24	42:11 44:6,6 49:8,9
differences 40:25	157:9 161:11	158:8 159:11	52:2 54:13,24 57:12
different 8:8 9:4,10	discussion 4:20,23	160:19 168:15,16	75:5 96:3 98:12,18
10:15 11:9 14:3	6:23 7:7 59:6,8,11	168:17 169:9,15	101:23 110:15,16
16:10,23 25:23 26:7	60:16 78:17 81:16	171:2 175:16 177:1	113:17 115:25
26:14 27:1,14 30:24	82:6 92:21 96:23	177:3,4 179:19	126:23 128:3,10
39:21 44:21 48:12	99:4,7 101:16	181:17 182:6,18,24	144:8 153:10,13
49:10 50:24 52:6	102:11 107:12	183:1,20,21 184:13	154:22 160:6
63:19 68:19 69:3,11	109:4 113:12	184:16,25 185:11	162:18 165:21
69:12,24 75:15 79:2	122:15 125:6 126:1	185:11 189:9	175:10 180:12,12
79:8 93:21 103:2,11	158:11 161:2,9	193:11,16 202:5	205:6
104:16 135:7	165:25 173:21	203:22 204:11,18	dollars 26:11,13
136:24 142:8 145:2	175:6 176:14 179:7	206:11,15 208:15	dot 133:8
149:5,9,9,13 151:17	180:5 182:17	documentation	dot.gov. 125:3
152:6,14 171:23	188:10 189:25	146:10 147:15	double 124:10
183:13 194:24	193:22 202:11	155:10	draft 61:18 62:24
differently 45:14	discussions 64:16	documented 8:20	65:16 67:24 105:1
136:23,25	disrupts 161:2	12:7 199:25 203:11	120:20 132:4
			133:15 184:5,7,9

[drafted - exchanges]

	1		
drafted 65:4 164:2	111:8 134:17	174:21 175:1	et 147:16
drafting 100:5,7	effectively 42:10	176:23 177:8 178:1	evaluate 96:9
101:14	145:4	178:5	evaluated 83:21
drawings 199:4,6,18	effectiveness 173:24	ensures 183:16	evaluation 80:22
driven 51:4,9 59:15	effects 198:6	ensuring 25:24	event 4:8 92:25
drop 124:19 132:19	efficiency's 74:22	175:1	events 3:5
132:23 136:2,2	efficient 11:17 28:8	entire 47:9 68:12	eventually 156:11
143:18	146:17	116:25 145:5,6,19	everybody 2:6,10,11
dropped 199:19	effort 47:20 90:1	166:10	2:25 3:1,4 4:9 7:25
dropping 132:24,24	efforts 89:22	entirely 69:19,24	29:16 32:12 38:10
136:3 143:17	eight 197:10,12,23	86:20 108:25	48:5 50:16 54:15,15
due 48:25	198:1,2,13,18	entities 125:11	55:24 56:2 64:18
dug 11:22	either 8:18 51:4	entity 8:18 30:15	76:16 90:22 91:23
durable 174:7	62:2 64:19 84:2	69:2	93:15,16 102:6
dynamics 13:7	106:4,5 126:3	environment 12:2	103:13 109:19
e	145:23 153:18	26:5 33:3 34:5	115:15 127:21
e 44:11 156:17,24	171:16 202:17	52:23 57:19	130:7,20 144:21
159:9 166:21,23	electronic 110:6	envision 7:21	160:11 168:4
168:5,15 184:14,15	129:22 130:8	equal 143:9	182:11 193:6 197:8
108.3,13 184.14,13	132:24 176:16,18	equipment 129:22	204:16 208:8
190.21 192.23	eliminates 61:2	176:13,16	everybody's 8:8
earlier 35:23 54:2,9	email 3:19 5:18 6:9	equivalent 133:17	14:3 32:11 151:16
68:19 83:13 104:25	embarrassed 57:22	142:2 147:10	163:12
115:2 159:24 177:6	embarrassment	164:13 166:5,9,16	everyday 42:18
early 10:5	53:21	equivalents 133:24	evolved 161:19
earn 55:6	employee 31:23	134:1,20,23 135:4	evolves 28:24
earn 55.0 ease 61:1	194:8	136:8,11 147:4,21	exact 35:10
easier 16:9 69:4	employing 173:22	149:5 166:15	exactly 37:13 39:18
101:8 148:17	empower 152:4,5	197:13	45:7 66:20 100:17
160:21 165:18	encompasses 101:20	error 93:8	146:13 158:19
easily 93:3 150:9	encourage 45:20	especially 4:11	202:25 203:12
easy 8:24 114:21	encourages 45:19	19:13 67:8 140:9	examination 77:12
146:2	ended 5:5 199:22	146:22	example 20:12
easygoing 57:18	endorsed 30:5	essentially 8:22	21:25 30:1 46:14
edit 101:9	ends 92:4	establish 13:4	89:21 202:6,6
educational 27:19	enforcement 33:6	117:17 121:25	examples 163:17
educational 27.19 edward 166:23	34:2,17,21 36:6,8	149:23 167:7,13	exceptions 47:14
	54:6	197:20	excess 24:4
effect 106:2,7 107:6 108:1,13 123:2	engage 39:23	established 9:14	excessively 103:20
108:1,15 125:2	engaged 77:11 78:8	10:21 80:21 142:2	exchange 133:16
125:13 139:21	engagement 69:21	178:7 179:23	144:10
effective 28:8 38:1	78:5	establishing 10:22	exchanged 168:22
	enjoy 2:22	13:11,20 57:7	exchanges 133:24
50:13,19,20 105:7	ensure 29:9 80:21	establishment 93:24	150:6
105:10 107:17	82:24 93:23 145:24		

[executive - fisher]

		a	
executive 57:5	34:8 35:8 43:24	favor 160:4,6 168:2	92:18 93:1,7,21
exist 11:24 26:20	63:7 69:8 70:14	fear 12:25 61:2	find 40:18 53:2
51:24 124:24	75:16 83:22 84:1	federal 17:18 32:21	67:16,23 96:24
147:12 155:9	92:24 143:20	90:6 104:3 105:5	148:16
170:17	146:14 147:13	feedback 23:6	finding 54:18
existing 78:6	154:6	122:11	findings 77:10
exists 11:17,18 26:5	externally 85:20	feel 7:15 14:15	fine 2:12 52:2 66:9
expand 49:7,7 50:10	extra 88:12	15:18 20:5 22:16	72:21 79:25 111:15
106:6	f	25:15 31:7 33:22	137:23 157:20
expanded 86:8,9	f 78:7 159:10 164:24	42:11 45:4,11 53:14	finish 37:6 124:17
expanding 51:16	177:25 178:4,11,12	57:22 72:21 163:1	fired 42:1
expect 12:1 15:5,7	193:11 197:3,4	185:21	firm 10:21 25:18
50:11 145:12	fabulous 37:11	feeling 14:11 179:16	39:23 41:4 69:8
expectation 47:3	facilities 17:9	feels 66:8 122:14	70:14 77:17 82:23
expensive 47:19	facility 194:9	fell 69:13 73:25	91:18
experience 8:1,2,9	fact 10:12 12:9	fellow 144:20	firms 9:10,11 16:5,6
8:13 17:9 25:1	20:16 23:19 28:5	felt 26:11 73:6	25:12,17 40:14,17
39:16 50:18 154:19	36:10 47:9,25 48:1	field 22:19 37:1 53:9	40:23 41:1 74:24
178:19	48:25 49:18 54:21	53:10 54:6 110:13	first 2:9 4:23 14:23
experiences 50:16	82:22 125:10 154:8	110:18	31:3 35:14 43:10,12
expert 131:15		fight 10:6	59:17 78:16 82:10
expertise 20:8 24:18	174:13 188:18	figure 4:6 6:6 15:3	87:19 90:20,25
25:16,19 52:10	factual 41:22	42:5 59:9 72:17	98:15 130:25 132:3
explain 17:6 65:16	fail 34:11	73:8 85:17 136:18	149:23 169:19
68:2,22 85:13 101:2	failed 34:15 75:20	140:19 146:15	204:19
168:14	failure 34:14	153:22 155:25	fiscal 70:16 88:20
explaining 72:19	fairly 11:20 13:6	159:13	91:7,11,13,21 92:12
explanation 62:15	fall 70:6 74:1 81:6	figured 28:7	94:3,9,22 95:1,9,19
113:4	151:16 f=ll= 122:17	figuring 47:14	96:20,20,22 97:20
exploratory 131:5	falls 122:17 false 27:9	fills 144:1,14 148:3	97:21 98:25 99:3
exposure 25:4		filters 4:1	102:5 104:6
express 77:14	familiar 7:25 125:14	final 105:23,25	fisher 2:5 3:15,20
expressed 155:14	family 2:14,15	107:24 111:6	4:14 5:4,15,19,23
208:17	far 4:19 8:1 22:8	183:12	6:8,12,21 15:20,23
expression 77:12	38:3 64:15 65:20	finally 90:5	17:14 18:8 21:8
extended 90:17	107:7,21 126:6	financial 9:23 10:2	23:3 25:7 26:2 31:9
107:9	135:2,5 140:8 172:1	10:7,15 16:17 20:17	32:2 35:13 36:14
extension 88:9	203:13	33:5 39:22,24 69:1	37:16 41:10 43:9,13
95:24	fashion 136:17	69:22,23,25 70:3,11	46:4 48:22 49:14
extensively 29:23	169:21,25 171:13	70:15 71:4 72:12	50:5 52:16 55:9
extent 12:12 31:1	175:10	74:18,20,24 75:4	56:6,9,17 57:9,16
146:9 154:17	fashions 171:23	76:2,14 111:17	58:6,9 59:5 60:15
external 9:21 16:21	fast 38:3,11,24 41:7	125:11	61:18,23 62:1 64:5
18:21 20:7 21:17	57:18 108:18	financials 16:10	68:10,16 71:14 72:6
22:9 32:10,13 33:19		18:3 72:13 92:11,12	72:16 74:8,11 75:10
22.7 52.10,15 55.17		10.0 / 2.10 / 2.11,12	, 2.10 , 1.0,11 , 5.10

76:9,16 77:4 78:6	185:2,7 186:11,15	focusing 13:11	frame 104:25
78:12 80:5,24 81:7	186:20,23 187:12	30:23 47:14 177:3	105:21 112:20
81:14,19 82:17 83:3	188:3,7 189:1,5,7	folks 33:7 54:10,22	190:13
86:22 87:4,9,16,19	190:1,4,9,20,23	55:3 64:16 67:16	frames 65:21
88:3 90:22 91:6,12	191:1 192:9,12,15	83:2 90:12,18 190:9	framework 28:17
96:13 97:1,6 98:2,6	192:18,22 193:2,13	199:10 208:12	49:24
99:15,22 100:11,21	193:25 194:14,19	follow 4:18 24:21	frank 15:4
101:1,14 102:12,15	195:3,7,21 196:5	52:17 58:10 59:6	fraud 40:18,19 41:5
102:20 104:11,17	197:1,4,15,21,24	80:23 139:9	175:9,18,22 180:23
106:20,22 107:4	198:5,9,11,15,17,21	following 31:24	181:8,11
111:22 112:3,21	199:9 200:4 203:6	109:11 132:18	free 202:21
113:8,12,16,25	204:2,5 205:23	204:16	frequency 76:24
114:17,21 115:19	206:4 207:16 208:6	food 7:10	205:9
115:25 116:3,9,11	fit 19:3,5 48:21	foregone 96:1,6	frequently 205:4
116:15,17,20,24	53:11 62:11 155:22	forever 111:17	fresh 207:19 208:1
117:5 118:9,16	182:7	forgery 175:9	friday 205:6
119:1,3 120:8,16,19	fits 177:12,18,21	180:23	front 108:23
120:24 121:5,8,19	203:12	forget 76:22 79:3,11	frontline 67:16
121:23 123:22	five 58:13 190:7,24	79:14,21	frustration 180:25
124:18 125:3,22	fix 14:10 34:19	forgot 23:21	full 69:17 153:21
126:8,15,19,22	54:25 78:16 100:18	form 90:5	fully 144:21
127:6,10,15 128:3,6	150:19	formal 157:15	function 18:18
128:16,22 129:4,9	fixed 144:12	formalization 93:20	40:13 68:21 69:6
129:15 130:12	fixing 49:12 148:25	formalized 12:5	73:14 83:25 85:4
131:11,17,25 132:8	flavor 29:15	format 8:21 125:12	86:21 123:7 132:21
133:7,10 134:8,25	flawed 48:16	former 54:5	138:12 166:14
135:6 142:5 146:25	flexibility 52:4,4	formerly 87:1	205:8
148:22 150:13	205:18	formulate 174:19	functional 10:17
151:25 152:4	flexible 26:14	forsman 2:16	functions 205:15
153:15 155:16	floater 144:13	forth 32:18 35:5	fund 200:23
156:11,14,20,23	floating 68:20	46:15 64:12 67:7	fundamental 154:15
157:4,13,17,24	floor 108:11,14	78:23	155:18
158:3,22,25 159:3,8	133:2	forward 57:23 64:4	funded 201:8 203:4
159:11,18,21 160:2	florida 24:3	73:17 130:17	203:5
160:10 161:22	flow 90:12 148:7	140:13	funds 50:20,22 51:5
162:1,17 163:6,21	161:2 207:9	found 59:22 69:12	193:18 194:4
164:18 165:3,6,12	flows 163:12	205:1	201:15,19 202:3,20
165:16 166:1,7,20	focus 7:4 13:19,20	foundation 64:3	203:9 204:14
166:22 167:1,12,18	20:2 33:9 43:19	65:3	further 28:21 51:16
167:23 168:8,11,24	48:2,17 54:8 61:24	four 6:1 41:1,3	66:11 77:13 198:22
169:4,10,13,18	68:7 171:24 180:16	117:5 128:11 157:3	future 50:4
170:13 171:9	focused 32:16 33:11	157:3 168:9,11	g
175:24 177:2,13,23	33:11 60:9 116:12	170:21	g 68:12 71:1 73:7
180:9,13 182:1,11	160:2 180:14,14	fourth 182:17	74:9 76:11,12,18
182:22 184:2,20,24			,, , 0.11,12,10

	I		
78:10 80:9 99:5	gaps 95:15	38:17,19 39:14,22	42:10 43:20 45:13
133:13,14 134:19	gasb 63:5 125:6,8,12	40:14 42:9 50:1	47:3 49:17 50:3,9
134:22,24 135:13	125:14,15 126:1,5	52:21 55:16 59:13	52:17,22,24,25,25
137:7 147:5,10,16	127:4,12,20 128:12	60:10,23 61:2,15	53:1,16,17,18,19
150:25 153:10	gasb.gov 124:24	62:7 64:9 66:2 70:3	55:4 57:14 58:14
156:16,17 158:4,23	gearing 89:22	79:4 85:17,20,22	59:9,11,19 61:2
159:5,6,25 160:2,4	general 7:9 13:15	90:24 91:19 97:3	64:3,25 72:11,12
163:16 192:25	75:24 135:3 147:3	102:17 106:2,25	75:24 81:18,20
194:21	149:22 201:12	107:25 108:18,18	84:12,13 86:11
gaap 63:5	generalizations	110:1,2,14 111:7,13	88:18 89:10,10,11
game 13:16 132:24	63:19	112:23,25 113:6	89:13,13,14 90:4,4
132:25 135:22	generally 2:21 48:12	115:4,9 119:12	90:9 91:20 92:1
143:9 164:1,12	68:25 125:19	121:7,9,13 128:25	93:4 95:20 96:1,7
167:8 169:21,25	135:25 136:7,10	130:6 131:5,17	96:19 98:6 99:10,18
170:3,5,5 173:25	137:18 151:6	132:8,20 133:7,12	100:10 108:20,22
178:1,6 180:1	generic 152:20	136:1 138:7,9	111:18,20 116:17
181:21 188:19,23	193:23	140:13 142:4,12,13	119:25 123:13
196:12,12,15,15,20	getting 4:9 5:5 48:3	144:2,2,18 147:2	129:19 131:1
201:23,23 205:5,5,9	55:12 92:15 94:20	149:7 153:2,5	133:12 135:9 143:4
games 129:12,15,16	106:13 115:8	156:19 157:12	143:5,7 145:20
129:19 130:20	144:22 152:23	158:2 160:19	146:17 148:19
131:18,19 133:18	179:8 190:3,4	162:10 165:17	151:16,22 154:24
134:18 136:24	207:17	166:1,9,17 167:9	155:9 158:22
137:25 138:8 143:3	give 20:11 21:25	168:17 171:16,21	159:16 160:14,17
147:5 148:3,6,7	26:19 29:15 33:19	179:2 184:3 190:6	160:18 163:11
149:10 159:5 196:9	34:23 45:10 53:18	196:14	164:8 168:5 169:13
202:15 204:11	53:19 58:12 62:14	goal 55:23 79:10	172:16 176:1 177:7
gaming 3:11 12:7	64:18 77:9 88:23	goes 13:24 14:19	179:3,16 187:18,19
13:14 16:6 19:17	89:20 104:5 105:1	28:4 32:20 42:3,23	188:12 190:2,20
20:18,23 21:22	108:8 115:11	44:23 45:3 46:1	194:3,4 195:5
22:21 24:2,5,8,14	163:16 196:20	85:13 104:6 178:24	196:12,15,20
24:20 25:1,18,19	giveaway 199:4,6,19	178:25 181:3 188:5	197:20 207:9,12,25
27:17 30:2 39:7	given 44:13 69:2	188:24 189:3	208:9
40:4,5,12 41:8	gives 30:24 34:2,16	197:25 198:24	good 2:5 3:3 14:15
43:17,18 48:9 49:16	88:14 205:3	going 2:6 3:8 6:22	14:15 19:7 20:12
50:3,23 62:12,14	giving 25:14 36:6	8:25 9:24 10:2,3,11	41:1,25 42:11 45:7
64:14 67:5,9 70:13	53:10	11:19 12:16 14:22	51:6 53:5 54:1,21
71:3 73:4 80:6,17	glad 3:1 37:14 62:16	15:2,9,10,16 16:25	59:16 60:10,19,20
82:8 85:3,19 89:16	global 11:2 195:1	17:2 18:9 20:21	61:5 62:23 64:19
90:25 92:18 110:7	go 2:24 4:1,24 6:16	21:17,18,19 22:10	68:11,21 90:13
125:10 129:25	6:16 8:5,17 9:17	22:11 23:3,5 25:9	114:4 128:25 153:7
152:20 159:17	10:11 14:11,23 15:1	25:24 29:8 30:1,15	154:10 162:13
164:4 176:12	15:22 16:25 17:2,8	33:14 34:18 35:11	169:18 190:20
178:19 181:16	17:9 21:4 22:20	36:4,6,8,21 38:25	196:5 208:8
193:22 194:12,13	28:19 34:15 36:14	40:18 41:17 42:4,6	

[government - head]

			1
government 17:25	141:21 154:15,20	guy 100:24 101:2	187:25 189:4,8
18:19 83:8 84:16	163:10 170:25	196:18	191:7,14,21 192:4
85:9,18	171:1 173:12 175:3	guys 3:10 14:8,18	192:13,17,20
governmental 125:9	205:14 206:14	17:6 35:9 36:7 42:7	195:11,17 199:21
governments 17:21	guidance 7:3,5	45:4,6 52:21,24	200:20 201:7 202:4
80:19	19:23,24 20:9 21:2	53:15,22 55:4,4	203:1,21 204:1,4,9
graces 45:7	23:15,16 25:14	65:1 79:14 109:12	206:9
grandfather 2:13	29:22 30:24 31:15	109:12 110:24	hand 24:13 53:22
grant 38:6 96:6	31:21 34:23 36:6,21	122:20 126:23	85:15,15 102:9
122:20	37:7 43:3,6 45:1,10	155:14 200:13	116:5 124:5 128:24
granted 38:7	59:9,10 60:17,20,23	h	130:6 143:13 160:7
granting 95:25	61:8,19,20 63:21	half 37:19 143:10	168:3 193:9 197:7
grasp 65:3	64:24 65:20 66:2,5	hall 1:11	handed 130:22
great 2:10 4:12 11:4	66:7 94:13 115:4,5	hamel 62:16 66:25	153:1
22:11 37:10 40:24	131:1 133:21,23,25	68:6,12 70:6,11,19	handful 17:7
59:24 60:10	134:5,9 135:2,5,10	70:23 72:8 75:6	handle 49:1 157:15
greater 52:4	135:11,14 137:13	76:7,12,21 81:2	164:13 167:14
greatly 41:21,23	138:9,13,17 147:18	83:20 84:22 99:18	174:20
ground 86:23	147:18 149:1,19,20	100:9 101:11	handled 175:2
group 4:15,25 6:15	149:25 150:5,10,11	102:14,18,24 103:2	handling 11:4
11:22 14:2 30:17	152:24 156:3,24	103:19 104:21	hanging 79:22
42:5,20 43:18,19	157:5 163:20,23	107:19 109:15	162:12
44:17 46:15 53:8,10	164:1,3,9,25 167:5	111:11 112:6 113:7	happen 12:5 20:19
56:21 61:1 62:12,14	167:7,11,14 169:8	113:10,19 117:19	53:16
64:15 66:8 67:5,9	169:14 170:19	124:22,25 125:16	happened 60:8 93:1
67:11 71:3 72:20	171:2 173:1,2 174:9	126:17,21 131:14	happening 25:13
73:5,25 75:19 78:20	174:9,10,16,19,23	131:22 133:6,8,22	happens 9:2 11:11
80:6 85:14 88:10	175:16,25 177:1,2,4	134:13,19,22	11:12 26:22 27:4
99:12 100:6,7	177:12,15 178:5,17	136:23 137:4	34:12 50:2 123:12
101:14 104:13,17	179:2,11,15,19	138:13,16,20	144:25 160:5 181:2
104:19 115:11	180:20 181:17	141:23 147:20	happily 52:23
129:25 132:18	182:4,5,14,18,24	148:5 149:2 150:3	happy 4:12
148:15 152:2,5,6	183:1,12,20,21	157:22 158:6,11,17	harbor 19:25 20:12
153:22 159:17	184:5,6 185:3,11,11	165:8,14 168:14,21	21:2 31:22 45:5
162:23 164:4	185:14,15,21 189:9	169:8,16,20,24	51:21 52:1,8,12
172:12 177:16	190:11 193:4	170:4,16,22,25	164:2 178:19
193:22	194:20 197:5,20	171:12 172:14	hard 38:3,11,24
group's 3:12	198:8 200:13 202:4	173:2,6,9,19 174:22	41:7 67:9,10 83:11
grow 28:17 45:21	202:17 203:22	175:7,15 179:25	106:19
grown 49:22	204:8,10 206:11,12	180:8,18 181:18	harm 34:25 181:18
guess 31:5 65:11,12	206:12,13,15,23,24	182:16,25 183:7,11	hartford 16:1
87:12 107:3 109:2	207:4,11,22,23	183:22 184:11,17	hate 73:17
111:6 117:18,22	guidelines 9:14	184:23 185:1	head 56:16 57:7
119:22 130:15,25	31:24 32:22,24,25	186:17 187:13,22	64:5 83:4
132:4,4 136:13	57:8 63:7		

[header - indeterminate]

	107.04	102 0 1 4 107 1	77 10 70 05 00 10
header 203:24 204:2	107:24	183:8,16 187:1	77:12 79:25 80:19
heading 71:8 74:16	hold 121:13	188:25 189:1,3,6,15	80:22 83:22 91:3
75:11,13,24 199:2	honestly 24:6 41:20	191:5 194:21	109:21 112:16
200:10,11 203:7,14	hope 2:11,22 3:14	201:14	114:15 117:10
205:25	17:19 44:10 45:9,9	iii 22:1 46:6 55:11	132:6 133:17,23
hear 8:14 15:21	hopefully 64:18	55:15 108:11,19,21	134:6 135:19 137:9
23:15 42:2 46:23	hoping 2:16 56:3	109:17 122:18,21	137:11 138:1,22
55:2 79:17 142:19	65:19	122:22 123:2 170:3	143:10,11 151:6
154:23 161:22	host 57:5	173:14 183:16	153:4 158:10 159:7
175:20 177:20	hotel 39:25	184:18 188:2,6	170:20,21 171:4,5
heard 53:5 62:9	hour 58:17,18 190:5	imagine 16:24 55:12	172:22 180:11
99:23 116:25	hours 22:3	142:22	181:20,23 183:9
150:13 153:19	house 143:2 181:5	immediately 30:6	189:18 194:12
177:2 182:23	201:4,18	54:23,24	198:4 202:3,18
hearing 94:9 155:21	huge 20:17 88:21	imminent 34:25	204:11,19
help 5:20 29:18	huh 94:18 193:1	impact 35:19 36:5	incentive 28:21
33:12,20,22 34:8,9	hybrid 164:5	implement 32:22,24	201:13
42:12 52:24 53:13	i	64:11 88:20 89:23	incident 22:2,5
54:25 57:23 58:5	i.e. 185:25	105:16 117:17	include 12:13 23:14
59:19,21 65:23		122:1	71:4 72:12 147:14
79:10 98:23 199:12	idea 11:23 12:25	implementation	151:18 185:9,17
helped 34:24	45:24 46:12 48:9	90:3 111:25	included 141:13
helpful 27:20 61:10	69:10 82:19 86:15	implemented 62:21	151:23 158:2 204:6
65:23 96:25 97:14	108:2 123:3 194:8	62:25 80:22 102:25	207:21,21,23
167:4 181:16	ideas 43:17 49:15	105:20 107:25	includes 112:17
helping 65:10 68:1	112:23	136:14	146:20
helps 61:15	identical 11:15	importance 21:1,3	including 137:9
hey 22:21 79:14	identification 13:19	important 11:11	150:25 163:13,15
92:8	30:9	21:24 27:18 42:20	inclusive 82:1
high 19:9	identified 14:4	52:13 62:10 65:2	145:11
higher 10:4 32:4	121:16 122:2,4	66:8 71:23 84:5	incorporated
141:1	133:25 141:14	96:14 111:2 113:5	132:13
highlight 60:24	identify 13:24 76:23	122:20,25 124:7	increases 198:23
128:14 132:3	152:17	142:23 146:11	incredibly 27:20
highlighted 66:4	identifying 62:24	176:8 177:10	incurred 25:11
124:8 128:18 156:3	idiot 154:18 155:7	imprest 139:4,10,22	independence 205:4
156:9 158:4 159:4	ignorant 181:21	143:7 144:8,9,11	independent 7:16
highlighting 61:5	igra 18:11 31:3	147:25 148:4,8	17:7,19 25:12 65:21
hire 14:24 40:14	32:18,23 72:4,15	150:22 151:2,18,23	75:4 78:8 87:21
42:1	75:22	150:22 151:2,10,25	91:2
hired 143:2	ii 16:13 18:14 46:7	165:10	independently
hit 56:16 57:6 181:6	79:13 89:21 103:10	improved 123:15	144:5,7
hoc 54:24	107:14 108:10,20	inadequate 36:8	indeterminate
hoenig 3:12 6:10	109:1,9,14,16,25	inaudible 46:10	188:17
105:15,22 106:1	111:5 123:1 170:5	50:7 60:13 68:8	100.17
103.13,22 100.1		50.7 00.15 00.0	

[indian - jurisdiction]

10 17 01		• • • • •	170 2 176 21
indian 19:17,21	instance 66:12	interpretational	170:2 176:21
27:17 28:24 46:1	118:22 140:10	123:14	181:25 196:2
47:18,23 50:23	164:11	interpreted 88:2	205:17,24
indicate 24:19 112:1	instances 34:12	inventories 137:9	issued 94:7 171:4,17
112:12	40:18 67:21,25	138:19 144:15	issues 27:12 42:2,17
indicates 23:14	96:25	151:1 167:14	42:21 51:8 55:14
individual 7:17	instrument 111:17	inventory 132:20	64:24 72:19 90:15
10:25 25:21 30:15	integral 59:11	151:5,7,9 152:22	94:5 114:23 115:9
95:25 142:11,16,20	integrity 176:23	156:21 162:6 168:6	115:10 123:11
157:18 162:19,22	178:1,6	170:22,25 171:4,10	132:23 143:14
individuals 24:23	intended 88:3	171:15,17,20,22	151:20 156:9
industry 19:16,17	198:22 199:10	173:10 175:13	158:21 160:22
20:4 29:18 45:21	intent 56:1 86:6	176:7 177:19,22	164:7 204:24
47:11 49:16 144:3	135:16 147:9,16	180:7 183:8,15	206:10
152:20	191:21 200:18	184:18 191:10,19	issuing 54:12,13
ineffective 24:7,13	203:1 207:15	191:20,25 192:3	items 163:17
51:7	interesting 51:19	invest 26:10	itself's 45:20
ineffectively 25:5	82:15,17 172:24	investigating 37:2	i
informally 5:8	internal 8:19,20	investigative 33:7	J
information 3:7,11	9:11,12 10:12,20,21	110:14	j 71:8 176:22 179:22
63:15,17,23 66:4	10:23 16:22,24	investigator 54:6	james 57:4 58:8
93:15 101:18	18:18,24 19:3 20:6	investigators 37:1	jason 49:14 52:25
126:18 148:13	21:18 24:16 25:15	110:18	188:4
163:3 182:19	26:18,21,23 27:3,5	invite 57:3	jason's 44:19
infrastructure	27:21,23 28:12,18	involved 24:1,25	jeff 17:15 21:8 25:8
16:18	28:22,23 29:25	82:25 134:3 137:8	166:2 167:4 172:24
inherent 9:12 30:20	30:25 31:6,7 40:1,2	200:20	177:20 179:9
48:9,13 174:13	40:13,15 41:5 43:25	involvement 83:19	jeff's 14:4 44:19
initial 96:13 103:12	62:20,21,25 63:1	irs 49:18	job 1:25 16:9 19:4
104:1	73:20 75:16 77:13	issuance 91:20	34:7 38:2 39:19
innovation 44:8	77:19 82:8,11,12	150:6 170:22,25	40:24,24 41:1,3
139:12	83:24 84:20 85:5,9	193:17	42:10,11 43:21
innovations 45:22	86:19 110:8,9	issue 20:14 26:16	46:24 53:21 54:13
innovative 45:17	111:13 112:19	27:22 33:25 34:19	60:14 61:5 62:24
ins 53:12	138:6,6,7 143:1,2	42:4,5,10 54:22	85:20 132:21
insert 150:9	143:21 147:11,13	55:1,8 56:4 71:3	john 52:25
inserted 117:10	153:2154:5160:15	79:10 87:3 91:19	july 23:10,20 168:15
inside 193:22	164:14 181:7	92:4 93:12 95:13	168:17 183:13
inspect 173:22	internally 21:21	105:17,18 108:11	184:6,8
inspected 174.1	22:5,11,13	103.17,18 108.11	jump 73:17
183:4,9	internet 126:14,16	134:14 135:5,21	jumping 81:12
inspection 172:1,2	interpret 111:18	134.14 135.5,21	june 88:18 107:23
175:15 182:20	interpretation	141.20 142.3,23	jurisdiction 48:12
	122:24		48:17
183:2,6	122:24	154:23 161:4,6	
		163:23,25 169:6	

[justification - listed]

justification 96:4	know 2:24 3:1,5,12	163:12 167:2	184:15 185:23
k	5:21 7:11,12,14,18	173:19 175:22,23	186:7,22,25 187:8
	8:2,6,7,8 11:13,17	179:5 180:19	199:11 206:21
k 138:4	12:3 13:18 14:10,15	181:24 182:12,14	late 190:3,4 206:8
kathi 32:19 66:21	14:18,24 15:6,7,13	190:12 199:20	207:17
70:21 101:15	15:14,16,17,18	205:7 207:24	law 33:6
102:15 113:3	16:11 17:10,17	knowledge 59:18	lawyers 179:10
116:12 134:12	19:10 20:17,23	196:8	lead 89:18
141:4 147:2 150:1	22:16 23:8,17,18,24	known 12:9 13:13	leaders 83:11
165:7 168:13	24:11,22,22 25:1,3	74:15 181:23	leave 17:20,20 79:6
172:11 180:15	26:16 27:24 28:1,25	knows 50:25 93:16	80:16,20 157:10
181:13 182:23	29:16,20 31:3,14	93:16,17 144:15	165:22 171:14
183:5 184:21	32:8,16,19,25 33:1	kootenai 109:13	leaving 157:14
192:12	33:2 34:1,13,21	ks 37:23	leeway 96:25
kathi's 64:8 104:14	35:2 37:5,12 38:8		left 30:14 117:9
keep 23:3,5 27:18	38:10,15,21 39:6,10	<u>l</u>	126:12 181:1
41:17 54:15 58:14	39:16,20 40:6,10	l 1:24	190:10 208:20
133:12 155:20	41:2,11,13 42:7,7	labeled 78:7	length 186:19
187:19 196:15	42:18,24 43:20 44:1	lack 50:20 154:17	leo 4:16 17:15 21:8
207:25 208:9	44:4,24 46:14,15,21	206:20	25:10 35:13 59:13
keeping 76:16 181:8	47:7,11,16,17 48:5	lagging 126:22	101:15
keeps 118:21	52:9 53:7,8,9,12	laid 148:24	letter 16:4,12 70:17
key 12:9 62:18		landlubbers 2:12	91:7
186:1	54:4,11,21,21 55:15	language 63:4,16	
kick 7:6	59:5 61:5 64:20,22	73:5 77:15 94:11,21	level 10:4 12:15 19:9 24:23 27:5 32:4
kind 7:2,13 8:12	65:6,15,16,18,18,20	97:18 99:24,25	
9:15,16 14:18,19	66:1 67:4,22,22 69:14,15 73:10	100:15 101:25	33:22 38:21 40:11
18:9 32:5 38:25	, ,	102:2 111:14	40:22 48:10,19
42:20 43:14 54:12	79:20 83:1 85:20,22	113:22 120:5,8,9	50:10 69:4,14 80:17
59:19 62:14 63:6	86:7,18 88:17,17	121:1,2,20 122:3,19	83:9 94:15 108:23
65:1 68:1 79:7,9	89:7,12 92:3,6,12	137:13 138:23	122:16 123:10,15
85:15,25,25 86:1	92:15 96:10 98:20	140:20,21 148:12	levels 16:10 27:10
106:4 109:1 113:5	99:4 100:19 105:5,6	148:18,19 157:6	life 172:7
114:14 122:24	108:6 109:8,9,13	158:18 163:14	liked 15:13
131:4 133:11 147:6	110:9,25 113:13	165:1 189:3 192:4	limbo 109:2,5
170:7 178:14	115:4 116:22 122:8	197:6 199:12	limited 38:18
187:13 193:21	126:2 135:17	200:11 203:13	139:12
204:20 205:7,9,11	138:10 139:3,5	large 31:23 147:22	line 33:16 55:22
kinds 46:18,21	140:9 141:17	lash 31:10 106:18	61:3,3,15,15 66:2,2
86:24 118:19	143:25 144:2,9,23	106:21 116:2 117:3	90:2 93:7 108:20,23
172:15	144:25 145:13,20	117:22 118:1,11,24	115:4,4 116:23
kitsap 1:11	146:2,4,12,14	119:2,4 129:21	118:8 154:8
knew 100:17 126:1	148:14 150:1	157:8,14 158:10,24	list 60:2,7,9 77:10
161:25 162:2	151:18 153:10,12	162:21 164:20,24	129:16,17 177:14
	154:1,13,17 155:6,7	165:4 178:8 184:8	listed 4:25 30:4
	155:8 156:4 160:25		163:13

literally 141:15	190:6,10,14,18,22	67:13 70:3 77:16	lunch 41:15 56:12
litigation 39:1	191:4,24 192:5	83:21 84:9,12 85:21	56:14 58:14,15,17
little 3:3,18 4:4 5:2	193:12 194:7,16	85:21 110:14 111:6	59:7 75:14
5:14,17 6:1,18 7:8	196:1,7,21 197:3,17	111:13 142:4,12,13	m
7:14 12:25 17:1,4	204:7 207:2	144:14 145:4	machines 10:1
20:13 22:15 23:23	living 50:1	153:11 166:11	magee 128:14
29:15 32:4,5 36:24	located 85:18,19,20	184:21 204:24	magnify 106:18
39:15 41:11,12	133:19 149:14	205:13 207:19	main 125:20 129:23
42:14 49:11 52:18	location 10:25 136:8	looked 33:17 153:13	137:20 138:10,25
53:4,23,25 54:24	185:25 191:22,25	looking 9:16 16:6	139:9 140:11,15
55:6 58:18 59:8	192:2,8,19	18:25 23:12 31:20	143:8 147:7,19
60:12,18 61:1,8,14	lock 186:1	36:23 40:6,7,8	151:14 152:19
61:22,25 65:5 66:4	locking 28:12	49:24 63:25 64:9	163:12 171:14,24
66:11,15,18,20 67:2	log 46:17 178:24	66:16 70:25 75:22	183:23
67:18 72:1,18 74:12	185:6 191:20 192:3	87:16 105:18 112:3	maintain 55:8
86:4 87:2,5,15,24	192:8	114:10 133:2 135:1	175:23
88:6 89:3 90:8	logged 46:17	138:19 146:4	maintained 176:24
91:24 95:14 97:3,12	logging 46:18	148:22 149:21	185:25 191:10,15
97:13 101:4,12	logic 7:12	180:12 183:14	191:15,16
102:16,22 105:11	logical 80:15,20	184:8 193:16 198:9	maintaining 57:19
105:14,16,24 106:9	138:11 143:11	198:12 204:18	173:24 174:18
106:14 107:8,10,15	logically 80:23	looks 55:19,19	maintenance 172:8
110:4,10,17,22	143:23	64:15 67:14 117:9	175:5 176:2,8,12,16
111:1,20 112:8	logs 186:9 187:1	132:15 154:13	178:8,9 179:18,18
113:14,23 114:19	191:10,11,14,19,25	lose 188:18	majority 53:15
115:1,14,24 116:22	192:19	lost 12:4 30:10	64:16
118:4 121:3,6 123:5	long 46:11 52:1,3	145:18	makeup 33:10
124:15,20,23	57:15 91:18 95:11	lot 3:8 9:2 10:3	making 3:9 17:5
129:13 130:10	99:4 103:9,16,20,25	13:19 17:8 24:18	20:24 54:9 83:14
131:7,20,23 132:2	105:6,8 156:24	25:4,17,18 35:24	90:13 100:12 114:1
132:10 133:3,14,20	173:20 178:23	37:25 40:11,13 44:1	123:20 155:2
134:11 136:15	187:6 188:12 201:3	47:20 54:21 59:20	178:12 182:23
137:2,5,22 138:2	202:3 204:13	67:13 68:19 75:14	manage 27:13
152:1 155:11 156:8	longer 58:18 88:24	83:18 89:18 94:4,7	management 63:23
156:12,18,21 157:2	93:10 106:3 111:20	108:5 125:11 139:4	70:17 191:8,20
158:1,13,19 160:8	172:9 174:6 188:12	139:6 148:7 181:1	192:7
160:12 161:15,23	207:7	190:1 202:23	manager 59:25
162:3,13 164:16	look 4:6 9:3 10:10	206:10	196:11
167:3,16,21 168:6	10:10 11:15 12:1,11	lots 89:22	managing 149:4
168:10,19 169:2,5	12:15 17:3,10 19:23	louder 188:4	manipulated 178:13
169:12 170:9,14	23:19,24 30:14 31:7	lower 38:21 58:1	manner 134:23
174:4 177:6 181:15	32:7,19 33:4 36:7	69:4	manual 28:1
182:3,12 183:13	36:17 37:1 38:16,20	lowest 48:21	marked 73:4 178:13
184:4 185:5,13,20	39:24 40:1 55:20	lumping 142:10	
186:18 187:2 188:3	60:7 64:25 66:1		

[married - missed]

[1	
married 84:2	127:3,8,13,24	47:10 48:1 58:19	108:24 111:15
match 109:16	162:15 194:11,17	101:16 120:21	117:12 122:18,22
material 9:25 93:12	196:23 198:4,6,10	158:15 161:3	123:20 135:4,22
139:14	198:16,19	208:21	140:5,9 145:21,22
materiality 9:24,25	mean 11:8 12:19	meetings 130:3	145:23 146:1,4,5
materially 10:1	14:16 15:8,13,16,19	meets 34:25	middle 32:8
matt 20:14 31:21	17:12 20:21 23:18	member 2:13	mike 3:12 6:8
35:15 55:9	37:10 39:10 42:18	members 50:15	105:14
matt's 35:22	50:7,14 51:23 54:1	86:10 115:16	million 96:18
matter 34:13 36:20	61:12 66:9 73:3	memos 67:6	millions 26:10,13
36:21 49:18 67:10	74:20 76:18 77:15	mention 77:24	mind 19:4 73:13
69:10,13 71:10 73:6	82:6,9 86:6 92:11	127:12,20 128:12	74:2 91:17 122:17
73:14,22 78:24	92:22 93:5,21 94:2	129:21 174:17	132:21 137:14
81:12 84:15,19	94:9,20 101:15	mentioned 10:14	155:2 172:24
131:22 137:19	105:6 109:1 110:2	11:5 12:6 31:22	191:19 200:2
140:20	122:7 125:19	126:5 127:4	mindful 20:21
matters 67:12	134:17 139:1,16,18	merely 47:20 82:24	minds 18:12
matthew 4:17 15:24	140:5,9 144:3,10	mesh 108:9,13,16	mindset 44:9,15
17:15 18:8 25:8,10	146:13,22 148:22	message 12:4	45:12
31:9 32:2 36:16,19	150:8 151:4,22,22	messages 3:25 6:1,1	mine 110:23
38:6 43:10 47:8	152:5,13 153:18,25	met 26:11 29:10	minimum 10:23
52:16 62:9	154:2 159:12	30:9	16:22,23 23:1 24:16
maximum 28:23	162:19 174:18	metaphors 10:18	26:17,21,23 27:3,5
44:2	175:23 178:7,13	methodology 8:22	27:10,23 28:12,17
mcghee 7:23,23 8:11	179:14 180:10	22:24 49:10	28:22 31:24 39:4
12:18 13:23 16:20	181:9,21 182:2	mia 4:16,16 5:21	44:1 46:11 48:20
20:9 42:2 43:2	183:9 195:15	175:20 204:22	50:8 63:1 106:1,5
50:19,24 51:2 56:7	198:18,24 199:7	206:2	minimums 46:25,25
66:12,16,19 71:10	207:13	mia's 14:4 205:13	47:10 48:1
71:20 76:13 77:2,19	meaning 112:17	michele 15:23 17:14	minorities 44:17
77:23 78:10,13,15	means 11:18 99:23	18:10 150:20 163:7	minute 53:13
79:6 81:17 84:2	106:23 113:16	165:23	101:21 190:7
85:25 87:13 91:8	145:5 160:10	microphone 57:11	minutes 95:20
92:14,19 93:14 94:8	162:12 179:6	mics 16:2,12 19:9	190:10 207:25
94:19 95:5 97:9,17	186:17 191:19	28:5 32:6 35:11	mirror 111:14
97:23 98:4 99:20	194:17 195:3	38:3,11,16 39:24	mirrored 167:8
100:16,25 103:5	mechanics 180:24	41:7 44:11 46:8,9	misappropriated
104:23 106:17	medium 37:25	46:15 50:11 51:15	30:11
107:5 109:11,18	meet 23:1 26:9,15	55:12,13,16,25	misappropriation
110:6 111:24 112:4	30:16,22,25 33:13	59:12 62:5,19 69:18	175:9 180:23
112:10 114:3,22	47:2,3 53:20 88:25	70:4 71:5 72:3,23	181:11
115:8,18,21 116:7	90:7 96:3,5 150:17	74:18,25 79:3 80:20	misnomers 72:25
116:10,13,16,22	meeting 1:4 4:18,19	83:21 93:24 101:18	missed 81:4 141:21
118:13 120:4,11	6:15 7:12 21:7	103:10,17 104:9	145:11 153:6
125:5 126:4,10,14	33:23 35:5 46:24	107:2 108:19,20,21	
L	1		

[missing - nigc]

missing 150:4	78:18 79:9 80:2	moves 159:12	131:1 136:13,18
188:19	81:9 83:6 85:1,11	moving 91:1 121:14	138:23 141:17
mission 10:10 36:18	87:7 88:4,8 89:6,20	130:19 132:7	143:13,16 148:15
36:18 62:18 181:8	90:16 95:7,16,21	156:23 181:9,10	148:19 152:4
181:10	96:16,24 97:15,19	multiple 17:9	153:17 164:10
mistake 117:21	97:25 103:1,7,14,22	127:17 142:10	167:2 176:3 182:19
mistakes 172:22	104:13 107:13,16	multitude 51:1	186:22 187:21
misunderstanding	107:20 108:7 109:7	52:19	191:20 192:13
191:8	109:12,24 110:13	music 161:22	196:17 199:9
mitigate 12:10 13:5	110:19,23 132:17	n	204:24 207:8
13:21 14:1,9 16:7	133:9 135:9,13,20	n 140:6,13	needed 3:10 40:8
23:25 25:25 121:16	137:6 139:8,21,25	nail 55:4 56:16 57:7	63:11
122:2,4	140:16,25 141:5,25	name 58:7 79:8	needs 14:5,6 17:24
mitigated 20:5	148:11 165:22	80:11 85:16 133:23	71:9 74:16 79:2
29:13 32:15	176:25 179:8	names 69:11	82:9 94:14 108:22
mitigating 119:20	184:16 187:6	narrated 117:9	112:22 146:24
123:17	189:15,22 193:21	narrowing 60:2	150:7 153:13
mixing 10:18	194:1 196:17 200:8	nation 50:8	174:15,25 176:9
modified 204:21	200:10 201:6,10,25	nationally 53:9	191:10,23 203:21
moment 147:1	202:9 203:13	nationally 53.9	negotiation 39:1
money 143:7 146:15	204:16 205:22,24	natural 202:15	nevada 16:4
147:23 149:13	morning 2:6 3:4	nature 45:3,3	never 27:2,7 107:25
200:23,24,25	4:21 5:22 11:23	necessarily 52:14	126:10 128:1
201:22,24	56:24 62:10 64:22	66:1 92:14 95:21	143:24 144:3
monitoring 18:14	207:19	148:16 155:4	181:22 200:2
month 88:24 95:24	morphological	necessary 7:15	new 19:15 26:9 49:6
102:19 143:3	136:17	32:22 34:25 112:16	53:21 57:25 67:23
monthly 92:18	move 67:10 68:3	121:15 122:1,3	78:21 79:11 88:16
months 88:5,9,11,12	86:12 103:14 113:8	need 3:16 6:6 10:21	88:19 92:13,15 93:4
90:18 91:1 94:6	124:13 136:7	13:4,21 14:17,21	93:25 97:11 107:17
95:12,17,22 96:5,7	148:12,21 152:3	15:11 16:11 17:18	130:22 163:2,5
96:8,8,11,12,14	153:17 156:5,17	18:5 20:25 27:24	164:23 181:16
97:25 103:5,7,15,20	162:4 169:13	31:6,7 35:7,8,9,12	182:21 183:3
103:22,24 104:3,4,5	179:14 182:8,11	40:6,7 42:7 46:17	184:19 185:24
104:9,10 105:2,2,3	190:20 205:16	48:3 52:24 53:19	189:1
106:12,15,15	206:1	55:6,7 58:4,5 62:2	newer 89:11
111:21 154:19	moved 19:10 63:16	62:25 64:23,25 74:3	nigc 7:1 10:6 13:10
172:9,25	66:23 67:15 71:11	75:25 76:20 79:4,18	13:21 16:3,13 17:23
morgan 18:9 20:11	71:13 73:5,7 80:8	80:12 83:11 85:17	18:1,14,25 20:1,7
21:1 32:3 38:6	80:17 92:2 129:17	85:23 97:15 102:13	20:10 22:19 27:19
43:12,14 52:17 53:7	132:12,13,15,22	104:20 111:22	32:10,11,14 34:9
55:17 64:7 67:4	133:4 148:24 204:8	114:1 115:13,22	35:10 36:17 38:17
68:22 69:6,9 70:8	204:22	114:17113:13,22	45:2 46:9 48:1
70:25 72:9,23 74:10	movement 132:12	126:5 127:4,25	49:17 57:18,20,25
75:8,18 76:11 77:7		120.0 127.1,20	62:4 63:20 64:9

66:16 70:1,15 83:17	noticed 54:4	okay 2:5 4:14 5:9,13	134:6,9 137:15
85:11 87:19 102:19	notification 93:15	5:14 6:2,12 7:6 20:1	140:1 171:18 182:4
104:18 110:13	93:18	22:4 25:7 29:10	196:12 201:21
112:4 113:21,21	nov 53:2 55:5	42:8 43:9 52:5	205:3,7,16,21
122:11,18,19	november 130:24	55:10 56:17 57:3,16	onerous 9:6
129:24 150:19	novs 54:12,13 55:8	58:6,11,13 59:5	ones 31:20 38:20
156:25 158:9	number 24:7 33:15	60:23 61:17,23 62:1	58:3,4 83:17 107:17
159:19 164:2,9	35:25 93:9 127:11	62:7 65:14 66:14	109:19 187:20
167:7,18 185:8	127:13,14,18,25	67:2 72:16 76:16	online 101:6 130:11
196:24 204:18	130:4 171:3 186:9	80:9 81:21 90:22	onus 154:20,21
206:7	187:1 190:24	93:10 97:5 102:6,10	000 2:3 59:3
nigc's 10:10 13:3	206:19	102:15 106:22	open 61:14 122:23
14:12 16:8 31:4	numbers 5:25	111:22 112:21	132:1 138:14 144:4
33:16 42:6 48:17	0	114:3,6 115:21,22	144:8,15 147:24
50:3 104:21 176:5	o 67:7	115:25 116:17	205:5
night 5:3,6	o'haleck 1:24	119:4 121:8,9	opener 144:6
nine 88:7,10 90:23	objective 26:15 29:9	122:20 123:22,24	openers 144:1
91:1 95:11,22 96:5	41:22 68:7 77:12	124:6 127:6,21	opening 28:16 41:24
96:7,7,13,14 97:25	observation 26:4,4	128:13,22,25 129:1	openly 41:20
98:18 103:19 112:5	obsolete 26:19	129:4,19 130:17,17	operate 48:11
131:10,24,24 132:1	obtain 41:9 201:13	131:25 133:3,10	107:18 181:10
138:3	obviously 90:13	137:5 140:20	operating 18:13
nj366120 1:25	136:16	151:25 153:15	47:1 94:10 107:2,3
nodding 64:5 83:3	occur 30:22	156:14,23 157:24	109:2,5 139:10
nomenclature 75:12	occurred 59:7,7	158:2,3,22,25	140:8 143:15,16
82:2	occurs 189:23	160:10 161:7,22	154:8
nominated 59:24	october 88:17,18	163:6,8 165:5,16,23	operation 7:19,20
non 55:12 188:22	offer 150:12	168:2 169:7,10	7:20 16:14 19:3
nonapplicable	offering 25:16	173:16,17 175:24	20:23 21:21 24:21
140:5	office 41:2,3 42:4	177:13 180:13	25:21 27:7 35:9
noon 41:16	88:16	182:1 184:24 185:3	39:25 55:15 63:11
norm 144:3	officer 110:2	185:3 186:23 187:9	63:23 70:13 80:17
normally 104:3	officers 54:7	190:1,1,20 192:22	82:8,21 84:12 92:18
154:11	offices 37:1 41:1	192:24 193:6,8,13	93:16 108:14 109:1
norms 33:23	55:22	195:7,25 196:6	110:11,14 111:5
northeast 1:10 4:11	oftentimes 27:4 29:6	197:8 198:20 199:9	139:14 143:24
northwest 2:10,21	ogas 56:15	203:13 205:22,23	152:14 154:13
note 121:10 165:8	oh 72:6 75:10 79:4	206:4,13,24 208:16	174:19 191:9
165:12,16 167:25	79:21 84:13 93:3	oklahoma 46:2 53:8	194:12,13 205:8
notebook 99:17	107:10 119:2,4	55:18,18 56:4,5	operation's 85:4
101:19	138:20 157:4 169:7	old 132:3 203:24	99:3 102:5
notes 3:9 100:9	183:10 184:20	204:2	operational 24:20
167:18	185:17 186:25	omb 17:17	44:4 73:20 86:16,20
notice 88:13 105:22	188:1 191:1 203:15	once 3:21 40:5 83:17	86:25 145:17
	100.1 171.1 203.13	103:17,24 105:21	

operationally 13:7	outcome 63:6	203:20 206:6	pause 57:9 146:25
81:2	outdated 28:14	pages 23:14 49:23	pay 16:25 19:13
operations 24:2,17	63:14	paid 201:4	89:25
24:22 25:5,20 39:5	outfit 41:4	pants 161:20	payroll 39:25 40:7
39:11 40:3 50:12	outlining 30:18	paper 49:1 60:10	peers 59:19
62:22 63:22 90:25	outside 16:5,25	130:9 174:5	penalizes 48:4
94:4,5 109:8,14,25	18:21 40:14 44:5,12	paradigm 19:18	people 3:16,22,23
111:19 125:10	63:19 166:18	paragraph 76:13	4:2 5:8,10,12 9:15
143:22 205:17	188:20,22	80:1 90:25 107:5	27:24,25 28:2,6,9,9
operators 29:4 35:8	overall 61:10 142:17	171:10 204:19	29:11,12 33:9 35:4
48:11,14	142:18 171:22	part 2:14,15 23:9	35:12 36:10 38:5,14
opinion 24:6 25:5	172:7	40:10 44:16 47:20	38:15 39:20 44:5
28:23 33:17,17,20	overcome 46:13	48:25 55:13 63:9	46:3 48:24 53:15
56:2,5 69:1 70:2	overlap 88:24	67:25 70:8 71:15	56:20,22 60:7,9
77:9,12,14 87:23	overlook 70:16	80:20 81:7 82:13	83:3 84:10,11
88:1 91:7,11,12	overlooked 154:3	83:19 85:3 94:13	108:10 112:22
94:3,7 97:21 111:21	175:2	101:18 112:16,17	117:2 123:25
115:11,23 207:14	overriding 29:24	112:17 122:2	130:16 140:6
opinions 55:22	oversight 24:7 38:21	135:16 136:16	142:17 144:5,7
opportunity 37:9,14	38:22 39:12 40:11	142:3,14 143:4	146:10 151:23
42:12 56:20	50:13 82:12	151:9 159:24 163:5	153:17 155:19
opposed 48:3,20	overview 62:15	169:19 173:5	165:19 174:2 179:5
84:18 95:13 96:22	131:4 133:11	174:16 180:6 186:5	percent 145:19
140:18 181:8	owned 81:4,5,5	198:13 201:3	percentages 202:1
opted 101:19	ownership 82:14,20	204:19	perfect 193:10
option 106:8	82:22 83:25 84:10	participated 62:13	perform 77:11 78:9
options 38:13	owns 83:8	particular 9:1,12	83:25 110:1 154:6
139:13	oxley 9:7 26:6 27:15	33:1 34:1 48:16	194:18 195:13,18
order 53:20 96:3	29:23,24	104:8 105:21 161:6	205:8
116:11 156:19	р	166:11 180:16	performance 48:5
159:13,20 193:24	p.m. 58:20 59:2	194:10,25	performed 15:12
195:22 196:15	208:21	particularly 9:5	69:7 73:2 82:5
orderly 179:14	paced 57:18	74:23 80:9	139:17 205:15
organization 9:13	package 23:8,18,21	particulars 136:20	performer 48:21
11:10,10,14 26:25	31:14 42:24 161:10	parties 93:18 188:22	155:5
27:2,12 50:17 53:12	page 66:22 90:23,25	parts 3:24 21:13	performing 34:10
organizations 8:20	103:19 112:5 138:2	39:25	73:14,21 95:15
24:13 26:15	138:16 156:15,25	pass 17:12 19:8 42:9	123:7
organize 136:17	157:2,3 168:9,11,17	passed 15:18	period 88:24 91:16
organizing 142:8,24	169:14 183:7,17	path 52:7	105:2 143:3 185:18
original 86:6 114:11	184:13 185:4,23	paths 30:24	186:6,12,14,16
originally 49:20	186:7,8,25 190:25	patron 200:21 203:5	187:4 189:19 200:4
89:22	197:10,12,22 198:1	patrons 201:12	person 2:9 21:14
ought 146:22,23	198:1,2,13,13,15,18	203:10,18 204:15	23:1 32:8 194:11

	1	1	1
personal 40:11	placement 148:18	61:13 64:19 71:18	positions 54:5
196:8 207:14	places 8:5 80:8	74:5,14 76:2 84:7	possess 21:5
personally 64:9	123:9	90:13 93:14 100:6	possible 152:25
92:22	plan 4:18 8:25 17:11	107:3 111:4 120:14	possibly 93:6 105:4
personnel 22:12	131:12 161:24	122:6 123:20	138:10 143:21
143:22	164:2	125:13 142:17	post 201:21
perspective 28:15	planned 5:1	148:2,10 149:3	posted 54:5 101:6
28:16 31:5 43:19	planning 4:15	152:24 153:3 162:9	130:16 199:25
45:20 51:20 79:20	plastic 171:7,11,25	162:14 163:3 172:3	203:11
109:25 122:12	172:17 174:4	178:21 179:17	postpone 99:7
138:5,6 145:16,17	176:22 177:10,14	182:19 183:18	postponed 107:18
145:17 146:12	177:15 179:23	196:15 205:13	potential 55:1 154:3
pertain 21:15	180:14,15	206:1 208:13	potentially 91:21
philosophy 65:15	play 32:11 91:16	pointed 19:14 31:18	96:11,12 103:15,24
phone 52:23	93:13 123:19	60:25 142:3 179:11	171:21
physical 175:13	178:23 181:5	pointer 79:20	pots 199:3,5 204:1
178:25	187:19,21 188:12	pointing 76:19	204:13
pick 6:22 52:23	188:14,15,16	120:1 166:6	practical 7:14
108:15 148:21	196:19,20	points 17:5 35:14	practicality 142:25
208:19	played 43:23	65:1,19 80:1 135:13	practice 189:13,16
picking 180:1,4	player 169:21,25	155:20 182:5	189:22 190:16,17
picture 27:1 146:16	170:4,10 200:23	poker 143:12 148:8	190:19 196:8
piece 60:9 67:21	201:8,14,24,25	152:15 172:19	practices 190:12
109:23 145:6,24	202:20 203:4	181:23 196:10	preamble 119:23
154:3 180:1	player's 201:19,22	police 57:21	precluded 123:20
piecing 146:16	players 196:13,16	policy 32:4	preface 141:15
195:8	playing 156:22	polish 37:10	prefer 45:14 101:13
piggyback 25:10	168:7 170:1 176:7	political 44:4 83:11	106:12
pilot 48:23 49:2,6,12	177:25 178:5,12	politics 50:22	preference 153:24
pink 118:15	180:4 185:24 189:9	pool 199:17 200:23	premature 114:11
pixel 96:17	please 102:8 116:5	201:19 203:18	premise 65:12
place 4:10,12 7:22	117:14 128:24	pools 199:3,6,22,24	premises 65:6
8:19 12:10 13:5,21	168:3 197:7	203:10 204:1,13	prepared 31:21
25:25 30:7 34:14	plenty 44:25	poor 40:23 46:24	prescribe 139:11
36:13 52:7,19 58:3	plus 95:12 96:14,15	poorer 41:3	prescribes 35:11
75:20 77:20,21,24	98:18 106:15	poorest 20:22 155:5	prescriptive 45:3,23
79:12 80:7 86:24	podium 147:9	poorly 207:14	present 101:17
121:17,17,18	171:21 187:11,20	popular 15:19	133:11
130:25 136:10,13	191:16	portion 164:4	presentation 10:8
137:12 156:2	point 2:19,19 7:15	201:15	presented 23:8
168:12 171:20	21:22 27:11 32:3	portions 140:7	presenting 100:14
176:23 189:14	35:11,22 39:13 42:6	206:12	presents 88:21
placed 135:21	46:23 47:7 52:18	position 39:17 42:13	preset 8:6
140:21 143:23	53:5 54:1,9 57:2	95:10 161:5	pressure 20:17 44:4
	59:11,22 60:20		44:5,5

[pretty - putting]

pretty 4:17 7:19	procedures 18:23	202:23 203:18	provisions 86:25
8:24 13:14 23:11	24:20 34:13 38:12	202.25 205.18	99:5 121:12 166:18
38:22 61:4 65:7	38:13 63:17,25 64:1	promulgated 103:18	prudent 22:25
93:11 122:3 124:16	68:20 69:17,25	103:25	public 9:8,10 27:14
152:20 181:22	71:20 73:1,10 74:5	promulgating 90:10	37:21 41:13,14,16
prevent 134:24	74:21,25 82:13	proof 155:7	43:4 56:14,17,21
175:8,18 186:2	143:16,17 160:15	proofs 154:18	65:21 78:8 82:22
189:11,18	proceed 155:14	proper 34:21 147:15	91:2,8 208:3,4,7,13
previous 72:23,24	process 13:3,17	properly 173:25	208:15,18
88:4	43:20 47:9 48:14	189:10	publically 37:24
previously 104:2	58:3 61:11 63:1	properties 37:25	publish 108:18
primarily 13:24	68:23 85:24 86:15	38:1	published 89:21
primary 18:13 34:7	90:9 93:8 108:9	property 9:4 12:17	90:5 105:9,9
principal 125:20	111:3,15 132:18	12:17,19,19 13:8,9	pull 6:3,3,4,5 29:17
principally 7:1	154:6,7 166:8	16:1 17:11 19:7	77:16 131:15,17
principles 125:18,20	182:21 189:17	25:21 150:23	137:1 149:10
print 130:6	203:19	151:13,15,19	165:15
prior 88:18 207:3	processes 29:22	152:17 164:8	pulled 70:23 149:11
priorities 89:12	produce 32:20	proposal 61:21	purchase 170:1
private 9:11	product 111:6	62:12 152:7 160:4	purchasing 40:7
prize 203:10	professional 8:13	198:17	purely 109:14
probability 181:5	20:8	proposed 46:25 63:3	purple 118:15
probably 13:14 17:8	professionalism	65:3 66:17 70:20	purpose 70:1 127:14
24:4 30:11 32:3	40:22	90:10 99:8 105:23	132:22 172:20
37:19,22 39:14,19	professionals 20:4	106:17 107:17	purposes 107:21
51:8,14 69:4 71:9	program 22:22 36:7	108:12 116:4	208:16
100:7 101:8 118:11	36:9 48:24 49:2,6	127:19 155:1,19	push 96:19
125:10 152:16	49:12 54:19 59:22	164:9 206:7,20	pushed 89:23,24
153:2 160:1 174:15	60:6,11	protect 33:23	put 7:9 18:5,12
187:19 188:24	programs 144:24	protecting 181:7	21:23 22:6,12 32:7
191:23	145:10 199:4,6,8,19	prove 123:4	34:14 37:10 43:2,4
problem 6:7 46:12	200:5	provide 36:21 53:18	61:8 73:5,7 75:24
47:11 52:3 80:11	progressive 180:2	54:14 55:7 56:14,20	79:12 80:8 85:12,14
88:22 123:6 135:6,7	181:3,6 199:3,5	56:21 57:1 84:1	86:23 89:15 90:1
161:1 162:10	201:21,21 204:13	125:24 147:17	109:20 116:24
problematic 122:15	promise 73:17	208:13,15,18	121:12 127:24
procedural 12:15	promised 31:14	provided 23:11 43:6	130:11 149:7,11
45:2 167:10	promoting 32:21	59:20 105:3 130:23	150:18 152:5 154:4
procedurally 32:6	promotion 200:17	provides 61:1	161:20 177:13,14
45:13 174:20	202:16 203:4	providing 33:12	177:23 181:16,19
procedure 77:3	promotional 199:3	54:23	186:23 189:2 193:5
139:11 171:18	199:5,17 200:24	provision 19:25	193:15
173:6,7 174:23	204:13	155:16 166:12	puts 45:19
177:9	promotions 199:8	168:3 178:20	putting 12:10 33:8
	200:14 202:13,20		65:14 89:15 136:20
1			30.11.07.10.100.20

142:6	70	28:16,20,24 30:18	100:12 101:24
	r	31:20 36:4,10 44:10	102:8 104:19
q	radar 156:10	46:17 47:24 54:21	113:17,18 116:23
qualified 16:2	raise 54:1 102:9	59:18 60:3,19,20	116:25 120:4,6
question 6:25 10:5	115:17 116:5 124:4	61:10 62:17,23 63:6	121:11 126:4,6
11:8 31:2,10 32:16	128:24 160:7 168:3	63:8 64:8,13 66:13	127:3 128:19,24
32:21 33:14 48:18	193:9 197:6	68:14 74:21 76:19	150:18 153:19
52:21 69:9 72:17	raised 66:10 115:7	82:25 84:14 85:12	157:15 160:3
74:6 78:15 82:16,18	152:2 153:23 169:6	101:2 107:2 113:25	164:17 167:17,19
83:7 87:13 88:14	175:25	120:2 121:15	190:11
90:20 91:13 94:20	raising 42:21 182:5	123:15 126:2	recommendations
96:10 97:7 98:14	rake 201:3	127:17,18 132:19	72:21 86:5 160:23
100:11,15 102:18	ramos 49:15 138:25	136:9 156:24	recommended 43:3
104:6,8 107:1	161:25 170:7,12	165:19 166:14	190:16
108:17 110:11	188:2,5,9,24 189:2	206:24	recommending
112:11 113:13,20	189:6 190:3	reason 3:20 51:2	42:23,25 43:1 98:14
114:17 115:7	ran 108:12	52:9 81:8 83:6	98:16,17 128:7
119:21 125:5	rapid 4:19 100:3,22	88:13 90:17 122:17	reconciliation
135:10 139:20	101:16 120:21	148:20 151:11	173:11 203:19
142:19 150:21	129:17 130:22	162:21	reconditions 14:20
154:15 155:24	rational 23:1	reasonable 9:22	record 6:16,17,19
158:6 160:3,9,13	rationale 61:9	10:7,8 22:25 202:10	6:21 37:15 39:14
163:1 172:24 173:3	reached 92:13,15	reasoning 61:9	41:19 43:2,4 208:16
173:12,17 174:12	93:2,22	132:7,11	recorded 137:11
175:25 180:15	read 30:1 87:22 121:22 124:4	reasons 19:23 42:15	193:18
187:22 194:5		50:24 51:1,3 52:18	recordkeeping
198:19,25 206:14	129:10 136:1,2	52:20 75:19 111:2	97:16
207:20	143:14 146:23	recall 59:17	redline 67:19 72:25
questionnaire 40:2	166:12,17 readdress 50:1	recalling 99:11	99:23 100:16,18,24
questions 5:97:9,10	reader 135:25	receipt 30:6	101:1 118:3,7,12,13
31:2 47:21 55:18		receipts 30:3,5,10	118:16,20 119:12
56:7 58:10 61:19 62:3,3 66:13 114:5	reading 79:3 80:25 137:6 166:4,18	receive 5:11	redlined 101:7
,	reads 193:20	received 77:18	redlines 118:18,19
115:5,17 131:5 169:14 185:15	ready 156:5 160:3	130:13 171:4,17	redlining 100:10
	182:11 193:7 206:1	receiving 207:4	101:2 118:22
quick 29:14 30:1 122:11 124:16	206:4	recess 129:3 190:8	reduce 35:21
179:22	real 42:9 46:11	recessed 58:19	redundant 148:15
quicker 108:5	49:25,25 63:19	208:21	148:17
quicker 108.5 quickly 3:7	146:23	recognized 13:22	reevaluate 34:15
quickly 5.7 quiet 2:22	realistic 28:13 38:16	recollection 132:17	reference 67:23,25
quite 2.22 quite 35:24 55:2	reality 50:4 51:23	recommend 40:4	68:11 70:19,21,23
108:16 126:25	realize 144:17	81:15 102:4	71:15 113:11
quote 201:17	really 3:6 13:10	recommendation	137:16 145:24
Yuuu 201.17	18:16 26:20 27:6	97:15,17,24 98:5,7	146:7 149:4 154:4
	10.10 20.20 27.0	98:22 99:21,24	168:16,18 174:21

177:10 183:23	169:11 179:12,12	reminds 202:9	89:18 93:10 139:23
184:11 185:15	179:20 180:7,20	removal 182:20	140:8 191:19,22,25
186:4	181:2,7,19 184:12	186:8 189:8	requirement 35:15
referenced 164:21	185:1,2 198:3	remove 187:18	46:16 70:12,16 72:4
177:6 183:21	207:22	199:22 205:15	72:5 77:25 79:15
204:25	regulations 4:22	removed 61:6	85:12 96:17,21
references 117:7	7:18 25:23 26:13	126:11,13 171:5	104:1 105:20
185:14 199:13	31:15 47:13 48:21	187:20 189:11	173:14 185:10
referencing 178:14	63:5,11,13,19,20	199:21 203:22	requirements 18:2
179:1 185:10	64:3 66:17 88:16	render 70:2	35:1 79:7 90:6
referred 63:20	89:17 104:3 155:5	renders 69:1	125:14 126:1 182:9
referring 71:16	203:23	renumbered 159:17	185:12,17
76:10 91:5 112:7,9	regulator 18:14	repair 176:15	requires 154:1
refers 153:10	19:21 22:21 34:7	repeat 117:13	requiring 139:25
171:11	regulators 122:16	139:19 170:23	reserve 89:6
reg 95:22 107:25	regulatory 10:9	repeated 148:24	reserved 117:9
159:20 179:17	18:19 21:12 22:5	repeating 136:22	resolution 161:12
regard 31:10 154:10	24:2,5,14 29:1	replaced 132:5	resolved 207:10
155:3 182:9	35:18 38:21 39:12	replying 20:4	resort 1:9
regarding 25:10	40:5,12 48:4,15	report 22:5 65:22	resources 21:6 33:9
163:25	53:8,10 95:23,25	83:17 87:21 90:21	34:5 52:10 54:18
regardless 137:3	regurgitate 63:13	91:3,9,19,20,25	respect 150:19
201:22	78:19	92:2,3,4,8,24 94:17	respectful 162:8
regime 57:25	regurgitating 64:1	97:10	respectively 162:4
region 53:6 55:19	80:2	reporting 77:3	respond 23:5 37:17
regional 55:22	reintroduced	78:11 99:12 125:17	responsibilities
register 90:6 105:5	188:14,19	reports 22:2 25:13	63:22
105:9	reintroduction	33:18	responsibility 14:1
regs 43:5,7 51:3,6,7	188:22	representative 25:2	15:2 19:19 54:3,10
51:8,12 67:24 90:9	related 7:5 59:10	represented 51:14	82:4 83:15,16 84:14
105:1 108:4	131:1 134:5 137:14	represents 62:15	84:15,23 85:4 93:23
regular 25:13 172:8	176:2 193:4 204:12	request 6:15 55:25	151:6,10 152:15
178:24	relates 91:12 111:25	66:22 162:4,8	rest 3:13 15:15,20
regulate 14:21	relative 11:6 16:13	requested 38:9	15:21 16:19 22:16
122:21	16:16	55:16	33:1 35:23 36:20
regulated 25:6	relevant 95:10,12	requests 96:9	37:6 39:16 41:4
122:19	96:5 198:8	require 16:4 17:10	42:16 46:23 50:5
regulates 22:1	rely 21:10 40:16	22:11 26:19 73:10	51:19 61:4 62:11
regulation 6:24 7:3	relying 32:13	75:22 135:25	64:13 69:14 71:14
29:3 61:6,7 72:4	remember 4:16 79:4	160:18 198:23	73:24 80:14 82:6
86:24 109:17 115:6	131:7 141:15 158:7	required 16:20,21	89:20 106:25 114:7
131:12 136:20	172:12,14 202:11	21:7 22:10 32:20	114:16 115:11
149:1 154:21 155:3	remind 83:12	33:18 35:5 69:25	123:7 127:16 139:8
157:5 164:21,25	reminder 79:12	70:5 76:8,20,23,24	141:12 144:22
165:2 166:19		83:10 88:20,25	145:8 148:11,17
	1		

149:15 167:2	103:1 104:11	23:24 25:16 26:25	197:11
186:15 199:16	106:10 107:6 109:2	27:2,8 29:13,19	rooms 140:1 163:15
200:7	110:1,13,20 113:2	30:9,10,20,21 33:11	route 52:11
rest's 150:23	114:17 115:14,19	35:7 37:5,5 40:1	rudely 81:24
restated 148:25	115:24 116:21	49:16,24,25 50:3,9	ruin 18:7
restrictively 30:5	117:3,4 118:1 119:3	62:2 83:12 119:20	rule 34:19 88:19
resumed 58:20	119:14 120:9,19	122:7 123:18	105:20,23,23,25
retire 189:11	121:2,10 122:5	139:14 141:12,20	106:4
retroactively 93:10	124:12,18 126:9,25	149:7,24 173:19	rules 8:8 18:1 36:2
return 134:14 150:6	126:25 127:19	205:8	38:25 57:8 90:10
183:8,14 184:18	129:12 130:19	riskier 13:8	106:7 199:25
193:17	131:21,24 134:25	risks 12:6,8,19 13:1	203:11
returned 199:24	137:23 138:20	13:5,6,12,15,22	run 48:10 56:1
201:24 203:10,18	139:24 141:5	14:4,4 23:25 26:1	99:19 154:24
returning 200:25	142:21 146:13	32:15 60:3 121:16	181:21
returns 151:12,14	148:5 150:25	122:2,4 133:1	running 47:16
reuse 189:11	155:24 156:16	rita 130:15	201:16,16,17,18
reused 189:10	157:1 158:20	road 143:5	rush 130:1
revenue 86:9,10,12	159:18,21 160:2	robert 2:8 106:18	S
86:16,17 87:1 93:2	162:14,17 163:7	121:21 188:24	safe 19:25 20:12
145:13	164:24 165:3,12	robin 25:8 31:9	21:2 31:22 45:5
review 16:2,22	166:7 167:17,22	129:20 130:14	51:21 52:1,8,12
21:14,18 77:2 86:1	168:8,9,24 169:3,4	157:7 164:19 191:5	55:21 164:2 178:19
111:15 141:13,17	169:7 170:6 175:10	role 13:3 14:12 15:5	safely 4:9
reviewers 14:23	177:14 178:4 180:8	15:6 18:15,16 32:7	sake 74:22
reviewing 91:22	181:11,13,14 184:9	32:11,17,18,25 33:3	salish 2:9 109:13
revised 31:15	185:6,7 186:19,20	34:2 43:22,23 52:22	sampling 18:24
100:14 112:22	187:14 188:7 189:5	82:24	sarbanes 9:7 26:6
130:21	190:9,12,21 192:9	roll 202:21	27:15 29:23,24
rid 126:15 192:21	192:10,19,24	rolled 94:1	satellite 140:15
200:6	193:10 194:15,19	rolls 170:10	147:8 152:12,22
right 4:5,7 6:6 7:4	195:25 196:22	room 25:3 32:8	164:11
12:20 16:21 18:1,4	197:1,9,13,14,21	90:19 133:19,19	satisfactory 16:14
18:7 19:20 32:9	198:1,10 200:4	134:17,18 137:15	satisfied 16:3
36:11,23 37:14,16	204:3,4,9	137:16,19 138:10	satisfy 148:13
40:17 41:17 45:25	rigid 26:18 47:5	138:25 139:2,3,22	saturday 3:24 205:6
47:9 50:5 56:6,19	rise 69:13	140:2,11,24,24	save 130:9
58:15 60:15 65:9,13	rises 34:25	141:2,7,9,18 143:8	saw 9:7 26:5 127:4
66:18,19 70:24	risk 4:21 6:24 7:2	143:18,25 147:7,19	saving 16:12 36:7
71:13,23 72:8,9	9:24 10:3,19,19,24	148:8 150:10,23	39:3 42:16 46:23
81:11,11 87:3,10,14	11:3,6,24 12:5,21	152:15,19,22 164:7	49:5 51:21 59:21
87:15 88:7 89:7	13:8,19,24,25 14:1	165:9 167:14	69:14 70:22 71:6,7
90:10 91:13 97:22	14:3,9,10 15:12	171:14,17,20	71:8 72:10 76:4,25
98:19 99:6 100:18	16:7,16 17:3 19:7	181:23 191:17	78:23 79:21 81:24
100:21 101:11,22	20:5 21:23 22:4	196:11,18 197:11	

			1
90:17 92:8 95:17,19	second 35:22 36:15	175:12 176:7	seed 201:5
105:3 112:9 119:23	46:5 57:10,11 68:11	177:12 183:6	seeded 201:2
121:17 128:9	107:5 121:14,24	184:17 185:9,12,17	seeing 49:19 64:10
134:12 136:23	126:13 127:3 152:9	186:8,9 190:12	64:17 148:23,23
137:3,8 141:12,15	162:7 170:18 186:5	197:4,10 199:20	seen 20:18 21:12
142:9,11,20,23	189:16 206:7	200:17,17,19 203:8	23:9 114:13 143:24
144:22 145:16	seconded 37:12	203:17,21 204:20	segment 146:11
149:22 160:21	section 59:12 62:8	205:1,14,25 206:3	segregate 182:21
163:8 164:22 167:2	63:8,9,17 66:24	206:23 207:21	segregated 183:3
167:5 173:15 177:8	67:14,15 68:13	sections 62:11,11	184:19
178:15 183:20	71:11,13,15,21 74:4	64:10 66:6,14 67:11	select 82:22
191:5 200:1	74:16 76:9 78:23	67:23 84:10 102:1	self 131:5
says 19:25 23:20	79:2,18 81:24 82:2	113:4 119:25	send 5:19,20,21 6:10
27:23 28:5 29:12	82:10 84:3,3,12	127:17 140:7	11:22 21:17 22:2
32:19,22 36:16 38:4	85:2,6,21,22 86:1,7	142:10,12,16,20	83:17 130:12,15,15
42:3 73:1 76:18	86:13,18 87:20	145:20 149:4 158:7	130:17
78:2,7 84:5,19	98:15 101:7 104:11	158:14 160:13	sense 27:9 28:4,6
86:25 91:8 95:23	109:22 110:7 112:6	162:19	30:16 43:21,22
98:22 102:3 112:10	112:24 114:10,24	secure 185:25	68:16 72:2 74:23
117:1,16 121:25	115:9,20 116:14,18	secured 30:6	75:2 81:13,14,17,22
134:19,22 135:8	117:1 121:9 122:5	security 5:24 27:9	92:25 122:22
142:1 150:5 169:7	124:3 125:1 126:2,6	see 4:16,22 5:25	137:24 154:2
174:25 175:7 183:8	126:12 128:10	10:3,11 18:17 22:8	161:14,16 187:17
184:1,18 185:24,24	131:4,9 132:12,13	22:10,22 25:11,13	192:1
187:1,1 189:13,16	133:2 134:18 135:7	25:16,22 40:25	sent 3:20,23 11:21
191:8,18 195:20,21	135:10,18 136:1,6	42:18 46:3 49:18	29:16 38:8 62:4
198:8 199:2	138:12,15 140:18	54:22 56:13 67:3	130:5 171:19
scam 188:21	140:19,23,24 142:7	72:19 75:10 77:17	sentence 111:24
scared 53:16	142:11,12,13,17,18	81:9 83:3 84:7,7,13	114:20 116:4
scary 53:23,24	143:13,19,23	85:16 86:25 90:22	126:18,20 127:1,2
scenario 12:17	145:21,22,22 146:1	92:20 94:16 98:8,11	128:11 189:16
36:22 93:13 203:12	146:4,5 148:23	99:9 105:18 106:19	200:7
schedule 2:18 58:16	149:6,8,16 150:9,10	111:7 119:2,5,7,11	sentences 200:3
scheduled 4:24 5:7	152:25 153:1,6,12	120:19 121:2,8	separate 3:25 69:19
41:13,15 56:12	153:13 155:17	123:24 126:5 127:7	70:4 74:4,20 79:9
58:16	156:1,6 157:8,10,12	128:17 141:6	85:6 86:20 194:23
scheme 29:1	157:21 158:23	142:20 143:14	199:7,7 200:2,15
scope 60:3	159:6 160:4,14,17 160:17,19,24 161:2	144:16 153:16 156:20,24 157:4	separated 3:25 84:25
screen 6:4,4 98:10 100:7 129:6 135:1,1	160:17,19,24 161:2 161:6,9,11,13 162:5	160:5 165:20,20	84:25 separately 167:25
159:4 165:20	162:11,11,11,12,16	168:1,24 169:7	sequence 131:11
184:21 193:9	162:16,18,18,19,22	182:5,7 184:20	179:14
scrolled 98:11	163:3,5,8 164:14	186:13 191:1	series 49:22
se 83:1	165:13,17 166:3,10	199:10 208:12,14	seriously 128:6
50 03.1	166:15 167:8,24	177.10 200.12,14	5 c110u51y 120.0
	100.13 107.0,24		

aamua 10,24,00,2	atom 16.5.56.00	aimed 27.05	a = 20.16
serve 19:24 90:3	sign 16:5 56:22	sized 37:25	speaking 39:16
93:21	180:2	skews 166:18	75:14 153:25
service 18:20 189:11	signature 137:11	skills 21:5	specialized 82:7
session 6:16,19	signed 41:14	skipped 113:5	specific 8:2 17:11
54:25 56:24 59:1	significant 24:6	skipping 129:14	64:24 91:13 97:18
set 4:15 5:5,7,15	33:15 35:25 93:12	slash 205:17	98:12 99:1 112:23
7:17 10:21 25:23	95:11 130:4 150:4	slot 9:25	132:21 147:5,17
27:10 29:6 32:18	signing 151:8	slow 108:18	150:1,18 155:6
35:5 39:4 51:17	194:22	slowly 121:14	160:22,22 164:7,10
64:12,17 67:7 78:23	silent 133:16 135:5	small 21:11 31:19	174:15 187:15
105:20 130:23	199:18	37:25 39:7 55:13	195:24 198:22
131:12 178:18	similar 16:4 86:24	60:5 176:21	202:14,20 206:9
sets 194:24,25	110:19 137:13	smaller 5:24 24:21	specifically 30:2,21
setup 14:21	142:5	79:11 89:10 152:6	31:19 137:17
seven 189:20 190:15	similarly 67:11	153:22	166:13,16,17 183:6
sheet 151:5	simple 146:22	smokin 60:19	202:16 203:17
shift 43:19 44:8,24	simply 144:17	somebody 5:15	204:25 205:14
134:6,10 135:20	simultaneous 78:17	62:13 83:14 86:2	specificity 206:21
137:12,15 140:1,12	81:16 92:21 96:23	141:14,16 154:19	specify 97:18 190:13
144:4,6,10 150:24	102:11 107:12	161:4 173:16,17	speed 204:17
shifting 19:19	109:4 165:25	song 195:6	spelled 141:21
shill 193:17 194:4	173:21 175:6	soon 6:1 23:11	spelling 163:4
194:22 195:4,16	176:14 179:7 180:5	sops 24:19	spend 22:2
196:10,11	188:10 189:25	sorry 50:14 61:13	spent 89:22 162:24
shills 193:12 196:6	sing 195:5	80:4 81:23 89:5	split 75:25 145:19
short 3:5 190:5	single 49:20 149:5	91:25 102:17	splitting 145:7
shorter 106:3	sit 41:4 83:9 187:10	107:11,20 121:14	spoke 31:12 41:20
shot 37:18	site 4:5 130:11	126:22 134:21	129:24
show 79:5 96:2	sitting 72:7 188:12	169:23 170:24	spot 2:22 36:19
118:21 119:6	188:17	186:25 188:9 189:7	144:2
showing 53:23	situation 24:25 39:1	193:21 198:14	squared 6:12
shows 119:10	88:25 140:3 144:16	203:15	stacona 17:16
151:14	situations 53:24	sort 11:23 12:1	150:21 151:13
shuffle 172:22	83:5 143:6	57:21 135:20	163:10 164:23
173:24	six 88:5,9,12 94:6	200:24 202:13	165:10,24
shuffler 173:23	95:12,13,13,24 96:8	206:23 207:17	staff 3:13 14:20
180:3	96:14,15,15,15	sounds 36:5 164:16	22:17 31:23 33:4,6
shufflers 175:5	97:25 98:1,1,18	174:14	38:18 54:6,6,18,20
176:20	104:3,4,5 105:2,2,4	sovereign 17:19	60:2 61:4 110:14
shut 73:18 181:4	106:15 111:21	sox 37:21 39:6 47:17	164:2
sics 112:1,12,14	143:3 154:19	47:19	stand 28:18 144:19
side 32:9,9,10 35:18	156:25 169:15	space 187:14	standard 7:19 11:18
48:16 50:3 84:8	171:2 185:4 190:25	speak 8:10 46:6	16:23,24 17:2 26:9
118:25 119:7	size 187:14 191:9	47:8 48:7 138:21	26:19 29:6,25 30:6
155:21		175:21	30:9,16,22,25 33:21
			,

[standard - surveillance]

35:5 38:7,9 42:18	state 11:2 13:25	stringent 46:11,12	suggest 174:22
42:24 52:14 53:20	21:25 24:3 46:8	46:20 119:19,19	204:8
56:1 65:4 89:1 96:3	57:21 122:16,23	121:18,19,20	suggested 162:22
108:23 131:9	123:11 146:6	122:13,13,17	174:23 202:17
133:16 134:18	206:12	strong 25:25	suggesting 45:2
136:24 163:19,23	stated 30:21 107:22	struck 205:20	180:18
173:1,5 174:5,16,18	174:25 201:4	structure 29:3,3,5	suggestion 16:15
174:23,25 175:7	statement 10:7	48:4 64:17 140:8	99:24 166:2 177:16
176:25 177:7,9	41:19 64:8 71:24	142:8 155:18	182:23
181:22 190:15,16	73:3 83:13 114:9	structured 47:4	suggestions 150:14
193:17 198:12,21	135:3 137:8 147:4	structures 77:13	suggests 189:13,16
199:17 206:20	170:15 189:12	struggle 146:3	189:23
207:1,10,13	statements 9:23	stuff 38:13 47:17	summary 101:18
standardized 19:8	10:2 69:1,20 70:3	59:19 124:8 139:5	119:6 120:21
158:14	70:11 125:11	174:2 176:2 204:23	sundry 94:6
standards 11:24,25	stating 44:25	stymied 44:8	supervisor 143:12
12:12 13:4,9,11,20	statue 37:12	sub 142:3	151:7
18:6 21:7 23:1,13	statute 18:13 32:22	subgroup 154:1	support 41:23 43:4
23:23,23 24:11,12	34:1,16 179:13	subject 44:14 62:5	43:6 51:5,10 65:15
24:17 30:4,19 31:4	stay 2:23 54:10	67:10,12 69:10,13	93:22 135:15 197:6
31:6 32:20,21,24	55:23 106:2	73:6,22 78:24 81:12	supposed 23:10,12
39:4 50:9 51:24	step 33:20,21 44:12	82:11 95:24	23:15 34:8,9 63:24
52:1 63:1 68:4	141:1	subjects 103:3	73:21 78:22 137:19
69:21 81:11,25	steps 34:3	submission 23:10,19	suquamish 1:5,9,10
86:10,12 105:4	stevens 2:8 6:5	submit 16:5,12,13	2:1,14,15,17 57:5
117:8,11,18,25	stick 86:3	70:1,14	sure 3:9,16 7:24
120:5,10,12 122:1,4	stigma 44:22	submitted 31:12	14:3 15:12 17:16
122:8 125:9 136:22	stop 2:19	70:17 76:14 183:12	21:4,6 32:12,15
138:8 163:21	stopped 163:7	submitting 18:2	34:6 43:1,10,20
176:17 206:7	stopping 161:1	23:22 31:25	54:10 63:12 64:14
standpoint 10:9	storage 182:9	subsection 81:8	67:19 73:21,25
11:3 15:6 47:12	185:10,12,17,24	159:5	79:10 82:5 83:10,14
52:6 93:4 176:5,10	store 187:6	subsections 157:18	84:16 90:13 95:8,18
stands 125:8	straight 81:10	157:22 183:15	104:15 111:14
start 2:5 40:6,7,8	strange 118:19	subset 48:6,8	113:7 116:16 122:3
58:17 92:5 96:8	stratify 16:11	substantive 4:23	122:11 123:6 133:1
101:19 113:10	streamline 133:4	75:11	154:7,20 155:8
125:4 129:5 131:3	strengthening 25:14	success 123:16	163:17 165:9,23
133:13 179:10	27:21	successful 62:19	175:18 178:12
198:1,2 208:10,19	stress 19:23	63:2	179:15 184:23
started 38:23 60:1	stretch 107:13 172:9	sudden 92:1	204:17
81:10 113:3	190:6,7	suddenly 44:16	surprised 153:8
starting 62:5 100:6	strictly 11:8	96:17	surveillance 38:7
starts 91:6 171:10	stringency 123:3	suffice 18:4	46:16 96:17,21
			136:5,5 186:1
	1	1	

[surveillance - tgwg]

	1	1	
189:17,23	159:1,7,9 172:11,18	79:16 98:15 103:6	tells 144:2,18
suspect 40:13	175:17,22 177:11	115:2 119:18,20	ten 49:12 50:10
sustain 196:13	178:11 187:10	124:3 135:22 142:6	56:11 124:12
syntax 73:1	190:24 197:23	198:7 202:5	154:20 186:9 187:1
system 25:15 35:21	200:22 203:16	talking 9:21,21,22	term 69:15 71:4
62:20 111:13	205:19	9:23 12:4 16:10	75:9 122:7,8,12
119:11 142:24	tailor 25:20	27:8 39:7,20 56:3	145:13 152:20
147:11 164:14	take 4:5 6:1 10:9,10	65:25 69:11 71:12	154:17 193:23,25
systems 25:24	11:18 18:14 24:15	71:22 73:15 74:17	194:1 201:13
112:19	26:6 28:21 34:20	78:21 80:12 81:10	202:10,12
t	36:8,17,25 37:18	111:10 114:22	terminology 68:19
t 60:23	38:20 42:6 43:9	122:9 136:1 140:23	68:21 111:12
tab 60:23 61:15 67:7	45:10,18,21 47:13	141:1 144:25	terms 5:10 12:25
99:16 100:5 101:19	49:5 50:8 51:20,25	149:19 150:22,23	27:20 60:16 126:23
131:15 183:17,19	52:19 77:16 79:19	172:15 175:13	142:6 146:16
184:14,15 185:23	83:24 84:10 86:11	178:16,17 179:9	test 8:25 9:3,17,18
184.14,15 185.25	89:13 109:22	180:2,3,3 194:22	102:7 116:2,19,20
table 4:3 7:25 32:9	111:12,20 118:10	201:11,14 202:10	123:25 126:24
83:4 138:18 139:5	124:14 125:1 129:1	203:6,7 205:2 206:3	128:20 157:9,17,18
144:5,13,17 147:18	138:5 140:25	206:5	157:25 158:3,22
148:6 149:17,19	142:16 146:25	talks 11:23 88:15	160:5 167:19,23,24
153:21 155:21	148:11 150:9 152:3	103:9 134:1 137:14	167:25 193:6 197:5
161:5 181:1 182:20	155:13,25 164:6,8	138:18 151:1	testation 69:3,21
tables 135:19 143:8	169:1 171:20	168:12 171:3	tested 116:22
143:10,17 144:9,12	178:19 182:6	179:22 198:6	testing 16:13 63:4
147:24 148:4	189:13 190:5,6,6	199:17 203:17	66:22 78:2,7,12,13
tabs 131:17 137:1	201:2 204:23	204:11	79:25 163:22
149:10 165:15	207:12	tampering 186:3	text 101:10
168:16,18,20	takeaway 11:11	target 20:18 24:10	tg 98:23
183:23 184:12	taken 6:20 27:7	task 9:6 194:10,18	tgra 54:25 63:11
tac 42:23 43:18	33:21 61:7 68:5,7	195:13,18,23,24	80:21 82:5,12 85:4
58:19 152:8 208:13	129:3 188:15	technical 21:3 33:12	85:9 92:15 93:19
208:21	197:15	34:10 54:2,14,19,23	94:13,25 104:5
tackle 96:22	takes 38:5 141:14	81:10 117:8,11,18	117:17 121:25
tag 18:9	talk 29:18 34:22	118:5 120:5,10,12	133:5 174:25 177:7
tagging 32:5	35:17 59:10,15 62:2	176:16	194:12
tahdooahnippah	64:20 66:8 67:8	technology 45:18	tgra's 82:13 83:20
69:20 72:10 83:23	80:16 125:23 131:1	tell 9:15 25:3 40:17	93:23
85:7 87:22 88:1	132:6 152:6 161:21	45:6 48:11 53:14	tgras 25:2
94:24 95:3 107:23	163:15 165:15	73:18 81:21 83:11	tgwg 23:8 61:21
112:5,15 117:13,20	174:10 204:10	92:23 98:21 104:20	62:18 98:16,19,23
112.3,13 117.13,20	207:8,11	137:6 147:11	99:8 100:13 101:5
120:15,22 128:9	talked 32:4 33:1	153:11 179:4	101:21 102:4 105:3
120:13,22 128.9	54:1 55:20 63:21	telling 64:13 179:18	106:23 111:16
127.0 130.1,7 133.7	64:22,23 66:23	179:19	114:11 116:4
L			

117.10 16 110.10	101.1 0 102.4	150.0 10 151.7	thereands 26.6
117:10,16 119:10	181:1,9 193:4	150:8,10 151:7	thousands 26:6
123:25 126:24	200:15	152:1,18 153:11	three 2:20 4:15 5:25
127:19 128:7	think 3:15,24 4:2	155:8,12 157:9	27:23,25 28:5,9,9
129:24 131:3 149:3	6:6 7:8,13 10:16,18	158:24 161:3 162:6	29:11,12 35:12 38:5
157:12 159:5 160:4	10:20 11:4,10,13,14	162:21,25 163:16	38:14,14 55:21
164:23 193:3	12:8,16,24,24 13:13	165:4 167:3,16	127:7,13,14 128:10
197:10 198:17	13:21,23 15:4 16:3	169:5 170:20	138:16 172:9,25
199:10 200:18	16:8,14,19 17:22,23	171:24 175:4,11	187:17 192:24
thank 4:8 58:6	17:24,25 18:4,4,5	176:9 177:5,11,18	threshold 92:13,15
74:11 106:10,21	18:11 19:14 20:11	177:21 178:14	93:2
thanks 2:8 3:4	21:16 22:5,24 23:4	179:2,21 180:23	throw 173:9 174:12
130:18	23:19 25:20 26:4,16	181:19 182:3,8,16	thursday 3:22 205:6
theft 175:9 180:23	27:8,18 30:20 31:14	182:25 184:24	tics 44:3 51:13,15,17
181:11	31:18 32:6 34:24	186:9,17 187:13	80:21,22 83:20
theme 154:25 155:9	35:3,23,25 36:19,25	188:5 189:2,12	102:25 103:21,25
theory 188:14	37:4 38:1,15,19,22	190:14 191:2,4,7,21	110:12 111:13
thick 24:19	39:2,3,10,10 41:21	194:7 197:1,17	112:1,7,9,11,12,13
thing 13:8 26:5	43:25 45:19 46:2,22	199:9 201:10	112:18 147:6,11
29:15 35:22 38:14	47:6 48:25 49:15,17	203:24 205:25	tie 51:21 95:9 97:19
39:13 40:16 46:22	50:2,8,14 51:3	206:1 207:5,9	97:20
54:4 57:13 70:4	52:12 54:15 55:5	thinking 7:11,12	tied 75:3 90:16
73:8 74:15 78:19	56:10 60:8,13,19,21	59:23 60:1,16 207:3	tier 80:18 91:1 92:2
79:22 93:5,22 94:2	61:4,10 62:9,17,23	207:16 208:1	92:8,9 93:4,17,21
98:12 109:18	63:9,21 64:16 65:5	thinks 38:10	93:25 94:15 97:11
121:15 128:7	65:9,18 66:21,21	third 182:16	103:23
138:19 140:6	67:6,19,24 68:18	thirded 37:13	tiers 102:25 103:8
145:19 161:6	70:9 71:17 72:13,22	thirdly 33:25	103:14,16
167:19,24,25	73:24 74:7 75:8,18	thomas 5:21 9:20	tighten 36:9
174:11 175:3 176:1	76:5,5 77:22 78:2	79:24 126:11	tiles 169:17,20,24
180:16 197:11	79:16,24 84:4 86:14	157:20 166:4,8,24	time 6:11 8:5 11:11
198:7 199:15	87:3,11 88:4,10,15	177:5 200:12	21:18 41:11 44:23
201:11 205:2	93:12 94:12,24	thought 5:3 7:10	49:25 56:11 65:21
things 9:3,16 13:7	95:16,24,25 97:12	14:20 32:23 44:14	67:20 75:1 81:20
21:24 22:1 29:17,21	97:17 104:14	45:25 63:7 64:24	89:18,22 90:1,12
30:24 37:7 40:21	107:16 108:4,7	66:10 67:15 68:23	91:17 93:16 97:18
47:21 59:10 65:22	110:11 111:9,11	85:14,23 86:15 89:3	103:21 104:25
67:10,21 68:2 73:16	113:11 114:1 115:2	102:20 108:9 109:3	105:21 106:2
75:15,25 79:16 80:7	115:3 117:19,20	112:24 116:10	108:12 111:12
80:16 90:14 94:6	119:24 123:1,9,21	120:17 126:3	112:20 119:19
99:7,22 127:7	124:16,22,25	132:18 135:16	128:4 146:14,21
128:10 131:1	131:14 132:2	148:15 161:25	148:10 153:17
136:17 142:7,10,16	135:20 140:6,17	162:1,2 163:24	162:15,24 185:18
142:23 144:24	141:6 143:11	165:18 166:8 172:4	186:11,13,16,17,20
145:10 150:17	144:19,21 145:15	thoughts 43:15	186:21 187:1,3,4,15
156:15 159:17	146:9,19,21 147:3		188:17 189:19

100 2 12 106 10	4	60.05.02.7.04.10	
190:2,13 196:19	tournaments 199:4	69:25 83:7 94:10	tulalip 2:16
timeline 92:5	200:14,23 201:7	122:14 178:18	tulsa 55:19
times 44:1 50:10	202:5,13 204:10	tribe's 13:25 28:11	turn 2:6 44:2 62:4
59:20 67:13 155:19	207:11,12	28:15	73:19 171:14
172:5 187:17	tracie 2:7 7:10	tribes 15:1 18:13	199:10
timing 57:12	track 76:17 92:19	19:25 20:15,16 21:5	turns 170:10 176:2
title 141:23 204:20	118:18 127:16	25:11 27:20 31:19	196:14
205:12	165:18,19 188:18	31:19,22 32:25 34:7	tv 91:16
titled 71:25	tracking 128:17	35:17,24,24 38:19	twelve 31:2 88:24
today 2:19 36:20	171:20	45:5,6,17,18 46:24	90:18 102:19
145:21 156:13	tracks 4:17 122:3	47:10,25 48:2,19	103:20 104:9 105:3
told 79:17 129:25	traction 98:3	52:23 55:7 58:1	106:12,15
153:5 158:15	traded 37:24	83:5 90:14	twice 3:21
tom 8:14 19:14 21:8	train 34:22 60:6	tried 5:21 34:22,22	two 3:24,25 5:13
25:8 26:2 35:14	training 21:3 33:12	34:23 62:23 68:7	28:2 35:14 53:22
43:11,25 46:4 49:5	54:2,14,19,24 60:1	73:19 75:19 78:23	66:13,14 75:25 90:2
97:1 99:10 101:15	transaction 13:16	108:13 109:20	99:22 103:2,10
155:12	transactions 134:2	135:23 136:7	104:15 114:5 124:3
tom's 32:5 35:11	transcribed 1:24	trigger 92:23 93:3	127:19 137:10
tomorrow 39:9,9,19	transcriptionist	154:11	144:5,7 171:22
130:7 208:8,10	6:17 58:8	triggers 93:17	187:11 199:7 200:2
tone 63:10	transfers 147:15	true 51:18 170:16	200:15 206:19,20
tonight 4:24 5:1,3,5	tribal 1:3 3:11 17:19	truly 64:2 142:1	type 9:6 13:15,16,16
5:7	17:20,25 21:22	trust 55:6	24:13 33:7 35:20
tool 14:18 21:11	22:21 24:2,5,8,14	try 4:1 5:20 28:20	69:17 88:23 96:4
60:5	24:25 30:17 36:17	32:14 34:19 108:4	98:6 154:12,25
tools 34:16	39:7,8 40:4,12 41:8	111:5 133:1 136:9	155:9 164:5
top 10:24 118:19	43:17,18 49:16 50:3	153:16,22 167:25	types 10:15 25:2
157:3 168:9,11	50:22 62:12,14,20	trying 12:8 14:10	26:15 39:5,21 50:16
169:14 183:7,25	64:14 67:5,8 71:3	19:17 24:10 29:17	65:22 69:12 75:15
185:4 198:13,15	73:4 80:6,18 82:23	34:18 37:13 47:21	90:14
206:6	83:8 84:16 85:8,18	48:20 50:12 53:12	typical 77:15 105:13
topic 6:23 208:8,11	89:14,15 125:10	61:13,18 66:3 67:21	139:9 140:3 152:19
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	07.17,15 125.10	01.15,10 00.5 07.21	157.7 140.5 152.17
total 68:4 161:10	129:25 159:16	67:23 72:17 73:8,20	typically 41:2 74:15
· · · · ·	,	,	
total 68:4 161:10	129:25 159:16	67:23 72:17 73:8,20	typically 41:2 74:15
total 68:4 161:10 186:20,20	129:25 159:16 164:4 193:22	67:23 72:17 73:8,20 78:19 84:8 85:13	typically 41:2 74:15 105:6,9 133:18
total 68:4 161:10 186:20,20 totally 27:1 36:7	129:25 159:16 164:4 193:22 tribe 2:14,17 7:17	67:23 72:17 73:8,20 78:19 84:8 85:13 86:22,23 89:23 96:9	typically 41:2 74:15 105:6,9 133:18 135:19 139:3,17,21
total 68:4 161:10 186:20,20 totally 27:1 36:7 38:15	129:25 159:16 164:4 193:22 tribe 2:14,17 7:17 13:2 15:5,7 17:1,13	67:23 72:17 73:8,20 78:19 84:8 85:13 86:22,23 89:23 96:9 96:22 98:2 101:9	typically 41:2 74:15 105:6,9 133:18 135:19 139:3,17,21 143:6 144:23
total 68:4 161:10 186:20,20 totally 27:1 36:7 38:15 touch 56:8 66:3	129:25 159:16 164:4 193:22 tribe 2:14,17 7:17 13:2 15:5,7 17:1,13 18:17 19:19 20:22	67:23 72:17 73:8,20 78:19 84:8 85:13 86:22,23 89:23 96:9 96:22 98:2 101:9 111:9 120:24,25	typically 41:2 74:15 105:6,9 133:18 135:19 139:3,17,21 143:6 144:23 145:12 147:25
total 68:4 161:10 186:20,20 totally 27:1 36:7 38:15 touch 56:8 66:3 176:15	129:25 159:16 164:4 193:22 tribe 2:14,17 7:17 13:2 15:5,7 17:1,13 18:17 19:19 20:22 20:22 22:9 24:24	67:23 72:17 73:8,20 78:19 84:8 85:13 86:22,23 89:23 96:9 96:22 98:2 101:9 111:9 120:24,25 132:11 136:10	typically 41:2 74:15 105:6,9 133:18 135:19 139:3,17,21 143:6 144:23 145:12 147:25 148:4 151:3 152:14
total 68:4 161:10 186:20,20 totally 27:1 36:7 38:15 touch 56:8 66:3 176:15 touche 110:22 touched 27:2 56:9	129:25 159:16 164:4 193:22 tribe 2:14,17 7:17 13:2 15:5,7 17:1,13 18:17 19:19 20:22 20:22 22:9 24:24 26:17 28:17 29:2	67:23 72:17 73:8,20 78:19 84:8 85:13 86:22,23 89:23 96:9 96:22 98:2 101:9 111:9 120:24,25 132:11 136:10 140:19 141:5	typically 41:2 74:15 105:6,9 133:18 135:19 139:3,17,21 143:6 144:23 145:12 147:25 148:4 151:3 152:14 154:5 170:16 172:10,25 174:1,4
total 68:4 161:10 186:20,20 totally 27:1 36:7 38:15 touch 56:8 66:3 176:15 touche 110:22	129:25 159:16 164:4 193:22 tribe 2:14,17 7:17 13:2 15:5,7 17:1,13 18:17 19:19 20:22 20:22 22:9 24:24 26:17 28:17 29:2 32:9,13 33:1,18,24 34:1,19 43:25 44:3	67:23 72:17 73:8,20 78:19 84:8 85:13 86:22,23 89:23 96:9 96:22 98:2 101:9 111:9 120:24,25 132:11 136:10 140:19 141:5 145:18 146:17	typically41:2 74:15105:6,9133:18135:19139:3,17,21143:6144:23145:12147:25148:4151:3152:14154:5170:16172:10,25174:1,4typing98:4
total 68:4 161:10 186:20,20 totally 27:1 36:7 38:15 touch 56:8 66:3 176:15 touche 110:22 touched 27:2 56:9 touching 68:18 tournament 201:16	129:25 159:16 164:4 193:22 tribe 2:14,17 7:17 13:2 15:5,7 17:1,13 18:17 19:19 20:22 20:22 22:9 24:24 26:17 28:17 29:2 32:9,13 33:1,18,24 34:1,19 43:25 44:3 44:6,19,20 48:9,16	67:23 72:17 73:8,20 78:19 84:8 85:13 86:22,23 89:23 96:9 96:22 98:2 101:9 111:9 120:24,25 132:11 136:10 140:19 141:5 145:18 146:17 152:24 159:13 160:16 184:25	typically41:2 74:15105:6,9133:18135:19139:3,17,21143:6144:23145:12147:25148:4151:3154:5170:16172:10,25174:1,4typing98:4typo117:19,22
total 68:4 161:10 186:20,20 totally 27:1 36:7 38:15 touch 56:8 66:3 176:15 touche 110:22 touched 27:2 56:9 touching 68:18	129:25 159:16 164:4 193:22 tribe 2:14,17 7:17 13:2 15:5,7 17:1,13 18:17 19:19 20:22 20:22 22:9 24:24 26:17 28:17 29:2 32:9,13 33:1,18,24 34:1,19 43:25 44:3	67:23 72:17 73:8,20 78:19 84:8 85:13 86:22,23 89:23 96:9 96:22 98:2 101:9 111:9 120:24,25 132:11 136:10 140:19 141:5 145:18 146:17 152:24 159:13	typically41:2 74:15105:6,9133:18135:19139:3,17,21143:6144:23145:12147:25148:4151:3152:14154:5170:16172:10,25174:1,4typing98:4

[uh - wash]

u	unreasonable 38:19	verifying 153:4	60:22,23,24,24
	unworkable 29:4	versa 75:5	61:14,24 62:14 66:1
uh 94:18 193:1	update 129:22 130:7	versed 25:19	72:24 75:1 79:10,19
ultimately 93:20	upgrade 96:18,19	version 72:25 98:16	79:20 88:8 89:7
123:2	upkeep 175:14	98:19,23 99:13,16	95:7 97:19 99:25
umbrella 73:23	use 7:18 21:23 57:10	100:2,4 102:4	100:19,22 101:24
unanimous 102:10	72:24 101:2 109:15	106:23 117:16	102:1,7 103:3
124:6	109:19 140:15	124:1 126:24 127:8	104:23 106:6 108:4
unauthorized 175:8	142:24 165:18	127:10,19 130:21	112:25 113:6,8
186:3	171:10,11 172:17	157:12 159:5	114:15 115:12,17
uncomfortable	176:22 178:19	183:23 193:3	116:20 118:9,17
165:1	179:24 187:16	versions 184:25	120:6 124:4,13,13
uncommonly 9:4	194:22 196:10	versus 33:6 76:15	125:4,6,23,25
underlying 65:6	200:22,24 202:12	154:19	126:24 130:11
underneath 166:5 understand 17:18	usually 51:4,5,9	vice 23:20 75:5	131:3 133:12
	56:22 89:17 118:17	view 42:6 109:25	139:13 143:13
19:20 42:13,20 48:14 62:10 64:14	128:14 145:4	164:15	144:14 145:3
48:14 02:10 04:14 65:10.23 66:6 71:2	V	viewed 47:4	149:23 150:15,15
80:5 87:6,8 102:6		violation 55:1	150:16,17 155:4
104:15 111:17	valid 47:6,6 162:9 182:18 206:17	violations 41:5	156:6,7 157:15,17
132:11 136:16	validate 21:10	virtue 123:21	157:18,24 158:3
132:11 130:10	validating 21:20	visibility 188:15	159:13,14 160:5
144:18 146:23,24	variable 91:21	voila 116:6	165:23 166:1
160:17 188:1 199:5	variables 94:7	volume 1:6	167:23,24 180:25
202:1 207:15	variance 38:6,7,9	vote 106:11 116:1	188:16 190:13,15
understanding	41:9 44:10,18,18,19	117:3 160:1,14	192:15,25 197:5
64:19 141:4 142:9	44:20 122:21	161:1,9,11 162:5,22	198:1 199:1 207:6
144:21 154:2,7	202:12	voting 163:19	207:25 208:9,11
206:15	variances 46:1,8	W	wanted 2:9 11:6
understood 63:24	160:16 202:11	wait 90:3 102:17	32:11,12 49:23
73:21 74:1 104:18	varied 40:23	131:14 160:19	51:25 73:25 80:6
206:16	varies 53:5,6,6	wake 98:9	87:7 99:1 100:17
unfolds 130:2	110:11	walk 78:22 154:6,11	111:7 115:4,13
unfortunately 51:13	various 25:2 80:8	want 2:10,21,24 3:1	116:16 120:14
unique 7:17	94:5 146:7 155:19	4:5,8 5:8 7:6 11:13	125:13 129:21
universal 11:20 13:6	vary 7:20 11:10	12:3 15:20,21 17:16	131:14 132:6
universally 9:15	12:19 105:17	20:2,20 23:3,4,4,5,5	133:11 150:12
11:16 52:14	vault 137:9,18 139:9	27:11 28:2 34:6,6	wanting 65:7
unknown 27:16,17	141:2 151:1,17,22	35:2 37:16 39:13	wants 35:3 55:24
unnecessarily	152:21 166:13,13	41:15,16,17,18 42:2	56:13 57:1 152:2
139:11 140:2	vaults 163:16	42:15 43:14,19 44:7	196:19 208:14
unquote 201:18	vegas 41:3	44:12 45:7,21 49:11	wary 20:25
unrealistic 38:22	verification 198:23	53:3 54:12 55:3,23	wash 173:18 178:22
39:10		57:22 58:14,14 60:6	179:5 180:19

[washed - work]

washed 172:6	190:9	171:24 174:1 186:5	171:8 172:16,23
washing 172:1,1,2,8	web 4:5 130:11	186:13 187:4,18,24	171:8 172:10,25
172:13 173:1,4,14	week 16:1 31:13	191:13,18 193:15	175:20 176:6
172.13 175.1,4,14	62:4 99:13 130:23	191:13,18 193:13	177:20 183:5,10,18
177:15 178:14,22	205:3,17,21,21	194.3,24 193.10,14	183:25 184:10
		, , ,	
178:24,25 179:1	welcome 2:10,17,20	197:14 198:12	185:8,16 188:11
180:15	2:21 3:1 45:24 46:3	199:1,16 200:18	206:6,10,19,22
washington 1:5 2:1	welcomed 46:1	206:18 207:9	207:5 208:4
24:3	went 13:13 34:2	wheatley 25:9 94:16	window 28:4 152:12
wasted 47:20	57:21 67:22 81:10	128:21 131:16	windows 152:22
watching 128:5	81:11 85:15 89:4	132:15 150:8	wins 54:16
water 2:11,12	114:13 116:11	151:11 159:15,19	wise 32:4 56:11 97:6
way 1:10 2:20 14:11	124:8 130:23	159:23 161:19	104:25
14:19,21 15:10	151:21 157:4	162:7 163:24	wish 58:11
18:11 19:2 20:1,1,3	159:19 204:22	166:21,23 167:6,13	wishes 208:12
20:5 21:10,19 28:7	west 3:13 15:22 23:7	172:7,19 173:22	withdraws 196:13
28:8,18 29:7 32:12	35:25 37:18 39:18	174:3,17,24 175:11	witness 147:3
35:10,20 42:12 45:9	41:20,25 42:22 50:7	176:5,15,19 177:5	wonder 122:10
45:15,16 55:19,19	50:22,25 51:11	177:18,21 178:3,16	wonderful 4:10,12
55:21 56:19 60:13	55:11,25 68:3,14,17	179:21 189:20	4:12
75:21 83:4,8 84:3	68:25 69:8,19,23	190:17 191:2	wondering 133:17
88:2 98:8 101:17	70:9,12 71:7,18	194:20 196:10	140:17
110:8 118:17	72:3,13 74:14 75:13	197:19 199:13,24	word 69:16 118:5
127:16 128:17	76:2,25 77:6,9,22	200:6,9,16 201:5	150:3,7 168:19
130:14 131:12	78:2,14 80:15 81:1	202:25	171:1 201:12
142:21,22 144:4	82:4 84:20,23 85:3	wholesale 51:15	205:19 206:22
148:24,25 149:9	85:8 86:6 87:11	wilson 8:10,15 11:1	worded 123:1
153:19 155:18,23	89:17 90:20,24	11:7 12:22 26:3	wording 23:21
156:3 159:16 160:7	91:10 92:17 94:2	46:5 51:18 70:21	46:10 119:18
163:11 171:16	95:17 105:1 106:11	71:2,17 74:19 75:7	122:14 123:19
175:17 176:4	106:16 107:1,6,9,22	76:18,22 82:15,19	193:17
194:14 195:7	108:2,25 109:5	83:16 87:18 91:15	words 35:23 123:13
197:24 200:1	111:4 123:9 125:8	92:11,22 93:19	123:21 134:8
202:13,17 207:6,13	125:19,25 127:22	94:12,18 97:2,5	wordsmithing
207:17	128:5,13 130:8	99:11 103:12 105:8	192:14
ways 38:12 44:25	133:15 134:4,16,21	110:24 113:18	work 4:6,18 6:25
45:15 152:6	135:2,12,16 137:24	117:7,15,24 118:2,7	7:2 8:4,24 15:3,3
we've 17:14 34:22	138:5,15,18,21	119:16 120:1,7,13	29:5 32:14 43:18,18
34:22,23 54:5 56:23	139:2,19,24 140:4	120:17 121:18,21	65:16 74:13 78:20
79:16 87:3 94:4	140:22 141:3	122:6 123:12	85:13 88:10 90:11
124:3 128:1 148:20	142:25 147:17,22	124:17 138:23	98:8 101:17 115:6
152:2 155:14	148:6 149:18 151:3	141:11,24 144:19	119:12 131:12
157:10,11 161:19	152:13,18,23 153:8	149:15,22 152:9	132:18,19 142:15
162:23 168:3	163:19,22 169:23	153:25 160:25	142:22 144:24
175:24 181:1 190:5	170:3,6,18,24 171:6	161:20 162:9 171:3	145:10 153:20,21

155:22 163:11	y
192:12	yeah 5:2,4 6:4,10
workable 108:23	37:18 39:18 60:12
worked 24:24 56:23	66:15,21 79:4,21
67:9,10,16 149:3	90:8 92:19 94:12
working 2:25 3:12	97:23 106:16
3:13,19 6:16,19	112:10 113:14,24
8:23 9:19 18:5	120:13,16,19
30:17 31:13 43:23	121:23 123:12
49:12 50:17 54:4,17	124:17,23,25 135:2
55:5 57:17,25 62:12	151:13 166:25
62:14 64:15 65:11	168:24 170:14
65:16 67:5,9 71:3	172:19 174:3,3,20
73:5 75:19 80:6	175:11,12 178:9
99:13,15 120:20	184:10 190:15,19
129:25 159:17	192:6 194:1 198:20
164:4 172:12	201:6 203:5 207:5
193:22	year 49:12 50:1
works 18:7 19:18	59:25 70:16 88:14
37:22 49:7 56:20	88:15,20 89:1,12
83:5 153:16 168:1	91:7,11,13,22 92:4
world 19:15 26:8	92:7,12 93:2 94:4,9
27:17 29:21,23 47:2	94:22,23 95:9,9,19
53:1 79:16 96:2	96:20,20,22 97:10
173:14	97:20,21 98:25 99:3
worried 42:8 132:25	102:6 104:6
worries 19:21,21	years 57:20 90:2
worrisome 19:20	154:20
worry 78:20 79:1	yellow 128:15,18
89:8 108:7	yep 191:1
worthwhile 66:11	yeses 59:23 60:10
wow 59:23	yesterday 5:6
write 22:4 34:19	
36:20	
written 18:11 43:5	
115:10 146:19	
156:3 193:18	
207:14	
wrong 10:1 35:24	
104:20 137:7 145:9	-
X	
x 27:24,25 35:16	
189:14	