	Page 1
1	NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION
2	TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
3	Pala, California
4	
5	
6	
7	
	In Re: Advisory Committee)
8)
)
9)
)
10)
)
11	
12	
13	
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	Tuesday, January 10, 2012
16	8:00 A.M.
17 18	
19	
19	HELD AT: PALA CASINO SPA RESORT
20	HILL AI. FALA CASTINO SEA NESONI
20	11154 Highway 76
21	
	Pala, California 92059
22	
	Reported by:
23	DENISE A. JONES
	CSR No. 12900, RPR
24	Job No. NJ366197
25	PAGES 1 - 208

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	AFFEARANCES:
	DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:
3	BH ARTHUR OF THE INTERCORT
J	Robert Fisher, Moderator
4	nobele libitel, nedeletel
5	NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION:
6	Daniel Little, Associate Commissioner
	Michael Hoenig, Senior Attorney
7	Michael Curry, Information Technology Auditor
	Rod Rest West, CPA
8	
9	TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE:
10	Christinia Thomas
	Executive Director
11	Mille Lacs Band of Objiwe
12	Matthew Morgan
	Commissioner
13	Chickasaw Nation
14	Thomas Wilson
	Executive Director
15	Pascua Yaqui Tribe
16	Steve Garvin
	Commissioner
17	Ho-Chunk Nation
18	Michele Stacona
1.0	Executive Director
19	Conf. Tribes of Warm Springs
20	Jason Ramos
21	Chairman Blue Lake Rancheria
22	Jeff Wheatley
22	Director of Gaming
23	Stillaguamish Tribe
24	Robin Lash
	Commissioner
25	Miami Tribe of Oklahoma
-	

		Page 3
1	Kathi Hamel	
	General Manager	
2	Lytton Rancheria	
3	Daniel McGhee	
	Tribal Administrator	
4	Poarch Band of Creek Indians	
5	John Magee	
	Commissioner	
6	Pechanga Band of Luiseno Indians	
7	Mia Tahdooahnippah	
	Compliance Director	
8	Comanche Nation	
9	Brian Callaghan	
	Executive Director	
10	Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page	4
	_

Pala, California, Tuesday, January 10, 2012 8:13 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

2

STATEMENT BY ROBERT FISHER

My name is Robert Fisher. I'm the facilitator for the meeting. In a moment I've got some logistical things and we'll lay out our plan for the day, but before we do that, I'd like to turn it over to Commissioner Little.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

STATEMENT BY DANIEL LITTLE

Good morning, everyone. I just want to welcome everybody to our TAC meeting. I think it's only appropriate, while we have the opportunity, I want to welcome Chairman Smith to say some remarks and welcome us.

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

STATEMENT BY CHAIRMAN SMITH

MR. SMITH: On behalf of the tribe, I'd like to welcome you to the Pala Casino Resort and Spa. I hope you have a productive meeting. I know you guys have got a hard job, with MICS and other issues, so again welcome and enjoy your accommodations and have a fruitful meeting. Thank you.

DANIEL LITTLE: Appreciate it, thank you.

Page 5

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Did you want to say anything else before we get launched?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

DANIEL LITTLE: I just want to welcome everybody again today. We've got a lot of work to do on this agenda. I know there's some questions regarding kind of the change in the meeting schedule that we've got. I think we can talk about that in a little bit. I'm not sure if Robert wants to do that now or if we want to --

ROBERT FISHER: Well, we know it's, you know, on some people's minds, people have mentioned some things to me, and so we're going to need to talk about it.

Just as a reminder, before we go there, for anybody who is in the audience with us, please do the sign-in out there so I don't have to say that again.

And so, Dan, maybe we should just talk a little bit about that right now and then we'll come back and figure out what that means for our agenda.

DANIEL LITTLE: Thanks, Robert.

After the last meeting we got the schedule pretty quickly, the agenda pretty quickly and the action items pretty quickly. And the idea was that are we going to have enough work to take us out for three additional meetings, and as I discussed it with the

other commissioners and with our staff, we came to the realization that we actually think we can get our work accomplished on time and a lot guicker.

And we're doing a lot of things at the commission right now. As a lot of you know, you might have heard in the news, we're in the midst of -- we reorganized the agency. We're doing a lot of internal agency things as far as IT upgrades. We've got to move the agency. We've got a new division, new reporting structure. We've got new staffing positions to hire. We're moving folks around. So there's a lot of stuff going on at the agency.

We've also got a lot of proposed rules out there; we published three more on the 27th of December. We've got consultation coming up, so there's -- and then the other big major mechanism is we're revamping our entire training and technical assistance program. We're reorganizing our training catalog and we're looking to make sure that our training matches the needs of the industry. So there's a lot going on.

And then I guess the major thing we're looking at is we've got -- we've entered into an election year now and we've got elections coming up in the fall, and what that -- while it won't directly affect the commission, it does affect how we promulgate rules and

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

time frames and how we can do them.

So the idea is that if we're going to be able to move through our agenda quickly, if we're going to be able to discuss the topics that we need to, we prepared all the comparison documents; we sent them all out to you. If we can get these, you know, subjects covered, we may be able to finish our work not necessarily at in-person meetings, but through conference calls and through e-mail. This is not unheard of for the commission. Previous TACs had limited in-person meetings. They did a lot of their meetings through smaller working groups, through teleconference and things like that. We're very confident that we can complete the work that we've got left in that time frame.

We have all of our staff here. We have a new staff member -- well, not new to the commission but new to the committee, Michael Curry, who is our -- he is right here. He's our IT auditor and he will be very helpful when we discuss surveillance and some of the IT areas. So we're very confident that we can get our work done this week.

And then this does not mean this is the end of the TAC. This means it's just the conclusion of the in-person meetings. We will still continue to meet. I

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

2.0

2.2

2.3

1 know smaller groups have been meeting throughout the TAC process here, and we will continue our work. 3 hoping that we can get the Part 547 recommendations to us very soon so we can start working on putting the regulation together for that and get that out. Hopefully that will be out within the next few months if

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

we can get the recommendations here pretty soon. think we're on track to do so.

So that is the reasoning behind shortening the in-person meeting. It does not mean it's the end of the It does not mean that we're asking you to stop, you know, being involved in the process. It's just we don't feel that we need to have these in-person meetings because we'll have covered all of the major subject areas for Part 543.

Does anyone have any question or comments? THOMAS WILSON: Dan, it certainly caught me off-quard as part of the announcement in December. was just curious why that decision wouldn't be made in concert with the TAC to discuss -- I wasn't sure why that couldn't have been discussed here in this meeting, here's what we're planning, we think we can get through it.

I feel really pressured right now to get through a whole bunch of stuff this week.

opposed to doing that, but I feel like if we take any time now at all away from the agenda or to discuss anything beyond just a quick overview, that we're not going to have enough time, and so it caught me off-guard.

We were all expected to make a significant financial commitment, time commitment, which we did. So the rationale given in the letter really dealt more with not what you're saying is going on at the NIGC, but about cost savings and the Obama administration and things. And since we're all funding ourselves and we fund your agency, that all seems odd. So it's getting kind of a mixed message from what the letter said versus what you're saying here.

DANIEL LITTLE: There certainly is cost-savings issues, and there was that executive order from the president about cost savings. We've heard pretty clearly from this group a number of times that, you know, this is a time commitment, that a lot of you have had to take away from other areas of your facilities.

We've got two members that are not here right now because of, you know, requirements back at home. I think Leo will be here tomorrow and I think one other has to leave early. So there is a drain on the resources -- the human resources here. It is very

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

important. I mean, that is one of the reasons why, for cost savings; however, I think we're confused. This is just the conclusion of the in-person meetings. It does not mean we're concluding the TAC. We will still continue our work. It's just going over these individual subject issues, that can be done in person or, if we don't finalize them, they can be done over e-mail.

We've put together questions, specific questions that we'd like to, you know, hear folks' response on, and then the rest of it can be done over e-mail.

Historically, it's not out of the ordinary for TAC; it has been done. So I don't think there's any confusing messages here. We want to make sure we're being respectful of everyone's time and everyone's resources. But also keep in mind that we have a lot of other things going on at the commission. We want to make sure that we get everything done within a short period of time.

So it wasn't meant to catch you off-guard. I just think that at the end of the last meeting when we finished early because we ran out of agenda items, that in looking at what we had on the agenda, I don't think it's going to be something that's going to take a lot of time. I mean, I think we've got about three or four

2.0

2.2

2.3

major subject areas and the rest will move pretty quickly.

ROBERT FISHER: Daniel.

2.0

2.2

2.5

DANIEL MCGHEE: Maybe it's something we should reserve some of this discussion for the last day. Let's see how far we get with this meeting and then we'll know how much is left to discuss, whether that's something that TAC feels they can do a conference call or not. They can make another suggestion to the NIGC to consider another meeting or whatever. But it's hard to tell until we know exactly what we can accomplish these three days, so maybe it's something we should reserve to have discussion about the last day, see how far we got.

ROBERT FISHER: Robin.

ROBIN LASH: I agree with Dan. I think it's a little premature to say upfront when we're going to finish. I mean, we're here with even these stacks of material that we were asked to prepare for for this meeting, and I think that, in addition to that, there's also a final reading just to make sure that the document meshes well.

And, personally, I really don't feel that conference calls are going to be productive. I mean, I can't see someone. I'm not directly communicating.

You've got 15 people on a conference call all trying to

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

understand and communicate without probably a formal record, and I'm just concerned about that.

And I'm more concerned about the fact that this is -- we were told this is our TAC with NIGC being the host, and I think we all agreed to the schedule. We were told there were going to be six meetings. We all felt that more than likely we wouldn't need to cancel this meeting, but I think it was an understanding at the last meeting that Arizona probably would be needed just to make sure that there were no loose ends. I feel like in-person meetings are necessary, and I think it's a little premature to decide at the beginning of this meeting that this is our last meeting.

ROBERT FISHER: Kathi.

KATHI HAMEL: First off, I appreciate the schedule that NIGC put forth to us, and I think all of us are committed to the six months that it would take. But I have to say that our tribe is very concerned that the NIGC has canceled these meetings without the input from TAC committee. I can see that there's still a lot of work to be done.

I've spent since 2007 on and off working with the TGWG, and the face-to-face meetings produced far more products than telephone conferences. Those of us that have been on our little conferences, it's

2.0

2.2

2.3

difficult. You know, you're at your office, you get pulled away and, you know, it's not very productive of a process. I can't imagine -- Daniel, you remember those calls with many people.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

DANIEL MCGHEE: I remember I would cut them off. It's hard because you decide to work on something else while you're listening to the conversation.

MATHI HAMEL: Well, and our operations reach out to us. They know we're in our office. I also feel that the process is being rushed, and I guess I don't understand why. The material that we received this last, you know, week or so is huge. I can speak that I've not gotten through all those documents and have been fast and furious trying to come up with answers to the questions by the NIGC. But I just think that there just needs to be more meetings to successfully complete this process.

ROBERT FISHER: John.

JOHN MAGEE: I have to echo what's been said so far. My concern, you know, is the same, caught off-guard with the letter from NIGC saying this will be the last meeting. You know, from our standpoint NIGC sends a letter saying whoever you choose to somehow put on this TAC, make sure you're committed to the duration of the process. And so going upfront, we all knew that

schedule. We knew it was six months out, so we planned accordingly. Any time that you see these materials, it's a lot. There's a lot to cover here.

And no disrespect, Dan, if you think you can rush this somehow, you'll see it's not that quick. I'm trying to echo what Tom said. I feel like I'm rushed here. And I think we have an obligation to support the quality product, a product that we're all comfortable with. But in the end -- I'm trying to pick up what Robin said -- we need time to review this, to make sure that we're committed to TAC, that we're all comfortable with it.

To me, I would have kept the schedule. At least Phoenix is necessary. But, Dan, it's a little premature to determine what our schedule is going to be going forward because we have not have gotten through this part. But so far, you know, I am a little bit disappointed with NIGC with the letters.

ROBERT FISHER: Anybody else?

BRIAN CALLAGHAN: I just would like to say I agree with what's been put forth by the TAC. I'm disappointed that we're going to end this by telephone allegedly, or at least that's what's being suggested. I saw shortly after you made your announcement about cutting back on travel that you announced that you were

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

going to be going to Florida and then back out here in January. So one questions the concept of cutting back on costs. Maybe you can add a consultation to Phoenix next month and you can get some economies off that, but I respectfully suggest that we have one more meeting in Phoenix at least.

DANIEL LITTLE: I definitely understand everybody's concerns, but, I mean, the consultation that we're doing in Florida and in California are what we're required to do because we've got proposed rules out there and we do need to have a consultation during the comment period. So those are required. They're a half-day event. The entire commission is not attending and limited staff will be there. So it's a very short event with very minimal costs, and those things are separate from the work of this organization or this group here.

However, it's not unheard of in the past for this commission to do Tribal Advisory Committee meetings through teleconferences. And this is not the conclusion of the TAC, it's just a conclusion of the in-person meetings to discuss the specific sections of the Tribal Gaming Working Group proposal. It doesn't mean that the smaller groups that have been working on finalizing the recommendations to the commission will stop. That will

2.0

2.2

2.3

continue. It's just the, you know, meeting to go over the specific sections will be concluded. And the commission does not feel that it's a viable use of everyone's time or resources, and it will give us the opportunity to be able to start working on a regulation sooner than if we had to wait until March or April or later.

2.0

2.2

2.3

MATTHEW MORGAN: I agree with what everyone's said here so far from the TAC. It caught me very off-guard with the letter. My question after reviewing all this material comes, you know -- or I guess my statement would be, reading through the questions and the comments that the agency sends back to us, it's readily apparent that you have taken this in a piecemeal-type fashion.

There are questions of why was this deleted. A lot of times throughout this process we will tell you it's not been deleted, it's been moved to this page. What happens at that point if, you know, at that point you realize something's been moved and not deleted or something's been changed or modified? Now you're asking us to go back and read it. What happens then when they have more questions and more questions and more questions?

Because I'm not quite for sure that we, even as

a group, have a sense of what the entirety of the document is going to look like at the end. I think that's one of the reasons that people want a final option to come in, review what's said on a path of discussion, because it's very apparent -- in my mind there's a reason that your consultations that you speak of take place in person. I mean, that is the best forum for exchanging ideas. Conference calls are nice, videoconferencing is nice, but in person still is the best medium for exchanging ideas and having a discussion over subjects, and you can watch that discussion in the room so far ebb and flow and move and how does California see it as opposed to, you know, Michigan or Alabama or Oklahoma.

You're going to lose that depth and richness of the conversation once you move it over. It's not unheard of that TAC has participated in conference I agree. But at least to my knowledge almost calls. every TAC has followed up at an in-person meeting. We've always, you know, looked at this as a process.

We knew these schedules were going to be aggressive when you put out the notice. You know, six months is a very quick time frame to get this in. think you can see by the amount of materials that came up this time just how much there is still to review.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

And I think from what I hear talking with individuals is our most important part of this is we want to get it right. Our names are attached to this document just as well as yours are. We want to make sure that it's right, and I would agree that they think, you know -- or at least we're thinking that it may be premature at this time.

Your response, it sounds like that is not up for discussion, that it has been decided and that discussion is not on the table to have, which is disappointing if that is the case, because, you know, I think the group is committed to finishing this process and having a good workable product for Indian Country when they're done.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So Robin's got a card up. Let's take Robin's comments and see if you have anything more you want to say, and then I think maybe we should put it aside for now, if that's okay with everybody, and get into our agenda for the day and figure out when we can come back to this.

I had a couple of comments. ROBIN LASH: first, though, would follow on the heels of what Matt just asked. Is this open for discussion, Dan, or is this what we're being told and that's it?

DANIEL LITTLE: This is what the Commission

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Page 19

decided and, yes, this is the last meeting, this is the last in-person meeting.

ROBIN LASH: That NIGC will be --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

DANIEL LITTLE: Yes. I know that Tribal Gaming Working Group has been having conference calls throughout this process, so, I mean, there have been other meetings going on to discuss these issues.

ROBIN LASH: And that is on the heels of the main work we did. We met face to face to do our primary work.

DANIEL LITTLE: I know, but a lot of these -excuse me, I'm sorry. A lot of these folks were
included in those meetings because we got calls from
folks saying why am I being included on this list, I
never requested to be included in this group, but they
are, so the TAC members were included in those Tribal
Gaming Working Group meetings.

ROBIN LASH: So if this process is supposed to take place in a public meeting format, which was established at the first meeting, how are we going to complete our work in a public meeting format?

DANIEL LITTLE: I'm not quite clear about "public meeting format."

ROBIN LASH: Well, that's why we're here, it's the public meeting where others can participate and

follow along with our work. How will that happen if we're supposed to conclude by conference call?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

DANIEL LITTLE: That doesn't mean that there hasn't been times when nonpublic aspects of the TAC have taken place. We've been in executive sessions a number of times where the public wasn't included, so I don't understand. I mean, we'll have a conference call and, you know, folks will free feel to call in. I'm not sure how we'll set it up yet, but --

ROBIN LASH: All of our decision-making process took place in a public meeting format. I mean, we may have had a comment or we may have had discussions in an executive session --

DANIEL LITTLE: I wouldn't agree with that.

ROBERT FISHER: Dan, can you just let her finish.

DANIEL LITTLE: I'm sorry.

ROBIN LASH: Anyway, we would come back and then in front of everybody we would review what we discussed, and the final discussion and decisions were made for the record.

DANIEL LITTLE: I'm not necessarily sure if I would agree with that, because there were some things that were discussed in executive sessions and then came back and we were given 'this is what we decided upon'

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

and we didn't get much of a reason why. That's when we went back and had to ask for some followup and was provided that, but there was some decision made.

And finishing the work of this group through a teleconference or videoconference is not meant to try to limit the inclusion of the public. That's not the goal. The goal is to get the work done in a quicker fashion with, you know, being cognizant of everybody's time and saving resources.

ROBIN LASH: With all due respect, I think we're more concerned about a good product, and we're all willing to commit our time to ensuring that the document that we're working on is a document that is good for Indian Country. And I think for myself and maybe others that this rushing, this is not the way to do it. really try to feel -- and I really don't think that starting out this meeting telling us this is the last meeting is productive for the product we're working on.

Okay. Anybody have anything ROBERT FISHER: else on this? Are we ready to move into our work for the day and then we can return to this if we need to later on in our meeting?

Okay. So if we're going to move on, the agenda that we sent out before Christmas was designed to get us through completion of all of the open MICS sections and

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to do additional work on the Part 547 and complete some of the work around our working documents. We sent out a big raft of documents, a couple of big piles of documents over the last couple of weeks, the draft meeting summaries and the comparison documents.

Over the holiday time, Christinia and Jeff worked on guidance and best practices documents. Those got sent out last week. Kathi and Tom worked on sample checklists.

Leo, by the way, sent a message saying that he got tied up today and was not going to be able to join us today and he'll be here Wednesday and Thursday, and you also may remember that Carleen isn't joining us for this meeting at all.

So I know there have been some problems in the past about people getting documents. Does everybody have all the documents? Anybody have any problems with the documents? Okay.

MICHELE STACONA: The ones you just sent?

ROBERT FISHER: Any of them, I mean, over the course of the last couple weeks. This morning I sent the December meeting summary this morning that had the compiled MICS document to date so that if you needed to refer to it while we were in here, you would have that in front of you.

2.0

2.2

So, I mean, I was not assuming that we were going to actually be working from that document, but it is a record for everybody to be referring to as we go through the next couple of days and, in part, the format for how things have been set up.

So there was a question that arose around one of the comparison documents. We clarified that in a message that went out last week.

ROBERT FISHER: Kathi.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

KATHI HAMEL: Do we know why in the comparison documents, the redlined on the TGWG?

ROBERT FISHER: I don't. There was a question about one of the comparison documents and NIGC clarified that, so maybe you should pose the question to them and let's see.

DANIEL LITTLE: What was that again?

KATHI HAMEL: The comparison document that we received, the side by side against the July 2010 proposed -- is the TGWG submitted in July, the TGWG has all this redline in it, and I personally thought it was very confusing in reading it. I don't know what the redlines of the TGWG.

In South Dakota we reviewed the final product as our comparison, and I know the NIGC went out and made copies of the final document. But if you look at 542.16

as an example what the TGWG submitted through Poarch Creek --

2.2

DANIEL LITTLE: We have always been using the redlined. We've always been using the redlined. I'm just going back to ones we did earlier. We've always been using the redlined.

ROBERT FISHER: So the question that you're really asking is were they working from the latest version that the TGWG submitted; right? And so the answer is yes -- they're nodding their head yes over here -- even though it's showing up in the redline and we're not exactly sure what it's redlining to.

MICHAEL HOENIG: Can I address that?

ROBERT FISHER: Sure, go ahead, Mike.

MICHAEL HOENIG: The purpose of the side by side in the columns is to show the changes that were made from the original -- or the draft 2010 regs or whatever, so the redlines will be crossing out the sections. But, I mean, all we did was change the main document and Appendix 1 of the Poarch Creek and kind of show how that changes. Instead of just putting it, you know, blank or the as-is side by side, it's now showing -- we want it to show what's been taken out, what's been added from the draft 2010 regs. So that's the purpose of the side by side. That's how we've

1 always been doing it.

2.0

2.2

2.3

KATHI HAMEL: Well, what's been redlined in the TGWG side has pull tabs --

MICHAEL HOENIG: Yes, and we fixed that and that's because the Appendix 1 made changes to 543.8, .9 and .14. They pulled stuff in from all of them, and we just -- unfortunately it was just a drafting error. We missed certain parts of that, but it did originally have language from pull tabs, language from bingo and language from .14 all pulled into one section in the TGWG. So what I did on the one that we sent out -- the last one we sent out fixing it, was try to get all the stuff about card games, all the stuff about pull tabs. Now you just make it down to that .14, that was the main thrust of the --

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So the other thing is, right before we get started, at the end of the last meeting, and you'll see on the action item list on the December summary, there was a suggestion that we be able to revisit the -- let me use the mike.

Okay. The request from our transcriptionist is that everybody use the mikes, please.

Okay. So at the end of the last meeting there was the suggestion that was made that we revisit and keep in mind the principles that we generated -- that

list of principles we generated at the inaugural meeting in Connecticut.

And you may remember they're captured in the October meeting summary, and because we don't have any flip charts, and you can't really see them from the back of the room anyway, what I did to be responsive to that request and to make sure people had the information was to just extract the list of principles out of the October summary and put it on this piece of paper that I can then distribute around for the TAC members. There are some extra copies for people in the audience, if we get there, and this is just, by way of reference, the list of principles that we generated in Connecticut. It does not reflect consensus. It's really just a way to look at the work we're doing and what's important to people.

Give me one second to get the document up here. And our agenda where we set up to start, we have three sections on the agenda to cover today, assuming that we can get through them, or more if we get through these faster than we anticipated. Just bear with me one moment.

The other thing, while I'm waiting for my computer, just again a reminder for people in the audience, if you'd please sign in on the sign-in sheet

2.0

2.2

2.3

out there. And we do have time on our agenda for public comment if people in the audience want to speak directly to the committee, and there is a sheet outside on a table right outside that door. There's a place there to sign up for public comment if you'd also like to do that, and we have two sessions for public comment on the agenda, one right before we break for lunch and then one later on this afternoon.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

Just so you know, what I'm going to work from today up on this screen, is I'm working from the document that I sent out this morning. So if you need to refer back to anything that we've done previously, recognizing that people haven't had a chance to review it or pass on it to make sure it's captured correctly, this is the version of the document that was sent out this morning.

Because I have multiple documents where we have been working and I'm trying to now work from a single document, and this thing that I sent out this morning captures everything that we did at the November meeting and the December meeting too, recognizing that it hasn't been reviewed yet and it's still subject to clarification.

MATTHEW MORGAN: What's the document title? I don't see that, but there's three.

ROBERT FISHER: It's the document that is the draft proposed MICS dated 01/09/12. It's not the one that's marked because that's marked through a TGWG document.

2.0

2.2

2.3

ROBIN LASH: Could you enlarge that, please?

ROBERT FISHER: Yes, I'm going to as soon as I remember how to do it. What I want to do is actually enlarge the type, which is what we ended up needing to do at the -- who remembers how to do that?

Okay. Are you with me? When I was working, remember we had an informal drafting group that helped me set up the structure for the November meeting summary, and the work that came out of November, and one of the suggestions they made, was to make sure we had a compilation of what we have been working on and when we have worked on it, and so both the December meeting summary and the MICS document contains this list of where we've worked on things. That's what this funny little thing in the front is. It's the same index essentially. I guess you can have an index at the beginning of the document. It's the same index as what's in the -- without this extra column here on the right that shows the meeting where we talked about various things.

Okay. So everybody clear with where we are and

what we're doing? Okay. What's first on our agenda is 543.16.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: May I make a motion for the TAC to go in an executive session? Our advisor has advised us that he would just like the TAC to discuss amongst ourselves some things so it's not just kind of moving forward -- everything isn't just kind of moved around us, and I think we'd just kind of like to get organized and all be on the same page.

ROBERT FISHER: Robin, were you going to say something?

ROBIN LASH: I agree. I mean, right now we're trying to move forward, or at least that's the process from your end of the table, but everybody is really scattered right now. We've got mountains of documents. We're trying to figure out where we are and what we're doing.

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Also, it's been brought up that maybe we should go back to 547 since we're almost done and try to get it finished and get all of those things done and get that to you final so you can push that forward and we all accomplish something quickly.

ROBERT FISHER: That is on our agenda over the next three days, so --

DANIEL MCGHEE: So you're saying, Mia --

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Possibly. I think we should just talk and discuss amongst ourselves moving forward just so we're all on the same page.

2.

2.2

2.5

ROBIN LASH: I think it might be productive if we can have a moment to get on the same page, but secondly, I would also strongly recommend that if we're going to start anywhere, we should start with 547 because we have met on it and I think our TAC and NIGC work together very well on it. I think that all questions were probably answered already, and I think, you know, because of the timing that you've mentioned that document may be ready to move forward right away. So I think we've never established our formal submittal process for 547, and I think we would need to outline that because I think the TAC is ready to move forward with a formal submittal so that NIGC can then move forward for comments on 547.

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Do we need a vote?

ROBERT FISHER: Well, did you want to involve

NIGC in that discussion, Mia, or not?

DANIEL MCGHEE: The executive session?

ROBERT FISHER: Right. Okay. So why don't we take a break and let people caucus and so that way we don't have to get into the discussion about whether the NIGC is included in the executive session or not

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

included. Let's just break and allow people to caucus, talk to whomever you want, and we'll start up again in 15 minutes. If that's not enough time, we'll extend the break. Does that work?

DANIEL MCGHEE: I just want to understand before we break, just so I make sure I see all sides of it, I know when we had the discussion of the executive session at one time, was it consensus that we were going to let at least one representative from NIGC be part of the executive session? I mean, I thought we had this argument once already. I mean, it doesn't bother me, but I think this was brought up once so that they don't feel -- at least I think it was discussed at least one representative would be involved in the executive session, and that's just to be fair and that's what we discussed.

ROBERT FISHER: Correct, we did discuss that.

DANIEL MCGHEE: I mean, that could be part of

19 | your caucus but that's --

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: The operating procedures allow for the group to break to caucus and to talk to whomever you want. So how about if we move in that direction for right now and then see if we can come together after that and figure out how we're going to move forward.

So we're going to take a break, initially

15 minutes, start up again at 9:15 unless the group needs more time.

(Caucus break.)

2.0

2.3

ROBERT FISHER: So a couple of quick preliminary things. The request is use the mikes because there are some folks who are having trouble hearing and our transcriptionist is also having a little trouble hearing some folks.

So thanks to everybody in the audience for your patience. This took longer obviously than we predicted or that we expressed to you and I have no idea what happened. So who is going to speak about where we are and what we do next?

Tom.

THOMAS WILSON: We'd like to thank everybody for indulging us. Our rules allow us to go into caucus, which we did as a TAC. We appreciate the audience, everyone bearing with us. The microphone is not staying on. Anyhow, we appreciate everybody bearing with us. We did have some issues that we wanted to discuss in caucus, and I would just like to recap those for the record.

We do feel that we need to get 547 done and submitted to NIGC. And, Robert, in that regard we aren't sure where you're at in that final document. I

know we had talked about the format and I think we agreed on format already. What we would like to ask, if possible, if that document can be ready this week and come back to the TAC, that we can make a final pass at it and then vote on it for consensus for submission at NIGC.

And then our recommendation at NIGC would be to move that document forward just as rapidly as possible to get published since we believe that's a document that everybody has consensus on, including NIGC, from our previous meetings so there's no delay in getting that published.

The other thing we discussed, of course, was this being our last face-to-face meeting. The TAC would like to recommend -- and we'll probably vote on this, but that we feel like likely we will still meet in Arizona, in Phoenix, according to the schedule, although the dates may be different.

We understand that NIGC will not be participating formally at that meeting, but we would invite you to participate and certainly make available conference calling or whatever means to have any discussion as part of that meeting. We feel that that meeting is important for us to continue on to finish up our work, and the value of face-to-face meetings -- we

2.2

2.3

just like that format, it's working for us, so we'll continue in that regard.

Whether we need another face-to-face meeting after February, we'll see, but we are on task that we might not, but that remains to be seen. In any event, we are committed to finishing our work per the terms that we set out in trying to be completed by March with everything.

The other thing we discussed was the necessity for NIGC to again understand the entire concept of the Tribal Working Group document, and in that regard we would like to get to a point within NIGC where we can walk through an entire process, so let's say card games, where we can talk about the regulation, the guidance document, the checklist, so that all the pieces kind of fit together, because we've talked about these things in their individual component but we really haven't brought them all together as one entire process, and we think that would be beneficial for the NIGC and our group to see what the entire process cycle would be of a regulation and how one would audit that and how those could be created at the tribal level.

So that's something, if we have time this week, we would like to -- perhaps that's on the last day -- as a modification to the agenda. And then finally we are

2.0

2.2

2.3

committed to getting through agenda items that we have in front of us. Our focus, however, is going to be on answering questions that NIGC has presented to us in those documents, and to the extent that any of the TAC members have additional questions or concerns about the documents, then, of course, we'll bring those forward as part of this meeting.

But our goal is to answer your questions this week and get through those documents timely. So the only thing I would move for the TAC to vote on is, you know, meeting in February, just if we're going to go ahead with the meeting in February, that would be the proposal, I presume just sticking to the same date and times that we already had set aside, so I would call for a consensus vote on that from the TAC.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So if you're in agreement, raise your hand. That appears to be everybody. And what the NIGC does with respect to that meeting we'll sort out later, I guess; right?

THOMAS WILSON: Yes. The other question that came up, Robert, is your availability. We don't know what your role is beyond this meeting, and we had been relying on you as the consolidator of these various things, so that's something that will have to be I guess discussed with the NIGC as to whether your role is

2.0

2.2

2.3

Page 36

continuing in part of that process.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Okay.

THOMAS WILSON: For purposes of the group, could you give us an update on 547, if you think that document would at least be ready to be reviewed by us in the format we talked about?

ROBERT FISHER: Happy to. I believe it's already ready for you to review it. It was attached to the November summary that was distributed to the group in mid December, so everybody should have it or have access to it.

THOMAS WILSON: That being the case, can you modify the agenda that we can talk about that document? I'm guessing probably it's actually the first order of business on Thursday.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Well, actually it is on the agenda for Thursday, I think like -- I can't remember. We can change the agenda around to be able to do that. And so by all means, you know, if I need to re-send that to anybody or if we need to make sure people have access to it, we can do that.

And what I did was I took the TGWG version and made all the changes in that so that there should be a clean version and a redlined version of it.

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Is that attached to the

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

November summary?

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Yes, to the November summary. And there are some things in there. For those of you that can see it in color or who have color copies, it contains two colored things in it. One is a set of notes to NIGC, which are in one color, which, if I remember correctly, is either green or turquoise, and those were part of the TAC's consensus recommendations for changes to the document and direction to NIGC. And then there are changes — there are notes to the TAC in yellow that we will actually have to sort through when we get to that discussion on Thursday.

All right. So then are we proposing to just start on our agenda where we said we were going to start, which is 543.16? And just for my understanding, Tom, I heard you say that what you wanted to focus on were NIGC's questions, and so does that mean you want me to be working up here on the document or are we pretty much in kind of a discussion mode?

THOMAS WILSON: Well, I think working on the document. It's no different than what we've been doing, but we just want to make sure that we're answering all of their questions that they have on these documents.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. I wanted to be clear what you wanted me to do. Okay. Give me one second

Page 38

1 here to get the document back up.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOHN MAGEE: Robert, can you clarify a date on the summary?

ROBERT FISHER: Yes. Hold on one second.

The draft is dated the 22nd.

MATTHEW MORGAN: It's called "Draft November Meeting Summary" is the TAC draft.

ROBERT FISHER: And the format of that document I discussed with our little informal drafting group, Tom and Kathi and Daniel.

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Explain the colors again.

ROBERT FISHER: Well, there's two sets of colors in there. One is yellow, I know that, and all the yellow things start off by saying note to TAC. And then it either talks a little bit about what might be added or changed, but it also says here some things we need to deal with. And then there's a separate color, which is either green or light green or turquoise -- if anybody can see it, you can help me out with the colors -- or blue, whatever, light blue. That says note to NIGC, and the notes to NIGC in there were a part of the consensus recommendations that the group reached, assuming that I got everything right.

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: (Inaudible.)

ROBERT FISHER: December 23rd. I will send it

again on a break to everybody, if you'd like, to make it There are notes to NIGC; they're part of the consensus recommendation.

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: What about the redlines? DANIEL MCGHEE: Those are changes he made to the TGW document.

ROBERT FISHER: Correct. That's correct. It's smart to show what the TAC did from the TGWG document.

Okay. Is everybody ready to move off 547 and start up with 543.16? Everybody ready to go to 543.16? So just as a time check, by my watch it's about a quarter to 11. On our agenda we set aside a public comment period for at a quarter to 12, so it had been on the agenda for 30 minutes. This time it was on the agenda for 15 minutes, and we've had at least one person who has indicated that they would like to provide public comment to the committee, so we'll need to break no later than a quarter of in order to make sure we have enough time before we break for lunch for public comment. All right.

We're still getting organized up here. going to work the same way that we worked at the December meeting, start with the comparison documents and identify the places in the comparison document where NIGC raised questions.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

1 DANIEL LITTLE: Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So if I have that correctly, that would be page 13 of the 543.16 comparison document. Okay. So our procedure at the December meeting was to get kind of a quick overview of the provision from somebody from the TGWG.

Is that thing big enough on the screen? Can people read it or should I make it bigger? Is it okay?

So TGWG people who also are on the committee, they could give us an overview of this before we launch into the NIGC's questions. Do you want to spend the time to do that?

Would it be useful for you, Dan?

DANIEL LITTLE: It might not be a bad refresher, or also for the folks in the audience.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay.

DANIEL MCGHEE: I can read you -- there's a reference to what they say.

ROBERT FISHER: So who is going to do it? Bear with me while I'm pausing here for one second. I'm just trying to get 543.16 up on the screen and insert the standard change that the group agreed to at the November meeting, and that is to do this. Okay. So who from the TGWG is going to give us the overview? Daniel, Matt, Kathi?

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

what the process was behind information technology other than (inaudible) so as far as for me to try to -- they put in the reference document as to why they did what they did, so it said, reflect the new name, focus the content on Class II gaming systems. The TGWG found that the MICS previously referring to information technology could cause confusion as to which systems or controls it were applicable to. Proposed changes reflect consolidating similar controls for consistency and to make the controls easier to use and allowing flexibility in a highly (inaudible) gaming operation (inaudible).

2.0

2.2

2.3

That's the only explanation, formal explanation, they gave to the change, the TGWG. Now, does somebody specifically remember more details than -- I know this section had a lot of different people from technical aspects talking about it. IT people were involved with it. I wasn't specifically involved in this section.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Anybody have anything else to add or should we move to the NIGC questions?

Tom, were you going to say something?

THOMAS WILSON: When we get to the questions.

DANIEL MCGHEE: I say we move to the questions.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So over to you, Dan and

Mike.

2.0

2.2

DANIEL LITTLE: This is Michael Curry. He's the IT auditor with the Commission. I'll let him introduce himself a little bit, tell us about his background. This is his primary expertise, and he was involved in preparing some of the -- or doing the original review of the document, so Michael Curry.

MICHAEL CURRY: Thank you.

DANIEL MCGHEE: Did you review the technical standards too?

MICHAEL CURRY: Not the level Nimish did, but I did review those. He's involved more in input and I took over the IT, surveillance aspect of it. But, again, more so of a group effort.

How's my voice in the back back there? Great.

Perfect. Okay. And I appreciate the opportunity to attend this function here this week. Of course, we've been hearing about it through the grapevine.

Rest, he's been sharing the information that's been falling out and the results and cooperation from everyone really. So, again, we've been getting it sometimes secondhand, so this will be my first time getting the information firsthand and joining you all as opposed to -- I guess it was maybe a year ago, and previously to that it's been on the MICS advisory

committee. So what I can see now this is very, very similar to that, pretty much the same format.

2.0

2.2

2.3

But, again, I want to thank everybody for being invited out here today. And regarding the questions on the IT portion and for the surveillance, I just wanted to kick off with -- we're going to hit off on all --(inaudible), correct? Okay. My apologies, I'm a walker. I like to walk around, so if I walk away from my mike, which I'm about to do, forgive me. Just feel free to have me repeat something if you'd like.

So does everyone have an electronic copy or a hard copy of what we're about to cover? On page -- it looks like starting on page 13 of 19, and, again, if there's a specific area you want me to key in on, certainly bring that to my attention. If not, I'm going to just pretty much stick to the format as the questions present themselves. So feel free to pretty much arrange it the way that you'd like it addressed.

Page 13, Does the change come into conflict with industry standards -- my apologies, the light's a little dim here -- for other U.S. based professionally sanctioned information technology regulatory entities?

ROBERT FISHER: Mike, please repeat the question slowly.

MICHAEL CURRY: Sure. We're going to move

back to the land-based microphone here. Okay.

For clarification of the question in blue, Does the change come into conflict with industry standards for other U.S. based professionally sanctioned information technology regulatory entities?

Well, from an explanation standpoint, in order to be professionally sanctioned, a control, the control entity and the control objective, it needs to address a specific need or specific variance or specific gap or risk. Again, not to bring up a question I heard earlier, but there were questions or an issue regarding is this even a risk environment? The answer is yes.

All these IT controls, surveillance controls, are all risk-based. And what that means is, if you're going to come up with a list or a booklet or a policy and procedure with controls, which controls are you going to put in there? I mean, there's millions of controls within the industry. You only want the ones that apply to your particular environment. If not, you're going to have a plethora of controls that you're required to comply with and they don't even pertain to your own specific environment.

So we in the NIGC, at least from what an ultimate goal perspective is, is what applies to your specific environment? I mean, what is it that you need

2.2

2.3

to have into effect 24 hours a day around the clock to protect your assets and protect your environment? So risk-based, yes. If it doesn't present a risk to your environment, then what's the point? What's the point of spending time, money, resources, everything you can throw at it if it's not answering a question?

It has to answer a question, and that question is what could happen to us if we don't implement this control or if this control is weak? What could possibly happen to us? That's where the risk comes from. So if you can't answer that question, my professional opinion is get rid of the control because there's other areas you can apply those resources to.

Going back to the question being asked here, does the change come into conflict? Well, any time you have a -- and I'm going to use IT again for an example since that's what we're working on now. Any time there's a sanctioned regulatory body, which it is in this country, there's going to be a list of control objectives that are common to all IT environments across the country, literally across the world, but we're only going to speak to across the country, that are going to be common to all those environments that should be in place. It doesn't matter if it's a gaming operation in California or New York or Florida or Alabama; it doesn't

2.0

2.2

2.3

matter. More than likely 99.99 percent of that control is going to apply to your particular environment. If it doesn't apply to your environment, then get rid of it.

So in answer to the question, does it conflict with the changes, yes, it does conflict with the changes if you throw out all those risk-mitigating control objectives that were put there in the first place to minimize your risk, not even to get rid of your risk in your environment completely, that's impossible. If you IT there, there's going to be some kind of risk.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. It looks like Tom wants to comment. So go ahead, Tom, and then Matt.

THOMAS WILSON: I can comment a little bit on this. The first question I have, though, when you're talking about your -- it stopped again.

ROBERT FISHER: I'll get the guy to fix it.

Just press ahead. Matt, you're next.

THOMAS WILSON: I presume you're talking about the ISACA standards or --

MICHAEL CURRY: ISACA, COSO, there's probably maybe three to four common ones that the industry has elected to get, this is what we're going to use.

THOMAS WILSON: In that regard, I don't know if you had an opportunity to review the guidance document that supplements --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1 MICHAEL CURRY: Yes, I have.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

THOMAS WILSON: So in the guidance document, it's identifying the various control objectives and the types of controls that need to be in place, albeit it is not the -- the Tribal Working Group document, what it did was remove the procedural steps out of the regulation and really moved that philosophical approach, if you will, into the guidance document. So you're not going to see in the regulation proposal here, you know, that a password has to be changed, has to be a certain minimum length or, you know, two people have to do something.

You're going to see that concept in the guidance document, but it won't be in the regulation itself. So I bring that up because this concept is important to recognize that what we're trying to do is move away from procedural steps being in a regulation and allowing that same guidance to exist in the guidance document, because I'm sure you can even appreciate that the level of control can vary from facility to facility depending on what the environment is or what level of technology that facility has or things like that.

So where it may be appropriate at Facility A that you have to have, you know, this manual intervention of people to do certain things where

Facility B might be more automated -- they have more automated controls in place -- they're achieving those same objectives.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

So the point that I want to make based on this question is that I don't think that the Tribal Working Group document is in conflict with any industry standards. I think it's perfectly appropriate if the quidance document were to reference various industry standards, but none of those industry standards are embodied in law in the sense that, you know, the U.S. has adopted the COSO model, but even within COSO it's not so specific to say, like, you know, ISACA, these are the standards. I mean, I know many organizations that balk at the ISACA standards because they're so overburdensome and so costly to implement, that if you were to take those standards as they exist published by ISACA, it would be difficult to maintain the concept of what you're talking about are outlined in the guidance document when we talk about the types of controls that need to be in place to mitigate the risk within the gaming environment.

So when you first look at this regulation, it looks like it's been completely gutted -- and that's exactly correct, it has been gutted -- but it hasn't been gutted and thrown out. It's been gutted, and the

control concepts and the objectives moved into the guidance documents that really detail more specifically here's what you should have in place and here's why and that type of thing.

So that's why it's important just to clarify that if you look at just this document by itself, you would come to a conclusion that there are no controls in place over at IT, and that's exactly what one would conclude. So that's why it's important to bring up about the guidance document.

So I would like to hear if you looked at the guidance document, where you feel the guidance document might also be lacking in regards to the concerns of this question.

MICHAEL CURRY: And you bring up a very good point here regarding the guidance document. probably one of the areas I spent the bulk of my time on, because, like you say, the req itself, it's just a few paragraphs, so other than five or ten minutes reviewing that, a person's done. But the bulk of it, like you say, it is in the guidance document.

And one of the points I wanted to ask you is -- and this was not just so much with IT but with surveillance and some of the other disciplines also regarding having the guidance document there -- is by

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

what would constitute a gaming operation to refer to the guidance document? See, the regulation obviously is a regulation, so they're going to comply with that and go along with that. The guidance document is just that, it's a guidance document. Guidance doesn't mean you have to use it. They can throw it out and download some information off the Internet if they want since it's guidance. It is very good guidance. I like it. As a matter of fact, if I didn't know any better, I would have guessed they took the guidance from out of our previous reg and just titled it as "guidance." That was my initial point, was --

ROBERT FISHER: I think they spent a little more time than that.

DANIEL MCGHEE: That's what the guidance document -- an example of the fact that -- previously regulations were more procedural, so we moved a lot of them that were good ideas into a guidance document.

ROBERT FISHER: Would it be useful to move to a discussion of the questions that came out on the guidance document rather than -- because in that sense what he's challenging is the framework.

THOMAS WILSON: No, he's challenging because of a lack of understanding of something, and this is critical to make this point.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

Our recommendation as a TAC are that the guidance documents are part and parcel to the regulation, so you cannot have one without the other. The quidance documents are designed to be a safe harbor so that if an entity -- here you have the regulations. You have these guidance documents that are a part of the regulation, part of the regulatory structure. entity says, you know what, I can't go out and implement ISACA standards, right, which is a common thing in the industry -- some companies can meet certain standards, other companies can't -- but I can't do these certain things, the guidance documents are designed that if a tribe were to follow the guidance documents at a minimum and do nothing else, that the controls that are in place in the guidance document are sufficient to mitigate the risks at the entity.

But that a tribe can certainly go beyond the guidance documents, and so, for example, there may be some organizations, perhaps even in my organization, that they say, you know what, we want to adopt more of the ISACA guidelines, we want even a more robust, controlled environment, we're free to do that. So it's important to keep in mind that even though the term is guidance, we've been very clear as a TAC that one cannot exist without the other.

So the regulation as you see it here cannot exist without the adequate guidance document or vice versa, because the two have to work together.

2.2

MICHAEL CURRY: And if I may interject along the way here, how is that to be, I guess to use the word, "enforced" in the gaming operation?

THOMAS WILSON: Well, your attorney would have to answer that question.

MICHAEL CURRY: And, again, I agree with what you're saying. Like I was saying earlier, I love the guidance document so much that if there were in greater (inaudible) to the reg itself, I'd be happy with everything.

But see, that's my question, is the guidance document -- once it gets out into the field in that remote site out in New Mexico somewhere, how do I know how -- or do we know that when they picked up the reg, they also picked up the guidance document and used them both together?

ROBERT FISHER: Can you use the mike so we can get a test on the -- and apparently -- hold on, Daniel, I'll come to you in a second.

So the way the mikes work today is that they time out, but you have to keep -- the red light will go off, just push the button again and it will go back on,

and he said he would fix the mikes tomorrow to get rid of the timeout.

THOMAS WILSON: I just want to follow up and then somebody else can speak on this. I'm encouraged to hear that you find the guidance documents -- I mean, you've answered the first question, which is the quidance documents are very sufficient, if somebody followed the guidance documents that they're mitigating the risk, so I'm happy to hear that from somebody from NIGC acknowledging the guidance document meets that criteria.

So it's really for your legal counsel to explain how the guidance document comes into play, but essentially every organization still has to submit their internal control structure for review and approval. just because Property A may word the control this way and Property B words it this way is not a disqualifier. It's looking at is it meeting that control objective.

Exactly. It's the end result MICHAEL CURRY: as well as to provide the -- how you get there, is really up to the gaming operation itself, so we're looking at the end result. I'm sorry, go ahead.

THOMAS WILSON: Well, I was going to say, so keep in mind that the Tribal Gaming Regulatory Authority as the primary regulator are the ones who approve those

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

internal controls, so when you say who is going to ensure them, the NIGC ensures that that happens by virtue of the tribal gaming regulatory authorities that have to ensure that those controls are in place in line with the regulations in the guidance. So that's what I can tell you about that.

MICHAEL CURRY: Sure.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

mean, we can't enforce the guidance, there's no -- so we can't enforce the ordinance.

DANIEL LITTLE: I think it would be helpful, let's move to the specific question we've got on the guidance and regulations. I think we can --

ROBERT FISHER: Before we do that, both Matt and Daniel had something that they wanted to say, so let's take those two comments, then we'll move to the question on the guidance.

Daniel, you get to go first.

DANIEL MCGHEE: (Inaudible.)

ROBERT FISHER: Daniel, you need to amp it up a lot.

DANIEL MCGHEE: One way is that -- you can do it, if you took this guidance document, that's one way the tribe would be in compliance. If you all came in and they looked at these, they're meeting the regs that

were set forth by NIGC if they follow these guidance documents.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

Or they can do an alternative to these guidance documents as long as they're still meeting the control that is in the reg. That's the only thing that's really important. If they enforce that you must have control of physical security of the system and then you look over here, we have an example of how you can do that, because they have come up with another example, and then if NIGC were to come in and they're doing an audit or whatever and they're looking at that, at that point I would say, you know, NIGC would have to either agree or disagree that the standard you have in place does physically, you know, have control over the system, whether it be the guidance document way or another way.

And if the NIGC said it didn't, then you would do what you would normally do at any other place if you went in and did a review and it didn't meet it, you would write this is not a proper standard and then they would have -- it would be a working progress of, okay, how do I get my standard to meet what you're talking about. So it's just an example.

So hand in hand means you can't have this regulation without NIGC putting in force some kind of guidance on how I can meet that, but that doesn't mean

that's the only way I can meet that, and that's the concept of this whole thing.

So what you were saying about the guidance documents being able to do that, what's important is if the reg has addressed each important subject matter, you know what I mean, that a control should be in place for it, and then a guidance document is more this is an example of how I can do that. In the the regulation, meaning, yes, I agree these are the areas that should be controlled, and then as a guidance document, put forth as an example of how to control that.

Or if there's something missing in the guidance document, or if there's specific subject matters that's missing out of the regulation, then we should consider putting it into the regulation; meaning, not just physical security, you left out logical security, and then you say a control should be in place to protect the logical security. So did we leave that out. And that's what's important, and then making sure it's addressed so that NIGC gives an example of how to meet that regulation.

ROBERT FISHER: Matthew.

MATTHEW MORGAN: I'm going to defer right now.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay.

MICHAEL CURRY: Okay. So we have the comments

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

2.0

2.2

made then. All right. I'll go ahead and I will revert back to -- again, this is on page 14 of 19 in the IT, the comparison. Up in blue, on the second paragraph in regard to the title of the MICS itself, This proposal Class II suffix in the title is redundant being that the regulation resides in the Class II regulation itself, which is called out, Class II, in the name of the heading is redundant. Would retaining information and technology or a similar variation in the title more easily allow it to be referenced and identified by tribal regulatory authorities and audit personnel?

In my opinion, yes. Reverting it back to information technology, for one, information technology, the title itself has been around since the 1970s. Everyone knows or at least is somewhat familiar with what is under the umbrella of information technology; computer server, software, things of that nature.

When I refer to the suggested change, you know, again, my apologies, I don't even know what they're referring to and I know the folks out in the gaming operations, particularly those out in the far-away gaming operations, will have no idea what the -- let me get the revised name down here. They would have very much difficulty understanding what security and management of server, server software, and data

2.0

2.2

2.3

associated with Class II gaming systems is. I, myself, couldn't -- I'm sorry?

ROBERT FISHER: So let me just make a request. For the people in the audience, let him pose the questions, and then if you want to make a comment, you can do that when we get to the public comment period. And if he is doing something that's wrong, tell somebody else at the table and they'll figure it out, if you could.

MICHAEL CURRY: If I might ask, what's the rationale for this choice here as opposed to going away from information technology?

ROBERT FISHER: Matthew.

MATTHEW MORGAN: The rationale behind it is that NIGC is an agency of limited jurisdiction, and when you use an all-encompassing term such as IT, we don't have authority within your agency or within your minimum internal control standards to regulate everything under an umbrella as broad as IT.

So within a Class II environment, these are the areas that are at most risk that we believe from a Tribal Gaming Work Group perspective needed to have controls put forth to mitigate some of these risks, and that was why these were very wordy; it clearly identifies what the subject matter and activities are

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

that need to be controlled.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

And what worries me, and my comment earlier when I deferred, is it's very clear when, from comments that I get and we see from the agency that this was done piecemeal, because one of your comments was, well, Class II is redundant -- it's in Class II -- but Class II Gaming Systems, it's a defined term. It's used very specifically. That's the reason it says Class II Gaming Systems. And if you read the document in its entirety, you see some of those aspects that come through there.

So the reason that that was termed just a generic IT was changed to look at -- and it's a mouthful, "Security and management of server, server software, and the data associated with Class II Gaming Systems," that is the belief from the Tribal Gaming Work Group, and at least at this point until we discuss it, I guess that's where we reside at at this body, is that is areas that need to be controlled and that we can have that discussion with the NIGC on this because that is the smaller areas that you have.

And if you run a Class II gaming operation, you know what those things -- you can't get off the ground without knowing what that is. And how do they find out about some of that stuff? Well, that's one of the things that we continue to talk on when we shift focus

from enforcement over to guidance, bulletins, technical assistance, training, so locations aren't out there, so as the NIGC staff goes out into the field, if they perceive that somewhere is lacking, they have those built-in departments, institutional knowledge, expertise available to assist that tribe in coming up and meeting that standard.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

To just say, well, a far-away tribe doesn't know that is wrong, just because they're far away does not mean -- every tribe has weaknesses, every tribe has strengths, but when you operate in a Class II jurisdiction, you understand what a Class II Gaming System is and what is all-encompassed with that.

Any type of new game you go into, if you've never had poker, if you've never had blackjack, you don't run out and start running it without putting in the due diligence necessary to go out and talk with people, call your agency, talk with him, talk with your fellow regulators, operators, get some documents in place to make sure you have some internal controls and procedures. This is but one small tool to use in the entirety of both on the operational side and the regulatory side.

ROBERT FISHER: Go ahead, Daniel.

DANIEL MCGHEE: The only part I agreed with

with your comment is that it is hard to refer to the section quickly. Because even in this process, it's been, you know -- the new information technology is part of system server something, something, you know what I mean. So I'm not opposed to this somehow being -- I agree with the information technology, but from my standpoint I'm not opposed to somehow meeting the same objective TGW is trying to get to in that same time shortening the step so it's easily referred to. So I get your comment that that could be a problem.

ROBERT FISHER: And, Matt, from what you were saying, it sounds to me like the reasoning for changing the title is to just specifically spell out what, in your opinion, the authority of the commission is.

MATTHEW MORGAN: Specifically to spell out what activities need to be controlled when you're looking at a Class II Gaming System. You have to look at security and management as well as the server. You have to also look at the software on the server and any of that associated with those two. Those are the three areas that you need to be concerned with.

So I don't know if it's so much of a jurisdictional issue as it is those are the activities that pose risks. They're very narrowed down. But I will say I'm in agreement with Daniel, if there's a

2.0

2.2

better terminology for that that more accurately describes, that would be wonderful, because that is something that we did struggle with, that the Tribal Gaming Work Group product is -- everybody understood when we come out with that name, it doesn't roll off your tongue, but nobody came out with a better alternative.

So, I mean, if there's a better alternative that still accurately describes activity needs to be controlled, we couldn't come up with it, so I don't know what that is. So I'm with Daniel, I'd be open to hearing some discussion if there's a better terminology to use.

MICHAEL CURRY: Check IT controls for independence, and that's under (c)(5), (d)(1), they removed the TGRA as the approving authority to decide whether to allow IT personnel to handle cash instruments under proper oversight and approval. What was the reasoning for this change?

ROBERT FISHER: Go ahead, Kathi.

KATHI HAMEL: I believe (a) is in every one of the regulations that again reiterates that the TGRA is the one that has the control over the entire approval and oversight.

ROBERT FISHER: Mike, do you see she's taking

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

1 | the reference. So what page on the -- in the --

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

KATHI HAMEL: (C)(5) is a different regulation.

MICHAEL CURRY: It was basically a standard a couple pages long.

DANIEL MCGHEE: Yes. That first one kind of put one sentence in there, that would be, "TGRA has the authority to approve," blah, blah, blah, so it's not specifically to take it from somewhere else but it's at the beginning of every section, if that answers your question.

ROBERT FISHER: Which page in the comparison document are you looking?

Give him a second. He's trying to orient himself in the document, so we don't have his attention yet. So wait a second if you're going to do that, then we've got to come back so the whole group can hear what's going on. He's trying to get oriented, so what's the question you've got?

MICHAEL CURRY: Well, one was just the nomenclature referring to (c)(5), (d)(1) for starters. But then I think you --

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So let's take a pause for a second. Jeff's got a question.

JEFF WHEATLEY: So the question is that the revision kind of takes away the ability of anybody that

- has access to Class II services from having any involvement with financial instruments that's been taken away, and that authority's been stripped from TGRA to allow them to spell out which personnel can under a certain oversight?
- 6 MICHAEL CURRY: Well, that's what we wish to avoid.
 - JEFF WHEATLEY: Because right now the way it reads to me is it is not allowed at all.
- MICHAEL CURRY: Allow the cash and cash equivalents?
- 12 JEFF WHEATLEY: Right.

8

9

17

18

19

- MICHAEL CURRY: True, and that shouldn't be the
 case as far as not allowing. I mean, with TGRA
 approval, they should be able to allow pretty much
 anyone along as they've probably vetted through.
 - JEFF WHEATLEY: That's what I wanted to understand, is why there's not authority for the TGRA to allow that in certain cases.
- 20 DANIEL LITTLE: What page?
- JEFF WHEATLEY: If you look on that final draft, it's page two.
- MICHAEL CURRY: To totally negate and say no,
 no one regardless of TGRA approval, yes, I mean, we
 certainly want to avoid that.

Page 66

1	DANIEL MCGHEE: So would a suggestion be
2	"unauthorized access" because the TGRA has the authority
3	to authorize it under the $(d)(1)$ I mean (d) , All
4	personnel having access to server, server software
5	and/or data are independent or restricted from
6	unauthorized access to 1, 2, 3.
7	MICHAEL CURRY: That's the key word is
8	unauthorized.
9	DANIEL MCGHEE: You can't hurt it by adding
10	that, and then at the same time
11	JEFF WHEATLEY: Well, I think there's certain
12	instances where you might want to allow that under
13	"oversight."
14	ROBERT FISHER: Which means that that's a good
15	change or not a good change?
16	JEFF WHEATLEY: I think you can read it that
17	way.
18	ROBERT FISHER: Okay.
19	KATHI HAMEL: And the same language goes in
20	(c)(5).
21	DANIEL MCGHEE: (C)(5) is a mistake. I don't
22	see reference to (c)(5).
23	KATHI HAMEL: It talks about financial

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

ROBERT FISHER: So the changes that are up on

instruments.

24

25

the screen in (c)(5) and D, the introduction to D, by including the word "unauthorized," does that get at what Mike is saying and that that sounds like it's responsive from at least some members of TAC? So we'll have to check and see if that works for everybody. But right at the moment that addresses the concern that you raised, Mike.

All right. Matt.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MATTHEW MORGAN: My question has to do with the specific section. In (c)(5) you're talking about the departmental independence, and then D you're just talking about the personal, individual independence and restrictions. Does that change your stance at all? Because those are two very different levels depending on whether you work in the same department versus whether you individually are conflicted out.

And I ask that because we made the same changes to both, which basically says "TGRA can allow," but does that allow -- is that going too far, I guess is my question for the group.

ROBERT FISHER: Kathi.

KATHI HAMEL: I'm not responding to what Matt had to say, but there needs to be a change in D to remove the word "personnel" and put "agents."

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So anybody want to

address Matt's question?

2.0

2.2

2.3

DANIEL MCGHEE: As far as that departmental independence, and that "included but not limited to" is really just an example, you could technically delete that whole part. It's just to give an example of what's already stated in (d)(1) anyway, so "departmental independence, including but not limited to," anything that falls after that is just an example.

Either way. I mean, it's a good example, but I think the "unauthorized" is fine being there because it's really kind of already saying what (d)(1) says.

We're just using it as an example in 5. So you don't have to, it's just not as important, but I do think it should be in both sections.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. All right. So, Matt, do you want to test your question about whether it's appropriate in both sections or you're okay with it the way it is?

MATTHEW MORGAN: My question was more to promote discussion, you know, where's the line at, is that too far or not far enough. That's the only thing I was looking for is discussion.

MICHAEL CURRY: And again, just to reiterate your question or your concern, you're looking at either a departmental level, which is all I'm really concerned

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

about, or versus, I don't know, there's family members that are not independent of departments, things of that nature. Is that what I understand?

Okay. For clarity purposes, I don't know if you asked the question, but I'm looking at a departmental level. As far as an organizational independence or whatever the case might be, that falls out of my purview.

MATTHEW MORGAN: One of the reasons I asked, one of the things we tried at a Tribal Work Group level was to try not to put such parameters around what a department may be called or whether you're Department A versus Department B. It was more your individual functions in looking at your conflicts of interest and where the risks are. And here we went back into and talked about departmental independence. And you tell me your focus at that departmental level is to make sure there's independence.

But from a working group perspective, that wasn't the overarching concern. We didn't care if you were in bingo sales and maybe F&B, because maybe at some point -- even though you're in F&B, at some point in the shift you did take over and you went into sales or something that was more important to us, that we make sure those conflicts didn't happen, that we were looking

2.0

2.2

2.3

Page 70

at more of an individual level conflict and not a departmental level.

DANIEL MCGHEE: Does 5 say the same thing that D says? I mean, isn't D, all of D what you're saying about 5? Am I wrong? Because in D you do talk about any one agent or agents versus department. But, for example, if 5 weren't there, would D still cover what used to be there or not?

KATHI HAMEL: I think so.

MATTHEW MORGAN: My question then would be D is personnel having access to -- what if you don't have access to but you grant the authority to have access to and so your department needs to be independent from those people at some --

DANIEL MCGHEE: -- restrict agents that have access to servers. It says it again.

MICHAEL CURRY: The form would be "agents."

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So do you want to make a suggestion to change it or --

DANIEL MCGHEE: I mean, unless I was missing something, maybe we're repeating each other. Maybe someone would read it differently.

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I kind of read it differently because I see that the department -- ROBERT FISHER: Please put your mike on.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I kind of see it as, like you would say here, on September 5 that the department -- you specified specific departments that have to have independence. And then on (d)(1) -- you know, I just read them different. Because I read in that there's been some agent, but then -- right, okay, what if tomorrow I allow him to have this access, but if he's in that one department that it seems to be precluded from, then that would violate that, so --

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: So we also need a little time check. We've got about five more minutes before we're scheduled to stop the discussion and shift to public comment.

DANIEL MCGHEE: Just to address her, would it be to say, "controls must be established to ensure" and just say "departmental independence," period. Because then when you go further is when you start talking about an agent, which is what you're talking about in (d), so your control must make sure departmental independence is in place.

ROBERT FISHER: You mean just getting rid of that?

DANIEL MCGHEE: Technically you could just stop it at "departmental independence" and then it addresses individuals in the next sentence, which is what the rest

Page 72

of that sentence does. Do you see where I'm going with that? So maybe that would take away the confusion.

ROBERT FISHER: Does that help or --

MICHAEL CURRY: Certainly.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: It would. Okay. So the suggestion was to delete after the word "independence," from (c)(5) all the way to the end, which is the "including but not limited to" example that's given there.

All right. Anybody have a problem with that?
We're not testing it formally yet. We will when we come back to close out the section, but anybody have a reaction to that or a problem with it? Raise any issues for anybody?

(No audible response.)

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Was there anything else on the regulation before we move to the guidance that we need to talk about?

REST WEST: I understand that the focus is on the Class II Gaming System, but what about the other IT systems that are, I guess, important to Class II gaming, such as accounting software and servers, whatever other possible systems that are necessary for Class II gaming? So it seems to narrow the focus to the actual game itself. What about the other important IT functions,

software, whatever, that I think should be part of this? ROBERT FISHER: Go ahead, Kathi.

KATHI HAMEL: In (c)(1), control of physical and logical access to server, server software and data associated with Class II including (inaudible).

DANIEL MCGHEE: If I'm understanding that, basically it means any system, and you're saying that it's associated with a Class II system, and the system needs to have the same control, so that would include the all-associated systems that he's talking about, such as the financial and other things, if it is part of that or even if it's pulling from that system, information or data, unless you're talking about just whatever accounting software.

REST WEST: It just seems to me, when you look at the stance of the section, it applies only to the gaming system, and one would think that it doesn't apply to other, what I would think, are necessary parts of Class II gaming.

ROBERT FISHER: Is that because the title here has "Gaming Systems" in it?

REST WEST: That whole section is Class II gaming systems, so your accounting (inaudible) on these servers, like some of the collection services, that they not necessarily be the ones that you would record your

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040 800-227-8440

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

financial (inaudible).

2.0

2.2

2.5

MICHAEL CURRY: And I agree with Rest. That was a concern of mine also, is that it almost pigeonholes it, so to speak, and say okay, if it falls outside the purview of the server or the server software or the data associated with Class II MICS, then it doesn't apply. But in an IT environment, again, from a professional environment, an IT environment, it is so complex and so intertwined with everything else that you have going on.

Nowhere out there -- I wouldn't even expect to see the word "printer" up there, but a printer is a key element within that Class II environment. If we could shy away from even calling out servers themselves, because server -- and, again, when write up regs and guidance, the terminology itself is antiquated and old within a year and a half. I mean, we found that out with a number of different software systems; we'll call them software and now we call them application systems, things of that nature.

So, again, I'd have to agree with Rest, that once we start pigeonholing ourselves and minimizing and saying, okay, this part of the system applies but this part of the system over here doesn't apply, that's not true. None of use really has the background or the

capability to map out the flow of data through a gaming operation a Class II -- particularly an operation that has Class II and Class III, where do you draw the line at? You don't.

I mean, a system is a system. An application is going to run a data backup or recovery is all going to be encompassed under here, but it's not even included. I mean, we're dealing with servers. The desktops themselves, someone could read this and say, okay, this applies to servers. In the IT department it's servers and all the little desktops that we all have in our cubicles and in our offices. It's the whole environment itself.

And to draw the DMZ line and say, okay, well, the reg applies up to here and then it cuts off, everything else is not applicable, it's impossible to do that. It's impossible to do. It's either all encompassing or it's not.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So I'm going to ask you to stop right there. We've got one more comment from Matt and then we're going to pause and provide time for public comment, and then we'll pick this up again after we're done with lunch.

Go ahead, Matt.

MATTHEW MORGAN: One, you can't segregate, and,

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

2.0

2.2

again, these only apply if you have that capability. If you're a system that doesn't have any bank office for player tracking, then that stuff wouldn't apply, but if you go back and read the Class II gaming system definition, which is very key in there, because we've defined that word of what that all encompasses.

Two, I think you have to keep in mind you guys are not the only tool in the bag. There are other people out there looking at both federal level, state level, if you have a compact, and tribal level. There are all kinds of people out there looking at this subject. And just because it may not be in your tool bag does not necessarily mean it's not getting done.

And that's why I think it is very important that as a part of this process you look at trying to determine how do you deliver items that are outside of your tool bag. There are other items that are risks that need to be controlled that may necessarily -- you may not have the authority to, it may not necessarily be the state, it may just be the tribes, but they do need some training and technical assistance in that area, and to focus on it's not in this document alone is a little bit -- I guess to follow-up on your point, it's still part of the system. And at a local level, we have to see that, how that all works together.

2.0

2.2

1 So I'm really not for sure on when Rest's question said, well, I don't see it, and Kathi pointed 2 out the exact language, you said I'm concerned about 3 accounting, accounting's in there. Talk about vouchers, 4 5 vouchers are in there. If it's any system associated with a Class II Gaming System, all components -- whether 6 7 or not technological aid in electronics, computer, mechanical or other technological forums that function 8 together to aid the play of one or more Class II gaming, 10 including accounting function mandated by Part 547, 11 that's all included within there. And when you use that 12 definition, all that stuff comes in. You have to be 13 aware of that.

Okay. So let's pause here and ROBERT FISHER: we'll come back to this discussion when we reconvene. I'm assuming we're going to break for lunch after public comment.

And so we've had one person that I know of who asked to provide public comments. If there's anybody else in the audience that wishes to provide public comment, at this point we'll make that opportunity available. And our procedure for doing the public comment is that each person who wishes to speak is given three minutes to talk.

And would you do our timing again, Daniel?

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And then there's an opportunity for the committee members to ask any questions if they so choose, but our process is also that we're not expected to provide a direct response back to any comment that's given in order to give the opportunity for the committee to consider the information and respond to it accordingly.

With that, let me turn to you, Mr. DesRogiers.

Did I get that right? And you're up.

NORM DESROGIERS: Norm DesRogiers, Commissioner for San Manuel. Thank you very much. I thought I had the whole 15 minutes, but a couple of comments and questions. First, thanks. I didn't realize until I got here, apparently you're done with 547. I hoped to hear some discussion on it, but that's great. It's even greater if you incorporated my comments in what was finally produced, and I want to ask about that in a moment. And I'm really pleased to hear that you progressed here into 543 because, I mean, the regulatory community has been operating handicapped out there with no minimum internal controls to support Part 547. So this is great. I can't wait until you're done.

Let me ask a couple of questions. Did the committee members all get copies of all submitted written public comments on the working group draft?

2.0

2.2

2.3

Page 79

ROBERT FISHER: On the Tribal Gaming Working Group draft?

NORM DESROGIERS: Part 547, the Indian Gaming Working Group produced a document that was published for comment.

ROBERT FISHER: I think what we're going to have to do is, you're going to have to pose your question. We're not going to be able to respond to them right now.

NORM DESROGIERS: I had a number of comments on that, which, you know, pertained to Part 547, only four or five issues, but two that I was kind of passionate about. So since you've kind of gone through all that already, I just have two questions on the two parts that I was particularly interested in. Does anyone recall what your final recommendation was for the entertainment display, last game recall?

ROBERT FISHER: We haven't worked on Part 547 in two meetings, so we are scheduled to talk about it on Thursday, so maybe if you put the questions out, then maybe we can make sure we come back and address those questions, but it's on the agenda for Thursday.

NORM DESROGIERS: Okay. Well, that's one of them, and I'm not going to be here Thursday. I didn't think -- okay.

800-227-8440

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

1 The other one, then, deals with the odds, you 2 know, the fairness deal, and are we going to have any minimum odds required or is that going to be an 3 4 unregulated thing? Because the way it's written, let me 5 remind you, simply says -- or the way it was proposed by Indian Gaming Working Group doesn't set any standards. 6 7 It says the lab will test to see what those odds are and let the tribe know. It does away with the standards 8 that were previously set. So does anybody remember 10 whether that was changed or not? 11 DANIEL MCGHEE: All I can do is address the

DANIEL MCGHEE: All I can do is address the question, go back and look and see what was -- (inaudible).

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

NORM DESROGIERS: Okay. Well, those are my questions. Thanks. I won't take anymore of your time.

ROBERT FISHER: I'm guessing. I think if I remember correctly, and I may not remember correctly, that there are minimum odds but it's different than -- they're different than what was -- there was discussion about it, so we did talk about it and we can check that before you leave. I can tell you what's in the current draft before you leave today.

NORM DESROGIERS: Well, I appreciate that. You know, we're a little at a disadvantage, except those who have been in constant touch with committee members, but

those of us who haven't, I guess I assumed there were going to be regular drafts posted on the Web site with the progressive product that's being developed. And, granted, I'm technologically challenged, but I haven't been able to find anything out there so we can keep abreast of what the product has been developing as. So it's kind of tough for us to come in here and make any intelligent comments, I guess, without knowing what's going on.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Sure. So the group is working through those documents. They're making recommendations. The recommendations are getting captured in the documents, and at some point those draft documents will get put up on the Web site so everybody can check it out.

Michele. And then I will come back to you. Go ahead, Michele.

MICHELE STACONA: I am wondering, if he did make and submit comments to NIGC, I thought we agreed that you would put them on the Web site so we can look at it, and I just pulled up your Web site and I believe his comments aren't on there.

DANIEL LITTLE: I'll address both. First of all, Michele, they are on there. They may not be under the Tribal Advisory Committee, they may be under the

consultation section, but they are because I think they were in reference to a whole number of areas. So they are online because I've read Norm's comments. So they are online. They may not be in the tribal advisory committee section, but I can find out at break.

But, Norm, I appreciate your comments, and thanks for coming here today. It's always an honor to have a former commissioner. And I didn't probably point out earlier, and I apologize, we've got three or four members of the commission here: Liz Homa, Terry Thompson, and, of course, Norm. It's always great to have you guys here as a commission. It's an honor to have you here, so thank you, all of you.

But your comments are online, Norm, and I think this TAC is working a little differently than in the past where we were putting up drafts. The advisory committee is preparing suggestions -- suggesting comments or, you know, recommendations to the commission for moving forward with a regulation, so you won't necessarily see a draft up online. When they do come out with their recommendations, we'll be posting that, so that's what you'll see online, but your comments that your tribe has submitted is online there. It's probably under the consultation section, but I will find out during the break. So, once again, thank you for the

2.0

2.2

Page 83

1 comments.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Christinia.

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: Just so Dan doesn't have to locate it, it's under Consultation under Regulatory Review under Group 3.

DANIEL LITTLE: Thank you.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. And as I said, I'll double-check the questions that you raised when we break for lunch and make sure that we give that information to you, and I will make sure it goes on the record here.

All right. So is there anybody else in the audience that wishes to provide public comment to the committee at this point?

(No audible response.)

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So hearing nobody step forward, that means that we're a little bit before noon. Our appointed time for breaking for lunch is noon. So should we break for lunch now? And when we come back we'll pick up on 543.16 on the conversation we just had about the title and what's covered and what's not covered and what the intentions are.

So we'll start up again at 1:00.

(Lunch Recess.)

ROBERT FISHER: Back on the record. Welcome back everybody. Let's pick up where we left off before

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

we stopped the discussion in order to take the opportunity to get public comment. And we were talking about 543.16 and, in part, we were talking about -- we were still talking about the regulation we hadn't moved yet. We were talking about the guidance, and I want to just check to see, before we move to the guidance, whether anybody has anything else on the regulation that you want to raise or whether there's anything more that we need to do to the guidance. In particular, people raised some questions about what was covered and the title, the name of that section, and I'm wondering whether there's any impetus to change the title of that section or whether we're good with the regulation and we should move to the guidance?

(No audible response.)

2.0

2.2

ROBERT FISHER: Anybody have anything else on the regulation? All right. So maybe we'll cycle back to the -- oh, I'm sorry, Robin, go ahead.

ROBIN LASH: I just wanted to comment briefly. Every time we come together at these meetings, we do meet additional NIGC staff, and I'm kind of concerned because the concept and the products that we're proposing is very different from the MICS that are in place that's laden with the procedure.

And the intention of the Tribal Gaming Working

Group document was to take that procedure out of the regulation and just give the regulation, give a guidance document that's a safe harbor for tribes, or they can make it more stringent. And I just don't feel like the NIGC offices, the staff back at the office are getting that.

2.0

2.2

2.5

You know, we do get the same kind of questions and I don't know how I will feel more comfortable, because when we finish this process this week, and unless NIGC would participate by phone in our Arizona meeting -- and perhaps that's a good idea because you can have a dozen people in the room without paying their expenses without actually physically be there -- they could then ask questions to this group about the product that we're putting forward because it does require an understanding that everything reads together.

So I guess my comfort level is kind of shaken because I don't think that's understood back at the office with the people who are going to be drafting this final document. So that's just a concern I'm wanting to state.

DANIEL LITTLE: That's a good point. I can definitely understand where you're coming from, Robin.

The folks that are going to be drafting any proposed regulation is going to be the Commission along with the

general counsel's office, which is Mike Hoenig, who has been here at all these meetings, and we rely a lot upon our audits and other staff, such as Nimish Parohit.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

But that's why, when we were talking about this earlier, why it is important that Rest has a good understanding and Mike Curry does, because we rely on their expertise. They've been here a lot longer than I have and they understand these issues, you know, from a minute-specific on-the-ground perspective that is very valuable. So I'm not really sure there's others back at headquarters that -- you know, we would obviously rely on some other folks in the office of general counsel, but he primarily is the team that will be working on drafting the regulation. So I understand your concern, and hopefully as we get through this that will be alleviated a little bit.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Anybody have anything else either generally or on the regulations before we get going?

(No audible response.)

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So the regulation is still projected up on the screen. I don't have the guidance documents to project up on the screen for those of you in the audience. There were some questions that were raised about the title of this and whether there

was a better title that could be used that was easier or more informative or something else.

And so do you want to spend any time talking about that right now or you want to move to the quidance? Anybody have an idea for or a suggestion for a different title?

(No audible response.)

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Let's move to the guidance. So in the document, I think in the comparison document that NIGC put out, the first guidance question is over on page 15, if I have that right.

MICHAEL CURRY: Welcome back from lunch, everyone. I'm going to pick it up again on the first section of page 15 of 19 regarding what is the minimum internal control standards for information technology, but, again, we're taking into account the potential of the title being changed.

Under the first NIGC question, referencing working group, 543.16 (c)(5), the working group proposal references the term "agent." Due to the ambiguity between human agents versus software application agents, what would be a better approach to replace or to define the parameters of the term "agent" more clearly?

We talked about this before ROBERT FISHER: when Nimish talked about (inaudible) the 547.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

there any other comments anyone wanted to add to that?

Kathi.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

question related to the regulation or to the guidance document? Because there is no (c)(5) in the guidance document and there's a (c)(5) in the regulation, and we've just scratched -- now, since we met this morning, we've just talked about departmental independence. Is that the reference you're at, Michael? (C)(5) is only in the regulation.

MICHAEL CURRY: Okay. Sure. You are correct. There was an error in the first -- in the reg reference.

KATHI HAMEL: Is it the reg or the guidance?

Because the guidance under supervision, there is no 5

either, so --

DANIEL LITTLE: We addressed this agent issue so many times, and I think we're comfortable with that.

MICHAEL CURRY: Great. Okay. Proceeding on.

ROBERT FISHER: Kathi, can you use the mike when you talk? Thanks. Only one mike at a time.

JOHN MAGEE: Dan, I need you to clarify. You just stated that we've gone over the "agent" a number of times, and you're comfortable with the language or the definition? Could you clarify that for me?

DANIEL LITTLE: That's a good point to

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

clarify. I'm comfortable that we've gone over it enough. Okay.

JOHN MAGEE: Meaning what?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

DANIEL LITTLE: Meaning that we've discussed the definition of agent and its use in this capacity. So are you asking if I'm comfortable with, and I'm in agreement that the definition of agent is sufficient and it's something that I agree with and we should use because that's not what I'm saying. We're saying I'm comfortable we discussed it enough.

THOMAS WILSON: So we don't need to discuss this question?

DANIEL LITTLE: Yes. We're going to move on.

ROBERT FISHER: The definition is up on the screen, so just for your purposes, Mike, so you know where we are.

JOHN MAGEE: A clarification. You're comfortable that we've gone over the definition a number of times but you're not comfortable as it being included in the document? Or can you elaborate that a little clearer for me?

DANIEL LITTLE: The purpose of this is to gather information from the committee through discussions, your questions, or through the recommendations that you'll be submitting.

I'm only one member of three on the commission that will be making a decision, so I can't say, you know, this is what we're going to use, this is what we're going to agree to or not, so I'm comfortable that we discussed the term "agent" enough for our purposes to take it back and be able to make a decision.

JOHN MAGEE: Okay. Thank you.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So then the next guidance question is also on page 15 under that heading, NIGC question.

MICHAEL CURRY: All right. Middle of the page on page 15 of 19, NIGC question regarding -- again, along the lines of agent, since the definition of the word "agent" permits the use of computer applications to perform the functions of an agent, what are the steps required to demonstrate and verify said agent's independence from your -- here's the long IT converted title here -- security and management of server, server software and data associated with Class II gaming?

So, simply, the question -- the portion of the question in the middle, what are the steps required to demonstrate and verify said agent's independence, basically that's almost pretty much a testing question. How do you test that? How can you verify that? What would be the -- I guess the test that that control would

2.0

2.2

2.3

Page 91

go up against and say, yes, the objective of that control is being satisfied?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Do you mean how will they accomplish it or --

MICHAEL CURRY: Well, no, not a step-by-step process on how they would accomplish it. The question is what are the steps required to demonstrate and verify said agent's independence. So, again, it still falls under the heading of testing. How would you verify that? How would you say, yes, this control is being met, this control is being satisfied, or this control is being exceeded?

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Kathi.

KATHI HAMEL: I believe at our guidance documents we talked job descriptions, organizational charts and reporting structures, and that's how you verify it because there would be an org chart and job descriptions that would be detailed enough to have segregation of duties.

DANIEL LITTLE: Do you know where? In the quidance, do you know where?

KATHI HAMEL: (D)(2) in the guidance document, page three of nine.

MICHAEL CURRY: All right. Middle of page 16 of 19, NIGC question, this was provided to us from

Nimish: How does asking a manufacturer for permission to deactivate services or permission for anything for that matter conform to common gaming regulatory practice? Could this provision raise concerns regarding the Federal Government interfering via regulation with the contract between tribe and vendor?

ROBERT FISHER: Kathi.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

KATHI HAMEL: I think in the guidance document we didn't use the word "permission," but it's a way to communicate a process, and that's why the guidance document says "must be consulted prior to the deactivation." It doesn't say permission, but it's encouraging that there's communication.

ROBERT FISHER: Consulting.

KATHI HAMEL: Here's what it says in the guidance document, which is (g)(1) Romanette iv.

ROBERT FISHER: Top of page 16?

KATHI HAMEL: Yes. Unused services and nonessential ports are disabled whenever possible. The manufacturer/supplier of the system must be consulted prior to the deactivation of any service or ports to ensure that an essential server/port is not inadvertently disabled.

ROBERT FISHER: So I guess I'm wondering whether we're having a difference of how you're reading

the document and the intentions behind the document and and whether or not -- because every time you raise a question, they explain to you that it's covered in some other way so far.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DANIEL LITTLE: Well, they're clarifying it, which is helpful, because if you're not -- I mean, it's helpful how they're clarifying it. It's good, you know, we may say that there may be a better way of clarifying that, and that's what I'm --

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So it's all right, so it's useful. Go on.

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: On page 15 (inaudible).

ROBERT FISHER: You have to mike it, Mia.

DANIEL LITTLE: We're comfortable with that.

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: It's in regards to this one, right?

KATHI HAMEL: I'd like to make another recommendation for the TAC. I believe it's an oversight to the guidance documents for this section. Section C talks about the variances in the guidance document, and I don't believe this applies to this section.

ROBERT FISHER: So what's your suggestion?

KATHI HAMEL: That it be removed. It's on the bottom of page two of nine. Oh, golly, it's not in the NIGC document, it's in the TGWG document.

Page 94 1 DANIEL LITTLE: It's under Tab J. KATHI HAMEL: Yes, Tab J. 3 ROBERT FISHER: So give me the paragraph reference again. 4 KATHI HAMEL: It's guidance document for 5 543.16(c), and it's titled "Variances." 6 7 ROBERT FISHER: Got it. KATHI HAMEL: And I believe it should be 8 9 removed because it's not applicable to these sections. 10 ROBERT FISHER: Oh, I see. So you're 11 suggesting remove the whole thing. 12 KATHI HAMEL: The guidance document. 13 ROBERT FISHER: Right. Okay. Keep going. 14 MICHAEL CURRY: Middle of page 17 regarding 15 Section (h)(3)(ii) could be interpreted as password 16 changes can only be changed after every quarter at the 17 soonest. Is that the intent? If so, how does that fit 18 in with best practices? 19 ROBERT FISHER: (H)(3)(ii) starts with "Access. 20 Credential records should be maintained either manually 21 or by systems," and then it goes on. 2.2 Tom. 23 THOMAS WILSON: So it does, in fact, say that

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

is that quarterly may not be sufficient according to

at a minimum it's quarterly. So what I hear you saying

24

25

1 | best practices.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MICHAEL CURRY: Well, best practices is quarterly, but for the guidance to establish that you can't change it any sooner than that. There's always going to be an instance where maybe somebody loses their password, someone else picks it up. You realize I can't wait until the end of my quarter to change my password, I need to change it now.

ROBERT FISHER: So I'm interjecting because there are people down there saying that's not how they're reading it.

So who wants to -- Matt, do you want to address that? Matt or Kathi.

MATTHEW MORGAN: That's not at least what I interpret the language to say. I mean, if you read it verbatim, it says it should be changed at intervals established but not less than quarterly. So it's giving you a -- at the very least you have to change it quarterly, but it doesn't say you have to wait until the end of the quarter.

(Multiple speakers.)

MATTHEW MORGAN: That's my question. I did not read it the way that you interpreted it.

DANIEL MCGHEE: I think to answer the question, did he mean it to be -- so it means no less -- what he

Page 96

1 | said is what it means --

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Right. So the intention is not to make it quarterly. Right, it's a minimum.

DANIEL MCGHEE: It's at least quarterly.

MICHAEL CURRY: At least quarterly, I guess, if nothing else; for clarification, if nothing else.

Okay. Next point, section (h)(7) and (8) do not necessarily account for issues with role changes. For example, if an employee has a role change from regulatory to food and beverage, then the regulatory access privileges should be changed immediately as well. Should this be clarified?

ROBERT FISHER: Kathi.

KATHI HAMEL: I agree, but I would avoid using the word "role changes" and maybe use a word like "transfer." I think that the guidance document for all the sections that talk about supervision segregation and independence gives enough control for role changes:

Today I'm a cashier and tomorrow I sell bingo cards, but it needs to have communication when someone transfers from position cashier to being bingo sales.

ROBERT FISHER: So do you want to suggest a

ROBERT FISHER: So do you want to suggest a change or a clarification, as they say, or not?

KATHI HAMEL: Maybe it says -- right now we're just talking about terminations, so termination or

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

transfer? Is that clear enough?

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

DANIEL MCGHEE: The way I read it, it is speaking about there's access credentials of terminated users. I don't think of that necessarily being terminated employees. It just means that they are no longer using these standards, as what we call them, users. So if you're terminating the user, you could have been transferred, that's why your user privileges have been terminated. I don't think it's meant to be -- (inaudible). You know what I mean, you transfer your credentials, your access has been terminated, not necessarily meaning as an employee. I can always change positions, but it could be clarified to be sure about that.

DANIEL LITTLE: All right. We can clarify that. I think there could be some confusion because I also think that that was separated employees.

MICHAEL CURRY: Okay. Moving right along, at the top of page 18 of 19, would adding a section to ensure cross compliance with any 547 requirements especially from recordkeeping requirements, such as ITL, certification letter, TGRA approval letter, et cetera, be beneficial?

THOMAS WILSON: What would you envision that cross referencing to look like? In other words, what

certain components of the cross reference would you be more concerned about than others that would need to be cross referenced in here back to 547, if you have any ideas?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

MICHAEL CURRY: Sure. Well, because it brings up, especially from recordkeeping requirements, certification letters, approval letters.

DANIEL LITTLE: This is a question raised by Nimish. We can probably clarify a little more of what he meant. We'll try to submit that as a follow-up question.

Anything you want to add, Rest?

MICHAEL CURRY: Okay. Moving on --

JOHN MAGEE: (Inaudible.)

ROBERT FISHER: The question was what does ITL stand for. And it was Independent Testing Lab.

Okay. I know it's cumbersome, but we've got to use the mikes in order to be able to hear.

Okay. Back to you, Mike.

MICHAEL CURRY: Okay. Middle of page 18, how does TGRA operations verify the outcome of any downloads that took place after remote access session has concluded? Are there any other requirements that were removed from Part 547 as a control that could or should be placed here?

1 ROBERT FISHER: Kathi.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

regulation concerning verification of downloads through signature verification. I reached out to our IT department specifically about non-bingo servers and receiving this type of information, the answer was their best practice was, no, they would not receive information via a download, that if there was something that needed to be updated or changed especially on the system it would be done via thumb drive or disks, that the information can be validated.

So internally I would say that for our casino best practices that we would not perform a download that would require verification, but 547 talks about it, which is different than the 547 regulation for bingo in the game room, because there's signature verification that comes from independent verification.

ROBERT FISHER: So is she getting at what you asked?

MICHAEL CURRY: If I could clarify one point.

On the question itself, if the IT department effects a download, let's say, for instance, they get off at 5:00 o'clock in the evening but they have the download starting at 4:00 and it takes two hours for that download to complete, during the regular work hours your

VPN, virtual private network, is open.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

Your IT department, your slot department and every other department is communicating with a vendor or manufacturer over across that VPN, but at the end of the day when that VPN is shut down or it's locked down and there's still incoming downloads or perhaps a download didn't complete yet -- it still needed to finish -- pretty much like when we download software on our own computers or laptops at home, it takes a considerable amount of time.

So the question was, how is the assurance of that download complete pretty much after everybody has gone home? Does it take that download, kick it back to the sender and say, well, the port wasn't open? Or does it reside in an after-hours file? Obviously you wouldn't want something like that loaded into your production environment after your IT department has already gotten off work for the day. So will it reside in a standby data file or e-mail box? Or will it, again, get kicked back to the sender saying, hey, the port's not open, you've got to try again tomorrow?

KATHI HAMEL: I guess in the real world our IT department would not be allowed to go home if there was a download in progress even if it required overtime. So that's real life. How it gets written in regulation and

1 quidance documents, I mean, I quess you say best practice is you stay there until it's done. So you do segregate anything that's downloaded and you verify it 3 before you put it into production. And I'm sure all of 4 us can speak that you don't put anything in production when your port is swamped, so you're not going to do 7 anything that hurts your business. So all of our switches to dial into our systems are manually managed by a person agent, not a system agent. It doesn't shut 10 off at 5:00 o'clock because there's a timer. So it's 11 managed by people.

THOMAS WILSON: Is your concern, if I understand correctly, that if a download is in progress and something happens to that download, who would know?

MICHAEL CURRY: Who would know? Would the system pick up on that or would the system shut down completely? Does it require human intervention?

And, again, it says after the remote access, the session has concluded. So the open VPN, let's say for instance, that you lock it down at 5 o'clock and send everybody home, but like you just explained, if there's still downloads coming in, maybe nobody goes home until it's all done, maybe you have a system that does monitor when the download is complete and it sends out an e-mail saying downloaded successfully, let's move

5

6

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

on kind of thing.

2.0

2.2

2.3

So that was the gist of the question, how do we verify that? How do you verify the outcome of a download after the virtual private network session has concluded? And it sounds like, from what I hear, it wouldn't be concluded, you leave it open until the download is complete, nobody goes home until it's done.

THOMAS WILSON: Well, casinos are 24/7 operations, so the idea that -- I mean, typically these downloads kinds of things that you're talking about are happening at times other than the normal business hours because you're trying to schedule them around the least busy period. I mean, I guess what I'm trying to understand with the question is, is your concern that there isn't specific steps that, say, somebody has to physically verify the completion of the download? Is that what the concern is?

MICHAEL CURRY: Well, more of the concern is the open or the closing of the external network, the VPN, or if there are no downloads coming through there, no big deal, obviously.

But to manage the opening and closing and the monitoring of that network, what would be in place to accommodate any downloads that may even occur after that? Like you said, even though it's a 24-hour

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

operation, the majority of the downloads are going to occur in the evening, and in some cases -- I won't say "some," in most case the downloads will actually occur during the day, and the gaming operation, because they're slower now, will go ahead and download.

It's like do you want to run this application or do you want to save it? If it comes down during the day, you want to save it and then during the evening most folks will go ahead and run it or execute it.

THOMAS WILSON: I mean, these downloads that you're referencing can only come in through remote access. I mean, it's remote access. Remote access is controlled by individuals. I don't know anybody that has automated remote access. So there are controls even in the remote access section out there that you're talking about, who can get in when and for how long or what happens.

I mean, this is a coordinated event. So it's not like a Microsoft windows update, that if you turn on your computer, in the background it goes and it's performing an update and then asks you if you want to install it. When somebody has access to your system, it's because you have given them specific access to do specific things at a specific time, and that's part of this discussion with the manufacturer about, okay,

2.0

2.2

2.3

what's involved with this update, how long is it going to take, what kind of resources do we have to have available to do this, what should we expect happening as a result of this update.

So I'm not sure that the concern that's being voiced mimics the real day-to-day activities that take place, because you're implying that -- almost like somebody could gain or somebody could have access to the system unattended.

MICHAEL CURRY: Not with this particular control, no. No, that would be along passwords and user authorization and firewall settings and things of that nature that would be unintended. All this particular question here was, after the network shuts down. And, again, if this is not a relevant scenario, then we can certainly press on and move on.

But since there are operations that aren't 24 hours a day and they still have communication traffic over their VPN even though some folks have gone home for the day, what happens to that traffic? Do they leave their servers up and running and go ahead and grab their car keys and leave for the day? Or do they shut the servers down and anything that comes in after everyone has left for the day gets kicked back with a message attached to it saying, sorry, we can't accept anything

2.0

2.2

2.3

until business hours 8 o'clock to 5:00 tomorrow, whatever the case might be.

It's just the after hours with the network communication, what happens with that traffic?

> ROBERT FISHER: Go ahead, Matt.

I think some of it is MATTHEW MORGAN: relevant, depending on what type of product you're talking about and some of it is relevant to what your operation does. You know, servers in a Class II environment, when you close at midnight, you don't walk in and flip the power switch and everything goes down for that day and then at 8 o'clock in the morning you walk in and you flip everything back on. I think that's part of the real world scenario, it doesn't work that way, the server is on.

How you deal with information that comes through, what are your procedures, is going to be a little bit different depending on how you set up your internal structure, you know, where IT resides, where the credit people are supposed to check the verification resides, how operations wants it to come through. most software packets that I see some come through, they almost have to shake each other's hands at this point, for the large part.

You know, you come in, the machine is saying, I

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

sent you something, did you get it? A server sends it back and says, yes, I got it. If those handshakes don't take place, then it doesn't happen. And that's outside of the visible person coming in and running some type of verification. So, again, I think to echo some of this is, you know, some of it, I guess in our experience is unrealistic, but a lot of that is, depending upon the product, is how your operation wants to work.

If you get too specific, you're going to exclude somebody from doing something and how they operate, which was a big point, and what we're trying to get away from here is not procedurally driven, we're looking at the risk. Do you have controls that, you know, on the guidance at (j)(2), controls must require all remote access to be performed by a secured method. What that secured method is is going to depend on your operation.

When the TGRA comes in, they're going to check that method, make sure they're comfortable with it. I'm sure when your agents come in, they're going to check that method and make sure they're comfortable with it. If somewhere along the line someone's not comfortable, it's going to promote discussion and set out and say okay, guys, we don't think you're quite there, or you know what, you don't have really the internal control

2.0

2.2

structure in place to conform with industry standards and this is what you're going to need to do otherwise you risk being in violation of whatever section that may be.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Ready to move on to the next question? Which, I believe, if I got it right, is 19, "There's nothing in the section to safeguard."

MICHAEL CURRY: All right. The middle of 19,

"There is nothing in this section to safeguard the
security of sensitive data that is backed up. How would
you ensure player tracking data, especially unencrypted
data that is sent via tape offsite, is protected?"

ROBERT FISHER: Tom.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

THOMAS WILSON: In page nine of nine of the guidance document, one of the things it talks about in back up of data and storage of data is that backup data files and -- (inaudible.)

ROBERT FISHER: Tom, is your mike on? Can you get a little closer?

THOMAS WILSON: On page nine of the guidance document in the middle of the page, No. 4, where it talks about backup data files and disaster recovery components should be managed with the same security and access controls as the system for which they are designed to support.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

applying the same level of control over the data whether it's sitting here or over here. So if you're talking about security of the data in terms of if it's sitting on the backup mechanism, is that encrypted? That if somebody were to gain access to that data at the vault or wherever it happens to be, is that what you're question is referring to? Or is it just saying that, you know, because it's talking about specifically unencrypted, that is sent via tap offsite is protected.

MICHAEL CURRY: It would be in reference to the data on the tape as opposed to the tape itself obviously. But you're correct, though, the security and well-being encompasses the data and the tape, but we're obviously more concerned about the data; we don't care about the tape, we can replace the tape, but the data itself -- ensure player tracking data.

Now, the question more so relates to is encryption being utilized regardless of what the primary production environment medium is? Granted, it's going to be secured and encrypted on the server itself. But let's say you take that data and now you want to transfer it across state or just across town, does it lose that encryption? Does it lose that security?

And you're absolutely right, referring back to

2.0

2.2

2.3

those sections, it says this element needs to -- or entity needs to exhibit the same level of security of any other component. But, again, from the bulk or the gist of the inquiry, during the course of going from a production environment to an archived environment or a fire-safe environment, is that level of security maintained from point a all the way to point b, is where the question is generated from.

2.0

2.2

2.3

THOMAS WILSON: I can't answer that question in terms of "is it," but I guess I'm questioning why that is a regulatory concern, once data is leaving a facility or -- I mean, our backup is onsite, offsite but still on the property, so to speak, or whatever, but I'm just trying to understand the regulatory concern at that point, whether that data is encrypted or not encrypted.

MICHAEL CURRY: Or any other means, any other secure means, going from that transport from the production real world environment either being saved to tape or a hard drive that night and then it's done, it's no longer production data, it's going to be shipped somewhere else, during that shipping process and during that storage process, is it secure -- is it still secure? And if it's not initially encrypted when it was stored to tape, is it going to be encrypted?

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

THOMAS WILSON: But what if it's not?

ROBERT FISHER: Why is it important to you that it be encrypted?

MICHAEL CURRY: Because anybody can take it, plug it in, bring it up and social security numbers, phone numbers, names, addresses, anything that's sensitive key client information will be housed on your data tapes.

THOMAS WILSON: I understand, but I guess what I'm trying to get at is from a regulatory standpoint, are you advocating that there has to be a regulation that says -- the difference is that what you're saying essentially is that this data must be encrypted versus a business decision of we're going to encrypt it or we're not going to encrypt it.

Putting the risk aside, I understand that the risk of the data again in our facility we don't even download onto -- we use secure encrypted USB drives because we're so concerned about data when we walk out of that casino back to our tribal gaming office that we just don't have things unencrypted. But that being said, that's a business decision that we make to do that. I don't know that it would make sense that from a regulatory standpoint there will be a regulation that says all data has to be encrypted. The risk is on the facility and the operator of the tribe, so that's where

2.0

2.2

2.3

I struggle with -- I understand the risk, I understand what you're saying. Best practices would say yes to those things, but I just struggle with at what point does regulation end and best practices begin?

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So there are other people that have cards up. So let's do Matthew and then Daniel.

MATTHEW MORGAN: Trying to go on with Tom, one, we had the discussion, again, what level is appropriate? You know, is this a federal minimal internal control standard? And we're talking about encryption.

Well, that may be a best practice, there is a question there in my mind, you know, what level is appropriate to -- and it may just be a bad example, you used an example of player tracking data, which a lot of people have an issue with, but it makes a bad example, and I understand where you're getting at that from.

But as businesses, we all make decisions that affect our business and our credbility. Not every decision the businesses make I'm onboard with because, again, just like you guys, I have limited jurisdiction over things. There are certain matters that are in my purview and there's other matters that are not. While I can have discussions with the businesses, ultimately it's their decision on how they do stuff, and is that a

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

best practice. Like at Tom's place, he says they do it because the decide they want to.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

My question to you at NIGC, do you encrypt all your data that comes up, I mean, all your information is secured? Because I know we've had this conversation before on an IT area, do you guys as as an agency struggle trying to modernize everything and make sure? I mean, are you at that point? And if you're not at that point, what struggles have you focused on internally or came through and trying to get to that point? Because while it's a good goal to have required as a minimum is sometimes difficult.

You know, you have to get your -- first of all, you've got to have the money to do it. Second of all, you have to have some expertise on your staff to be able to do it and you've got to have some document control around. So I mean all those, time, effort, money, you know, it's a big list. So where is that line you're drawing there?

ROBERT FISHER: Daniel.

DANIEL MCGHEE: I think this control issue is just about protecting the data, and this is one way to do it. You can take it off site physically store it make it secure, or you can encrypt it so it's just a matter of picking which one you would do. One is a

- little harder and more expensive than the other. This
 at a minimum if you can't afford to encrypt it, just put
 it somewhere, lock it up, make sure nobody can get it,
 get access to it. And that's all this is telling you
 how to do. But the idea is to protect the data that's
 on the tape.
- ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Back to you, Mike, if there's anything else that you're thinking about this.
- 9 MICHAEL CURRY: No, I'm good, I think we're 10 good.
- 11 ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Kathi.
 - KATHI HAMEL: Just another suggestion on the guidance piece of this section. There's an M for audit and accounting. I don't know how it applies here, so I would recommend that it come off.
- 16 ROBERT FISHER: Recommend what? I'm sorry.
- 17 KATHI HAMEL: The guidance document M, Tab J,
 18 page 99.
- 19 ROBERT FISHER: Yes. So what's your
- 20 suggestion?

12

13

14

15

- 21 KATHI HAMEL: Remove M.
- 22 DANIEL MCGHEE: The whole section?
- DANIEL LITTLE: Yes.
- 24 DANIEL MCGHEE: It talks about servers, server
- 25 | software and data.

ROBERT FISHER: So are you suggesting that it's redundant to what's in the accounting and auditing?

KATHI HAMEL: They don't apply.

ROBERT FISHER: You don't think it applies?

ROBERT FISHER: You don't think it applies?

KATHI HAMEL: No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Anybody share that view or have a different view around the table?

Matthew.

MATTHEW MORGAN: I'm just trying to read it, trying to see -- so it's not that you think it's redundant, you just think it's totally misplaced, is my understanding?

(No audible response.)

MATTHEW MORGAN: Okay. Thanks.

ROBERT FISHER: Unnecessary, if I understood correctly.

MATTHEW MORGAN: I think the reason why it was in here is that the Tribal Gaming Work Group thought that you would have software, server software or software -- when you're dealing with audit and accounting, you almost use it like a pointer. You're in the IT, but if you're dealing with IT and they talk about audit and accounting, you really need to go to audit and accounting. Now whether it's needed or whether that's correct or not, I don't really have a

thought on it, but I look at it more as almost a pointer, go look here type of reference.

> ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Tom.

THOMAS WILSON: Just one quick thing because I think it's important to point this out. When we have these discussions about controls, and I don't want you to have the impression that I personally or anybody speaking for the TAC, that because we don't think that a certain piece of something should exist in a regulation means that it's not an important point or important thing.

And this gets to this concept of guidance, you know, publications, things like this, educating people. The issue is how much can you put in a regulation for the sake of trying to cover all the bases versus saying, you know, here's a document that talks about how you can -- here are the kinds of risks that you should be aware of, so for example, data integrity, data encryption, why you encrypt these kinds of things.

I don't think anybody's disagreeing that those things aren't important. It's just where do you talk about those things versus other things. historically, the regulations have been used as both a training tool, a process tool, a step-by-step tool, all of these things. And what we're trying to advocate is

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

that the regulation needs to have the flexibility, and in order to have the flexibility, it can't be so specific that when you decide that -- in a regulation you put in that let's say everything has to be 126-bit encryption but three years down the road we now have 546-bit encryption and everybody goes, well, that's the same or that's written in a regulation, you have to go through a monumental exercise to try and change that.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

So the approach that we're trying to advocate is that industry tends to take care of itself in these regards. We have all reputational risks, things of this nature, that if we lost our data or people's social security numbers were compromised, just like what we see happening now, those things, the tribe is going to have to deal with those issues.

But it doesn't mean that encryption today is what the solution is tomorrow, because I don't know what technology tomorrow is going to hold that might be better than encryption or something else that we're using. So I think there's an educational component that needs to be addressed, but not through regulation, if that's a fair statement.

MICHAEL CURRY: Very fair statement, very fair statement. And if I can just ride on your last point there, was the terminology. That's the point I was

trying to drive home earlier. By using the term

"server" up there, because what's applicable today,

what's called a server today, may not be what's relevant

in a reg three, four years down the road, this talks

about servers. We don't use servers anymore, that's

something that was used four, five years ago. But

anyway, I just wanted to bring that up again.

From your initial statement, no, I'm not taking any type of negative of feedback or offense or anything of that nature. This is the realm in which we all work in, so we're here to get it done, so, again, no, I didn't take any of type of, again, negative in stating that.

ROBERT FISHER: Daniel, your card's up.

DANIEL MCGHEE: As far as (m) -- and (m)(2) being deleted, because it talks about actual paper and stuff, but I think (m)(1) is just a reference being a reference to say because earlier in the document you'll be talking about physical control of servers and server software including accounting, vouchers, because you asked about accounting software and server stuff earlier. So this is somewhere at least we touch on it in a guidance document that says when you have a server or anything such as that that has to do with audit an accounting, one, you have to have controls in place, and

800-227-8440

2.0

2.2

two, you need to make sure that it doesn't go against anything that's located in the page in the accounting section. So it's really just a reference that maybe should stay there as far as (m)(1) goes. But I can see (m)(2) being deleted because (m)(2), it just talks about paper and a lockbox or something and I don't think that -- but I can see the reference to (m)(1).

KATHI HAMEL: No comment. I'm good.

ROBERT FISHER: All right. So anything more on 543.16 regulation or guidance before we kind of check back and see what you want to do? Anybody else have anything?

(No audible response.)

ROBERT FISHER: So you're done with your questions on the NIGC side, both on the regulation and on the guidance. We've talked through all of those questions. We've had some additional suggestions. So do you want to test where you are with respect to this section and close it out, or is there more to do here? That's the process we've been using. Do you want to keep following that same process?

DANIEL MCGHEE: I think earlier we talked about now would be the opportunity if there was anything not covered for the individual tribes to address if they have anything.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

ROBERT FISHER: Right. So anybody have anything else that wasn't covered in one of the NIGC questions?

(No audible response.)

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

DANIEL MCGHEE: Let's close it out.

ROBERT FISHER: Everybody hear that? So let's test where we are here. So the first three up on the screen are changes to the regulation, and then the next four are the suggestions with respect to changes in the guidance. So do you want to test the whole thing or separate the regulation from the guidance? Separate it? Okay.

So let's try it this way. So our practice is to basically ask the committee if they support this recommendation and then if that does not achieve full consensus to then go through and figure out who is willing to stand aside or who is opposed, and then if they're opposed, to try and see if we can work it out before we cycle back a couple of times. So that's our process.

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: Just for clarification, we're going to be deleting after "including" for (c)(5), and number two shall have "unauthorized" for (c)(5) as part of (inaudible) that wouldn't even exist.

ROBERT FISHER: You are absolutely correct.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

So you can either do two or -- but it sounds like people were general in agreement with that, so let's try it this way. (Editing document on screen.) Because that provision in (c)(5) wouldn't exist if you get rid of it, by number three, if that makes sense.

Okay. So let's test the numbers 1, 2, and 3 up there, the changes to the regulations. If you support that recommendation, raise your hand.

Okay. That did not get everybody. So if you are willing to stand aside, raise your hand.

That got everybody.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: But you're missing changing the word "personnel" to "agent." You don't have that up in regulation change.

ROBERT FISHER: You know, you're right. I did it up there but I didn't carry it over. Thank you, Mia.

Okay. We've got to test it again. So now I guess we could test that change since we've got -- 1 through 3 is good. So if you support changing the word "personnel" to "agent" in (d), right there, raise your hand.

Okay. If you're willing to stand aside, raise your hand, please.

Okay. So that did not get everybody -- now it got everybody. Thanks for poking him with the elbow

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

there.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

All right. So then let's look at the proposed changes to the guidance. So why don't we just check it out, see if we can do it as a group, 5, 6, 7 and 8. So if you support those recommendations, raise your hand, please. (H)(3)(ii).

Okay. So that did not get everybody. So those of you who did not indicate that you supported these recommendations, please raise your hand if you're willing to stand aside.

Okay. So that still didn't get everybody. So those of you that did not say either yes or be willing to stand aside, what's going on with this? I'm sorry. Go ahead, Mia.

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: No, I raised my hand.

ROBERT FISHER: You did. Okay. All right, so I might be miscounting here.

THOMAS WILSON: Can we call for a vote again?

I think there's confusion amongst my colleagues.

ROBERT FISHER: And there's confusion up here too because I thought we still had people that were open.

Okay. So if you support the recommendations up on the screen, 5, 6, 7, 8, the changes to the guidance, raise your hand.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

So if you're willing to stand aside, please raise your hand.

Okay. That got everybody okay. So then it is done.

Okay. So we are at 2:20 p.m. We've now closed out 543.16, we're ready to move to the next thing on our agenda, which is 543.23, and in case you didn't notice this, the cookies are starting to appear in the room. So maybe we should take like a five-minute stretch break and give people a chance to get up and get some cookies, so by my clock we'll realistically start at 2:30.

(Recess.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: So we're now going to move to the surveillance section, which is Section 543.23, and so we need somebody from the the TGWG to give us a quick overview of this section, if you would.

ROBIN LASH: One of the big changes in surveillance is the fact that Tier A, B, C are all addressed in one section. That I think is going to be real helpful for the new document to not have the areas broken out instead just altogether in one area that just addresses the different tiers in the same document.

That's all I have.

DANIEL MCGHEE: I think what we do is we noticed when we were comparing the documents (inaudible)

that there was very little differences in the Tier A,
Tier B, Tier C requirements except for maybe one area
that may have required two and one requires three. So
with the group, there were people in the Tier A status
and Tier B so we would either decide was three truly
necessary or just something that could be done to make
it just one, you know, or we made accommodations or
compromises to try to have a simpler document too that
would -- so that's the biggest change, and then the
basic one about streaming things down, we've all
identified. But there wasn't a whole lot actually when
you actually looked at all three of them, there's not a
lot of difference.

2.0

2.3

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So are you ready to turn to the NIGC questions?

ROBERT FISHER: So, Daniel, can you click off then let's go to Tom, then I will come back up to you, Mike.

THOMAS WILSON: Because the format of this is a little bit different in that you guys have lengthy comments on the surveillance section, besides your questions there's lengthy comments in here about things that are missing or weak or nonexistent. I need to address that as I went through the majority of your comments, not in blue but the other comments in there, I

was able to find in the quidance documents the answers to many of those questions.

So, again, it raises the concern for me that when this was being reviewed, it was being reviewed and these comments made in context of the guidance document either was not looked at or wasn't even in the picture, because I probably have ten examples that I can point to right now where the specific question raised by NIGC, or the comment, is addressed in the quidance document. I'm still struggling with this -- getting past this thing that had, in fact, the guidance documents been read in context to these comments because I keep circling back that somebody isn't getting it.

So it's just a general observation, but we're going to run into this on everything, that we go back to the guidance document. And I don't have an issue of pointing out to you where those things are at, but I quess I would just appreciate that -- the implication is that if they weren't looked at or something and, you know, why are these questions still here, so just an observation.

DANIEL LITTLE: Tom, many of the areas we're just not clear, and if it's an area we want to address in the guidance may be to clarify it. Like I said a number of times, we're tasked with the objective of

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

creating a regulation for a very diverse industry, and what makes sense to you may not make sense to others, and that it's upon us to make sure that these guidance documents are as clear as possible, so there's that point.

The second point is some of these comparisons were done prior to the Tribal Gaming Working Group sending us the guidance document. So I don't want to say we haven't gone back and looked at them, but it wasn't a very easy job of creating this comparison document. This is quite difficult. And I know, you know, our general counsel's office spent many, many hours putting these together. So, you know, this is not to try to make excuses or anything, but this is in addition to regular functions of what the staff is doing, servicing the tribes and their regions and conducting audits and doing everything else, doing this in addition to that.

So they're pretty complex, and the way that our folks look at these may not be through the same kind of prism or the view that you have. So it's important for us to make sure that we're as clear as possible to understand what the group meant when they wrote these guidance documents.

THOMAS WILSON: Just to to be clear, Dan, it's

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

2.0

2.2

not the interpretational things I have issues with, it's when there are things here that, for example, possible requirement of backup generator or loss of power isn't addressed. Well, it is addressed in the guidance documents. No requirement to document training, but that is addressed in the guidance document. No required coverage of bingo game boards, but that is addressed in the guidance document.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

So I'm talking about just these one-on-one comparisons where it's in the guidance document, the statement is here is that it doesn't exist. I understand these comments were made prior to having the guidance documents then, yes, they're perfectly valid because they certainly did not exist at that time.

So it's just an observation. I just want to be clear that there is a lot of stuff in the guidance documents and, you know, I'm certainly prepared to address each one of these comments to point out where that now exists if there's ambiguity in NIGC's mind about is that issue even addressed or not.

DANIEL LITTLE: We're just going over the ones with questions we have in blue.

THOMAS WILSON: You have the questions in blue, but there's all this -- there's more commentary on surveillance, in what I've seen today as far as

commentary. So in my mind that indicates that you guys have a lot of concerns about surveillance and what is or isn't, and it's important for me to know that you guys understand that these risks that you're identifying in your commentary have, in fact, been identified in the guidance document.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

That's what I need to make sure that you understand, not just your questions in blue because there's only three or four of those but there's pages of commentary in general about the whole surveillance section.

ROBERT FISHER: Well, so how about if we start with the questions in blue and then see if there's additional things that we may want to take up. So how about if we go to Mike.

MICHAEL CURRY: All right. Thank you.

ROBERT FISHER: What is the first question?

MICHAEL CURRY: Okay. Page 4 of 17 under the minimum control standards for surveillance. The point was bought up there's no guidance in either the 2010 version or the working group version for cross referencing IT requirements. For example, what are the requirements for vendor or vendor agent remote access to surveillance systems for troubleshooting purposes? Can vendor or vendor agent access be segregated from real

time recording or any stored contents? What about the instance (inaudible) of response requirements and vendor responsibilities towards incident response.

ROBERT FISHER: Christinia.

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: I will actually agree there isn't anything in here drafted that would say that anybody that has access, remote access to the surveillance system has to (inaudible) the remote end, it probably should have that covered as part of regulation.

ROBERT FISHER: Kathi.

KATHI HAMEL: I think the server, server software section covers that, and I believe it would be a function of those departments, and it does very clearly explain about the remote access.

ROBERT FISHER: In what we just looked at, 543.16?

18 KATHI HAMEL: Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

19 ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Can you sort of see it 20 or not?

Christinia.

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: Surveillance departments and where they're located at, there is you know surveillance department that's actually a part of the Gaming Commission. We're looking at these regulations

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

so like a server still there's not an operation and the controls that we want in place for operations, but if surveillance resides as part of the Gaming Commission, are you going to also hold them to a regulation that you're holding to a property?

2.0

2.2

2.3

DANIEL MCGHEE: As far as the question, a regulation is a regulation whether it's Tribal Gaming Commission follows it or the operations follows it. So all parties involved has to follow it. So if surveillance is part of the Gaming Commission, they still have to follow the same regulation that would have been for the operations. Now as far as whether or not the remote access of the server section applies here, I haven't had time to wrap my head around that one.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Any other comments or suggestions in response to this question? So Christinia, given what you said, do we need to look at 543.16, or what do you think?

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: I actually heard it was regulation that's applicable to the gaming commission as it is to the property. I don't think the language in 16, the way it's written, covers the surveillance system in regards to the controls that should be in place when they're remoting into that particular system.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So would it be better

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

to go back to 543.16 and look at how you might change it or broaden it to encompass that? Or is it something that you would look, in this section, to do?

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: I would do it in this section and just reference that area as a requirement for surveillance to follow.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So just before we move off of that, just hold on. How might we do that? So you would reference in here what should I write down as the shorthand for us to figure out what the way to do it would be? Okay. Does that get it?

(Indicating on overhead display.)

At least enough -- right. So we can come back to that and figure out how to do it. I needed a placeholder to do that and then we can move on. So be thinking about how we might be able to put something in there that would accomplish that.

Okay. Anything more on these? Because we will come back to this one. Are you ready to move to the next question?

Go ahead, Mike.

MICHAEL CURRY: This next point, it was an item in blue. It can be found on page 4 of 17. It's after bullet point -- or the numerical bullet point of number 4. The paragraph below that starting at the

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

"simplification of the surveillance standard." The second sentence in that paragraph, "Eliminating the definition of sufficient clarity and the lack of specificity, including in the working group proposed standards, results in ambiguity and does not provide the tribe with minimum guidelines that are standard throughout the gaming industry."

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

So to redefine that sentence into laymen's terms, what was in the reg previously was a visual, defining standard that says, okay, if you're going to have surveillance, it has to meet this level of clarity, you have to be able to see it.

Just to bring up an example, a few weeks ago I was asked to provide some information on a robbery that took place, and my view was, well, did you watch the video? Did you watch the surveillance? They said, yes, we watched the surveillance but it's so grainy and so outdated that we really can't determine who was in that video.

So to bring that up to date to what we have here, yes, surveillance is necessary and it's a requirement, but the lack of clarity standards allows a gaming operation that perhaps doesn't have the revenue or the resources available to it to perhaps go out and purchase a new surveillance system off the shelf from a

pawn shop or something. It has to meet a level of that if any incident does take place or suspectedly takes place, that people, fine details can be identified, faces can be identified, jewelry items, being able to read the license plate on a car, things of that nature. So I feel that that's lacking currently here now and it should be placed back in again.

2.0

2.2

THOMAS WILSON: Well, when you talk about sufficient clarity, because this is a broad term and when you're trying to define for cameras, are we talking about a certain resolution that these cameras must be able to display, you know, as far as their image quality?

We deal with this all the time. Even good cameras go bad, and there's image issues, but it's very expensive if all cameras have to meet this same standard, if you will. So, for example, when you talk about being able to read the license plate on a car, sometimes we can; sometimes we can't, depending upon the camera, the distance from the camera, the parking lot, the lighting, is it daytime, nighttime, whatever.

In order for us to have a system, let's say in the parking lot, and we do have camera coverage there, but they can read to that level of detail, is it -- under our compact we have to have camera surveillance in

all the public areas including the parking lot. But it's not that we have to have it to such a level of sufficiency to be able the read a license plate. Now, sometimes we can; other times we can't.

2.0

2.5

So when we talk about sufficient clarity, I guess the concern that I have is does that vary from individual to individual? So, for example, if we have a camera that gives broad coverage of something, but if you say sufficient clarity, as the unit has to see x, y and z, that's different than -- you see what I'm saying? You may meet the pixel requirement, but different cameras are designed -- are put in areas to either overview or see specific activity that you're wanting to look at.

Now, certainly for cameras that are designated cameras for a particular purpose should have a level of clarity that they can identify. So, for example, in the vault or whatever, you're counting and you want to be able to see the denominations or what bills these are, that make sense, but that same level of clarity may not be necessary for a general overview camera that isn't designed to hone in on any specific thing, it's just providing the general overview of the particular area.

So the problem then becomes when you talk about terms like sufficient clarity, is sufficient clarity for

what? For the purpose that that camera is there for, which varies from camera to camera, or are we talking about that this camera has to be a 10-pixel camera that -- do you see what I'm saying? That's where I would have concerns about this term sufficient clarity.

I'm not sure that the term sufficient clarity actually -- this is a pun -- clarifies anything for people when they're trying to determine what it is that you mean by that. I can buy a camera that meets a certain technical requirement. I can buy monitors to meet a certain technical requirement, but what you're really talking about is what that camera is seeing and what it's able to see. And what I am saying is that that differs from camera to camera and what it's intended to do.

So, for example, it would be very costly at our property to put in PTZ, handheld zoom cameras, which can give me the level of clarity that I need for certain things but they're the most expensive camera in my makeup of cameras, and if all the cameras were PTZs, I would get to the sufficient clarity that I think you're alluding to but the cost far outweighs any benefit that that's going to have in having those type of cameras throughout the entire facility. But those type of cameras are appropriate for certain types of activity

2.0

2.2

2.3

within the casino where I need that level of detail, if you will. But I don't need that level of detail in all of my cameras in the facility.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

So just a general observation about about what we talked about. These terms like that, the Tribal Gaming Working Group sort of addressed that a little bit in one of their documents where they talk about that if a machine can produce certain kinds of reports and reconciliations, so let's say you have a player dispute, you might not have a camera that can give you sufficient detail to show you the pay line, but the reality is you're not paying off the pay line anyhow, you're paying off what the system is telling you occurred in that event.

So what the Tribal Gaming Working Group is saying is that for those machines that have -- that can independently verify what happened by virtue of the machine, then having a dedicated PTZ camera or one that provides this absolute level of clarity really isn't necessary for that type of event. But if a machine cannot produce that type of documentation, then you do need a camera with a specific level of clarity to see exactly what's going on.

The only benefit a camera on that machine -- one benefit that it provides is that if there is a

patron dispute, it gives the regulator, or whoever is going to ultimately resolve that, the ability to see what the patron saw at the time; even though we don't pay off of what you see, it's what's going on inside the machine.

2.0

2.2

So, you know, when we talk about cameras again, it's just understanding that not all cameras are created equal nor should they be, and when you use a term like sufficient clarity, it implies that every camera has to meet this standard that might not be applicable to draw, all cameras are equal.

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: I want to say I agree with Tom in the fact that cameras are all different. My understanding of this regulation, how it's been written before and how we've always interpreted it, is if sufficient clarity were the cameras that are required by IT standard, so the specific coverage that's required by bingo has to have sufficient clarity. The parking lot, that's covered in the regulation, so I would never interpret that. Those cameras have to meet that same level.

I have always interpreted the clarity based on what's required as the standard, so every camera outside of that, I've never measured against that clarity provision. It's only been the cameras that have been

1 required that have standards that I viewed.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Mike, Daniel and then Rest.

DANIEL MCGHEE: I think the focus on why sufficient clarity, it was more important what you want it to see, not if it had so many pixels or whatever. the standard should state a camera should be able to do this, and if it was to see the denomination of money, then whatever camera you've got to put in to be able to do that is what you've got to do without dictating certain pixels or so many frames per second, and so we took that out and just focused -- more important is what do you want to see with this camera and make that's what was put in there. So then that way, if it was just a general overview, then it has to be a camera that was (inaudible) you can get a camera, but if it was one that you had to be able to see the denomination, you would say, you know, the camera, you would see the denomination of it and let the facility shop around and find that camera that could see it with that. you want to see, and that's the kind of camera you'd have, state it.

ROBERT FISHER: Rest.

REST WEST: I don't think the comment about sufficient clarity for all the cameras, if you look at

the attachment that was sent by Robert the 542.23, it denotes the cameras that the proposed standards asked that there be, like bingo.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Where are you? What page are you on?

REST WEST: Starting on page 18 is the attachment that Mike Hoenig -- 543.23, it describes the particular cameras that the draft regulations asked that -- like for bingo surveillance system, ball draw device by a dedicated camera with sufficient clarity, so it denotes specific cameras and didn't, you know, say all cameras in the casino in the parking lot have to have sufficient clarity to provide a specific direction as cameras should have sufficient clarity.

ROBERT FISHER: Does everybody see where Rest is referring to in here?

No. So in the 543.23 document at the end, so there's 17 pages on the actual comparison and then if you look on page 1 it says, Due to the length of the 2010 draft regs, there's more pages attached and those attached pages pull out the sections from the 2010 regulation. And so what Rest is referring to is the reference in the first couple of pages to how the sufficient clarity term is used with respect to bingo. And in mine I didn't end up copying all them, I just

have the minimum surveillance standards for Tier A
gaming operations and --

2.0

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Is this something you sent in the --

ROBERT FISHER: It's parts of the comparison documents that were sent two weeks ago. It was a little confusing when you opened up the comparison document because you couldn't quite figure out what this attachment was for until you actually looked at the document. So some of you may not have printed out that part of it or thought that it wasn't -- which I initially did -- that it wasn't a part of the comparison document.

REST WEST: Well, you sent it as one document, you sent it to the committee as one document, so it's all --

ROBERT FISHER: I know. It's all in there. It's just, what I'm saying, when I printed mine out I couldn't quite figure out what it was. It took me a little bit to figure it out.

THOMAS WILSON: You're talking about the attachment that is a part of the same -- after you get past page 17, then there's seven pages of documents that you're talking about and then only those systems or games identified in there are the areas that you're

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

referring to have to meet the standard.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

REST WEST: That's my understanding at this point. I'm going to have to go back to the --

ROBERT FISHER: Well, so the way it's written in there is that it says -- if you look to see how the term sufficient clarity is used in there, it's used in a way that says the surveillance systems shall possess the capability to monitor the bingo ball draw device or random number generator/wager which shall be recorded during the course of the draw by a dedicated camera with sufficient clarity, there's the term, to identify the balls drawn or numbers selected.

So what he's doing is trying to point out how the term is being used. And there are various places in this document where the term is used and it is tied to the purpose for which you're doing the surveillance, if I understand what Rest is saying.

So you're kind of in agreement with each other about the purpose, but the definition did specify a minimum level of technology, in essence, and what Daniel said was they removed the specified technology reference and said it had to be sufficient to meet the purpose whatever the technology is that you used; right?

DANIEL MCGHEE: Yes. It would say for bingo, for instance, it says with regard (reading from

- document). . . Ball drawing device by a random number generator which shall be recorded during the course of the draw by a dedicated camera to identify the numbers or designations drawn, which means it has to be able to identify, what camera you're using, which number is being drawn. So it says on there.
- ROBERT FISHER: Do you see it now? It's now up on the screen.
 - DANIEL MCGHEE: Then for the next one, it's what's you're meaning to do.
 - ROBERT FISHER: So it's this part you're referring to?
- 13 (Referring to overhead display.)

1

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

- 14 ROBERT FISHER: It's a different way to accomplish the same thing.
 - REST WEST: And the intent of the committee you know nay met with some other digital surveillance companies and stuff and they got the resolution you know the old standard was 30 frames per second for your VCR recordings and they incorporated standards in there and came up with this CIF rate and whatever else.
 - ROBERT FISHER: It's up there on the screen. I hauled it out.
- 24 REST WEST: So it's a minimum. And again if 25 you have to go court, it's probably what you need.

You're going to walk into court, some of the attorneys are going to say if you walk in there with a bad tape, they're probably not going to want to take you to court.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Kathi and then Jason.

the point that when the TGWG worked on this regulation is we tried to write regulations that told the reader what needed to be seen. Now, if you can't see it clearly, it's a given you can't see it. So rather than having, you know, dots per inch and sufficient clarity and some standard that in time won't even apply because they couldn't -- isn't even judged that way, we tried to say this is what the camera needs to be able to see and record.

ROBERT FISHER: Jason.

JASON RAMOS: I can agree with you, Kathi. But I would also say that part of what you just had up there, Robert, that's a pretty minimal industry standard, and I think that while you're talking about frame rate, 30 frames per second, I think the real issue there is capture rate because you'll have some smaller facilities who use multiplexing systems, you're only capturing one every 30 seconds.

Now, is that sufficient clarity? I mean, one of them you're talking about resolution and one of them

is talking about frame rate. I think capture rate is kind of something that's left out of there.

ROBERT FISHER: Tom.

THOMAS WILSON: I would agree with that. I was actually getting onboard with the way the term is being used until I see now that there's a definition for that term, and that definition creates problems for me.

Because, again, what I think all of us should be interested in, as Kathi has said, you have to be able to see certain things, and can you see those things.

When we do our surveillance audit or when we go through our casino and look at our cameras, we're not looking at whether it can do 30 frames per second or whatever, what I'm looking for in realtime is can I see what I need to see for what this camera is looking at.

If I can't, we need to correct it.

Now, if correcting it means that we have to buy a super-duper camera that does 3,000 frames per second or whatever, I don't know, I'm less concerned about that. And I know that I want to be able to see what I need to see and that that is maintained in a sufficient way that I can pull those digital recordings back up and the quality of those digital recordings is such that I can still see what I need to see as if I were standing there watching it myself.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

1 So this is where, when you talk about a 2 standard like this and saying that the camera needs or the sufficient clarity is this, there's really no 3 quarantee that that, in my mind, can give you sufficient 5 It may meet the standard, but I still -- I need something more than that. So I think if you focus 6 7 on you have to be able to see the activity to whatever level you describe that out, so, for example, if in the 8 cash count room the level that I need to see it is I 10 need to be able to see what denomination those bills are 11 that are be counted, spread out, well, that tells me the 12 level of clarity that I need. And I know that a certain 13 camera won't do it for me and a certain other type of 14 camera will.

Irrespective of whatever this definition says, I'm looking at that finished product, here's what I need to see, and it seems to me that that's what is important in the regulations, is not the technical capability threshold for the camera, but can it produce for you what you want it to produce, and that will take care of itself then. Because a 10-frame-per-second camera probably can't do what I need it to do, so I'm going to be buying whatever level of technology I need to purchase to get me to that level of clarity.

Does that make sense?

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROBERT FISHER: So I do know, and I don't know whether this is useful or not, but I did read ahead here a little bit. So I know what the previous commission said about this, and this comes out of 2010 draft regulation on the NIGC Web site.

So there are a couple of comments in here along the same lines as what's been raised right here, that sufficient clarity doesn't get you sufficient clarity, and then there's a comment right here that talks about the specific 30 frames in 4 CIF. And, essentially, what they say, down towards the bottom there, is it's a minimum and you have to have something that identifies what you need to identify no matter what it says.

So it sounds like that's what the TGWG was trying to accomplish and what you did. So then the only question is do you need to specify a minimum standard in order to accomplish it or is it sufficient to be able to say that it has to be able to record what it is that you want it to record.

THOMAS WILSON: Well, again, I would just say that there's nothing wrong with the term "sufficient clarity" as a statement in a sentence to help the reader understand that what you're looking at needs to be sufficiently clear to see what we're saying you need to see. It's when you throw in a definition attached to it

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

that says this is what that terms means, that's where it create problems for me.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

I personally have no issue with the term "sufficient clarity" in the context of a sentence. I have a problem with a definition of what sufficient clarity is that's taken out of that context of just using it in normal words. Like, if I asked you can you see that with sufficient clarity, you and I both know what that means.

I'm not asking you can you see that at 30 frames per second, blah, blah, blah. It's just 20/20 vision or whatever. If it takes me to have glasses to see that, then that's what I've got to do; right? You don't need glasses to see it and that's okay, it works for you, so we do what we need to do. But I think it's when you throw a definition to it that it muddies the water, in my mind.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So what do other people think?

DANIEL MCGHEE: I think our point has been driven there pretty deep because I think it was -- a question was asked and we provided clarification as to why.

ROBERT FISHER: We would hope there be sufficient clarity.

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Joe brought up a good point, that you can have all of the things for sufficient clarity, but if your lenses are dirty, you know, you don't have sufficient clarity, you know, you're still need all of that sufficient information so there's other aspects in it as well, or you have something obstructing what it is, whether it's bingo, a card value, or something. Lighting, you know, that's another thing that we do have to deal with, is that we have -- we see see that definition of sufficient clarity, but lighting, sometimes the lighting creates problems so that we're not allowed to see sufficiently.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Go ahead, Mike.

MICHAEL CURRY: When the reg was initially written and put out, the gaming operations themselves would ask, okay, well what do we really need? Again, this was a few years ago that you did the cash cage and the count room and areas like that that need to be covered. But that was just kind of like a spin off from, you know, the '70s and '80s.

Then, of course, when digital raised its head, the question arose, okay, well, what do we need? What do we need to go out and do? I can't afford to bring in a contractor who is going to sell me his most expensive items on the shelf. So NIGC said you guys help us out

to at least put some type of parameter on what it is we need to be out there shopping for, what it is that we need to be looking for.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

So in our wisdom, we, going through the different systems that are out on the market, came up or at least uncovered what the minimum technical requirement -- I know you don't like to dabble too much in the technical aspects of that, but when you get a call from a gaming operation that says, well, what do we need to install, it's better to say, okay, it needs to qualify by 30 CIF, things of that nature. That should fulfill that responsibility. And then at that point it's just a matter of going out and buying that off the shelf, whatever that requirement was. There was no investigation on their part.

This day and age, yes, the gentleman perfectly explained lighting is better in some areas than in most or there's more of a requirement, a more critical need for it to have a dedicated camera, there are going to be those different variations, obviously, within the environment, but for those areas like we already covered that need to be monitored, there is a minimum from a technical standpoint.

And also some very good points were brought up now, okay, who cares what the technical aspect is as

long as a playback, not so much the recording, but the playback itself is legible. In an ideal world, I think that sounds perfect. Regardless who reviews this recording, they can see -- if they have 20-20 vision they can look at this recording and be able to decipher key components of that video, or sometimes audio, but for the video itself.

2.0

2.2

But anyway, I don't want to beat it to death.

ROBERT FISHER: Well, it's coming back at you.

A card just went up so we've got Brian and then Jeff and then Tom.

BRIAN CALLAGHAN: I think the NIGC just made a really good point in that we should probably scale back the regulation, make it not so specific, and have really strong guidance documents, because if you write these regulations with specificity, they're going to be out of date tomorrow, and then if we have very strong guidance documents, we can adopt and change those at will, the NIGC can adopt this change at will, which, I think was the impetus of what the Tribal Gaming Working Group did.

So I second your -- I think we're going to have a consensus here that we're going to agree to NIGC that we adopt the TGW -- and as we go along with the guidance documents.

ROBERT FISHER: Well, we'll see.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company
800-227-8440
973-410-4040

1 |

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

Jeff.

JEFF WHEATLEY: I was going to say the technical specifications sound like the perfect avenue for a technical bulletin and then that can be reinstated as the technology increases.

THOMAS WILSON: I just have to say that this idea, you know, when you talk about, well, what do we do if a tribe calls us. You don't have to have the answer for everything, and there are a lot of resources out there for people to get informed information above and beyond saying, well, as long as it takes this technical standard.

Because the problem with that is a tribe goes out and buys it because NIGC said this is what our system has to -- minimum requirement for our system. You go out and buy it, you install it, and guess what, it doesn't give you what you need. You guys even maybe come out or (inaudible) comes out and says that's poor quality, and I can't see it. And then the argument I'm going to get is, well, but we bought the minimum equipment that said it would work.

I just think that you're putting yourself in a bad position when it comes to things like this. It's much easier for, I would think, as a regulator to say I'm not telling you the brand of camera you have to buy

or the capabilities of the camera. What I'm telling you is that whatever you buy it has to be able to do this. It has to be able to see this ball drop out of this shoot and I need to be able to see the number on the ball. That's easy then for me to regulate because I don't have to compare technical standards. I just say, you know what, it's either cutting it or it's not and the operator has to purchase whatever equipment they need to purchase in order to meet the standard, which is you need to be able to see this particular activity in this particular scenario or situation.

So, again, I think by setting yourselves up saying that you have to be able to answer this question for tribes -- I hate to say it but this is part of sovereignty and self-governance and tribes have to take responsibility and figure it out sometimes without relying on somebody else always telling them as long as you buy this piece of equipment you're fine type of a thing.

And I know at my tribe that type of conversation would go over very poorly, about the fact that we would call anybody outside of our realm and ask them, well, what do you think about what type of camera should it or should it not be. There are certainly a lot of entities and organizations and consultants to

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

people out there that are more qualified than you or I or the NIGC to opine about what sort of surveillance systems should be in a facility. So it seems to me that you wouldn't even want to be in that particular position because I can see it backfiring as well.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So I think that's the end of the cards, so that was your last comment. Is there anything more from the NIGC that you want to raise in any way to the other things that you have listed in the comparison document?

DANIEL LITTLE: No, we're good.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So then let's check to see if -- sort of following the, if I remember the procedure correctly, check to see if anybody has any other questions that they want to raise besides what was raised by NIGC.

And so that would be to Kathi.

KATHI HAMEL: Again, in the guidance document we inadvertently put language in about variances and accounting. So I want to discuss that.

ROBERT FISHER: You want to talk about that or you're --

KATHI HAMEL: Well, I don't know what variances existed in surveillance.

ROBERT FISHER: Which section?

1 KATHI HAMEL: Sections in the guidance document (c) and then (k) is the audit and accounting and it has 3 the same language that we just discussed in IT, server software. 5 ROBERT FISHER: So are you again proposing that those be eliminated? 6 7 KATHI HAMEL: I think they both can come out, but Daniel's opinion on one staying in, but records 8 forms and documents, I've never heard of them under 10 surveillance. 11 ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So Jeff do you want to 12 talk about this? 13 JEFF WHEATLEY: Not on this particular one. 14 ROBERT FISHER: Anybody want to talk about 15 either of the two sections that Kathi raised, the 16 variance section or the audit, which is section (k), 17 anybody have anything on that? 18 (No audible response.) 19 Okay. So then we'll just kind ROBERT FISHER: 2.0 of see what happens. 21 So, Jeff, do you have something new you're

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

shall, " number ii and iii seem to contradict themselves,

itself, section (c)(10), "The surveillance system

JEFF WHEATLEY: Something separate. In the reg

2.2

2.3

24

25

going to talk about?

"including sufficient numbers of recording devices to
record the use of all cameras required by the section,"
and then iii says, "record all camera views."

I think "recording all camera views" is overkill and could be rather cost-prohibitive for many tribes.

ROBERT FISHER: So you mean right here.

(Indicating on overhead display.)

JEFF WHEATLEY: Yes. We certainly have many more cameras than we have recording capabilities and we don't record every single camera we have in (inaudible).

ROBERT FISHER: So does everybody see where we are? We're in the regulation section (c), number 10, and then the three little i's there.

Do you agree, Daniel?

DANIEL MCGHEE: Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

KATHI HAMEL: So is your question the intent it may not say that but that you have to record what was required by this section?

JEFF WHEATLEY: Right.

KATHI HAMEL: And you're saying don't record everything required by the section?

JEFF WHEATLEY: No. I'm saying, ii says record all cameras required by this section; iii says, "record all cameras."

KATHI HAMEL: And the intent as required in ii.

JEFF WHEATLEY: I read it as needing to record

all camera views. If you just read 10 then iii that

would be cost prohibitive for my tribe. So I don't know

if that's confusing to anybody else.

2.0

2.2

2.3

ROBERT FISHER: Are you reading ii to say you have to have cameras to get all the views and then iii says you have to record all the views? Is that how you read it?

DANIEL MCGHEE: (ii) is you have to record all the required cameras.

JEFF WHEATLEY: Which I have no issue with that, but then iii says you have to record all camera views.

DANIEL MCGHEE: Required cameras, I used to call them designated cameras rather than other cameras. But now if you're talking about recording all camera views in this section, it is saying exactly what you said, because every camera that's in this section is a required camera. So you're already saying record all required camera views. Why then say record all camera views again? Right? Am I --

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: I have a totally different interpretation. For ii my understanding the way that I read ii is the recording devices, so your DVRs, your

VCRs, you have to have enough of them to record the
required cameras. (iii) records the camera views, I
agree with you, but to clarify that it should read
"required camera view" that you're required -- it's two
different things. The ii is actually what it's
recording on, whether it's a DVR, VCR, and (iii) is the
actual cameras themselves. That's how I interpret it.

ROBERT FISHER: That's what you suggest for

ROBERT FISHER: That's what you suggest for the views?

And does that get at what you were saying,
Jeff?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

JEFF WHEATLEY: Yes, as long as we don't have to record every single camera.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Matt and then John.

MATTHEW MORGAN: I have a different question.

Maybe I missed this somewhere, but we went through and you asked all the questions in blue that you had, but there's lots of other comments in here in black that you initially had. And then you said, well, we don't really need to discuss those. Why? I don't understand why your staff took the time to go through and make comments, and there's lots a points in here. I know some of them, you know, Tom talked about, but can you provide us some explanation on why we're not addressing some of those items?

DANIEL LITTLE: Like I said, I think some of those might have been prior to getting the guidance documents and we haven't gone through and pulled them out, that would be helpful, to pull them out, but I think for purposes of getting an understanding of areas that need clarity, that this guidance were the ones we really wanted to touch upon.

If there's areas that you think you want to talk about, please, by all means, feel free to talk about it if you'd like.

MATTHEW MORGAN: Not necessarily. It kind of bought up this morning when Mr. DesRogiers asked some questions. He was kind of saying well, I'm trying to follow along and I want to see some documents and I'm thinking that has you post these documents to the Web site and you put out the general questions out here and then if they actually do follow along and come back and read the transcript and there's nothing in there that addresses those questions, I'm just a general public member, I haven't really followed along closely, there's a lot of question marks I have there was that question answered or was that covered or what did you call out on that subject. And then you're saying we're not going to address those, all we want to know is blue now, that kind of leaves something dangling out there to be, you

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

know, that's definitely your call. We clarified enough in your mind that you think there's nothing in there that I think specifically I mean a lot of the points that I have written down in the notes were points that Tom had not so much those things aren't clear but where to find those at if you had questions. If you're good with them, I'm okay with that. I didn't quite kind understand why we were leaving out so much because this section there was a lot of comment back from the agency on this particular section.

ROBERT FISHER: Go ahead.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

DANIEL LITTLE: I think we lose focus quite often of the fact that this is only one small aspect of this entire process. The main process is to get recommendations from the committee on what to do with the Tribal Gaming Working Group documents. These are the questions that the commission has asked. However, there should be other -- you know, we want to make sure there's time for others on that committee to raise questions.

So our comparison documents are not the only focus of this exercise. It's to get recommendations from this group on what to do with this document. So I think sometimes we lose focus on that. This isn't the primary focus on what we're doing. It seems that that's

where we are right now, we're just going through these, but it is to solicit recommendations from the group.

ROBERT FISHER: John.

2.0

2.2

2.5

JOHN MAGEE: Going back to a previous section we were talking about, recording all cameras, and I'm trying to figure out, you know, although we're in a different environment, I can't understand why you would have a camera and then not record it. Maybe Jeff can enlighten me here.

Because in our case -- I don't know, I can only speak to ours -- you know, we don't spend a lot of time viewing a lot of tapes. A lot of our time is reviewing tapes for past incidents/events, and so we spend a lot of time, you know, reviewing incidents and tapes just for a couple days back or a couple hours or recording back from table games or slots and the floor. And so I'm trying to imagine if you had ten cameras, 100 cameras, 200 cameras, that if you weren't recording, and I just could see the manpower time there that it would take to actually watch those in realtime.

So maybe Jeff or someone else can enlighten me how that works, where you don't necessarily need to record every camera.

JEFF WHEATLEY: Yes, there's plenty of cases. I mean, we have thousands of cameras, and essentially,

cameras that don't get recorded are typically handheld zoom cameras that are set in overview displays throughout the property. Obviously, we have all of our banks, entrances, exits, all required areas, those are all covered and recorded constantly.

What a surveillance operator will do, they'll have 12 to 24 monitors up in front of them and in the event that they're tracking something or an activity, they'll bring a particular camera up to their front line which is being recorded. So they'll use those handheld zoom cameras that aren't doing recording, they'll bring them to the front line, and when they're brought to the front line, they are being recorded because they're actively looking at something. When they're set off in other positions, they're not be recorded.

And the reason for that is, in this environment of DVRs now, the amount of hard drive space, the amount of cooling, the amount of power and backup resources that it would take to record and maintain all of those cameras constantly, 24/7, is just astronomically expensive. So that's the reason we don't record every camera we have installed.

ROBERT FISHER: Go ahead, John, and then I'll come to you, Christinia.

JOHN MAGEE: I guess I get the cost effect of

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

2.2

2.3

it. I guess also say anybody who wants to see our surveillance recordings and our recording capabilities, maybe after tonight's dinner we can take a little walk down there.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Anybody have anything else on the section, 543.23, that hasn't been raised yet? So if we cycle back down here to the end of the documents (indicating on overhead display), there's still a couple of things that got raised that we haven't actually completed how to deal with yet. So in the regulation we had this question about remote access.

So, Christinia, I want to come back to you and see if you have a suggestion for how you would propose we handle this in here or whether you want to open it up to the group for more discussion. Go ahead.

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: I don't know if it necessarily needs to be part of the regulation but maybe part of the guidance documents, that the remote access requirements be the same for the surveillance system, because I don't think the definition in the IT section fully would engulf the surveillance, that system itself, the way that it's currently written.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So what if I put here -- I'm assuming that do you don't want to share that with the whole group?

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: We'll share it. He had pointed out that in 543 -- the IT one --

ROBERT FISHER: 16.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: Right. And the actual definition portion where it listed (inaudible)

"including cash and player tracking," adding surveillance in there, if that would cover it, but then again, like he pointed out, I don't know if that would because if you're reading the surveillance section, you might not necessarily think of the remote access to that system or go back to 16 when you're trying to comply with surveillance requirements.

ROBERT FISHER: Daniel.

DANIEL MCGHEE: Maybe that would be something as remote access in this section somewhere you're stating when remote access is operational or happening, please refer to surveillance section for controls or something. Is that the kind of -- you wanted, or complete, written out?

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: It doesn't have to be written out, just refer back to the remote access rule in the guidance documents for the IT portion.

ROBERT FISHER: So it's really clarifying that in the IT guidance, I'm calling it the IT, but it's 543.16 guidance, right? Is that what your were saying?

Or surveillance?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHRISTINIA THOMAS: Yes, the surveillance 3 quidance, refer back to --

ROBERT FISHER: So does number 3 do it?

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I just don't read 543.16 in it being applicable for surveillance.

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: Just the remote access portion.

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: You get into remote access to who, the vendors? They're a part of our -- they're just another department in the commission, so if we were to remote access to our system or we were to have it at our location, how would that work?

ROBERT FISHER: Tom.

THOMAS WILSON: So I was thinking about that, Mia, and if we look at the access matrix, if another category were added there called remote access and then it would identify who can have remote access and then as one of your sub notes on the bottom could define remote access has to meet the requirements of, you know, the IT standard -- I'm sorry, I used that term -- the server software whatever standard.

Because I would agree that remote access, that is an access requirement just like access to the physical room. It should be defined who is authorized

to have remote access in the system, and then you can state that remote access access has to meet the requirements of whatever. Would that --

ROBERT FISHER: What's the matrix you're referring to? Where is it?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

THOMAS WILSON: It's in the guidance document on page eight.

ROBERT FISHER: Guidance for the --

THOMAS WILSON: Surveillance. Page eight.

ROBERT FISHER: Page eight. Right.

THOMAS WILSON: So what I'm suggesting is that another column be added that you've got access to the surveillance room, access to surveillance employees, access to serval recordings, and I'm saying access to surveillance via remote access and who that authority can pertain to. And then on the bottom of that chart, do you see where it says "restrictions/conditions," that's where you can note that that remote access has to meet the requirements of the 543.16. That's my suggestion.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Daniel.

DANIEL MCGHEE: I don't know, as I look at it, if it should technically ever reference that server section, because that section ideally only applies to the servers and stuff associated with Class II gaming,

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

which is what we're here for. Surveillance is totally something else. So remote access, if that does happen, it should probably have the reference to it in the actual regulation, and in the guidance document, it should have a procedure of some sort that would be best practices to monitor remote access.

Now, I don't think we should do that here. I think it needs to be noted that it should be included in the reg and then the procedure on how to do it should be included in the guidance document.

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: Okay. When you raise the question regarding remote access, I guess the way I read it is more the vendors and not so much, like, the gaming commission access. Is that what you're referring to then, is how would the vendor? Because I can literally sit here and pull up my cameras sitting here.

MICHAEL CURRY: See, you've already been vetted through, you've been approved, you have an account, you have user access, you have a log in, passwords, and ad infinitum of clearance and approval. So no, it doesn't include yourself or even your employees who work from home. So it would be vendors and third-party folks, agents, I guess is what we're calling them now.

But from those organizations and businesses that are outside the firewall, not so much those are

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

already in the firewall go outside the firewall and then come back in again.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So we have a bunch of different suggestions for how deal with this. Anything more on this one before we come back and test which way you want to go on this?

And, Tom, were you going the say something.

THOMAS WILSON: I was just going to make a point that I believe in every jurisdiction that the gaming commission or the regulating authority is outside the firewall. My guess would be I'd be very surprised to find a gaming commission that's inside the gaming firewall.

MICHAEL CURRY: On the gaming side inside the firewall, I guess my point is to expand that to include the whole operation itself of the commission, the offices. Well I know those are sometimes geographically separated, across the parking lot sometimes even across town. So, yes, the will be outside the firewall but they're still a part of the key previously approved embedded or the station. So our concern is those that were sitting out either in the parking lot with a wireless laptop or tunneling in from home or tunneling in from a different business or organization, those are the folks that we want to put our reigns on.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Anything more on this one before we kind of test which way you want to go here? All right. So before we do that, let's talk about the two changes to the guidance document that Kathi raised. So anybody have anything you want to say about the suggestion to remove the variance provision?

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So then on the auditing one, Daniel, to you is it the same? She suggested the whole thing, but now the question is whether we're just doing one number one.

DANIEL MCGHEE: If you're going to make it be consistant.

ROBERT FISHER: If we took away two, which is the one that says best practices, so let me just double check that that says the same thing in here. Yes, the same, similar provision, basically.

Okay. Are we ready to test some of this?

Anyone have anything else before we test it? You want to take a break before we test it?

Well, actually, I had the request that to ensure that we weren't doing them -- we weren't lumping things together, so the request was made that we do each number one by one. The first two, number one and number two, are changes to the regulation. Number three actually is kind of a split one because it has both

2.0

2.2

2.3

suggestions for guidance changes and this other change in the actual regulation.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

So three and four are kind of either/or. And then five and six are both guidance.

So let's test this recommendation, and we're going to do them one at a time. The first one up there is the standard change to the sections a and b removing the word "established" and including, if I have it right, "necessary to identify the risks"; right?

And were you getting ready to vote or do you have a question?

JOHN MAGEE: Before we move to the vote, my question is, did we answer all of NIGC's concerns with this section?

DANIEL LITTLE: Yes.

ROBERT FISHER: You realize you've gotten that question about five different ways, so they're trying to make sure that there isn't anything else that's going on that -- because the way that this was presented that we could take the opportunity to talk about here, and what I just heard you say is you're good, you're comfortable.

DANIEL LITTLE: Yes, we're comfortable.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. All right. Let's test these. We're going to test these one at a time. So does everybody understand what number one is? It's our

1 usual change to the introductory paragraphs.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And I think we did that as universal change, but just to make sure everybody's in agreement, if you support that change to section five, the change that's identified in number one on the screen to 543.23, raise your hand.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. That did not get everybody.

JASON RAMOS: I stand aside.

ROBERT FISHER: That got everybody.

ROBERT FISHER: The next, number two on there is to add the word "required" to section (c)(10)(iii), which is the whole discuss we had about camera views. So I can flip up there to the section on the camera views. There it is up on the screen.

So if you support that change to 543.23 Section (c)(10)(iii), raise your hand.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. That got everybody except one.

JASON RAMOS: I stand aside.

ROBERT FISHER: Stand aside. So that got everybody.

All right. So now we're down to the discussion that we had about remote access, and the way in which remote access might be addressed and there were a couple

of different suggestions. The first two suggestions had to do with how to accomplish it in the guidance, and so that's number three. And then number four was a suggestion that the regulation should include -- a recommendation to NIGC that the proposed TGWG regulation should also include controls for remote access to the surveillance system and then explain the procedure to be used in the guidance document for the surveillance section.

2.0

2.2

2.5

So how do you want to do this? Do you want to test 3 first or test 4 first? Because if you do 4, then you don't have to do 3. Anybody have a preference?

Okay. So let's try 4.

Let's test 4. So based on the discussion that we had, if you support including a recommendation to NIGC about a change in the regulation -- an addition to the regulation, I should say, and an additional description of the procedure in the guidance document as stated in number 4, raise your hand.

Okay. That did not get everybody. So if you did not raise your hands and you're willing to stand aside, raise your hand.

Did anybody not raise their hand yet?

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I think that it it should
be under guidance. I think it should be under guidance,

you know, that it needs to be in the regulation that the vendors and remote access.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So how about if we put that number 4 aside for a moment and test number 3, because number 3 addresses what --

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: And just to elaborate a little bit more, I completely understand remote assess and gaming systems and do live games and to download and those kind of things, but I'm just trying to make the same connection into a surveillance system.

ROBERT FISHER: If I remember the sequence right, is that the suggestion for number 4 came from number 3 was the question about whether 543.16 actually addressed anything related to the remote access. So is there a way to combine the things that we've been talking about in the guidance for the surveillance section that addresses the concern. So maybe it's not referencing 543.16. Maybe there's another way to do it in guidance.

THOMAS WILSON: Well, can we vote on 3?

ROBERT FISHER: Sure.

THOMAS WILSON: Before we determine if -- because we don't know whether that's sufficient or not.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So 3 asks two different ways to proceed, right? So they could either be

combined or separated. So why don't we try to see if

people support 3(a) and (b), and if not, we can try to

tease them apart. So if that makes sense, or does it

make sense to separate them? Combined, 3(a) and (b)?

So if you support 3(a) and (b), raise your

hand. Okay. Do you want to go through the whole thing

and see if anybody stands aside? If you're willing to

stand aside on combined 3(a) and 3(b), raise your hand.

Okay. We're picking them up but we're not getting close yet. All right. So if you support 3(a) --

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Can we make another recommendation, to add a remote access column in the matrix in 543.28?

ROBERT FISHER: I'm sorry. You mean right here?

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Right, or just take off
and then reference.

ROBERT FISHER: So this was intended to be the 543.23 guidance.

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: And then add the word "vendor," so you were talking about remote access column in regards to vendor and not your own staff.

ROBERT FISHER: Does that do it, right there?

(Indicating on overhead display.) Is that better?

Tom, you were going to say something.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

1 THOMAS WILSON: Well, I just want to say that 2 this -- the purpose in the matrix is to identify who has access to what in surveillance. So I feel like it's 3 more than just a vendor even though that was the 4 5 original question dealing with vendors. But, you know, we're saying should vendors have access to x, y or z. 6 7 One of those things is via remote access, but I would 8 expect that same consideration be given to the TGRA agents and should they have access via remote access 10 into surveillance or should anybody? So, for me, having 11 that column there was whoever it applies to, you apply 12 it to and set the standard as to whether they should or 13 shouldn't have remote access. And then if they do have 14 remote access, that remote access has to meet the 15 criteria that's established for remote access in general 16 that's identified in 543.16. That was my intent of the 17 column thing.

ROBERT FISHER: So for you you would remove that? Is that what you're saying?

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

THOMAS WILSON: Well, yes. It's not access to sensitive areas. It's add a remote access column to the matrix.

ROBERT FISHER: That's what the matrix is called, that's why I put it in there.

THOMAS WILSON: Strike that from the record.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

ROBERT FISHER: You know how it comes out in the record, it comes out after that whole description and then there's a line that says "strike that from the record."

2.0

2.2

Okay. John, you had your card up.

JOHN MAGEE: Kind of a clarification in my own head how we're proceeding here, because I supported 4, but now are you asking would I support 3(a) and (b) over 4 or in absence of supporting 4 would I support 3(a) or 3(b)? I'm trying to follow in your line of thinking here.

ROBERT FISHER: You got it. Because the question is we don't have consensus on 4, right? And so before we try to -- I thought let's try to see if we can get consensus on 4, I thought we'd try to test 3 and see if people agreed on 3, then we wouldn't have to mess with 4.

JOHN MAGEE: Okay. So the way I was looking around the room and see how the vote was going, there was obviously more support for 4 than there was for 3(a) or 3(b).

ROBERT FISHER: That is true. So let's take a step sideways for a moment. What is the problem that you're trying to solve and what's the appropriate place to solve that problem? So that's kind of where we are

here.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

JOHN MAGEE: Well, for me, and just speaking on behalf of myself and Pechanga, to me, if you're going to have the remote access into the surveillance room server system, you know, that's a pretty big ordeal, and to me that seems like that should be some sort of a technical requirement or a part of regulations as opposed to a guidance document. And to me it becomes more of a standard, and that's where I was coming from on this. I welcome to hear other people's opinions as well.

CHRISTINIA THOMAS: I would actually agree with him. It is a big deal to remote into the system. It's also a big deal to remote into the Class II system in the section that's on remote access is actually a part of the guidance. It's not even part of regulation.

ROBERT FISHER: Jeff.

JEFF WHEATLEY: I agree with John. And I think you could make it even -- you could just simply state in the reg that the TGRA has to establish what the policies are for remote access, and then that all falls into guidance. So at least there's a check there for the NIGC to go and say, okay, and they can check and see what are your actual procedures, whether it be an access list, a vendor access list, is there an approval process that you have to go through prior to getting access to

the system, but at least it's somehow referenced in the reg that there has to be a procedure set forth in that procedure itself to be in the guidance.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Daniel.

DANIEL MCGHEE: Two possible ways to fix this, which may be okay with me about this is, it may be implied in a way, because if you look at surveillance, the reg, if you look at (c) it says "controls must be established in a manner that's designed to prevent unauthorized access."

All right. So there already should be controls that would prevent an unauthorized remote access. Access is access, whether it be remote or not, technically. So it could be implied there. But underneath there where it says, to prevent unauthorized access or activities that (inaudible) such controls must include but are not limited to the following, and then you just add a number that says "remote access" period. You don't go any further than that in the regs.

So it was implied anyway, but, obviously, if someone's going to be remoting into your system, you need to have some control over it. So all you're saying is just add a number in that list that says remote access, and then your guidance document can be as detailed as you want it.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

So I don't know if Mia thought maybe we were really going to detail out remote access in the system. But, no, I think it's just a reference to whatever you do you have some control over how you allow people having remote access without -- I'm just saying a number, two words.

2.0

2.3

ROBERT FISHER: Where are you suggesting to put it?

DANIEL MCGHEE: Under (c) as either number -- whatever the end is, 12, is that right? At number 12, or if you find somewhere to put a list, you just add it to the end of the list.

ROBERT FISHER: So it starts right there at the top in that first paragraph, "Such controls must include," and then you'd add a new number that says "remote access."

DANIEL MCGHEE: Or you can say -- if you go back up, actually, "controls must be established and (inaudible) to prevent unauthorized access which includes remote access and/or activity." Any way you do it, you're just putting it there. So maybe in number 12, just put it in that paragraph.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So, Mia, do you see what he's talking about? So does any of that work for you or address the concerns that you have? No? Are you

1 | willing to do that?

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I just think it's better in the guidance document.

ROBERT FISHER: So the recommendation was to include it, both, reference remote access in the regulation but also explain it in the guidance document, that was the question.

Okay. So we could test it, number 4 again, or the variation that you just made, Daniel. It includes putting it in the reg. So is this something you feel strongly about or that you're willing to stand aside on?

If you're willing to stand aside, then we're there. Okay. So we're there. So let me just say, for those of you that support this, what is your preference? Is it to actually make a change the way that Daniel suggested or to just make a recommendation that it include remote access?

What's your preference, Daniel?

DANIEL MCGHEE: Four.

ROBERT FISHER: And that is what we tested. So did anybody have anything more on this before we call it, these changes to the regulation?

(No audible response.)

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Remaining on this are the changes to the guidance that were proposed. One is

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

to remove the variance section. So if you support that recommendation, raise your hand.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We're checking this right here. Those of you that didn't vote, are you willing to stand aside?

Michele, did you have your hand up? Yes?

Okay, so that got everybody. Okay. So now we are on the last one here, which is to make the change to the 543.23 guidance that we made to the 543.16 guidance, and in the auditing and accounting section, remove the subparagraph 2.

So if you support that, raise your hand.

If you're willing to stand aside, raise your hand.

Okay. That takes care of section 543.23. So by my clock it is 4:15. We have an hour left before we're scheduled to do public comments at 5:15, because we have a 5:30 ending time tonight to provide sufficient time for the dinner.

So how about if we take a stretch break and see if we can start up again in under ten minutes so that we can spend just a little under an hour on the auditing and accounting section before we break for the day.

Let's take a five-minute break.

(Recess.)

ROBERT FISHER: We're on 543.19, audit and

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

accounting. It's the topic we'll be winding down the day with. Finally we're up to auditing and accounting, 543.19, so let's start the same way we start with all the sections and ask somebody from the TGWG to give us an overview of this consolidated section. talked briefly about this section once before, and there actually is, later on, buried in the document, a note to NIGC on one of the sections.

So it looks like, Kathi, you were going to give us the overview.

KATHI HAMEL: I will take a stab at it. looked at auditing and accounting and functions that take place in the year-end process in both the audit of the operations as well as the audit of internal audit and accounting and tried to take all those pieces out of the different parts and bring them into one area.

Again, like we did in other sections, we said there had to be a real high level of control that had to be established. We didn't go into a lot of detail. didn't just specifically pull out player tracking or deposit accounts, but we said that all of the funds that are controlled in the gaming or part of the revenue stream would be controlled in the same fashion.

We looked at the operational audit of the revenues separately than the accounting process as well

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

as the annual -- and the internal audit as well as the annual audits and tried to bring them all into one document.

And I know there's lots of questions by the NIGC, and it may just be terminology. I know one of the questions was calling revenue audit operational-wise. I think it's six of one, half a dozen to the other. And I think traditionally -- and I don't think I'm speaking out of school, but there's usually a reporting structure of your audit team to your accounting team, so that's why we said that they have a close relationship.

Your accounting team eventually takes the transactions for your revenue stream and posts them to your general ledger and audits them against balancing, so that's what we tried to do, was the whole process.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Thank you very much.

Over to NIGC. So, Rest, are you going to --

REST WEST: I guess I have to do it. Well, I took the approach, and I know that as Kathi was saying there were some different areas that were pulled from different places of the draft MICS into this TGWG version, and what I attempted to do is break it down into those four different areas and have different comparison documents for each of those four areas, and those were all sent out as a 42-page document.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

So originally the accounting standards, 542.19, 543.19, if you will, were designed as a result of what we deemed as the high frequency audit reports, site visits, other indications that there were a lot of --well, there were several inadequate accounting departments at gaming operations. So that's the intent of 542.19, the development of 542.19 and the subsequent 543.19. So in this 42-page document it starts out with the original -- comparison of the original auditing section 543.19 that will go from page one to page ten.

Page 11 through page 17 discusses internal audit, the comparison and any attachments that may be relevant. 18 to 27 discusses what is titled by the TGWG as annual audits, but I think most people commonly refer to them as CPA testing or the AUP report.

So the fourth segment of this 42-page document is what we originally referred to as revenue and audit, pages 28 to 42. In breaking out these comparisons at the start of these comparisons, there's a general overall statement that I believe is included in each of the four sections about the history of the 543.19 section and its intent and what it was designed as a response to, and then we have comments on various parts, mostly on the TGWG standards themselves. In some cases there are comments on guidance. I think the guidance is

2.0

2.2

2.3

not as adequate as it is in other sections the TGWG developed, but that's just my opinion. So we can start by going to the first comparison document. Or does anyone have any questions at this point about --

DANIEL LITTLE: Are you looking at PDF if you were to print it out --

ROBERT FISHER: It doesn't go continuous all the way to the end.

REST WEST: No, no.

ROBERT FISHER: So actually for this one, because of that, I actually have the comparison document up on the screen; otherwise, it might be a little bit --

So we're talking up here about where the comments are starting in the comparison document. And so the comparison document is up on the screen and so I can scroll back and forth between those two things if it would be useful. So I'm going to scroll down to page eight here. So go ahead, Rest.

REST WEST: So what does the committee prefer to do, start on the first comparison document and go through, or do they have any comments on the overall comment to the combination of three or four different areas, distinct areas, into one document, which is what the TGWG appeared to do.

ROBERT FISHER: I'm not sure I understand.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

1 | What are you asking them?

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

REST WEST: Whether we want to start going through the comparison documents or does anyone have any comments on how the TGWG developed their document.

DANIEL MCGHEE: The audit and accounting section under the TGWG is where you're at? How it is now versus -- each would be four different sections.

ROBERT FISHER: Right. Did you want to take a stab at answering it? Because I thought the answer was consolidate it.

DANIEL MCGHEE: I don't have a problem with it like it is, but I can only speak for myself, my tribe.

REST WEST: So we have 30 minutes to start on this?

ROBERT FISHER: Correct. We have 30 minutes today, and whatever we don't get done today, we'll pick up in the morning.

REST WEST: If we go through one of the comparison documents, we definitely can go back to it tomorrow.

ROBERT FISHER: Correct.

DANIEL MCGHEE: So start on page eight; is that

right?

24 ROBERT FISHER: Yes. So let's start at the beginning and work our way through.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

1 DANIEL MCGHEE: And you start comparing at E, 2 Accounting, I think, that's where I first started seeing 3 your comparison, E, at the very top? 4 REST WEST: Yes. (Inaudible.) 5 ROBERT FISHER: So wait, nobody can hear you. REST WEST: So we start at E as far as the TGWG 6 7 version, E, accounting controls must be established, and so forth. 8 9 ROBERT FISHER: That's on page eight? 10 REST WEST: That's on the first page, that's 11 just a --12 DANIEL MCGHEE: No, eight. Page eight is the 13 comments, but they only comment from the document 14 starting at E forward. Everything that happened before 15 E they had --16 KATHI HAMEL: The comments for D are in another 17 section of the 42-page document, and it starts on the 18 third set of numbering, page one of ten. I don't know 19 what page -- physical page number. On page 18 of the physical 42 pages is the discussion of annual audit. 20 21 REST WEST: 18 to 27 are the PDF documents. 2.2 ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Can we just make this simple since we don't have that much time left? Can we 23

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

REST WEST: Again, page eight has an overall

just go to page eight and start at page eight?

24

comment that's applicable to all the four different comparison documents. It gives a history of why 543.19 was developed. First comment down at the bottom of page eight has to do with a deletion of, I believe, the requirement that the financial statements or financial accounting records be prepared in accordance with GAAP, which is kind of a standard -- it's a standard requirement, but I just wanted to point that out that I didn't see that required in the TGWG standards.

2.0

2.2

2.5

MATHI HAMEL: It isn't a standard. It's just maybe in a little different location. If you look at (c), one of the things that we recognize when we only talked about conforming with GAAP and that these are government financial statements and they really have to abide by GASB and FASB and not just GAAP. So in (c) -- (Inaudible. Reading from document.) -- standards such as GAAP, SSAE and standards GASB and GASB. In the event of conflict within the MICS and the incorporated external standards, the external standards prevail." So we didn't just single out GAAP. We tried to look at those standards.

THOMAS WILSON: Page 12 of the guidance document, item 2, says that the gaming operation must conform to generally accepted accounting principles, GAAP, when preparing financial statements and completing

subsidiary ledgers.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

REST WEST: This was a comment on the standard itself. I wanted to make sure everyone -- and most of these, a lot of these are just on the standards themselves. The actual guidance may provide some more direction, but the actual standards say to consider incorporation of other -- well, I think you should review -- I mean, require the financial statement to be prepared in accordance with GAAP and GASB, FASB, whichever you elected, so you can actually be under both of them. But, you know, if you look at your audit opinions, the auditor will say the financial statements are presented fairly in accordance with the generally accepted accounting principles of the United States. So it's not a super big issue, but I just wanted to point that out.

ROBERT FISHER: What's next?

REST WEST: Down under the "deductibility for gross gaming revenue," it appears that the TGWG proposal deletes this entire subpart, which is a matrix. It provides direction through gaming operations or what can and cannot be deducted from gross gaming revenue or added or whatever the situation is. We constantly -- we get a lot of questions from gaming operations as to what should be included or what should not be included in the

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

calculation of gross gaming revenue, so this is a frequently requested issue from gaming operations, especially in the preparation of their annual fee worksheet. So that's why that's in there.

ROBERT FISHER: No, I see that. I guess are you asking them a question or just making a comment?

I'm making a comment.

ROBERT FISHER: You deleted it. So, Kathi, can

9 you --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

KATHI HAMEL: I have two things. One, the definition is in IGRA; right? And, two, the calculation for the fees is where that more extensive information needs to reside. I don't know that number, sorry. 25?

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So, in essence, you're

saying it's covered elsewhere; yes?

KATHI HAMEL: Yes.

REST WEST:

REST WEST: It's not covered in detail in IGRA, and it also does not only apply to the work fees, it applies to your gaming revenues on your financial statements, so it's -- in fact, it's part of the regulations. The gaming operations are supposed to prepare a reconciliation from their audited financial statements to their annual fee worksheets and have that reconciliation available for review. So, you know, they're intertwined. It's not just for the purposes of

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

the fee worksheets. It's also for the purposes of a fair presentation of gaming revenues.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Is there anymore on that one?

(No audible response.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. What's next?

REST WEST: I had a comment about the deletion of the circumstances where a credit instrument that has been written off as uncollectible gaming deductions.

That's a specific instance where it is part of your calculation of gaming revenue, so it's not a real big issue, but I highlighted it anyway.

ROBERT FISHER: Kathi.

KATHI HAMEL: The credit section has a very thorough regulation -- recommended regulation on write-offs and -- (Inaudible.)

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Do you know if it includes, as far as deductibility, the requirements for deductibility.

KATHI HAMEL: I don't believe it does.

REST WEST: So that's what I'm discussing. And then the last comment on this Comparison number one is the amendment or the TGWG proposal that is the last -- it's provided on page ten, the last comment, and then it deletes the bulk of (k) -- (2)(d)(ii) maintain and

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

preserve all financial books, records, and relevant supporting documentation. Again, we get frequent inquiries about the retention periods from gaming operations, especially real common recently has been the maintenance of cash-out tickets. So we answered a lot of the responses to those types of inquiries as far as the records.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

KATHI HAMEL: I agree. There needs to be a bulletin that tells us in detail. I just don't know if it should be a regulation, because that piece of paper or that document may change and it may go from a paper format to an electronic format. So I agree that there needs to be maybe guidance, but I don't know if it's a regulation so strict that says everything has to be kept for five years.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Back to you.

REST WEST: Okay. The next comparison, the number two, is internal audit. It should be starting on your PDF, page 11.

ROBERT FISHER: Page five. Okay. Here we are, 543.42.

REST WEST: And this is where I have another issue on the inclusion of this function in the TGWG 543.19, because it's generally not considered an accounting and audit function. It's a separate

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

department. In the current MICS and prior MICS, it's separate sections that can be given to internal audit departments or the CPAs or whoever, so an overall comment is I don't think it belongs -- it basically belongs in this document -- I think it's better to be identified as an individual section in the MICS.

2.0

2.2

2.3

ROBERT FISHER: So I'm wondering what we should do with that. So we've now gone through a bunch of sections in which the TGWG folks explained what they did. You've, Rest, raised some questions about things that were deleted or moved or preferring to see it in different sections. So what should we do with that? Is there something to talk about or do the committee members just simply want to know what it is that -- I mean, it's in the document already, what you said, so I'm unclear what we should do with these and whether this is a useful exercise to be going through.

DANIEL MCGHEE: I think maybe if we could have some specific questions like before, that would be more helpful. If the question is why, then we did explain why, and that's about all you can do. And then opinion, opinion. If you want a question, that would be better.

REST WEST: So I guess I can go back and ask that question, is why is it included in this section?

ROBERT FISHER: Which? The things that you

were referencing in 543.42?

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

DANIEL MCGHEE: I think what it was is we took all those references to auditing and accounting and I think we just combined them into one section, not that it did belong or didn't belong, it just made sense to take all these areas that were financial, accounting and auditing in nature and consolidate. So when it came (inaudible) section, they should still be meeting the same requirements, and all we did is just reorganize, I guess would be the meaning behind it. I would be more worried about what it said and if it meant (inaudible) that were out there than where it was.

REST WEST: Okay. The top of page six I've got a comment -- six of seven, does the TAC committee not feel it's necessary to have semiannual audits of bingo? It's a Class II operation. It would be, by far, your highest revenue-generating center in your property.

DANIEL MCGHEE: I remember now the original release had -- there used to be two audits of every section, and then it went to one of every section, even bingo, I think. I think they all went to one, and that's why they were only required to do one audit. So it's a question, basically, do we think audit other sections that are required to be audited under the internal audit section when time is sufficient but

possibly maybe bingo section be two? Because right now the way it's written, the TGWG, is they're all still just one, the requirement.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: So anyone want to respond to that question?

KATHI HAMEL: In the guidance document, which is section L, page 13 of 15, internal audit (I)(ii), it says, Scope and frequency of audits. In the guidance document we said internal audits will be performed for each gaming department of the operation at least annually. It says, the internal auditors should coordinate with (inaudible) to determine the frequency of these and additional audits as well as the departments to be audited outside the scope of each gaming department.

So, again, risk-based auditing, you evaluate whether or not you need to do it once or twice or every month.

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Back to you. So the minimum is once. That's what I heard.

REST WEST: Okay. Under internal audit personnel, the TGWG version eliminates requirements for separate internal audit department for Tier C gaming operations but retains a requirement of independence for those individuals performing internal audits. How would

someone who is an employee of the operation maintain their independence if they're performing -- so they could be independent as far as performance of internal audit function?

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

THOMAS WILSON: I think that there's a wording problem in the guidance document, because I read it the same way that -- it's talking about auditors have to be independent, but then they use this term "internal," if they're internal to the operation. So I guess the fundamental question is, do we have auditors that are part of operations in a gaming facility, and, if so, how is their independence determined to be an independent entity if they're a part of management? Because somewhere in the guidance document, I know I've seen the same statements that worry about internal to the operation, and I think it's an error. I don't think that's what you mean to say, but it could be.

ROBERT FISHER: So you need to mike, Daniel.

I'm sorry.

DANIEL MCGHEE: I know our casino has a big internal audit position that's under management. I know we have a compliance division, but they have the auditing division.

THOMAS WILSON: Okay. So if they do, then if I understand Rest's comment here, is that if your internal

audit function is a part of your operational management of the casino, then they're inherently -- they're not independent, according to the standard that you're outlining, or the Tribal Working Group has outlined, if they need to be independent of management.

DANIEL MCGHEE: So they don't perform the internal audit as outlined here, but they do have -- I thought you asked -- the question was, did any of the casinos have auditing requirements.

THOMAS WILSON: Well, the reason I'm asking that, yes, they have auditing departments, but do they perform the internal audit work that is outlined in the regulations? And if they do, if it's anticipated that those entities would perform these audits, then you have to ensure that they're independent of management. So Rest's comment is that if they're not independent of management, then they really can't perform these audits independently.

So, okay, let me try this another way. If the Tribal Working Group document anticipates that internal auditors who are a part of a gaming enterprise management are going to be the ones performing these compliance audits, then how do you ensure that they are independent according to the definitions that the Tribal Gaming Working Group document says that this group is

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

1 | supposed to be independent of management?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: Go ahead, Kathi.

KATHI HAMEL: I think in the regulation there's two statements. One, that they're independent of the gaming operation and they report directly to the tribe's TGRA audit committee or other (inaudible) designated by the tribe.

ROBERT FISHER: Where are you reading?

KATHI HAMEL: I'm on the guidance document,

section L, page three of five, internal audits, 2 and 3
on the reg. That's the reg.

ROBERT FISHER: The reg. Right. So that's --

KATHI HAMEL: The reg section. I'm sorry.

ROBERT FISHER: (F), okay, which is now up on the screen.

KATHI HAMEL: (F), yes. Because one of the other things that we did is there is no tiering for internal audits.

THOMAS WILSON: Number two, internal auditors are independent of the gaming operation with respect to the departments subject to audit (auditors internal to the operation, officers of the TGRA.)

When you say "auditors internal to the operation," what does that mean? Because that's what's throwing me. I read that as --

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So wait. We have got to have one person at a time, if we could.

THOMAS WILSON: I read that as auditors -- I'm going up until we get to the parenthesis, then I get confused, because it says "auditors internal to the operation." Who does that mean?

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Mia, you were going to answer that?

MIA TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: My interpretation when I read it was that if you're doing an internal audit of that particular department, you must be independent of that department. So they can be three, and then in parenthesis, it can be either an auditor internal to the operation, it can be somebody from TGRA, or it can be a CPA or an outside firm. If it's somebody who is internal to the operation, they must, if they're going to do -- you know, let's say that they're internal audit part time and then the other time they work for drop and count. Well, then they cannot perform the drop and count audit, but they can do the other audits.

> ROBERT FISHER: Tom.

THOMAS WILSON: So my question is that when we talk about independence, though, it's broader than just from a department to department. It has to do with the fact that can an auditor who reports through management

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040 800-227-8440

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

truly be independent on the audit work that they're doing over that management's processes. It's not just that, okay, yes, I'm not part of cage and vault; therefore, I'm going to walk in and do this audit at cage and vault.

And I guess from my perspective it's not -- I'm not talking about these operational reviews or things, but I'm talking about, when we are looking at doing a compliance audit of the standards and the guidance, those folks should be independent completely from management. And, in my mind, it would be inappropriate for it to be an employee of management who is conducting those audits because I don't think you can really meet the independence criteria in that scenario.

So maybe I'm looking at this from two different types of audits, but if we talk about independence fundamentally, an employee of somebody else who is over you cannot be truly independent to conduct an audit.

ROBERT FISHER: I have to interject here. By clock time, we're at 5:15, which is our appointed time to shift for public comment. So there are three cards that are up, so we're either going to take all three cards and then move to public comment --

(Inaudible comment.)

ROBERT FISHER: But if you do that and somebody

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

2.0

2.2

1 | steps forward, then what are you going to do?

Okay. We might have to stop here if there's public comment; otherwise, we can keep going for another 15 minutes on this.

So we're at the point in time for public comment. Is there anybody in the audience that wishes to provide public comment to the committee at this time?

RITA HOMA: I do.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

ROBERT FISHER: You do. Okay. All right. So we need to put this aside for now and we'll come back to -- we'll pick up on this question of the independence and independent internal auditor when we come back tomorrow morning.

And so who is going to do our timekeeping today?

Okay. So you have to give us a second here to get organized, if you would. So you know the procedure is that you have three minutes to provide public comment and the committee has the opportunity, if they so choose, to respond back or to answer questions or ask you questions. And it's not expected that the committee will respond to specific questions right here and right now. They're just looking for information.

So could you please tell us who you are and then we can go.

RITA HOMA: Hello, I'm Rita Homa. I'm a member of (inaudible) of Oklahoma. I have formally served the National Indian Gaming Commission as its vice chairman from -- well, from 1999 to 2002. I have really enjoyed listening to the dialog today. I think that I've been to many, many, many of these meetings, and I know what you're wrestling with.

We wrestled with it back, you know, around the year 2000. We did the first revision of the MICS. And I only wanted to raise a little bit of history because -- well, because it's beneficial. It's beneficial to you all in your task, I think, to know kind of how all of this has developed.

And one of the biggest problems with the MICS from the very, very first -- from its very first iteration is organization. And I have noticed all afternoon you all have been struggling with that issue of how the MICS is organized and how the MICS operates together. And I was very happy to participate in a TGWG process and kind of bring to bear the experience, because I have sat in on every MICS and TAC since I was in the NIGC. I have gone to every meeting. I was part of the working group, and so I see how difficult it is to get your arms around the MICS, especially if you're not up to your eyeballs in it for years and years and

2.0

2.2

2.3

years out.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

So organizationally -- you know, as to this last issue, what you all were talking about, organizationally, part of the reason that it was organized, all of the audit and accounting were brought together in one section is it was -- the MICS is not a useful document to the average person. I'm in the trenches with my gaming regulatory agencies where I'm general counsel, and I work on these issues all the time. And I'm always beings asked, well, you wrote the MICS -- well, actually, we didn't technically write them; we revised them -- you know, so what does this mean? And they're not user-friendly and they haven't been made user-friendly, I think, until the work of the TGWG.

And with respect to, you know, this idea of independence, this is no different than what the MICS is today in the way things are supposed to be done in terms of internal audits. You know, some tribes, they use their gaming commission to be the internal auditors. Some tribes have their own internal audit functions. The people of Chickasaw Nation, we have like an extra; we have the tribe's own internal auditors.

So, I mean, every tribe is really free and should be free to organize their internal audit function

with the key concept, which is built into the MICS, in all iterations of the MICS of this idea of independence. And that merely means independence from the function that you are auditing. I can't audit myself. I can't audit the papers that I prepared. That's what we mean when we talk about independence and segregation of functions. That's the other concept with independence.

Hey, is my three minutes up?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. So you do need to wrap up, if you would.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I make a motion to let her continue.

RITA HOMA: Thank you. I appreciate that.

So I think this works really well. And I hope at the NIGC staff, in particular, that -- you know, because I haven't seen you at all of these meetings for all of these years, and sometimes people get left out of the discussion and they miss things.

I took physics in college, and I knew about three lectures in where I had missed something really important. And I kind of heard that today, and I don't mean that to be critical of you. I think that you're doing all of this in good faith, and I think the NIGC is doing this whole process in good faith. But I think there's some concepts that we're missing here, and just

from what I have observed today, and one is, this is not the be all and the end all. You have the big regulation, that sets the standard; right? Then you have the guidance documents, but those guidance documents aren't the end all and be all because now it's going to be turned in to tribal regulations that are promulgated by the Tribal Gaming Commission and then it's going to become internal control procedures that are implemented at that level, and that's where your detail is.

You know, we can't fill all of the holes. We can't tell somebody how to do every single function because it's just not logical to try to do that. We have too much variety. You know, we thought we were so cool when we came up with the tier system. That was our -- Terry and I, that was our innovation, was the tier system. But that was really, yes, this will answer so many one-size-don't-fit-all problems. But the problem with that was it made the regulation humongous and hard to use. So we want to fix that problem too.

I think you all are working with a very good work product that a lot of really experienced, hard-working people have rolled up their sleeves and brought their best minds, their best thoughts, their best expertise to bear. You know, don't lose track of

2.0

2.2

2.3

that. This is a document that comes from a lot of years of experience, combined years of experience. Don't get caught up in, well, you know, this word was over here and this word was over there.

Remember -- and I can't understand why people don't see from the federal perspective that this is actually better for them, because if you made a mistake in your guidance document, say we did something real screwbally in the credit section -- I wrote that, it's going to be something real screwbally in that -- you can fix it without going through this whole rigamarole. You know, is that standard for that surveillance system, you know, is just stupid three years from now because technology has changed, well, then, you don't have a stupid, outdated regulation. You've got a guidance document that's easily changed.

You know, I mean, really look at the pros and cons of this, and after the enforcement question, yes, this is all going to be enforceable, assuming that the authority exists within the agency to enforce these regulations. And the reason they're enforceable, why, you ask, because the regulations say these are the things you do. The guidance document says this is how you must do it, or if you don't do it this way, now the burden has shifted to you to show that you meet these

2.0

2.2

2.3

standards that we have set, these clear, concise, easy-to-read standards.

This thing works really good. Take advantage of it. It offers good advantages to both tribes and to the NIGC. It's kind of a marriage of the two interests, and I hope you see that. I hope you see this is not the be all and end all, because believe me, our tribal gaming regulatory agencies, they up the standards from here, and that's their job as primary regulators.

So that's it. Thank you. Thank you for letting me talk.

ROBERT FISHER: Thank you. Does anybody else in the public wish to provide public comment? Okay.

TRACY BURRIS: My name is Tracy Burris. I'm a gaming commisioner at Viejas here in Southern

California. I'm where you were at 15 years ago. I was on the first MICS advisory committee. I want to tell you all the lesson I learned then, and I've been waiting for it to change since, but one of the smartest persons on that one, and still one of the smartest people in this industry, is Kevin O'Toole, who is executive director for the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. He used to be at Oneida. He came from New Jersey. He's a lawyer, CPA, and got every title, every little thing you can think of. But the one lesson I learned in the first

800-227-8440

2.0

2.2

2.3

one -- and it took me a while -- when we started out we had nine different jurisdictions compared and contrasted. We tried to see how it fit for Indian Country. Well, we were all concerned and, I think it would be fair to say, paranoid about the criticism that we received.

Kevin kept saying quit overreading into it. careful, I will tell you, because I've been on the first and the third advisory deals, and sitting through this for the last 15 years, be careful and think it through. It doesn't hurt to think your actions out. Because the example is the surveillance. Who cares about the surveillance? Today it's turned into a tool that certainly for tort claims, if you think about it hard.

That serves your internal controls, that's what those documents are for. Do you have an ADA, whether it's a state or compact with the county or use attorney? What do you got when you go to go court? I can tell you, nine times out of ten I've got nothing. So don't put more into it than it is.

Gentlemen, with all respect, if I can't go to court with it, then it does me no good. So I don't need all these cameras. And the same way with independence, don't overread independence into it, but it was written -- we looked at commercial industry first.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

1 know it's Indian Country and we've made the adjustments. Don't overread into what independence is.

And think about it, if you do, if you really look hard, that those were the correlations what business is, how was the business structured, how it is. The other thing I used to say, when is it tribal business; when is it business, and when is it tribal? Tribal is the right for the tribe to decide and it's Tribal business is the right of the business itself to run and operate and decide, and the other is business and what we think the industry does as a whole and how does it benefit us.

So the only thing I would tell you with all respect -- and believe me, I understand. My heart goes out to you. I've done that many times. Don't overread into it. Go back and compare and contrast at your own facility, go back to your friends and talk with other people.

Just don't put more into it because we can't protect everything, and I'd love to tell you we could; we cannot. We can't protect every tribe, and I don't give a crap, in a sense, because I can't have it bring down that which is good, and I don't like the criticism from someone that doesn't do a good job. I don't like I know we've got to be prepared for that, but if

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I'm doing a good job, I'm going to show everyone how good of a job I'm doing. And the rest of us that are, will do it, and the rest, that's up to these guys. I've said it before, that's up to the NIGC to figure that one out.

Thank you very much.

ROBERT FISHER: Thank you. Anybody else in the audience wish to provide public comment?

(No audible response.)

ROBERT FISHER: Okay. Thank you very much. That actually takes us straight to 5:30, which is our appointed time for ending today, so we'll stop here for the day, pick up tomorrow back on the auditing and accounting section. We'll start up at 8:00 a.m.

(The meeting adjourned at 5:30 p.m.)

2.2

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

[01/09/12 - able] Page 1

0	1:00 83:22	4	543.42 192:1
	2	-	543.42. 190:21
01/09/12 28:2		4 107:21 127:18	543.8 25:5
1	2 66:6 91:22 106:14	130:23,25 145:10	546 116:6
1 1:25 24:20 25:5	117:15 118:5,5	170:11,11,13,14,19	547 8:3 22:1 29:19
62:15 63:24 64:20	120:6 179:10	171:4,12 174:7,9,9	30:7,14,17 32:23
66:3,6 68:6,11 71:4	186:23 189:25	174:13,15,17,20	36:4 39:9 77:10
73:3 92:16 117:17	196:10	178:8	78:14,21 79:3,11,18
118:4,7 120:6,18	20-20 149:4	42 181:25 182:8,16	87:25 97:20 98:3,24
138:19	20/20 146:11	182:18 185:17,20 4:00 99:24	99:2,14,15
10 1:15 4:1 134:3	200 159:18	4:00 99:24 4:15 179:15	5:00 99:23 101:10
144:21 153:24	2000 200:9		105:1
154:13 155:3	2002 200:4 2007 12:22	5	5:15 179:16 198:20
169:12,17		5 62:15 63:24 64:2	5:30 4:2 179:17
100 159:17	2010 23:18 24:17,24	64:20 66:20,21,22	208:11,15
11 39:12 182:11	127:20 138:20,21 145:4	67:1,10 68:12 70:3	6
190:19	2012 1:15 4:1	70:5,7 71:2 72:7	6 121:4,24
11154 1:20	2012 1:13 4:1 208 1:25	87:19 88:5,6,9,14	,
12 39:13 160:7	22nd 38:5	101:20 119:22,23	7
177:10,10,22	23rd 38:25	120:4 121:4,24	7 96:7 121:4,24
186:22	24 45:1 102:25	542.16 23:25	70s 147:20
126 116:4	104:18 160:7	542.19 182:1,7,7	76 1:20
12900 1:23	24/7 102:8 160:20	542.23 138:1	8
13 40:3 43:13,19	25 188:13	543 8:15 78:19	8 96:7 105:1,12
193:7	27 182:13 185:21	162:2	121:4,24
14 25:6,10,14 57:2	27th 6:14	543.16 37:15 39:10	80s 147:20
15 11:25 31:3 32:1	28 182:18	39:10 40:3,21 83:19	8:00 1:16 208:14
39:15 78:12 87:11	2:20 122:5	84:3 87:19 94:6	8:13 4:2
87:14 90:9,12 93:12	2:30 122:11	118:10 122:6	9
193:7 199:4 205:16	3	128:17 129:18	9 25:5
206:10		130:1 162:25 163:5	92059 1:21
16 91:24 92:17 129:22 162:3,11	3 66:6 83:5 94:15,19	171:13 179:8 543.16. 29:2 164:19	92 039 1.21 99 113:18
129 :22 102:3,11 17 94:14 127:18	120:6,19 121:6	171:18 173:16	99.99 46:1
130:23 138:18	163:4 170:11,12	543.19 179:25 180:3	9:15 32:1
130.23 136.16	171:4,5,13,20,24	182:2,10,21 186:2	
18 97:19 98:20	172:2,4,5,8,8,11 174:8,9,10,15,16,20	190:24	a
138:6 182:13	174.8,9,10,13,10,20	543.19. 182:8	a.m. 1:16 4:2 208:14
185:19,21	3,000 143:18	543.23 122:7,14	abide 186:15
19 43:13 57:2 87:14	30 39:14 141:19	138:7,17 161:6	ability 64:25 136:2
90:12 91:25 97:19	142:20,23 143:13	169:5,16 172:19	able 7:2,4,7 16:5
107:7,8	145:10 146:10	179:8	22:11 25:19 36:18
1970s 57:14	148:11 184:13,15	543.23. 179:14	56:4 65:15 79:8
1999 200:4	110.11 107.13,13	543.28 172:14	81:5 90:6 98:18
200.1		2.2.20	112:15 124:1

[able - ago] Page 2

130:16 131:12	accommodations	ada 206:16	advisor 29:4
132:4,12,18 133:3	4:23 123:7	add 15:3 41:21 88:1	advisory 1:2,7 2:9
133:19 134:13	accomplish 11:11	98:12 169:12	15:19 42:25 81:25
137:7,9,17 141:4	29:22 91:4,6 130:17	172:13,20 173:21	82:4,16 205:17
142:13 143:9,20	141:15 145:15,17	176:18,23 177:11	206:9
144:7,10 145:17,18	170:2	177:15	advocate 115:25
149:5 151:2,3,4,10	accomplished 6:3	added 24:24 38:16	116:9
151:13	account 87:16 96:8	163:17 164:12	advocating 110:10
abreast 81:6	165:18	187:23	affect 6:24,25
absence 174:9	accounting 72:22	adding 66:9 97:19	111:19
absolute 135:19	73:14,23 77:4,10	162:6	afford 113:2 147:23
absolutely 108:25	113:14 114:2,21,23	addition 11:19	afternoon 27:8
119:25	114:24 117:20,21	125:15,18 170:16	200:17
accept 104:25	117:25 118:2	additional 5:25 22:1	age 148:16
accepted 186:24	152:20 153:2 179:9	35:5 84:21 118:17	agencies 201:8
187:14	179:22 180:1,2,12	127:14 170:17	205:8
access 36:11,21 65:1	180:15,25 181:10	193:13	agency 6:7,8,9,12
66:2,4,6 70:11,12	181:12 182:1,5	address 24:13 44:8	9:12 16:13 58:15,17
70:12,16 71:7 73:4	184:5 185:2,7 186:6	68:1 71:14 79:21	59:4 60:18 112:6
94:19 96:11 97:3,11	186:24 187:14	80:11 81:23 95:12	158:9 204:20
98:22 101:18	190:25 192:3,6	118:24 123:24	agenda 5:5,19,22
103:12,12,12,14,15	201:5 208:14	124:23 126:18	7:3 9:2 10:22,23
103:22,23 104:8	accounting's 77:4	157:24 177:25	18:19 21:23 26:18
106:15 107:24	accounts 180:21	addressed 43:18	26:19 27:1,7 29:1
108:6 113:4 127:23	accurately 62:1,9	56:5,19 88:16	29:23 34:25 35:1
127:25 128:7,7,15	achieve 119:15	116:21 122:19	36:13,17,18 37:14
129:13 161:11,18	achieving 48:2	124:9 126:4,4,6,7	39:12,14,15 79:22
162:10,15,16,21	acknowledging	126:20 135:6	122:7
163:7,9,12,16,17,18	53:10	169:25 171:14	agent 70:6 71:6,18
163:20,23,24,24	action 5:22 25:18	addresses 67:6	87:20,23 88:16,22
164:1,2,2,12,13,14	actions 206:11	71:24 110:5 122:22	89:5,7 90:5,13,14
164:14,15,18 165:2	actively 160:14	157:19 171:5,17	90:15 101:9,9
165:6,12,14,19	activities 58:25	addressing 156:24	120:13,20 127:23
169:24,25 170:6	61:16,23 104:6	adequate 52:2 183:1	127:25
171:2,14 172:13,21	176:16	adjourned 208:15	agent's 90:16,22
173:3,6,7,9,9,13,14	activity 62:9 133:13	adjustments 207:1	91:8
173:14,15,20,21	134:25 144:7	administration 9:10	agents 67:24 70:6,15
175:4,14,20,23,24	151:10 160:8	administrator 3:3	70:17 87:21,21
175:25 176:10,12	177:20	adopt 51:20 149:18	106:20 165:23
176:13,13,16,18,24	actual 72:24 117:16	149:19,23	173:9
177:2,5,16,19,20	138:18 156:7 162:4	adopted 48:11	aggressive 17:22
178:5,17	165:4 168:2 175:23	advantage 205:3	ago 42:24 117:6
accommodate	187:5,6	advantages 205:4	131:13 139:6
102:24	ad 165:19	advised 29:5	147:17 205:16

[agree - asked] Page 3

agree 11:15 14:21	altogether 122:21	anybody's 115:20	appropriate 4:14
16:8 17:18 18:5	ambiguity 87:20	anymore 80:15	47:23 48:7 68:17
20:14,23 29:12 52:9	126:19 131:5	117:5 189:3	111:9,14 134:25
55:12 56:9 61:6	amendment 189:23	anyway 20:18 26:6	174:24
74:2,21 89:8 90:4	amount 17:24	68:6 117:7 149:8	approval 53:15
96:14 128:5 136:12	100:10 160:17,17	176:20 189:12	62:18,23 65:15,24
142:16 143:4	160:18	apart 172:3	97:22 98:7 165:20
149:22 154:15	amp 54:20	apologies 43:7,20	175:24
156:3 163:23	announced 14:25	57:19	approve 53:25 64:7
175:11,17 190:8,12	announcement 8:18	apologize 82:9	approved 165:18
agreed 12:5 33:2	14:24	apparent 16:14 17:5	166:20
40:22 60:25 81:19	annual 181:1,2	apparently 52:21	approving 62:16
174:16	182:14 185:20	78:14	april 16:6
agreement 35:17	188:3,23	appear 122:8	archived 109:5
61:25 89:7 120:2	annually 193:11	appearances 2:1	area 43:14 76:21
140:18 169:3	answer 24:10 35:8	appeared 183:24	112:6 122:21 123:2
ahead 24:14 35:12	44:12 45:7,11 46:4	appears 35:17 63:15	124:23 130:5
46:12,17 53:22 57:1	52:8 95:24 99:6	63:15 187:19	133:23 180:16
60:24 62:20 63:22	109:9 150:8 151:13	appendix 24:20 25:5	areas 7:21 8:15 9:20
73:2 75:24 81:17	168:13 184:9 197:8	applicable 41:9	11:1 45:12 49:17
84:18 103:5,9	199:20 203:17	75:16 94:9 117:2	56:9 58:21 59:18,20
104:21 105:5	answered 30:10	129:20 136:10	61:20 82:2 122:20
121:14 130:21	53:6 157:22 190:5	163:6 186:1	124:22 133:1,12
145:2 147:13	answering 35:3	application 74:19	139:25 147:18
158:11 160:23	37:22 45:6 184:9	75:5 87:21 103:6	148:17,21 157:5,8
161:15 183:18	answers 13:14 64:9	applications 90:14	160:4 173:21
196:2	124:1	applies 44:24 73:16	181:20,23,24
aid 77:7,9	anticipated 26:21	74:23 75:10,15	183:23,23 192:6
alabama 17:14	195:13	93:21 113:14 114:4	argument 31:11
45:25	anticipates 195:20	129:13 164:24	150:19
albeit 47:4	antiquated 74:16	173:11 188:19	arizona 12:9 33:17
allegedly 14:23	anybody 5:15 14:19	apply 44:19 45:13	85:10
alleviated 86:16	21:19 22:17 36:20	46:2,3 73:17 74:7	arms 200:24
allow 31:1,20 32:16	38:19 41:20 64:25	74:24 76:1,3 114:3	arose 23:6 147:22
57:10 62:17 65:4,10	67:25 72:10,12,14	142:11 173:11	arrange 43:17
65:15,19 66:12	77:19 80:9 83:11	188:18	aside 18:18 35:14
67:18,19 71:7 177:4	84:7,16 86:17 87:5	applying 108:2	39:12 110:15
allowed 65:9 100:23	103:13 110:3 114:6	appointed 83:17	119:17 120:10,22
147:12	115:7 118:11 119:1	198:20 208:12	121:10,13 122:1
allowing 41:11	128:7 151:22	appreciate 4:25	169:9,20,21 170:22
47:18 65:14	152:14 153:14,17	12:15 32:17,19	171:4 172:7,8
allows 131:22	155:5 161:1,5 167:5	42:16 47:19 80:23	178:11,12 179:4,12
alluding 134:22	170:12,23 172:7	82:6 124:18 202:13	199:10
alternative 55:3	173:10 178:21	approach 47:7	asked 11:18 18:23
62:7,8	199:6 205:12 208:7	87:22 116:9 181:19	45:14 69:5,9 77:19

[asked - based] Page 4

99:19 117:21				
146:7,22 156:17				I to the second
157:12 158:17			1	/
195:8 201:10	-		,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
asking 8:11 16:21 178:23 189:5 208:9 audience aup 182:15 authorities 107:16 108:25 authorities 24:8 89:6 92:1 40:15 58:4 77:20 asks 103:21 171:24 aspect 42:13 148:25 158:13 audio 149:6 aspect 42:13 148:25 audio 149:6 audit 34:21 55:10 audit 34:21 55:10 foil 147:6 148:8 assess 171:7 aspects 20:4 41:17 foil 14:20,23,24 assess 171:7 assess 45:2 archael 17:24 143:11 assist 60:6 assistance 6:17 60:2 180:13,14,14,24 foil 14:20,73:2,14 foil 1	157:12 158:17	114:13 118:13	196:18 197:20	100:20 104:24
24:8 89:6 92:1	195:8 201:10	119:4 153:18	198:13,16 201:19	105:13 106:2
146:10 174:8 184:1 26:25 27:2 32:9,17 authority 53:24 23:17 124:13,15 28:12 86:24 199:6 28:12 86:24 199:6 28:17 61:14 62:16 23:7 140:3 143:22 132:7 140:3 143:22 149:9,13 157:17 149:9,13 140:12 149:9,13 157:17 149:9,13 157:17 140:3 149:2,13 140:12 149:9,13 157:17 149:9,13 157:17 140:3 149:2,13 140:12 149:9,13 157:17 149:9,13 157:17 140:3 149:2,13 140:12 149:9,13 157:17 149:9,13 157:17 149:9,13 157:17 149:9,13 157:17 149:9,13 157:17 149:9,13 157:17 149:9,13 157:17 149:9,13 157:17 149:9,13 157:17 149:9,13 157:17 149:9	asking 8:11 16:21	178:23 189:5 208:9	aup 182:15	107:16 108:25
188:6 195:10	24:8 89:6 92:1	audience 5:15 26:11	authorities 54:3	110:19 113:7
asks 103:21 171:24 83:12 86:24 199:6 58:17 61:14 62:16 125:9 130:1,13,19 aspects 20:4 41:17 70:12 76:19 164:15 132:7 140:3 143:22 aspects 20:4 41:17 59:10 147:6 148:8 audit 34:21 55:10 64:7 65:18 66:2 149:9,13 157:17 assess 171:7 114:20,23,24 authority's 65:3 authority's 65:3 assist 60:6 153:2,16 179:25 authorize 66:3 authorize 16:3:3 166:2,5 assistance 6:17 60:2 180:13,14,14,24 authorize 163:3 166:2,5 associated 58:10 187:1 authorize 66:3 184:19 190:16 associated 58:17 61:14 62:10 132:17 140:3 143:22 76:21 117:24 143:11 104:12 authorize 66:3 184:19 190:16 associated 58:17 61:14 32:1 197:18 183:16 184:19 190:16 associated 58:17 184:5 185:20 187:1 audiorize 66:3 184:19 190:16 assuming 23:1 199:18,25 191:2 199:12,23 29:17 42:18 18:24<	146:10 174:8 184:1	26:25 27:2 32:9,17	57:11	118:11 119:19
aspect 42:13 148:25 208:8 64:7 65:18 66:2 132:7 140:3 143:22 158:13 audio 149:6 46:7 65:18 66:2 70:12 76:19 164:15 132:7 140:3 143:22 aspect 20:4 41:17 audit 34:21 55:10 57:11 113:13 authorizy's 65:3 authorizy's 65:3 416:10 20:20 16:10 204:20 authorizy's 65:3 authorize 66:3 16:7,12 162:11,21 158:9 159:4,15,16 16:7,12 162:11,21 158:9 159:4,15,16 16:7,12 162:11,21 158:9 159:4,15,16 16:17,12 162:11,21 158:9 159:4,15,16 16:7,12 162:11,21 16:20 16:17,12 162:11,21 158:9 159:4,15,16 16:7,12 162:11,21 177:18 183:16 16:7,12 162:11,21 16:23 166:2,5 16:7,12 162:11,21 177:18 183:16 184:19 190:16	188:6 195:10	40:15 58:4 77:20	authority 53:24	123:17 124:13,15
158:13	asks 103:21 171:24	83:12 86:24 199:6	58:17 61:14 62:16	125:9 130:1,13,19
aspects 20:4 41:17 audit 34:21 55:10 166:10 204:20 158:9 159:4,15,16 158:9 159:4,15,16 59:10 147:6 148:8 57:11 113:13 authority's 65:3 authority's 65:3 161:7,12 162:11,21 assets 45:2 114:20,23,24 authorized 163:3 166:2,5 assets 45:2 117:24 143:11 163:2,16 179:25 authorize 66:3 48:19 190:16 assistance 6:17 60:2 180:13,14,14,24 authorize 66:3 48:19 190:16 191:23 193:19 associate 2:6 182:17 184:5 authorize 66:3 authorize 66:3 48:19 190:16 associate 2:6 182:17 184:5 authorize 66:3 authorize 66:3 48:19 190:16 associate 2:6 182:17 184:5 authorize 66:3 authorize 66:3 authorize 66:3 authorize 163:25 authorize 163:25 authorize 163:25 authorize 163:3 166:2,5 191:23 193:19 199:10,12,20 200:8 207:16,17 208:13 backefiring 152:5 backfiring 152:5 backfiring 152:5 background 42:5 74:25 103:20 background 42:5 74:25 103:20 ba	aspect 42:13 148:25	208:8	64:7 65:18 66:2	132:7 140:3 143:22
59:10 147:6 148:8 57:11 113:13 authority's 65:3 161:7,12 162:11,21 assess 171:7 114:20,23,24 authorization 163:3 166:2,5 assist 60:6 153:2,16 179:25 authorize 66:3 184:19 190:16 assistance 6:17 60:2 180:13,14,14,24 authorize 66:3 184:19 190:16 associate 2:6 182:17 184:5 authorized 163:25 184:19 190:16 associate 58:1 185:20 187:11 availability 35:21 automated 48:1,2 199:10,12,20 200:8 37:10 74:6 77:5 199:22,23,25 193:7 190:18,25 191:2 availability 35:21 backed 107:10 background 42:5 background 42:5 background 42:5 background 42:5 background 42:5 74:25 103:20 backup 75:6 107:16 107:22 108:5 109:12 126:3 160:18 109:12 126:3<	158:13	audio 149:6	70:12 76:19 164:15	149:9,13 157:17
assess 171:7 114:20,23,24 authorization 163:3 166:2,5 assets 45:2 117:24 143:11 104:12 177:18 183:16 assistance 6:17 60:2 180:13,14,14,24 authorize 66:3 184:19 190:16 assistance 6:17 60:2 180:13,14,14,24 authorize 66:3 184:19 190:16 associate 2:6 181:1,6,10 182:3,12 authorize 16:32:5 199:10,12,20 200:8 associate 58:1 185:20 187:11 availability 35:21 availability 35:21 backed 107:10 background 42:5 73:10 74:6 77:5 190:12,2,2,3,25 193:7 190:12,120 200:8 77:22 104:3 131:24 background 42:5 background 42:5 background 42:5 background 42:5 background 42:5 background 42:5 109:12 126:3 107:22 108:5 backup 75:6 107:16 107:22 108:5 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3	aspects 20:4 41:17	audit 34:21 55:10	166:10 204:20	158:9 159:4,15,16
assets 45:2 117:24 143:11 104:12 177:18 183:16 assist 60:6 153:2,16 179:25 authorize 66:3 184:19 190:16 assistance 6:17 60:2 180:13,14,14,24 authorize 66:3 184:19 190:16 associate 2:6 181:1,6,10 182:3,12 authorize 66:3 191:23 193:19 associate 2:6 182:17 184:5 automated 48:1,2 199:10,12,20 200:8 associate 2:6 185:20 187:11 availability 35:21 availability 35:21 4 assumed 58:1 190:18,25 191:2 190:18,25 191:2 availability 35:21 backed 107:10 assumed 81:1 195:1,7,12 196:6,21 available 33:21 60:6 77:22 104:3 131:24 background 42:5 26:19 38:23 77:16 198:49,18 201:5,21 average 201:7 average 77:13 115:18 bad 40:14 111:14,16 107:22 108:5 109:12 126:3 160:18 160:18 bad 40:14 111:14,16 132:15 142:2 150:23 160:18 160:18 bad 40:14 111:14,16 132:15 142:2 150:23 150:23 150:23 142:15 15:1,2	59:10 147:6 148:8	57:11 113:13	authority's 65:3	161:7,12 162:11,21
assist 60:6 153:2,16 179:25 authorize 66:3 184:19 190:16 assistance 6:17 60:2 180:13,14,14,24 authorized 163:25 182:13 193:19 associate 2:6 182:17 184:5 automated 48:1,2 199:10,12,20 200:8 associate 2:6 182:17 184:5 automated 48:1,2 199:10,12,20 200:8 associate 3:8 185:20 187:11 availability 35:21 backed 107:10 backed 107:10 backed 107:10 backed 107:10 backed 107:10 backing 152:5 backup 75:6 107:16 77:22 104:3 131:24 74:25 103:20 backup 75:6 107:16 107:22 108:5 74:25 103:20 backup 75:6 107:16 107:22 108:5 107:2	assess 171:7	114:20,23,24	authorization	163:3 166:2,5
assistance 6:17 60:2 180:13,14,14,24 authorized 163:25 191:23 193:19 199:10,12,20 200:8 associate 2:6 182:17 184:5 availability 35:21 207:16,17 208:13 backed 107:10 background 42:5 74:25 103:20 backup 75:6 107:16 backup 75:6 107:16 107:22 108:5 backup 75:6 107:16 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12	assets 45:2	117:24 143:11	104:12	177:18 183:16
76:21 181:1,6,10 182:3,12 automated 48:1,2 199:10,12,20 200:8 associate 2:6 182:17 184:5 automated 48:1,2 199:10,12,20 200:8 associate 58:1 185:20 187:11 availability 35:21 backed 107:10 backed 107:10 background 42:5 73:10 74:6 77:5 190:18,25 191:2 available 33:21 60:6 77:22 104:3 131:24 background 42:5 assumed 81:1 195:1,7,12 196:6,21 average 201:7 average 201:7 assurance 100:11 audited 188:22 average 201:7 107:22 108:5 astached 18:3 36:8 167:7 179:9,21 average 201:7 109:12 126:3 160:18 attachment 188:1,7 139:9,22 180:2,12 182:9 138:20,21 145:25 142:5 193:20 150:21 150:23 180:41:41:11:14,16 attempted 181:22 42:3 187:12 197:13 20:25 21:2 24:5 26:5 27:12 29:19 33:4 38:1 42:15,15 44:1 15:15;3,5 5alls 140:12 5alls 140:12	assist 60:6	153:2,16 179:25	authorize 66:3	184:19 190:16
associate 2:6 182:17 184:5 103:14 207:16,17 208:13 associated 58:1 185:20 187:11 availability 35:21 backed 107:10 59:14 61:20 73:5,8 190:18,25 191:2 available 33:21 60:6 backed 107:10 73:10 74:6 77:5 192:22,23,25 193:7 193:21,23 194:4,21 available 33:21 60:6 background 42:5 90:19 164:25 193:21,23 194:4,21 averue 150:3 average 201:7 42:5 103:20 assuming 23:1 195:1,7,12 196:6,21 average 201:7 average 201:7 average 201:7 average 201:1 107:22 108:5 109:12 126:3 107:22 108:5 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 107:22 108:5 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:	assistance 6:17 60:2	180:13,14,14,24	authorized 163:25	191:23 193:19
associated 58:1 185:20 187:11 availability 35:21 backed 107:10 59:14 61:20 73:5,8 190:18,25 191:2 available 33:21 60:6 background 42:5 73:10 74:6 77:5 192:22,23,25 193:7 193:21,23 194:4,21 188:24 74:25 103:20 assumed 81:1 195:1,7,12 196:6,21 avenue 150:3 background 42:5 assuming 23:1 197:10,17,20 198:1 avenue 150:3 average 201:7 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 109:12 126:3 107:10 background 42:5 74:25 103:20 backup 75:6 107:16 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 109:12 126:3 107:12 108:5 107:22 108:5 109:12 126:3 107:12 108:5 109:12 126:3 107:12 108:5 109:12 126:3 107:12 108:5 109:12 126:3 107:12 108:5 109:12 126:3 107:12 108:5 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 109:12 126:3 160:18 109:7 122:18 123:2 150:23 150:23 160:18 132:15 142:2 150:23 150:23 160:18 132:15 142:2	76:21	181:1,6,10 182:3,12	automated 48:1,2	199:10,12,20 200:8
59:14 61:20 73:5,8 190:18,25 191:2 available 33:21 60:6 backfiring 152:5 73:10 74:6 77:5 192:22,23,25 193:7 193:21,23 194:4,21 188:24 74:25 103:20 90:19 164:25 193:21,23 194:4,21 188:24 avenue 150:3 assumed 81:1 195:1,7,12 196:6,21 avenue 150:3 backup 75:6 107:16 assuming 23:1 197:10,17,20 198:1 average 201:7 107:22 108:5 107:22 108:5 assurance 100:11 adited 188:22 average 201:7 107:22 108:5 109:12 126:3 astronomically 160:20 audited 188:22 b 48:1 53:17 69:13 160:18 132:15 142:2 150:23 160:18	associate 2:6	182:17 184:5	103:14	207:16,17 208:13
73:10 74:6 77:5 192:22,23,25 193:7 77:22 104:3 131:24 background 42:5 90:19 164:25 193:21,23 194:4,21 188:24 74:25 103:20 assumed 81:1 195:1,7,12 196:6,21 avenue 150:3 backup 75:6 107:16 26:19 38:23 77:16 198:4,9,18 201:5,21 avoid 65:7,25 96:14 107:22 108:5 161:24 204:19 201:25 202:4,5 aware 77:13 115:18 160:18 astronomically 192:24 193:14 auditing 114:2 109:7 122:18 123:2 150:23 36:25 104:25 192:3,7 193:16 194:23 195:9,11 120:24 208:13 166:25 104:25 192:3,7 193:16 172:5,8 174:8,10,21 180:48:14 188:24 180:2,12 182:2 150:23 150:21 150:23 150:22 150:23 150:22 150:23	associated 58:1	185:20 187:11	availability 35:21	backed 107:10
90:19 164:25 193:21,23 194:4,21 188:24 74:25 103:20 assumed 81:1 195:1,7,12 196:6,21 avenue 150:3 backup 75:6 107:16 assuming 23:1 197:10,17,20 198:1 average 201:7 avoid 65:7,25 96:14 107:22 108:5 109:12 126:3 assurance 100:11 audited 188:22 aware 77:13 115:18 bad 40:14 111:14,16 astronomically 192:24 193:14 auditing 114:2 b 48:1 53:17 69:13 160:18 bad 40:14 111:14,16 132:15 142:2 136:25 104:25 180:2,12 182:9 192:3,7 193:16 192:3,7 193:16 192:3,7 193:16 194:23 195:9,11 194:23 195:9,11 194:23 195:9,11 202:4 208:13 16:22 18:20 20:18 222 14:25 15:1,2 16:13 16:13 ball 138:9 140:8 attempted 181:22 42:3 187:12 197:13 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:21 3:4,6,10 20:21 3:4,6,10 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2	59:14 61:20 73:5,8	190:18,25 191:2	available 33:21 60:6	backfiring 152:5
assumed 81:1 195:1,7,12 196:6,21 avenue 150:3 backup 75:6 107:16 assuming 23:1 197:10,17,20 198:1 average 201:7 avoid 65:7,25 96:14 107:22 108:5 assurance 100:11 assurance 100:11 audited 188:22 astronomically 160:20 auditing 114:2 b bad 40:14 111:14,16 36:25 104:25 138:20,21 145:25 180:2,12 182:9 192:3,7 193:16 194:23 195:9,11 120:24 208:13 16:22 18:20 20:18 138:9 140:8 attachment 182:12 42:3 187:12 197:13 16:22 18:20 20:18 138:9 140:8 attempted 181:22 42:3 187:12 197:13 16:22 18:20 20:18 14:11 151:3,5 138:9 140:8 attending 15:13 194:7,10 195:21 33:4 38:1 42:15,15 44:1 45:14 52:25 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2	73:10 74:6 77:5	192:22,23,25 193:7	77:22 104:3 131:24	background 42:5
assuming 23:1 197:10,17,20 198:1 average 201:7 107:22 108:5 26:19 38:23 77:16 198:4,9,18 201:5,21 avoid 65:7,25 96:14 109:12 126:3 161:24 204:19 201:25 202:4,5 avaided 188:22 astronomically 160:20 auditing 114:2 attached 18:3 36:8 167:7 179:9,21 180:2,12 182:9 138:20,21 145:25 180:2,12 182:9 192:3,7 193:16 194:23 195:9,11 202:4 208:13 16:22 18:20 20:18 202:4 208:13 202:4 208:13 16:22 18:20 20:18 20:25 21:2 24:5 26:5 27:12 29:19 33:4 38:1 42:15,15 33:4 38:1 42:15,15 44:14 45:14 52:25 57:2,12 63:1,2 64:14 196:19,21,23 197:3 206:17 197:5 201:20,23 206:17 206:17	90:19 164:25	193:21,23 194:4,21	188:24	74:25 103:20
26:19 38:23 77:16 198:4,9,18 201:5,21 avoid 65:7,25 96:14 109:12 126:3 161:24 204:19 audited 188:22 b bad 40:14 111:14,16 astronomically 160:20 b 48:1 53:17 69:13 132:15 142:2 attached 18:3 36:8 160:25 104:25 180:2,12 182:9 192:3,7 193:16 192:3,7 193:16 192:3,7 193:16 192:3,7 193:16 192:3,7 193:16 194:23 195:9,11 194:23 195:9,11 202:4 208:13 202:4 208:13 202:4 208:13 16:22 18:20 20:18 202:5 21:2 24:5 203:4 38:1 42:15,15 203:4 38:1 42:15,15 203:4 38:1 42:15,15 203:4 38:1 42:15,15 203:4 38:1 42:15,15 203:4 38:1 42:15,15 203:4 38:1 42:15,15 203:4 38:1 42:15,15 203:4 38:1 42:15,15 203:4 38:1 42:15,15 203:4 38:1 42:15,15 203:4	assumed 81:1	195:1,7,12 196:6,21	avenue 150:3	backup 75:6 107:16
assurance 100:11 audited 188:22 aware 77:13 115:18 160:18 astronomically 160:20 auditing 114:2 b 48:1 53:17 69:13 132:15 142:2 attached 18:3 36:8 36:25 104:25 138:20,21 145:25 attachment 138:1,7 139:9,22 attachments 182:12 attempted 181:22 attempted 181:22 attending 15:13 attention 43:15 64:14 auditors 193:11 196:19,21,23 197:3 206:17 auditor 2:7 7:19 19:12 197:25 199:12 197:25 199:12 197:5 201:20,23 audits 86:3 125:17 auditor 2:7 7:19 201:20,23 audits 86:3 125:17 auditor 2:7 7:19 201:20,23 audits 86:3 125:17 auditor 3:15 76:477:15 78:4 136:22 170:14 bad 40:14 111:14,16 132:15 142:2 150:23 bag 76:8,13,17 balancing 181:14 balk 48:14 balk 48:14 balk 48:14 balk 48:14 balk 48:14 balk 138:9 140:8 140:12 band 2:11 3:4,6,10 bank 76:2 banks 160:4 based 43:21 44:1,4 136:22 170:14 193:16	assuming 23:1	197:10,17,20 198:1	average 201:7	107:22 108:5
assurance 100:11 audited 188:22 b bad 40:14 111:14,16 astronomically 160:20 auditing 114:2 b 48:1 53:17 69:13 132:15 142:2 150:23 attached 18:3 36:8 167:7 179:9,21 180:2,12 182:9 180:2,12 182:9 123:5 168:7 172:2,4 172:5,8 174:8,10,21 180:2,12 182:9 123:5 168:7 172:2,4 172:5,8 174:8,10,21 180:2,12 16:13 133:9:9,22 14:25 15:1,2 16:13 16:22 18:20 20:18 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 27:12 29:19 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:25 27:12 29:19 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:25 27:12 29:19 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 20:3:4 38:1 42:15,15 <t< th=""><td>26:19 38:23 77:16</td><td>198:4,9,18 201:5,21</td><td>avoid 65:7,25 96:14</td><td>109:12 126:3</td></t<>	26:19 38:23 77:16	198:4,9,18 201:5,21	avoid 65:7,25 96:14	109:12 126:3
astronomically 192:24 193:14 auditing 114:2 attached 18:3 36:8 167:7 179:9,21 109:7 122:18 123:2 150:23 36:25 104:25 180:2,12 182:9 180:2,12 182:9 172:5,8 174:8,10,21 bag 76:8,13,17 attachment 138:1,7 194:23 195:9,11 202:4 208:13 202:4 208:13 202:4 208:13 202:24 208:13 202:25 21:2 24:5 202:25 21:2 24:5 202:25 21:2 24:5 202:25 21:2 24:5 202:25 21:2 24:5 202:25 21:2 24:5 202:25 21:2 24:5 202:25 21:2 24:5 202:25 21:2 24:5 203:4 38:1 42:15,15<	161:24 204:19	201:25 202:4,5	aware 77:13 115:18	160:18
auditing 114:2 attached 18:3 36:8 36:25 104:25 180:2,12 182:9 138:20,21 145:25 192:3,7 193:16 attachment 138:1,7 139:9,22 194:23 195:9,11 attachments 182:12 attempted 181:22 attending 15:13 attention 43:15 64:14 194:7,10 195:21 attorney 2:6 52:7 206:17 17:5 201:20,23 audits 86:3 125:17	assurance 100:11	audited 188:22	b	bad 40:14 111:14,16
160:20 atdached 18:3 36:8 auditing 114:2 109:7 122:18 123:2 150:23 36:25 104:25 180:2,12 182:9 123:5 168:7 172:2,4 172:5,8 174:8,10,21 172:5,8 174:8,10,21 138:20,21 145:25 192:3,7 193:16 194:23 195:9,11 194:23 195:9,11 194:25 15:1,2 16:13 16:22 18:20 20:18 181:14 181:14 139:9,22 auditor 2:7 7:19 42:3 187:12 197:13 16:22 18:20 20:18 141:1 151:3,5	astronomically	192:24 193:14	h 48·1 53·17 69·13	132:15 142:2
attached 18:3 36:8 167:7 179:9,21 123:5 168:7 172:2,4 bag 76:8,13,17 36:25 104:25 180:2,12 182:9 172:5,8 174:8,10,21 balancing 181:14 138:20,21 145:25 192:3,7 193:16 192:3,7 193:16 back 5:18 9:22 balk 48:14 139:9,22 202:4 208:13 14:25 15:1,2 16:13 16:22 18:20 20:18 14:1 151:3,5 attempted 181:22 42:3 187:12 197:13 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 attending 15:13 197:25 199:12 33:4 38:1 42:15,15 44:1 45:14 52:25 attention 43:15 194:7,10 195:21 57:2,12 63:1,2 64:16 69:15 72:12 64:14 196:19,21,23 197:3 197:5 201:20,23 64:16 69:15 72:12 76:4 77:15 78:4 206:17 197:5 201:20,23 76:4 77:15 78:4 193:16	160:20	auditing 114:2		150:23
36:25 104:25 180:2,12 182:9 172:5,8 174:8,10,21 balancing 181:14 138:20,21 145:25 192:3,7 193:16 194:23 195:9,11 194:23 195:9,11 194:25 15:1,2 16:13 16:22 18:20 20:18 141:1 151:3,5 139:9,22 auditor 2:7 7:19 202:4 208:13 16:22 18:20 20:18 141:1 151:3,5 141:1 151:3,5 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 21:2 24:5 26:5 27:12 29:19 26:5 27:12 29:19 23:4 38:1 42:15,15 23:4 38:1 42:15,15 44:1 45:14 52:25 25:72,12 63:1,2 26:16 69:15 72:12 26:16 69:15 72:12 26:16 69:15 72:12 26:16 69:15 72:12 27:4 136:22 170:14 20:22 170:14 193:16	attached 18:3 36:8	167:7 179:9,21		bag 76:8,13,17
138:20,21 145:25 192:3,7 193:16 192:3,7 193:16 194:23 195:9,11 back 5:18 9:22 5:18 9:22 14:25 15:1,2 16:13 141:1 151:3,5 14:1 151:3,5 <td< th=""><td>36:25 104:25</td><td>180:2,12 182:9</td><td>,</td><td>balancing 181:14</td></td<>	36:25 104:25	180:2,12 182:9	,	balancing 181:14
attachment 138:1,7 194:23 195:9,11 14:25 15:1,2 16:13 138:9 140:8 139:9,22 attachments 182:12 182:12 16:22 18:20 20:18 14:11 151:3,5 attempted 181:22 42:3 187:12 197:13 20:25 21:2 24:5 20:25 27:12 29:19 20:25 27:12 29:19 20:5 27:12 29:19 20:5 27:12 29:19 20:4 208:13 20:25 27:12 29:19 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,2 20:5 27:12 63:1,	138:20,21 145:25	192:3,7 193:16	, , ,	balk 48:14
139:9,22 202:4 208:13 attachments 182:12 attempted 181:22 attend 42:17 attending 15:13 attention 43:15 64:14 196:19,21,23 197:3 attorney 2:6 52:7 206:17 16:22 18:20 20:18 20:25 21:2 24:5 balls 20:5 27:12 29:19 33:4 38:1 42:15,15 44:1 45:14 52:25 banks 57:2,12 63:1,2 64:16 69:15 72:12 76:4 77:15 78:4 79:21 80:12 81:16	attachment 138:1,7	194:23 195:9,11		ball 138:9 140:8
attachments 182:12 auditor 2:7 7:19 20:25 21:2 24:5 balls 140:12 attempted 181:22 42:3 187:12 197:13 20:25 21:2 24:5 balls 140:12 attending 15:13 197:25 199:12 33:4 38:1 42:15,15 bank 76:2 attention 43:15 194:7,10 195:21 57:2,12 63:1,2 based 43:21 44:1,4 attorney 2:6 52:7 197:5 201:20,23 76:4 77:15 78:4 136:22 170:14 20:21 80:12 81:16	139:9,22	202:4 208:13	'	141:1 151:3,5
attempted 181:22 42:3 187:12 197:13 26:5 27:12 29:19 bank 2:11 3:4,6,10 attending 15:13 33:4 38:1 42:15,15 44:1 45:14 52:25 banks 76:2 attention 43:15 194:7,10 195:21 57:2,12 63:1,2 64:16 69:15 72:12 64:16 69:15 72:12 44:1 45:3 48:4 attorney 2:65 27:12 29:19 23:4 38:1 42:15,15 23:4 38:1 42:15,15 23:4 38:1 42:15,15 23:4 38:1 42:15,15 24:1 45:14 52:25 25:1 2 29:19 25:1 2 29:19 26:5 27:12 29:19 26:5 27:	attachments 182:12	auditor 2:7 7:19		balls 140:12
attend 42:17 197:25 199:12 33:4 38:1 42:15,15 bank 76:2 attending 15:13 194:7,10 195:21 44:1 45:14 52:25 banks 160:4 57:2,12 63:1,2 57:2,12 63:1,2 44:14 45:3 48:4 44:16 69:15 72:12 76:4 77:15 78:4 193:16 206:17 audits 86:3 125:17 79:21 80:12 81:16 193:16	_	42:3 187:12 197:13		band 2:11 3:4,6,10
attending 15:13 auditors 193:11 44:1 45:14 52:25 banks 160:4 attention 43:15 194:7,10 195:21 57:2,12 63:1,2 based 43:21 44:1,4 attorney 2:6 52:7 197:5 201:20,23 64:16 69:15 72:12 76:4 77:15 78:4 136:22 170:14 206:17 audits 86:3 125:17 79:21 80:12 81:16 193:16		197:25 199:12		
attention 43:15 194:7,10 195:21 57:2,12 63:1,2 based 43:21 44:1,4 64:14 196:19,21,23 197:3 64:16 69:15 72:12 44:14 45:3 48:4 197:5 201:20,23 76:4 77:15 78:4 136:22 170:14 206:17 193:16		auditors 193:11		banks 160:4
64:14 attorney 2:6 52:7 206:17 196:19,21,23 197:3 197:5 201:20,23 audits 86:3 125:17 64:16 69:15 72:12 76:4 77:15 78:4 79:21 80:12 81:16 44:14 45:3 48:4 136:22 170:14 193:16		194:7,10 195:21		based 43:21 44:1,4
attorney 2:6 52:7	64:14	196:19,21,23 197:3		44:14 45:3 48:4
206:17 audits 86:3 125:17 79·21 80·12 81·16 193:16	attorney 2:6 52:7	197:5 201:20,23		136:22 170:14
181:2,14 182:14	206:17			193:16
		181:2,14 182:14	, ,	

[bases - calling] Page 5

bases 115:15	big 6:16 22:3,3 40:7	box 100:19	166:24 207:5,5,7,7
basic 123:10	102:21 106:11	brand 150:25	207:9,9,11
basically 64:3 67:18	112:18 122:17	break 27:7 30:23	businesses 111:18
73:7 90:23 119:14	175:5,12,13 187:15	31:1,4,6,21,25 32:3	111:20,24 165:24
167:16 191:4	189:11 194:20	39:1,17,19 77:16	busy 102:13
192:23	203:2	1 ' '	
		82:5,25 83:8,18	button 52:25
bear 26:21 40:19	bigger 40:8	122:9 167:19	buy 134:9,10 143:17
200:20 203:25	biggest 123:9	179:19,22,23	150:16,25 151:2,18
bearing 32:18,19	200:14	181:22	buying 144:23
beat 149:8	bills 133:19 144:10	breaking 83:17	148:13
beginning 12:12	bingo 25:9 69:21	182:18	buys 150:14
28:21 63:11 64:9	96:19,21 99:5,15	brian 3:9 14:20	c
184:25	126:7 136:18 138:3	149:10,12	c 62:15 63:24 64:2
behalf 4:19 175:3	138:9,24 140:8,24	briefly 84:19 180:6	64:20 66:20,21,22
beings 201:10	147:7 192:15,21	bring 35:6 43:15	67:1,10 72:7 73:3
belief 59:15	193:1	44:10 47:15 49:9,15	87:19 88:5,6,9
believe 33:9 36:7	bit 5:7,18 14:17	110:4 117:7 131:13	93:19 94:6 119:22
58:21 62:21 81:21	38:15 42:4 46:13	131:20 147:23	119:23 120:4
91:14 93:18,21 94:8	76:23 83:16 86:16	160:9,11 180:16	122:18 123:2 153:2
107:6 128:13 166:9	105:18 116:4,6	181:2 200:20	153:24 154:13
182:20 186:4	123:20 135:6	207:22	169:12,17 176:8
189:20 205:7	139:20 145:3 171:7	brings 98:5	177:9 186:12,15
207:14	183:12 200:10	broad 58:19 132:9	193:23
belong 192:5,5	black 156:18	133:8	cage 147:17 198:3,5
belongs 191:4,5	blackjack 60:15	broaden 130:2	calculation 188:1,11
beneficial 34:19	blah 64:7,7,7 146:11	broader 197:23	189:11
97:23 200:11,12	146:11,11	broken 122:21	california 1:3,21 4:1
benefit 134:22	blank 24:22	brought 29:18 31:12	15:9 17:13 45:25
135:24,25 207:12	blue 2:21 38:20,20	34:17 142:5 147:1	205:16
best 17:7,10 22:7	44:2 57:3 123:25	148:24 160:12	call 11:8,25 20:2,7,8
94:18 95:1,2 99:7	126:22,23 127:8,13	201:5 203:24	35:14 60:18 74:18
99:13 101:1 111:2,4	130:23 156:17	built 60:5 202:1	74:19 97:6 121:18
111:12 112:1 165:5	157:24	bulk 49:17,20 109:3	148:9 151:22
167:14 203:24,24	board 205:22	189:25	155:16 157:22
203:25	boards 126:7	bullet 130:24,24	158:1 178:21
better 50:9 62:1,6,8	body 45:18 59:17	bulletin 150:4 190:9	callaghan 3:9 14:20
62:12 87:1,22 93:8	booklet 44:15	bulletins 60:1	149:12
116:19 129:25	books 190:1	bunch 8:25 166:3	called 38:6 57:7
148:10,17 172:24	bother 31:11	191:8	69:12 117:3 163:17
178:2 191:5,22	bottom 93:24	burden 204:25	173:24
204:7	145:11 163:19	buried 180:7	
beverage 96:10	164:16 186:3	burris 205:14,14	calling 33:22 74:14 162:24 165:23
beyond 9:3 35:22	bought 127:20	business 36:15	
51:17 150:11	150:20 157:12	101:7 102:11 105:1	181:6
		110:13,21 111:19	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1

[calls - clarify] Page 6

			_
calls 7:8 11:23 13:4	captures 27:20	137:11 143:10	changing 61:12
17:8,18 19:5,13	capturing 142:23	144:12,13	120:13,19
150:8	car 104:22 132:5,18	certainly 8:17 9:15	chart 91:17 164:16
camera 132:20,20	card 18:15 25:13	33:21 43:15 51:17	charts 26:5 91:16
132:23,25 133:8,21	34:13 147:8 149:10	65:25 72:4 104:16	check 39:11 62:14
134:1,2,2,3,3,9,12	174:5	126:14,17 133:15	67:5 71:11 80:20
134:14,14,19	card's 117:14	151:24 154:9	81:15 83:8 84:6
135:10,18,22,24	cards 96:19 111:6	206:14	105:20 106:18,20
136:9,23 137:7,9,13	152:7 198:21,23	certification 97:22	118:10 121:3
137:15,16,18,20,21	care 69:20 108:15	98:7	152:12,14 167:15
138:10 140:10	116:10 144:20	cetera 97:22	175:21,22
141:3,5 142:13	179:14	chairman 2:20 4:15	checking 63:20
143:15,18 144:2,13	careful 206:8,10	4:18 200:3	179:3
144:14,19,21	cares 148:25 206:12	challenged 81:4	checklist 34:15
148:19 150:25	carleen 22:13	challenging 50:22	checklists 22:9
151:1,23 154:3,4,11	carry 120:16	50:23	chickasaw 2:13
155:3,13,17,19,20	case 18:11 36:12	chance 27:13 122:10	201:22
155:21,21 156:2,4	65:14 69:7 103:3	change 5:6 24:19	choice 58:11
156:13 159:8,23	105:2 122:7 159:10	36:18 40:22 41:14	choose 13:23 78:3
160:9,22 169:13,14	cases 65:19 103:2	43:19 44:3 45:15	199:20
cameras 132:10,11	159:24 182:24	57:18 62:19 66:15	christinia 2:10 22:6
132:15,16 133:12	cash 62:17 65:10,10	66:15 67:13,23	83:2,3 119:21 128:4
133:15,16 134:17	144:9 147:17 162:6	70:19 84:12 95:4,7	128:5,21,22 129:17
134:20,20,23,25	190:5	95:8,18 96:9,23	129:19 130:4
135:3 136:6,7,11,13	cashier 96:19,21	97:12 116:8 120:14	136:12 155:23
136:16,20,25	casino 1:19 4:20	120:18 123:9 130:1	160:24 161:12,16
137:25 138:2,8,11	99:12 110:19 135:1	149:18,19 168:1,7	162:1,4,20 163:2,7
138:12,14 143:12	138:12 143:12	169:1,2,4,4,16	165:11 175:11
154:2,10,24,25	194:20 195:2	170:16 178:15	christmas 21:24
155:7,11,15,16,16	casinos 102:8 195:9	179:7 190:11	chunk 2:17
156:2,7 159:5,17,18	catalog 6:18	205:19	cif 141:21 145:10
159:18,25 160:1,2	catch 10:20	changed 16:21	148:11
160:11,20 165:16	category 163:17	38:16 47:10 59:12	circling 124:13
206:23	caucus 30:23 31:1	80:10 87:17 94:16	circumstances
cancel 12:7	31:19,21 32:3,16,21	95:16 96:11 99:9	189:8
canceled 12:19	caught 8:17 9:4	204:14,16	claims 206:14
capabilities 151:1	13:20 16:9 204:3	changes 24:16,21	clarification 27:23
154:10 161:2	cause 41:8	25:5 36:23 37:9,10	44:2 89:17 96:6,23
capability 75:1 76:1	center 192:17	39:5 41:9 46:5,5	119:21 146:22
140:8 144:18	certain 25:8 47:10	66:25 67:17 94:16	174:6
capacity 89:5	47:25 51:10,11 65:5	96:8,15,18 119:8,9	clarified 23:7,13
capture 142:21	65:19 66:11 98:1	120:7 121:3,24	96:12 97:13 158:1
143:1	111:22 115:9	122:17 167:4,24	clarifies 134:7
captured 26:3 27:14	132:11 134:10,11	168:1 178:22,25	clarify 38:2 49:5
81:13	134:18,25 135:8		88:3,21,24 89:1

[clarify - compare] Page 7

97:15 98:9 99:20	closer 107:19	168:21,22	85:25 90:1 128:25
124:24 156:3	closer 107.19 closing 102:19,22	coming 6:15,23 60:6	129:3,8,10,20 145:3
clarifying 93:5,7,8	cognizant 21:8	82:7 85:23 101:22	158:17 163:11
162:23	colleagues 121:19	102:20 106:4 149:9	165:14 166:10,12
clarity 69:4 131:3	collection 73:24	175:9	166:16 200:3
131:11,22 132:9	college 202:19	comment 15:12	201:20 203:7
133:5,9,17,20,25,25	color 37:4,4,6 38:17	20:12 27:2,5,6	commissioner 2:6
134:5,6,18,21	colored 37:5	39:13,17,20 46:12	2:12,16,24 3:5 4:9
135:19,22 136:9,16	colored 37.3 colors 38:11,13,20	46:13 58:5,6 59:2	78:10 82:8
136:18,22,24 137:5	column 28:22	61:1,10 71:13 75:20	commissioners 6:1
137:25 138:10,13	164:12 172:13,21	75:22 77:17,21,23	commit 21:12
138:14,24 140:6,11	173:11,17,21	78:4 79:5 83:12	commitment 9:7,7
142:10,24 144:3,5	columns 24:16	84:2,19 118:8 124:9	9:19
144:12,24 145:8,8	comanche 3:8	137:24 145:9 152:7	committed 12:17
145:22 146:4,6,8,25	combination 183:22	158:9 183:22	13:24 14:11 18:12
147:3,4,11 157:6	combine 171:15	185:13 186:1,3	34:6 35:1
class 41:6 57:5,6,7	combined 171:13	187:2 188:6,7 189:7	committee 1:2,7 2:9
58:1,20 59:5,6,6,8	192:4 204:2	189:22,24 191:4	7:18 12:20 15:19
59:14,21 60:11,12	come 5:18 13:14	192:14 194:25	27:3 39:17 40:9
61:17 65:1 72:20,21	17:4 18:20 20:18	195:16 198:21,23	43:1 78:2,5,24
72:23 73:5,8,19,22	31:23 33:4 43:19	198:24 199:3,6,7,18	80:25 81:25 82:5,17
74:6,13 75:2,3,3	44:3,15 45:15 49:7	205:13 208:8	83:13 89:23 119:14
76:4 77:6,9 90:19	52:22 55:9,10 59:10	commentary 126:24	139:15 141:16
105:9 164:25	62:5,10 64:16 72:11	127:1,5,10	158:15,19 183:19
175:13 192:16	77:15 79:21 81:7,16	comments 8:16	191:13 192:14
clean 36:24	82:20 83:18 84:20	16:13 18:16,21	196:6 199:7,19,21
clear 19:22 28:25	103:11 105:21,22	30:17 54:16 56:25	205:17
37:24 51:24 59:3	105:25 106:20	59:3,5 77:19 78:12	common 45:20,23
97:1 124:23 125:4	113:15 123:17	78:16,25 79:10 81:8	46:21 51:9 92:3
125:22,25 126:16	130:13,19 150:18	81:19,22 82:3,6,14	190:4
145:24 158:5 205:1	153:7 157:17	82:18,22 83:1 88:1	commonly 182:14
clearance 165:20	160:24 161:12	123:21,22,25,25	communicate 12:1
clearer 89:21	166:2,5 199:10,12	124:5,12 126:12,18	92:10
clearly 9:18 58:24	comes 16:11 45:10	129:15 145:6	communicating
87:23 128:15 142:9	53:13 77:12 99:17	156:18,22 179:16	11:24 100:3
click 123:16	103:7 104:23	182:23,25 183:14	communication
client 110:6	105:16 106:18	183:21 184:4	92:13 96:20 104:18
clock 45:1 122:11	112:4 145:4 150:18	185:13,16	105:4
179:15 198:20	150:23 174:1,2	commercial 206:25	community 78:20
close 72:12 105:10	204:1	commisioner 205:15	compact 76:10
118:19 119:5	comfort 85:17	commission 1:1 2:5	132:25 206:17
172:10 181:11	comfortable 14:8,11	6:5,25 7:10,17	companies 51:10,11
closed 122:5	85:8 88:17,23 89:1	10:18 15:13,19,25	141:18
closely 157:20	89:6,10,18,19 90:4	16:3 18:25 42:3	compare 151:6
	93:14 106:19,21,22	61:14 82:10,12,18	207:16

compared 206:2	compromises 123:8	conferences 12:24	consultations 17:6
comparing 122:25	computer 26:24	12:25	consulted 92:11,20
185:1	57:17 77:7 90:14	confident 7:13,21	consulting 92:14
comparison 7:5	103:20	conflict 43:19 44:3	contains 28:17 37:5
10:9 22:5 23:7,10	computers 100:9	45:15 46:4,5 48:6	content 41:6
23:13,17,24 39:23	concept 15:2 34:10	70:1 186:18	contents 128:1
39:24 40:4 57:3	47:13,15 48:17 56:2	conflicted 67:16	context 124:5,12
64:11 87:9 125:10	84:22 115:12 202:1	conflicts 69:14,25	146:4,6
138:18 139:5,7,12	202:7	conform 92:3 107:1	continue 7:25 8:2
152:10 158:21	concepts 49:1	186:24	10:5 16:1 33:24
181:24 182:9,12	202:25	conforming 186:13	34:2 59:25 202:12
183:3,11,14,15,20	concern 13:20 67:6	confused 10:2 197:5	continuing 36:1
184:3,19 185:3	68:24 69:20 74:3	confusing 10:14	continuous 183:7
186:2 189:22	85:20 86:14 101:12	23:21 139:7 155:5	contract 92:6
190:17	102:14,17,18 104:5	confusion 41:8 72:2	contractor 147:24
comparisons 125:6	109:11,14 124:3	97:16 121:19,20	contradict 153:25
126:10 182:18,19	133:6 166:21	connecticut 26:2,13	contrast 207:16
compilation 28:15	171:17	connection 171:10	contrasted 206:3
compiled 22:23	concerned 12:2,3,18	cons 204:18	control 44:7,7,8
complete 7:14 13:16	21:11 61:21 68:25	consensus 26:14	45:9,9,12,19 46:1,6
19:21 22:1 99:25	77:3 84:21 98:2	31:8 33:5,10 35:15	47:3,20 49:1 53:15
100:7,12 101:24	108:15 110:18	37:8 38:22 39:3	53:16,18 55:4,6,14
102:7 162:19	143:19 206:4	119:16 149:22	56:6,11,17 58:18
completed 34:7	concerning 99:3	174:13,15	62:23 71:19 73:3,9
161:10	concerns 15:8 35:5	consider 11:9 56:14	87:15 90:25 91:2,10
completely 46:9	49:13 92:4 127:2	78:6 187:6	91:11,11 96:18
48:23 101:17 171:7	134:5 168:13	considerable 100:9	98:24 104:11
198:10	177:25	consideration 173:8	106:25 108:2
completing 186:25	concert 8:20	considered 190:24	111:10 112:16,21
completion 21:25	concise 205:1	consistant 167:12	117:19 127:19
102:16	conclude 20:2 49:9	consistency 41:10	176:22 177:4
complex 74:9	concluded 16:2	consolidate 184:10	180:18 203:8
125:19	98:23 101:19 102:5	192:7	205:22
compliance 3:7	102:6	consolidated 180:5	controlled 51:22
54:24 97:20 194:22	concluding 10:4	consolidating 41:10	56:10 59:1,18 61:16
195:23 198:9	conclusion 7:24	consolidator 35:23	62:10 76:18 103:13
comply 44:21 50:3	10:3 15:20,21 49:7	constant 80:25	180:22,23
162:11	conditions 164:17	constantly 160:5,20	controls 41:8,10,11
component 34:17	conduct 198:18	187:23	44:13,13,16,16,18
109:3 116:20	conducting 125:17	constitute 50:1	44:20 47:4 48:2,19
components 77:6	198:12	consultants 151:25	49:7 51:14 54:1,4
98:1 107:23 149:6	conf 2:19	consultation 6:15	58:23 60:20 62:14
compromised	conference 7:8 11:8	15:3,8,11 82:1,24	63:10 71:15 78:21
116:13	11:23,25 17:8,17	83:4	103:14 106:13,14
	19:5 20:2,7 33:22		107:24 115:6

[controls - daniel] Page 9

117:25 129:2,23	country 18:13 21:14	creek 3:4 24:2,20	d
162:17 170:6 176:8	45:19,21,22 206:4	criteria 53:11	d 62:15 63:24 64:20
176:11,16 177:14	207:1	173:15 198:14	66:3,3 67:1,1,11,23
177:18 185:7	county 206:17	critical 50:25	68:6,11 70:4,4,4,5,7
206:15	couple 18:21 22:3,4	148:18 202:22	70:10 71:4,18 91:22
conversation 13:7	22:21 23:4 32:4	criticism 206:5	120:20 185:16
17:16 83:19 112:5	64:4 78:12,23	207:23	189:25
151:21	119:19 138:23	cross 97:20,25 98:1	dabble 148:7
converted 90:17	145:6 159:15,15	98:3 127:21	dakota 23:23
cookies 122:8,10	161:9 169:25	crossing 24:18	dan 5:17 8:17 11:15
cool 203:15	course 22:21 33:13	csr 1:23	14:4,14 18:23 20:15
cooling 160:18	35:6 42:17 82:11	cubicles 75:12	40:13 41:25 83:3
cooperation 42:20	109:4 140:10 141:2	cumbersome 98:17	88:21 125:25
coordinate 193:12	147:21	curious 8:19	dangling 157:25
coordinated 103:18	court 141:25 142:1	current 80:21 191:1	daniel 2:6 3:3 4:11
copies 23:25 26:11	142:3 206:18,22	currently 132:6	4:25 5:3,20 9:15
37:4 78:24	cover 14:3 26:19	161:22	11:3,4 13:3,5 15:7
copy 43:11,12	43:12 70:7 115:15	curry 2:7 7:18 42:2	18:25 19:4,11,22
copying 138:25	162:7	42:7,8,11 43:25	20:3,14,17,22 23:16
correct 31:17 39:7,7	coverage 126:7	46:20 47:1 49:15	24:3 29:25 30:21
40:1 43:7 48:24	132:23 133:8	52:4,9 53:19 54:7	31:5,18 38:10 39:5
88:11 108:13	136:17	56:25 58:10 62:14	40:1,14,17,24 41:1
114:25 119:25	covered 7:6 8:14	63:2 64:3,19 65:6	41:24 42:2,9 50:15
143:16 184:15,21	83:20,21 84:10 93:3	65:10,13,23 66:7	52:21 54:11,15,18
correcting 143:17	118:24 119:2 128:9	68:23 70:17 72:4	54:19,20,22 60:24
correctly 27:14 37:7	136:19 147:19	74:2 86:6 87:12	60:25 61:25 62:11
40:3 80:17,17	148:21 157:22	88:11,18 90:11 91:5	63:4,20 64:5 65:20
101:13 114:16	160:5 188:15,17	91:24 94:14 95:2	66:1,9,21 68:2 70:3
152:14	covers 128:13	96:5 97:18 98:5,13	70:15,20 71:14,23
correlations 207:4	129:22	98:20 99:20 101:15	73:6 77:25 80:11
coso 46:20 48:11,11	cpa 2:7 182:15	102:18 104:10	81:23 83:6 85:22
cost 9:10,15,17 10:2	197:15 205:24	107:8 108:11	88:16,25 89:4,13,22
134:22 154:5 155:4	cpas 191:3	109:16 110:3 113:9	91:20 93:5,14 94:1
160:25	crap 207:22	116:23 127:16,18	95:24 96:4 97:2,15
costly 48:15 134:16	create 146:2	130:22 147:14	98:8 111:7 112:20
costs 15:3,15	created 34:22 136:7	165:17 166:14	112:21 113:22,23
counsel 53:12 86:12	creates 143:7	cut 13:5	113:24 117:14,15
201:9	147:11	cuts 75:15	118:22 119:5
counsel's 86:1	creating 125:1,10	cutting 14:25 15:2	122:24 123:16
125:12	credbility 111:19	151:7	124:22 126:21
count 144:9 147:18	credential 94:20	cycle 34:20 84:17	129:6 137:2,4
197:19,20	credentials 97:3,11	119:19 161:7	140:20,24 141:9
counted 144:11	credit 105:20 189:8		140:20,24 141.9
counting 133:18	189:14 204:9		152:11 154:15,16
			132.11 134.13,10

[daniel - different] Page 10

155:10,15 157:1	dealing 75:8 114:20	162:5 188:11	description 170:18
158:12 162:13,14	114:22 173:5	definitions 195:24	174:2
164:21,22 167:8,11	deals 80:1 206:9	delay 33:11	descriptions 91:15
168:15,22 176:4,5	dealt 9:8	delete 68:4 72:6	91:18
177:9,17 178:9,15	death 149:8	deleted 16:16,18,20	designated 133:15
177.9,17 178.9,13	december 6:14 8:18	117:16 118:5 188:8	155:16 196:6
184:5,11,22 185:1	22:22 25:19 27:21	191:11	designations 141:4
185:12 191:18	28:16 36:10 38:25	deletes 187:20	designed 21:24 51:4
192:2,18 194:18,20	39:23 40:5	189:25	51:12 107:25
192.2,16 194.16,20	decide 12:12 13:6	deleting 119:22	133:12,22 176:9
daniel's 153:8	62:16 112:2 116:3	deletion 186:4 189:7	182:2,22
data 57:25 59:14	123:5 207:8,10	deliver 76:16	desktops 75:9,11
66:5 73:4,13 74:6	decided 18:9 19:1	demonstrate 90:16	desrogiers 78:8,10
75:1,6 90:19 100:19	20:25	90:22 91:7	78:10 79:3,10,23
107:10,11,12,16,16	decipher 149:5	denise 1:23	80:14,23 157:12
	decision 8:19 20:10	denomination 137:8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
107:16,22 108:2,4,6 108:12,14,15,16,17	21:3 90:2,6 110:13	137:17,19 144:10	detail 49:2 132:24
	· ·	denominations	135:1,2,11 177:2
108:22 109:11,15	110:21 111:20,25	133:19	180:19 188:17
109:20 110:7,12,16 110:18,24 111:15	decisions 20:20 111:18		190:9 203:10 detailed 63:10 91:18
<u>'</u>		denotes 138:2,11	176:25
112:4,22 113:5,25 115:18,18 116:12	dedicated 135:18 138:10 140:10	department 2:2 67:15 69:12,12,13	details 41:15 132:3
date 22:23 35:13	141:3 148:19	70:6,13,24 71:3,8	details 41.13 132.3 determine 14:15
38:2 131:20 149:17	deducted 187:22	75:10 99:5,21 100:2	76:16 131:18 134:8
dated 28:2 38:5	deductibility 187:18	100:2,3,17,23	171:22 193:12
dates 33:18	189:18,19	128:24 163:11	determined 194:12
day 4:7 11:5,13	deductions 189:9	191:1 193:10,15,23	developed 81:3
15:13 18:19 21:21	deemed 182:3	197:11,12,24,24	183:2 184:4 186:3
34:24 45:1 100:5,18	deep 146:21	departmental 67:11	200:13
103:4,8 104:6,6,18	defer 56:23	68:2,6,25 69:6,16	developing 81:6
104:20,22,24	deferred 59:3	69:17 70:2 71:16,19	• 0
104.20,22,24	define 87:22 132:10	71:24 88:8	device 138:10 140:8
179:22 180:2	163:19	departments 60:5	141:1
208:13	defined 59:7 76:6	69:2 71:3 128:14,22	devices 154:1
days 11:12 23:4	163:25	182:6 191:3 193:14	155:25
29:24 159:15	defining 131:10	195:11 196:21	dial 101:8
daytime 132:21	definitely 15:7	depend 106:16	dialog 200:5
deactivate 92:2	85:23 158:1 184:19	depending 47:21	dictating 137:10
deactivation 92:12	definition 76:5	67:14 105:7,18	difference 92:25
92:21	77:12 88:24 89:5,7	106:7 132:19	110:11 123:13
deal 38:17 80:2	89:14,18 90:13	deposit 180:21	differences 123:1
102:21 105:16	131:3 140:19 143:6	depth 17:15	different 33:18
116:15 132:14	143:7 144:15	describe 144:8	37:21 41:16 64:2
147:9 161:10 166:4	145:25 146:5,16	describes 62:2,9	67:14 71:5 74:18
	147:10 161:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
175:12,13	147:10 101:20	138:7	80:18,19 84:23 87:6

99:15 105:18 114:7	32:20 59:16 89:11	27:15,19,24 28:1,4	documents 7:5 10:9
122:22 123:20	152:20 156:20	28:17,21 30:12	13:13 22:2,3,4,5,7
133:10,11 136:13	169:13	32:25 33:3,8,9	22:16,17,18 23:7,11
141:14 148:5,20	discussed 5:25 8:21	34:11,15 36:5,13	23:13 27:17 29:15
		1 '	
155:23 156:5,15	20:20,24 31:13,16	37:9,18,21 38:1,8	35:4,6,9 37:23
159:7 166:4,24	33:13 34:9 35:25	39:6,8,24 40:4 41:4	39:23 49:2 51:2,4,6
168:17 170:1	38:9 89:4,10 90:5	42:7 46:24 47:2,5,8	51:12,13,18 53:5,7
171:24 180:16	153:3	47:14,19 48:6,8,19	53:8 55:2,4 56:4
181:20,21,23,23	discusses 182:11,13	49:6,10,12,12,16,21	60:19 81:11,13,14
183:22 184:7 186:1	discussing 189:21	49:25 50:2,4,5,16	86:23 91:15 93:19
186:11 191:12	discussion 11:5,13	50:18,21 51:15 52:2	101:1 122:25 124:1
198:15 201:17	17:5,10,11 18:9,10	52:11,15,18 53:10	124:11 125:4,24
206:2	18:23 20:20 30:20	53:13 54:23 55:15	126:5,13,17 135:7
differently 70:22,24	30:24 31:7 33:23	56:7,10,13 59:9	139:6,23 149:15,18
82:15	37:12,19 50:20	63:5 64:12,14 76:22	149:24 153:9 157:3
differs 134:14	59:19 62:12 63:8	79:4 85:1,3,20 87:9	157:14,15 158:16
difficult 13:1 48:17	68:20,22 71:12	87:10 88:5,6 89:20	158:21 161:8,18
112:12 125:11	77:15 78:15 80:19	91:22 92:8,11,16	162:22 181:24
200:23	84:1 103:25 106:23	93:1,1,20,25,25	184:3,19 185:21
difficulty 57:24	111:9 161:15	94:5,12 96:16	186:2 203:4,5
digital 141:17	169:23 170:14	107:15,21 112:16	206:16
143:22,23 147:21	185:20 202:18	113:17 115:16	doing 6:4,7 9:1 15:9
diligence 60:17	discussions 20:12	117:18,23 120:3	25:1 26:15 29:1,17
dim 43:21	89:24 111:24 115:6	122:20,22 123:8	37:21 42:6 55:10
dinner 161:3 179:18	disks 99:10	124:5,9,16 125:8,11	58:7 77:22 106:10
direct 78:4	display 79:17	126:5,6,8,10 127:6	125:16,17,17
direction 31:22 37:9	130:12 132:12	138:17 139:7,10,13	140:13,16 158:25
138:13 187:6,21	141:13 154:8 161:8	139:14,15 140:15	160:11 167:10,21
directly 6:24 11:24	172:24	141:1 152:10,18	197:10 198:2,8
27:2 196:5	displays 160:2	153:1 158:23 164:6	202:23,24 208:1,2
director 2:10,14,18	dispute 135:9 136:1	165:4,10 167:4	door 27:4
2:22 3:7,9 205:22	disqualifier 53:17	170:8,18 175:8	dots 142:10
dirty 147:3	disrespect 14:4	176:24 178:3,6	double 83:8 167:14
disabled 92:19,23	distance 132:20	180:7 181:3,25	download 50:6 99:8
disadvantage 80:24	distinct 183:23	182:8,16 183:3,11	99:13,22,23,25
disagree 55:13	distribute 26:10	183:14,15,20,23	100:6,8,12,13,24
disagreeing 115:20	distributed 36:9	184:4 185:13,17	101:13,14,24 102:4
disappointed 14:18	diverse 125:1	186:16,23 190:11	102:7,16 103:5
14:22	division 6:9 194:22	191:5,15 193:6,9	110:17 171:8
disappointing 18:11	194:23	194:6,14 195:20,25	downloaded 101:3
disaster 107:22	dmz 75:14	196:9 201:7 204:1,8	101:25
disciplines 49:24	document 11:20	204:16,23	downloads 98:21
discuss 7:4,20 8:20	17:2 18:3 21:12,13	documentation	99:3 100:6 101:22
9:2 11:7 15:22 19:7	22:23 23:2,17,25	135:21 190:2	102:10,20,24 103:1
29:5 30:2 31:17	24:20 26:17 27:11		103:3,10

[dozen - established] Page 12

dozen 85:12 181:7	easily 57:10 61:9	198:17	entertainment
draft 22:4 24:17,24	204:16	employees 97:5,17	79:16
28:2 38:5,6,7 65:22	easy 39:2 125:10	164:13 165:21	entire 6:17 15:13
78:25 79:2 80:22	151:5 205:2	encompass 130:2	34:10,13,18,20
81:13 82:20 138:8	ebb 17:12	encompassed 60:13	62:23 134:24
		75:7	
138:20 145:4	echo 13:19 14:6		158:14 187:20
181:21	106:5	encompasses 76:6	entirety 17:1 59:9
drafted 128:6	economies 15:4	108:14	60:22
drafting 25:7 28:11	editing 120:3	encompassing 58:16	entities 43:22 44:5
38:9 85:19,24 86:14	educating 115:13	75:18	151:25 195:14
drafts 81:2 82:16	educational 116:20	encouraged 53:4	entity 44:8 51:5,8,16
drain 9:24	effect 45:1 160:25	encouraging 92:13	109:2 194:13
draw 75:3,14	effects 99:21	encrypt 110:13,14	entrances 160:4
136:10 138:9 140:8	effort 42:14 112:17	112:3,24 113:2	environment 44:12
140:10 141:3	eight 164:7,9,10	115:19	44:19,22,25 45:2,4
drawing 112:19	183:18 184:22	encrypted 108:5,21	46:2,3,9 47:21
141:1	185:9,12,12,24,24	109:15,15,23,24	48:21 51:22 58:20
drawn 140:12 141:4	185:25 186:4	110:2,12,17,24	74:7,8,8,13 75:13
141:6	either 37:7 38:15,18	encryption 108:19	100:17 105:10
drive 99:10 109:19	55:12 68:9,24 75:17	108:24 111:11	108:20 109:5,5,6,18
117:1 160:17	86:18 88:15 94:20	115:19 116:5,6,16	148:21 159:7
driven 106:12	109:18 120:1	116:19	160:16
146:21	121:12 123:5 124:6	ended 28:8	environments 45:20
drives 110:17	127:20 133:12	ends 12:10	45:23
drop 151:3 197:18	151:7 153:15	enforce 54:9,10 55:6	envision 97:24
197:19	166:22 168:3	204:20	equal 136:8,11
due 21:10 60:17	171:25 177:9	enforceable 204:19	equipment 150:21
87:20 138:19	197:13 198:22	204:21	151:8,18
duper 143:18	elaborate 89:20	enforced 52:6	equivalents 65:11
duration 13:24	171:6	enforcement 60:1	error 25:7 88:12
duties 91:19	elbow 120:25	204:18	194:16
dvr 156:6	elected 46:22 187:10	engulf 161:21	especially 97:21
dvrs 155:25 160:17	election 6:22	enjoy 4:23	98:6 99:9 107:11
e	elections 6:23	enjoyed 200:4	188:3 190:4 200:24
	electronic 43:11	enlarge 28:5,8	essence 140:20
e 7:9 10:8,12 100:19	190:12	enlighten 159:9,21	188:14
101:25 185:1,3,6,7	electronics 77:7	ensure 54:2,4 71:15	essential 92:22
185:14,15	element 74:13 109:1	92:22 97:20 107:11	essentially 28:20
earlier 24:5 44:11	eliminated 153:6	108:17 167:21	53:14 110:12
52:10 59:2 82:9	eliminates 193:22	195:15,23	145:10 159:25
86:5 117:1,18,22	eliminating 131:2	ensures 54:2	establish 95:3
118:22	embedded 166:21	ensuring 21:12	175:19
early 9:24 10:22	embodied 48:10	entered 6:22	established 19:20
easier 41:11 87:1	employee 96:9	entered 0.22 enterprise 195:21	30:13 71:15 95:17
150:24	97:12 194:1 198:12	01101 pribe 175.21	168:8 173:15 176:9
	71.12 174.1 170.12		100.0 175.15 170.9

[established - finalize] Page 13

			1
177:18 180:19	examples 124:7	extensive 188:12	67:19 68:2,21,21
185:7	exceeded 91:12	extent 35:4	69:6 93:4 117:15
et 97:22	exchanging 17:8,10	external 102:19	118:4 126:25 129:6
evaluate 193:16	exclude 106:10	186:19,19	129:12 132:12
evening 99:23 103:2	excuse 19:12	extra 26:11 28:22	134:22 185:6
103:8	excuses 125:14	201:22	189:18 190:6
event 15:13,15 34:5	execute 103:9	extract 26:8	192:16 194:3
103:18 135:14,20	executive 2:10,14,18	eyeballs 200:25	fasb 186:15 187:9
160:8 186:17	3:9 9:16 20:5,13,24	\mathbf{f}	fashion 16:15 21:7
events 159:13	29:4 30:21,25 31:7	f 196:14,16	180:23
eventually 181:12	31:10,14 205:21	f&b 69:21,22	fast 13:14
everybody 4:13 5:4	exercise 116:8	face 12:23,23 19:9,9	faster 26:21
18:19 20:19 22:16	158:22 191:17	33:14,14,25,25 34:3	february 34:4 35:11
23:3 25:22 28:25	exhibit 109:2	34:3	35:12
29:14 32:9,15,19	exist 47:18 48:16	faces 132:4	federal 76:9 92:5
33:10 35:18 36:10	51:25 52:2 115:9	facilitator 4:5	111:10 204:6
39:1,9,10 43:3 62:4	119:24 120:4	facilities 9:20	fee 188:3,23 189:1
67:5 81:14 83:25	126:11,14	142:22	feedback 117:9
100:12 101:21	existed 152:24	facility 47:20,20,22	feel 8:13,24 9:1
116:6 119:6 120:9	exists 126:19 204:20	47:23 48:1 109:11	11:22 12:10 13:9
120:11,24,25 121:7	exits 160:4	110:16,25 134:24	14:6 16:3 20:8
121:11 122:3	expand 166:15	135:3 137:19 152:3	21:16 31:13 32:23
138:15 154:12	expect 74:11 104:3	194:11 207:17	33:16,23 43:9,17
168:25 169:8,10,18	173:8	fact 12:3 50:9,16	49:12 85:4,8 132:6
169:22 170:20	expected 9:6 78:3	94:23 122:18	157:9 173:3 178:10
179:6	199:21	124:11 127:5	192:15
everybody's 15:8	expenses 85:13	136:13 151:21	feels 11:8
21:8 169:3	expensive 113:1	158:13 188:20	fees 188:12,18
everyone's 10:16,16	132:16 134:19	197:25	fellow 60:19
16:4,8	147:24 160:21	fair 31:15 116:22,23	felt 12:7
exact 77:3	experience 106:6	116:23 189:2 206:5	field 52:15 60:3
exactly 11:11 24:12	200:20 204:2,2	fairly 187:13	figure 5:19 18:20
48:24 49:8 53:19	experienced 203:22	fairness 80:2	29:16 31:24 58:8
135:23 155:18	expertise 42:5 60:5	faith 202:23,24	119:16 130:10,14
example 24:1 45:16	86:7 112:15 203:25	fall 6:23	139:8,19,20 151:16
50:16 51:18 55:8,9	explain 38:11 53:13	falling 42:20	159:6 208:4
55:22 56:8,11,20	93:3 128:15 170:7	falls 68:8 69:7 74:4	file 100:15,19
68:4,5,8,9,12 70:7	178:6 191:20	91:8 175:20	files 107:17,22
72:8 96:9 111:14,15	explained 101:21	familiar 57:15	fill 203:11
111:16 115:18	148:17 191:9	family 69:1	final 11:20 17:3
126:2 127:22	explanation 41:13	far 6:8 11:6,13	20:20 23:23,25
131:13 132:17	41:14 44:6 156:24	12:23 13:20 14:17	29:21 32:25 33:4
133:7,17 134:16	expressed 32:11	16:9 17:12 41:3	65:21 79:16 85:20
144:8 206:12	extend 31:3	57:21 60:8,9 65:14	finalize 10:7
		37.21 00.0,7 03.14	

8 11 1 1 7 0 4	27.16.20.16.20.10	155 6 156 0 14	72 10 24 76 22
finalizing 15:24	25:16 28:1,6 29:10	155:6 156:8,14	72:19,24 76:22
finally 34:25 78:17	29:23 30:19,22	158:11 159:3	137:4 144:6 158:12
180:2	31:17,20 32:4 35:16	160:23 161:5,23	158:22,24,25
financial 9:7 65:2	36:2,7,16 37:2,24	162:3,13,23 163:4	focused 112:9
66:23 73:11 74:1	38:4,8,12,25 39:7	163:14 164:4,8,10	137:12
186:5,5,14,25 187:8	40:2,16,19 41:20,25	164:21 166:3 167:1	folks 6:11 10:11
187:12 188:19,22	43:23 46:11,16	167:7,13 168:16,23	19:12,14 20:8 32:6
190:1 192:6	50:13,19 52:20 54:8	169:7,10,11,18,21	32:8 40:15 57:20
find 53:5 59:23 81:5	54:14,20 56:22,24	171:3,11,21,24	85:24 86:12 103:9
82:5,24 124:1	58:3,13 60:24 61:11	172:15,18,23	104:19 125:20
137:20 158:6	62:20,25 63:3,6,12	173:18,23 174:1,12	165:22 166:25
166:12 177:11	63:14,22,25 64:11	174:22 175:16	191:9 198:10
fine 68:10 132:3	64:22 66:14,18,25	176:4 177:7,13,23	follow 18:22 20:1
151:18	67:21,25 68:15	178:4,20,24 179:25	51:13 53:3 55:1
finish 7:7 11:17	70:18,25 71:10,21	181:16 183:7,10,25	76:23 98:10 129:9
20:16 33:24 85:9	72:3,5,16 73:2,20	184:8,15,21,24	129:11 130:6
100:7	75:19 77:14 79:1,6	185:5,9,22 187:17	157:14,17 174:10
finished 10:22 29:20	79:18 80:16 81:10	188:5,8,14 189:3,6	followed 17:19 53:8
144:16	83:2,7,15,24 84:16	189:13,17 190:16	157:20
finishing 18:12 21:4	86:17,21 87:8,24	190:20 191:7,25	following 118:21
34:6	88:19 89:14 90:8	193:4,19 194:18	152:13 176:17
fire 109:6	91:3,13 92:7,14,17	196:2,8,12,14 197:1	follows 129:8,8
firewall 104:12	92:24 93:10,13,22	197:7,21 198:19,25	followup 21:2
165:25 166:1,1,11	94:3,7,10,13,19	199:9 202:9 205:12	food 96:10
166:13,15,19	95:9 96:2,13,22	208:7,10	force 55:24
firm 197:15	98:15 99:1,18 105:5	fit 34:16 94:17	forgive 43:9
first 12:15 18:22	107:5,13,18 110:1	203:18 206:3	form 70:17
19:20 29:1 36:14	111:5 112:20 113:7	five 49:19 71:11	formal 12:1 30:13
42:22 46:7,14 48:22	113:11,16,19 114:1	79:12 117:6 122:9	30:16 41:13
53:6 54:18 64:5	114:4,6,15 115:3	168:4,17 169:4	formally 33:20
78:13 81:23 87:10	117:14 118:9,14	179:23 190:15,20	72:11 200:2
87:13,18 88:12	119:1,6,25 120:15	196:10	format 19:19,21,23
112:13 119:7	121:16,20 122:13	fix 46:16 53:1 176:5	20:11 23:4 33:1,2
127:17 138:23	123:14,16 127:12	203:20 204:11	34:1 36:6 38:8 43:2
167:23 168:6 170:1	127:17 128:4,11,16	fixed 25:4	43:16 123:19
170:11,11 177:14	128:19 129:15,25	fixing 25:12	190:12,12
183:3,20 185:2,10	130:7 137:2,23	flexibility 41:11	former 82:8
186:3 200:9,15,15	138:4,15 139:5,17	116:1,2	forms 153:9
205:17,25 206:8,25	140:4 141:7,11,14	flip 26:5 105:11,13	forth 12:16 14:21
firsthand 42:23	141:22 142:4,15	169:14	55:1 56:10 58:23
fisher 2:3 4:4,5 5:1	143:3 145:1 146:18	floor 159:16	176:2 183:16 185:8
5:10 11:3,14 12:14	146:24 147:13	florida 15:1,9 45:25	forum 17:7
13:18 14:19 18:15	149:9,25 152:6,12	flow 17:12 75:1	forums 77:8
20:15 21:19 22:20	152:21,25 153:5,11	focus 35:2 37:16	forward 14:16 29:7
23:9,12 24:7,14	153:14,19 154:7,12	41:5 59:25 69:17	29:13,22 30:3,12,15

[forward - goal] Page 15

20.17 21.24 22.9	frand 0.12	190.11 100.2	125.10 144.4
30:17 31:24 33:8	fund 9:12 fundamental 194:10	189:11 190:3	135:10 144:4
35:6 82:19 83:16		193:10,15,23	150:17 180:4,9
85:15 185:14 199:1	fundamentally	194:11 195:21,25	199:16 207:22
found 41:6 74:17	198:17	196:5,20 200:3	given 9:8 20:25 72:8
130:23	funding 9:11	201:8,20 203:7	77:23 78:5 103:23
four 10:25 46:21	funds 180:21	205:8,15,22	129:17 142:9 173:8
79:11 82:9 117:4,6	funny 28:18	gap 44:9	191:2
119:9 127:9 168:3	furious 13:14	garvin 2:16	gives 56:20 96:18
170:3 178:19	further 71:17	gasb 186:15,17,17	133:8 136:1 186:2
181:23,24 182:21	176:19	187:9	giving 95:17
183:22 184:7 186:1	g	gather 89:23	glasses 146:12,14
fourth 182:16	g 92:16	general 3:1 86:1,12	go 5:14 16:1,22 23:3
frame 7:15 17:23	gaap 186:6,13,15,17	120:2 124:14	24:14 29:4,19 32:16
142:20 143:1	186:20,25 187:9	125:12 127:10	35:11 39:10 46:12
144:21	gain 104:8 108:6	133:21,23 135:4	50:3 51:8,17 52:24
frames 7:1 137:11	game 60:14 72:24	137:15 157:16,19	52:25 53:22 54:18
141:19 142:20	79:17 99:16 126:7	173:15 181:14	57:1 60:14,17,24
143:13,18 145:10	games 25:13 34:13	182:19 201:9	62:20 63:22 71:17
146:11	139:25 159:16	generally 86:18	73:2 75:24 76:4
framework 50:22	171:8	186:24 187:13	80:12 81:16 84:18
free 20:8 43:10,17	gaming 1:1 2:5,22	190:24	91:1 93:11 100:23
51:22 157:9 201:24	15:23 19:4,17 41:6	generated 25:25	103:5,9 104:21
201:25	41:12 45:24 48:21	26:1,13 109:8	105:5 111:8 114:23
frequency 182:3	50:1 52:6 53:21,24	generating 192:17	115:2 116:7 118:1
193:8,12	54:3 57:20,22 58:1	generator 126:3	119:16 121:14
frequent 190:2	58:22 59:7,8,14,15	140:9 141:2	123:17 124:15
frequently 188:2	59:21 60:12 61:17	generic 59:12	127:15 130:1,21
friendly 201:13,14	62:4 72:20,21,23	gentleman 148:16	131:24 132:15
friends 207:17	73:17,19,21,23 75:1	gentlemen 206:21	140:3 141:25
front 20:19 22:25	76:4 77:6,9 79:1,3	geographically	143:11 147:13,23
28:19 35:2 160:7,9	80:6 84:25 90:19	166:17	149:23 150:16
160:12,13	92:3 103:4 110:19	getting 9:12 22:16	151:21 156:21
fruitful 4:23	114:18 125:7	33:11 35:1 39:21	158:11 160:23
fulfill 148:12	128:25 129:3,7,10	42:21,23 71:21	161:15 162:11
full 119:15	129:20 131:7,23	76:13 81:12 85:5	166:1,6 167:2 172:6
fully 161:21	135:6,15 139:2	99:18 111:17	175:22,25 176:19
function 42:17 77:8	147:15 148:9	124:10,13 143:5	177:17 180:19
77:10 128:14	149:20 158:16	157:2,5 168:10	182:10 183:7,18,20
190:23,25 194:4	164:25 165:13	172:10 175:25	184:18,19 185:24
195:1 201:25 202:3	166:10,12,12,14	gist 102:2 109:4	190:11 191:23
203:12	171:8 180:22 182:6	give 16:4 26:17 36:4	196:2 199:25
functions 69:14	186:23 187:19,21	37:25 40:10,24	206:18,18,21
72:25 90:15 125:15	187:22,24 188:1,2	64:13 68:5 78:5	207:16,17
180:12 201:21	188:19,21 189:2,9	83:9 85:2,2 94:3	goal 21:6,7 35:8
202:7	100.17,21 107.2,7	122:10,15 134:18	44:24 112:11

[goes - guys] Page 16

goes 60:3 66:19	166:7,8 167:11	36:3,9 38:9,22	51:2,4,6,12,13,15,18
83:10 94:21 101:22	168:6,18,24 172:25	40:22 42:14 47:5	51:24 52:2,11,14,18
102:7 103:20	174:19 175:3	48:6 58:22 59:16	53:5,7,8,10,13 54:5
105:11 116:6 118:4	176:21 177:2 180:9	62:4 63:9 64:16	54:9,13,17,23 55:1
150:13 207:14	181:17 183:3,17	67:20 69:10,19	55:3,15,25 56:3,7
going 5:12,24 6:12	184:2 191:17	78:25 79:2,4 80:6	56:10,12 60:1 63:4
6:20 7:2,3 9:4,9	195:22 197:4,7,16	81:10 83:5 85:1,14	63:19 72:17 74:16
10:5,18,24,24 11:16	198:4,22 199:1,3,14	87:19,19 114:18	84:5,6,9,14 85:2
11:23 12:6 13:25	203:6,8 204:10,11	121:4 123:4 125:7	86:23 87:5,9,10
14:15,16,22 15:1,1	204:19 208:1	125:23 127:21	88:4,5,13,14 90:9
17:2,15,21 19:7,20	golly 93:24	131:4 135:6,15	91:14,21,22 92:8,10
21:23 22:11 23:2	good 4:12 18:13	149:20 158:16,23	92:16 93:19,20 94:5
24:5 27:9 28:6	21:11,13 49:15 50:8	159:2 161:15,25	94:12 95:3 96:16
29:10 30:7 31:8,24	50:18 66:14,15 68:9	195:4,20,25,25	101:1 106:14
31:25 32:12 35:2,11	84:13 85:11,22 86:5	200:23	107:15,20 113:13
37:14 39:22 40:19	88:25 93:7 112:11	groups 7:12 8:1	113:17 115:12
40:24 41:22 43:6,15	113:9,10 118:8	15:24	117:23 118:10,16
43:25 44:15,17,20	120:19 132:14	guarantee 144:4	119:10,11 121:3,24
45:14,16,19,22,22	147:1 148:24	guard 8:18 9:5	124:1,5,9,11,16,24
46:2,10,22 47:9,13	149:13 152:11	10:20 13:21 16:10	125:3,8,24 126:4,6
50:3 53:23 54:1	158:6 168:21	guess 6:21 13:10	126:8,10,13,16
56:23 58:11 64:15	202:23,24 203:21	16:11 28:20 35:19	127:6,20 149:15,17
64:17 67:19 72:1	205:3,4 206:22	35:24 42:24 52:5	149:23 152:18
74:10 75:6,6,19,21	207:23,24 208:1,2	59:17 67:19 72:21	153:1 157:2,6
77:16 79:6,7,8,24	gotten 13:13 14:16	76:23 81:1,8 85:17	161:18 162:22,24
80:2,3 81:2,9 85:19	100:18 168:16	90:25 92:24 96:5	162:25 163:3 164:6
85:24,25 86:19	governance 151:15	100:22 101:1	164:8 165:4,10
87:13 89:13 90:3,4	government 92:5	102:13 106:6	167:4 168:1,4 170:2
94:13 95:5 101:6	186:14	109:10 110:8	170:8,18,25,25
103:1 104:1 105:17	grab 104:21	120:18 124:18	171:16,19 172:19
106:9,16,18,20,23	grainy 131:17	133:6 150:16	175:8,15,21 176:3
107:2 108:20 109:4	grant 70:12	160:25 161:1	176:24 178:3,6,25
109:17,20,24	granted 81:4 108:20	165:12,23 166:11	179:8,8 182:25,25
110:13,14 116:14	grapevine 42:18	166:15 181:18	186:22 187:5
116:18 119:22	great 42:15 78:15,22	188:5 191:23	190:13 193:6,8
121:13 122:13,19	82:11 88:18	192:10 194:9 198:6	194:6,14 196:9
124:15 126:21	greater 52:11 78:16	guessed 50:10	198:9 203:4,4 204:8
129:4 131:10	green 37:7 38:18,18	guessing 36:14	204:15,23
134:23 135:23	gross 187:19,22	80:16	guidelines 51:21
136:2,4 140:3 142:1	188:1	guidance 22:7 34:14	131:6
142:2,3 144:22	ground 59:22 86:9	46:24 47:2,8,14,18	gutted 48:23,24,25
147:24 148:4,13,19	group 9:18 15:17,23	47:18 48:8,18 49:2	48:25
149:16,21,22 150:2	17:1 18:12 19:5,15	49:10,12,12,16,21	guy 46:16
150:20 153:22	19:17 21:4 28:11	49:25 50:2,4,5,5,8,8	guys 4:21 76:7
157:23 159:1,4	31:21 32:1 34:11,19	50:10,11,15,18,21	82:12 106:24

[guys - iii] Page 17

111:21 112:6	happening 102:11	hey 100:20 202:8	human 9:25 87:21
123:20 127:1,3	104:3 116:14	high 180:18 182:3	101:17
147:25 150:17	162:16	highest 192:17	humongous 203:19
208:3	happens 16:19,22	highlighted 189:12	hurt 66:9 206:11
		-	
h	54:2 101:14 103:17	highly 41:12	hurts 101:7
h 94:15,19 96:7	104:20 105:4 108:7	highway 1:20	i
121:6	153:20	hire 6:10	idea 5:23 7:2 32:11
half 15:13 74:17	happy 36:7 52:12	historically 10:13	57:22 85:11 87:5
181:7	53:9 200:19	115:23	102:9 113:5 150:7
hamel 3:1 12:15	harbor 51:4 85:3	history 182:21	201:16 202:2
13:8 23:10,17 25:2	hard 4:22 11:10	186:2 200:10	ideal 149:2
62:21 63:7,13 64:2	13:6 43:12 61:1	hit 43:6	ideally 164:24
66:19,23 67:22 70:9	109:19 160:17	ho 2:17	ideas 17:8,10 50:18
73:3 88:3,13 91:14	203:20,23 206:14	hoenig 2:6 24:13,15	98:4
91:22 92:8,15,18	207:4	25:4 86:1 138:7	identified 57:10
93:17,23 94:2,5,8	harder 113:1	hold 38:4 52:21	123:11 127:5 132:3
94:12 96:14,24 99:2	hate 151:14	116:18 129:4 130:8	132:4 139:25 169:5
100:22 113:12,17	hauled 141:23	holding 129:5	173:16 191:6
113:21 114:3,5	he'll 22:12	holes 203:11	identifies 58:25
	head 24:10 129:14	holiday 22:6	145:12
118:8 128:12,18	147:21 174:7	homa 82:10 199:8	
142:5 152:18,23	heading 57:8 90:9	200:1,1 202:13	identify 39:24
153:1,7 154:17,21	91:9	home 9:22 100:9,13	133:17 140:11
155:1 180:11	headquarters 86:11	100:23 101:21,23	141:3,5 145:13
185:16 186:10	hear 10:11 18:1	102:7 104:19 117:1	163:18 168:9 173:2
188:10,16 189:14	49:11 53:5,9 64:16	165:22 166:23	identifying 47:3
189:20 190:8 193:6	78:14,18 94:24	hone 133:22	127:4
196:3,9,13,16	98:18 102:5 119:6	honor 82:7,12	igra 188:11,17
hand 35:17 55:23,23	175:10 185:5	hope 4:20 146:24	ii 41:6 57:5,6,7 58:1
120:8,10,21,23	heard 6:6 9:17	202:14 205:6,6	58:20 59:6,6,6,8,14
121:5,9,15,25 122:2	37:16 44:10 129:19	hoped 78:14	59:21 60:11,12
169:6,17 170:19,22	153:9 168:21	hopefully 8:6 86:15	61:17 65:1 72:20,21
170:23 172:6,8	193:20 202:21	hoping 8:3	72:23 73:5,8,19,22
179:2,5,11,13	hearing 32:7,8	host 12:5	74:6,13 75:2,3 76:4
handheld 134:17	42:18 62:12 83:15	hour 102:25 179:15	77:6,9 90:19 94:15
160:1,10			94:19 105:9 121:6
handicapped 78:20	heart 207:14 heels 18:22 19:8	179:21	153:25 154:23
handle 62:17 161:14		hours 45:1 99:24,25	155:1,6,10,24,25
hands 105:23	held 1:19	100:15 102:11	156:5 164:25
170:21	hello 200:1	104:18 105:1,3	175:13 189:25
handshakes 106:2	help 38:19 72:3	125:13 159:15	192:16 193:7
happen 20:1 45:8,10	145:22 147:25	housed 110:6	iii 75:3 153:25 154:3
69:25 106:3 165:2	helped 28:11	how's 42:15	154:24 155:3,7,13
happened 32:12	helpful 7:20 54:11	huge 13:12	156:2,6 169:12,17
135:17 185:14	93:6,7 122:20 157:4	huh 63:13	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
100.17 100.11	191:20		

[image - internal] Page 18

· 122.12.15	: 1 26.1	: J J 41	!l4l 105.2
image 132:12,15	inaugural 26:1	independently	inherently 195:2
imagine 13:3 159:17	inch 142:10	135:17 195:18	initial 50:12 117:8
immediately 96:11	incident 128:3 132:2	index 28:19,20,21	initially 31:25
impetus 84:12	incidents 159:13,14	indian 1:1 2:5 18:13	109:23 139:12
149:20	include 73:9 165:21	21:14 79:3 80:6	147:14 156:19
implement 45:8	166:15 170:4,6	200:3 206:3 207:1	innovation 203:16
48:15 51:8	176:17 177:15	indians 3:4,6,10	input 12:19 42:12
implemented 203:9	178:5,17	indicate 121:8	inquiries 190:3,6
implication 124:18	included 19:13,14	indicated 39:16	inquiry 109:4
implied 176:7,14,20	19:15,16 20:6 30:25	indicates 127:1	insert 40:21
implies 136:9	31:1 68:3 75:8	indicating 130:12	inside 136:4 166:12
implying 104:7	77:11 89:19 165:8	154:8 161:8 172:24	166:14
important 10:1 18:2	165:10 182:20	indications 182:4	install 103:22
26:15 33:24 47:16	187:25,25 191:24	individual 10:6	148:10 150:16
49:5,9 51:23 55:6	includes 177:20	34:17 67:12 69:13	installed 160:22
56:4,5,19 68:13	178:9 189:18	70:1 118:24 133:7,7	instance 95:5 99:22
69:24 72:21,25	including 33:10	191:6	101:20 128:2
76:14 86:5 110:1	67:2 68:7 72:8 73:5	individually 67:16	140:25 189:10
115:5,10,10,21	77:10 117:20	individuals 18:1	instances 66:12
125:21 127:3 137:5	119:22 131:4 133:1	71:25 103:13	institutional 60:5
137:12 144:17	154:1 162:6 168:8	193:25	instrument 189:8
202:21	170:15	indulging 32:16	instruments 62:17
impossible 46:9	inclusion 21:6	industry 6:20 43:20	65:2 66:24
75:16,17	190:23	44:3,18 46:21 48:6	integrity 115:18
impression 115:7	incoming 100:6	48:8,9 51:10 107:1	intelligent 81:8
inadequate 182:5	incorporated 78:16	116:10 125:1 131:7	intended 134:15
inadvertently 92:23	141:20 186:18	142:18 205:21	172:18
152:19	incorporation 187:7	206:25 207:11	intent 94:17 141:16
inappropriate	increases 150:5	infinitum 165:20	154:17 155:1
198:11	independence 62:15	informal 28:11 38:9	173:16 182:6,22
inaudible 38:24	67:11,12 68:3,7	information 2:7	intention 84:25 96:2
41:3,12,12 43:7	69:7,16,18 71:4,16	26:7 41:2,7 42:19	intentions 83:21
52:12 54:19 73:5,23	71:19,24 72:6 88:8	42:23 43:22 44:5	93:1
74:1 80:13 87:25	90:17,22 91:8 96:18	50:7 57:8,13,13,16	interest 69:14
93:12 97:10 98:14	193:24 194:2,12	58:12 61:3,6 73:12	interested 79:15
107:17 119:24	197:23 198:14,16	78:6 83:9 87:15	143:9
122:25 128:2,8	199:11 201:17	89:23 99:6,8,11	interests 205:5
137:16 150:18	202:2,3,6,7 206:23	105:16 110:6 112:4	interfering 92:5
154:11 162:5	206:24 207:2	131:14 147:5	interior 2:2
176:16 177:19	independent 66:5	150:10 188:12	interior 2.2
185:4 186:16	69:2 70:13 98:16	199:23	198:19
189:16 192:8,11	99:17 194:3,8,12	informative 87:2	interjecting 95:9
193:12 196:6	195:3,5,15,16,24	informed 150:10	internal 6:7 53:15
198:24 200:2	195.5,5,15,16,24	inherent 108:1	54:1 58:18 60:20
170.44 400.4		100.1	
	198:1,10,18 199:12		78:21 87:15 105:19

[internal - know] Page 19

106:25 111:10	124:16 126:20	90:7 98:14 156:14	199:3
180:14 181:1	142:20 146:3	159:3,4 160:23,25	kept 14:13 190:14
182:11 190:18	155:12 187:15	168:12 174:5,6,18	206:7
191:2 192:25 193:7	188:2 189:12	175:2,17	kevin 205:21 206:7
193:9,11,21,23,25	190:23 200:17	join 22:11	key 43:14 66:7
194:3,8,9,15,21,25	201:3	joining 22:13 42:23	74:12 76:5 110:6
195:7,12,20 196:10	issues 4:22 9:16	jones 1:23	149:6 166:20 202:1
196:18,19,21,23	10:6 19:7 32:20	judged 142:12	keys 104:22
197:5,10,13,16,17	72:13 79:12 86:8	july 23:18,19	kick 43:6 100:13
199:12 201:19,20	96:8 116:15 126:1	jurisdiction 58:15	kicked 100:20
201:21,23,25 203:8	132:15 201:9	60:12 111:21 166:9	104:24
206:15	item 25:18 130:22	jurisdictional 61:23	kind 5:6 9:13 24:20
internally 99:12	186:23	jurisdictions 206:2	29:6,7,8 34:15
112:10	items 5:23 10:22	k	37:19 40:5 46:10
internet 50:7	35:1 76:16,17 132:4	k 153:2,16 189:25	55:24 64:5,25 68:11
interpret 95:15	147:25 156:25	kathi 3:1 12:14,15	70:23 71:1 79:12,13
136:20 156:7	iteration 200:16	13:8 22:8 23:9,10	81:7 84:21 85:7,17
interpretation	iterations 202:2	23:17 25:2 38:10	102:1 104:2 118:10
155:24 197:9	itl 97:21 98:15	40:25 62:20,21 63:7	125:20 137:21
interpretational	iv 92:16	63:13 64:2 66:19,23	140:18 143:2
126:1	j	67:21,22 70:9 73:2	147:19 153:19
interpreted 94:15	j 94:1,2 106:14	73:3 77:2 88:2,3,13	157:11,13,25 158:7
95:23 136:15,22	113:17	88:19 91:13,14,22	162:18 167:2,25
intertwined 74:9	january 1:15 4:1	92:7,8,15,18 93:17	168:3 171:9 174:6
188:25	15:2	93:23 94:2,5,8,12	174:25 186:7
intervals 95:16	jason 2:20 142:4,15	95:13 96:13,14,24	200:13,20 202:21
intervention 47:25	142:16 169:9,20	99:1,2 100:22	205:5
101:17	jeff 2:22 22:6 64:24	113:11,12,17,21	kinds 76:11 102:10
introduce 42:4	65:8,12,17,21 66:11	114:3,5 118:8	115:17,19 135:8
introduction 67:1	66:16 149:10 150:1	128:11,12,18 142:4	knew 13:25 14:1
introductory 169:1	150:2 153:11,13,21	142:5,16 143:9	17:21 202:19
investigation 148:15	153:23 154:9,20,23	152:17,18,23 153:1	know 4:21 5:5,10,10
invite 33:21	155:2,12 156:11,12	153:7,15 154:17,21	6:5 7:6 8:1,12 9:19
invited 43:4	159:8,21,24 175:16	155:1 167:4 180:9	9:22 10:11 11:6,11
involve 30:19	175:17	180:11 181:19	13:1,2,9,12,20,22
involved 8:12 31:14	jeff's 64:23	185:16 186:10	14:17 16:1,11,19
41:18,18 42:6,12	jersey 205:23	188:8,10,16 189:13	17:13,20,22 18:5,11
104:1 129:9	jewelry 132:4	189:14,20 190:8	19:4,11 20:8 21:8
involvement 65:2	job 1:24 4:22 91:15	193:6 196:2,3,9,13	22:15 23:10,21,24
irrespective 144:15	91:17 125:10 205:9	196:16	24:22 27:9 30:11
isaca 46:19,20 48:12	207:24 208:1,2	keep 10:17 25:25	31:7 33:1 35:11,21
48:14,17 51:9,21	joe 147:1	51:23 52:24 53:24	36:19 38:13 41:16
issue 44:11 61:23	john 3:5 13:18,19	76:7 81:5 94:13	46:23 47:9,11,24
88:16 111:16	38:2 88:21 89:3,17	118:21 124:12	48:10,12,13 50:9
112:21 115:14	30.2 00.21 07.3,17	110,21 127,12	51:8,20 52:16,17

[know - location] Page 20

55:12,14 56:6 57:18	knowing 59:23 81:8	left 7:14 11:7 56:16	lines 90:13 145:7
57:19,20 59:22 60:9	knowledge 17:18	83:25 104:24 143:2	list 19:14 25:18 26:1
61:3,4,22 62:10	60:5	179:15 185:23	26:8,13 28:17 44:15
68:20 69:1,4 71:5	knows 57:15	202:17	45:19 112:18
77:18 79:11 80:2,8	1	legal 53:12	175:24,24 176:23
80:24 82:18 85:7,8	1 193:7 196:10	legible 149:2	177:11,12
86:8,11 89:15 90:3	lab 80:7 98:16	length 47:11 138:19	listed 152:9 162:5
91:20,21 93:7 97:10	lack 50:24 131:3,22	lengthy 123:20,22	listening 13:7 200:5
98:17 101:14,15	lacking 49:13 60:4	lenses 147:3	literally 45:21
103:13 105:9,19,25	132:6	leo 9:23 22:10	165:15
106:6,14,25 108:9	lacs 2:11	lesson 205:18,25	little 2:6 4:9,11,25
110:22 111:10,13	laden 84:24	letter 9:8,13 13:21	5:3,7,17,20 9:15
112:5,13,18 113:14	lake 2:21	13:23 16:10 97:22	11:16 12:12,25
115:13,16 116:17		97:22	14:14,17 15:7 18:25
120:15 123:7	land 44:1	letters 14:18 98:7,7	19:4,11,22 20:3,14
124:20 125:11,12	language 25:9,9,10 63:18 66:19 77:3	letting 205:11	20:17,22 23:16 24:3
125:13 126:17	88:23 95:15 129:21	level 34:22 42:11	28:19 32:7 38:9,15
127:3 128:23		47:20,21 68:25 69:6	40:1,14 42:2,4
132:12 136:6	152:19 153:3	69:10,17 70:1,2	43:21 46:13 50:13
137:18 138:11	laptop 166:23	76:9,10,10,24 85:17	54:11 65:20 71:10
139:17 141:17,18	laptops 100:9	108:2 109:2,6 111:9	75:11 76:22 80:24
142:10 143:19,20	large 105:24	111:13 131:11	81:23 82:15 83:6,16
144:12 145:1,1,3	lash 2:24 11:15	132:1,24 133:2,16	85:22 86:16 88:16
146:8 147:4,4,8,20	18:21 19:3,8,18,24 20:10,18 21:10 28:5	133:20 134:18	88:25 89:4,13,20,22
148:7 150:7 151:7	29:12 30:4 84:19	135:1,2,19,22	91:20 93:5,14 94:1
151:20 152:23	122:17	136:21 140:20	97:15 98:8,9 105:18
155:4 156:22,23	latest 24:8	144:8,9,12,23,24	107:19 113:1,23
157:24 158:1,18	launch 40:10	180:18 203:9	123:1,20 124:22
159:6,10,11,14	launched 5:2	levels 67:14	126:21 135:6 139:6
161:16 162:8	law 48:10	license 132:5,18	139:20 145:3
163:20 164:22	lawyer 205:24	133:3	152:11 154:14
166:17 171:1,23	lay 4:7	life 100:25	157:1 158:12 161:3
173:5 174:1 175:5	laymen's 131:8	light 38:18,20 52:24	168:15,22 171:7
177:1 181:4,5,19	learned 205:18,25	light's 43:20	179:21 183:5,12
185:18 187:11	leave 9:24 56:18	lighting 132:21	186:11 200:10
188:13,24 189:17	80:21,22 102:6	147:8,11,11 148:17	205:24
190:9,13 191:14	104:20,22	limit 21:6	live 171:8
194:14,20,21	leaves 157:25	limited 7:10 15:14	liz 82:10
197:17 199:17	leaving 109:11	58:15 68:3,7 72:8	loaded 100:16
200:6,8,12 201:2,12	158:8	111:21 176:17	local 76:24
201:16,19 202:15	lectures 202:20	line 54:4 68:20 75:3	locate 83:4
203:11,14,25 204:3	ledger 181:14	75:14 106:22	located 118:2
204:12,13,17 207:1	ledgers 187:1	112:18 135:11,12	128:23
207:25	10/.1	160:9,12,13 174:3	location 163:13
		174:10	186:11

[locations - mcghee] Page 21

locations 60:2	looks 43:13 46:11	174:6,18 175:2	marriage 205:5
lock 101:20 113:3	48:23 180:9	mail 7:9 10:8,12	matches 6:19
lockbox 118:6	loose 12:10	100:19 101:25	material 11:18
locked 100:5	lose 17:15 108:24,24	main 19:9 24:19	13:11 16:11
log 165:19	158:12,24 203:25	25:14 158:14	materials 14:2
logical 56:16,18	loses 95:5	maintain 48:17	17:24
73:4 203:13	loss 126:3	160:19 189:25	matrix 163:16 164:4
logistical 4:6	lost 116:12	194:1	172:14 173:2,22,23
long 55:4 64:4 90:17	lot 5:4 6:3,4,5,7,11	maintained 94:20	187:20
103:16 104:1 149:1	6:13,20 7:11 9:19	109:7 143:21	matt 18:22 40:24
150:11 151:17	10:17,24 12:20 14:3	maintenance 190:5	46:12,17 54:14
156:12	14:3 16:17 19:11,12	major 6:16,21 8:14	61:11 67:8,22 68:15
longer 32:10 86:7	41:16 50:17 54:21	11:1	75:21,24 95:12,13
97:6 109:20	86:2,7 106:7 111:15	majority 103:1	105:5 156:14
look 17:2 23:25	123:11,13 126:16	123:24	matt's 68:1
26:15 48:22 49:6	127:2 132:20,23	makeup 134:20	matter 45:24 46:1
55:7 59:12 61:17,19	133:1 136:18	making 20:10 56:19	50:9 56:5 58:25
65:21 73:15 76:15	138:12 150:9	63:8 81:11 90:2	92:3 112:25 145:13
80:12 81:20 97:25	151:25 157:21	188:6,7	148:13
115:1,2 121:2	158:3,9 159:11,12	manage 102:22	matters 56:13
125:20 129:17	159:12,13 166:18	managed 101:8,11	111:22,23
130:1,3 133:14	166:22 180:19	107:23	matthew 2:12 16:8
137:25 138:19	182:4 187:4,24	management 57:25	27:24 38:6 56:22,23
140:5 143:12 149:5	190:5 203:22 204:1	59:13 61:18 90:18	58:13,14 61:15
163:16 164:22	lots 156:18,22 181:4	194:13,21 195:1,5	63:23 67:9 68:19
176:7,8 186:11,20	love 52:10 207:20	195:15,17,22 196:1	69:9 70:10 75:25
187:11 204:17	luiseno 3:6	197:25 198:11,12	95:14,22 105:6
207:4	lumping 167:21	management's	111:6,8 114:8,9,14
looked 17:20 49:11	lunch 27:7 39:19	198:2	114:17 156:15
54:25 123:12 124:6	75:23 77:16 83:9,17	manager 3:1	157:11
124:19 125:9	83:18,23 87:12	mandated 77:10	mcghee 3:3 11:4
128:16 139:9	lytton 3:2	manner 176:9	13:5 29:25 30:21
180:12,24 206:25	m	manpower 159:19	31:5,18 39:5 40:17
looking 6:18,21		manual 47:24	41:1,24 42:9 50:15
10:23 53:18,22	m 113:13,17,21	manually 94:20	54:19,22 60:25 63:4
55:11 61:16 64:12	117:15,15,17 118:4	101:8	63:20 64:5 66:1,9
68:22,24 69:5,14,25	118:5,5,7 machine 105:25	manuel 78:11	66:21 68:2 70:3,15
76:9,11 106:13		manufacturer 92:1	70:20 71:14,23 73:6
128:25 143:13,14	135:8,18,20,24 136:5	92:20 100:4 103:25	80:11 95:24 96:4
143:15 144:16	machines 135:16	map 75:1	97:2 112:21 113:22
145:23 148:3	magee 3:5 13:19	march 16:6 34:7	113:24 117:15
160:14 174:18	38:2 88:21 89:3,17	marked 28:3,3	118:22 119:5
183:5 198:8,15	90:7 98:14 159:4	market 148:5	122:24 129:6 137:4
199:23	160:25 168:12	marks 157:21	140:24 141:9
	100.23 100.12		146:20 154:16

[mcghee - mine] Page 22

			T
155:10,15 162:14	measured 136:24	69:1 78:2,24 80:25	165:17 166:14
164:22 167:11	mechanical 77:8	82:10 191:14	michele 2:18 22:19
176:5 177:9,17	mechanism 6:16	mentioned 5:11	81:16,17,18,24
178:19 184:5,11,22	108:5	30:11	179:5
185:1,12 191:18	medium 17:10	merely 202:3	michigan 17:13
192:2,18 194:20	108:20	meshes 11:21	microphone 32:18
195:6	meet 7:25 33:16	mess 174:16	44:1
mean 7:23 8:10,11	51:10 55:18,21,25	message 9:13 22:10	microsoft 103:19
10:1,4,25 11:17,23	56:1,20 84:21	23:8 104:24	mics 4:22 21:25
15:8,23 17:7 19:6	131:11 132:1,16	messages 10:15	22:23 28:2,17 41:7
20:3,7,11 22:20	133:11 134:11	met 19:9 30:8 88:7	42:25 57:4 74:6
23:1 24:19 29:12	136:10,20 140:1,22	91:11 141:17	84:23 181:21
31:10,11,18 37:17	144:5 151:9 163:20	method 106:15,16	186:18 191:1,1,6
44:17,25 48:13 50:5	164:2,19 173:14	106:19,21	200:9,14,18,18,21
53:5 54:9 55:25	198:13 204:25	mexico 52:16	200:24 201:6,11,17
56:6 60:10 61:5	meeting 1:2 4:6,13	mia 3:7 29:3,18,25	202:1,2 205:17
62:8 65:14,24 66:3	4:21,24 5:6,21 8:1	30:1,18,20 36:25	mid 36:10
68:9 70:4,20 71:21	8:10,21 10:21 11:6	38:11,24 39:4 70:23	middle 90:11,21
74:17 75:5,8 76:13	11:10,19 12:8,9,13	71:1 93:12,13,15	91:24 94:14 98:20
78:19 91:3 93:6	12:13 13:22 15:5	120:12,16 121:14	107:8,21
95:15,25 97:10	16:1 17:19 19:1,2	121:15 139:3 147:1	midnight 105:10
101:1 102:9,13	19:19,20,21,23,25	163:5,9,16 170:24	midst 6:6
103:10,12,18	20:11 21:17,18,22	171:6 172:12,16,20	mike 24:14 25:20
109:12 112:4,8,17	22:5,14,22 25:18,23	177:1,23 178:2	42:1 43:9,23 52:20
116:16 134:9	26:1,4 27:20,21	197:7,9	62:25 63:14 67:3,7
142:24 154:7 158:3	28:12,16,23 33:14	miami 2:25	70:25 86:1,6 88:19
159:25 172:15	33:20,23,24 34:3	michael 2:6,7 7:18	88:20 89:15 93:13
187:8 191:15	35:7,11,12,19,22	24:13,15 25:4 42:2	98:19 107:18 113:7
194:17 196:24	38:7 39:23 40:5,23	42:7,8,11 43:25	123:18 127:15
197:6 201:13,24	53:18 54:25 55:4	46:20 47:1 49:15	130:21 137:2 138:7
202:5,22 204:17	60:6 61:7 85:11	52:4,9 53:19 54:7	147:13 194:18
meaning 56:9,15	192:8 200:22	56:25 58:10 62:14	mikes 25:22 32:5
63:12 89:3,4 97:12	208:15	63:2 64:3,19 65:6	52:23 53:1 98:18
141:10 192:10	meetings 5:25 7:8	65:10,13,23 66:7	mille 2:11
means 5:19 7:24	7:11,11,25 8:13	68:23 70:17 72:4	millions 44:17
33:22 36:19 44:14	10:3 12:6,11,19,23	74:2 87:12 88:9,11	mimics 104:6
55:23 66:14 73:7	13:16 15:19,22 19:7	88:18 90:11 91:5,24	mind 10:17 17:5
83:16 95:25 96:1	19:13,17 33:11,25	94:14 95:2 96:5	25:25 51:23 53:24
97:5 109:16,17	79:19 84:20 86:2	97:18 98:5,13,20	76:7 111:13 126:19
115:10 141:4	200:6 202:16	99:20 101:15	127:1 144:4 146:17
143:17 146:1,9	meets 53:10 134:9	102:18 104:10	158:2 198:11
157:9 202:3	member 7:17 90:1	107:8 108:11	minds 5:11 203:24
meant 10:20 21:5	157:20 200:1	109:16 110:3 113:9	mine 74:3 138:25
97:9 98:10 125:23	members 9:21 19:16	116:23 127:16,18	139:18
192:11	26:10 35:5 67:4	130:22 147:14	

[minimal - nigc] Page 23

minimal 15:15	monitored 148:22	multiplexing 142:22	143:15,16,21,24
111:10 142:18	monitoring 102:23		143.13,10,21,24
minimize 46:8	monitors 134:10	n	144:0,9,10,12,10,22
minimize 40.8 minimizing 74:22	160:7	name 4:5 41:5 57:7	145:24 146:14,15
minimum 47:11	month 15:4 193:18	57:23 62:5 84:11	147:5,16,18,22,23
51:13 58:17 78:21	months 8:6 12:17	205:14	148:2,3,10,18,22
80:3,18 87:14 94:24	14:1 17:23	names 18:3 110:5	150:17 151:4,9,10
96:3 112:12 113:2	monumental 116:8	narrow 72:24	156:20 157:6
127:19 131:6 139:1	morgan 2:12 16:8	narrowed 61:24	150.20 137.0
140:20 141:24	27:24 38:6 56:23	nation 2:13,17 3:8	193:17 194:18
145:12,16 148:6,22	58:14 61:15 63:23	201:22	195:5 199:10 202:9
143:12,16 148:6,22	67:9 68:19 69:9	national 1:1 2:5	206:22
1		200:3	
minute 86:9 122:9 179:23	70:10 75:25 95:14	nature 57:17 69:3	needed 12:9 22:23
	95:22 105:6 111:8	74:20 104:13	58:22 99:9 100:7
minutes 31:3 32:1	114:9,14,17 156:15	116:12 117:10	114:24 130:14
39:14,15 49:19	157:11	132:5 148:11 192:7	142:8
71:11 77:24 78:12	morning 4:12 22:21	nay 141:17	needing 28:8 155:2
179:20 184:13,15	22:22 27:11,16,19	necessarily 7:7	needs 6:19 13:16
199:4,18 202:8	88:7 105:12 157:12	20:22 54:8 73:25	32:2 44:8 62:9
miscounting 121:17	184:17 199:13	76:13,18,19 82:20	67:23 70:13 73:9
misplaced 114:11	motion 29:3 202:11	96:8 97:4,12 157:11	96:20 109:1,2 116:1
missed 25:8 156:16	mountains 29:15	159:22 161:17	116:21 142:13
202:20	mouthful 59:13	162:10	144:2 145:23
missing 56:12,14	move 6:8 7:3 11:1	necessary 12:11	148:10 161:17
70:20 120:12	17:12,16 21:20,23	14:14 60:17 72:23	165:8 171:1 188:13
123:23 202:25	29:13 30:12,15,16	73:18 123:6 131:21	190:8,13
mistake 66:21 204:7	31:22,24 33:8 35:10	133:21 135:20	negate 65:23
mitigate 48:20	39:9 41:21,24 43:25	168:9 192:15	negative 117:9,12
51:15 58:23	47:17 50:19 54:12	necessity 34:9	network 100:1
mitigating 46:6 53:8	54:16 63:18 72:17	need 5:12 7:4 8:13	102:4,19,23 104:14
mixed 9:13	84:6,14 87:4,8	12:7 14:10 15:11	105:3
mode 37:19	89:13 101:25	21:21 27:11 30:14	never 19:15 30:13
model 48:11	104:16 107:5 122:6	30:18 32:23 34:3	60:15,15 136:19,24
moderator 2:3	122:13 130:7,15,19	36:19,20 38:17	153:9
modernize 112:7	168:12 198:23	39:17 44:9,25 47:4	new 6:9,9,10 7:16
modification 34:25	moved 16:18,20	48:20 54:20 59:1,18	7:17,17 41:5 45:25
modified 16:21	29:7 47:7 49:1	61:16,21 71:10	52:16 60:14 61:3
modify 36:13	50:17 84:4 191:11	72:18 76:18,20 84:9	122:20 131:25
moment 4:6 26:22	moving 6:11 29:7	88:21 89:11 95:8	153:21 177:15
30:5 67:6 78:18	30:2 82:19 97:18	98:2 107:2 114:23	205:23
171:4 174:23	98:13	118:1 122:15	news 6:6
money 45:5 112:14	muddies 146:16	123:23 127:7	nice 17:8,9
112:17 137:8	multiple 27:17	129:17 134:18	nigc 9:9 11:9 12:4
monitor 101:24	95:21	135:1,2,22 141:25	12:16,19 13:15,21
140:8 165:6		155.1,2,22 171.25	13:22 14:18 19:3

[nigc - once] Page 24

22.12.21.20.0.15.20	77 75 15	115	00.14.02.7.17.05.17
23:13,24 30:8,16,20	normally 55:17	obligation 14:7	80:14 83:7,15 86:17
30:25 31:9 32:24	note 38:14,20	observation 124:14	86:21 87:8 88:11,18
33:6,7,10,19 34:10	164:18 180:7	124:21 126:15	89:2 90:7,8 91:13
34:12,19 35:3,18,25	noted 165:8	135:4	93:10 94:13 96:7
37:6,9 38:21,21	notes 37:6,10 38:21	observed 203:1	97:18 98:13,17,19
39:2,25 41:21 44:23	39:2 158:4 163:19	obstructing 147:7	98:20 103:25
53:10 54:2 55:1,10	notice 17:22 122:7	obviously 32:10	106:24 107:5 111:5
55:12,16,24 56:20	noticed 122:25	50:2 86:11 100:15	113:7,11 114:6,14
58:15 59:19 60:3	200:16	102:21 108:13,15	115:3 119:12 120:6
81:19 84:21 85:5,10	november 27:20	148:20 160:3	120:9,17,22,24
87:10,18 90:10,12	28:12,13 36:9 37:1	174:20 176:20	121:7,11,16,23
91:25 93:25 112:3	37:2 38:6 40:22	occur 102:24 103:2	122:3,3,5 123:14
118:15 119:2	number 9:18 20:5	103:3	127:18 128:19
123:15 124:8 145:5	74:18 79:10 82:2	occurred 135:13	129:15,25 130:7,11
147:25 149:12,19	88:22 89:18 119:23	october 26:4,9	130:18 131:10
149:22 150:14	120:5 124:25	odd 9:12	137:2 142:4 146:14
152:2,8,16 170:5,16	130:24 140:9 141:1	odds 80:1,3,7,18	146:18 147:16,22
175:22 180:8 181:5	141:5 151:4 153:25	offense 117:9	148:10,25 152:6,12
181:17 200:22	154:13 163:4	offers 205:4	153:11,19 156:14
202:15,23 205:5	167:10,23,23,23,24	office 13:1,9 76:2	158:7 161:5,23
208:4	168:25 169:5,11	85:5,19 86:1,12	164:21 165:11
nigc's 37:17 40:11	170:3,3,19 171:4,4	110:19 125:12	166:3 167:1,7,17
126:19 168:13	171:5,12,13 176:18	officers 196:22	168:23 169:7,18
night 109:19	176:23 177:6,9,10	offices 75:12 85:5	170:13,20 171:3,24
nighttime 132:21	177:15,21 178:8	166:17	172:6,9 174:5,18
nimish 42:11 86:3	185:19 188:13	offs 189:16	175:22 176:4,6
87:25 92:1 98:9	189:22 190:18	offsite 107:12	177:23 178:8,13,24
nine 91:23 93:24	196:19	108:10 109:12	179:6,6,14 181:16
107:14,14,20 206:2	numbering 185:18	oh 84:18 93:24	185:22 188:14
206:19	numbers 110:4,5	94:10	189:3,6,17 190:16
nj366197 1:24	116:13 120:6	okay 5:1 18:15,18	190:17,20 192:13
nodding 24:10	140:12 141:3 154:1	21:19,23 22:18	193:19,21 194:24
nomenclature 64:20	numerical 130:24	25:16,21,23 28:10	195:19 196:14
non 99:5	0	28:25 29:1 30:22	197:1,7 198:3 199:2
nonessential 92:19	o'clock 99:23	35:16 36:2,16 37:24	199:9,16 202:9
nonexistent 123:23	101:10,20 105:1,12	37:25 39:9 40:2,4,8	205:13 208:10
nonpublic 20:4	o'toole 205:21	40:16,23 41:20,25	oklahoma 2:25
noon 83:16,17	obama 9:10	42:16 43:7 44:1	17:14 200:2
norm 78:10,10 79:3	objective 44:8 53:18	46:11 55:20 56:24	old 74:16 141:19
79:10,23 80:14,23	61:8 91:1 124:25	56:25 63:17 64:22	onboard 111:20
82:6,11,14	objectives 45:20	66:18 67:25 68:15	143:5
norm's 82:3	46:7 47:3 48:3 49:1	68:17 69:4 70:18	once 17:16 31:11,12
normal 102:11	objiwe 2:11	71:6 72:5,16 74:4	52:15 74:22 82:25
146:7	00J1WC 2.11	74:23 75:10,14,19	109:11 180:6
		77:14 79:23,25	193:17,20

[oneida - part] Page 25

oneida 205:23	operators 60:19	originally 25:8	64:11 65:20,22
ones 22:19 24:5	opine 152:2	182:1,17	87:11,14 90:9,11,12
44:18 46:21 53:25	opinion 45:11 57:12	outcome 98:21	91:23,24 92:17
73:25 126:21 157:6	61:14 153:8 183:2	102:3	93:12,24 94:14
195:22	191:21,22	outdated 131:18	97:19 98:20 107:14
online 82:3,4,14,20	opinions 175:10	204:15	107:20,21 113:18
82:22,23	187:12	outline 30:14	118:2 127:18
onsite 109:12	opportunity 4:14	outlined 48:18	130:23 138:4,6,19
open 18:23 21:25	16:5 42:16 46:24	195:4,7,12	139:23 164:7,9,10
62:11 100:1,14,21	77:21 78:1,5 84:2	outlining 195:4	181:25 182:8,10,10
101:19 102:6,19	118:23 168:20	outside 27:3,4 74:5	182:11,11,16
121:22 161:14	199:19	76:16 106:3 136:23	183:17 184:22
opened 139:7	opposed 9:1 17:13	151:22 165:25	185:9,10,12,17,18
opening 102:22	42:24 58:11 61:5,7	166:1,10,19 193:14	185:19,19,19,24,24
operate 60:11	108:12 119:17,18	197:15	185:25 186:3,22
106:11 207:10	175:7	outweighs 134:22	189:24 190:19,20
operates 200:18	option 17:4	overall 63:9 182:20	192:13 193:7
operating 31:20	ordeal 175:5	183:21 185:25	196:10
78:20	order 9:16 36:14	191:3	pages 1:25 64:4
operation 41:12	39:18 44:6 78:5	overarching 69:20	127:9 138:18,20,21
45:24 50:1 52:6	84:1 98:18 116:2	overburdensome	138:23 139:23
53:21 59:21 75:2,2	132:22 145:17	48:15	182:18 185:20
103:1,4 105:9 106:8	151:9	overhead 130:12	pala 1:3,19,21 4:1
106:17 129:1	ordinance 54:10	141:13 154:8 161:8	4:20
131:23 148:9	ordinary 10:13	172:24	paper 26:9 117:16
166:16 186:23	org 91:17	overkill 154:5	118:6 190:10,11
192:16 193:10	organization 15:16	overread 206:24	papers 202:5
194:1,9,16 196:5,20	51:19 53:14 166:24	207:2,15	paragraph 57:3
196:22,24 197:6,14	200:16	overreading 206:7	94:3 130:25 131:2
197:16	organizational 69:6	oversight 62:18,24	177:14,22
operational 60:22	91:15	65:5 66:13 93:18	paragraphs 49:19
162:16 180:24	organizationally	overtime 100:24	169:1
181:6 195:1 198:7	201:2,4	overview 9:3 40:5	parameter 148:1
operations 13:8	organizations 48:13	40:10,24 122:16	parameters 69:11
57:21,22 98:21	51:19 151:25	133:13,21,23	87:23
102:9 104:17	165:24	137:15 160:2 180:5	paranoid 206:5
105:21 129:2,8,12	organize 201:25	180:10	parcel 51:2
139:2 147:15	organized 29:9	p	parenthesis 197:4
180:14 182:6	39:21 199:17	p.m. 4:2 122:5	197:13
187:21,24 188:2,21	200:18 201:5	208:15	parking 132:20,23
190:4 193:24	orient 64:13	packets 105:22	133:1 136:18
194:11	oriented 64:17	page 16:18 29:9	138:12 166:18,22
operator 110:25	original 24:17 42:7	30:3,5 40:3 43:12	parohit 86:3
151:8 160:6	173:5 182:9,9	43:13,19 57:2 64:1	part 8:3,15,18 14:17
	192:18		18:2 22:1 23:4 31:9

[part - player] Page 26

31:18 33:23 35:7	noth 17.4	nonformance 104.2	physics 202:19
36:1 37:8 38:21	path 17:4	performance 194:3 performed 106:15	pick 14:9 75:22
	patience 32:10	193:9	-
39:2 51:2,6,7 60:25	patron 136:1,3		83:19,25 87:13
61:3 68:5 73:1,11	pause 64:22 75:21	performing 103:21	101:16 184:16
74:23,24 76:15,24	77:14	193:25 194:2	199:11 208:13
77:10 78:21 79:3,11	pausing 40:20	195:22	picked 52:17,18
79:18 84:3 98:24	pawn 132:1	period 10:19 15:12	picking 112:25
103:24 105:14,24	pay 135:11,12 136:4	39:13 58:6 71:16	172:9
119:24 128:9,24	paying 85:12 135:12	102:13 176:18	picks 95:6
129:3,10 139:11,12	135:12	periods 190:3	picture 124:6
139:22 141:11	pdf 183:5 185:21	permission 92:1,2,9	piece 26:9 113:13
142:17 148:15	190:19	92:12	115:9 151:18
151:14 161:17,18	pechanga 3:6 175:3	permits 90:14	190:10
163:10 166:20	pennsylvania	person 7:8,10,25	piecemeal 16:15
175:7,14,15 180:22	205:22	8:10,13 10:3,6	59:5
188:20 189:10	people 5:11 11:25	12:11 15:21 17:7,9	pieces 34:15 180:15
194:11,13 195:1,21	13:4 17:3 22:16	17:19 19:2 39:15	pigeonholes 74:4
197:18 198:3	26:7,11,16,24 27:2	77:18,23 101:9	pigeonholing 74:22
200:22 201:4	27:13 30:23 31:1	106:4 197:2 201:7	piles 22:3
participate 19:25	36:21 40:8,9 41:16	person's 49:20	pixel 133:11 134:3
33:21 85:10 200:19	41:17 47:11,25 58:4	personal 67:12	pixels 137:6,11
participated 17:17	60:18 70:14 76:9,11	personally 11:22	place 17:7 19:19
participating 33:20	84:9 85:12,19 95:10	23:20 115:7 146:3	20:5,11 27:4 45:24
particular 44:19	101:11 105:20	personnel 57:11	46:7 47:4 48:2,20
46:2 84:9 104:10,13	111:6,16 115:13	62:17 65:4 66:4	49:3,8 51:14 54:4
129:24 133:16,23	120:1 121:21	67:24 70:11 120:13	55:13,17 56:6,17
138:8 151:10,11	122:10 123:4 132:3	120:20 193:22	60:20 71:20 84:24
152:4 153:13	134:8 146:18	persons 205:19	98:22 102:23 104:7
158:10 160:9	150:10 152:1 172:2	perspective 44:24	106:3 107:1 112:1
197:11 202:15	174:16 177:4	58:22 69:19 86:9	117:25 129:2,23
particularly 57:21	182:14 201:22	198:6 204:6	131:15 132:2,3
75:2 79:15	202:17 203:23	pertain 44:21	174:24 180:13
parties 129:9	204:5 205:20	164:16	placed 98:25 132:7
parts 25:8 73:18	207:18	pertained 79:11	placeholder 130:15
79:14 139:5 180:16	people's 5:11 116:12	philosophical 47:7	places 39:24 140:14
182:23	175:10	phoenix 14:14 15:3	181:21
party 165:22	perceive 60:4	15:6 33:17	plan 4:7
pascua 2:15	percent 46:1	phone 85:10 110:5	planned 14:1
pass 27:14 33:4	perfect 42:16 149:3	physical 55:7 56:16	planning 8:22
passionate 79:12	150:3	73:3 117:19 163:25	plate 132:5,18 133:3
password 47:10	perfectly 48:7	185:19,20	play 53:13 77:9
94:15 95:6,7	126:13 148:16	physically 55:14	playback 149:1,2
passwords 104:11	perform 90:15	85:13 102:16	player 76:3 107:11
165:19	99:13 195:6,12,14	112:23	108:17 111:15
	195:17 197:19		135:9 162:6 180:20
	195:17 197:19		133:9 102:0 180:20

[please - processes] Page 27

please 5:15 25:22	port's 100:21	207:25	probably 12:1,9
26:25 28:5 43:23	portion 43:5 90:20	preparing 42:6	30:10 33:15 36:14
70:25 120:23 121:6	162:5,22 163:8	82:17 186:25	46:20 49:17 65:16
121:9 122:1 157:9	ports 92:19,21	present 43:17 45:3	82:8,23 98:9 124:7
162:17 199:24	pose 23:14 58:4	presentation 189:2	128:9 141:25 142:3
	61:24 79:7	presented 35:3	144:22 149:13
pleased 78:18		168:19 187:13	165:3
plenty 159:24	position 96:21		
plethora 44:20	150:23 152:4	preserve 190:1	problem 61:10
plug 110:4	194:21	president 9:17	72:10,13 133:24
poarch 3:4 24:1,20	positions 6:10 97:13	press 46:17 104:16	146:5 150:13
point 16:19,19	160:15	pressured 8:24	174:23,25 184:11
34:12 45:4,4 48:4	possess 140:7	presume 35:13	194:6 203:19,20
49:16 50:12,25	possible 33:3,8	46:18	problems 22:15,17
55:11 59:16 69:22	72:23 92:19 125:4	pretty 5:22,22,23	143:7 146:2 147:12
69:22 76:23 77:21	125:22 126:2 176:5	8:7 9:17 11:1 37:18	200:14 203:18
81:13 82:8 83:13	possibly 30:1 45:9	43:2,16,17 65:15	procedural 47:6,17
85:22 88:25 96:7	193:1	90:23 100:8,12	50:17
99:20 105:23	post 157:15	125:19 142:18	procedurally 106:12
106:11 109:7,7,15	posted 81:2	146:21 175:5	procedure 40:4
111:3 112:8,9,11	posting 82:21	prevail 186:19	44:16 77:22 84:24
115:5,10 116:24,25	posts 181:13	prevent 176:9,12,15	85:1 152:14 165:5,9
124:7 125:5,6	potawatomi 3:10	177:19	170:7,18 176:2,3
126:18 127:19	potential 87:16	previous 7:10 33:11	199:17
130:22,24,24 140:3	power 105:11 126:3	50:11 145:3 159:4	procedures 31:20
140:13 142:6	160:18	previously 27:12	60:21 105:17
146:20 147:2	practice 92:4 99:7	41:7 42:25 50:16	175:23 203:8
148:12 149:13	101:2 111:12 112:1	80:9 131:9 166:20	proceed 171:25
166:9,15 183:4	119:13	primarily 86:13	proceeding 88:18
186:8 187:15 199:5	practices 22:7 94:18	primary 19:9 42:5	174:7
pointed 77:2 162:2	95:1,2 99:13 111:2	53:25 108:19	proceedings 1:14
162:8	111:4 165:6 167:14	158:25 205:9	process 8:2,12 13:3
pointer 114:21	precluded 71:9	principles 25:25	13:10,17,25 16:17
115:2	predicted 32:10	26:1,8,13 186:24	17:20 18:12 19:6,18
pointing 124:17	prefer 183:19	187:14	20:10 29:13 30:14
points 49:22 148:24	preference 170:12	print 183:6	34:13,18,20 36:1
156:22 158:3,4	178:14,18	printed 139:10,18	41:2 61:2 76:15
pokagon 3:10	preferring 191:11	printer 74:12,12	78:3 85:9 91:6
poker 60:15	preliminary 32:5	prior 92:11,21 125:7	92:10 109:21,22
poking 120:25	premature 11:16	126:12 157:2	115:24 118:20,21
policies 175:19	12:12 14:15 18:6	175:25 191:1	119:20 158:14,14
policy 44:15	preparation 188:3	prism 125:21	175:24 180:13,25
poor 150:18	prepare 11:18	private 100:1 102:4	181:15 200:20
poorly 151:21	188:22	privileges 96:11	202:24
port 92:22 100:14	prepared 7:4 126:17	97:8	processes 198:2
101:6	186:6 187:9 202:5		1,002
101.0	100.0 107.9 202.9		

		I	
produce 135:8,21	proposed 6:13 15:10	157:4 165:16	quarter 39:12,13,18
144:19,20	23:19 28:2 41:9	180:20	94:16 95:7,20
produced 12:23	80:5 85:24 121:2	pulled 13:2 25:6,10	quarterly 94:24,25
78:17 79:4	131:4 138:2 170:5	81:21 157:3 181:20	95:3,17,19 96:3,4,5
product 14:8,8	178:25	pulling 73:12	question 8:16 16:10
18:13 21:11,18	proposing 37:13	pun 134:7	23:6,12,14 24:7
23:23 62:4 81:3,6	84:23 153:5	purchase 131:25	35:20 43:24 44:2,10
85:14 105:7 106:8	pros 204:17	144:24 151:8,9	45:6,7,7,11,14 46:4
144:16 203:22	protect 45:2,2 56:17	purpose 24:15,25	46:14 48:5 49:14
production 100:17	113:5 207:20,21	89:22 133:16 134:1	52:8,14 53:6 54:12
101:4,5 108:20	protected 107:12	140:16,19,22 173:2	54:17 64:10,18,23
109:5,18,20	108:10	purposes 36:3 69:4	64:24 67:9,20 68:1
productive 4:21	protecting 112:22	89:15 90:5 127:24	68:16,19,24 69:5
11:23 13:2 21:18	provide 39:16 53:20	157:5 188:25 189:1	70:10 77:2 79:8
30:4	75:21 77:19,20 78:4	purview 69:8 74:5	80:12 87:10,18 88:4
products 12:24	83:12 131:5,14	111:23	89:12 90:9,10,12,20
84:22	138:13 156:24	push 29:21 52:25	90:21,23 91:6,25
professional 45:11	179:17 187:5 199:7	put 10:9,10 12:16	93:3 95:22,24 98:8
74:8	199:18 205:13	13:23 14:21 17:22	98:11,15 99:21
professionally 43:21	208:8	18:18 26:9 41:4	100:11 102:2,14
44:4,7	provided 21:3 91:25	44:17 46:7 56:10	104:14 107:6 108:8
program 6:17	146:22 189:24	58:23 63:10 64:6	108:18 109:8,9
progress 55:20	provides 135:19,25	67:24 69:11 70:25	111:13 112:3 124:8
100:24 101:13	187:21	79:20 81:14,20	127:17 129:6,16
progressed 78:19	providing 133:23	87:10 101:4,5 113:2	130:20 145:16
progressive 81:3	provision 40:6 92:4	115:14 116:4	146:22 147:22
prohibitive 154:5	120:4 136:25 167:6	130:16 133:12	151:13 154:17
155:4	167:16	134:17 137:9,14	156:15 157:21,21
project 86:23	ptz 134:17 135:18	147:15 148:1	161:11 165:12
projected 86:22	ptzs 134:20	152:19 157:16	167:9 168:11,13,17
promote 68:20	public 19:19,21,23	161:23 166:25	171:13 173:5
106:23	19:25 20:6,11 21:6	171:3 173:24 177:7	174:13 178:7 188:6
promulgate 6:25	27:1,5,6 39:12,16	177:11,22 199:10	191:20,22,24
promulgated 203:7	39:19 58:6 71:12	206:20 207:19	192:23 193:5
proper 55:19 62:18	75:22 77:16,19,20	putting 8:4 24:21	194:10 195:8
property 53:16,17	77:22 78:25 83:12	55:24 56:15 60:16	197:22 199:11
109:13 129:5,21	84:2 133:1 157:19	82:16 85:15 110:15	204:18
134:17 160:3	179:16 198:21,23	125:13 150:22	questioning 109:10
192:17	199:3,5,7,18 205:13	177:21 178:10	questions 5:5 10:10
proposal 15:23	205:13 208:8	q	10:10 13:15 15:2
35:13 47:9 57:4	publications 115:13	qualified 152:1	16:12,16,23,23,24
87:19 187:19	published 6:14 33:9	qualify 148:11	30:10 35:3,5,8
189:23	33:12 48:16 79:4	quality 14:8 132:13	37:17,23 39:25
propose 161:13	pull 25:3,9,13	143:23 150:19	40:11 41:21,23,24
	138:21 143:22	143.43 130.19	43:4,16 44:11 50:20
			1

[questions - records] Page 29

_			
58:5 63:17 78:2,13	ramos 2:20 142:16	realization 6:2	recommend 30:6
78:23 79:14,20,22	169:9,20	realize 16:20 78:13	33:15 113:15,16
80:15 83:8 84:10	ran 10:22	95:6 168:16	recommendation
85:7,14 86:24 89:24	rancheria 2:21 3:2	really 8:24 9:8 11:22	33:7 39:3 51:1
118:15,17 119:3	random 140:9 141:1	21:16,16 24:8 26:5	79:16 93:18 119:15
123:15,22 124:2,20	rapidly 33:8	26:14 29:14 34:17	120:8 168:5 170:5
126:22,23 127:8,13	rate 141:21 142:20	42:21 47:7 49:2	170:15 172:13
152:15 156:17	142:21 143:1,1	53:12,21 55:5 68:4	178:4,16 179:2
157:13,16,19 158:6	rationale 9:8 58:11	68:11,25 74:25 77:1	recommendations
158:17,20 181:4,6	58:14	78:18 86:10 106:25	8:3,7 15:25 37:8
183:4 187:24	reach 13:8	114:23,25 118:3	38:22 81:12,12
191:10,19 199:20	reached 38:22 99:4	131:18 134:12	82:18,21 89:25
199:21,22	reaction 72:13	135:19 144:3	121:5,9,23 158:15
quick 9:3 14:5 17:23	read 16:22 40:8,17	147:16 149:13,14	158:22 159:2
32:4 40:5 115:4	59:9 66:16 70:22,23	156:19 157:7,20	recommended
122:15	71:5,5 75:9 76:4	162:23 177:2	189:15
quicker 6:3 21:7	82:3 95:15,23 97:2	186:14 195:17	reconciliation
quickly 5:22,22,23	114:9 124:12 132:5	198:13 200:4	188:22,24
7:3 11:2 29:22 61:2	132:18,24 133:3	201:24 202:14,20	reconciliations
63:21	145:2 155:2,3,9,25	203:17,22 204:17	135:9
quit 206:7	156:3 157:18 163:5	205:3 207:3	reconvene 77:15
quite 16:25 19:22	165:12 194:6	realm 117:10	record 12:2 20:21
106:24 125:11	196:25 197:3,10	151:22	23:3 32:22 73:25
139:8,19 158:7,12	205:2	realtime 143:14	83:10,24 142:14
r	reader 142:7 145:22	159:20	145:18,19 154:2,3
raft 22:3	readily 16:14	reason 17:6 21:1	154:11,18,21,23,24
raise 35:17 72:13	reading 11:20 16:12	59:8,11 114:17	155:2,8,10,13,20,21
84:8 92:4 93:2	23:21 92:25 95:11	160:16,21 195:10	156:1,13 159:8,23
120:8,10,20,22	140:25 155:6 162:9	201:4 204:21	160:19,21 173:25
121:5,9,25 122:2	186:16 196:8	reasoning 8:9 61:12	174:2,4
152:8,15 158:19	reads 65:9 85:16	62:19	recorded 140:9
165:11 169:5,17	ready 21:20 30:12	reasons 10:1 17:3	141:2 160:1,5,10,13
170:19,21,22,23	30:15 33:3 36:5,8	69:9	160:15
172:5,8 179:2,11,12	39:9,10 107:5 122:6	recall 41:1 79:15,17	recording 128:1
200:10	123:14 130:19	recap 32:21	149:1,4,5 154:1,4
raised 39:25 67:6	167:17 168:10	receive 99:7	154:10 155:17,25
83:8 84:10 86:25	real 63:20 100:22,25	received 13:11	156:6 159:5,15,18
98:8 121:15 124:8	104:6 105:14	23:18 206:6	160:11 161:2
145:7 147:21	109:18 122:20	receiving 99:6	recordings 141:20
152:16 153:15	127:25 142:20	recess 83:23 122:12	143:22,23 161:2
161:6,9 167:4	180:18 189:11	179:24	164:14
191:10	190:4 204:8,10	recognize 47:16	recordkeeping
raises 124:3	realistically 122:11	186:12	97:21 98:6
	reality 135:11	recognizing 27:13 27:21	records 94:20 153:8 156:2 186:6 190:1,7

[recovery - report] Page 30

recovery 75:6	63:25 75:15 88:12	154:13 161:11,17	36:18 37:7 41:15
107:22	88:13 117:4 131:9	165:4 167:24 168:2	80:9,17,17 152:13
red 52:24	147:14 153:23	170:4,5,16,17 171:1	171:11 192:18
redefine 131:8	165:9 175:19 176:2	175:15 178:6,22	204:5
redline 23:20 24:11	176:8 178:10	189:15,15 190:10	remembers 28:9
redlined 23:11 24:4	196:11,11,12,13	190:14 196:3 203:3	remind 80:5
24:4,6 25:2 36:24	regard 32:24 34:2	203:19 204:15	reminder 5:14
redlines 23:22 24:18	34:11 46:23 57:4	regulations 50:17	26:24
39:4	140:25	51:5 54:5,13 62:22	remote 52:16 98:22
redlining 24:12	regarding 5:5 43:4	86:18 115:23 120:7	101:18 103:11,12
redundant 57:5,8	44:11 49:16,25	128:25 138:8 142:7	103:12,14,15
59:6 114:2,11	87:14 90:12 92:4	144:18 149:16	106:15 127:23
refer 22:24 27:12	94:14 165:12	175:7 188:21	128:7,8,15 129:13
50:1 57:18 61:1	regardless 65:24	195:13 203:6	161:11,18 162:10
162:17,21 163:3	108:19 149:3	204:21,22	162:15,16,21 163:7
182:14	regards 49:13 93:15	regulator 53:25	163:9,12,17,18,19
reference 26:12	116:11 129:23	136:1 150:24	163:23 164:1,2,15
40:18 41:4 48:8	172:22	regulators 60:19	164:18 165:2,6,12
63:24 64:1 66:22	regions 125:16	205:9	169:24,25 170:6
82:2 88:9,12 94:4	regs 24:17,24 54:25	regulatory 43:22	171:2,7,14 172:13
98:1 108:11 115:2	74:15 138:20	44:5 45:18 51:7	172:21 173:7,9,13
117:17,18 118:3,7	176:19	53:24 54:3 57:11	173:14,14,15,21
130:5,9 138:23	regular 81:2 99:25	60:23 78:19 83:4	175:4,12,13,14,20
140:21 164:23	125:15	92:3 96:10,10	176:12,13,18,23
165:3 172:17 177:3	regulate 58:18	109:11,14 110:9,23	177:2,5,16,20 178:5
178:5	151:5	201:8 205:8	178:17
referenced 57:10	regulating 166:10	reigns 166:25	remoting 129:24
98:3 176:1	regulation 8:5 16:5	reinstated 150:4	176:21
references 87:20	34:14,21 47:7,9,14	reiterate 68:23	remove 47:6 67:24
192:3	47:17 48:22 50:2,3	reiterates 62:22	94:11 113:21 167:6
referencing 87:18	51:3,7 52:1 55:24	related 88:4 171:14	173:18 179:1,9
97:25 103:11	56:8,14,15,21 57:6	relates 108:18	removed 62:16
127:22 171:18	57:6 63:6,7,18 64:2	relationship 181:11	93:23 94:9 98:24
192:1	72:17 82:19 84:4,7	release 192:19	140:21
referred 61:9	84:13,17 85:2,2,25	relevant 104:15	removing 63:8
182:17	86:14,21 88:4,6,10	105:7,8 117:3	168:7
referring 23:3 41:7	92:5 99:3,15 100:25	182:13 190:1	reorganize 192:9
57:20 64:20 108:8	110:10,23 111:4	rely 86:2,6,11	reorganized 6:7
108:25 138:16,22	115:9,14 116:1,3,7	relying 35:23	reorganizing 6:18
140:1 141:12,13	116:21 118:10,15	151:17	repeat 43:10,23
164:5 165:14	119:8,11 120:14	remaining 178:24	repeating 70:21
reflect 26:14 41:5,9	125:1 128:10 129:4	remains 34:5	replace 87:22
refresher 40:15	129:7,7,11,20	remarks 4:15	108:16
reg 49:18 50:11	136:14,19 138:22	remember 13:3,5	report 182:15 196:5
52:12,17 55:5 56:5	142:6 145:5 149:14	22:13 26:3 28:7,11	

[reported - rita] Page 31

reported 1:22	resolution 132:11	189:7,21 190:17,22	rigamarole 204:11
reporter's 1:14	141:18 142:25	191:10,23 192:13	right 5:18 6:5 7:19
reporting 6:9 91:16	resolve 136:2	193:21 208:2,3	8:24 9:21 18:3,5
181:9	resort 1:19 4:20	rest's 77:1 194:25	24:9 25:17 27:4,7
reports 135:8 182:3	resources 9:25,25	195:16	28:23 29:12,15
197:25	10:16 16:4 21:9	restrict 70:15	30:12,22 31:23
representative 31:9	45:5,13 104:2	restricted 66:5	35:19 37:13 38:23
31:14	131:24 150:9	restrictions 67:13	39:20 51:9 56:23
reputational 116:11	160:18	164:17	57:1 63:3 65:8,12
request 25:21 26:7	respect 21:10 35:18	result 53:19,22	67:5,8 68:15 71:6
32:5 58:3 167:20,22	118:18 119:9	104:4 182:2	72:10 75:20 78:9
requested 19:15	138:24 196:20	results 42:20 131:5	79:9 83:11 84:17
188:2	201:16 206:21	retaining 57:8	87:4,11 90:11 91:24
require 85:15 99:14	207:14	retains 193:24	93:10,16 94:13 96:2
101:17 106:14	respectful 10:16	retention 190:3	96:3,24 97:15,18
187:8	respectfully 15:5	return 21:21	107:6,8 108:25
required 15:10,12	respond 78:6 79:8	revamping 6:16	118:9 119:1 120:15
44:21 80:3 90:16,21	193:4 199:20,22	revenue 131:23	120:20 121:2,16
91:7 100:24 112:11	responding 67:22	180:22 181:6,13	124:8 127:16
123:3 126:6 136:16	response 10:11 18:8	182:17 187:19,22	130:13 140:23
136:17,23 137:1	72:15 78:4 83:14	188:1 189:11	145:7,9 146:13
154:2,19,22,24	84:15 86:20 87:7	192:17	154:7,20 155:22
155:1,11,15,20,21	114:13 118:13	revenues 180:25	159:1 162:4,25
156:2,4,4 160:4	119:4 128:2,3	188:19 189:2	164:10 167:3 168:9
169:12 186:9	129:16 153:18	revert 57:1	168:9,23 169:23
192:22,24	178:23 182:23	reverting 57:12	171:12,25 172:10
requirement 126:3	189:5 208:9	review 14:10 17:4	172:15,16,23
126:5 130:5 131:22	responses 190:6	17:25 20:19 27:13	174:13 176:11
133:11 134:10,11	responsibilities	36:8 42:7,9,12	177:10,13 179:3
148:7,14,18 150:15	128:3	46:24 53:15 55:18	184:8,23 188:11
163:24 175:7 186:5	responsibility	83:5 187:8 188:24	193:1 196:12 199:9
186:8 193:3,24	148:12 151:16	reviewed 23:23	199:22,22 203:3
requirements 9:22	responsive 26:6	27:22 36:5 124:4,4	207:8,9
97:20,21 98:6,23	67:3	reviewing 16:10	risk 44:10,12,14
123:2 127:22,23	rest 2:7 10:12 11:1	49:20 159:12,14	45:3,3,10 46:6,8,8
128:2 161:19	42:19 71:25 72:19	reviews 149:3 198:7	46:10 48:20 53:9
162:12 163:20	73:15,22 74:2,21	revised 57:23	58:21 106:13 107:3
164:3,19 189:18	86:5 98:12 137:3,23	201:12	110:15,16,24 111:1
192:9 193:22 195:9	137:24 138:6,15,22	revision 64:25 200:9	193:16
requires 123:3	139:14 140:2,17	revisit 25:20,24	risks 51:16 58:23
reserve 11:5,12	141:16,24 181:17	richness 17:15	61:24 69:15 76:17
reside 59:17 100:15	181:18 183:9,18,19	rid 45:12 46:3,8	115:17 116:11
100:18 188:13	184:2,13,18 185:4,6	53:1 71:21 120:4	127:4 168:9
resides 57:6 105:19	185:10,21,25 187:2	ride 116:24	rita 199:8 200:1,1
105:21 129:3	187:18 188:7,17		202:13

[road - scheduled] Page 32

1 116.5 117.4	120.1 4 15 120.5 17	11 62.5	101.25 104.25
road 116:5 117:4	138:1,4,15 139:5,17	roll 62:5	101:25 104:25
robbery 131:14	140:4 141:7,11,14	rolled 203:23	105:25 108:8
robert 2:3 4:4,5 5:1	141:22 142:4,15,18	romanette 92:16	110:11 111:2
5:8,10,20 11:3,14	143:3 145:1 146:18	room 17:12 26:6	115:15 133:10
12:14 13:18 14:19	146:24 147:13	85:12 99:16 122:8	134:4,13 135:16
18:15 20:15 21:19	149:9,25 152:6,12	144:9 147:18	139:18 140:17
22:20 23:9,12 24:7	152:21,25 153:5,11	163:25 164:13	144:2 145:24
24:14 25:16 28:1,6	153:14,19 154:7,12	174:19 175:4	150:11 151:13
29:10,23 30:19,22	155:6 156:8,14	rpr 1:23	154:21,23 155:18
31:17,20 32:4,24	158:11 159:3	rule 162:21	155:20 156:10
35:16,21 36:2,7,16	160:23 161:5,23	rules 6:13,25 15:10	157:13,23 162:25
37:2,24 38:2,4,8,12	162:3,13,23 163:4	32:16	164:14 173:6,19
38:25 39:7 40:2,16	163:14 164:4,8,10	run 59:21 60:16	176:22 177:5
40:19 41:20,25	164:21 166:3 167:1	75:6 103:6,9 124:15	181:19 188:15
43:23 46:11,16	167:7,13 168:16,23	207:10	206:7
50:13,19 52:20 54:8	169:7,10,11,18,21	running 60:16	says 38:16,20 51:8
54:14,20 56:22,24	171:3,11,21,24	104:21 106:4	59:8 67:18 68:11
58:3,13 60:24 61:11	172:15,18,23	rush 14:5	70:4,16 80:5,7
62:20,25 63:3,6,12	173:18,23 174:1,12	rushed 13:10 14:6	92:11,15 95:16
63:14,22,25 64:11	174:22 175:16	rushing 21:15	96:24 101:18 106:2
64:22 66:14,18,25	176:4 177:7,13,23	S	109:1 110:11,24
67:21,25 68:15	178:4,20,24 179:25	safe 51:4 85:3 109:6	112:1 117:23
70:18,25 71:10,21	181:16 183:7,10,25		131:10 138:19
72:3,5,16 73:2,20	184:8,15,21,24	safeguard 107:7,9	140:5,7,25 141:6
75:19 77:14 79:1,6	185:5,9,22 187:17	sake 115:15	144:15 145:13
79:18 80:16 81:10	188:5,8,14 189:3,6	sales 69:21,23 96:21	146:1 148:9 150:18
83:2,7,15,24 84:16	189:13,17 190:16	sample 22:8 san 78:11	154:3,23,24 155:8
86:17,21 87:8,24	190:20 191:7,25		155:13 164:17
88:19 89:14 90:8	193:4,19 194:18	sanctioned 43:22	167:14,15 174:3
91:3,13 92:7,14,17	196:2,8,12,14 197:1	44:4,7 45:18	176:8,15,18,23
92:24 93:10,13,22	197:7,21 198:19,25	sat 200:21	177:15 186:23
94:3,7,10,13,19	199:9 202:9 205:12	satisfied 91:2,11	190:14 193:8,11
95:9 96:2,13,22	208:7,10	save 103:7,8	195:25 197:5
98:15 99:1,18 105:5	robin 2:24 11:14,15	saved 109:18	204:23
107:5,13,18 110:1	14:10 18:21 19:3,8	saving 21:9	scale 149:13
111:5 112:20 113:7	19:18,24 20:10,18	savings 9:10,15,17	scattered 29:15
113:11,16,19 114:1	21:10 28:5 29:10,12	10:2	scenario 104:15
114:4,6,15 115:3	30:4 84:18,19 85:23	saw 14:24 136:3	105:14 151:11
117:14 118:9,14	122:17	saying 9:9,14 13:21	198:14
119:1,6,25 120:15	robin's 18:15,16	13:23 19:14 22:10	schedule 5:6,21 12:5
121:16,20 122:13	robust 51:21	29:25 38:14 52:10	12:16 14:1,13,15
123:14,16 127:12	rod 2:7	52:10 56:3 61:12	33:17 102:12
127:17 128:4,11,16	role 35:22,25 96:8,9	67:3 68:11 70:4	scheduled 71:12
128:19 129:15,25	96:15,18	73:7 74:23 89:9,9	79:19 179:16
130:7 137:2,23	70.13,10	94:24 95:10 100:20	17.17 117.10
130.7 137.2,23			

[schedules - services] Page 33

schedules 17:21	175:14 179:1,9,14	137:17,18,20,21	192:5 207:22
school 181:9	179:14 179:1,9,14	138:15 140:5 141:7	sensitive 107:10
scope 193:8,14	182:10,22 184:6	142:8,9,13 143:6,10	110:6 173:21
scope 193.8,14 scratched 88:7	185:17 189:14	142.8,9,13 143.0,10	sent 7:5 21:24 22:2
screen 27:10 40:7,21	191:6,24 192:4,8,20	143:10,14,13,20,21	22:8,10,19,21 25:11
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , ,	·	1 ' ' '
63:1 67:1 86:22,23	192:20,25 193:1,7	144:10,17 145:24	25:12 27:11,15,19
89:15 119:8 120:3	196:10,13 201:6	145:25 146:8,10,13	106:1 107:12
121:24 141:8,22	204:9 208:14	146:14 147:10,10	108:10 138:1 139:3
169:5,15 183:12,15	sections 15:22 16:2	147:12 149:4,25	139:6,14,15 181:25
196:15	21:25 24:19 26:19	150:19 151:3,4,10	sentence 64:6 71:25
screwbally 204:9,10	68:14,17 94:9 96:17	152:5,13,14 153:20	72:1 131:2,8 145:22
scroll 183:16,17	109:1 138:21 153:1	154:12 157:14	146:4
second 26:17 37:25	153:15 168:7 180:4	159:19 161:1,13	separate 15:16
38:4 40:20 52:22	180:8,17 182:21	164:17 165:17	38:17 119:11,11
57:3 64:13,15,23	183:1 184:7 191:2,9	172:1,7 174:14,15	153:23 172:4
112:14 125:6 131:2	191:12 192:24	174:19 175:22	190:25 191:2
137:11 141:19	secure 109:17,22,23	177:23 179:19	193:23
142:20 143:13,18	110:17 112:24	186:9 188:5 191:11	separated 97:17
144:21 146:11	secured 106:15,16	200:23 204:6 205:6	166:18 172:1
149:21 199:16	108:21 112:5	205:6 206:3	separately 180:25
secondhand 42:22	security 55:7 56:16	seeing 134:12 185:2	september 71:2
secondly 30:6	56:16,18 57:24	seen 34:5 126:25	sequence 171:11
seconds 142:23	59:13 61:17 90:18	142:8 194:14	serval 164:14
section 25:10 41:16	107:10,23 108:4,13	202:16	served 200:2
41:19 61:2 63:1,8	108:24 109:2,6	segment 182:16	server 57:17,25,25
63:11,14,15 64:9	110:4 116:13	segregate 75:25	59:13,13 61:4,18,19
67:10 72:12 73:16	see 11:6,13,24 12:20	101:3	66:4,4 73:4,4 74:5,5
73:22 82:1,5,24	14:2,5 17:13,24	segregated 127:25	74:15 90:18,18
84:11,13 87:14	18:16 23:15 25:18	segregation 91:19	92:22 105:15 106:1
93:19,19,21 94:15	26:5 27:25 31:6,23	96:17 202:6	108:21 113:24
96:7 97:19 103:15	34:4,20 37:4 38:19	selected 140:12	114:19 117:2,3,19
107:3,7,9 113:13,22	43:1 47:9,13 50:2	self 151:15	117:21,23 128:12
118:3,19 122:14,14	52:1,14 59:4,10	sell 96:19 147:24	128:12 129:1,13
122:16,19 123:21	62:25 66:22 67:5	semiannual 192:15	153:3 163:21
127:11 128:13	70:24 71:1 72:1	send 36:20 38:25	164:23 175:4
129:13 130:3,5	74:12 76:25 77:2	101:21	servers 70:16 72:22
152:25 153:16,16	80:7,12 82:20,22	sender 100:14,20	73:24 74:14 75:8,10
153:24 154:2,13,19	84:6 94:10 105:22	sending 125:8	75:11 99:5 104:21
154:22,24 155:18	114:10 116:13	sends 13:23 16:13	104:23 105:9
155:19 158:9,10	118:4,7,11 119:18	101:24 106:1	113:24 117:5,5,19
159:4 161:6,20	121:4 127:13	senior 2:6	164:25
162:9,15,17 164:24	128:19 131:12	sense 17:1 48:10	serves 206:15
164:24 168:14	133:9,10,13,19	50:21 110:22 120:5	service 92:21
169:4,12,14,16	134:4,13 135:22	125:2,2 133:20	services 65:1 73:24
170:9 171:17	136:2,4 137:6,8,13	144:25 172:3,4	92:2,18

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

[servicing - spin] Page 34

	1	1	
servicing 125:16	shuts 104:14	smartest 205:19,20	south 23:23
session 20:13 29:4	shy 74:14	smith 4:15,18,19	southern 205:15
30:21,25 31:8,10,15	side 23:18,18 24:15	social 110:4 116:12	sovereignty 151:15
98:22 101:19 102:4	24:16,22,22,25,25	software 57:17,25	spa 1:19 4:20
sessions 20:5,24	25:3 60:22,23	59:14 61:19 66:4	space 160:17
27:6	118:15 166:14	72:22 73:1,4,14	speak 13:12 17:6
set 20:9 23:5 26:18	sides 31:6	74:5,18,19 87:21	27:2 32:12 45:22
28:12 34:7 35:14	sideways 174:23	90:19 100:8 105:22	53:4 74:4 77:23
37:5 39:12 55:1	sign 5:16 26:25,25	113:25 114:19,19	101:5 109:13
80:6,9 105:18	27:5	114:20 117:20,21	159:11 184:12
106:23 160:2,14	signature 99:4,16	128:13 153:4	speaker 202:11
173:12 176:2	significant 9:6 99:2	163:22	speakers 95:21
185:18 205:1	similar 41:10 43:2	solicit 159:2	speaking 97:3 115:8
sets 38:12 203:3	57:9 167:16	solution 116:17	175:2 181:8
setting 151:12	simple 185:23	solve 174:24,25	specific 10:10 15:22
settings 104:12	simpler 123:8	somebody 40:6	16:2 43:14 44:9,9,9
seven 139:23 192:14	simplification 131:1	41:15 53:4,7,9 58:7	44:22,25 48:12
shake 105:23	simply 80:5 90:20	95:5 102:15 103:22	54:12 56:13 63:23
shaken 85:17	175:18 191:14	104:8,8 106:10	67:10 71:3 86:9
share 114:6 161:24	single 27:18 154:11	108:6 122:15	102:15 103:23,24
162:1	156:13 186:20	124:13 151:17	103:24 106:9 116:3
sharing 42:19	203:12	180:4 197:14,15	124:8 133:13,22
sheet 26:25 27:3	sit 165:16	198:17,25 203:12	135:22 136:17
shelf 131:25 147:25	site 52:16 81:2,14,20	someone's 106:22	138:11,13 145:10
148:14	81:21 112:23 145:5	176:21	149:14 189:10
shift 59:25 69:23	157:16 182:3	something's 16:20	191:19 199:22
71:12 198:21	sitting 108:3,4	16:21	specifically 41:1,15
shifted 204:25	165:16 166:22	somewhat 57:15	41:18 49:2 59:8
shipped 109:20	206:9	soon 8:4,7 28:6	61:13,15 64:8 99:5
shipping 109:21	situation 151:11	sooner 16:6 95:4	108:9 158:3 180:20
shoot 151:4	187:23	soonest 94:17	specifications 150:3
shop 132:1 137:19	six 12:6,17 14:1	sorry 19:12 20:17	specificity 131:4
shopping 148:2	17:22 168:4 181:7	53:22 58:2 84:18	149:16
short 10:19 15:14	192:13,14	104:25 113:16	specified 71:3
shortening 8:9 61:9	size 203:18	121:13 163:21	140:21
shorthand 130:10	sleeves 203:23	172:15 188:13	specify 140:19
shortly 14:24	slot 100:2	194:19 196:13	145:16
show 24:16,21,23	slots 159:16	sort 35:19 37:11	spell 61:13,15 65:4
39:8 135:11 204:25	slower 103:5	128:19 135:6 152:2	spend 40:11 87:3
208:1	slowly 43:24	152:13 165:5 175:6	159:11,13 179:21
showing 24:11,23	small 60:21 158:13	sound 150:3	spending 45:5
shows 28:23	smaller 7:12 8:1	sounds 18:8 61:12	spent 12:22 49:17
shut 100:5 101:9,16	15:24 59:20 142:21	67:3 102:5 120:1	50:13 125:12
104:22	smart 39:8	145:14 149:3	spin 147:19

[split - sufficient] Page 35

split 167:25	standby 100:19	station 166:21	struggling 124:10
spread 144:11	standing 143:24	status 123:4	200:17
springs 2:19	standpoint 13:22	stay 101:2 118:4	stuff 6:11 8:25 25:6
ssae 186:17	44:6 61:7 110:9,23	staying 32:18 153:8	25:13,13 59:24 76:3
stab 180:11 184:9	148:23	step 61:9 83:15 91:5	77:12 111:25
stacks 11:17	stands 172:7	91:5 115:24,24	117:17,21 126:16
stacona 2:18 22:19	start 8:4 16:5 26:18	174:23	141:18 164:25
81:18	30:7,7 31:2 32:1	steps 47:6,17 90:15	stupid 204:13,15
staff 6:1 7:16,17	37:14,15 38:14	90:21 91:7 102:15	sub 163:19
15:14 60:3 84:21	39:10,23 60:16	199:1	subject 8:14 10:6
85:5 86:3 112:15	71:17 74:22 83:22	steve 2:16	11:1 27:22 56:5,13
125:15 156:21	122:11 127:12	stick 43:16	58:25 76:12 157:23
172:22 202:15	179:20 180:3,3	sticking 35:13	196:21
staffing 6:10	182:19 183:2,20	stillaguamish 2:23	subjects 7:6 17:11
stance 67:13 73:16	184:2,13,22,24	stop 8:11 15:25	submission 33:5
stand 98:16 119:17	185:1,6,24 208:14	71:12,23 75:20	submit 53:14 81:19
120:10,22 121:10	started 25:17 185:2	199:2 208:12	98:10
121:13 122:1 169:9	206:1	stopped 46:15 84:1	submittal 30:13,16
169:20,21 170:21	starters 64:20	storage 107:16	submitted 23:19
172:8 178:11,12	starting 21:17 43:13	109:22	24:1,9 32:24 78:24
179:4,12	99:24 122:8 130:25	store 112:23	82:23
standard 40:22	138:6 183:14	stored 109:24 128:1	submitting 89:25
55:13,19,21 60:7	185:14 190:18	straight 208:11	subparagraph
64:3 111:11 131:1,6	starts 63:16,16	stream 180:23	179:10
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8	stream 180:23 181:13	179:10 subpart 187:20
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22 168:7 173:12 175:9	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17 stated 68:6 88:22	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3 stringent 85:4	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3 sufficient 51:15 53:7
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22 168:7 173:12 175:9 186:7,7,10 187:2	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17 stated 68:6 88:22 170:19	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3 stringent 85:4 stripped 65:3	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3 sufficient 51:15 53:7 89:7 94:25 131:3
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22 168:7 173:12 175:9 186:7,7,10 187:2 195:3 203:3 204:12	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17 stated 68:6 88:22 170:19 statement 4:4,11,18	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3 stringent 85:4 stripped 65:3 strong 149:15,17	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3 sufficient 51:15 53:7 89:7 94:25 131:3 132:9 133:5,9,25,25
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22 168:7 173:12 175:9 186:7,7,10 187:2 195:3 203:3 204:12 standards 42:10	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17 stated 68:6 88:22 170:19 statement 4:4,11,18 16:12 116:22,23,24	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3 stringent 85:4 stripped 65:3 strong 149:15,17 strongly 30:6	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3 sufficient 51:15 53:7 89:7 94:25 131:3 132:9 133:5,9,25,25 134:5,6,21 135:10
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22 168:7 173:12 175:9 186:7,7,10 187:2 195:3 203:3 204:12 standards 42:10 43:20 44:3 46:19	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17 stated 68:6 88:22 170:19 statement 4:4,11,18 16:12 116:22,23,24 117:8 126:11	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3 stringent 85:4 stripped 65:3 strong 149:15,17 strongly 30:6 178:11	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3 sufficient 51:15 53:7 89:7 94:25 131:3 132:9 133:5,9,25,25 134:5,6,21 135:10 136:9,16,18 137:5
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22 168:7 173:12 175:9 186:7,7,10 187:2 195:3 203:3 204:12 standards 42:10 43:20 44:3 46:19 48:7,9,9,13,14,16	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17 stated 68:6 88:22 170:19 statement 4:4,11,18 16:12 116:22,23,24 117:8 126:11 145:22 182:20	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3 stringent 85:4 stripped 65:3 strong 149:15,17 strongly 30:6 178:11 structure 6:10 28:12	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3 sufficient 51:15 53:7 89:7 94:25 131:3 132:9 133:5,9,25,25 134:5,6,21 135:10 136:9,16,18 137:5 137:25 138:10,13
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22 168:7 173:12 175:9 186:7,7,10 187:2 195:3 203:3 204:12 standards 42:10 43:20 44:3 46:19 48:7,9,9,13,14,16 51:9,10 58:18 80:6	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17 stated 68:6 88:22 170:19 statement 4:4,11,18 16:12 116:22,23,24 117:8 126:11 145:22 182:20 187:8	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3 stringent 85:4 stripped 65:3 strong 149:15,17 strongly 30:6 178:11 structure 6:10 28:12 51:7 53:15 105:19	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3 sufficient 51:15 53:7 89:7 94:25 131:3 132:9 133:5,9,25,25 134:5,6,21 135:10 136:9,16,18 137:5 137:25 138:10,13 138:14,24 140:6,11
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22 168:7 173:12 175:9 186:7,7,10 187:2 195:3 203:3 204:12 standards 42:10 43:20 44:3 46:19 48:7,9,9,13,14,16 51:9,10 58:18 80:6 80:8 87:15 97:6	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17 stated 68:6 88:22 170:19 statement 4:4,11,18 16:12 116:22,23,24 117:8 126:11 145:22 182:20 187:8 statements 186:5,14	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3 stringent 85:4 stripped 65:3 strong 149:15,17 strongly 30:6 178:11 structure 6:10 28:12 51:7 53:15 105:19 107:1 181:9	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3 sufficient 51:15 53:7 89:7 94:25 131:3 132:9 133:5,9,25,25 134:5,6,21 135:10 136:9,16,18 137:5 137:25 138:10,13 138:14,24 140:6,11 140:22 142:10,24
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22 168:7 173:12 175:9 186:7,7,10 187:2 195:3 203:3 204:12 standards 42:10 43:20 44:3 46:19 48:7,9,9,13,14,16 51:9,10 58:18 80:6 80:8 87:15 97:6 107:1 127:19 131:5	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17 stated 68:6 88:22 170:19 statement 4:4,11,18 16:12 116:22,23,24 117:8 126:11 145:22 182:20 187:8 statements 186:5,14 186:25 187:12	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3 stringent 85:4 stringent 85:4 stripped 65:3 strong 149:15,17 strongly 30:6 178:11 structure 6:10 28:12 51:7 53:15 105:19 107:1 181:9 structured 207:5	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3 sufficient 51:15 53:7 89:7 94:25 131:3 132:9 133:5,9,25,25 134:5,6,21 135:10 136:9,16,18 137:5 137:25 138:10,13 138:14,24 140:6,11 140:22 142:10,24 143:21 144:3,4
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22 168:7 173:12 175:9 186:7,7,10 187:2 195:3 203:3 204:12 standards 42:10 43:20 44:3 46:19 48:7,9,9,13,14,16 51:9,10 58:18 80:6 80:8 87:15 97:6 107:1 127:19 131:5 131:22 137:1 138:2	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17 stated 68:6 88:22 170:19 statement 4:4,11,18 16:12 116:22,23,24 117:8 126:11 145:22 182:20 187:8 statements 186:5,14 186:25 187:12 188:20,23 194:15	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3 stringent 85:4 stripped 65:3 strong 149:15,17 strongly 30:6 178:11 structure 6:10 28:12 51:7 53:15 105:19 107:1 181:9 structured 207:5 structures 91:16	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3 sufficient 51:15 53:7 89:7 94:25 131:3 132:9 133:5,9,25,25 134:5,6,21 135:10 136:9,16,18 137:5 137:25 138:10,13 138:14,24 140:6,11 140:22 142:10,24 143:21 144:3,4 145:8,8,17,21 146:4
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22 168:7 173:12 175:9 186:7,7,10 187:2 195:3 203:3 204:12 standards 42:10 43:20 44:3 46:19 48:7,9,9,13,14,16 51:9,10 58:18 80:6 80:8 87:15 97:6 107:1 127:19 131:5 131:22 137:1 138:2 139:1 141:20 151:6	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17 stated 68:6 88:22 170:19 statement 4:4,11,18 16:12 116:22,23,24 117:8 126:11 145:22 182:20 187:8 statements 186:5,14 186:25 187:12 188:20,23 194:15 196:4	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3 stringent 85:4 stripped 65:3 strong 149:15,17 strongly 30:6 178:11 structure 6:10 28:12 51:7 53:15 105:19 107:1 181:9 structured 207:5 structures 91:16 struggle 62:3 111:1	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3 sufficient 51:15 53:7 89:7 94:25 131:3 132:9 133:5,9,25,25 134:5,6,21 135:10 136:9,16,18 137:5 137:25 138:10,13 138:14,24 140:6,11 140:22 142:10,24 143:21 144:3,4 145:8,8,17,21 146:4 146:5,8,25 147:3,4
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22 168:7 173:12 175:9 186:7,7,10 187:2 195:3 203:3 204:12 standards 42:10 43:20 44:3 46:19 48:7,9,9,13,14,16 51:9,10 58:18 80:6 80:8 87:15 97:6 107:1 127:19 131:5 131:22 137:1 138:2 139:1 141:20 151:6 182:1,24 186:9,16	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17 stated 68:6 88:22 170:19 statement 4:4,11,18 16:12 116:22,23,24 117:8 126:11 145:22 182:20 187:8 statements 186:5,14 186:25 187:12 188:20,23 194:15 196:4 states 187:14	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3 stringent 85:4 stripped 65:3 strong 149:15,17 strongly 30:6 178:11 structure 6:10 28:12 51:7 53:15 105:19 107:1 181:9 structured 207:5 structures 91:16 struggle 62:3 111:1 111:3 112:7	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3 sufficient 51:15 53:7 89:7 94:25 131:3 132:9 133:5,9,25,25 134:5,6,21 135:10 136:9,16,18 137:5 137:25 138:10,13 138:14,24 140:6,11 140:22 142:10,24 143:21 144:3,4 145:8,8,17,21 146:4 146:5,8,25 147:3,4 147:5,10 154:1
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22 168:7 173:12 175:9 186:7,7,10 187:2 195:3 203:3 204:12 standards 42:10 43:20 44:3 46:19 48:7,9,9,13,14,16 51:9,10 58:18 80:6 80:8 87:15 97:6 107:1 127:19 131:5 131:22 137:1 138:2 139:1 141:20 151:6 182:1,24 186:9,16 186:17,19,19,21	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17 stated 68:6 88:22 170:19 statement 4:4,11,18 16:12 116:22,23,24 117:8 126:11 145:22 182:20 187:8 statements 186:5,14 186:25 187:12 188:20,23 194:15 196:4 states 187:14 stating 117:12	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3 stringent 85:4 stripped 65:3 strong 149:15,17 strongly 30:6 178:11 structure 6:10 28:12 51:7 53:15 105:19 107:1 181:9 structured 207:5 structures 91:16 struggle 62:3 111:1 111:3 112:7 struggled 63:9	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3 sufficient 51:15 53:7 89:7 94:25 131:3 132:9 133:5,9,25,25 134:5,6,21 135:10 136:9,16,18 137:5 137:25 138:10,13 138:14,24 140:6,11 140:22 142:10,24 143:21 144:3,4 145:8,8,17,21 146:4 146:5,8,25 147:3,4 147:5,10 154:1 171:23 179:17
64:3 111:11 131:1,6 131:10 132:17 136:10,17,23 137:7 140:1 141:19 142:11,19 144:2,5 145:16 150:12 151:9 163:21,22 168:7 173:12 175:9 186:7,7,10 187:2 195:3 203:3 204:12 standards 42:10 43:20 44:3 46:19 48:7,9,9,13,14,16 51:9,10 58:18 80:6 80:8 87:15 97:6 107:1 127:19 131:5 131:22 137:1 138:2 139:1 141:20 151:6 182:1,24 186:9,16	starts 63:16,16 94:19 177:13 182:8 185:17 state 76:9,20 85:21 108:23 137:7,22 164:2 175:18 206:17 stated 68:6 88:22 170:19 statement 4:4,11,18 16:12 116:22,23,24 117:8 126:11 145:22 182:20 187:8 statements 186:5,14 186:25 187:12 188:20,23 194:15 196:4 states 187:14	stream 180:23 181:13 streaming 123:10 strengths 60:11 stretch 122:9 179:19 strict 190:14 strike 173:25 174:3 stringent 85:4 stripped 65:3 strong 149:15,17 strongly 30:6 178:11 structure 6:10 28:12 51:7 53:15 105:19 107:1 181:9 structured 207:5 structures 91:16 struggle 62:3 111:1 111:3 112:7	179:10 subpart 187:20 subsequent 182:7 subsidiary 187:1 successfully 13:16 101:25 sufficiency 133:3 sufficient 51:15 53:7 89:7 94:25 131:3 132:9 133:5,9,25,25 134:5,6,21 135:10 136:9,16,18 137:5 137:25 138:10,13 138:14,24 140:6,11 140:22 142:10,24 143:21 144:3,4 145:8,8,17,21 146:4 146:5,8,25 147:3,4 147:5,10 154:1

[sufficiently - talk] Page 36

66° • 41 147 04	5.0.6.10.0.20		4 1 27 0
sufficiently 145:24	sure 5:8 6:19 8:20	system 55:7,14	tac's 37:8
147:12	10:15,18 11:20	60:13 61:4,17 72:20	tacs 7:10
suffix 57:5	12:10 13:24 14:10	73:7,8,8,12,17	tahdooahnippah
suggest 15:5 96:22	16:25 18:4 20:8,22	74:23,24 75:5,5	3:7 29:3,18 30:1,18
156:8	24:12,14 26:7 27:14	76:2,4,24 77:5,6	36:25 38:11,24 39:4
suggested 14:23	28:14 31:6 32:25	92:20 99:10 101:9	70:23 71:1 93:12,15
57:18 167:8 178:16	36:20 37:22 39:18	101:16,16,23	120:12 121:15
suggesting 82:17	43:25 47:19 54:7	103:22 104:9	139:3 147:1 163:5,9
94:11 114:1 164:11	56:19 60:20 69:17	107:24 128:8	170:24 171:6
177:7	69:25 71:19 77:1	129:22,24 131:25	172:12,16,20 178:2
suggestion 11:9	79:21 81:10 83:9,10	132:22 135:13	197:9
25:19,24 66:1 70:19	86:10 88:11 97:13	138:9 150:15,15	take 5:24 9:1,20
72:6 87:5 93:22	98:5 101:4 104:5	153:24 161:19,21	10:24 12:17 17:7
113:12,20 161:13	106:19,20,21 112:7	162:11 163:12	18:16 19:19 30:23
164:20 167:6 170:4	113:3 118:1 125:3	164:1 170:7 171:10	31:25 48:16 54:16
171:12	125:22 127:7 134:6	175:5,12,13 176:1	64:8,22 69:23 72:2
suggestions 28:14	158:18 168:18	176:21 177:2	80:15 84:1 85:1
82:17 118:17 119:9	169:3 171:21	203:15,17 204:12	90:6 100:13 104:2,6
129:16 166:4 168:1	183:25 187:3	systems 41:6,8 58:1	106:3 108:22 110:3
170:1,1	surprised 166:11	59:7,9,15 72:21,23	112:23 116:10
summaries 22:5	surveillance 7:20	73:10,21,23 74:18	117:12 122:9
summary 22:22	42:13 43:5 44:13	74:19 94:21 101:8	127:14 132:2 142:3
25:19 26:4,9 28:13	49:24 122:14,18	127:24 139:24	144:20 151:15
28:17 36:9 37:1,2	123:21 126:25	140:7 142:22 148:5	159:20 160:19
38:3,7	127:2,10,19,24	152:3 171:8	161:3 167:19
super 143:18 187:15	128:8,22,24 129:3	t	168:20 172:16
supervision 88:14	129:10,22 130:6	tab 94:1,2 113:17	174:22 179:19,23
96:17	131:1,11,16,17,21	table 18:10 27:4	180:11,13,15 184:8
supplements 46:25	131:25 132:25	29:14 58:8 114:7	192:6 198:22 205:3
supplier 92:20	138:9 139:1 140:7	159:16	taken 16:14 20:5
support 14:7 78:21	140:16 141:17	tabs 25:3,9,13	24:23 65:2 146:6
107:25 119:14	143:11 152:2,24	tac 4:13 7:24 8:1,11	takes 64:25 99:24
120:7,19 121:5,23	153:10,24 160:6	8:20 10:4,13 11:8	100:9 132:2 146:12
169:4,16 170:15	161:2,19,21 162:7,9	12:4,20 13:24 14:11	150:11 179:14
172:2,5,10 174:8,9	162:12,17 163:1,2,6	14:21 15:21 16:9	181:12 208:11
174:20 178:14	164:9,13,13,15		talk 5:7,12,17 30:2
179:1,11	165:1 170:7,8	17:17,19 19:16 20:4 26:10 29:4,5 30:8	31:2,21 34:14 36:13
supported 121:8	171:10,16 173:3,10	·	48:19 59:25 60:17
174:7	175:4 176:7 204:12	30:15 32:17 33:4,14	60:18,18 70:5 72:18
supporting 174:9	206:12,13	35:4,10,15 37:10	77:4,24 79:19 80:20
190:2	suspectedly 132:2	38:7,14 39:8 51:1	88:20 96:17 114:22
supposed 19:18 20:2	swamped 101:6	51:24 67:4 82:15	115:21 132:8,17
105:20 188:21	switch 105:11	93:18 115:8 192:14	133:5,24 135:7
196:1 201:18	switches 101:8	200:21	136:6 144:1 150:7
			152:21 153:12,14

[talk - things] Page 37

153:22 157:9,9	technical 6:17 41:17	termed 59:11	thank 4:24,25 32:15
167:3 168:20	42:9 60:1 76:21	terminated 97:3,5,9	42:8 43:3 78:11
191:13 197:23	134:10,11 144:18	97:11	82:13,25 83:6 90:7
198:16 202:6	148:6,8,23,25 150:3	terminating 97:7	120:16 127:16
205:11 207:17	150:4,11 151:6	termination 96:25	181:16 202:13
talked 28:23 33:1	175:6	terminations 96:25	205:10,10,12 208:6
34:16 36:6 69:16	technically 68:4	terminology 62:1,12	208:7,10
87:24,25 88:8 91:15	71:23 164:23	74:16 116:25 181:5	thanks 5:20 32:9
118:16,22 135:5	176:14 201:11	terms 34:6 108:4	78:13 80:15 82:7
156:23 180:6	technological 77:7,8	109:10 131:9	88:20 114:14
186:13	technologically 81:4	133:25 135:5 146:1	120:25
talking 18:1 41:17	technology 2:7 41:2	201:18	thing 6:21 25:16
46:15,18 48:18	41:7 43:22 44:5	terry 82:10 203:16	26:23 27:19 28:19
55:21 67:10,12	47:22 57:9,13,13,16	test 52:21 68:16	33:13 34:9 35:10
71:17,18 73:10,13	58:12 61:3,6 87:15	80:7 90:24,25	40:7 49:4 51:9 55:5
84:2,3,4,5 86:4 87:3	116:18 140:20,21	118:18 119:7,10	56:2 68:21 70:3
96:25 102:10	140:23 144:23	120:6,17,18 166:5	80:4 94:11 102:1
103:16 105:8 108:3	150:5 204:14	167:2,17,18,19	115:4,11 119:10
108:9 111:11	teleconference 7:12	168:5,23,24 170:11	122:6 124:11
117:19 126:9	21:5	170:11,14 171:4	133:22 141:15
132:10 134:2,12	teleconferences	174:15 178:8	147:9 151:19 167:9
139:21,24 142:19	15:20	tested 178:20	167:15 172:6
142:25 143:1	telephone 12:24	testing 72:11 90:23	173:17 205:3,24
155:17 159:5	14:22	91:9 98:16 182:15	207:6,13
171:16 172:21	tell 11:10 16:17 42:4	tgra 62:16,22 64:6	things 4:7 5:12 6:4,8
177:24 183:13	54:6 58:7 69:16	65:3,14,18,24 66:2	7:13 9:11 10:17
194:7 198:7,8 201:3	80:21 199:24	67:18 97:22 98:21	15:15 20:23 23:5
talks 38:15 66:23	203:12 205:17	106:18 173:8	28:18,24 29:6,21
93:20 99:14 107:15	206:8,18 207:13,20	175:19 196:6,22	32:5 34:16 35:24
107:22 113:24	telling 21:17 113:4	197:14 207:9	37:3,5 38:14,16
115:16 117:4,16	135:13 150:25	tgw 39:6 61:8	47:22,25 51:12
118:5 145:9	151:1,17	149:23	57:17 59:22,25 69:2
tap 108:10	tells 144:11 190:9	tgwg 12:23 23:11,19	69:10 73:11 74:20
tape 107:12 108:12	ten 49:19 124:7	23:19,22 24:1,9	102:10 103:24
108:12,14,16,16	159:17 179:20	25:3,11 28:3 36:22	104:12 107:15
109:19,24 113:6	182:10 185:18	39:8 40:6,9,24 41:6	110:20 111:3,22
142:2	189:24 206:19	41:14 63:2,12,13	115:13,19,21,22,22
tapes 110:7 159:12	tends 116:10	93:25 122:15 142:6	115:25 116:11,14
159:13,14	term 51:23 58:16	145:14 170:5 180:4	123:10,22 124:17
task 34:4 200:12	59:7 87:20,23 90:5	181:21 182:13,24	126:1,2 127:14
tasked 124:25	117:1 132:9 134:5,6	183:1,24 184:4,6	132:5 134:19
team 86:13 181:10	136:8 138:24 140:6	185:6 186:9 187:19	143:10,10 147:2
181:10,12	140:11,14,15 143:5	189:23 190:23	148:11 150:23
tease 172:3	143:7 145:21 146:3	191:9 193:2,22	152:9 156:5 158:5
	163:21 194:8	200:19 201:15	161:9 167:22 171:9

[things - tom] Page 38

171:15 173:7	181:8 182:14,25	139:11 174:14,15	87:3 88:20 93:2
183:16 186:12	185:2 187:7 191:4,5	177:1 184:9 195:8	100:10 103:24
188:10 191:10,25	191:18 192:2,4,21	203:14	112:17 126:14
196:17 198:7	192:21,23 194:5,16	thoughts 203:24	128:1 129:14
201:18 202:18	194:16 196:3	thousands 159:25	132:14 136:3
204:23	198:13 200:5,12	three 5:24 6:14	142:11 156:21
think 4:13 5:7 6:2	201:14 202:14,22	10:25 11:11 26:18	158:19 159:11,12
8:8,22 9:23,23 10:2	202:23,24 203:21	27:25 29:24 46:21	159:14,19 168:6,24
10:14,21,23,25	205:25 206:4,10,11	61:20 77:24 82:9	179:17,18 185:23
11:15,19 12:5,8,11	206:14 207:3,11	90:1 91:23 116:5	192:25 197:2,18,18
12:16 13:15 14:4,7	thinking 18:6 113:8	117:4 119:7 120:5	198:20,20 199:5,7
17:2,24 18:1,5,12	130:16 157:15	123:3,5,12 127:9	201:10 208:12
18:17 21:10,14,16	163:15 174:10	154:14 167:24	timekeeping 199:14
29:8 30:1,4,8,9,10	third 165:22 185:18	168:3 170:3 183:22	timely 35:9
30:13,14,15 31:12	206:9	196:10 197:12	timeout 53:2
31:13 33:1 34:18	thomas 2:10,14 8:17	198:21,22 199:18	timer 101:10
36:4,17 37:20 48:5	32:15 35:20 36:3,12	202:8,20 204:13	times 9:18 16:17
48:7 50:13 54:11,13	37:20 41:23 46:13	threshold 144:19	20:4,6 35:14 88:17
64:21 66:11,16	46:18,23 47:2 50:23	throw 45:6 46:6	88:23 89:19 102:11
68:10,13 70:9 73:1	52:7 53:3,23 83:3	50:6 145:25 146:16	119:19 124:25
73:17,18 76:7,14	89:11 94:23 97:24	throwing 196:25	133:4 206:19
79:6,25 80:16 82:1	101:12 102:8	thrown 48:25	207:15
82:14 85:18 87:9	103:10 107:14,20	thrust 25:15	timing 30:11 77:25
88:17 92:8 95:24	109:9,25 110:8	thumb 99:10	title 27:24 57:4,5,9
96:16 97:4,9,16,17	115:4 119:21	thursday 22:12	57:14 61:13 73:20
99:2 105:6,13 106:5	121:18 123:19	36:15,17 37:12	83:20 84:11,12
106:24 108:1	125:25 126:23	79:20,22,24	86:25 87:1,6,17
112:21 113:9 114:4	128:5,22 129:19	tickets 190:5	90:18 205:24
114:10,11,17 115:5	130:4 132:8 136:12	tied 22:11 140:15	titled 50:11 94:6
115:8,20 116:20	139:21 143:4	tier 122:18 123:1,2	182:13
117:17 118:6,22	145:20 150:6	123:2,4,5 139:1	today 5:4 22:11,12
121:19 122:19,24	155:23 161:16	193:23 203:15,17	26:19 27:10 43:4
128:12 129:18,21	162:1,4,20 163:2,7	tiering 196:17	52:23 80:22 82:7
134:21 137:4,24	163:15 164:6,9,11	tiers 122:22	96:19 116:16 117:2
142:5,19,20 143:1,8	165:11 166:8	time 6:3 7:1,14 9:2,4	117:3 126:25
144:6 146:15,19,20	171:20,22 173:1,20	9:7,19 10:16,19,25	184:16,16 199:15
146:21 149:2,12,19	173:25 175:11	14:2,10 16:4 17:23	200:5 201:18
149:21 150:22,24	186:22 194:5,24	17:25 18:7 21:8,12	202:21 203:1
151:12,23 152:6	195:10 196:19	22:6 27:1 31:3,8	206:13 208:12
153:7 154:4 157:1,5	197:3,22	32:2 34:23 39:11,14	told 12:4,6 18:24
157:8 158:2,3,12,24	thompson 82:11	39:19 40:12 42:22	142:7
161:20 162:10	thorough 189:15	45:5,15,17 49:17	tom 14:6 22:8 32:14
165:7,8 169:2	thought 23:20 31:10	50:14 52:24 61:8	37:16 38:9 41:22
170:24,25 175:17	78:11 81:19 114:18	66:10 71:10 75:21	46:11,12 94:22
177:3 178:2 181:7,8	115:1 121:21	80:15 83:17 84:20	107:13,18 111:8

[tom - understand] Page 39

115:3 123:17	transcriptionist	truly 123:5 198:1,18	198:15 205:5
124:22 136:13	25:21 32:7	try 21:5,16 25:12	type 16:15 28:8 49:4
143:3 149:11	transfer 96:16 97:1	29:20 41:3 69:11	60:14 99:6 105:7
156:23 158:5	97:10 108:23	98:10 100:21 116:8	106:4 115:2 117:9
163:14 166:7	transferred 97:8	119:13,18 120:2	117:12 134:23,24
172:25 197:21	transfers 96:20	123:8 125:14	135:20,21 144:13
tom's 112:1	transport 109:17	170:13 172:1,2	148:1 151:18,20,23
tomorrow 9:23 53:1	travel 14:25	174:14,14,15	types 47:4 48:19
71:7 96:19 100:21	trenches 201:8	195:19 203:13	134:25 190:6
105:1 116:17,18	tribal 1:2 2:9 3:3	trying 11:25 13:14	198:16
149:17 184:20	15:19,22 19:4,16	14:6,9 27:18 29:13	typically 102:9
199:13 208:13	34:11,22 47:5 48:5	29:16 34:7 40:21	160:1
tongue 62:6	53:24 54:3 57:11	47:16 61:8 64:13,17	u
tonight 179:17	58:22 59:15 62:3	76:15 102:12,13	u.s. 43:21 44:4
tonight's 161:3	69:10 76:10 79:1	106:11 109:14	48:10
tool 60:21 76:8,12	81:25 82:4 84:25	110:9 111:8 112:7	uh 63:13
76:17 115:24,24,24	110:19 114:18	112:10 114:9,10	ultimate 44:24
206:13	125:7 129:7 135:5	115:15,25 116:9	ultimately 111:24
top 92:17 97:19	135:15 149:20	117:1 132:10 134:8	136:2
177:14 185:3	158:16 195:4,20,24	140:13 145:15	umbrella 57:16
192:13	203:6,7 205:7 207:6	157:13 159:6,17	58:19
topic 180:1	207:7,8,9	162:11 168:17	unattended 104:9
topics 7:4	tribe 2:15,23,25	171:9 174:10,24	unauthorized 66:2,6
tort 206:14	4:19 12:18 51:13,17	tuesday 1:15 4:1	66:8 67:2 68:10
totally 65:23 114:11	54:24 60:6,8,10,10	tunneling 166:23,23	119:23 176:10,12
155:23 165:1	80:8 82:23 92:6	turn 4:8 78:8 103:19	176:15 177:19
touch 80:25 117:22	110:25 116:14	123:14	unclear 191:16
157:7	131:6 150:8,13	turned 203:6 206:13	uncollectible 189:9
tough 81:7	151:20 155:4	turquoise 37:7	uncovered 148:6
town 108:23 166:19	184:12 196:7	38:18	underneath 176:15
track 8:8 203:25	201:24 207:8,21	twice 193:17	understand 12:1
tracking 76:3	tribe's 196:5 201:23	two 9:21 27:6 37:5	13:11 15:7 20:7
107:11 108:17	tribes 2:19 76:20	38:12 47:11 52:3	31:5 33:19 34:10
111:15 160:8 162:6	85:3 118:24 125:16	54:16 61:20 65:22	60:12 65:18 69:3
180:20	151:14,15 154:6	67:14 76:7 79:12,14	72:19 85:23 86:8,14
tracy 205:14,14	201:19,21 205:4	79:14,19 93:24	101:13 102:14
traditionally 181:8	tried 69:10 142:7,12	99:24 118:1 119:23	109:14 110:8,15
traffic 104:18,20	180:15 181:2,15	120:1 123:3 139:6	111:1,1,17 125:23
105:4	186:20 206:3	153:15 156:4 167:4	126:12 127:4,8
training 6:17,18,19	trouble 32:6,8	167:13,23,24	140:17 145:23
60:2 76:21 115:24	troubleshooting	169:11 170:1	156:20 158:8 159:7
126:5	127:24	171:24 176:5 177:6	168:25 171:7
transactions 181:13	true 65:13 74:25	183:16 188:10,11	183:25 194:25
transcript 1:14	174:22	190:18 192:19	204:5 207:14
157:18		193:1 196:4,19	

understanding 12:8	users 97:4,7	127:21 181:22	w
37:15 50:24 57:24	usual 169:1	185:7 193:22	wager 140:9
73:6 85:16 86:6	usually 181:9	versus 9:13 67:15	wait 16:6 64:15
114:12 136:7,14	utilized 108:19	69:1,13 70:6 87:21	78:22 95:7,19 185:5
140:2 155:24 157:5	v	110:12 115:15,22	197:1
understood 62:4	valid 126:13	184:7	waiting 26:23
85:18 114:15	validated 99:11	vetted 65:16 165:17	205:18
unencrypted 107:11	valuable 86:10	viable 16:3	walk 34:13 43:8,8
108:10 110:20	value 33:25 147:8	vice 52:2 200:3	105:10,13 110:18
unfortunately 25:7	variance 44:9	video 131:16,19	142:1,2 161:3 198:4
unheard 7:9 15:18	153:16 167:6 179:1	149:6,7	walker 43:8
17:17	variances 93:20	videoconference	want 4:12,14 5:1,3,9
unidentified 202:11	94:6 152:19,23	21:5	10:15,18 17:3 18:2
unintended 104:13	variation 57:9 178:9	videoconferencing	18:4,17 24:23 27:2
unit 133:9	variation 37.9 178.9 variations 148:20	17:9	28:7 30:19 31:2,5
united 187:14	varies 134:2	viejas 205:15	31:22 37:17,22
universal 169:2	variety 203:14	view 114:6,7 125:21	40:11 43:3,14 44:18
unnecessary 114:15	various 28:24 35:23	131:15 156:4	48:4 50:7 51:20,21
unrealistic 106:7	47:3 48:8 140:14	viewed 137:1	53:3 58:5 63:18
unregulated 80:4	182:23	viewing 159:12	65:25 66:12 67:25
unused 92:18		views 154:3,4 155:3	68:16 70:18 78:17
update 36:4 103:19	vary 47:20 133:6 vault 108:6 133:18	155:7,8,14,18,21,22	84:5,8 87:3,4 95:12
103:21 104:1,4		156:2,9 169:13,15	96:22 98:12 100:16
updated 99:9	198:3,5 vcr 141:19 156:6	violate 71:9	103:6,7,8,21 108:22
upfront 11:16 13:25	ver 141:19 130:0 vers 156:1	violation 107:3	112:2 115:6 118:11
upgrades 6:8	vendor 92:6 100:3	virtual 100:1 102:4	118:18,20 119:10
usb 110:17	127:23,23,25,25	virtue 54:3 135:17	124:23 125:8
use 16:3 25:20,22	127.23,23,23,23	visible 106:4	124.23 123.8
32:5 41:11 45:16	172:21,22 173:4	vision 146:12 149:4	120:13 127:14
46:22 50:6 52:5,20	172.21,22 173.4	visits 182:4	136:12 137:5,13,21
58:16 60:21 62:13	vendors 163:10	visual 131:9	142:3 143:20
74:25 77:11 88:19	165:13,22 171:2	voice 42:15	142.3 143.20
89:5,8 90:3,14 92:9	173:5.6	voiced 104:6	149:8 152:4,8,15,20
96:15 98:18 110:17	verbatim 95:16	vote 30:18 33:5,15	152:21 153:11,14
114:21 117:5 136:8		35:10,15 121:18	157:8,14,24 158:18
142:22 154:2	verification 99:3,4	168:10,12 171:20	161:12,14,24 166:6
160:10 194:8	99:14,16,17 105:20	174:19 179:4	166:25 167:2,5,18
201:19 203:20	106:5	vouchers 77:4,5	1 ' '
206:17	verify 90:16,22,24	117:20	170:10,10 172:6 173:1 176:25 184:2
useful 40:13 50:19	91:7,9,17 98:21	vpn 100:1,4,5	
93:11 145:2 183:17	101:3 102:3,3,16	101:19 102:20	184:8 191:14,22 193:4 203:20
191:17 201:7	135:17	104:19	205:17
user 97:7,8 104:11	versa 52:3		wanted 32:20 37:16
165:19 201:13,14	version 24:9 27:15		37:24,25 43:5 49:22
	36:22,24,24 127:21		31.47,43 43.3 47.44

[wanted - works] Page 41

54:15 65:17 84:19	20:5 24:4,5,25	153:23 154:9,20,23	204:3,4
88:1 117:7 157:7	27:12 28:18 29:15	155:2,12 156:12	wording 194:5
162:18 186:8 187:3	30:13 34:16 37:21	159:24 175:17	words 53:17 97:25
187:15 200:10	39:15 42:17,21	whichever 187:10	146:7 177:6
wanting 85:20	51:24 54:12 64:16	willing 21:12 119:17	wordy 58:24
133:13	71:11 75:20 76:5	120:10,22 121:10	work 5:4,24 6:2 7:7
wants 5:8 46:11	77:18 82:9 88:7,8	121:12 122:1	7:14,21 8:2 10:5
95:12 105:21 106:8	88:22 89:1,4,18	170:21 172:7 178:1	12:21 13:6 15:16
161:1	98:17 112:5 118:16	178:11,12 179:4,12	19:9,10,21 20:1
warm 2:19	118:17,20 120:17	wilson 2:14 8:17	21:4,7,20 22:1,2
watch 17:11 39:11	120:18 122:5	32:15 35:20 36:3,12	26:15 27:9,18 28:13
131:15,16 159:20	123:10 136:15	37:20 41:23 46:13	30:9 31:4 33:25
watched 131:17	149:10 171:15	46:18,23 47:2 50:23	34:6 39:22 52:3,23
watching 143:25	191:8 207:1,25	52:7 53:3,23 89:11	58:22 59:15 62:4
water 146:17	weak 45:9 123:23	94:23 97:24 101:12	67:15 69:10 99:25
way 21:15 22:10	weaknesses 60:10	102:8 103:10	100:18 105:14
26:12,14 30:23	web 81:2,14,20,21	107:14,20 109:9,25	106:8 114:18
39:22 43:18 52:5,23	145:5 157:15	110:8 115:4 121:18	117:10 119:18
53:16,17 54:22,23	wednesday 22:12	123:19 125:25	150:21 163:13
55:15,15 56:1 63:16	week 7:22 8:25	126:23 132:8	165:21 177:24
65:8 66:17 68:9,18	13:12 22:8 23:8	139:21 143:4	184:25 188:18
72:7 80:4,5 92:9	33:3 34:23 35:9	145:20 150:6	195:12 197:18
93:4,8 95:23 97:2	42:17 85:9	163:15 164:6,9,11	198:1 201:9,14
105:15 109:7	weeks 22:4,21	166:8 171:20,22	203:22
112:22 119:13	131:13 139:6	173:1,20,25 186:22	workable 18:13
120:3 125:19	welcome 4:12,15,15	194:5,24 195:10	worked 22:7,8
129:22 130:10	4:20,22 5:3 83:24	196:19 197:3,22	28:16,18 39:22
137:14 140:4,7	87:12 175:10	winding 180:1	79:18 142:6
141:14 142:12	went 21:2 23:8,24	windows 103:19	working 7:12 8:4
143:5,22 152:9	55:18 69:15,23	wireless 166:23	12:22 15:23,24 16:5
155:24 161:22	123:24 149:10	wisdom 148:4	19:5,17 21:13,18
165:12 166:5 167:2	156:16 192:20,21	wise 181:6	22:2 23:2 24:8
168:19 169:24	west 2:7 72:19 73:15	wish 65:6 205:13	27:10,18 28:10,15
171:15,18 174:18	73:22 137:24 138:6	208:8	34:1,11 37:18,20
176:7 177:20	139:14 140:2	wishes 77:20,23	45:17 47:5 48:5
178:15 180:3 183:8	141:16,24 181:18	83:12 199:6	55:20 69:19 78:25
184:25 193:2 194:7	183:9,19 184:2,13	wonderful 62:2	79:1,4 80:6 81:10
195:19 201:18	184:18 185:4,6,10	wondering 81:18	82:15 84:25 86:13
204:24 206:23	185:21,25 187:2,18	84:11 92:24 191:7	87:19,19 125:7
ways 168:17 171:25	188:7,17 189:7,21	word 52:6 53:16	127:21 131:4 135:6
176:5	190:17,22 191:23	66:7 67:2,24 72:6	135:15 149:20
we've 5:4,6 6:8,9,10	192:13 193:21	74:12 76:6 90:14	158:16 195:4,20,25
6:13,15,22,22,23	wheatley 2:22 64:24	92:9 96:15,15	200:23 203:21,23
7:14 9:17,21 10:10	65:8,12,17,21 66:11	120:13,19 168:8	works 67:5 76:25
10:25 15:10 17:20	66:16 150:2 153:13	169:12 172:20	146:14 159:22

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

[works - zoom] Page 42

202:14 205:3	
worksheet 188:4	Z
worksheets 188:23	z 133:10 173:6
189:1	zoom 134:17 160:2
world 45:21 100:22	160:11
105:14 109:18	
149:2	
worried 192:11	
worries 59:2	
worry 194:15	
wrap 129:14 202:9	
wrestled 200:8	
wrestling 200:7	
write 55:19 74:15	
130:9 142:7 149:15	
189:16 201:11	
writing 63:9	
written 78:25 80:4	
100:25 116:7	
129:22 136:14	
140:4 147:15 158:4	
161:22 162:19,21	
189:9 193:2 206:25	
wrong 58:7 60:9	
70:5 145:21	
wrote 125:23 201:10	
204:9	
X	
x 133:9 173:6	
y	
y 133:9 173:6	
yaqui 2:15	
year 6:22 42:24	
74:17 180:13 200:9	
years 116:5 117:4,6	
147:17 190:15	
200:25,25 201:1	
202:17 204:1,2,13	
205:16 206:10	
yellow 37:11 38:13	
38:14	
york 45:25	