	Page 1
1	NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION
2	NOTICE OF INQUIRY REGIONAL CONSULTATION
3	GROUP 1 AND 2
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	MAY 20, 2011
12	9:00 A.M.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	HELD AT: COEUR D'ALENE CASINO
18	37914 South Nukwalqw Road
19	Worley, ID 83876
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Pages 1- 88
25	Job No. 331338
	ı

May 20, 2011; 9:00 a.m.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Good morning. I'd like to take this opportunity to welcome all the tribal leaders, elders, and tribal representatives of the National Indian Gaming Commission consultation session on group 1 and 2 of our gaming -- or our regulatory review schedule. Additionally, I'd like to extend my gratitude to the Coeur d'Alene Tribe for allowing me and NIGC to hold this session on your land.

On behalf of the entire Commission, we are very grateful and honored to be able to address everyone this morning and discuss the very important issues contained in our notice of regulatory review.

My name is Dan Little and I'm the associate commissioner with the NIGC. Today I'm here on behalf of Chairwoman Tracy Stevens and Vice-Chairwoman Steffani They send their regards and look forward to Cochran. reviewing the transcript and comments that you all submitted. But before I get started, I would like to turn the session over to Councilor Jeannie Louie of the Coeur d'Alene Tribe to say some opening remarks.

JEANNIE LOUIE: (Native language spoken). Good morning, everyone. Chief Allen, our chairman, was unable to make this meeting to welcome you all and he

800-227-8440

asked me to come in his place. I am on the tribal council and I serve as the secretary on the executive board, so I would like to welcome you here to the casino, and our Coeur d'Alene people, and to our reservation.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Just a little bit about the reservation, is that back in our ancestral area days, we -- our area extended clear up to Sandpoint and over to the Spokane River, clear over to the mountains to St. Regis, and on down to the Clearwater, which is past well over a couple million acres. And, of course, through, as we all know, the federal government and the taking of lands, reduced us to the reservation that we have today.

Our casino resort here started back in 1993 when it was just a one-building bingo hall, and then from the revenues from that, we were able to expand it into a -- maybe a two-building casino, and then from there into our Sunset Hotel. And just recently and here today, we've expanded further and now we have the Sunrise Hotel, plus we also have our Circling Raven Golf Course, which is renowned throughout the country. If you haven't yet had an opportunity to play golf, we'd like to see you do that. It's a wonderful golf course.

We also have in Plummer the wellness center, which we have A gym in there for our children, and we

also have state-of-the-art exercising machines and equipment. We have pools, a therapy pool, and we also -- included with that is our Benewah Medical Center, which is owned by the Coeur d'Alene Tribe, and we service about 7,000 people that live on and off the reservation.

Our children attend the Plummer-Worley schools, elementary, middle and high schools, and we also have the tribal school located in Desmet that operates a preschool through eighth grade. We have an excellent education department that gears its efforts towards young adults, older adults into a program that allows them to achieve their degrees in the areas and the fields of their choice. And we're also very proud of our IT department that has served Internet for almost all the residents on the reservation. And also we have RESCAS (phonetic), which is a -- more like a movie direction that plays on all of our laptops or computers, and we recently are looking into having our own radio QUIS (phonetic).

And through all of that, with our government employees, all those here at the casino, resort golf course, we are now the largest employer in North Idaho. And with that, we've built the economy here in North Idaho, and we've also, among other things, that we know

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

how important gaming is. We pay probably millions of dollars in taxes to support the government, so ...

And with all of that, we have our own gaming commission and I chair that gaming commission, and we have our gaming commission CEO and that's Matthew Stensgar. He will be here in attendance, and also our attorney Brian, who you know, and Troy is here with us also, Troy Holt. He's on one of our staff of the gaming commission.

So with that, I know how very important it is and for this meeting to get started and hope that you will be able to discuss your issues and come up with some positive results for all of Indian country. And with that, if you need anything, any assistance, any help, feel free to let Lucky or any of our staff know, and they'll be glad to help you. Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Okay. Councilor, thank you very much. And I do have to commend you on your facility. I think all the NIGC staff stayed here last night, and what a wonderful facility, so thank you.

I also wanted to thank the Tribe for hosting the refreshments in the back. Please help yourself. But before I continue, I'd like to recognize NIGC staff that is here with us this morning. With me from headquarters in Washington DC is our chief of staff

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

Page 6

Paxton Myers. He's to my right. Next to him is staff attorney Melissa ...

MELISSA SCHLICHTING: "Schlichting."

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Schlichting. Okay. To my left is our controller Chris White. From our Portland regional office, we have our regional director Mark Phillips. And our field investigator Vida Bishop. I don't want to let anybody off the hook here in the room, so I'd actually like to go around the table if it would be possible and maybe we can start with my left here and maybe you can introduce yourself and the organization you're with.

Just for housekeeping, we do have a court reporter here and she is keeping a record of this event. Her name is Keri. She's to my right. When you speak in the microphone, try to speak clearly and state your name and your organization. So please start on the left here.

RON SAMUELS: Ron Samuels, enforcement director Spokane Tribe.

I'd like to thank Coeur d'Alene Tribe and NIGC for having this and Coeur d'Alene Tribe for hosting it, and also for NIGC picking the area here in the Northwest first to be able to be here conveniently.

GREG ABRAHAMSON: Greg Abrahamson, chairman

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

JACK GIFFEN, JR.: Jack Giffen, Jr., tribal

24

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

coming. As many of you know about myself, I came on

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Great. Thank you for

for Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians.

23

24

board with the Commission a little over a year ago.

It's hard to believe I've been on the Commission for over a full year now. I have a three-year term. I'm originally from Connecticut. And prior to joining the Commission, I was employed by the Mashantucket Pequot Tribe at the Foxwoods Resort & Casino where I worked as a compliance and regulatory affairs advisor.

Additionally, I work with the state legislature in Connecticut, and I served over ten years in the Army and Army Reserve.

When this new Commission was organized last summer, we developed a vision for this agency that includes a commitment to building a meaningful government-to-government working relationship with tribes. In practical terms, we are committed through respecting tribes as the primary regulators and understand our responsibilities of federal oversight of this industry.

We understand that tribes and regulators are most familiar with their own operations. We know that tribes differ from region to region, state to state, and so do their gaming operations. In order to fully support tribal regulations, we know we must work with tribes to ensure the integrity of this industry is maintained. Tribes, states, and the NIGC each have an

2.0

2.2

2.3

important function in the regulatory structure created The industry is best protected if we all by IGRA. perform our roles effectively.

We have heard from tribes that the process of consultation is just as important as the substance of consultation. True government-to-government consultation must be inclusive, collaborative, meaningful, and must be an ongoing dialogue and exchange of ideas. It's not merely a box we check off in developing policy.

With this in mind, last fall the Commission began a process to identify regulations that possibly needed review and on November 18th of 2010, we issued a Notice of Inquiry advising the public that the NIGC would be conducting a comprehensive review of all regulations promulgated to implement the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act and requested comments.

The purpose of the NOI was to hear from tribes on which regulations were in most need of revision, in what order should they be reviewed, and what process should the Commission utilize to make provisions.

In January and February, the Commission held a series of eight consultations throughout the country to listen to tribal leaders and invited written comments to be submitted by February 12th of this year.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

reviewing all the consultation transcripts submitted, on April 1st the Commission published a notice of regulatory review schedule. The review schedule provides a comprehensive agenda for addressing the regulations raised during the NOI.

Based upon tribal consultations and comments, the Commission decided to organize the regulatory reviews into five groups. The regulations in each group were reviewed separately from the regulations in other groups, and specific regulations in each group may proceed through the regulatory review process independently from each other -- from other regulations in a particular group. We understand that some regulations are a lot more complicated than others, and that we don't want to do this as one huge block, because then we might have problems getting things done.

So today we're here to talk about those regulations included in group 1 and 2 of the notice of regulatory review schedule. Paxton Myers is going to go through a PowerPoint presentation that gives you a little more information and detailed explanation of the items included in group 1 and group 2.

Before I get started, once again remind everybody, I want to comment that we encourage your comments. State your name, speak clearly into a

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

microphone, and your organization. The meeting is being transcribed by Keri Veare. We will post the transcript, like all of the consultations, on our Web site. If you haven't been on our Web site NIGC.gov, click on the regulatory review box on the left, you'll see any of the comments, agendas, PowerPoint presentations, anything submitted by the Tribe, anything. Any drafts are all online there. So if you don't get something today, you don't hear something, it will be online there.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

We're scheduled to go to 5 o'clock. These meetings have not been going that long. We'll try to come back after lunch. If there's no one here, we might not go the full time, so I encourage everybody, if you have comments, to make -- do them earlier.

I don't think there's any media reporters in the room, but this meeting is for tribal leaders and your representatives. The Commission, we respect the tribe's rights. If you prefer an attorney or one of your tribal representatives from your gaming commission to speak on behalf of the Tribe, we accept that and we encourage that, so we welcome that. And I think that is it.

I'm going to turn it over to Paxton right now. So thank you and welcome.

PAXTON MYERS: Thanks, Dan. (Native language

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

spoken). Good morning. My name is Paxton Myers. I'm the chief of staff of the National Gaming Commission. I am also an enrolled member in the Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians in North Carolina. And I want to thank the Coeur d'Alene Tribe and everybody for welcoming us here today.

As you know, the consultation is a big key component of what we do here as an agency, so we are adhering to executive order of 13,175, which has been in place by President Clinton and reaffirmed by President Obama shortly after he took office.

The consultation has three parts. One is we encourage tribes to develop their own policies to achieve the program objective. Where possible, we defer to Indian tribes to establish their own standards, and we're determined to establish federal standards and consult with tribal officials as to the need for federal standards and any alternatives that would more or less preserve the authority of Indian tribes.

Our regulatory review process, we've broken it down into three phases: Preliminary drafting phase, which will allow consultations with written comments; proposal rule, which will have additional consultations and a 60-day comment period; and then the final rule, which will have a 45-day comment period.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

The NIGC has divided the regulations up into five groups. The groups do not indicate any priority, only a number of factors, including the subject matter, comments that we received in response to -- from the NOI regarding the priority, estimated time, and resources to complete the review. So that's why you'll see group 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, and we're consulting on groups 1 and 2 today. But in the near future, we'll be doing 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 eventually.

The consultation process, preliminary discussion drafts for the consultation will be initial working drafts, which we are working on those now. You have in your packets, I think, working drafts of group 1. We're in the process of completing the working drafts in group 2, which we should have those out fairly soon. I think we have the last meetings next week with internal staff, so we should have those published fairly soon so you guys could have a chance to review those.

As Dan stated, this meeting will be transcribed, so everything that's been said here will be transcribed. Written comments and transcripts will be posted on our Web site.

We want to commit that every comment that we receive will be reviewed and considered. Any proposed or final rule will include a summary of the comments

2.0

2.2

2.3

that we have received. The Commission is committed to a clear and transparent process, so therefore, we'll have everything posted on the Web site. If anyone's had a chance to visit the Web site, we're posting all the comments, all the consultations that are transcribed will be posted on the Web site, so feel free to look at our Web site if you have any questions following today's consultation.

Group 1. Under group 1, we will address the fees and the fee schedule, the review and approval of existing ordinance and resolutions, the facility licensing, the Buy Indian Act regulation, and the minimum internal control standards for class III gaming.

We're asking actually on this part to get some feedback from tribes on how they would like for us to proceed with class III post-CRIT decision.

Part 514, which is the fees. The discussion draft will contain the fee calculation to be made based on a gaming operation's fiscal year rather than a calendar year. That's proposed in section 514(a)(1). The fee rate to be published in March rather than February 1st to allow more time for accurate preliminary rate. This is proposed in section 514(a)(2). The removal of amortization section from section 514(b)(2)(ii) to reflect existing practices.

2.0

2.2

2.3

Continuing with 514, also proposed is going back to quarterly payments as opposed to biannual payments. To clarify, when computing fees, the gaming operation will utilize part 514(b) calculation to determine assessable gross revenues for the previous fiscal year. And finally, notification period for the gaming operation changes its fiscal year. This is proposed in 514(c)(7).

I'm kind of running through these, but we'll have time for questions after we get through with the PowerPoint presentation.

Continuing on with the fees and the discussion draft, we have a new section which is entitled "Late payment system prior to notice of violation." section will include appeal options, defines late payment, which is payments within 91 days of end fiscal year versus failure to pay annual payments made after 91 days of the end of the fiscal year. Also, late payment results in a fee. Failure to pay late annual fee payments is a substantial violation that could result in an NOV or possible closure order.

Continuing on, discussion draft regulation. Α new section will be the fingerprint processing fees, proposed section 514(c)(9)-(11). Clarifies NIGC's collection of this fee. Clarifies NIGC publish the fee

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

amount biannually. Potential questions that we might propose to tribes: Should the term admission fee be changed to entry fee? Should the term tournament fee be included in examples of admission or entry fee?

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

Other potential questions that we propose:

Definition of gross gaming revenue. The NOI asked if
the term should be changed to be consistent with GAAP
for calculating the purpose of the fees. This
discussion draft does not make this change, however.
Another question: Should the Commission define wager
and payout?

Finally, the written comment period for draft fee regulation closes May 31st, 2011. And like I said, Chris is here to answer any questions when we get to the question area about the fees.

Part 523, review and approval of existing ordinances and resolutions. This only applies to gaming ordinances enacted by tribes prior to January 22nd, 1993 which were not submitted to the Chair for review or approval. Should this part be repealed? We have no ordinances now on the books that were prior to 1993, so we're proposing that we just eliminate this section all together.

Moving to part 559, facility license notifications, renewals, and submissions. The NOI asked

should this part be revised. We received a number of comments. The majority of the comments supported reopening this part for review. Indicated concerns about the process by which the part was adopted. Stated NIGC did not have the authority over environmental, public health and safety issues, which are also covered by other federal agencies.

Continuing on, discussion draft regulation proposed to change the time frame for tribes to submit a notice of new facility license from 120 days to 60 days, and we reserve the right at the Chair's discretion to request another additional 60 days for review. It also includes a provision for expediting the process for verifying Indian land status when circumstances permit.

Continuing on. We also proposed that the tribes will send newly issued or renewed facility license to the Chair within 30 days of issuance. Also proposed, the Tribe will certify the Tribe has determined the construction and maintenance of the gaming facility and operation of the gaming conducted in a manner adequately protects the environment and public health and safety.

Also included in the discussion draft is notice of the facility license sent to the Chair within 30 days when the license is terminated or expires, or if

2.0

2.2

2.3

a gaming place, facility, or location closes or reopens. Also proposed, Indian land and environmental and public health and safety documentation provided only if the Chair requests. And electronic submissions will be accepted.

The written comment period for preliminary draft discussion on part 559 closes June 17th, 2011.

Moving forward to the Buy Indian Act. This is just for the agency to adopt the Buy Indian regulation, for the agency not to have that imposed on the tribes.

Comments from the NOI were generally supportive. NIGC have adopted such a regulation. Allows NIGC to Buy Indian when purchasing goods, services, and property. Supported by IGRA. And the Commission intends to distribute a regulation very soon. We're still in the drafting phases of that.

Class III MICS. As I stated earlier in group 1, we are just right now talking about what structure do we use to address class III MICS. We're asking for tribes to give input on what is that structure that they would like to see and how did this issue impact tribes, states and regions differently across United States. You'll have different views in California and Oklahoma, as you might have where I'm from in North Carolina or Mississippi or out in this

2.0

2.2

2.3

area.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

So we're asking -- we're soliciting information from tribes about how do we proceed with class III's. Is it guidance? Is it a bulletin? Is it regulations? Those types of things.

When we get to group 5, we anticipate these meetings will focus on the practical implementation of what was discussed in group 1 of class III MICS.

As stated, we're asking how do tribes -- what structure would they like to see NIGC address in class III MICS issue. CRIT held the Commission did not have authority to promulgate established in class III MICS. What is the impacts to certain tribes and certain regions? What is the impact to states? So we want to again solicit information from a variety of all tribes across the United States on this issue.

Through the NOI, tribes suggested that we replace part 542 with recommended guidelines. Another option was to address this through agency and tribal compacting process. Tribal ordinance is incorporating part 542 with NIGC applying different fee rate to those particular tribes that adopt class -- our class III regulations. Also, we had to maintain part 542 and convene a Tribal Advisory Committee to update the current regulation, and then some tribes said total

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

repeal 542.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

Moving on to group 2, that will be enforcement and the regulations concerning procedures before the Commission, parts 519, which is service; 524, appeals; 539, appeals; and 577, appeals before the Commission.

The enforcement section. The NOI requested comments on whether the NIGC should promulgate regulation authorizing the withdrawal of an NOV by the chair. Received numerous comments. Some comments were the regulation is unnecessary because there's no prohibition on withdrawal now. A specific regulation outlining the process and circumstances of withdrawal is appropriate. Another comment received: Only the Commission has the ability to withdraw an NOV. Additional comments: NOV should be expunged after a certain number of years for noncompliance issues resulting in NOV have been resolved. NOVs posted on the Web site should not have identifying information. NIGC should work with tribes to bring into compliance so NOV is never a surprise. The agency policy now is sufficient. If the NIGC and tribe discussed the IGRA violation prior to the issuance of NOV, then a withdrawal would not be necessary and voluntary compliance model should be utilized.

Proceedings before the Commission. The NOI

requested comment on whether the rules for proceedings before the Commission should be reviewed. Received numerous comments. Some of those said a more formal process may be more burdensome on tribes, costly and delay the process for review. Any rules should guarantee due process for the Tribe. Consolidate all the regulations regarding proceedings before the Commission.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

Proceedings before the Commission. The NOI requested comment on whether the NIGC should consider a more comprehensive and detailed procedural rules for proceedings before the Commission. Tribal comments, again, said consolidate all regulations regarding proceedings before the Commission, so to make them more understanding, more understandable, and they can find them all in one place. Eliminate the presiding officer proceedings. Add informal hearing option to the ordinance and management contracting approval process.

The written comment period for preliminary draft fee closed -- 514 closes May 31st, 2011. Written comment period for the preliminary draft facility licensing 559 closes June 17th, 2011.

I'll now turn things back over to Commissioner
Little for questions. Thank you again. (Native
language spoken.)

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Okay. That was a lot. One thing that I think -- trying to get folks comfortable with it, I think in the past a lot of times folks came to these consultation sessions and just listened to the NIGC speak. We're -- as you can see by the presentation, we're very interested in your comments.

Kind of a three-step process here where the NOI, like I said, was to determine which regulations and what time frame and what performance. Many tribes submitted comments, some very good comments that were taken into account. That was one of the -- the three issues, like I said, we were really interested in is what issue, you know, what priority and when.

So we're -- we're going to start off with 514, the fees, and just start going through there. And I encourage you that if you have comment, just, you know, pick up a microphone and speak any time. We've got a few on the table.

So getting started on 514, there is a couple changes, and I encourage Chris White here to jump in anytime. Couple changes were -- are helpful for the Commission. A couple years ago we went to a biannual fee assessment, which has proven to be a little difficult, implementing from a budgetary standpoint,

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

and, you know, the Commission is proposing that we go back to four times a year. That was in the past and it states in IGRA. Does anybody have any suggestions/comments they'd like to talk about about going back to the quarterly fee assessment?

Okay. Just trying to go through some notes of what tribes had commented. All right. Another question in the NOI was some tribes requested the ability to choose to pay fees based on a calendar year or a fiscal These regulations require a fiscal year. Does anybody like to comment on that? Not everybody speak at once.

If you don't -- if you're not prepared to make a statement today, we encourage you you can submit questions or comments at a later date, so I don't want to feel like -- we're not bullying you to say something. Honestly, it's okay if you don't have -- not prepared to, you know, say something. I know a lot of you may have to go back and talk to your councils first before you say something, so we definitely understand that.

Fee submission schedules, a draft regulation that returns to the quarterly fee submission. Is there any concerns on that, or no?

Okay. Late fees. This was an interesting one that -- it's very important to this Commission. Notice

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of violations we understand are very big, big items, big issues. In the past, the Commission has issued notice of violations for late fees, and we're proposing that we implement sort of a ticketing late fee process.

Basically that, you know, certain time thresholds are met, a fee will be implemented automatically versus the Commission, the chairwoman putting a formal notice of violation together.

We understand those create, you know, bonding issues with some financiers. They create public relations problems with your, you know, folks in your area. And, you know, we understand, okay -- I mean, fees are very important. This Commission does not receive federal appropriations, so the fees that you all pay fund the agency. We understand that's very important. We need those fees in order to continue operations. However, is it a seven-day late, you know submission? Does that warrant a notice of violation, the whole formal process of issuing a violation?

We proposed setting up a four-tiered system.

I think that's on page 5 of the proposed regulation,
preliminary draft. And that is lines 13 through 20.

And the idea -- one of the things we're really
interested in is, we've got -- in line 13, we begin with
the first late schedule and then we have four tiers up

2.0

2.2

2.3

until 91 days. On the 92nd day, it does become a -- it does become a major violation at which time the chair can issue a violation.

We figured up to 91 days there should be a process. And does anybody have any comment whether we should do a percentage of the fees, whether it should be a flat fee, whether it should be based on the gaming revenue?

Yes, sir? Mike right there. Just state your name and your organization, please.

JOSEPH TURREY: Yeah, my name is Joe Turrey.

I'm councilman for Lower Elwha Tribe. I have a prepared statement here and you're jumping around on everything here. I could just read the section on 514 if you prefer or the whole statement?

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: It's up to you. If you'd like to, you know, go through your statement, that'd be fine.

JOSEPH TURREY: Well, I'm going to read the whole statement here and I'll cover the 514 here. This was addressed to Chairwoman Stevens, Vice Chairman Cochran, Associate Commissioner Little. It says, Thank you for conducting this consultation session and providing this opportunity for Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe to comment on the group 1 and 2 regulations subject to

2.0

2.2

this discussion today.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

Adoption of a Buy Indian Act Regulation. The Tribe fully supports the proposal that the Commission implement a Buy Indian policy and believes that it is entirely appropriate given the Commission's role.

Part 523, review and approval of existing ordinances or resolutions. The Tribe has no objection to removing this part.

Part 514, fees. The Tribe, one, supports proposal to shift the fee calculation period to particularly -- to the particular tribe's fiscal year; two, the Tribe does not object to changing the admission fees to entry fees; and three, the Tribe believes that the free play should not be counted in determining the amount of money wagered on class II and class III games.

Further, the Tribe strongly supports the proposal to institute late fee penalties in lieu of more drastic measures when the Tribe fails to submit fee payments or quarterly payment statement in a timely manner, including the provision permitting negotiation of a settlement. The Tribe suggests that the late fees be either a percentage of the total fee due or otherwise based on a scale that accounts for the income of the facility so that the late fee is relative to the amount due. I have some examples here if you want to --

800-227-8440

example of a late fee: Up to greater than \$100 or

.1 percent of the total fee due, assessed for each day
the payment is late for the first 30 days; a late fee up
to greater of \$200 or .25 percent of the total fees due,
assessed for each day from the 31st to the 60th day.

And then a late payment, late fee up to greater of \$300
or .5 percent of the total fee, assessed each day for
the 61st days through the 90th day of late payment.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

Part 559, facility license notification, renewals, and submissions. The Tribe recommends removing part 559 entirely. In the alternative, the Tribe strongly supports the proposed changes, in particular, the deletion of most of 559.5. As it is currently written, 559.5 is over broad, overly burdensome, and outside the scope of the Commission's authority.

Part 542, minimum internal control standards for class III gaming. In light of the Colorado River Indian Tribe's case, the Tribe supports removing the invalidated minimum internal control standards regulations and suggests providing the standards as a guide or model available for use as needed.

Part 573, enforcement. The Tribe supports revision part 573 to, one, require that notices of violation and orders of closure be issued only after all

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

1 other reasonable measures have been taken and good-faith efforts have been made to resolve the problem; two, clarify that the Commission has the inherent authority 3 to withdraw a notice of violation; three, incorporate 5 the proposed late fee system from part 514 and make it clear that the orders of closure should be issued for 6 7 late payments only under the very limited circumstances 8 after more than 90 days have passed and it has become clear that the failure to pay is a willful refusal. 10 Basically, that's all we have. Thank you for your time. 11 COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Well, I appreciate that. 12 Will you be submitting those? Will you be submitting 13 those comments? 14 Yes, I have a written comment. JOSEPH TURREY: 15 COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I appreciate that. 16 We'll give it to the transcriptionist, so we have a copy 17 of it. Does any other tribes have written comments that 18 they would like to go ahead and submit? Chairman. 19 GREG ABRAHAMSON: Yeah, we do. Thank you, Dan, for the opportunity to address NIGC and these 2.0 21 important issues identified in group 1 and 2. On behalf

Spokane Tribe will observe and listen to the

of the Spokane Tribe, I'm submitting a preliminary

written statement. I say preliminary because the

session is only one of many.

2.2

2.3

24

consultation session. The Tribe anticipates additional drafts to be circulated before the end of the group 1 and group 2 consultations and likely will submit supplemental drafts at this time. What we do have is we have a six-page written documentation, and I don't need to burden everybody reading it off today, but we will submit it in too for testimony later.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Thank you.

GREG ABRAHAMSON: But we did have -- my chairman and commission did have a comment on the tier level.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: If you want to summarize, you're more than welcome to. I mean, the time is yours. You want to summarize.

ANDREW MATHERLY: Andrew Matherly, chairman of the Gaming Commission. The question to you, Mr. Little, is the -- you indicated NIGC has a proposed tier level.

Is it appropriate if I ask what that tier level is?

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: No, we don't -- we got tiers, but we've left it blank. If you look on page 5

ANDREW MATHERLY: Okay.

of the preliminary draft, they're blank.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Maybe we don't. Maybe it's online. Oh, 559. I'm sorry. We might be able to pull up a copy. My apologies. I think it's online, but

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

Page 31

we'll get this up here for you.

2.0

2.2

2.5

ANDREW MATHERLY: The Spokane Tribe reiterates with the -- what we're looking at a percentage, based on the four different levels we're looking at from 1 to 30 calendar days late. So we have those built in our comments, so I won't state them again. They're similar to Lower Elwha.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I appreciate that. Thank you very much.

My apologies. I thought that we had copies of that. It is on the Web site. And, Chairman, I thank you for reminding me. These are preliminary drafts. This is just a first brick in kind of a compilation of all the comments that we've heard.

We expect that, you know, there will be changes after we, you know, hear more feedback from the Tribe. So thank you for bringing that point. We've got -- probably at least two or three more times in this area we'll be back here, and then we'll progress before we move to final rules. So there will be plenty of opportunities to comment. There will be plenty of drafts that you'll see. As we get drafts available, preliminary discussion drafts, we'll get them online and then we'll get them out to everybody.

Yes, ma'am?

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So these time lines,
2 they're for comments on your most current proposed
3 drafts?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Correct, preliminary draft.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Or if you've got other comments that you don't see in there, please, you know, put them down and make those suggestions, okay? We're real interested in hearing what you have to say. Is there any other tribes that got prepared statements that they'd like to -- I think it takes a couple seconds.

DEBRA PARKER: We have comments, but on part 542. So do you want me to hold off on that?

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yeah. Why don't we get to that point. Just 542?

DEBRA PARKER: At this moment, yeah.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Okay. Thanks. Does anybody have any comments on 514 so far?

LANA PAGE: For the Salish and Kootenai Tribes, we're in agreement with having a late payment system. However, we would like to kind of address -- the chair has a lot of, I guess, authority as to distinguishing what type of late payment would be, and it's kind of -- well, it -- I guess if we actually come

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

Page 33

up with an amount, it would be kind of arbitrary. And in the past, you know, the tribes have always been a little bit concerned with the Bill Hogan administration and how that could have an impact on whether he's -- was mad at the tribes at that time are coming out, commenting under our thing. So I guess it's always been a little bit of a barrier for the tribes to see that, you know, with that in there.

I mean, we have no problems with this administration, but it just -- as a concern that after this administration, what administration's going to take over after that and what kind of fees will they be putting out there? Is it going to be, you know, it's just -- it's a little bit scary, I guess, for the tribes to have that uncertain with those fees, and if it's going to be arbitrary or if it's going to be set at an amount and have that across the board for all late payments.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Your comment is very well taken, and we did hear that some of the fines assessed in the past were a little heavy. And that's why I think -- do you believe that if we had a set percentage or a set fee in the regulation, that would satisfy some of those concerns?

LANA PAGE: I believe -- I mean, to some

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

2.0

2.2

point, yeah, it would, but I guess we haven't really gotten that far. I mean, we would like to kind of see exactly where it would be, but that was just our concern, is that seeing, you know, that it would be straight across the board instead of having that discretion only with the chairperson at that time. So I guess that would be -- and like I said, I mean, the amounts are whether it's percentage or a set amount, yeah.

2.0

2.2

2.5

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Okay. Just checking to see. I think the regs do give the Chair a lot of discretion. That could be just once you pass the 91st day whether or not to issue an NOV. But I do appreciate your comment. Thank you.

Anybody else have any other -- the comment -- I mean, in the proposed draft, the Chair may, which doesn't provide some discretion there. It's a shall. You know, in Washington DC may and shall are huge debatable items. But I do -- point well taken. We'll definitely, you know, consider that, so thank you.

Another -- and this is probably something that some folks are not fully versed on, and I don't expect you to, is on the new section fingerprint proposed fees. And this just clarifies the NIGC collection of fees, and I think the question we have should the term -- okay.

Should the term admission fee be changed to an entry fee?

I think on -- well, let's -- fingerprint fees, first of all, we collect fingerprint fees. There's been some issues with how we can then actually utilize that, so I think a comment on the whole issue on how we can utilize that. Then on fee calculation, it is how admission fee versus entry fees, and I think a lot of these have to do with folks that have poker facilities and should the fee to enter a tournament be used in calculating gross gaming revenue. I don't know if anybody has any comment on that or those fingerprint fee.

Any questions on -- the NOI asked if the term in the definition of post-gaming revenue be changed or to make it consistent with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles -- GAAP, you know, used guite frequently -for the purpose of calculating the fees. Does anybody have any comments, suggestions?

LANA PAGE: I just have a comment, again, on the fingerprinting fees, I believe. Is that for those fees? Is that an addition in -- I mean, in the past, I know there's been, with the Commission and the fees that have been collected from tribes, there's been talk that there's been excessive amount of fee left over to have

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

different, I guess, trainings and different things for the tribes. Is that no longer -- is there no longer a surplus with the Commission in amounts of fees that are out there, or is that ...

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Very good question. the whole idea of fees, and I've asked Chris White where that coffee can was and he swears there's no coffee can. There's no surplus. And this gets back to the fingerprint fees. I guess there was a point in time -and, Chris, interrupt me any time you'd like -- the Commission had trouble in accessing those fees collected, and to a point, considerable amount of money had accumulated.

Over the past couple years, the fee rate was capped at a lower level and that money was spent. was used for operations. Very reluctantly, earlier this year, and I know Chris can attest to this because we beat him up pretty bad, we had to raise the fee. Something that we really did not want to do, but one of the reasons why we had to raise the fee was because there was a surplus from the previous commission that was utilized to fund operations.

Once that money was spent down, we're basically -- the fee that -- fee rate that we adopted, preliminary fee we adopted in January, basically just

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

funds current operation, so there's no surplus. I hope that answers your question.

LANA PAGE: Yeah. I guess that would be the thing, to see that the fingerprinting fee is not going to be a part of the funding operations but rather that just for the fingerprinting itself.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yes.

LANA PAGE: Is that ...

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I'll let Chris answer that.

CHRIS WHITE: Yeah, thank you. What this proposed regulations for fingerprinting fees does is basically formalizes what we already do. The one practical effect it has on my side of the house is that it allows me to incorporate these fees into our general revenue account. But the rate we charge will continue to be based on our actual costs in processing fingerprint fees.

We have a number of personnel that are -their costs are to process fingerprint fees, equipment
replacement, that type of thing. And that review is
written into this regulation and will require the
Commission to review that fee annually and base it on
the cost of the Commission and in addition to the costs
that the FBI charges us to process those cards.

So to -- I guess it's sort of a roundabout way of answering your question. No, it's not -- we're not collecting fees for fingerprints to fund other aspects and other operations within the Commission. That's what our gaming fees are for and that's what their gaming fees fund.

2.0

2.2

LANA PAGE: But the fees will be used to -for your -- well, I guess your personnel that do the
fingerprinting fees, though? I mean, it won't just be
for the actual cost of the fee for the fingerprints?

CHRIS WHITE: That's correct. That fee is
to -- is based on the costs of what it cost the
Commission to process those cards. Now, the flip side
of that is that it prevents us or it takes the necessity
out of using our gaming fees to subsidize this program
that is only used by a certain number of tribes.

LANA PAGE: How much does that fee usually cost for processing now? Is that part of your taking it out of the annual fees right now?

CHRIS WHITE: No. The fee right now is based on actual cost to the Commission.

LANA PAGE: And how much is that? Because -I mean, it's my understanding, though, that tribes have
an agreement with -- I mean, if they could, they could
go directly through the FBI, right, and have those

fees -- or is there --

2.0

2.2

2.5

CHRIS WHITE: And that's an operational question, but it's my understanding the FBI will not allow tribes to interface with them directly. They require them to go through the NIGC.

LANA PAGE: Okay. I thought there was some type of equipment out there where some of them are, I mean, like law enforcement where they can go through their law enforcement? But maybe I'm wrong as to that, as to how that ...

CHRIS WHITE: I don't -- you know, I don't work on the operational side of the house, but it is my understanding that the FBI has stated that if tribes want to utilize that fingerprinting system, they have to go through the NIGC. Now, I know there's some states that will process cards for tribes as part of the compact, so ...

I mean, it wouldn't be -- if tribes -- I guess if tribes wanted to utilize that way, would that be covered under these regulations then, I mean, if there's an alternative mode where they could -- wouldn't have to pay for these fingerprinting fees and wanted to go through like the state and cut the costs? Is there --

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

CHRIS WHITE: Yeah, there's nothing to prevent

tribes from going through states or other agencies to satisfy this requirement. There's no requirement that the tribes utilize the NIGC fingerprint service.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I mean, the fee is minimal. I think it's what, \$28?

CHRIS WHITE: 24.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.5

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: It's \$24. I tell you, looking operationally on a budget standpoint, it is basically what the FBI charges plus the actual cost of the employee to process it. It's not a lot. Point of reference, Connecticut, the license to compact was through them and they charge in excess of \$175 per person. So I tell you, we're not making a lot of money off of this. Good point. Another good question. I hope if we haven't clarified this, if you want to submit that in your comments, that would be very helpful.

LANA PAGE: Okay.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Good discussion thus far. Anyone have any other comments on this? Just trying to go through the list here and make sure that we're covering all the parts here.

TERRY GOUDY-RAMBLER: My comment is --

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Takes a couple seconds

24 to initialize because it's wireless, so ...

Could you please state your name and

organization, please.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

TERRY GOUDY-RAMBLER: My name is Terry

Goudy-Rambler, Yakama Nation. My comment is, if what
we're discussing now and this is a comment period, I
believe that by you addressing the tribes, that some of
this stuff that you have pointed out should have already
been addressed, like what she's saying.

The tribes have Bureau of Indian Affairs. The BIA employees have to go through background checks. I believe, you know, this should have been sorted out by you probably, because you sound like you're -- you know what -- that it should be dropped back to the tribes with solutions so that the tribes could go ahead and evaluate them.

And then like what you're asking us to comment on, because I also wanted to comment on the fees. When tribes have powwows, our Indians are taxed. That's a tribally band. So I believe that it should not be taxed, and I don't know how we could address that, whether we can have it written for internal controls or whether we can go ahead and provide tribal funds like through our timber resource, something that we pay these funds, so that we can say it's tax exempt for our natives that participate in it.

I believe that class III, the Yakamas are

going to go ahead, and this is our first meeting, our group here, and we'll be taking all this information back to our attorneys, but I believe that when we had our gaming put onto the reservations, that that was for us, for our economic growth, and we also helped the United States with billions of dollars in the economic growth. So that's just kind of what I wanted to point out here. Thank you.

2.0

2.2

2.5

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yes, sir?

RICHARD GEORGE: Richard George, Yakama Nation. I just have my comments are my own personal.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: They're welcome.

RICHARD GEORGE: The Yakama Nation is forming a consultation process and we're using for all federal agencies, so I don't know if this particular 13,175 consultation of tribal governments, myself, I'm not considering this consultation with tribal governments. I think that a representative of your guys' department can come and meet with us tribal government or the tribal company with you guys, and that's what I would call a consultation.

We are making a consultation process that we're making for all federal agencies, but a lot of these areas that we haven't been -- all this information here is kind of new to us, so we kind of get back to the

- Tribe and go to the lawyers or whatever, but I think
 that a lot of these issues here have to be discussed.
- 3 And that's what Warren here is on our development
- 4 committee, so he does have some statements on this.
- 5 This isn't just my own. Thank you.
- COMMISSIONER LITTLE: It's all right. I

 appreciate both your comments and I'm delighted that

 your tribe is here. I assure you, sir, this is

 government-to-government consultation. I'm a member of

 the Commission. There's a three-member commission.

 I've been appointed by the Secretary of Interior, so

 your comments will be submitted. You'll -- it will be
- single comment, so I want to thank you again for coming

submitted and the full Commission is reviewing every

- 15 here. I'm glad and I hope to see you at future
- consultations when we're back in the area, so thank you.
- And, Miss, thank you for your comments. I really
- 18 appreciate those. Thank you.
- 19 Yes, sir?
- 20 WARREN SPENCER, JR.: Good morning. You know,
- 21 this is --

13

- 22 COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Excuse me, sir, could
- 23 you just state your name?
- 24 WARREN SPENCER, JR.: Oh, sorry. Warren
- 25 Spencer, Yakama Nation tribal council. As Richard

stated, that we weren't privileged to this information, and I was just recently delegated to be a part of this. Sounds like it's going to be --

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: It's good, very good.

WARREN SPENCER, JR.: But a lot of work. you know, you guys as NIGC regarding Terry's comment and also the other lady's comment, you know, there's a lot of federal laws that are implicated into this process. Just like Terry mentioned, the powwows, the contest winners are taxed, but also too there's the Freedom of Religion Act dealing with this as Native Americans. And, you know, which myself, everything's tied into this and we need to think beyond gaming, you know, the Freedom of Religion, dealing with the powwows, dealing with the ceremonies and also too the contributions that we provide to the communities, that we provide to the local and state entities also, too. Those need to be addressed. And myself, I am in agreement with Richard, that Yakama Nation doesn't view this as a government-to-government consultation because we're only 3 of 14 elected officials. And just for the record, that, you know, I want to state that also, too. you.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: All right. Thank you.

25 You know, we are in the final stages of our formal

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

consultation policy. That is online. So I encourage you to take a look at that and submit any comments if you got on that. So thank you for your comment, sir. Thank you for commenting.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

Did you have some comments, ma'am? Is there anyone else that would like to make any more comments on 514?

We're going to move on to 523. And as Paxton had stated earlier, these are obsolete regulations. These were used before to accommodate the tribes that were gaming when the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act was adopted. Like Paxton said, none of these ordinances are in effect and they're obsolete, so the Commission is proposing they be deleted.

Does anybody have any comment on these?

Okay. Moving right along. The facility
license, part 559. The NOI asked: Should this be
revised? We heard a lot of comments on the facility
license that tribes were not happy with the process that
was followed for promulgation of this rule. They also
were not happy with some of the environmental health
safety requirements in there.

Anybody like to comment on this? Yes, sir?

JACK GIFFEN, JR.: Yes. My name is Jack

Giffen, Jr., tribal council representing the

Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde Community of Oregon.

Facility licensing regulation group 1(d), as a tribe that has earned certificate of self-regulation, Grand Ronde has previously urged the Commission to exempt self-regulated tribes from the facility license regulation.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

Along with the other regulations under review, Grand Ronde recommends the Commission evaluate whether the facility license regulation impacts one of the powers described in IGRA from which Congress specifically exempted self-regulated tribes. This being said, Grand Ronde has reviewed preliminary draft amendment to the facility license regulations which are posted on the Commission's Web site and is pleased with the direction the Commission is taking with regards to the regulation. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Okay. Thank you, sir. Would anybody else like to comment on the facility license? I know this is a very contentious issue, so, you know, please don't be bashful.

LANA PAGE: Lana Page, Salish Kootenai Tribes again. I think it goes back to that a lot of these we would probably see those open back up and see that the Commission at the time didn't have that authority to go in and ask for additional requirements under the

environmental, and things that were already covered under the federal laws that the tribes have to abide by in regards to some of those safety health and concern where the tribes already implement themselves.

2.0

2.2

2.3

So it would be something where we would like to, you know, having that additional requirements puts a little bit of a burden on tribes to have to go in and show that every time when they have it. I mean, I can see if it's as to a new facility being opened at the time, but just the fact that the NIGC needs to, I mean, see that the tribes all follow the federal laws and regulation and tribal laws and regulations in place, so not having additional burdens placed on them from that.

anybody have any comment -- I know -- and I apologize I wasn't aware of this, but I understand some tribes, their casino operations are seasonal. Does anybody have any comment -- I'm not sure if this is applicable to anyone here in the room on how this affects the facility licensing. Because I think, you know, if you close the facility for the season, you would then have to resubmit another facility license in order to open. Does anybody have any comment on does that cause undue burden? Yes, sir?

RON SAMUELS: Ron Samuels, Spokane Tribe. We

have one operation that is seasonal. It's Two Rivers
Casino. It closed in '09 in October and reopened in May
and the Tribe did not resubmit to NIGC based on the fact
that we had personnel on site 24 hours a day. So it was
closed to the gaming public, but it was occupied by
whether it be security from the operation side or
surveillance from the regulatory side. So the comment
on having to resubmit, I think, is a little inaccurate,
at least in our predicament.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

So it's not really a -- I don't think it's -if the facility's still there, I know there was another
tribe in the state on the west side that closed for a
fairly good time and then they reopened. I don't know
what exactly their process was, but the games were still
there. You know, it was just a matter of supervising to
make sure somebody didn't come in and vandalize the
property, primarily the vacant parts. But I don't know
why the Tribe would have to resubmit to NIGC.
Basically, it's not going to be Two Rivers Casino Resort
No. 2 down the road, so ...

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I appreciate that.

Thank you for that comment. And I'll throw this out here. I know a lot of folks are not happy with environmental safety and health requirements in IGRA -- I mean, in this regulation, rather. Does anybody have

any experiences how -- you know, because -- you know, tribes have commented that there's other federal agencies that do, you know, have this kind of area of expertise, like OSHA, I believe. Does anybody have any comments they'd like to talk about that? Sir?

ANDREW MATHERLY: Andrew Matherly, Spokane
Tribe. What I want to comment on is the Spokane Tribe
takes strong objection with the current rule. And one,
just an experience of being with the Tribe for a long
time is the -- we had a situation where the NIGC
postings -- you get on there and it will show ours
complies with facility license or whatnot -- and it will
have the X's and it will show compliance, but I'm not
blaming this administration, but previous and former
administration before that, when you talk about the
health and safety issues and you have staff that come in
and they give you a checklist or they won't give you the
checklist to review; however, leave the facility and
then, you know, want to give you a notice of violation.

One issue with us was the sprinkler system, you know. They've been coming there for years, year after year. And then all of a sudden, we got this certification for compliance for the previous ten years before that, then you get sent an agreement, which is tribes settle with the settlement agreement. Obviously,

2.0

2.2

2.3

we want to be in compliance.

But I think the public health and safety issue is too broad. Because, you know, like with our tribe, you engage in a compact. Compact provision in there with the State of Washington that we have to comply with, and then you get NIGC coming in and there's a different set of rules. And, you know, we also encompass (inaudible), another police officer on board, so you got three different entities trying to work together. From a commission level, it's always been of importance to have our employees and our patrons protected.

So we do establish our own ordinance. We have our own rules. I think some of the public health and safety matters, whether it plays in the facility license, need to be relooked at or redrafted. And in reading some of the comments, I know this is one of -- again, the Spokane Tribe wants to challenge some of this as we get in more depth. So thank you.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I appreciate that firsthand experience and that's important to the Commission so we can get some more information on that. So I definitely appreciate that. Does anybody else want to comment on facility license?

I guess we can go on to the Buy Indian Act if

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

2.0

2.2

anyone doesn't have any further comments on the facility license.

And just for a clarification, if there's any issues that I can go back to that we've already covered, please just speak up and more than welcome -- interested in hearing if there's something you forgot or you want to -- someone has come late, you know, please -- if we've passed it already in the agenda here, come back to it no problem.

Buy Indian Act, like Paxton had said, this is strictly for the Commission. This is not for tribes.

We're interested in finding out your comments on whether this should be a policy or if this should be a formal regulation. And from a budgetary perspective, I'll be really honest with you, sometimes when we come out in the field, we go to tribal facilities and I have all respect for the tribes. Tribal facility's a lot more expensive than going somewhere off the reservation.

That's great. I'm glad the tribes are able to do that, and that's -- you know, the market -- you know, the tribes are, you know, smart and understand the market, what it is for the area.

But the question that I think I have how that pertains to is, do you believe that -- and keeping in mind that our agency operates 100 percent off the

2.0

2.2

2.3

revenue that you all provide us, is it more responsible for the Commission to stay at that cheaper off-reservation facility or go on the reservation and stay at the more expensive tribal facility? Does anybody have any comments about that?

2.0

2.2

2.5

You know, I think the Commission fully understands because we are funded through your fee assessments, that we have, you know, an obligation or we should attempt to patronize tribal facilities as much as possible. Procure any of the services that tribes offer. But keeping in mind, sometimes it's more expensive. Does anybody have any comments on that?

ANDREW MATHERLY: Lower our fees.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yes, ma'am?

TERRY GOUDY-RAMBLER: Yakama Nation, Terry Goudy-Rambler. Yes, I believe that the Buy Indian Act is appropriate. I believe that we should utilize our Indian businesses and access its tribes.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Okay. Thank you for that comment. Chairman?

GREG ABRAHAMSON: Greg Abrahamson, Spokane
Tribe. I think so too. I think that we should utilize
it, at least make the tribes at least be comparable to
it, because we all work off budgets. We all know that.
We don't want to have (inaudible) because we are going

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

to tribal facilities, but it's costing us more. So I mean, there should be some sort of commission in there that they have to be comparable, and also I appreciate too that this commission does that. You guys are (inaudible) too, so our tribal people being employed for that, so we appreciate that too.

2.0

2.2

2.5

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Well, thank you. Thank you for that comment. Yes, sir? Yes?

JOSEPH TURREY: Joe Turrey, Lower Elwha Tribe.

I want to comment on your talking about the tribes
having the expensive, more expensive hotels, whatever.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I hope you don't think that.

JOSEPH TURREY: I don't take it as disrespectful. I'm just going to give you an example. I have a big family. I have ten children, okay? I planned a trip to California. The only place I could stay was at tribal casinos because it was a hell of a lot cheaper for me to stay in those places with my big family than to go on the outside, anywhere on the outside.

I just want to let you know that I totally oppose what you just said because for me personally it was a lot easier to book ahead to a tribal reservation, you know, 300 miles away so I could drive that 300 miles

with my family, and then stay in a casino because it was just cheaper for us to do that, and I did that all the way down to California and back. Because for me, that was the only way I could have taken my whole family to do something like that, so I just wanted to interject that as long as you were interjecting your comment. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I appreciate that and thanks for allowing me to clarify myself. I was just -absolutely no disrespect. Just being good stewards of the fees that you all provide us, we want to make sure -- understand how you feel about that, that -- and I'm a free market capitalist. I mean, if tribes can get a higher fee, I am happy for that, that is great and that is a good thing. And that's what, you know -- you know, gaming provides, you know, funding for, you know, essential tribal operations, so that's good.

But it is good to understand that, and I'm assuming we can take that as, you know, a tribal comment, that we should utilize tribal facilities even though it may be more expensive, so I appreciate that comment. Thanks for allowing me to clarify myself. Thank you.

Anything --

I would just like to make a LANA PAGE: Yeah.

800-227-8440

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

comment. Don't you guys get the government rate on these facilities?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I mean, you know, in all honesty, a lot of tribal facilities don't have a government rate. They don't have a lot of government rates, so -- and that is a -- that's a good question, so That is another problem we have. thank you. though we are funded through your fee assessments, we are a federal agency and we do have to follow the federal procurement schedule and we do have to follow the per diem schedule. So that is the thing we do -it's a tough one. So we're trying to -- this Commission wholeheartedly believes we should patronize tribal facilities. And if you look at this regulatory review process, I think with the exception of a very, very few, maybe, you know, less than five, every single one of these fees consultations sessions are being held at tribal facilities. It's important to us. I think it's an important statement that we're making, that we're coming to Indian country and we want to patronize, you know, these operations.

You know, and quite frankly, I slept in the beds here and they are fantastic. This is a great facility, and, you know, some of these tribal facilities, they're the best in their -- in the area,

and that's why they can get a higher rate, so I appreciate that. Thank you.

One other point, this is not just for the consultation. Paxton is reminding me. We do extensive training. Training is a big component of the Commission, the agency, and it's a big part of this commission that, you know, our training matches needs of Indian country. And when we do these, we do these in Indian country, and that means we come out to tribal facilities, so ...

Does anybody else want to comment on the Buy
Indian Act? Like Paxton said, I'll remind you, this is
just for us because we do have some confusion. There
was a couple other consultations that tribes were
concerned that we were imposing this on them, but no,
this is just for us.

Anybody want to comment on whether this should be a regulation or a policy that we adopt? Policy's easier, can be done quicker, regulation takes longer, more difficult to do; however, in the regulation, and that would make it more difficult for future commissions to change about having to come out to you and do sessions like this. So if you don't have anything else to say on this particular issue, we'll move on. But if you want -- would like to submit written comments,

2.0

2.2

2.3

please do so.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

We will have a preliminary draft out pretty soon. I can't say when, but I think probably within a couple weeks. So like I said, these are preliminary discussion drafts. These are just starting points from what we've heard from comments from tribes, so ...

Can we take a 15-minute break? Come back at 10:45, then we'll pick up on -- oh, the fun one, the class III 542. Okay. Thank you, everyone.

(Recess taken.)

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: We're going to get started again. We finished up all the parts in group 1 except for the 542 class III MICS. And keep in mind, we're interested in your comments and how we should handle this. The NOI, we had a lot of folks submit comments, specific comments, but this is the time if --depending upon where you go around the country, we get very heated opinions on whether it should be withdrawn, whether they should be kept.

This Commission feels that Colorado River

Indian Tribe decision prohibited us from promulgating regulations, so we feel we've had some tribes say that's not true and they didn't -- they don't believe in that interpretation of the law. That's the way this

Commission believes, is that the decision said that we

cannot promulgate regulations. So what we do, because we've got, in many instances, where you've got -- as in Oklahoma, you've got the class III MICS are included in the tribal state compacts.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

You've got tribes in other parts of the country that have adopted NIGC class III MICS in their gaming ordinances. You've got tribes in California that have voluntarily opt in as part of their state compacts to allow the NIGC to do MICS, class III MICS audits.

I think we're really kind of interested in, you know, how you feel. Should we, you know, publish guidelines? Should we withdraw the current regulation? You know, should we replace them? Replace the 514 guidelines, whether we address it through agency tribal compacting process? Does anybody want to start off and comment? Yes, ma'am?

DEBRA PARKER: Thank you again. For the record, my name is Debra Parker and I'm a member of the Tulalip Tribe. I'm here on behalf of Chairman Mao Sheldon of the Tulalip Tribes to comment on the National Indian Gaming Commission, group 1 review on behalf of Tulalip Tribes, specifically part 542 class III minimum internal control standards.

And I have a letter here. It's addressed to Chairwoman Tracy Stevens, so they're coworkers.

1 COMMISSIONER LITTLE: You may proceed.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

DEBRA PARKER: For the record, we work well together and we miss her.

All right. So part 542 class III MICS, the Tulalip Tribes agrees with the court in the Colorado River Indian Tribe decision, holding that the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act does not give authority to the NIGC to promulgate and enforce MICS regulations for class III gaming.

Congress intended that the state tribal compacting process would govern the regulation of class III gaming, and this is how Indian Gaming Regulatory Act was constructed.

We understand the importance of gaming control standards and gaming regulations to protect the tribes in its assets and to protect the integrity of the gaming. Through the compacting process, tribal gaming agencies and the State Gaming Commission have jointly developed internal controls for class III gaming as required under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act.

These internal controls are exhausted, covering all areas of class III gaming. Layering this process with another federal regulation CFR part 542 to this MICS is confusing, unnecessary and redundant.

Furthermore, the minimum internal controls developed by

the tribal gaming agencies and the state gaming agency are specific and unique to each tribe.

2.0

2.2

2.3

Having said this, we understand the unique issue that each tribe faces in their respective states; thus, the NIGC should develop a mechanism where the tribes have the ability to use the NIGC as a resource or a request class III technical assistance. The cost of this assistance should be borne by the Tribe requesting it and should not be a burden on tribes not requiring this assistance.

Tribes have established a strong record, showing they have the ability to regulate themselves, including regulation of class III gaming. We request that CFR part 542 be stricken and that IGRA work as it was intended. Tulalip feels this is a high priority item and will also be submitting written comments on all five areas in group 1 prior to the submission deadline. We thank you again for the opportunity to provide oral comment at this time. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Thank you for those comments. And your comment about tribes paying to utilize the -- you know, I guess our -- I don't want to say our offices, but we've heard that comment before, so I appreciate that. Thank you.

DEBRA PARKER: Thank you.

ANDREW MATHERLY: Andrew Matherly, Spokane

Tribe. The Spokane proposes that NIGC establish a clear date to withdrawal class III MICS -- you probably heard this many times -- from its body of regulations, notices and bulletins.

The NIGC should provide those tribes with effective ordinances or compacts as an opportunity to take correcting measures in the matter of exercising tribal self-governance and/or through government-to-government compact, amendment negotiations.

One thing the Spokane Tribe -- you know, and I always utilize this as being a regulator, is that, you know, we have the internal controls, the minimum requirements that are required in our compact provisions. And I always use an example is that -- to our staff and to operations, is that the minimum internal control for us is going to say that wall needs to be blue, then the regulations we adopted, standard operating procedures, more kind of a guidance that gets us to that wall being blue tells you how to get that wall blue.

Some recent questions that's always opposed by management because it's from some of the old administration is what applies and what doesn't. And a

2.0

2.2

2.3

concern I share is that people tend to bring in and say, well, this is what the MICS says, you know. And, you know, my ultimate answer is no, the minimum internal control that's adopted is the document to follow.

So I firmly believe that the class III MICS, I don't think the tribes should be paying a fee against those also. And so, you know, as a regulator, I oppose the class III MICS. The tribes have an ordinance. have negotiations with the states, and I think it's just going to be overabundant. It's going to be confusing. People are not going to know which document to follow.

We have -- like Chair Abrahamson said, it's a six-page document. A lot of this information is in The Spokane Tribe, we repeat this same concern over and over, so again, I'm just here providing another comment.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Thank you for the comment. And maybe follow up with you or anyone else, I mean, how -- what are your comments on how do you feel if the class III MICS regulations are repealed, how would it affect those tribes that have it in their compact? Does anybody have any comment they feel that this is going to pose a problem?

You know, you've got Oklahoma and they are adamant, do not repeal the MICS. Those are some of the

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

comments that we had when they were in -- the same thing in California, you know, it's all over the place, you So anybody want to talk about that?

JOHN ROSS: My name is John Ross and I represent the Spokane Tribe, but this is more just a -you know, a personal response to your question. I think irrespective of how it affects them, if it's not legal -- if it's illegal, it's illegal, correct? And it seems like, as sort of the clearing house for the legalities of Indian gaming, that wouldn't necessarily be your position to even ponder that question, whether they enter into an illegal term into their respective compacts. That's unfortunate for them and they may have to renegotiate those. So I mean, I think that's the sum and substance of the response, is that if it's wrong, it's wrong.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: And that raises a very good point, and that is, this Commission is fully aware of the issues that tribes face when they have to renegotiate compacts. Does this cause them to have to go back and renegotiate a compact and what kind of problems would that pose?

That question you don't have to answer it. you want to think about things and just make comments, again, I say that. You can do it at a later time.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

ma'am?

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

making a bulletin or guidelines for the tribes instead of having actually it be a regulation, I mean, so it's optional and it's out there? What's like being proposed with a class II, same thing, you know, that the, I guess, tribal working group has been working on and trying to look at doing some type of guidelines where it helps the tribes to show that this is where it could --you could look at it and you guys are out there supporting that, so when it does come to the compact and saying that they do actually have these available, and, you know, they're there, so it wouldn't really take it away from them, from having it, or they shouldn't be thought by the states that they're not out there.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Okay. I appreciate that. Thank you. Yes, sir?

BRIAN McCLATCHEY: Brian McClatchey from the Coeur d'Alene Casino. I think that we need to be clear about what is the sort of evil to be addressed. What is the problem? In your initial comment, I thought I heard you say that there is the problem that there is not uniform treatment of this issue across the tribal lands because a bunch of different tribes do things different ways. Could be addressed in the compact. Could have

their own mix. Maybe they need a lot of technical assistance to develop their MICS case by case. one problem. And then I thought I heard you say later on that there were particular tribes who had compact issues which could be triggered by removing class III MICS from the regulations.

So if the first problem is uniform of treatment, it's important to ask is that even a good -is that even something we should be striving for, and if the issue is how individual tribes are going to be impacted in their compacts negotiations, I think you need to address this on a case-by-case basis.

I want to take one more step from the comments from the Spokane Tribe, and I agree that if the NIGC's going to be producing anything in terms of MICS, whether they're model MICS, guidelines, whatever you want to call them, nonbinding, there's immediately going to be a distinction between the tribal MICS, any given tribal MICS, and the federal NIGC regulations -- or the NIGC MICS that come out.

Regardless of which one's binding, there's going to be confusion about which one is better or worse. And the next step beyond that is you're going to have conflicting interpretations of what these regulations mean. Some of these MICS are going to

800-227-8440

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

mean -- are going to be viewed as, you know, stronger, more well constructed, more effective, whatever, than others will be.

2.0

2.2

And so I think that's another issue that you need to figure out. I guess what -- from my personal perspective, what I would like to see is simply an enhanced effort on the part of the NIGC. Go to the tribes that may have issues and help them develop their MICS, and that way we don't have to worry about whether, you know -- it's good that you can see that, you know, CRIT tells you that you can't do promulgation of the regulations, but to my mind, if you're putting out guidelines or, you know, a model set of MICS, you're going to run into a lot of the same sorts of issues. So I'm wondering if you can address that.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Just to clarify, I don't necessarily -- that makes it a problem, issues that are raised when we travel around the country with different tribes that have the class III MICS in their compacts or their ordinance and they have differing opinions on that. And that's what we're here for.

We're here to try to, you know, find out what will work, if there's a process that, you know, will work for everybody. And so I do appreciate that. And I'm not really sure if I'm answering your question, but

we're here to get comments on how you feel we should handle this.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

I'm not saying -- I'm not prepared here right now to give an explanation of, you know, what we think. We don't have a preliminary draft like we've got for the custodial license or for the fees. We're just trying to get information. We will handle this in group 5.

This is actually where we'll take the meat of this up when we get to group 5. I think right now we're just really interested in hearing, you know, what your opinions are. We had such a contentious issue. The last commission spent a lot of hours, a lot of resources addressing this issue, and it's important. It's something that we want to make sure that we listen to everybody, we hear all comments, everybody feels they're able to participate and they have their voice heard.

So probably can't answer your question totally, but we're working on it and hopefully when we get to group 5 and get down and roll up the sleeves and try to work on something, you know, you can have a better confident level. I appreciate your comment. Thank you.

Any other questions on part 542? If not, I guess we will move on to group 2, and those are the enforcement regulations. And what -- let's see. You

know, a lot of these, we talked about 519, 522, these are the parts. A lot of it is just clarifying and -- you know, once again, I don't know -- I didn't mention this, but putting everything in perspective, the NIGC is a very young organization.

If you look at, you know, historically, the government, we're part of the Department of Interior, which is, you know, over 100 years old. You know, the Commission created in -- with the passage of IGRA in '88 didn't really get functioning until '92, '93, so our regulations are fairly young. So it's important that, you know, we go back and, you know, trying to, you know, make sure they're working.

You know, technology is just creating, you know, a need to be, you know, continually recognizing and looking at these, especially with the class II and the class III MICS, the technical standards, technology changes quicker than I think we can promulgate regulations, so we want to make sure that these work.

So as we go -- looking through the enforcement proposals here, there's some cleanup, some housekeeping, but then there's also some -- you know, make sure that we are doing, you know, our job better and, you know, we understand that -- and like I said in my opening comments, respecting the fact that the tribes are the

2.0

2.2

primary regulators. We play an informed federal oversight role, as do the states and the, you know, compacting process.

But Indian OI, we were requesting comment on whether or not the Commission or the Chair should be able to withdraw a notice of violation. I guess there's some upsides and some downsides. If you have one commission and you've got a -- you know, our chair. She walked in on day 1 with an NOV that was issued, you know, just days prior to her coming on board, and that's something that she had to deal with.

Does anybody have any comment on whether or not the Commission should be able to withdraw an NOV?

Whether it should be an authority that the chair already has? Whether it should be something that the full commission should vote on? Whether or not it's even needed?

Yes, sir?

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

ANDREW MATHERLY: Andrew Matherly, Spokane
Tribe. Just kind of more of an example as applies to
NOV. We had a situation many years ago with the outside
auditors or firms that come in and do our independent
audits, you know, they're not bound any NIGC licensing
requirements or whatnot. But like in our case, we were
receiving NOV based on an audit report being filed late,

and that's a concern from the regulatories because we don't have any teeth on those individuals other than when that time frame's up, we don't -- we kick them out. We get somebody else, so -- because it's of our utmost importance to ensure regulations are done. And I think a more formal policy that ensures that the Commissions or regulators are informed of this, and I think just one thing that's helpful and I know IGRA has language in there as far as tribal representative, these communication lines, I know a lot of information gets sent to the tribal chairs of the council or certain delegates, but it's important that we have a good communication and everybody's involved.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

There's been times when we had an NOV and we get ten days to respond or we're looking at a closure. Well, when we get it on the fourth day and we're the regulators that need to come up with a quick answer or fix to the issue, it -- you know, we're running into time, and we've experienced that.

I think it's important as -- whether we communicate with our region office, is that we work together on that, because I know our tribal chairs, they're busy. You know, they put us in these positions to ensure that we're protecting the Tribe's interest.

And so as far as due diligence, I think that should come

1 both ways.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Your point is definitely well taken and I actually got to give a lot of credit to our regional staff because we've been putting a lot of pressure on them lately that -- because the communication is difficult. We know how it goes, you know, with -- I worked for a tribe for over ten years, and, you know, the councils or elected bodies get inundated with requests, papers, and, you know, sometimes, you know, information that we might be sending out gets stuck in a pile, and it's not always addressed immediately. And I think that's where our regional staff come and do a very good job at making sure that, in addition to contacting the tribal leaders, which that is who actually, you know, the federal government, we have our relationship with, is with the elected governing officials of the tribes; however, you know, our regional staff does a really good job at reaching out to folks like yourself, gaming commissioners, compliance officials, and so we'll continue to do that.

We know it's a cumbersome process and we know it is -- you know, our communication efforts do, you know, become an issue that we do address all the time, but we're going to continue to work with our regional

staff, you know, get them to get the information out.

You're always welcome to contact them. And, you know, they do get the information to us, so that's always helpful to raise and is a continuing issue.

Communication with the tribes is always -- it's always, you know, you know, an issue because tribal leaders are busy. And we like to think that when the federal government contacts a tribal official, they're going to jump and listen to us, but I tell you what, when a tribal elder calls them, they're going to listen to them first. We understand that and we respect that.

RON SAMUELS: Again, Spokane Tribe. And this is more of a comment to the Commission, is that if a tribe enters into a settlement agreement with the NIGC, that that should be an agreement between the -- that tribe and the NIGC. Should not go across state lines into another jurisdiction with the -- where the NIGC has the authority to, say, make reference to, well, the Spokane settled on this. Why don't you settle on this?

Specifically, a meeting in region 1 that was a concern that was brought up before a settlement did come into play and actually ended up being signed, is that the NIGC not use the Spokane Tribe as leverage to address EHPS, Environmental Health and Public Safety guidelines on other tribes throughout the nation,

2.0

2.2

2.3

because what that does is that creates the animosity towards -- between the tribes, which is a -- an issue that is heard throughout the nation where tribes can't get along, and then the federal government's coming in and saying, well, Chairman Abrahamson signed an agreement. Why doesn't your chairman sign an agreement? It's unfair to the tribes. It's unfair to the government.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Thank you for that We definitely understand that. Thank you. comment.

Does anybody have any comments on the issuance of NOVs, how -- whether or not -- we've heard from tribes that have said that there needs to be quidance to identify under which circumstances an NOV must be issued.

I think it's the opinion of this Commission that NOVs should be not something that comes to us as a surprise to a tribe; that, you know, our regional staff and our enforcement staff are, you know, working, you know -- you know, they're meeting with regulators of the Tribe, and you know issues that, you know, will arise or could arise to an enforceable action.

Does anybody want to comment on whether or not they feel that the current system is adequate; that, you know, you feel that there's enough information out there

800-227-8440

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in the regulation that provides, you know, information so that you know when an NOV could pop up? Or do you think this area really needs some work, it's lacking, and there's not enough information for tribes to make good assessments of the situations?

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

You know, keeping in mind that the Commission is very, very aware of the severity of NOVs. We're finding it more and more in this economic climate that these NOVs come into play when tribes are trying to go out and access bonding and financing, and we understand they have a lot of teeth outside of trying to bring the facility into compliance, but it does affect tribes in other areas. Anyone want to comment on that?

Anybody have any experience in this -- well, they can go on to what your comment was earlier. Some tribes also commented that they feel that they know that the Tribe may be under investigation, but they never know if that investigation stopped or discontinued.

Does anyone feel that, you know, we should adopt guidelines or regulations that we have to like formally state when a investigation is ended or what our findings are?

Yes, sir.

WARREN SPENCER: Thank you. Warren Spencer,
Yakama Nation. I appreciate Spokane Tribe's concern and

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

their comments that he made. Do you know -- and you didn't respond to his question, his comments. Once the Tribe tries to enter and come up on a settlement agreement with you guys, other entities try, federal government, you know, comes back and after we settle, we spend all our time and our efforts, our attorney fees, et cetera, negotiating with you guys. But then again, another branch comes out and reopens the settlement that we made with you guys. And that needs to be addressed. And once we sign that settlement agreement, it should not be revisited by anybody, because you guys are the NIGC and we're a sovereign tribes, sovereign nations, and that's between you guys and us.

And I think that needs to be really strongly emphasized, that there should not -- should not come back -- the issue should not become reawakened, I guess I could say it. You know, I just think that it needs to be addressed and taken care of.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Well, I do appreciate your comment and -- I do appreciate your comment. We're looking at our internal processes right now to make sure that there's consistency in the application of our corporate proceedings across the region and by tribes so there is some consistency. That is an internal issue that we are reviewing right now. I hope that, you know,

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

sort of answers your question. But we do understand your point. We appreciate that. So thank you.

Something else that we're looking for, we requested comment. Does anybody want to talk about whether or not NOVs should be expunged after a, you know, certain number of years or noncompliance issues resulting in any of these being resolved? You disagree?

JOSEPH TURREY: I believe they should be expunged after a certain amount of time has passed, because I think once a tribe has suffered an NOV, I don't believe it should be put and stayed onto the record.

much. Also, does anybody have any comment on whether or not NOVs that are posted on the Web site include specific tribal information, or whether that should be something that's a private matter? Folks might be saying that's a dumb question here.

Okay. Let's see. If there's -- anybody have anything else on enforcement actions?

TERRY GOUDY-RAMBLER: On the NOV, I believe the Yakima Nation did receive an NOV, and we negotiated it and we came out kind of favorably. But I think if another tribe could learn, you know, from the experience of another tribe, I guess that kind of depends on, you

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

know, the issues that we've suffered.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

I think that's what tribes are all about. You know, we learn from each other. And I think for Yakima Nation I don't believe that we should have a problem with sharing, you know, with another tribe the experiences that we suffered, and we came out of it ahead.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Well, I'm really hoping that suffering is not from us. Maybe it's a good point because I think what we're trying to do is we want to turn this into more of a how the Commission works with the tribes to bring your facilities into compliance when an issue is uncovered or identified. And I think ideally, you know, we'd like to avoid violations. I think everybody -- that helps us out. You know, it helps us out because, you know, it takes a lot of resources to issue an NOV and the process and attorney fees, and while I'm not an attorney by trade, we employ a lot of attorneys. So I mean, and they cost money, so we want to make sure that, you know, once again, being good stewards of the resources that you all provide that, you know, if we can avoid an NOV, that makes me happy.

I know -- I think there was a feeling that sometimes, you know, NOVs were kind of an award the

Commission had and, you know, I'd rather avoid all NOVs.

And we can do that through compliance and working with
the tribes, and that would be better.

an NOV should not be a surprise. It should be something that you know is coming because of, you know, unresolved compliance issues. And we should be -- and our responsibilities is to make sure that we're providing every resource that we possibly can to help bring you in compliance.

So if, you know, changing -- the purpose of updating the regulation is to hopefully get the view of this commission that that's our view, and that's how we want the regulations to reflect. And I'm sorry, please continue.

TERRY GOUDY-RAMBLER: Thank you for that.

Because Yakima Nation, our people voted on a stimulus payment and so we went ahead and went back, we met on it, and we gave our people a stimulus payment of 2,000. Then we went ahead and we gave them another one, and that was right around when Obama done the same thing for America. So that was the NOV, and we negotiated back and forth, the IRS came in and, like you said, it got quite expensive for us, but we negotiated it and we came out, you know, I thought favorably. Thank you.

2.0

2.2

Page 79

I think we should be taking more time here. Is there any other comments or questions on enforcement? I'll say it again. If there's things that come up as you're driving away or leaving here today, you want to submit comments, please feel free to do so. They can be submitted online. I think we have the e-mail. It's actually on the -- probably the first and last page of the PowerPoint presentation. Reg.review@NIGC.gov you can submit comments to.

If there's no more comments on the enforcement -- yes, sir?

2.0

2.2

BRIAN McCLATCHEY: Could you reiterate what the comment deadline is for class III MICS issue? I see the other ones.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: There's not a deadline yet.

BRIAN McCLATCHEY: I see. Okay. Thanks.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: We're going to address that in group 5. I think at this point -- we just need an opportunity because it is such a critical issue.

It's the, you know, 800-pound gorilla in the room that, you know, how do we address this. And, you know, I'll be honest with you, I worked for a tribe for ten years, and I can remember opposing NIGC stuff simply because

tribes didn't feel that consultation was done correctly.

And I don't ever want to come to a meeting or get a

comment submitted to the Commission where it says, well,

we don't feel that a proper consultation was followed.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

We want to make sure that tribes are given every opportunity, that we're out here, that we're not just sitting in Washington. We're coming out here visiting tribes, coming to your wonderful facilities, and listen to what we hear. So that was the whole purpose of putting it here just to kind of get some roadmap or some comments on how we should continue to follow this process. Thank you.

If there's no more enforcement comments, we'll move on to proceedings before the Commission. The NOI requested comments on whether the rules or proceedings before the Commission should be reviewed. Some of the comments submitted were tribes felt that a more formal process could be a lot of extra work, could be costly for the agency, and costly for the tribes.

Others felt that, you know, there needs to be a clear defined process for appeals for, you know, when the Commission does act.

Does anybody want to comment on that? How they -- I mean, I know as -- the way this Commission is composed, you've got the chair who is basically the

prosecutor when it comes to violations, and then you have the two associate commissioners, Vice Chairwoman Cochran and myself. We kind of act as kind of an appellate core, if you want to say, or commission.

Any notice of violations or any actions issued by the chair can be appealed to the full commission. However, if you look at our regulations, the appeal process is pretty limited and they open up possible legal challenges.

So does anybody want to comment on whether or not we need a formalized procedure or appeals, or if they feel their current process is adequate?

ANDREW MATHERLY: Andrew Matherly, Spokane
Tribe. I think the appeals process, the time frames
aren't sufficient. I think the 30-day window, you know,
for an internal process to determine whether to file an
appeal, I think should be 90 days. I think some of the
supplemental statements going back to NIGC on that
ten-day window, I think that needs to be increased.

Again, I indicated earlier, you know, it's not that a tribe's playing ignorant or trying to hide something. You know, the appropriate officials once they're given the information, give them adequate time to gather the information, get it to NIGC, so I think some of the time frames need to be looked at.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Page 82

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Let me ask you another question I'll throw back at you. How do you feel, because the Tribe gets 90 days to appeal, what should be the time frame for the Commission to act, and especially considering on possible closures? Do the tribes feel that you have to give the Commission 90 days to act on a closure?

2.0

2.2

2.5

ANDREW MATHERLY: Yeah, I think it's running concurrent. I think the NIGC give the 90 days, but, you know, the Tribe needs that opportunity also.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. Thank you.

We've heard comments that, you know, if the Commission was to take 90 days to act on a closure, that could be detrimental, critically detrimental to a facility.

ANDREW MATHERLY: If they're seasonal, then just wait until October or November.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Okay. Got that. I'm just looking through some comments that we've received from other tribes through this comment period or through these consultation sessions. Might be anything of interest, so we can ...

You know, all of our -- all comments, and I think I said before, they're online. So if you really

don't have anything to do one day and you want to read a whole bunch of stuff, it's all online there. All the transcripts are online. So, you know, the President's very adamant about transparency for all the federal agencies, so any of these proceedings, I think it's kind of -- it's a new process for a lot of tribal folks, tribal leaders that you see a court reporter in the room. And that is just -- you know, we understand everybody's got very, very busy schedules and, you know, full disclosure, full transparency. Everything is going to be online, so all the comments that we make, all the comments that you all make will be online in written form so that they can be reviewed and so you guys have all that proof that you were here, so ...

2.2

Does anybody, or Paxton, anything you want to talk about with the proceedings? Chris? No?

Like I said, we've got discussion drafts for the facility license part 559 and the fees part 514. We are working on getting preliminary discussion drafts for all of the proposed regulations, all the regulations we're working on. They will go up online.

This whole process provides us with feedback so that when we are putting together these drafts, we're taking into account your comments, the comments you submit, the comments that you make orally, so that when

they come out in the draft, they're online. You can look at them.

They're all going to say preliminary draft because they just are drafts. Please keep that in mind when you look at them and bring them back to your councils or your elected bodies. They're not concrete. These are, you know, taken into account experiences that the Commission has had, our staff has had, the tribes have had, gaming commissions have had, what our field staff have had, and then basically are used as a starting point for the discussion.

So theoretically, what we put out in our discussion drafts could and probably will change dramatically, so please don't read any of it -- any of the handouts you picked up today, these are just starting points, starting points for discussion, starting points for us to be in a process of reviewing these regulations.

And I don't want to end this, you know, early, because we got -- still on east coast time. We got thirty minutes to 12:00, I think. Does anybody have any comments about not just the proceedings but any of the other parts that we discussed earlier? Any comments about -- I want to open up on anything else because I know you guys ask questions, so ...

2.0

2.2

I know we've got more attorneys in the room here. Like I said, these -- this is just a starting point. There's going to be a lot more opportunities. We've got about another 30 sessions between now and next spring for you to comment. We're always interested in anything you submit. You can submit them online.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just one observation and I don't need the microphone. Is there any particular reason why you're only doing all five groups at the Chair's reservation?

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: That is interesting. I didn't observe that. I just know that she's got me going to Bismarck in January, I think. Low man on the pole, I think. So will we see you there then?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You will.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We have comfy beds.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Well, if there's no other comments here, I'm going to -- I guess we'll close the session. I just want to thank everybody on behalf of the full Commission. This is a long process.

Quite frankly, this is the process where people have said that we're nuts for taking, taking on, but I think it's something that's really important. The President's made it very clear that he wants all agencies to look at their regulations to make sure

1 | they're effective, that they're working.

2.0

2.2

2.3

Especially in this industry, we got to make sure that they work for you all, that, you know, we all have the same goals, and that is to have very well-regulated facilities. And at best, we reach that goal when we all do our job, we all do our part, and the regulations create the roadmap to get there.

So if there's no more comments, I'm going to close the session. I want to thank everybody. I want to thank the Coeur d'Alene Tribe for hosting us. I want to thank everybody for traveling here today. I wish you all very safe travels home, and please feel free to submit any other comments online or feel free to come to any future consultation sessions.

VIDA BISHOP: The next consultation session in this area is at Tulalip, actually following the Northwest Gaming Expo, and it's July 14th.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: July 14th and 15th at Tulalip for the next one.

VIDA BISHOP: Yeah, following the Northwest Indian Expo. So we figure a lot of people will be in attendance there, but it will cover all five areas.

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I think that's why there's two days scheduled instead of just one day. Thank you, everyone.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

						Page	87
1		(Proceedings	adjourned	at 11:3	37 a.m.	.)	
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
	i .						

[& - adequately] Page 1

	00.16	573 39.33 34	26.11
&	88:16	573 28:23,24	accessing 36:11
& 9:6	22nd 17:18	577 21:5	accommodate 45:10
0	24 40:6,7 48:4	5th 88:16	account 23:12 37:16 83:24 84:7
09 48:2	25 28:4 28 40:5	6	accounting 35:16
		60 13:24 18:10,12	accounts 27:23
1	3	60th 28:5	accumulated 36:13
1 1:3,24 2:7 11:18	3 14:7,8 44:21	61st 28:8	accurate 15:22
11:22 14:6,7,8,14	30 18:17,25 28:3	675 88:18	achieve 4:13 13:14
15:9,9,20 19:18	31:4 81:15 85:4	7	acres 3:11
20:8 26:25 28:2	300 28:6 53:25,25	7 16:8	act 10:17 15:12 19:8
29:21 30:2 31:4	3033 88:18	7,000 4:5	27:2 44:11 45:11
46:2 57:12 58:21	31st 17:13 22:20	8	50:25 51:10 52:16
60:17 69:9 72:20	28:5		56:12 59:7,12,20
100 28:1 51:25 68:8	331338 1:25	800 79:22	80:22 81:3 82:4,6
10:45 57:8	37914 1:18	83876 1:19	82:14
11 16:24	4	88 1:24 68:9	action 73:22 88:12
11:37 87:1	4 14:7,9	9	88:13
120 18:10	45 13:25	9 16:24	actions 76:20 81:5
12:00 84:21	5	90 29:8 81:17 82:3,6	actual 37:17 38:10
12th 10:25		82:9,14	38:21 40:9
13 25:22,24	5 12:10 14:7,9 20:6	90th 28:8	adamant 62:25 83:4
13,175 13:9 42:15 14 44:21	25:21 28:7 30:20	91 16:16,17 26:1,4	add 22:17
	67:7,9,19 79:20	91st 34:12	addition 35:22
14th 86:17,18 15 57:7	5/6/2016 88:21	92 68:10	37:24 71:14
15 37:7 15th 86:18	514 15:17,20,23,25	92nd 26:1	additional 13:23
175 40:12	16:1,4,8,24 22:20	93 68:10	18:12 21:15 30:1
173 40.12 17th 19:7 22:22	23:15,20 26:14,20 27:9 29:5 32:19	9:00 1:12 2:1	46:25 47:6,13
18th 10:13	45:7 58:13 83:18	a	additionally 2:8 9:8
1993 3:14 17:18,21	519 21:4 68:1	a.m. 1:12 2:1 87:1	address 2:12 15:9
1st 11:2 15:22	522 68:1	abide 47:2	19:19 20:10,19
	523 17:16 27:6 45:8	ability 21:14 24:8	29:20 32:22 41:19
2	524 21:4	60:6,12	58:14 65:12 66:15
2 1:3 2:7 11:18,22	539 21:5	able 2:12 3:16 5:12	71:24 72:24 79:19
14:7,7,8,15 15:23	542 20:18,21,23	6:24 30:24 51:19	79:23
15:25 21:2 26:25	21:1 28:17 32:14,16	67:16 69:6,13	addressed 26:21
29:21 30:3 48:20	57:9,13 58:22 59:4	abrahamson 6:25	41:7 44:18 58:24
67:24	59:23 60:14 67:23	6:25 29:19 30:9	64:20,25 71:12 75:9
2,000 78:19	559 17:24 19:7	52:21,21 62:12 73:5	75:18
20 1:11 2:1 25:22	22:22 28:9,11 30:24	absolutely 54:10	addressing 11:4
88:6	45:17 83:18	accept 12:20	41:5 67:13
200 28:4	559.5 28:14	accepted 19:5 35:16	adequate 73:24
2010 10:13	559.5. 28:13	access 52:18 74:10	81:12,23
2011 1:11 2:1 17:13	20.10		adequately 18:21
19:7 22:20,22 88:7			

[adhering - award] Page 2

. II 12.0	12 02 15 02	76 10 00 02 01 10	. 4 4 1
adhering 13:9	allow 13:22 15:22	76:19 80:23 81:10	art 4:1
adjourned 87:1	39:4 58:9	83:15 84:21	asked 3:1 17:6,25
administration 33:3	allowing 2:9 54:9,22	anyone's 15:3	35:14 36:6 45:17
33:10,11 49:14,15	allows 4:13 19:12	anytime 23:22	asking 15:14 19:20
61:25	37:15	apologies 30:25	20:2,9 41:15
administration's	alternative 28:11	31:10	aspects 38:3
33:11	39:22	apologize 47:15	assessable 16:5
admission 17:2,4	alternatives 13:18	appeal 16:15 81:7	assessed 28:2,5,7
27:12 35:1,8	altsa 8:10	81:17 82:3	33:21
adopt 19:9 20:22	amendment 46:13	appealed 81:6	assessment 23:24
56:18 74:20	61:10	appeals 21:4,5,5	24:5
adopted 18:4 19:12	america 78:22	80:21 81:11,14	assessments 52:8
36:24,25 45:12 58:6	americans 44:11	appellate 81:4	55:8 74:5
61:19 62:4	amortization 15:24	applicable 47:18	assets 59:16
adoption 27:2	amount 17:1 27:15	application 75:22	assistance 5:14 60:7
adults 4:12,12	27:24 33:1,17 34:8	applies 17:17 61:25	60:8,10 65:2
advising 10:14	35:25 36:12 76:9	69:20	associate 2:15 26:22
advisor 9:7	amounts 34:8 36:3	applying 20:21	81:2
advisory 20:24	ancestral 3:7	appointed 43:11	assuming 54:19
affairs 9:7 41:8	andrew 7:2,2 30:15	appreciate 29:11,15	assure 43:8
affect 62:21 74:12	30:15,22 31:2 49:6	31:8 34:13 43:7,18	attempt 52:9
affiliated 8:23	49:6 52:13 61:1,1	48:21 50:20,23 53:3	attend 4:7
agencies 18:7 40:1	69:19,19 81:13,13	53:6 54:8,21 56:2	attendance 5:6
42:15,23 49:3 59:18	82:8,17	60:24 64:16 66:24	86:22
60:1 83:5 85:25	animosity 73:1	67:21 74:25 75:19	attest 36:17
agency 9:12 13:8	annual 16:17,19	75:20 76:2 82:12	attorney 5:7 6:2
19:9,10 20:19 21:20	38:19	appropriate 21:13	7:23 8:5,7 12:18
25:15 51:25 55:9	annually 37:23	27:5 30:18 52:17	75:6 77:17,18
56:6 58:14 60:1	answer 17:14 37:9	81:22	attorneys 42:3
80:19	62:3 63:23 67:17	appropriations	77:19 85:1
agenda 11:4 51:8	70:17	25:14	aubertin 7:22,23
agendas 12:6	answering 38:2	approval 15:10	audit 69:25
ago 9:1 23:23 69:21	66:25	17:16,20 22:18 27:6	auditors 69:22
agree 65:14	answers 37:2 76:1	april 11:2	audits 58:9 69:23
agreement 32:21	anticipate 20:6	arbitrary 33:1,16	authority 13:19
38:24 44:18 49:24	anticipates 30:1	area 3:7,7 6:23	18:5 20:12 28:16
49:25 72:14,15 73:6	anybody 6:8 24:3,11	17:15 20:1 25:12	29:3 32:23 46:24
73:6 75:4,10	26:5 32:19 34:15	31:19 43:16 49:3	59:7 69:14 72:18
agrees 59:5	35:12,18 45:15,23	51:22 55:25 74:3	authorizing 21:8
ahead 29:18 41:13	46:18 47:15,17,22	86:16	automatically 25:6
41:21 42:1 53:24	48:25 49:4 50:23	areas 4:13 42:24	available 28:22
77:7 78:18,20	52:5,12 56:11,17	59:22 60:17 74:13	31:22 64:12
alder 7:4,4	58:15 62:22 63:3	86:22	avoid 77:14,22 78:1
allen 2:24	69:12 73:11,23	army 9:10,10	award 77:25
	74:14 75:11 76:4,14		
	,		

[aware - changing] Page 3

47.16.62.19	harrand 44.12.65.02	hd. 50.04	47.17 49.2 10 54.1
aware 47:16 63:18	beyond 44:13 65:23	budgets 52:24	47:17 48:2,19 54:1
74:7	bia 41:9	building 3:15,17	64:19
b	biannual 16:2 23:23	9:13	casinos 53:18
b 15:25 16:4	biannually 17:1	built 4:24 31:5	cause 47:23 63:20
back 3:7,14 5:22	big 13:7 25:1,1,1	bulletin 20:4 64:3	ccr 88:18
8:21 12:12 16:2	53:16,19 56:5,6	bulletins 61:5	center 3:24 4:4
22:23 24:2,5,19	bill 33:3	bullying 24:16	ceo 5:5
31:19 36:8 41:12	billions 42:6	bunch 64:24 83:2	ceremonies 44:15
42:3,25 43:16 46:22	binding 65:21	burden 30:6 47:7,23	certain 20:13,13
46:23 51:4,8 54:3	bingo 3:15	60:9	21:16 25:5 38:16
57:7 63:21 68:12	bishop 6:7 86:15,20	burdens 47:13	70:11 76:6,9
75:5,16 78:18,22	bismarck 85:13	burdensome 22:4	certificate 46:3 88:1
81:18 82:2 84:5	bit 3:6 33:3,7,14	28:15	certification 49:23
background 41:9	47:7	bureau 41:8	certified 88:3
bad 36:18	blaming 49:14	businesses 52:18	certify 18:18 88:5
band 7:6 13:3 41:18	blank 30:20,21	busy 70:23 72:7	88:11
barrier 33:7	block 11:15	83:9	cetera 75:7
base 37:23	blue 61:19,21,22	buy 15:12 19:8,9,12	cfr 59:23 60:14
based 11:6 15:18	board 3:3 7:21 8:19	27:2,4 50:25 51:10	chair 5:4 7:3,9,20
24:9 26:7 27:23	9:1 33:17 34:5 50:8	52:16 56:11	17:19 18:17,24 19:4
	69:10	c	21:9 26:2 32:23
31:3 37:17 38:12,20	bodies 71:8 84:6		34:11,16 62:12 69:5
48:3 69:25	body 61:4	c 2:2 16:8,24	69:8,14 80:25 81:6
bashful 46:20	bonding 25:9 74:10	calculating 17:8	chair's 18:11 85:10
basically 25:5 29:10	book 53:24	35:11,18	chairman 2:24 6:25
36:24,25 37:13 40:9	books 17:21	calculation 15:18	26:21 29:18 30:10
48:19 80:25 84:10	borne 60:8	16:4 27:10 35:7	30:15 31:11 52:20
basis 65:12	bound 69:23	calendar 15:20 24:9	58:19 73:5,6
beat 36:18	box 10:9 12:5	31:5	chairperson 34:6
beds 55:23 85:16	branch 75:8	california 19:24	chairs 70:11,22
began 10:12	break 57:7	53:17 54:3 58:7	chairwoman 2:17
behalf 2:11,16 12:20	brian 5:7 8:6,6	63:2	2:17 25:7 26:21
29:21 58:19,21	64:18,18 79:13,18	call 42:21 65:17	58:25 81:2
85:19	brick 31:13	calls 72:10	challenge 50:18
believe 9:2 33:22,25	bring 21:19 62:1	capitalist 54:13	challenges 81:9
35:21 41:5,10,18,25	74:11 77:12 78:9	capped 36:15	chance 14:18 15:4
42:3 49:4 51:24	84:5	cards 37:25 38:13	change 17:9 18:9
52:16,17 57:23 62:5	bringing 31:17	39:16	56:22 84:13
76:8,11,21 77:4	broad 28:14 50:3	care 75:18	changed 17:3,7 35:1
believes 27:4,13	broken 13:20	carlos 7:4,4	35:15
55:13 57:25	brought 72:21	carolina 13:4 19:25	changes 16:7 23:21
benewah 4:3	budget 40:8	case 28:19 65:2,2,12	23:22 28:12 31:16
best 10:2 55:25 86:5		65:12 69:24	
better 65:22 67:21	budgetary 23:25	casino 1:17 3:4,14	68:18
68:23 78:3	51:14	3:17 4:22 8:7 9:6	changing 27:12 78:11

charge 37:16 40:12	clearing 63:9	coming 8:25 33:5	63:24 65:13 67:1,15
charges 37:25 40:9	clearly 6:16 11:25	43:14 49:21 50:6	68:25 73:11 75:1,2
cheaper 52:2 53:19	clearwater 3:10	55:20 69:10 73:4	79:3,6,10,11 80:11
54:2	click 12:4	78:6 80:7,8	80:13,15,17 82:13
check 10:9	climate 74:8	commend 5:18	82:20,24 83:11,12
checking 34:10	clinton 13:10	comment 11:24	83:24,24,25 84:22
checklist 49:17,18	close 47:20 85:18	13:24,25 14:23	84:23 85:18 86:8,13
checks 41:9	86:9	17:12 19:6 21:13	commission 1:1 2:6
cherokee 13:4	closed 22:20 48:2,5	22:1,10,19,21 23:17	2:11 5:4,4,5,9 7:3,6
chief 2:24 5:25 13:2	48:12	24:11 26:5,25 29:14	7:9 9:1,2,5,11 10:11
children 3:25 4:7	closes 17:13 19:1,7	30:10 31:21 33:19	10:21,22 11:2,7
53:16	22:20,22	34:14,15 35:6,12,20	12:17,19 13:2 15:1
choice 4:14	closure 16:21 28:25	40:22 41:3,4,15,16	17:10 19:14 20:11
choose 24:9	29:6 70:15 82:7,14	43:14 44:6,7 45:3	21:4,5,14,25 22:2,8
chris 6:5 17:14	closures 82:5	45:15,23 46:18	22:9,12,14 23:23
23:21 36:6,10,17	coast 84:20	47:15,18,23 48:7,22	24:1,25 25:2,7,13
37:9,11 38:11,20	cochran 2:18 26:22	49:7 50:24 52:20	27:3 29:3 30:10,16
39:2,11,25 40:6	81:3	53:8,10 54:6,20,22	35:23 36:3,11,21
83:16	coeur 1:17 2:9,22	55:1 56:11,17 58:16	37:23,24 38:4,13,21
circling 3:20	3:4 4:4 6:21,22 8:7	58:20 60:19,21,23	43:10,10,13 45:13
circulated 30:2	8:18 13:5 64:19	62:16,18,22 64:21	46:4,8,15,24 50:10
circumstances	86:10 88:19	67:21 69:4,12 72:13	50:22 51:11 52:2,6
18:14 21:12 29:7	coffee 36:7,7	73:10,23 74:13,15	53:2,4 55:12 56:6,7
73:14	collaborative 10:7	75:20,20 76:4,14	57:20,25 58:21
clarification 51:3	collect 35:4	79:14 80:3,23 81:10	59:18 63:18 67:12
clarified 40:15	collected 35:24	82:21 85:5	68:9 69:5,8,13,16
clarifies 16:24,25	36:12	commented 24:7	72:13 73:16 74:6
34:24	collecting 38:3	49:2 74:16	77:11 78:1,13 80:3
clarify 16:3 29:3	collection 16:25	commenting 33:6	80:14,16,22,24 81:4
54:9,22 66:16	34:24	45:4	81:6 82:4,6,14 84:8
clarifying 68:2	colorado 28:18	comments 2:19	85:20 88:21
class 15:13,16 19:17	57:20 59:5	10:17,24 11:6,25	commission's 27:5
19:19 20:4,8,10,12	come 3:1 5:12 12:12	12:6,14 13:22 14:4	28:15 46:14
20:22,22 27:15,15	32:25 42:19 48:16	14:21,25 15:5 18:2	commissioner 2:3
28:18 39:18 41:25	49:16 51:7,8,15	18:2 19:11 21:7,9,9	2:16 5:17 6:4 8:20
57:9,13 58:3,6,9,22	56:9,22 57:7 64:11	21:15 22:3,12 23:7	8:24 22:23 23:1
59:4,9,11,19,22	65:20 69:22 70:17	23:11,11 24:4,15	26:16,22 29:11,15
60:7,13 61:3 62:5,8	70:25 71:13 72:21	29:13,17 31:6,14	30:8,12,19,23 31:8
62:20 64:6 65:5	74:9 75:3,15 79:4	32:2,8,13,19 35:19	32:4,7,15,18 33:19
66:19 68:16,17	80:2 84:1 86:13	40:16,19 42:11 43:7	34:10 36:5 37:7,9
79:14	comes 73:17 75:5,8	43:12,17 45:2,5,6	40:4,7,18,23 42:9
cleanup 68:21	81:1	45:18 49:5 50:17	42:12 43:6,22 44:4
clear 3:8,9 15:2 29:6	comfortable 23:3	51:1,12 52:5,12	44:24 46:17 47:14
29:9 61:2 64:19	comfy 85:16	56:25 57:6,14,16,16	48:21 50:20 52:14
80:21 85:24		60:16,21 62:19 63:1	52:19 53:7,12 54:8

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

[commissioner - cpe] Page 5

55:3 57:11 59:1	comply 50:5	consolidate 22:6,13	coquille 7:9,9
60:20 62:17 63:17	component 13:8	constructed 59:13	core 81:4
64:16 66:16 71:2	56:5	66:2	corporate 75:23
73:9 75:19 76:13	composed 80:25	construction 18:19	correct 32:4 38:11
77:8 79:1,16,19	composed 60.25	consult 13:17	63:8 88:8
82:1,11,19 85:11,17	10:15 11:4 22:11	consultation 1:2 2:6	correcting 61:8
86:18,23	computers 4:18	10:5,6,7 11:1 13:7	correctly 80:1
commissioners	computing 16:3	13:12 14:10,11 15:8	cost 37:24 38:10,12
71:20 81:2	concern 33:10 34:4	23:4 26:23 30:1	38:18,21 40:9 60:7
commissions 56:21	47:3 62:1,14 70:1	42:14,16,17,21,22	77:19
70:6 84:9	72:21 74:25	43:9 44:20 45:1	costing 53:1
commit 14:23	concerned 33:3	56:4 80:1,4 82:22	costly 22:4 80:18,19
commitment 9:13	56:15	86:14,15	costs 37:17,20,24
committed 9:15	concerning 21:3	consultations 10:23	38:12 39:24
15:1	concerns 18:3 24:23	11:6 12:3 13:22,23	council 3:2 7:11,15
committee 20:24	33:24	15:5 30:3 43:16	7:17 8:1,3 43:25
43:4	concrete 84:6	55:17 56:14	45:25 70:11
communicate 70:21	concurrent 82:9	consulting 14:7	councilman 7:13
communication	conducted 18:20	contact 72:2	26:12
70:10,13 71:6,23	conducting 10:15	contacting 71:14	councilor 2:21 5:17
72:5	26:23	contacts 72:8	councils 24:19 71:8
communities 44:16	confederated 8:4	contain 15:18	84:6
community 46:1	46:1	contained 2:14	counsel 88:14
compact 39:17	confident 67:21	contentious 46:19	counted 27:14
40:11 50:4,4 61:10	conflicting 65:24	67:11	country 3:21 5:13
61:15 62:22 63:21	confusing 59:24	contest 44:9	10:23 55:20 56:8,9
64:11,25 65:4	62:10	continually 68:15	57:17 58:6 66:18
compacting 20:20	confusion 56:13	continue 5:23 25:16	county 88:2
58:15 59:11,17 69:3	65:22	37:16 71:21,25	couple 3:10 23:20
compacts 58:4,8	congress 46:10	78:15 80:11	23:22,23 32:12
61:7 63:13,20 65:11	59:10	continuing 16:1,12	36:14 40:23 56:14
66:19	connected 88:12	16:22 18:8,15 72:4	57:4
company 42:20	connecticut 9:4,9	contracting 22:18	course 3:11,21,23
comparable 52:23	40:11	contributions 44:15	4:23
53:3	consider 22:10	control 15:13 28:17	court 6:13 59:5 83:7
compilation 31:13	34:20	28:20 58:23 59:14	88:3
complete 14:6	considerable 36:12	61:18 62:4	cover 26:20 86:22
completing 14:14	considered 14:24	controller 6:5	covered 18:6 39:20
compliance 8:18 9:7 21:19,24 49:13,23	considering 42:17	controls 41:20 59:19	47:1 51:4
, , ,		59:21,25 61:14 convene 20:24	covering 40:21 59:22
50:1 71:20 74:12	consistency 75:22 75:24		
77:12 78:2,7,10	consistent 17:7	conveniently 6:24 copies 31:10	cow 7:5,6 coworkers 58:25
complicated 11:14 complies 49:12	35:16	_	cpe 88:18
compiles 49:12	33.10	copy 29:16 30:25	cpe 00.10

[create - effectively] Page 6

	T =	T =	1
create 25:9,10 86:7	dealing 44:11,14,14	developing 10:10	doing 14:8 64:8
created 10:1 68:9	debatable 34:19	development 43:3	68:23 85:9
creates 73:1	debra 8:9,10 32:13	dialogue 10:8	dollars 5:2 42:6
creating 68:14	32:17 58:17,18 59:2	diem 55:11	downsides 69:7
credit 71:3	60:25	differ 9:21	draft 15:18 16:13,22
creek 7:5,6	decided 11:7	different 19:23	17:9,12 18:8,23
crit 15:16 20:11	decision 15:16 57:21	20:21 31:4 36:1,1	19:7 22:20,21 24:21
66:11	57:25 59:6	50:7,9 64:24,24	25:22 30:21 32:5
critical 79:21	defer 13:14	66:18	34:16 46:12 57:2
critically 82:15	define 17:10	differently 19:22	67:5 84:1,3
csr 88:18	defined 80:21	_	1
		differing 66:20	drafting 13:21
cumbersome 71:22	defines 16:15	difficult 23:25 56:20	19:16
current 20:25 32:2	definitely 24:20	56:21 71:6	drafts 12:7 14:11,12
37:1 49:8 58:12	34:20 50:23 71:2	diligence 70:25	14:13,15 30:2,4
73:24 81:12	73:10	direction 4:18 46:15	31:12,22,22,23 32:3
currently 28:14	definition 17:6	88:10	57:5 83:17,19,23
custodial 67:6	35:15	directly 38:25 39:4	84:4,13
cut 39:24	degrees 4:13	director 6:6,20 7:5	dramatically 84:14
cy 8:10	delay 22:5	8:16	drastic 27:18
d	delegated 44:2	disagree 76:7	drive 53:25
d 2:2 46:2	delegates 70:12	disclosure 83:10	driving 79:5
d'alene 1:17 2:9,22	deleted 45:14	discontinued 74:18	dropped 41:12
3:4 4:4 6:21,22 8:7	deletion 28:13	discretion 18:11	due 22:6 27:22,25
8:18 13:5 64:19	delighted 43:7	34:6,12,17	28:2,4 70:25
	deneen 7:22,23	discuss 2:13 5:12	dumb 76:18
86:10 88:19	department 4:11,15	discussed 20:8	e
dan 2:15 12:25	42:18 68:7	21:21 43:2 84:23	
14:19 29:20	depending 57:17	discussing 41:4	e 2:2,2 79:7
date 24:15 61:3	depends 76:25	discussion 14:11	earlier 12:14 19:17
day 13:24,25 25:17	depth 50:19	15:17 16:12,22 17:9	36:16 45:9 74:15
26:1 28:2,5,5,7,8	described 46:10	18:8,23 19:7 27:1	78:4 81:20 84:23
34:13 48:4 69:9	desmet 4:9	31:23 40:18 57:5	early 84:19
70:16 81:15,19 83:1	detailed 11:21 22:11	83:17,19 84:11,13	earned 46:3
86:24 88:16	determine 16:5 23:9	84:16	easier 53:24 56:19
days 3:7 16:16,18	81:16	disrespect 54:10	east 84:20
18:10,10,12,17,25	determined 13:16	disrespectful 53:15	eastern 13:3
26:1,4 28:3,8 29:8	18:19	distinction 65:18	economic 42:5,6
31:5 69:10 70:15			74:8
81:17 82:3,6,9,14	determining 27:14	distinguishing	economy 4:24
86:24	detrimental 82:15	32:24	education 4:11
dc 5:25 34:18	82:15	distribute 19:15	effect 37:14 45:13
deadline 60:17	develop 13:13 60:5	divided 14:1	effective 61:7 66:2
79:14,16	65:2 66:8	document 62:4,11	86:1
deal 69:11	developed 9:12	62:13	effectively 10:3
	59:19,25	documentation 19:3	
		30:5	

[effort - fee] Page 7

-PF4 ((.7	72.14	42.22	45.16.10.46.2.5.0
effort 66:7	enters 72:14	excuse 43:22	45:16,18 46:2,5,9
efforts 4:11 29:2	entire 2:11	executive 3:2 7:5,20	46:13,18 47:9,19,21
71:23 75:6	entirely 27:5 28:11	13:9	47:22 49:12,18
ehps 72:24	entities 44:17 50:9	exempt 41:23 46:4	50:15,24 51:1 52:3
eight 10:23	75:4	exempted 46:11	52:4 55:24 74:12
eighth 4:10	entitled 16:13	exercising 4:1 61:8	82:16 83:18
either 27:22	entry 17:3,4 27:13	exhausted 59:21	facility's 48:11
elder 72:10	35:1,8	existing 15:11,25	51:17
elders 2:5	environment 18:21	17:16 27:6	fact 47:10 48:3
elected 44:21 71:8	environmental 18:5	expand 3:16	68:25
71:17 84:6	19:2 45:21 47:1	expanded 3:19	factors 14:3
electronic 19:4	48:24 72:24	expect 31:15 34:22	fails 27:18
elementary 4:8	equipment 4:2	expediting 18:13	failure 16:17,19
eliminate 17:22	37:20 39:7	expensive 51:18	29:9
22:16	especially 68:16	52:4,12 53:11,11	fairly 14:15,17
elwha 7:13 26:12,24	82:4 86:2	54:21 78:24	48:13 68:11
31:7 53:9	essential 54:17	experience 49:9	faith 29:1
emphasized 75:15	establish 13:15,16	50:21 74:14 76:24	fall 10:11
employ 77:18	50:13 61:2	experienced 70:19	familiar 9:20
employed 9:5 53:5	established 20:12	experiences 49:1	family 53:16,20 54:1
employee 40:10	60:11	77:6 84:7	54:4
employees 4:22 41:9	estimated 14:5	expertise 49:4	fantastic 55:23
50:11	et 75:7	expires 18:25 88:21	far 32:19 34:2 40:19
employer 4:23	evaluate 41:14 46:8	explanation 11:21	70:9,25
enacted 17:18	event 6:14	67:4	favorably 76:23
encompass 50:8	eventually 14:9	expo 86:17,21	78:25
encourage 11:24	everybody 11:24	expunged 21:15	fbi 37:25 38:25 39:3
12:13,21 13:13	12:13 13:5 24:11	76:5,9	39:13 40:9
23:17,21 24:14 45:1	30:6 31:24 66:24	extend 2:8	february 10:22,25
ended 72:22 74:21	67:15,15 77:15	extended 3:8	15:22
enforce 59:8	85:19 86:9,11	extensive 56:4	federal 3:12 9:17
enforceable 73:22	everybody's 70:13	extra 80:18	13:16,17 18:7 25:14
enforcement 6:19	83:9	f	42:14,23 44:8 47:2
21:2,6 28:23 39:8,9	everything's 44:12	_	47:11 49:2 55:9,10
67:25 68:20 73:19	evel 64:20	face 63:19	59:23 65:19 69:1
76:20 79:3,12 80:13	exactly 34:3 48:14	faces 60:4	71:15 72:7 73:4
engage 50:4	example 28:1 53:15	facilities 35:9 51:16	75:4 83:4
enhanced 66:7	61:16 69:20	52:9 53:1 54:20	fee 15:10,18,21
enrolled 13:3	examples 17:4 27:25	55:2,4,14,18,25	16:19,19,25,25 17:2
ensure 9:24 70:5,24	examples 17.4 27.23 excellent 4:11	56:10 77:12 80:8	17:3,3,4,13 20:21
ensures 70:6	exception 55:15	86:5	22:20 23:24 24:5,21
enter 35:10 63:12	exception 33.13	facility 5:19,20	24:22 25:4,6 26:7
75:3	excessive 35:25	15:11 17:24 18:10	· ·
		18:16,20,24 19:1	27:10,17,18,22,24
enterprise 8:14	exchange 10:8	22:21 27:24 28:9	28:1,2,3,6,7 29:5
			33:23 35:1,2,7,8,10

[fee - going] Page 8

35:13,25 36:14,18	fine 26:18	former 49:14	18:20,20 19:1 26:7
36:20,24,24,25 37:4	fines 33:20	forming 42:13	28:18 30:16 35:11
37:23 38:10,11,17	fingerprint 16:23	forth 78:23	35:15 38:5,5,15
38:20 40:4 52:7	34:23 35:3,4,12	forward 2:18 19:8	42:4 44:13 45:11,11
54:14 55:8 62:6	36:9 37:18,20 40:3	four 24:2 25:20,25	48:5 54:16 58:7,21
feedback 15:15	fingerprinting	31:4	59:7,9,12,12,14,15
31:16 83:22	35:21 37:4,6,12	fourth 70:16	59:17,17,18,19,20
feel 5:15 15:6 24:16	38:9 39:14,23	foxwoods 9:6	59:22 60:1,1,13
54:12 57:22 58:11	fingerprints 38:3,10	frame 18:9 23:10	63:10 71:19 84:9
62:19,22 67:1 73:24	finished 57:12	82:4	86:17
73:25 74:16,19 79:6	firmly 62:5	frame's 70:3	gather 81:24
80:1,4 81:12 82:2,5	firms 69:22	frames 81:14,25	gears 4:11
86:12,13	first 6:24 24:19	frankly 55:22 85:21	general 37:15
feeling 77:24	25:25 28:3 31:13	free 5:15 15:6 27:14	generally 19:11
feels 57:20 60:15	35:4 42:1 65:7	54:13 79:6 86:12,13	35:16
67:15	72:11 79:8	freedom 44:10,14	george 7:16,17
fees 15:10,17 16:3	firsthand 50:21	frequently 35:17	42:10,10,13
16:12,23 17:8,15	fiscal 15:19 16:6,7	full 9:3 12:13 43:13	getting 11:16 23:20
23:16 24:9,24 25:3	16:16,18 24:9,10	69:15 81:6 83:10,10	83:19
25:13,14,16 26:6	27:11	85:20	giffen 7:25,25 45:24
27:9,13,13,21 28:4	five 11:8 14:2 55:16	fully 9:22 27:3	45:25
33:12,15 34:23,24	60:17 85:9 86:22	34:22 52:6 63:18	give 19:20 29:16
35:3,4,8,18,21,22,23	fix 70:18	fun 57:8	34:11 49:17,17,19
36:3,6,9,11 37:12	flat 26:7	function 10:1	53:15 59:7 67:4
37:15,18,20 38:3,5	flip 38:13	functioning 68:10	71:3 81:23 82:6,9
38:6,7,9,15,19 39:1	focus 20:7	fund 25:15 36:22	given 27:5 65:18
39:23 41:16 52:13	folks 23:2,4 25:11	38:3,6	80:5 81:23
54:11 55:17 67:6	34:22 35:9 48:23	funded 52:7 55:8	gives 11:20
75:6 77:18 83:18	57:15 71:19 76:17	funding 37:5 54:16	glad 5:16 43:15
felt 80:17,20	83:6	funds 37:1 41:21,23	51:19
field 6:7 51:16 84:9		further 3:19 27:16	go 6:9 11:19 12:10
fields 4:14	62:4,11,18 80:12	51:1 88:11	12:13 24:1,6,19
figure 66:5 86:21	followed 45:20 80:4	furthermore 59:25	26:17 29:18 38:25
figured 26:4	following 15:7 86:16	future 14:8 43:15	39:5,8,15,23 40:20
file 81:16	86:20	56:21 86:14	41:9,13,21 42:1
filed 69:25	foregoing 88:6,8	g	43:1 46:24 47:7
final 13:24 14:25	forgot 51:6	g 2:2	50:25 51:4,16 52:3
31:20 44:25	form 83:13	gaap 17:7 35:17	53:20 57:17 63:21
finally 16:6 17:12	formal 22:3 25:7,19	games 27:15 48:14	66:7 68:12,20 72:16
financiers 25:10	44:25 51:13 70:6	gaming 1:1 2:6,7 5:1	74:9,15 83:21
financing 74:10	80:17	5:3,4,5,8 7:3,5,9	goal 86:6
find 22:15 66:22	formalized 81:11	8:16,18 9:22 10:16	goals 86:4
finding 51:12 74:8	formalizes 37:13	12:19 13:2 15:13,19	goes 46:22 71:6
findings 74:22	formally 74:21	16:3,7 17:6,17	going 8:20 11:19
			12:11,23 16:1 23:15

[going - impact] Page 9

23:16 24:5 26:19 great 8:24 51:19 hard 9:2 hopefully 67:18 33:11,13,16,16 37:4 54:14 55:23 greater 28:1,4,6 headquarters 5:25 hoping 77:8 48:19 51:18 52:25 greg 6:25,25 29:19 45:21 47:3 48:24 hosting 5:21 6:22 53:15 57:11 61:18 30:9 52:21,21 49:16 50:2,14 72:24 hotel 3:18,20 62:10,10,11,23 gross 16:5 17:6 hear 10:18 12:9 hotel 3:18,20 65:10,15,17,22,23 35:11 31:16 33:20 67:15 hours 48:4 67:12 72:8,10 79:19 81:18 11:10,13,18,22,22 heard 10:4 31:14 house 8:7 37:14 83:10 84:3 85:3,13 14:6,14,15 15:9,9 45:18 57:6 60:23 39:12 63:9 85:18 86:8 19:18 20:6,8 21:2 67:16 73:3,12 82:13 housekeeping 6:13 good 2:3,24 7:14,16 42:2 46:2 57:12 hearing 22:17 32:10 huge 11:15 34:18
40:1 42:1 44:3 45:8 greater 28:1,4,6 health 18:6,22 19:3 hoping 77:8 48:19 51:18 52:25 30:9 52:21,21 45:21 47:3 48:24 45:21 47:3 48:24 45:21 47:3 48:24 49:16 50:2,14 72:24 7:19 86:10 62:10,10,11,23 30:9 52:21,21 49:16 50:2,14 72:24 hotel 3:18,20 65:10,15,17,22,23 35:11 31:16 33:20 67:15 hotels 53:11 65:25 66:1,14 71:25 group 1:3 2:7 11:8 80:9 hours 48:4 67:12 72:8,10 79:19 81:18 11:10,13,18,22,22 heard 10:4 31:14 house 8:7 37:14 83:10 84:3 85:3,13 14:6,14,15 15:9,9 45:18 57:6 60:23 39:12 63:9 85:18 86:8 19:18 20:6,8 21:2 61:3 64:21 65:3 housekeeping 6:13 golf 3:20,22,23 4:22 26:25 29:21 30:2,3 67:16 73:3,12 82:13 68:21
48:19 51:18 52:25 greg 6:25,25 29:19 45:21 47:3 48:24 hosting 5:21 6:22 53:15 57:11 61:18 30:9 52:21,21 49:16 50:2,14 72:24 7:19 86:10 62:10,10,11,23 gross 16:5 17:6 hear 10:18 12:9 hotel 3:18,20 65:10,15,17,22,23 35:11 80:9 hotels 53:11 65:25 66:1,14 71:25 group 1:3 2:7 11:8 80:9 hours 48:4 67:12 72:8,10 79:19 81:18 11:10,13,18,22,22 heard 10:4 31:14 house 8:7 37:14 83:10 84:3 85:3,13 14:6,14,15 15:9,9 45:21 6:22 7:19 86:10 85:18 86:8 19:18 20:6,8 21:2 61:3 64:21 65:3 housekeeping 6:13 golf 3:20,22,23 4:22 26:25 29:21 30:2,3 67:16 73:3,12 82:13 68:21
53:15 57:11 61:18 30:9 52:21,21 49:16 50:2,14 72:24 7:19 86:10 62:10,10,11,23 gross 16:5 17:6 hear 10:18 12:9 hotel 3:18,20 65:10,15,17,22,23 35:11 80:9 hours 48:4 67:12 72:8,10 79:19 81:18 11:10,13,18,22,22 heard 10:4 31:14 hours 48:4 67:12 83:10 84:3 85:3,13 14:6,14,15 15:9,9 45:18 57:6 60:23 39:12 63:9 85:18 86:8 19:18 20:6,8 21:2 61:3 64:21 65:3 housekeeping 6:13 golf 3:20,22,23 4:22 26:25 29:21 30:2,3 67:16 73:3,12 82:13 68:21
62:10,10,11,23 gross 16:5 17:6 hear 10:18 12:9 hotel 3:18,20 65:10,15,17,22,23 35:11 31:16 33:20 67:15 hotels 53:11 65:25 66:1,14 71:25 group 1:3 2:7 11:8 80:9 hours 48:4 67:12 72:8,10 79:19 81:18 11:10,13,18,22,22 heard 10:4 31:14 house 8:7 37:14 83:10 84:3 85:3,13 14:6,14,15 15:9,9 45:18 57:6 60:23 39:12 63:9 85:18 86:8 19:18 20:6,8 21:2 61:3 64:21 65:3 housekeeping 6:13 golf 3:20,22,23 4:22 26:25 29:21 30:2,3 67:16 73:3,12 82:13 68:21
65:10,15,17,22,23 35:11 31:16 33:20 67:15 hotels 53:11 65:25 66:1,14 71:25 group 1:3 2:7 11:8 80:9 hours 48:4 67:12 72:8,10 79:19 81:18 11:10,13,18,22,22 heard 10:4 31:14 house 8:7 37:14 83:10 84:3 85:3,13 14:6,14,15 15:9,9 45:18 57:6 60:23 39:12 63:9 85:18 86:8 19:18 20:6,8 21:2 61:3 64:21 65:3 housekeeping 6:13 golf 3:20,22,23 4:22 26:25 29:21 30:2,3 67:16 73:3,12 82:13 68:21
65:25 66:1,14 71:25 group 1:3 2:7 11:8 80:9 hours 48:4 67:12 72:8,10 79:19 81:18 11:10,13,18,22,22 heard 10:4 31:14 house 8:7 37:14 83:10 84:3 85:3,13 14:6,14,15 15:9,9 45:18 57:6 60:23 39:12 63:9 85:18 86:8 19:18 20:6,8 21:2 61:3 64:21 65:3 housekeeping 6:13 golf 3:20,22,23 4:22 26:25 29:21 30:2,3 67:16 73:3,12 82:13 68:21
72:8,10 79:19 81:18 11:10,13,18,22,22 heard 10:4 31:14 house 8:7 37:14 83:10 84:3 85:3,13 14:6,14,15 15:9,9 45:18 57:6 60:23 39:12 63:9 85:18 86:8 19:18 20:6,8 21:2 61:3 64:21 65:3 housekeeping 6:13 golf 3:20,22,23 4:22 26:25 29:21 30:2,3 67:16 73:3,12 82:13 68:21
83:10 84:3 85:3,13 14:6,14,15 15:9,9 45:18 57:6 60:23 39:12 63:9 85:18 86:8 19:18 20:6,8 21:2 61:3 64:21 65:3 housekeeping 6:13 golf 3:20,22,23 4:22 26:25 29:21 30:2,3 67:16 73:3,12 82:13 68:21
85:18 86:8 19:18 20:6,8 21:2 61:3 64:21 65:3 housekeeping 6:13 golf 3:20,22,23 4:22 26:25 29:21 30:2,3 67:16 73:3,12 82:13 68:21
golf 3:20,22,23 4:22 26:25 29:21 30:2,3 67:16 73:3,12 82:13 68:21
good 2:3.24 7:14.16 42:2 46:2 57:12 hearing 22:17 32:10 huge 11:15 34:18
7:18,22 8:9 13:1 58:21 60:17 64:7 51:6 67:10 i
23:11 29:1 36:5 67:7,9,19,24 79:20 heated 57:18 idaho 4:23,25 88:1,4
40:14,14,18 43:20 groups 11:8,10 14:2 heavy 33:21 88.19 19
44:4,4 48:13 54:10 14:2,7 85:9 held 1:17 10:22 idea 25:23 36:6
54:15,17,18 55:6 growth 42:5,7 20:11 55:17 ideally 77:14
63:18 65:8 66:10 guarantee 22:6 hell 53:18 ideas 10:9
70:12 71:13,18 74:5 guess 32:23,25 33:6 help 5:15,16,22 66:8 identified 29:21
77:9,21 33:14 34:1,7 36:1,9 78:9 77:13
goods 19:13 37:3 38:1,8 39:19 helped 42:5 identify 10:12 73:14
gorilla 79:22 50:25 60:22 64:7 helpful 23:22 40:16 identifying 21:18
gotten 34:2 66:5 67:24 69:6 70:8 72:4 ignorant 81:21
goudy 7:18,20 40:22 75:16 76:25 85:18 helps 64:9 77:15,16 igra 10:2 19:14
41:2,3 52:15,16 guidance 20:4 61:20 hereunto 88:15 21:21 24:3 46:10
76:21 78:16 73:13 hi 8:6 21:21 24:3 40:10 48:24 60:14 68:9
govern 59:11 guide 28:22 hide 81:21 70:8
governance 61:9 guidelines 20:18 high 4:8 60:15 ii 15:25 27:15 64:6
governing 71:17 58:12,14 64:3,8 higher 54:14 56:1 68:16
government 3:12 65:16 66:13 72:25 historically 68:6 iii 15:13,16 19:17,19
4:21 5:2 9:14,14 74:20 hogan 33:3 20:8,11,12,22 27:15
10:6,6 42:19 43:9,9 guys 14:18 42:18,20 hold 2:10 32:14 28:18 39:18 41:25
44:20,20 55:1,5,5 44:6 53:4 55:1 holding 59:6 57:9,13 58:3,6,9,22
61:10,10 68:7 71:16
72:8 73:8 75:5 75:13 83:13 84:25 home 86:12 60:7,13 61:3 62:5,8
government's 73:4 gym 3:25 honest 51:15 79:24 60:7,13 61:3 62:5,8 62:20 65:5 66:19
governments 42:16 h honestly 24:17 62:20 63:3 66:19 68:17 79:14
42:17 honosty 55:4
grade 4:10 nan 3:13 honored 2:12 nn s 20:4
grand 7:23 8:1 46:1 nand 88:15 hook 6:8 8:21 linegal 03:8,8,12
16:3 8 12 nandle 5/:15 6/:2,/ hope 5:11 37:1 immediately 65:17
grotoful 2:12 nandouts 84:15 40:15 42:15 52:12 /1:12
gratitude 2:0 impact 19:22 20:14
48:23 54:14 77:23

[impacted - kind] Page 10

impacted 65:11	52:18 55:20 56:8,9	internal 14:17 15:13	j
impacts 20:13 46:9	56:12 57:21 58:21	28:17,20 41:20	jack 7:25,25 45:24
implement 10:16	59:6,6,12,20 63:10	58:23 59:19,21,25	45:24
25:4 27:4 47:4	69:4 86:21	61:14,18 62:3 75:21	jan 8:12,13
implementation	indians 7:7 8:23	75:24 81:16	january 10:22 17:18
20:7	13:4 41:17	internet 4:15	36:25 85:13
implemented 25:6	indicate 14:2	interpretation 57:24	jeannie 2:21,23
implementing 23:25	indicated 18:3 30:17	interpretations	jim 8:2,2
implicated 44:8	81:20	65:24	job 1:25 68:23 71:13
importance 50:11	individual 65:10	interrupt 36:10	71:18 86:6
59:14 70:5	individuals 70:2	introduce 6:11 8:21	joe 26:11 53:9
important 2:13 5:1	industry 9:18,24	inundated 71:9	john 63:4,4
5:10 10:1,5 24:25	10:2 86:2	invalidated 28:20	joining 9:4
25:13,16 29:21	informal 22:17	investigation 74:17	jointly 59:18
50:21 55:18,19 65:8	information 11:21	74:18,21	joseph 7:12,12
67:13 68:11 70:12	20:3,15 21:18 42:2	investigator 6:7	26:11,19 29:14 53:9
70:20 85:23	42:24 44:1 50:22	invited 10:24	53:14 76:8
imposed 19:10	62:13 67:7 70:10	involved 70:13	jr 7:14,15,25,25
imposing 56:15	71:10 72:1,3 73:25	irrespective 63:7	43:20,24 44:5 45:24
inaccurate 48:8	74:1,4 76:16 81:23	irs 78:23	45:25
inaudible 50:8	81:24	issuance 18:17	july 86:17,18
52:25 53:5	informed 69:1 70:7	21:22 73:11	jump 23:21 72:9
include 14:25 16:15	inherent 29:3	issue 19:22 20:11,16	jumping 26:13
76:15	initial 14:11 64:21	23:14 26:3 34:13	june 19:7 22:22
included 4:3 11:18	initialize 40:24	35:6 46:19 49:20	88:16
11:22 17:4 18:23	input 19:20	50:2 56:24 60:4	jurisdiction 72:17
58:3	inquiry 1:2 10:14	64:23 65:10 66:4	k
includes 9:13 18:13	instances 58:2	67:11,13 70:18	
including 14:3	institute 27:17	71:24 72:4,6 73:2	keep 57:13 84:4
27:20 60:13	integrity 9:24 59:16	75:16,24 77:13,17	keeping 6:14 51:24
inclusive 10:7	intended 59:10	79:14,21	52:11 74:6
income 27:23	60:15	issued 10:13 18:16	keller 7:22,23
incorporate 29:4	intends 19:14	25:2 28:25 29:6	kept 57:19
37:15	interest 70:24 82:23	69:9 73:15 81:5	keri 6:15 12:2 88:3
incorporating 20:20	interested 23:6,13	issues 2:13 5:12	88:18
increased 81:19	25:24 32:10 51:5,12	18:6 21:16 23:13	key 13:7
independent 69:22	57:14 58:10 67:10	25:2,10 29:21 35:5	kick 70:3
independently	85:5 88:11	43:2 49:16 51:4	kind 16:9 23:8
11:12	interesting 24:24	63:19 65:5 66:8,14	31:13 32:22,25 33:1
indian 1:1 2:6 5:13	85:11	66:17 73:21 76:6	33:12 34:2 42:7,25
8:10 10:16 13:15,19	interface 39:4	77:1 78:7	42:25 49:3 58:10
15:12 18:14 19:2,8	interior 43:11 68:7	issuing 25:19	61:20 63:21 69:20
19:9,13 27:2,4	interject 54:5	item 60:16	76:23,25 77:25
28:19 41:8 45:11	interjecting 54:6	items 11:22 25:1	80:10 81:3,3 83:5
50:25 51:10 52:16		34:19	

[klallam - making] Page 11

			1
klallam 7:13 26:24	kootenai 8:2,5 32:20	leverage 72:23	long 12:11 49:9 54:6
know 3:11 4:25 5:7	46:21 88:2	license 17:24 18:10	85:20
5:10,15 8:25 9:20	1	18:17,24,25 28:9	longer 36:2,2 56:19
9:23 13:7 23:14,17	lacking 74:3	40:11 45:17,19 46:5	look 2:18 15:6 30:20
24:1,18,18 25:5,9	lady's 44:7	46:9,13,19 47:22	45:2 55:14 64:8,10
25:11,12,17 26:17		49:12 50:16,24 51:2	68:6 81:7 84:2,5
31:15,16 32:8 33:2	lana 8:4,4 32:20	67:6 83:18	85:25
33:8,13 34:4,18,20	33:25 35:20 37:3,8	licensing 15:12	looked 81:25
35:11,17,23 36:17	38:7,17,22 39:6,18	22:22 46:2 47:20	looking 4:19 31:3,4
39:11,15 41:10,11	40:17 46:21,21	69:23	40:8 68:16,20 70:15
41:19 42:15 43:20	54:25 64:2	lieu 27:17	75:21 76:3 82:20
44:6,7,12,13,22,25	land 2:10 18:14 19:2	light 28:18	lot 11:14 23:1,3
46:19,20 47:6,15,20	lands 3:12 64:23	limited 29:7 81:8	24:18 32:23 34:11
48:11,13,15,17,23	language 2:23 8:9	line 25:24	35:8 40:10,13 42:23
49:1,1,3,19,21 50:3	8:12,15 12:25 22:25	lines 25:22 32:1	43:2 44:5,7 45:18
50:7,17 51:7,20,20	70:8	70:10 72:16	46:22 48:23 51:17
51:21 52:6,8,24	laptops 4:18	list 40:20	53:19,24 55:4,5
53:22,25 54:15,16	largest 4:23	listen 10:24 29:25	57:15 62:13 65:1
54:16,16,19 55:3,16	late 16:13,15,18,19	67:14 72:9,10 80:9	66:14 67:12,12 68:1
55:21,22,24 56:7	24:24 25:3,4,17,25	listened 23:5	68:2 70:10 71:3,4
58:11,11,13 60:22	27:17,21,24 28:1,3	little 2:3,15 3:6 5:17	74:11 77:16,19
61:12,14 62:2,3,7	28:3,6,6,8 29:5,7	6:4 8:20,24 9:1	80:18 83:6 85:3
62:11,24 63:2,3,6	31:5 32:21,24 33:17	11:21 22:24 23:1,24	86:21
64:6,13 66:1,10,10	51:7 69:25	26:16,22 29:11,15	louie 2:21,23
66:13,22,23 67:4,10	lately 71:5	30:8,12,16,19,23	low 85:13
67:20 68:1,3,3,6,8,8	law 39:8,9 57:24	31:8 32:4,7,15,18	lower 7:13 26:12,24
	laws 44:8 47:2,11,12		31:7 36:15 52:13
68:12,12,12,14,15	lawyers 43:1	33:3,7,14,19,21	53:9
68:15,22,23,23 69:2	layering 59:22	34:10 36:5 37:7,9	
69:8,10,23 70:8,10	leaders 2:5 10:24	40:4,7,18,23 42:9	lucky 5:15
70:18,22,23 71:6,7	12:16 71:14 72:6	42:12 43:6,22 44:4	lummi 7:10
71:8,9,10,15,18,22	83:7	44:24 46:17 47:7,14	lunch 12:12
71:22,23,24 72:1,3	learn 76:24 77:3	48:8,21 50:20 52:14	m
72:6,6 73:18,19,20	leave 49:18	52:19 53:7,12 54:8	ma'am 31:25 45:5
73:20,21,21,25 74:1	leaving 79:5	55:3 57:11 59:1	52:14 58:16 64:1
74:2,6,16,18,19	left 6:5,10,17 12:5	60:20 62:17 63:17	machines 4:1
75:1,5,17,25 76:6	30:20 35:25	64:16 66:16 71:2	mad 33:5
76:24 77:1,3,5,14	legal 63:8 81:9	73:9 75:19 76:13	mail 79:7
77:15,16,20,22,24	legalities 63:10	77:8 79:1,16,19	maintain 20:23
77:25 78:1,6,6,11	legislature 9:9	82:1,11,19 85:11,17	maintained 9:25
78:25 79:22,23,23	letter 58:24	86:18,23	maintenance 18:19
80:20,21,24 81:15	level 30:11,17,18	live 4:5	major 26:2
81:20,22 82:10,13	36:15 50:10 67:21	local 44:17	majority 18:2
82:24 83:3,8,9 84:7	levels 31:4	located 4:9	making 40:13 42:22
84:19,25 85:1,12		location 19:1	42:23 55:19 64:3
86:3			

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

[making - notary] Page 12

71:13	72:20 73:20 80:2	mountains 3:9	81:19 82:10
malatare 8:2,2	meetings 12:11	move 31:20 45:8	negotiated 76:22
man 85:13	14:16 20:7	56:24 67:24 80:14	78:22,24
management 22:18	melissa 6:2,3	movie 4:17	negotiating 75:7
61:24	member 7:11 13:3	moving 17:24 19:8	negotiation 27:20
manner 18:21 27:20	43:9,10 58:18	21:2 45:16	negotiations 61:11
mao 58:19	mention 68:3	myers 6:1 11:19	62:9 65:11
march 15:21	mentioned 44:9	12:25 13:1	nelson 8:12,13
mark 6:7	merely 10:9	n	never 21:20 74:17
market 51:20,21	met 25:6 78:18	n 2:2	new 9:11 16:13,23
54:13	microphone 6:16	name 2:15 6:15,16	18:10 34:23 42:25
mashantucket 9:5	12:1 23:18 85:8	7:12,19 8:10,13,16	47:9 83:6
matches 56:7	mics 19:17,19 20:8	8:17,22 11:25 13:1	newly 18:16
matherly 7:2,2	20:11,12 57:13 58:3	26:10,11 40:25 41:2	nez 8:13
30:15,15,22 31:2	58:6,9,9 59:4,8,24	43:23 45:24 58:18	nigc 2:10,16 5:19,23
49:6,6 52:13 61:1,1	61:3 62:2,5,8,20,25	63:4	6:21,23 9:25 10:14
69:19,19 81:13,13	65:2,6,15,16,18,19	nation 7:10,15,17,20	14:1 16:25 18:5
82:8,17	65:20,25 66:9,13,19	41:3 42:11,13 43:25	19:11,12 20:10,21
matt 8:16	68:17 79:14	44:19 52:15 72:25	21:7,18,21 22:10
matter 14:3 48:15	middle 4:8	73:3 74:25 76:22	23:5 29:20 30:17
61:8 76:17	mike 26:9	77:4 78:17	34:24 39:5,15 40:3
matters 50:15	miles 53:25,25	national 1:1 2:6	44:6 47:10 48:3,18
matthew 5:5 8:15	million 3:11	13:2 58:20	49:10 50:6 58:6,9
mcclatchey 8:6,6	millions 5:1	nations 75:12	59:8 60:5,6 61:2,6
64:18,18 79:13,18	mind 10:11 51:25	native 2:23 8:9,12	65:19,19 66:7 68:4
mean 25:12 30:13	52:11 57:13 66:12	8:15 12:25 22:24	69:23 72:14,16,17
33:9,25 34:2,7,16	74:6 84:4	44:11	72:23 75:12 79:25
35:22 38:9,23,24	minimal 40:5	natives 41:24	81:18,24 82:9
39:8,19,21 40:4	minimum 15:13	near 14:8	nigc's 16:24 65:14
47:8,10 48:25 53:2	28:17,20 58:22	necessarily 63:10	nigc.gov 12:4 79:9
54:13 55:3 62:19	59:25 61:14,17 62:3	66:17	night 5:20
63:14 64:2,4 65:25	minute 57:7	necessary 21:23	noi 10:18 11:5 14:4
66:1 77:19 80:24	minutes 84:21	necessity 38:14	17:6,25 19:11 20:17
meaningful 9:13	mississippi 19:25	need 5:14 10:19	21:6,25 22:9 23:9
10:8	mix 65:1	13:17 25:16 30:5	24:8 35:14 45:17
means 56:9	mode 39:22	44:13,17 50:16	57:15 80:14
measures 27:18	model 21:24 28:22	64:19 65:1,12 66:5	nonbinding 65:17
29:1 61:8	65:16 66:13	68:15 70:17 79:20	noncompliance
meat 67:8	moment 32:17	81:11,25 85:8	21:16 76:6
mechanism 60:5	money 27:15 36:12	needed 10:13 28:22	north 4:23,24 13:4
media 12:15	36:15,23 40:13	69:17	19:25
medical 4:3	77:19	needs 47:10 56:7	northwest 6:23 8:23
meet 42:19	morning 2:3,13,24	61:18 73:13 74:3	86:17,20
meeting 2:25 5:11	5:24 7:14,16,18,22	75:9,14,17 80:20	notary 88:3,18
12:1,16 14:19 42:1	8:10 13:1 43:20	13.7,14,11 00.20	

[notes - passage] Page 13

notes 24:6 88:9	officer 8:18 22:16	38:4 47:17 54:17	overly 28:14
notice 1:2 2:14	50:8	55:21 61:17	oversight 9:17 69:2
10:14 11:2,18 16:14	offices 60:23	opinion 73:16	owned 4:4
18:10,24 24:25 25:2	official 72:8	opinions 57:18	
25:7,18 29:4 49:19	officials 13:17 44:21	66:20 67:11	p
69:6 81:5			p 2:2
	71:17,20 81:22	opportunities 31:21	packets 14:13
notices 28:24 61:4	oh 30:24 43:24 57:8	85:3	page 8:4,4 25:21
notification 16:6	oi 69:4	opportunity 2:4	30:5,20 32:20 33:25
28:9	okay 5:17 6:4 23:1	3:22 26:24 29:20	35:20 37:3,8 38:7
notifications 17:25	24:6,17,24 25:12	60:18 61:7 79:21	38:17,22 39:6,18
nov 16:21 21:8,14	30:22 32:9,18 34:10	80:6 82:10	40:17 46:21,21
21:15,17,19,22	34:25 39:6 40:17	oppose 53:23 62:7	54:25 62:13 64:2
34:13 69:9,13,21,25	45:16 46:17 47:14	opposed 16:2 61:23	79:8
70:14 73:14 74:2	52:19 53:16 57:9	opposing 79:25	pages 1:24
76:10,21,22 77:17	64:16 76:13,19	opt 58:8	papers 71:9
77:22 78:5,22	79:18 82:11,19	option 20:19 22:17	parker 8:9,11 32:13
november 10:13	oklahoma 19:24	optional 64:5	32:17 58:17,18 59:2
82:18	58:3 62:24	options 16:15	60:25
novs 21:17 73:12,17	old 61:24 68:8	oral 60:18	parr 8:22,22
74:7,9 76:5,15	older 4:12	orally 83:25	part 15:14,17 16:4
77:25 78:1	once 11:23 24:12	order 9:22 10:20	17:16,20,24 18:1,3
nukwalqw 1:18	34:12 36:23 68:3	13:9 16:21 25:16	18:4 19:7 20:18,21
number 14:3 18:1	75:2,10 76:10 77:20	47:22	20:23 27:6,8,9 28:9
21:16 37:19 38:16	81:22	orders 28:25 29:6	28:11,17,23,24 29:5
76:6	one's 65:21	ordinance 15:11	32:13 37:5 38:18
numerous 21:9 22:3	ones 79:15	20:20 22:18 50:13	39:16 44:2 45:17
nuts 85:22	ongoing 10:8	62:8 66:20	56:6 58:8,22 59:4
0	online 12:8,9 30:24	ordinances 17:17,18	59:23 60:14 66:7
o 2:2	30:25 31:23 45:1	17:21 27:7 45:12	67:23 68:7 83:18,18
o'clock 12:10	79:7 82:25 83:2,3	58:7 61:7	86:6
obama 13:11 78:21	83:11,12,21 84:1	oregon 46:1	
	85:6 86:13	organization 6:12	participate 41:24 67:16
object 27:12	open 46:23 47:22	6:17 12:1 26:10	
objection 27:7 49:8	81:8 84:24	41:1 68:5	particular 11:13
objective 13:14	opened 47:9	organize 11:7	20:22 27:11 28:13
obligation 52:8	opening 2:22 68:24	organized 9:11	42:15 56:24 65:4
observation 85:7	operates 4:10 51:25	originally 9:4	85:9
observe 29:25 85:12	operating 61:20	osha 49:4	particularly 27:11
obsolete 45:9,13	operation 16:4,7	outcome 88:12	parties 88:13
obviously 49:25	18:20 37:1 48:1,6	outlining 21:12	parts 13:12 21:4
occupied 48:5	operation's 15:19	outside 28:15 53:20	40:21 48:17 57:12
october 48:2 82:18	operational 39:2,12	53:21 69:21 74:11	58:5 68:2 84:23
offer 52:11	operationally 40:8	overabundant	pass 34:12
office 6:6 13:11	operations 9:20,22	62:10	passage 68:9
70:21	25:17 36:16,22 37:5	32.10	
	25.17 50.10,22 57.5		

[passed procedurings]
passed 29:8 51:8 76:9
patronize 52:9 55:13,20
patrons 50:11
paxton 6:1 11:19 12:23,25 13:1 45:8
45:12 51:10 56:4,12 83:15
pay 5:1 16:17,19
24:9 25:15 29:9 39:23 41:22
paying 60:21 62:6
payment 16:14,16 16:18 27:19 28:3,6
28:8 32:21,24 78:18 78:19
payments 16:2,3,16
16:17,20 27:19 29:7 33:18
payout 17:11
penalties 27:17
people 3:4 4:5 53:5 62:1,11 78:17,19
85:22 86:21
pequot 9:5
perce 8:13
percent 28:2,4,7 51:25
percentage 26:6
27:22 31:3 33:23
34:8
perform 10:3
performance 23:10
period 13:24,25
16:6 17:12 19:6
22:19,21 27:10 41:4
82:21
permit 18:14
permitting 27:20
person 40:13
personal 42:11 63:6
66:5
personally 53:23

personnel 37:19 38:8 48:4 perspective 51:14 66:6 68:4 pertains 51:24 **phase** 13:21 **phases** 13:21 19:16 phillips 6:7 **phonetic** 4:17,20 7:4 **pick** 23:18 57:8 **picked** 84:15 picking 6:23 **pile** 71:11 **place** 3:1 13:10 19:1 22:16 47:12 53:17 63:2 88:7 **placed** 47:13 **places** 53:19 **planned** 53:17 **play** 3:22 27:14 69:1 72:22 74:9 playing 81:21 plays 4:18 50:15 **please** 5:22 6:17 26:10 32:8 40:25 41:1 46:20 51:5.7 57:1 78:14 79:6 84:4,14 86:12 pleased 46:14 **plenty** 31:20,21 **plummer** 3:24 4:7 **plus** 3:20 40:9 **point** 31:17 32:16 34:1,19 36:9,12 40:10,14 42:7 56:3 63:18 71:2 76:2 77:9 79:20 84:11 85:3 pointed 41:6 **points** 57:5 84:16,16 84:17 **poker** 35:9 **pole** 85:14 **police** 50:8

policies 13:13 **policy** 10:10 21:20 27:4 45:1 51:13 56:18 70:6 **policy's** 56:18 **ponder** 63:11 **pool** 4:2 pools 4:2 **pop** 74:2 portland 6:6 **pose** 62:23 63:22 position 63:11 positions 70:23 positive 5:13 **possible** 6:10 13:14 16:21 52:10 81:8 82:5 **possibly** 10:12 78:9 **post** 12:2 15:16 35:15 posted 14:22 15:3,6 21:17 46:14 76:15 posting 15:4 postings 49:11 potential 17:1,5 **pound** 79:22 powerpoint 11:20 12:6 16:11 79:9 **powers** 46:10 **powwows** 41:17 44:9.14 **practical** 9:15 20:7 37:14 practices 15:25 predicament 48:9 **prefer** 12:18 26:15 preliminary 13:21 14:10 15:22 19:6 22:19,21 25:22 29:22,23 30:21 31:12,23 32:4 36:25 46:12 57:2.4 67:5 83:19 84:3 **prepared** 24:13,17

preschool 4:10 presentation 11:20 16:11 23:6 79:9 presentations 12:6 preserve 13:19 **president** 13:10,10 president's 83:3 85:24 presiding 22:16 pressure 71:5 **pretty** 36:18 57:2 81:8 **prevent** 39:25 prevents 38:14 **previous** 16:5 36:21 49:14.23 previously 46:4 primarily 48:17 primary 9:16 69:1 principles 35:17 **prior** 9:4 16:14 17:18,21 21:22 60:17 69:10 **priority** 14:2,5 23:14 60:15 **private** 76:17 privileged 44:1 **probably** 5:1 31:18 34:21 41:11 46:23 57:3 61:3 67:17 79:8 84:13 **problem** 29:2 51:9 55:7 62:23 64:21,22 65:3,7 66:17 77:4 problems 11:16 25:11 33:9 63:22 procedural 22:11 procedure 81:11 procedures 21:3 61:20 **proceed** 11:11 15:16 20:3 59:1 proceedings 1:10 21:25 22:1,7,9,12 22:14,17 75:23

26:12 32:11 67:3

[proceedings - regis] Page 15

-1			
80:14,15 83:5,16	25:20,21 28:12 29:5	q	64:13 66:25 67:10
84:22 87:1 88:6,9	30:17 32:2 34:16,23	quarterly 16:2 24:5	68:10 71:18 74:3
process 10:4,12,20	37:12 64:5 83:20	24:22 27:19	75:14 77:8 82:25
11:11 13:20 14:10	proposes 61:2	question 17:10,15	85:23
14:14 15:2 18:4,13	proposing 17:22	24:7 30:16 34:25	reason 85:9
20:20 21:12 22:4,5	24:1 25:3 45:14	36:5 37:2 38:2 39:3	reasonable 29:1
22:6,18 23:8 25:4	prosecutor 81:1	40:14 51:23 55:6	reasons 36:20
25:19 26:5 37:20,25	protect 59:15,16	63:6,11,23 66:25	reawakened 75:16
38:13 39:16 40:10	protected 10:2	67:17 75:2 76:1,18	receive 14:24 25:14
42:14,22 44:8 45:19	50:12	82:2	76:22
48:14 55:15 58:15	protecting 70:24	questions 15:7	received 14:4 15:1
59:11,17,23 66:23	protects 18:21	16:10 17:1,5,14	18:1 21:9,13 22:2
69:3 71:22 77:17	proud 4:14	22:24 24:15 35:14	82:20
80:12,18,21 81:8,12	proven 23:24	61:23 67:23 79:3	receiving 69:25
81:14,16 83:6,22	provide 34:17 41:21	84:25	recess 57:10
84:17 85:20,21	44:16,16 52:1 54:11	quick 70:17	recognize 5:23
processes 75:21	60:18 61:6 77:21	quick 70.17 quicker 56:19 68:18	recognizing 68:15
processing 16:23	provided 19:3	quie 4:20	recommended
37:17 38:18	provides 11:4 54:16	quite 35:17 55:22	20:18
procure 52:10	74:1 83:22	78:24 85:21	recommends 28:10
procurement 55:10	providing 26:24		46:8
producing 65:15	28:21 62:15 78:8	r	record 6:14 44:21
program 4:12 13:14	provision 18:13	r 2:2	58:18 59:2 60:11
38:15	27:20 50:4	radio 4:19	76:12
progress 31:19	provisions 10:21	raise 36:18,20 72:4	redrafted 50:16
prohibited 57:21	61:16	raised 11:5 66:18	reduced 3:12
prohibition 21:11	public 10:14 18:6,21	raises 63:17	redundant 59:24
promulgate 20:12	19:2 25:10 48:5	rambler 7:18,20	reference 40:11
21:7 58:1 59:8	50:2,14 72:24 88:4	40:22 41:2,3 52:15	72:18
68:18	88:18	52:16 76:21 78:16	reflect 15:25 78:14
promulgated 10:16	publish 16:25 58:11	rate 15:21,23 20:21	refreshments 5:22
promulgating 57:21	published 11:2	36:14,24 37:16 55:1	refusal 29:9
promulgation 45:20	14:17 15:21	55:5 56:1	reg.review 79:9
66:11	pull 30:25	rates 55:6	regarding 14:5 22:7
proof 83:14	purchasing 19:13	raven 3:20	22:13 44:6
proper 80:4	purpose 10:18 17:8	reach 86:5	regardless 65:21
property 19:13	35:18 78:11 80:10	reaching 71:19	regards 2:18 46:15
48:17	put 32:9 42:4 70:23	read 26:14,19 83:1	47:3
proposal 13:23 27:3	76:11 84:12	84:14	region 9:21,21 70:21
27:10,17	puts 47:6	reading 30:6 50:17	72:20 75:23
proposals 68:21	putting 25:7 33:13	reaffirmed 13:10	regional 1:2 6:6,6
propose 17:2,5	66:12 68:4 71:4	real 32:10	71:4,13,18,25 73:18
proposed 14:24	80:10 83:23	really 23:13 25:23	regions 19:22 20:14
15:20,23 16:1,8,24		34:1 36:19 43:17	regis 3:9
18:9,15,18 19:2		48:10 51:15 58:10	

[regs - ronde] Page 16

regs 34:11	relative 27:24	requesting 60:8	result 16:20
regulate 60:12	religion 44:11,14	69:4	resulting 21:17 76:7
regulated 46:5,11	relooked 50:16	requests 19:4 71:9	results 5:13 16:19
86:5	reluctantly 36:16	require 24:10 28:24	returns 24:22
regulation 15:12	remarks 2:22	37:22 39:5	revenue 17:6 26:8
16:22 17:13 18:8	remember 79:25	required 59:20	35:11,15 37:16 52:1
19:9,12,15 20:25	remind 11:23 56:12	61:15	revenues 3:16 16:5
21:8,10,11 24:21	reminding 31:12	requirement 40:2,2	review 2:8,14 10:13
25:21 27:2 33:23	56:4	requirements 45:22	10:15 11:3,3,11,19
37:22 46:2,3,6,9,16	removal 15:24	46:25 47:6 48:24	12:5 13:20 14:6,18
47:12 48:25 51:14	removing 27:8	61:15 69:24	15:10 17:16,19 18:3
56:18,19,20 58:12	28:11,19 65:5	requiring 60:9	18:12 22:5 27:6
59:11,23 60:13 64:4	renegotiate 63:14	rescas 4:17	37:21,23 46:7 49:18
74:1 78:12	63:20,21	reservation 3:5,6,13	55:14 58:21
regulations 9:23	renewals 17:25	4:6,16 51:18 52:3,3	reviewed 10:20 11:9
10:12,16,19 11:5,8	28:10	53:24 85:10	14:24 22:2 46:12
11:9,10,12,14,18	renewed 18:16	reservations 42:4	80:16 83:13
14:1 20:5,23 21:3	renowned 3:21	reserve 9:10 18:11	reviewing 2:19 11:1
22:7,13 23:9 24:10	reopened 48:2,13	residents 4:16	43:13 75:25 84:17
26:25 28:21 37:12	reopening 18:3	residing 88:19	reviews 11:8
39:21 45:9 46:7,13	reopens 19:1 75:8	resolutions 15:11	revised 18:1 45:18
47:12 57:22 58:1	repeal 21:1 62:25	17:17 27:7	revision 10:19 28:24
59:8,15 61:4,19	repealed 17:20	resolve 29:2	revisited 75:11
62:20 65:6,19,25	62:20	resolved 21:17 76:7	richard 7:16,16
66:12 67:25 68:11	repeat 62:14	resort 3:14 4:22 9:6	42:10,10,13 43:25
68:19 70:5 74:20	replace 20:18 58:13	48:19	44:18
78:14 81:7 83:20,20	58:13	resource 41:22 60:6	right 6:1,15 12:23
84:18 85:25 86:7	replacement 37:21	78:9	18:11 19:18 24:7
regulator 61:13	report 69:25	resources 14:5	26:9 38:19,20,25
62:7	reporter 6:14 83:7	67:12 77:17,21	43:6 44:24 45:16
regulatories 70:1	88:3	respect 12:17 51:17	59:4 67:3,9 75:21
regulators 9:16,19	reporter's 1:10 88:1	72:11	75:25 78:21 79:1
69:1 70:7,17 73:20	reporters 12:15	respecting 9:16	rights 12:18
regulatory 2:7,14	represent 63:5	68:25	river 3:9 28:18
7:5 9:7 10:1,17 11:3	representative	respective 60:4	57:20 59:6
11:7,11,19 12:5	42:18 70:9	63:12 88:14	rivers 48:1,19
13:20 45:11 48:7	representatives 2:5	respond 70:15 75:2	road 1:18 48:20
55:14 59:7,12,20	12:17,19	response 14:4 63:6	roadmap 80:11 86:7
reiterate 79:13	representing 45:25	63:15	role 27:5 69:2
reiterates 31:2	request 18:12 60:7	responsibilities 9:17	roles 10:3
related 88:12	60:13	78:8	roll 67:19
relations 25:11	requested 10:17	responsible 52:1	ron 6:19,19 47:25
relationship 9:14	21:6 22:1,10 24:8	resubmit 47:21 48:3	47:25 72:12
71:16	76:4 80:15	48:8,18	ronde 7:24 8:1 46:1
		·	46:4,8,12
			· · ·

[room - standards] Page 17

room 6:9 12:16	seasonal 47:17 48:1	severity 74:7	sorted 41:10
47:19 79:22 83:8	82:17	share 62:1	sorts 66:14
85:1	seconds 32:12 40:23	sharing 77:5	sound 41:11
ross 63:4,4	secretary 3:2 43:11	sheldon 58:20	sounds 44:3
roundabout 38:1	section 15:20,23,24	shift 27:10	south 1:18
rpr 88:18	15:25 16:13,15,23	shorthand 88:9	sovereign 75:12,12
rule 13:23,24 14:25	16:24 17:22 21:6	shortly 13:11	speak 6:15,16 11:25
45:20 49:8	26:14 34:23	show 47:8 49:11,13	12:20 23:5,18 24:11
rules 22:1,5,11	security 48:6	64:9	51:5
31:20 50:7,14 80:15	see 3:23 12:5 14:6	showing 60:12	speaker 32:1,6 85:7
run 66:14	19:21 20:10 23:5	side 37:14 38:13	85:15,16
running 16:9 70:18	31:22 32:8 33:7	39:12 48:6,7,12	specific 11:10 21:11
82:8	34:2,11 37:4 43:15	sign 73:6 75:10	57:16 60:2 76:16
S	46:23,23 47:9,11	signed 72:22 73:5	specifically 46:11
s 2:2	66:6,10 67:25 76:19	similar 31:6	58:22 72:20
safe 86:12	79:14,18 83:7 85:14	simply 66:6 79:25	spencer 7:14,15
safety 18:6,22 19:3	seeing 34:4	single 43:14 55:16	43:20,24,25 44:5
45:22 47:3 48:24	self 46:3,5,11 61:9	sir 26:9 42:9 43:8,19	74:24,24
49:16 50:2,15 72:24	send 2:18 18:16	43:22 45:3,23 46:17	spend 75:6
salish 8:2,5 32:20	sending 71:11	47:24 49:5 53:8	spent 36:15,23
46:21	sent 18:24 49:24	64:17 69:18 74:23	67:12
samuels 6:19,19	70:11	79:12	spokane 3:8 6:20
47:25,25 72:12	separately 11:9	site 12:3,4 14:22	7:1,2 29:22,25 31:2
sandpoint 3:8	series 10:23	15:3,4,6,7 21:18	47:25 49:6,7 50:18
satisfy 33:24 40:2	serve 3:2	31:11 46:14 48:4	52:21 61:1,2,12
saving 41:7 64:12	served 4:15 9:9	76:15	62:14 63:5 65:14
67:3 73:5 76:18	service 4:5 21:4 40:3	sitting 80:7	69:19 72:12,19,23
says 26:22 62:2 80:3	services 19:13 52:10	situation 49:10	74:25 81:13
says 20:22 02:2 80:3 scale 27:23	session 2:6,10,21	69:21	spoken 2:23 8:9,12
scary 33:14	26:23 29:24 30:1	situations 74:5	8:15 13:1 22:25
	85:19 86:9,15	six 30:5 62:13	spring 85:5
schedule 2:8 11:3,3 11:19 15:10 25:25	sessions 23:4 55:17	sleeves 67:19	springer 7:8,8
	56:23 82:22 85:4	slept 55:22	sprinkler 49:20
55:10,11 scheduled 12:10	86:14	smart 51:21	ss 88:1
86:24	set 33:16,22,23 34:8	solicit 20:15	st 3:9
schedules 24:21	50:7 66:13 88:15	soliciting 20:2	staff 5:8,15,19,23,25
83:9	setting 25:20	solutions 41:13	6:1 8:5 13:2 14:17
	settle 49:25 72:19	somebody 48:16	49:16 61:17 71:4,13
schlichting 6:3,3,4 school 4:9	75:5	70:4	71:18 72:1 73:18,19
	settled 72:19	soon 14:16,18 19:15	84:8,10
schools 4:8,8	settlement 27:21	57:3	stages 44:25
scope 28:15 seal 88:16	49:25 72:14,21 75:3	sorry 30:24 43:24	standard 61:19
	75:8,10	78:14	standards 13:15,16
season 47:21	seven 25:17	sort 25:4 38:1 53:2	13:18 15:13 28:17
		63:9 64:20 76:1	28:20,21 58:23

[standards - thank] Page 18

59:15 68:17	stricken 60:14	summarize 30:13,14	talk 11:17 24:4,19
standpoint 23:25	strictly 51:11	summarize 30.13,14 summary 14:25	35:24 49:5,15 63:3
40:8	striving 65:9	summer 9:12	76:4 83:16
start 6:10,17 23:15	strong 49:8 60:11	sunrise 3:20	talked 68:1
23:16 58:15	stronger 66:1	sunset 3:18	talking 19:18 53:10
started 2:20 3:14	strongly 27:16	supervising 48:15	tax 41:23
5:11 11:23 23:20	28:12 75:14	supplemental 30:4	taxed 41:17,19
57:12	structure 10:1 19:19	81:18	44:10
starting 57:5 84:11	19:21 20:10	support 5:2 9:23	taxes 5:2
84:16,16,17 85:2	stuck 71:11	supported 18:2	technical 60:7 65:1
state 4:1 6:16 9:8,21	stuff 41:6 79:25	19:14	68:17
9:21 11:25 26:9	83:2	supporting 64:11	technology 68:14,17
31:6 39:24 40:25	subject 14:3 26:25	supportive 19:11	teeth 70:2 74:11
43:23 44:17,22	submission 24:21,22	supports 27:3,9,16	tell 40:7,13 72:9
48:12 50:5 58:4,8	25:18 60:17	28:12,19,23	tells 61:21 66:11
59:10,18 60:1 72:16	submissions 17:25	sure 40:20 47:18	ten 9:9 49:23 53:16
74:21 88:1,4,19	19:4 28:10	48:16 54:12 66:25	70:15 71:7 79:24
stated 14:19 18:4	submit 18:9 24:14	67:14 68:13,19,22	81:19
19:17 20:9 39:13	27:18 29:18 30:3,7	71:14 75:21 77:20	tend 62:1
44:1 45:9 88:7	40:15 45:2 56:25	78:8 80:5 85:25	term 9:3 17:2,3,7
statement 24:14	57:15 79:5,10 83:25	86:3	34:25 35:1,14 63:12
26:13,15,17,20	85:6,6 86:13	surplus 36:3,8,21	terminated 18:25
27:19 29:23 55:19	submitted 2:20	37:1	terms 9:15 65:15
statements 32:11	10:25 11:1 12:7	surprise 21:20	terrence 7:8,8
43:4 81:18	17:19 23:11 43:12	73:18 78:5	terry 7:18,19 8:22
states 9:25 19:22,23	43:13 79:7 80:3,17	surveillance 48:7	8:22 40:22 41:2,2
20:14,16 24:3 39:15	submitting 29:12,12	swears 36:7	44:9 52:15,15 76:21
40:1 42:6 60:4 62:9	29:22 60:16	system 16:14 25:20	78:16
64:15 69:2	subsidize 38:15	29:5 32:22 39:14	terry's 44:6
status 18:14	substance 10:5	49:20 73:24	testimony 30:7
stay 52:2,4 53:18,19	63:15	t	thank 5:16,17,20,21
54:1	substantial 16:20	table 6:9 23:19	6:21 7:18 8:24
stayed 5:19 76:11	sudden 49:22		12:24 13:4 22:24
steffani 2:17	suffered 76:10 77:1	take 2:4 33:11 45:2	26:22 29:10,19 30:8
stensgar 5:6 8:15,16	77:6	53:14 54:19 57:7	31:9,11,17 32:6
step 23:8 65:13,23	suffering 77:9	61:8 64:13 65:13	34:14,20 37:11 42:8
steven 7:10,10	sufficient 21:21	67:8 82:14 taken 23:12 29:1	43:5,14,16,17,18
stevens 2:17 26:21	81:15	33:20 34:19 54:4	44:22,24 45:3,4
58:25	suggested 20:17	57:10 71:3 75:18	46:16,17 47:14
stewards 54:10	suggestions 24:4	84:7 88:6	48:22 50:19 52:19
77:21	32:9 35:19	takes 32:12 38:14	53:7,7 54:7,23 55:7
stimulus 78:17,19	suggests 27:21	40:23 49:8 56:19	56:2 57:9 58:17
stopped 74:18	28:21	77:16	60:18,19,20,24,25
straight 34:5	sum 63:14	//.10	62:17 64:17 67:22
			73:9,10 74:24 76:2

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

[thank - trying] Page 19

76:13 78:16,25 79:1	throw 48:22 82:2	transcripts 11:1	79:24 81:14 82:3,10
80:12 82:11,12	ticketing 25:4	14:21 83:3	86:10
85:19 86:9,10,11,25	tied 44:12		tribe's 12:18 27:11
thanks 12:25 32:18	tier 30:10,17,18	transparency 83:4 83:10	28:19 70:24 74:25
54:9,22 79:18	tiered 25:20	transparent 15:2	81:21
that'd 26:18	tiers 25:25 30:20	travel 66:18	tribes 8:1,11,23 9:15
theoretically 84:12	timber 41:22	traveling 86:11	9:16,19,21,24,25
therapy 4:2	time 12:13 14:5	travels 86:12	10:4,18 13:13,15,19
thing 23:2 33:6 37:4	15:22 16:10 18:9	treatment 64:23	15:15 17:2,18 18:9
37:21 54:15 55:11	23:10,18 25:5 26:2	65:8	18:16 19:10,20,22
61:12 63:1 64:6	29:10 30:4,14 32:1	tribal 2:4,5 3:1 4:9	20:3,9,13,15,17,22
70:8 78:21	33:5 34:6 36:9,10	7:3,15,17,25 8:3,13	20:25 21:19 22:4
things 4:25 11:16	46:24 47:8,10 48:13	8:16,18 9:23 10:24	23:10 24:7,8 29:17
20:5 22:23 25:23	49:10 57:16 60:19	11:6 12:16,19 13:17	32:11,21 33:2,5,7
36:1 47:1 63:24	63:25 70:3,19 71:24	20:19,20,24 22:12	33:14 35:24 36:2
64:24 79:4	75:6 76:9 79:2	41:21 42:16,17,19	38:16,23 39:4,13,16
think 5:19 12:15,21	81:14,23,25 82:4	42:20 43:25 45:25	39:19,19 40:1,3
14:13,16 23:2,3	84:20 88:7	47:12 51:16,17 52:4	41:5,8,12,13,17
25:21 30:25 32:12	timely 27:19	52:9 53:1,5,18,24	45:10,19 46:1,5,11
33:22 34:11,25 35:3	times 23:3 24:2	54:17,19,20 55:4,13	46:21 47:2,4,7,11
35:6,8 40:5 42:18	31:18 61:4 70:14	55:18,24 56:9 58:4	47:16 49:2,25 51:11
43:1 44:13 46:22	toby 7:10,10	58:14 59:10,17 60:1	51:17,19,21 52:10
47:20 48:8,10 50:2	today 2:16 3:13,19	61:9 64:7,23 65:18	52:18,23 53:10
50:14 51:23 52:6,22	11:17 12:8 13:6	65:18 70:9,11,22	54:13 56:14 57:6,22
52:22 53:12 55:15	14:8 24:14 27:1	71:14 72:6,8,10	58:5,7,20,22 59:5
55:18 57:3 58:10	30:6 79:5 84:15	76:16 83:6,7	59:15 60:6,9,11,21
62:6,9 63:6,14,24	86:11	tribally 41:18	61:6 62:6,8,21
64:19 65:11 66:4	today's 15:7	tribe 2:9,22 4:4 5:21	63:19 64:3,9,24
67:4,9 68:18 70:5,7	total 20:25 27:22	6:20,21,22 7:1,6,9	65:4,10 66:8,19
70:20,25 71:12 72:7	28:2,4,7	7:13,24 8:5 9:6 12:7	68:25 71:17 72:5,25
73:16 74:3 75:14,17	totally 53:22 67:18	12:20 13:5 18:18,18	73:2,3,7,13 74:4,9
76:10,23 77:2,3,10	tough 55:12	21:21 22:6 26:12,24	74:12,16 75:12,23
77:13,15,24 79:2,7	tournament 17:3	27:3,7,9,12,13,16,18	77:2,12 78:3,4 80:1
79:20 81:14,15,17	35:10	27:21 28:10,12,19	80:5,8,17,19 82:5
81:17,19,24 82:8,9	tracy 2:17 58:25	28:23 29:22,25 30:1	82:21 84:8
82:25 83:5 84:21	trade 77:18	31:2,17 43:1,8 46:2	tries 75:3
85:13,14,23 86:23	training 56:5,5,7	47:25 48:3,12,18	triggered 65:5
thirty 84:21	trainings 36:1	49:7,7,9 50:3,18	trip 53:17
thought 31:10 39:6	transcribed 12:2	52:22 53:9 57:21	trouble 36:11
64:15,21 65:3 78:25	14:20,21 15:5 88:10	58:19 59:6 60:2,4,8	troy 5:7,8 8:17,17
three 9:3 13:12,21	transcript 1:10 2:19	61:2,12 62:14 63:5	true 10:6 57:23 88:8
23:8,12 27:13 29:4	12:2	65:14 69:20 71:7	try 6:16 12:11 66:22
31:18 43:10 50:9	transcription 88:9	72:12,14,16,23	67:20 75:4
thresholds 25:5	transcriptionist	73:18,21 74:17 75:3	trying 23:2 24:6
	29:16	76:10,24,25 77:5	40:20 50:9 55:12

[trying - work] Page 20

64:8 67:6 68:12	unnecessary 21:10	vote 69:16	51:8 57:6,22 58:2
74:9,11 77:10 81:21	59:24	voted 78:17	60:23 67:5 70:19
tsi 8:10	unresolved 78:6	w	71:4 73:12 77:1
tulalip 8:11 58:19	update 20:24	wa 88:18	82:13,20 83:17 85:1
58:20,22 59:5 60:15	updating 78:12	wager 17:10	85:4
86:16,19	upsides 69:7	wagered 27:15	web 12:3,4 14:22
turn 2:21 12:23	urged 46:4	wait 82:18	15:3,4,6,7 21:18
22:23 77:11	use 19:19 28:22 60:6	walked 69:9	31:11 46:14 76:15
turrey 7:12,12 26:11	61:16 72:23	walked 09.9 wall 61:18,21,22	week 14:16
26:11,19 29:14 53:9	usually 38:17	want 6:8 11:15,24	weeks 57:4
53:9,14 76:8	utilize 10:21 16:4	13:4 14:23 20:14	welcome 2:4,25 3:3
two 3:17 27:12 29:2	35:5,7 39:14,20	24:15 27:25 30:12	8:8 12:21,24 30:13
31:18 48:1,19 81:2	40:3 52:17,22 54:20	30:14 32:14 36:19	42:12 51:5 72:2
86:24	60:22 61:13	39:14 40:15 43:14	welcoming 13:5
type 32:24 37:21	utilized 21:24 36:22	44:22 49:7,19 50:1	wellness 3:24
39:7 64:8	utmost 70:4	50:23 51:6 52:25	went 23:23 78:18,18
types 20:5	v	53:10,22 54:11	78:20
u	vacant 48:17	55:20 56:11,17,25	west 48:12
ultimate 62:3	vacant 48.17 vandalize 48:16	58:15 60:22 63:3,24	whatnot 49:12
umpqua 7:6	variety 20:15	65:13,16 67:14	69:24
umpqua 7.0 unable 2:25	variety 20.13 veare 12:2 88:3,18	68:19 73:23 74:13	whereof 88:15
uncertain 33:15	verifying 18:14	76:4 77:10,20 78:14	white 6:5 23:21 36:6
uncovered 77:13	versed 34:22	79:5 80:2,5,23 81:4	37:11 38:11,20 39:2
understand 9:17,19	versus 16:17 25:6	81:10 83:1,15 84:19	39:11,25 40:6
11:13 24:20 25:1,9	35:8	84:24 85:19 86:9,9	wholeheartedly
25:12,15 47:16	vice 2:17 7:20 26:21	86:10	55:13
51:21 54:12,18	81:2	wanted 5:21 39:20	willful 29:9
59:14 60:3 68:24	vida 6:7 86:15,20	39:23 41:16 42:7	window 81:15,19
72:11 73:10 74:10	view 44:19 78:12,13	54:5	winners 44:10
76:1 83:8	viewed 66:1	wants 50:18 85:24	wireless 40:24
understandable	views 19:23	warrant 25:18	wish 86:11
22:15	violation 16:14,20	warren 7:14,14 43:3	withdraw 21:14
understanding	21:22 25:8,18,19	43:20,24,24 44:5	29:4 58:12 69:6,13
22:15 38:23 39:3,13	26:2,3 28:25 29:4	74:24,24	withdrawal 21:8,11
understands 52:7	49:19 69:6	washington 5:25	21:12,23 61:3
undue 47:23	violations 25:1,3	34:18 50:5 80:7	withdrawn 57:18
unfair 73:7,7	77:14 81:1,5	88:4,19	witness 88:15
unfortunate 63:13	vision 9:12	way 38:1 39:20 54:3	wonderful 3:23 5:20
unidentified 32:1,6	visit 15:4	54:4 57:24 66:9	80:8
85:7,15,16	visiting 80:8	80:24	wondering 66:15
uniform 64:23 65:7	voice 67:16	ways 64:25 71:1	work 8:22 9:8,23 21:19 39:12 44:5
unique 60:2,3	voluntarily 58:8	we've 3:19 4:24,25	50:9 52:24 59:2
united 19:23 20:16	voluntary 21:23	13:20 23:18 25:24	60:14 66:23,24
42:6		30:20 31:14,17 51:4	67:20 68:19 70:21
			07.20 06.19 70.21

[work - young] Page 21

71:25 74:3 80:18 86:3 **worked** 9:6 71:7 79:24 **working** 9:14 14:12 14:12,13,14 64:7,7 67:18 68:13 73:19 78:2 83:19,21 86:1 works 77:11 worley 1:19 4:7 **worry** 66:9 worse 65:23 written 10:24 13:22 14:21 17:12 19:6 22:19,20 28:14 29:14,17,23 30:5 37:22 41:20 56:25 60:16 83:12 wrong 39:9 63:15 63:16 X **x's** 49:13

y

yakama 7:15,17,20 41:3 42:10,13 43:25 44:19 52:15 74:25 yakamas 41:25 yakima 76:22 77:3 78:17 **yeah** 26:11 29:19 32:15,17 34:1,9 37:3,11 39:25 54:25 82:8 86:20 **year** 9:1,3,3 10:25 15:19,20 16:6,7,17 16:18 24:2,9,10,10 27:11 36:17 49:21 49:22 **years** 9:9 21:16 23:23 36:14 49:21 49:23 68:8 69:21 71:7 76:6 79:24 young 4:12 68:5,11