	Page 1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	BEFORE THE NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION
10	TRIBAL CONSULTATION
11	January 21, 2011
12	9:15 a.m.
13	Hyatt Regency Tamaya Resort
14	1300 Tuyuna Trail
15	Santa Ana Pueblo, New Mexico
16	
17	Members of the Commission:
18	Ms. Tracie Stevens, Chair
	Ms. Steffani Cochran, Vice Chair
19	Mr. Daniel Little, Commissioner
	Ms. Lael Echo-Hawk, Counsel to Chairwoman
20	
21	
	Reported by: Sally Peters, RPR, New Mexico CCR 57
22	
23	
24	
25	Job No. NJ303774

Page 2

CHAIR STEVENS: Good morning. Good morning, everyone. Good morning. I hope you are all well this morning, bright-eyed and bushy-tailed.

2.

2.2

2.5

Before we begin, I would like to turn over the meeting to the lieutenant governor of the Santa Ana Pueblo, Lieutenant Governor Lujan.

MR. LUJAN: Good morning. Good morning, everybody. Can you hear me without the mike? Thank you.

I would like to do a welcome prayer for all of you here, as most appropriate, so if you would please rise.

(A welcome prayer was offered in Tewa.)

MR. LUJAN: Thank you. You may be seated.

It's a pleasure to stand here before you. I would like to welcome all the regions, governors, chairmen, tribes, councilmen, and distinguished guests. I welcome you here to the Hyatt Regency Tamaya Resort and Spa. It's certainly a pleasure to be standing here before you. It's been quite some time that I have filled this capacity as lieutenant governor. I have been lieutenant governor in the past, but there has been at least seven or eight years before getting this position back again. So I am pretty much left out of the loop on a lot of

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

issues that concern gaming.

2.

2.2

2.5

I know you have quite a bit of work ahead of you into the new year, because I also realize and found out you also have a new chairwoman and vice chairwoman in place. They have to work for all of you here, and so I would just like to welcome, again, everybody here to the Pueblo of Santa Ana.

As far as speaking in general, as far as gaming goes, the Pueblo of Santa Ana has really seen quite a bit as far as overhead revenues that are generated right to the pueblo. And it's always a pleasure for me, because it doesn't just affect only certain individuals. It affects the community as a whole. We have been able to go ahead and upgrade our infrastructure -- water, sewer. We have had a chance to go ahead and have computer labs for our kids with intergenerational buildings for our seniors, the kids, so that they can all get together. Libraries, all of this basically from casinos, and I realize that all of these are good items coming from the casino.

There are some bad things, and I am pretty sure you are here to discuss all of this, with all of the appropriations, fees, background checks. I am pretty sure all of this will be discussed, and

Page 4

maybe going years back and to present, but anything that might need to be tweaked out, need to be improved on, let's go ahead and take that a step further. So without further adieu, I will just go ahead and turn this back over to Chairwoman Stevens. Thank you very much.

2.2

2.4

2.5

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you, Lieutenant Governor Lujan.

Excuse me just a minute. The last thing I need is candy.

Anyway, my name is Tracie Stevens. I am a member of the Tulalip Tribe from Washington state.

I am the chairwoman of the National Indian Gaming

Commission. I was sworn in on June 28th of 2010.

I want to extend my thanks and say thank you to Santa Ana Pueblo for their generosity for hosting this meeting today. You will see that in the future, this commission is going to endeavor to hold these meetings on Indian land in Indian facilities.

This facility is beautiful, as I was expressing to the lieutenant governor privately before the meeting. And as you said, this is a good demonstration of what gaming revenues can do for tribes. I want to thank all of you for attending.

Page 5

Thank you all for traveling, if you have traveled today or over the past, overnight to join us here.

I also want to introduce -- and they will have a moment to speak here in a moment -- Vice Chairwoman Steffani Cochran to my right. She is the vice chair of the commission. And also over here we have Associate Commissioner Dan Little, and they will say a few words in a moment.

I would also like to recognize our regional staff. They are actually out there working right now, but without them, we would not be able to organize these meetings. They have been very helpful in making sure that everything is in order for you and for us so that these meetings go as smoothly as possible. From where I come from, it's important to recognize the people who support you, because we could not do what we do without their help.

To start today, I do want to remind everyone of our initiatives as the new commission. Many of you have heard us speak the past four or five times about the agenda that we have set as a new commission. This is an entirely new commission. It's a full commission that has not been fully staffed for several years.

2.2

2.4

But we have identified four major areas as a result of our time working for tribes, but also in our time inside the commission, each of us, and our discussions with tribes.

These four major initiatives go as The first primary initiative that we have follows: is consultation and relationship building; two, technical assistance and training; three, regulatory review, which is the subject of today's consultation; and four, agency operations review.

Now, today, and in the previous four sessions that we have had over the past week and a half, it is the beginning of a new approach for this commission on how we consult with tribes. You will see this is a new format for the commission. that many are accustomed and the past commission had practiced the individual meetings where you signed up on a sheet of paper and got 15 to 45 minutes to go over broad policy, like we are going to talk about today, or your individual matters, and it was a bit of a marathon, I think, for the commission.

So this is a new format, and it allows for an open dialogue and exchange of ideas. identifies problems and gaps, but it also offers an opportunity for all of us to come up with solutions

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

together. And what I am hearing or what we are hearing in the past couple of weeks as we have done -- this is our fifth consultation -- we are hearing that tribes like this format, because they weren't able to hear in the past what other tribes' concerns were or to hear other tribes' options for solutions, not knowing that there was an issue that they had as a tribe, but they didn't realize it was also an issue for another tribe somewhere else in the country. Or if there were difference in being able to hear that and come up with some ideas. A lot of tribes so far are appreciative of this open format.

2.

2.2

2.5

So today, this format, what it does, it allows us to hear your concerns, hear your priorities, hear your thoughts, your suggestions, and your solutions. Now, certainly the previous individual meetings allowed you, allowed the tribes to address specific individual tribal concerns privately. We certainly don't expect that in this open format.

We do take time as a commission to set time aside to meet with tribes about their specific needs, whether it's an operational issue, a regulatory issue, a question you might have that's

just specific to your tribe. We certainly can do that, and we don't expect anyone to air their private issue here.

But we can have side meetings if we know in advance, or even if it's just something you want to grab us and talk to us about, we certainly can do that.

So this first priority is demonstrated here today. It's a new way for us to consult and have a discussion, have an exchange, and not have it be a one way conversation, and it's meant to honor the president's commitment to tribes, to have meaningful and collaborative consultation with tribes. It's part of his effort, too, to have good government. You as the constituents for this agency should have a say in what we do, and this forum allows that to happen.

Our second priority is technical assistance and training, and that's a statutory mandate. It's in the act, and we must provide it, but it should be more than just a requirement. And that's what we are striving to do. We are examining our technical assistance and training program so that we meet the needs that you have and that you have prioritized and that you have identified. If

2.2

2.4

we have a technical assistance training program that isn't working, then it doesn't do anyone any good. It doesn't help us protect the industry together.

So we are also looking to see how we can streamline our program so that we reduce redundancies in that program if we are overlapping. But last Thursday we issued a survey online. faxed it. We e-mailed it. We snail mailed it. We did a press release. We certainly can get you more information, and Ken certainly can help you with that if you have questions. I saw some puzzled looks out there in the audience.

It's online. You can fill it out online and submit it online. What it is, it's a survey that asks: What are your training needs? What kind of training do you need? How is the training working that we have now? How is it working out? And give us some suggestions, and it all can be submitted in any way that you need to. That's going to help us tailor our training better to better meet your needs. So as we go along, the survey is just one of several initial steps that we are taking to improve this program.

Agency operations review, that's another one of our initiatives. And that's us looking at

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

ourselves, and saying is there a way that we can, under the president's good government initiative, to streamline our operations, reduce redundancies, and provide better service. And there is a lot to that, and I want to say technical assistance and training is being headed up by Steffani Cochran. Agency operations review is being headed up by Dan Little.

2.

2.2

2.5

The agency operations review, if we are going to ask you to opt -- we should be just as concerned about our operations as we are about yours, and we should be more transparent about what we are doing, and we are striving to do that. So that's another major initiative.

The final initiative is regulatory review, and I committed to that in my nomination process.

And every new administration that comes in has a prerogative to look back at the past administration's finalized regulations and look at them for their relevance and if they are still suitable to the needs of the industry, and that's what we are doing today.

We are doing this regulatory review in a fashion that hasn't been done before for this commission, through sort of a preview before we start initiating a rulemaking process. Today we are

going to talk about which regulations and in what order and how should we go about it. Today is not a line by line drafting session of the regulations. It's an agenda setting that you have a say in, that you should tell us what should come first, and then how we should do this. There are priorities that we need to address, and we need to do it in a timely fashion.

This effort is to address the need for regulatory change before we actually make it, and you get to weigh in on that. Some ranks are going to be easier than others. You know, low hanging fruits and some technical changes that would make everybody's lives easier or make our processing go more smoothly. There are others that are going to require a lot of work and a lot of time and a lot of thought, and we are going to talk about how we can address those today.

Now certainly we are not going to agree on everything as we go forward, but we are going to be transparent about any disagreements that we have and why we make any decisions. We won't be making decisions here today. Really today is for you. Today, as I was telling the lieutenant governor, today is your time to tell us what your priorities

2.2

Page 12

are, what your needs are in terms of regulatory change, if any, what works for this region or what works for your specific tribe, what doesn't work. All of these are being recorded today so that you can see what other tribes are saying in other parts of the country. In our effort to be transparent, we are sharing all of our information. We know we have heard criticism of previous consultations that have been done in the past, that it was like commenting into a void and never hearing anything back and not understanding why your comments or your suggestions weren't taken.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

So all of this, there is going to be a record so that you can see what is being said and how we have come to the decisions we did when it comes to setting a regulatory agenda.

Before I move to Lael Echo-Hawk, who is a counselor and she will be basically running through the Notice of Inquiry that was posted in November and is the topic of today's discussion, but before I do, I will turn it over to the other commissioners, Vice Chairwoman Steffani Cochran.

VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Good morning. I feel so short. Stand up.

Good morning, governors, lieutenant

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

governors. I see several other former governors and some other elected leaders back there, so good morning to you. Good morning also to those of you who have come to participate. I see attorneys, a lot of familiar faces, and it's always nice to be home. I have been blessed this week by spending time both where I come from in Oklahoma, and then back here, which is home for me now. And so it's going to be hard to get on a plane and go back to DC, I'm afraid, tomorrow. But thank you so much for allowing us to come talk with you today. Thank you for giving us an opportunity to speak and to hear your concerns.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

It's always a privilege for me to be in your presence and to hear your thoughts. So I appreciate your time. I know you're very, very busy. I look forward to what you have to say. I think there are some exciting things happening, of course, in New Mexico and in the Southwest in gaming, and so I'm sure you have got some input that will help us work through these regulatory issues so that we can get the best product we can get in the amount of time that we have to give.

So thank you, Lieutenant Governor Lujan, again. Thank you for the wonderful hospitality and

Page 14

the very comfortable bed last night to sleep in. So I appreciate that very much. Thank you.

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you, Steffani.

Dan.

2.2

2.5

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Good morning,
everyone. I, too, want to thank the governor of the
Santa Ana Pueblo. It's a beautiful facility. It's
always great to be back here. I want to welcome
everyone here this morning.

And it's probably worth repeating what the chairwoman said and what Lael will talk about shortly here. This is a different kind of a setting that maybe many are used to, and that is, you know, it's going to be less us talking at you and more of us talking with you, and that this basically is designed so you can address your thoughts and your ideas.

So I am really looking forward to hearing how these suggestions that we have made -- and I want to repeat that. These are only suggestions and questions that we are asking to just stimulate some discussion. The commission has not taken any positions on these. So we just want to make sure everybody realizes these are questions that we are requesting some ideas and thoughts. And so I look

Page 15

forward to hearing from you, and once again,
welcome. Thank you.

2.2

2.4

2.5

CHAIR STEVENS: Thanks, Dan. Thanks, Steffani.

So I'm going to turn it over to Lael.

Many of you know we posted the Notice of Inquiry,
which addresses the need for change before we start
embarking on change and to allow tribes to weigh in
on our priorities and to set the agenda. So she
will go over the Notice of Inquiry and our next
steps.

Again, I want to say thank you very much for attending. We realize that there are many other events going on. We know the legislature is in session at this time, and that your schedule is far busier than ours. So we do appreciate your taking time out of your very busy schedules to come meet with us today.

I do really want to say we want to hear what you have to say, not only about the Notice of Inquiry and the regulations, but how to move forward with solutions or anything else that maybe is not covered in here, that we would be happy to hear your comments on.

So I will turn it over to Lael Echo-Hawk,

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

who will briefly run through the Notice of Inquiry before we open the forum for comments.

2.2

2.4

2.5

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Good morning. I want to echo the thoughts of the commission and express my appreciation to the Pueblo of Santa Ana welcoming us here. I am so excited. I kind of got to select where we were going to go. I stayed here one time before, and I said, please, can we go there. It's a great facility, and I am just really excited that we are able to be here.

My name is Lael Echo-Hawk, and I am a member of Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma, counselor to the chairwoman at the NIGC, of course, and I have been tasked with this responsibility, and I think it's really an opportunity to begin looking at the regulations that govern this industry.

And before we do that, you know, we wanted to take -- we were trying to think how can we take advantage of tribal input, how can we really, really solicit tribal thoughts and hear their concerns about which direction we need to go. And so we sort of came up with this idea, this Notice of Inquiry that I will talk about a little bit more.

But logistically, this is my name. You can contact me at this e-mail with regard to this

particular topic and, of course, our phone number.

You can send your comments to this, questions. This
e-mail will reach me, and we will be responsive.

So why a regulatory review? Well, of course, IGRA tasked the NIGC with putting together regulations that implement the Indian Regulatory Act. Additionally, there is an executive order, 12,866, the Regulatory Planning and Review and then the Regulatory Flexibility Act which require administrative agencies to semi-annually put out an agenda: What regulations are we going to look at and review?

Additionally, just this week, President
Obama again directed his administration to look at
our regulations and take a look at them if they are
outdated. His focus is really on jobs, but it goes
again to why we need to continue this regulatory
review.

Consultation, as the chairwoman indicated, consultation and relationship building with tribes is the No. 1 priority of this commission. So we are here. We are in Indian country. We have this amazing road show, but we wanted to come out into Indian country, be in Indian facilities, and talk to you about these regulations that affect your

2.

2.2

industry, and as the lieutenant governor indicated, the services that you can provide for your people, and how can we adjust these regulations so that the industry is regulated, but that it also does what it's intended to do, and that is promote self government, self-sufficiency, and economic development.

The executive order has a number of sections in it that, you know, I hadn't really heard kind of hyped up very much, and we kind of found some sections that really appeal to us, and really like brought home the point of meaningful consultation and what we really need to be doing at this agency, including Section 3(c)3, which says, "When undertaking to formulate and implement policies that have tribal implications, agencies shall, in determining whether to establish federal standards, consult with tribal officials as to the need for the federal standards."

So even before we began sending out drafts of proposed rules, et cetera, we need to be talking to you about whether those standards are needed and what we should do, looking for alternatives for those standards. So that's what we are doing here with this Notice of Inquiry.

2.2

2.4

The Notice of Inquiry, it's a tool used by many other federal agencies. I have never seen it used in Indian country per se, but it's used by the FCC, Copyright Office, Library of Congress. A variety of different agencies use this as a tool to reach out into their constituents and say, hey, this is an issue that we are hearing about, what do you think. So even before they begin drafting policy or changing policy, they send this notice out so that they can get feedback from the people who are affected by these changes. So that's what we are doing with this Notice of Inquiry.

We have been asked three primary questions: The what, when, and how. The what is what regulations do we need to look at revising, implementing, repealing. When, in what order, so what priority level do these regulations need to be addressed in.

And then finally how. A Tribal Advisory Committee, a notice of proposed rulemaking and written comment period sufficient. We have heard negotiated rulemaking. We have heard a variety of different ways to get this accomplished, and we really are looking for guidance from you, from Indian country, to tell us how, what's the best way

2.2

to go about doing this.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

Given our time constraints we have, the chairwoman has about two and a half years left in office, Vice Chair Steffani Cochran has about two years left, and Dan has a little over two and a half years left. So we are operating in a very short timeframe, and we are trying to do the most that we can, the best way that we can, so that we can help improve the industry.

So this is our road show. The chairwoman keeps offering to get us to wear T-shirts, like Velcro in, but it's an HO road tour. This is our last stop before we go back to DC, and then next Monday we will have a hearing at the Department of Interior. Secretary Salazar has committed to coming and speaking to the group.

And then from there we go back out into the Great Plains, to Rapid City, and finally into Seminole country down in Hollywood. The last date of the consultations is February 3rd.

So we published the Notice of Inquiry in the Federal Register. We made the announcement the week of November 18th. The comment period closes on February 12th. This is a week after the last consultation period. It's an 86 day comment period.

All written comments and consultations, transcripts, will be posted on the website at nigc.gov. Mr. Mark Gaston over there, he helped sign you guys in. He is the man that's putting all this stuff up. As fast as I get it, they put it up.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

So if you go to that website, nigc.gov, and you click the tribal consultation button on the left-hand side, another button called regulatory review pops up. When you hit that, all this information -- all the transcripts, all comments received, all agency materials, the Federal Register notices, your tribal leader letters, the transcripts of these hearings, everything will be posted on there.

One of the commitments by the commission has been to follow President Obama's mandate, which is to be open, accountable, transparent in the decision-making that we do. So we want to make sure that any information we receive, you know what it is, and it's open and accessible. And so Mark puts it on the website. And if you can't find something, get ahold of me, and I will get ahold of Mark, and we will work it out.

Once again to reiterate what Commissioner Little said, these are suggestions only. What you

see in the Notice of Inquiry are topic areas that we heard from our staff, we heard from consultations over the summer. We heard from, you know, years back. Some of these are not new. Some of them are a little bit newer or just kind of percolating to the top. But we wanted to address the issues that we heard the most about.

These are suggestions only. We haven't set an agenda. We are going to wait to do that until we have gone through these consultations and have received all the comments in, and from that point we will set the agenda. So, again, suggestions only, just designed to stimulate some conversation and see what your position is on them.

So just walking very briefly through the Notice of Inquiry, you should have all received the handout with the Federal Register notice and then the PowerPoint. It contains some comments or some summaries of definitions of net revenue. Management contracts have been a very controversial issue, how we change revised definitions.

The fee section, you know, there's a couple of issues, some bigger than others. So, for example, changing the calculation of fees from a calendar year to the fiscal year. Our finance and

1

2.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

audit departments indicate that one of the main audit findings, one of the issues that comes up the most is the fact that the calculation, the regulation says that you do it on a calendar year, but, you know, I worked for six and a half years in a tribe, and I know we had a fiscal year, so it's different, so perhaps changing that.

2.2

2.4

2.5

Using industry standards for various definitions, and a late payment system for late fee submittals, and a little bit of notice of violation. That one has been very popular. People really like that idea.

The self-regulation regulation, we have heard a lot of comment about this, that the burden of the application doesn't meet sort of the benefits that you are supposed to achieve when you get the self-regulation certificate. We have only got two tribes that have done that, so is there a way that we can make the benefit of obtaining the certificate, make it more of a benefit so tribes have more incentive to do that.

Management contracts, again this is something that we hear about over and over again in our offices and as we have gone out on consultations.

Proceedings before the commission. If you are an attorney, if you are a representative of a tribe, you know that there is a significant void in the description of what happens when you appeal something to the commission with timeframes, what sort of process is there, so you know so your issue isn't sitting out there in this void until someone decides to look at it. So we have been asking, again, you know, should these regulations contain a more detailed process so that tribes know, if there is an issue that comes before the commission, what the process is going to be and how long it's going to take.

One of the issues, the issue that's gotten the most press, one of the things we have heard about for the past several years is the MICS, Class II, Class III MICS and the technical standards.

Following the CRIT decision, the Colorado River Indian Decision that said IGRA does not give the NIGC the authority to enforce or promulgate regulations, the Class III regulations, we are sort of in a dilemma as to what to do with those regulations that are currently on the books. Do we repeal them? What about those tribes that have included Class III MICS, the NIGC's Class III MICS

800-227-8440

2.2

in their compacts or their ordinances and have given the NIGC enforcement authority. There is that issue that we need to deal with.

2.2

2.5

Additionally we have a current draft of Class II MICS in draft form, because there had been a Tribal Advisory Committee over the past couple of years that had been working on that. And then the technical standards that were adopted in 2008, we are already hearing there needs to be some revisions, some updates to those. So that has been a big, big topic of conversation.

But, you know, just to reiterate, there are other things that need to be addressed as well, and we want to make sure we take a really comprehensive look at all the regulations that may need to be addressed.

The pilot program for background investigations for licensing. It's a program that has been around for ten plus years, and we are considering formalizing that to regulation, so it's not these MLAs that we have with tribes, but it's an actual regulation.

Allowing for access to the NIGC for fingerprinting, also something that's come up. Facility licensing, we have heard quite a bit about

this particular regulation and whether or not it needs to be opened back up and reviewed again.

Access and inspection to records that are housed off-site. For example, a management company might have some records, tribal records, financial documents at their facility that perhaps they are not willing to give to the tribe. This sort of regulation would reinforce the NIGC's authority to subpoena those records on behalf of the tribe or for the NIGC.

Enforcement proceedings, we have heard a lot about this as well, and more than just whether or not the chair should have discretion to withdraw an NOV. We have also heard comments at a couple of the consultations we have gone to that say that the enforcement proceedings need to be clarified, that there should be some documentation about what the NIGC has done to work with tribes to ensure compliance before issuing an enforcement action. That's another thing that's come to the top.

So those are regulations that we have currently. The NOI also discusses some potential new regulations as possibilities. Those include putting into regulation form a policy, form a Tribal Advisory Committee or a formalized method for

2.2

obtaining comments from tribes when drafting a regulation.

2.

2.2

2.5

Now, some of you may be familiar with the last Tribal Advisory Committee. That was a very controversial system of selection of handling the meetings. We continue to hear about that particular committee and how it worked or didn't work given your sort of perception. So we need to -- if we do this Tribal Advisory Committee, we need to figure out a way to bring in adequate representation of regions, size of facilities, class of gaming and make sure that everyone's voice is at the table so that tribes really do feel like these committees can produce a good document, but that's a potential for regulation.

Sole proprietary interest, we are hearing lots of this in DC. In DC we get a lot of requests from tribes for the agency to issue an opinion on whether or not their agreement, agreements violate the sole proprietary interests provisions of IGRA. It was brought out in San Diego, that in addition to that, we should consider defining what the primary beneficiary is, what does primary beneficiary actually mean, so this is something that could be put into regulation form as well.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Communication policy, how do we communicate with you? You know, oftentimes our information -- I worked in a tribe as an in-house counsel for six and a half years, and I know that tribal leaders receive stacks and stacks of paper, and a lot of times that paper can get lost. And so we want to make sure that the information that needs to get to tribal leadership gets to tribal leadership, that the information that needs to get to the gaming commission gets there, that everyone is receiving all the information that is necessary for you to understand, to know what we are doing and that we are communicating well with you.

It's sticky. We are trying to figure out a good way to do it this go around. We weren't joking -- a little Pony Express, smoke signal, a little snail mail, row it over by canoe. Whatever we need to do to get you guys the information, that's what we are really trying to do. We want to utilize our website more effectively, to use it as a communication device, but this is a policy that we are thinking about in order to make sure that our communication with you is done the best way possible.

Buy Indian Act, I saw Mr. Van Norman walk

into the room, and I know that NIGA has an initiative where gaming facilities buy Indian from each other. So you buy native products, and in the procurement realm in federal contracting, when we buy, when this agency buys things, like we buy space at a facility, we want to do that -- you know, we believe that we should be buying Indian, that we should be in Indian owned facilities, so to formalize this in the form of a regulation.

So, once again, these are suggestions only. This isn't anything that's been set in stone by any means, and we do want to hear your thoughts on these and others. The list that I put up here is not the end of the universe. The Notice of Inquiry contains the list of the rest of the regulations, and if you have any thoughts about those as well, please do comment on them.

So what we are looking for from tribes for guidance on is what regulations to look at, what order of priority, which ones are the most important, which ones are sort of low hanging fruit, and then by what method. One of my concerns is that we don't get bogged down in just dealing with the MICS. I think we have been bogged down dealing with the MICS the past several years, and I have heard

2.2

2.4

numerous comments, that while we were busy focusing on the MICS, other things went through that kind of fell down in the process, like the implementation of the facility licensing regulations. We have heard a number of concerns that that wasn't done -- there was not proper consultation.

2.

2.2

2.5

So we don't want to do that. We don't want to be so focused on the MICS that we don't deal with the rest of the issues that have come forward and do them in a good way as well.

So once again, consultation. The consultation executive order, another section that we have sort of hung onto here is Section 3(c)2 that says that agencies shall, where possible, defer to Indian tribes to establish standards. So this is something that we hope that tribes will look at and think about. If you have an alternative, if you have some standards to offer us that you think would be suitable to make changes to a regulation, we would love to hear them. We would love to take a look at those. And if we can, like the order says, that we will defer to your standards in place of ours. So if we can do that, that's going to be a very positive thing, I think.

So logistically, the comment period,

again, closes February 12th. You can e-mail, you can fax, you can hand me a packet here today. I will scan it in and get it up next week. Mark and his cohort, Mavis, they get all this stuff up. I have already gotten one transcript, and that will be up probably in the next day or two. As they come in and we fix them up, they are going to be up on the website. So you will be able to see what everyone else has said at prior meetings.

2.

2.2

2.5

Again, send comments to reg.review@nigc.gov. And this is a commitment from the commission, and it's a commitment from myself. Every single comment received will be reviewed and will be considered. We are going to give significant thought to everything that we receive from tribes.

The regulatory review agenda, when it comes out in April, likely around NIGA, it will be accompanied with an explanation for why we made these decisions. It will say, tribes, this was the highest priority and the most tribes thought it was the biggest issue, and so we went this way. If we do disagree with what tribes suggest, then we will explain why we disagreed and why we went a different way, so our decision-making process is open and

transparent to you.

2.

2.2

2.5

The agenda will be finalized in April, by the beginning of April, and then that's when the substantive work begins. That's when we begin actually looking at the regulations and going line by line and looking at what needs to be revised. That's going to be quite a process, but it's something, again, that the commission is committed to. We will be out in Indian country. We will be on the road and doing as much as we need to do in order to get as much accomplished as we can.

So the consultation format, they are being recorded and transcribed. If you speak, please speak into the microphone, and if you see me waving at you, that means stop, grab a microphone, repeat your name. Please say the organization and the tribe that you represent so that we can get it on the record.

Let's see, I think that's it. There are a couple more seats at the table, so if there are tribal leaders or gaming commissioners, if you are interested in sitting up at the table, there's a couple over here and some more back there. There is a standing mike sort of directly in front of me, so if you are in the back and you want to make a

Page 33

comment, please come to that mike, and again, state your name and who you represent and what organization you are with.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

And with that, we will begin. I will turn the mike back over to the chairwoman to turn it over to you.

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you, Lael.

And I want to reiterate, again, that this is not the universe. We are open to anything. It's just a brief overview of what was published in the Notice of Inquiry and an explanation of why we chose that method of a Notice of Inquiry. I appreciate you all sitting and listening to us so we could sort of get our talking out of the way and let you know what we had hoped for in this meeting or what our intention is in this meeting.

But the rest of the time -- I know tribal leaders have very busy schedules, and it's meant for you as tribal leaders to tell us what your priorities are in whatever way that you need to. That's for you to decide.

I would like to defer to tribal leaders or whomever the tribal leader designates to speak for their tribe first and elders as well.

So with that, thank you for listening, and

it's not meant just for comments. If you have questions or you need us to clarify something, we are happy to answer when necessary. So with that, we open the floor for comments. The floor is open.

Yes, sir, right here to my right.

MR. PETER GARCIA, JR.: Hello, good morning, everyone. NIGC commissioners and everyone else from the NIGC, tribal leaders, gaming commission people, we are from Ohkay Owingeh. My name is Peter Garcia, Jr. I am a council member and also the executive director for Ohkay Owingeh Gaming Commission. And to my right is our tribal sheriff, and we also have -- his name is Ben Lujan. We also have Howie Aguino. He is with the gaming commission, and we also have Matt Pino who is also with the gaming commission.

So we have a few issues that we want to address. One is, I guess, what you talked about, the advisory committee. I think in our opinion that was one of the worst committees that I have ever seen in place, because it was a one-sided situation. No matter what comments tribes brought out, the NIGC side, they made the ruling on what they wanted. It didn't matter on the comments that tribes made. So I think that any committee like that should not be

2.

2.2

2.4

in place.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

But I think that committees can work if you set them up like regionally, because like things like the association that we have in New Mexico with the gaming commissions, we deal with a lot of those, and we have addressed a lot of the proposed regulations that came out. But, you know, sometimes we didn't succeed to what we needed or what we wanted, so that has to change. But I think that if you set them up in a way where we can work with the regional offices and throughout the nation, it has a chance to work.

And a lot of times we send comments, but we don't even know if anybody reads them or we don't know who is doing the review on them. For the last six, seven years, the NIGC has done consultations. Every year, we have submitted issues and concerns. To this day, we have not received anything on the comments or issues that we have addressed.

So what does that tell us? We have no confidence in the commission, because nobody will tell us what's going on or what they are saying about our comments. The only person that really answers anything that we address is from the regional office, Ken Billingsley. And so whenever

800-227-8440

we need -- by the way, he is walking in.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

So from Ohkay Owingeh's standpoint, we trust Ken more than anybody else in the gaming commission, because he tells us like it is, whether we are doing something bad or whether we are doing something good, and he always has recommendations. And that's what I would like to see from the commission also. And it's hard to deal with, you know, the gaming commission because of those reasons.

And so, you know, the advisory committee,
I think that we need to look at that very closely,
because it didn't work the way it was set up.

On some of proposed regulations also, you know, we need to understand why there's proposed changes. Sometimes we just get information saying that there's a proposed MICS comment, but we don't understand why that regulation is being changed. There should be an understanding of why it's changed or who proposed it. We don't even know who is proposing the change or whether it should be changed or not or whether it works for the tribes or not. That's what should be looked at.

And so I think those are a couple of things that we really need to look at. So we need

to understand from both sides why things are happening, so that would help our part anyway. sometimes, you know, we do everything generally, but the tribes also need your special attention pertaining to the particular tribes that want to address certain things.

And the other issue that I have is that the NIGC -- well, IGRA was adopted and put in place to make sure that Indian gaming is conducted properly, but the one thing I see is that when NIGC was in place, they didn't particularly look at the tribal state compacts. Because I feel in our compacts that we have in the State of New Mexico, it's not a fair business compact. Whoever looked at the ordinances that we submitted to NIGC, they should have contacted us also. And, you know, I think that it may be a fault of different people -attorneys, tribal leaders -- because we can't have reduced or give free rooms for lodging, free meals, or reduced prices. The same thing with alcohol.

But yet you go down the road, every establishment that deals with lodging, they give free rooms. The same thing with alcohol. They are always giving free alcohol or reduced prices. The same thing with food. So that's one issue.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

The other is, you know, we have to pay our districts where we live in to prosecute anybody. That should have been caught also by our attorneys or by NIGC when they reviewed the ordinances, and I think something should be put in place by NIGC maybe to assist tribes in getting prosecutions instead of us trying to pay somebody else. Because you go down the road, somebody steals a car, does a car dealer have to pay to get somebody prosecuted? No. But yet we have to pay to get somebody prosecuted, and that's not fair either. So I think that's something, like that needs to be looked at so that you can help the tribes, because it's not fair either.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

So those are a few things, but we did put a letter together, and it has some comments in there. And also, the other person I forgot to mention, he is our gaming commission attorney. He works for Chestnut Law Offices. That's Joe Tennorio. He has been very helpful in doing things for us, but we are submitting a letter coming from our governor. We will also submit more comments based on what you have presented here.

But I think that I want to comment and thank you for trying to work with tribes. I have

been here ten years, and I have never once really spoken to the commissioners like I have done with you people here. And so I want to thank you for trying to work with the tribes and Ohkay Owingeh also. Thank you for coming out and listening to us, but we do have some personal things that need tending to, so I wish you would consider those things also. But I know that we have worked very closely with the regional office, and we will continue to do that.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

One other thing I remember now, certain regulations that have to be amended, and we already have our ordinances approved, and if we need to change those things, do we have to send our whole ordinance or do we just need to submit a section that needs to be changed or amended with explanations? Because it does take a long time by the time we change or amend whatever is in the ordinance, then we have to submit it to our tribal council for approval, and then it's sent to NIGC. And that's the other part, that it takes forever for NIGC to send if it's approved or not.

I remember the last time we amended, it took like four months, and then by chance Ken was there at our office, and I was telling him about how

long does it take for the commission to approve an ordinance, so he said, well, let me give them a call. He called DC, and that very next day we received our ordinance. So I thank Ken for helping us out.

So those are things that need to be looked at also, that when you send something, you need to get it as soon as possible.

Thank you for listening.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Can I ask you a question?

MR. GARCIA: Sure.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Your first comment when you were talking about comments that you sent to the commission, and you don't even know where the black hole is where they went to, what would be helpful for us, how can we communicate to you that we received it, that we are considering it? Would a letter going back out, would that be sufficient?

I know everything we are receiving in this process goes up on the internet. And we have heard this before. We have heard that we sent in our letters, and we don't know where they are, and we don't know where they are, frankly.

MR. GARCIA: That's just like my socks. I can't find them.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Well, probably they are with your socks and we will find them on the other side, but posting it on the internet, at least, does that help you?

2.

2.2

2.5

MR. GARCIA: Well, in part it does, but we don't know when that goes out either. But the one thing that we have found, we have a very good communication relationship with the regional office, and that's dealing with Ken, Emily, and everybody else that works there, is through the e-mail process. And this way, if we knew what everyone is sending out, if we knew the contact person, that helps a lot. If you don't know the contact person, then it gets lost.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: So something like an e-mail or a response letter that says thank you for your comments. We received them. If you have questions and you haven't heard from us, here is so and so you can talk to?

MR. GARCIA: Well, you could develop, I guess, a contact list also for certain -- you could list certain things. Say, for the chairperson, this is what you need to contact her for, for legal things we need to contact you, and for other things we can contact regional offices, and that would

help. That way we always know who to send things to.

2.2

2.5

And then phone numbers. Just like you asked us for information of our tribe, you asked for tribal leaders, gaming commissioners, directors, who the casino managers and different people are, it would help if we had those from NIGC also.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Thank you. Those are good suggestions. I appreciate it.

CHAIR STEVENS: And I do want to say thank you very much, Ohkay Owingeh, for your comments. A couple of things, I appreciate, I really do appreciate the suggestions. And, you know, not only are we looking for priorities, but the fact that you are offering us ideas and some suggestions that would work for you. On committees, that's very helpful to look back -- you know, we know this is a new commission, and it's helpful to look back at our history of the agency, whether we were here or not, and say, okay, what worked and what didn't work, and we appreciate your bringing that to our attention.

And, more importantly, we appreciate your ideas and suggestions on how we might address committees, and we know that we all come from Indian country, and many of us spent a lot of time sitting

in the audience in these meetings with the previous commission, and we empathize and understand the frustrations that happened with committees and also with the communication flow. Where I come from, it's like screaming into the tide -- hello. I think the socks and some comments are having a party somewhere without us.

MR. GARCIA: Probably.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

CHAIR STEVENS: And to address that, you know, I am a bit of a bull whip at the agency as many of you know. Lael worked with me for six and a half years. She's like, yep.

Processes that should work for everybody.

Having come from the Department of Interior, they
have what's called a surname process -- all
correspondence, all correspondence has response
times. There is a whole system in place for
response times to correspondence. Whether it's
e-mails, whether it's faxes, whether it's snail mail
letters, there is some process that should be
established that we are working on, frankly. You
shouldn't be wondering what happened to your letter,
and what happened to your ordinance.

By regulation, we have 90 days, not four months, 90 days, and being the bull whip I am, I am

on top of general counsel's office. We have a new general counsel, Larry Roberts, and his staff. I don't want to see ordinances in front of me on the 89th day. That's ridiculous, and they know it.

2.

2.2

2.5

We should be responsive to you. We work for you, and we should be meeting our own deadlines that we set. So I apologize that that didn't -- I don't recall seeing your ordinance. It might have been during the previous commission, but we are striving to get those turned around, because you are not the first person to say this to me. The ordinance went off into oblivion and they didn't hear anything back.

MR. GARCIA: That was the 2007 amendments to the tribal state compacts, that we had to change our ordinance because of the amended compact so that took a while.

But one more thing I just remembered is that in your Class II section in definitions, you should be able to have a listing of all Class II machines that are approved through NIGC.

CHAIR STEVENS: Okay. I want to thank you for that suggestion. And the purpose of today is so that we can hear from you, your concerns and your priorities, so that we are not dropping the draft of

a rule and have initiated a rulemaking process without your input before we do that, and that's what today is for, so that you are not blindsided with a proposed rule and that you find out about it later. You actually get to weigh in on how we do this and which ones we are going to address first.

As many of you know, with regard to compacts, the authorities under the act are divided between the chairman of the NIGC and the Secretary of the Interior. The Secretary of the Interior is to approve compacts, revenue allocation plans, and put land into trust. They do share that information with us when they do make those decisions, but really we don't have a place on the compacts, although we do work with them very closely. And certainly when they have questions about anything that's in front of them, they often call us and say, okay, what do you think. So we will certainly communicate your concerns to the department and see if there is some opportunity for us to be more collaborative in that process with regard to compacts.

anything?

I think I have. COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Τ

So did the other commissioners have

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

guess I'm going to put a question to the audience. 1 2. I appreciate you bringing up the issue of the advisory committees, because I think it's very 3 important, but I think maybe just to stimulate some 4 5 discussion, one of the concerns that was raised during the last process was that different segments 6 7 of the industry, meaning Class II, Class III, were not fully represented there. And I know a lot of 8 times we look at advisory committees and we try to 10 establish them by regions.

Does anybody have any comments or suggestions on how we can make sure that regional interests are represented but also different types of industry folks are represented? So I do appreciate your comment, and I'm not asking for anybody to stand up right now, but maybe as we get along through the process, if anyone wants to discuss that, I would be interested in hearing more about that. So thank you.

CHAIR STEVENS: So the floor is open. I know sometimes tribal leaders have very pressed schedules. We have seen folks coming in with prepared statements, so we certainly welcome comments.

Yes, sir.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

MR. TOM WILSON: Hi, my name is Tom
Wilson. I am representing the Pascua Yaqui Tribe.
I am the executive director of the tribal gaming
office, and on behalf of our chairman, I appreciate
the opportunity to be here and express our concerns
and thoughts about what the NIGC is doing and what
direction that you are moving.

2.

2.2

2.5

I would like to sort of make an initial statement if I could, but one of the things that we believe the NIGC could benefit from, especially in looking at regulatory reform, particularly pertaining to the MICS, is that we look at things from a risk base. Meaning that we don't just create regulations for the sake of creating regulations, but rather we first look at what is the risk that we are trying to mitigate or reduce, and then that becomes the basis for formulating regulatory measures that make sense and are practical, but more important, are meaningful and actionable by the tribes, the tribal gaming casinos, and the regulatory authorities.

We think that in the MICS, that this initially was missed, that when you look and read through the MICS, nothing really tells you what is the risk that's trying to be mitigated. So

oftentimes you are left discussing the regulatory effect, but not really understanding the why, why that particular regulation, rule, or requirement was put in place.

2.2

2.5

It's our belief that if you take a risk based approach, that you will challenge yourself in determining does this control really even make sense. Oftentimes controls are put in place, but they don't make sense, and we end up over controlling, or in some cases under controlling, because we didn't identify the risk that we are trying to mitigate.

Indian gaming is probably the most regulated gaming on the planet. All tribes are dealt with three levels. We have NIGC, of course. We have state compacts. We have tribal gaming offices, tribal councils, and our belief is that in some ways, gaming is over regulated. And one of the things that we would hope the NIGC would consider when they are looking at regulation is from that perspective, are we, in fact, over regulating the industry.

Madam Chairwoman, I believe last year -it may have been at your confirmation hearing or at
a separate hearing where Senator McCain was

discussing about the CRIT decision, and unfortunately one of the comments that he made -- and coming from Arizona, I felt bad about this -- is that he felt that Indian gaming wasn't regulated enough. I don't know how anybody could come to that conclusion. I believe also that our state director of gaming, Mark Renovich was there.

2.

2.2

2.5

The concern that we had with that is that we would prefer to have seen a tribal gaming office brought up as a model of self regulation as opposed to a state gaming agency being brought forward and commenting on how to effectively regulate. For us, it gets down to constantly the issue of tribal sovereignty, and we believe that tribes can best regulate when they regulate themselves. And consequently, we don't feel as though tribes are held at a high enough level when Indian gaming is being discussed to show that we, in fact, know how to regulate ourselves, and that we are capable of doing these things in place of federal regulation and state regulation.

With regard to the MICS, our feeling is that most tribes and compacts adopted the MICS, we feel that they were designed to be a framework, a foundational element, but not to really be adopted

just wholeheartedly. And because of the general nature of MICS and the fact that they were designed to apply across the country to a broad range of casinos and operations, frankly they are not very well defined. They are not very well written.

Definitions are not clear in the MICS. And we find ourselves constantly discussing between the NIGC, our state compact, our tribal gaming office, and even our casino management, trying to determine the interpretation of the meaning of the MICS.

Now, our tribe is pursuing this year an avenue, of course, to create our own regulations, and that will have its own set of problems with it as well. In the current MICS, you know, we are allowed to create regulations that don't require approval of NIGC or the state gaming agency as long as those regulations that we create do not have any less control than the MICS.

The problem with that wording is how do you define "less control." From my perspective I am looking at mitigating risk, and that's the approach that we are going to take as we create regulatory reform in our own tribe. However, the issue that comes to play is certain wording -- and I will give you an example that I am fond of using -- but in the

2.2

MICS they are talking about surveillance. the items discussed is that all surveillance activity must be logged. Well, anything less than all is less of a control than all. And yet we can argue what does all mean, and I think we would agree that the fact is, what are the risks that we are trying to mitigate in surveillance activity.

Talk to any casino operations and folks that work in the casino and the gaming industry, they are able to reiterate the various risks that are out there, and the things that we are trying to eliminate or watch for in a surveillance activity. So when you have ambiguous wording like "all," it simply creates additional confusion, because I am prepared that we probably are going to have to argue not so much with NIGC, but perhaps with the state, that in our particular state, our history with the regulatory agency is that they will take the literal meaning, that all means all and anything less than that would be less of a control.

I believe that we can make the case from a risk standpoint, that all shouldn't be all and that there are certain things that absolutely should be recorded and logged, but maybe not everything.

So that's just an example of some of this

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

ambiguous wording in the MICS that creates such a struggle for every tribe that I have talked to and that I work with. This language is just too vague.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

And we support 100 percent the NIGC's thinking that perhaps the MICS should become just a recommendation and not a regulatory standpoint. We believe that that supports the fact that tribes should be encouraged to develop their own regulations and MICS in this regard. And it's our belief that as Indian gaming evolved for the sake of expediency, most tribes and even states just adopted the MICS outright without really giving a lot of thought to making those regulations better.

And one of the problems that we see is even the term minimum internal control. It implies some type of standard that as long you are meeting the minimum, then that is sufficient. Now, of course, the controls always, you know, state that you can go beyond those controls. We think that the term minimum probably should be stricken from the vocabulary and consequently these are just recommended controls that you should have in place. Whether they are the minimum or not really doesn't matter. What matters is that certain controls are in place.

So we would advocate that that term minimum has probably created more problems for us, because we do fine with our own casino management, the fact that our state compact and even our tribal ordinance adopted the MICS outright, that for us as a regulator, it sort of has set a standard that as long as they are meeting the minimum, then that's all that they really have to do. So we feel that that has created a bit of a problem as well.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

The other issue, of course, that we have with tribal state compact is that because in our state of Arizona, the fact that they adopted the MICS basically 100 percent as the appending page to our compact, if the NIGC changes those to a recommendation, we don't think that would probably have any impact on us from the compact standpoint, because we have already agreed that those are the minimum control standards. So for us, the only way to really address this issue is by self regulation and moving forward on that front, because under our compact and under the MICS, of course, as long as we adopt controls that are equal to or greater than the MICS, then those indeed become the overriding regulatory rules that have to be abided by by both the state and, of course, our management in the

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

casino.

2.2

2.5

I guess the last comment that I want to make with regard to internal controls and casinos is that, you know, the majority of all Indian gaming, maybe all Indian gaming facilities are run by professional management people in the industry who have spent many years in the gaming industry. If I work in the state of Nevada where gaming, of course, began and many things were modeled after, if I want to go to work in a casino, I can go to the sheriff's department and get a gaming permit. It virtually is a 20 minute process, and I can go to work in a casino.

In Indian gaming, of course, we have to go through a much more extensive licensing process, much more burdensome than in private industry whatsoever. And given the concern of organized crime in private industry, it seems odd to me that in Indian gaming our level of regulation would be so much stricter than it is in the private industry.

But the most important comment that I think is made to me from casino management is that if that casino in the Indian gaming facility were a standalone facility, they would not operate it any different whether it was Indian gaming or not,

meaning that in the industry, it's understood the level of controls, the things need to be in place to protect the integrity of gaming.

So I think it's important that when you look at regulations, you consider the fact that sometimes over regulation can be a burdensome problem for the tribes, and trying to mitigate -- we have -- our compact is couple hundred pages and then we have five appendices on top of that. Within those appendices, they don't even agree with what the appendices say, so we are constantly fighting ourselves trying to interpret and argue points of interpretation. So anything that can be done to streamline that process to make words clearer -- our words have meaning -- would be beneficial for us from a regulatory standpoint. Thank you.

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you, sir. I do have a few follow-ups. I just want to mention you are not the first person to -- there are others who agree with you about the word "minimum," and that these may not necessarily be the MICS but the icks -- which sounds kind of funny. Does anyone have kids that watch SpongeBob? SpongeBob gets the icks. We have the icks. But you are not the only one thinking that, and that's the great thing about

2.

2.2

2.4

these open conversations, because you realize other people are thinking the same thing you are, and we appreciate that.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

And also there are other tribes, as you know, in other states that have included in their compact or by ordinance, but more specifically in the compact, California, Oklahoma, you know, in Arizona.

By the way, I didn't have any control over who the panel was at that hearing. They have different ideas about what the impact will be if the internal control standards are not in regulation form. We have heard quite vocally from some tribes that if you do that, it's going to be a big problem for them. And what I am hearing you say is that it's not necessarily a big problem for the tribe you represent. So just noting that difference in how it might affect tribes, we will look to tribes when there are differences of perspective on the effect of regulations for some possible solutions, solutions that we can come to together, mechanisms that would address everybody's needs without leaving anyone out, without weakening any systems.

So we appreciate your comments, and Vice Chairwoman Cochran has a follow-up.

VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Thank you. Thank you for coming. I spent some time with the tribe this summer, and you have an amazing facility, and you also have a tremendous strong regulatory body. So thank you for coming out this way. It's nice to see you.

2.

2.2

2.5

My question is -- I have two questions actually. Your comments, when you are talking about some of the definitions, were you talking about the current MICS or the proposed MICS?

MR. WILSON: This would strictly be relative to the current MICS.

VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: And do you have any thoughts that you could share with us on how we might approach that, how the commission might approach that? We have talked about the advisory committees. A lot of work has been done. Do we jump back in with advisory committees on the MICS or do we pick up where we left off and find the things that still remain in dispute? I am looking for your thoughts. How might you approach it?

MR. WILSON: Well, I know that Pat Telcott from our casino, Pat Telcott, I believe he was on one of the advisory panels for the MICS, and one of the issues that, you know, he would bring back from

Washington on this is that the committee couldn't even agree on what to agree on, so consequently they spent an awful lot of time debating these same issues themselves as part of the process.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

But I really can't overemphasize that -and so that you understand, my background is as an
auditor in private industry and working with
organizations on business process improvement and
things, and I can't overemphasize this risk based
approach. This is the approach that private
industry through Sarbanes-Oxley and SOX and
regulatory reform has taken that you really have to
identify the risk.

And I think rather than taking the existing MICS and just looking at the words, it is much more meaningful if you can identify that risk first and then build from that. And I think it eliminates a lot of discussion and disagreement, maybe arguments and things, because if you can agree on the risks, then it's easier to move forward and agree on what controls should be in place to mitigate those risks. It just seems to me that that's what's lacking in the current process.

I believe from our own tribe regulatory standpoint, that's how we operate, and it makes

sense for us, it makes sense for management in the casino, and we can collaborate much better when we are doing that because it gets everybody on the same page.

2.2

2.5

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you. Was that the last committee, the one, the Class III draft?

MR. WILSON: Yes.

CHAIR STEVENS: Because I was in the peanut gallery for some of that from the beginning, as was Lael and as was Dan. There could be a couple of reasons why -- and I am just kind of thinking out loud here -- why that committee didn't agree. It could have been the makeup of the committee. You take a step back from that, the makeup of the committee was driven by a certain set of qualifications that were established not by the tribes, but by the agency. So it was very limited in scope. And so that targeted certain people to be on the committee that might come to the table with a different perspective. So, you know, how the makeup of the committee affects the outcome of the work and also the committee and the way it functioned.

You know, I have done a few with the Department of Interior. You set the ground rules first, and you set your objectives first, and you

all need to know what all your daily work together is meant for. So, you know, there was a certain agenda, and I don't know that the tribes really had a say on what that agenda was or the rules of the road basically. You should always know the rules of the road when you get committees together, so you know what to expect and what the outcome should be.

But also, you know, we are hearing that there is a separate group, an advisory, tribal advisory group that's working, an ad hoc committee that's working separate and apart, and we are hearing they are having differences. It depends, again, on the makeup of the committee. Some want a framework and just some base standards based on what you are suggesting, and some want to get way down in the weeds and the minutia and getting very prescriptive, very, very detailed. So, you know, there is the baseline and then the sort of the sub how do you get to that standard.

Someone had said in Oklahoma, yes, we are all for standards, but there should be standards, and then how tribes get to those standards is left to the tribe. And I see those two things seem to be a problem now in any of the committees, depending on who is sitting at the table, how detailed these

2.

2.2

should be, how prescriptive. The more prescriptive they become, the more challenging they become to implement.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Will you be submitting written comments?

MR. WILSON: Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Thank you. We appreciate them written down, and I think the risk based approach is -- I like that idea. And if you have thoughts about how you put together a group of people that is representative of everyone, those thoughts, like Dan said, would be appreciated as well.

CHAIR STEVENS: Other comments. Sir, yes.

MR. MICHAEL ORTIZ: Yes. I have a comment, actually a couple of questions. My name is Michael Ortiz, and I am the executive director for San Felipe Pueblo Gaming Regulatory Commission. First of all, I want to tell you all that you did your homework. You touched on a lot of hot topics that have been kind of at the forefront of a lot of commissions.

I had a lot of questions and Lael kind of answered a lot of them. I like what I see. I am just waiting to see if it does follow through.

We are currently looking at reviewing our 1 2. gaming ordinances. They are a little outdated. There's a lot of things left out. Some things need 3 to change. So I am currently working on that for 4 5 the tribe and for the commission. What is the review process when it comes to submittal to the 6 Is it just a review and approval within that 90 day window, or is it -- I mean, how thorough is 8 the review? Do you comment back to the tribe usually if you do see something that is in conflict 10 11 or something that does not sound correct? What is 12 the process?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

CHAIR STEVENS: The process, we actually have a regulation on that, but just generally speaking, you submit your comment to us. We date it. It goes to our general counsel's office, and they have a checklist of requirements or issues that we look to have addressed in the ordinances. And I -- ultimately the chair approves or disapproves those. So the whole file will eventually come up to me.

And I can tell you I see, with the general counsel's office, if there is something that's not clear to them or if they have a question or if they have a concern or something is missing, they get on

the phone with whoever is in the contact information in the submittal and sort it out. And so I have seen that that's what we do. If there is any kind of question, my expectation and our new general counsel's expectation is that they are working with the tribe towards approval, making it so that the time it takes to turn these around is quicker.

But, you know, if you submit something to our office, as I said, I am making sure that they get out sooner than just, you know, on the 90th day. Generally what we are looking for is what is required in the act, and that spells out specific things that need to be in your ordinances. sure that there is nothing in your ordinance that's contradictory to the act.

So if you have questions about submitting an amended ordinance, you know, I can give you the information for our general counsel's office or the person, the staff attorney that would work with you, with your area. Get some information in advance before you submit so you know what you are aiming for, and use the time that it takes to approve.

> MR. ORTIZ: That would be great.

The second part of my question kind of piggy-backs off what a lot of the commentary has

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

been prior to me speaking. It is based on the advisory committees. In the past, not with the current commission but with the past commission, a lot of times there were working groups and set with a lot of different members for the MICS advisory committee. A lot of suggestions were submitted, and what happened is a lot of the gaming community here, as far as commissions, felt like some of their comments fell on deaf ears, and it was basically a push on a personal agenda of one individual that had little oversight or no oversight from the commissioners in DC. I am talking about Joe Smith.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

I mean, a lot of things have happened, you know, and a lot of standards have been pushed that a lot of tribes have had a lot of concerns about and a lot of questions. I mean, we have even had numerous training where Joe Smith has been involved in the training and hard questions have been asked of him, and he basically couldn't answer some of the questions that were asked of him based on certain stipulations in the MICS. So, I mean, that didn't carry a lot of weight with a lot of the commissioners, auditors, and individuals that were within the training.

So just I like the proactive approach that

you guys are taking instead of being reactive.

That's why I said, I mean, you all did your homework when coming to this meeting, because there is a lot of hot topics that you guys hit. I am looking forward to, you know, working with the current commission, and I look forward to seeing, you know, everything develop the way that you guys addressed in the first introduction, Ms. Stevens and Lael. I appreciate the time that you have given us to have this consultation, and thank you.

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you, and I hope we get some written comments from you. If you ever have any questions, let us know.

Again, we are trying to be proactive, to be respectful, because we serve you to meet the administration's commitment to tribes. And also, you know, I don't have -- we don't have that much time. You know, I know the last chair, his three year term lasted seven years. That may not be the case here. And so I am assuming in two years, two and a half years, we are out of here. And our basic underlying philosophy, when we all met initially, is that we are going to leave it better than we found it. We need your help to tell us how does that look, how does better look. So I appreciate your

2.

2.2

2.4

comments today.

2.2

2.5

What I would like to do if it's okay,
let's take a quick 15 minute break and come back
at -- I think it's 11:00. My time is a little
messed up now. 11:00. Freshen your coffee, stretch
your legs. We will be back at 11:00.

(A recess was taken.)

CHAIR STEVENS: We will get started again.

I know there were some tribes that may have some

comment now. If we can all take our seats, usher

some people in from outside.

Again, I will defer to tribal leadership or their designees as they appoint.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Once again, if you are a tribal leader or gaming commissioner executive director, we do have a couple of empty spaces at the table if you would like to come forward.

CHAIR STEVENS: Do we have any others that would like to make comment for the record? The microphones are open. If you could step up to a microphone or to the table.

You can't hear me? Is this better? I can hear it from here. He says I'm too soft spoken. I think Dan might disagree. We will have somebody fix this microphone or the sound system in here so you

all in the back can hear.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

Okay. If there are any newcomers, I just want to remind you, just let you know we are in an open forum format. And if the words come to you, please feel free to speak if you have questions, you need any clarification.

MR. BILLINGSLEY: Come on. You guys are always complaining to me. Get up here. Let's go.

CHAIR STEVENS: Yes, sir.

MR. DAVID GARCIA: First Lieutenant

Governor David Garcia from the Pueblo of Acoma.

First of all, let me say thank you very much for the invitation. I do appreciate this.

It's been about maybe six years since I have been out of the gaming industry but I'm very familiar with all of the internal workings of the National Indian Gaming Commission.

Just a couple of points I would like to reiterate and then some questions. I know the Pueblo of Acoma. Over the years we have had the same concerns relative to the consultation process. I know as a sitting chairman in the prior years, we have always gone to NIGC with a written statement about the needs and concerns relative to gaming. However, I would like to reecho the statement made

by Councilman Garcia from Ohkay Owingeh, and that we have never gotten a written reply regarding all of the written comments, that we have made comments, too, regarding suggested recommended changes on rulemaking. And so it is really the intent of NIGC to solicit comments. Then I think it is in your best interests to provide a written response back to individual tribes regarding the various comments made.

2.

2.2

2.5

Having said that, again, I do appreciate this opportunity. Let me just make some additional comments here relative to looking at the net revenues management fee. With the understanding regarding net revenues, I guess maybe I would like to get some clarity or what is NIGC's anticipated -- what is NIGC looking at regarding the management fee concept, and why are there issues relative to allowable costs regarding one of the required comments?

Again, this goes to the validity of the nature of the compacts that we have, particularly within the State of New Mexico. And knowing that we deal with the state gaming regulatory board, these are some of the other concerns on that side with regard to net revenue. So if I can get a clearer

explanation of what is NIGC's intent with regard to net revenues in management fees.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Once again, just to reiterate, these are just comments that we have heard internally from our staff but also from people, accounting offices and various tribes. this is nothing that we are wedded to, but it is an issue that we have heard.

And in regard to the net revenues for management fees, the thought was that instead of using the current definition that they just comply with the industry standards with the GAAP definition, when it comes to allowable uses, the thought was that if you define allowable uses in a different way that incorporates, you know, depreciation and those kind of things, then that calculation ends up providing the tribe more money. And so we just want to hear, you know, does that make sense? Maybe it does, maybe it doesn't.

There are concerns, you know, we have heard concerns about what is the NIGC -- given the NIGC's sort of history with the use of net gaming revenue and the net gaming revenue, use of net gaming revenue bulletin that's out there, concerns that this might somehow permit NIGC to keep moving

800-227-8440

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

in that direction, and that is not the intent. The intent of the net revenue questions section, the questions here is not to define, you know, define prescriptively what tribes have to do with that money, but as a way perhaps to expand the pool of money that you have to do -- you know, to create government programs or whatever that it is. That was the idea behind it. It may not work out that way, and that's what we are looking for. We are looking for some input on that.

2.2

2.5

MR. GARCIA: Based on the clarity for looking at net revenues, I know in years past, the discussion has also been coming up with a revenue sharing plan or an allocation plan, and how does that coincide with these issues.

The other that kind of strikes me as a little odd, towards the, prior to the No. 2 issue on management contracts, you have a statement there that says whether the advisory committee should be formed to make changes, and it alludes to, again, the issue on cash flow. Is there a thought process there with regard to a redefinition or defining the term on procurement? I notice that you are requesting comments regarding the Buy Indian Act. There is really an uncertainty of clarity relative

to that issue, so I am not sure if that's something, that if there can be an expansion made on that issue.

The reason why I am looking at some of these issues is that the Pueblo of Acoma submitted to NIGC an amendment on its gaming regulation ordinance, and it's due to be approved within the next couple of weeks. And I have concerns relative to some of the proposed changes here or suggested comments and how that impacts our proposed changes, not knowing the understanding between management and the commission, the regulatory side. So I am kind of wanting to take a better look at those issues.

The other I do have relative to -- I know there was a concern relative to the fingerprinting process. However, again, I guess basically in trying to determine a standard status quo with regard to fingerprinting, I know that in IGRA it does indicate that, it clearly defines in a broader perspective primary management. But, I mean, this statement here basically is the pueblo is flying with this. Basically it reiterates what was initiated, I guess, basically what was put in our revised regulation for change. So, I mean, that to me is basically something I think we are in

2.2

agreement with.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

But clearly again, you know, we have had internal issues relative to this with regard to clearly defining primary management or key employees. Even with the state, when the state comes in and does its annual audit, they have questions about who do we, I guess, provide a license to and fingerprint with regard to management, primary management officials. So again, this is again something the Pueblo of Acoma supports with regard to this, because it's already in our regulation anyway, our proposed change. I know that was one thing that was brought to our attention.

In looking at the Tribal Advisory

Committee, I guess that it's already been said, the working relationships between committees, whether it's advisory, whether it's ad hoc, clearly there should be a better definition, or in terms of that process, a better framework of individuals in terms of what the process should be. Because clearly I think that we have overlapping boundaries in terms of how to facilitate ad hoc versus an advisory committee, in this case a tribal advisory committee.

I'm not aware if there is a current standing committee at this point in time. It would

help to identify probably who those are at that point in time, so that there is potential for changes. Then I think we should be aware of those changes with regard to committee members.

Thank you.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you, sir.

I do want to say that there is no current NIGC standing Tribal Advisory Committee. The last one that was in place was under the previous commission. That was the Class III MICS Advisory Committee that we have heard comments about. There is a separate working group that is working on its own. It has nothing to do with the NIGC that's looking at the MICS that I understand is going on, but that's separate and apart and of their own volition and made up of volunteers.

In terms of like the background investigation and the fingerprints, you know, that comes up in the context of tribes that want to expand who they can submit for background, not that we are asking to expand, but tribes are asking us if they can have access or use the same process for safe vendors.

Where that becomes a problem for us is that we have a Memorandum of Understanding with the

Department of Justice and FBI on who can be run through those processes and then also the consequences of running those individuals under our scheme, and what records that that produces, and just, you know, consequences of outcomes of those investigations. There are certain things that happen with key officials and primary management officials, key employees of primary management officials. You start adding into that list, you know, how do we do it, and then what's the outcome, what are the effects of that, and are there other mechanisms that tribes can use if we are not able to change that system.

We are bound by our agreement with the FBI, and we will be talking to them. I think our general counsel has a meeting with them on Friday, just trying to have a better coordinated effort with justice, just like we do with interior and treasury. But we will have to address that question. We have been asked. That's why it's come up in this context.

Yes.

2.

2.2

2.5

MR. EUGENE LUJAN: Yes. Good morning.

Thank you. My name is Gene Lujan. I represent the Pueblo of Santa Ana. I am chairman of the gaming

commission here at Santa Ana. I just wanted to follow-up on the question that the gentleman here asked, too, as far as I don't know if that concerns NIGC. As far as the calculation of net revenue, we have had an issue here, Santa Ana does, or at least some of the gaming tribes have an issue with how we compute our calculations for net revenue. And maybe I thought if we had some kind of training so that it's standardized and go through a training where it's step by step by step, everybody. Because it seems to me that everybody does it different. And so consequently, these are some of the questions that the state control board always -- we have an issue with, especially wide area progressives.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

I know we tried to tackle this issue this year or last year, and we made some progress, but to me, I think there is no standard way of doing it.

Everybody seems to do it different, or maybe they interpret how we are supposed to do it. And training wise, maybe that's something that we ought to come up with or NIGC should come up with and give training or classes for us on a step by step so everybody does it exactly the same.

I don't know if that's one way of doing it, or I don't know -- I don't understand, but

everybody seems to have different views, different interpretations, different way of doing things.

Consequently we all know in here, especially the gaming commissioners, we all have different interpretations of how we do our computations.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

Sometimes we don't want to share. We don't want to give out certain things. That's just the human nature of native tribes. They don't want to give out certain things, so, you know, we hold back, but if we have a set of bogus numbers that we can go by and just go through the process, I don't know if that's one of the requirements that NIGC should bring up, but that's just my suggestion.

Maybe that would clear up a lot of issues, but anyway that's one issue.

Another issue, Class II gaming. I know, I think if I am correct -- correct me if I am wrong -- Navajo has Class II gaming, and do we have a set of MICS in place right now where NIGC can regulate or we can regulate Class II gaming. At one point, Santa Ana thought of bringing in Class II gaming, but I said, no, I don't want to get in trouble with the state. As far as our compacts are concerned, I think we are not at least allowed to have Class II gaming machine wise. Yes, we have bingo and stuff

like this, but as far as MICS wise, I don't know if there is anything in place right now conclusive that we can use to regulate Class II gaming. That's my question, how far along are we as far as standardizing a lot of MICS standards?

You can give me an answer or at some point come back with an answer, because I think Santa Ana is thinking about it. But at the time or at the moment, I said, no, I don't want to get in trouble with that, so didn't try to push it. But as a gaming commission, I said I don't think we want to tackle that problem. It's just going to create a lot of problems for us. But I would appreciate that. Thank you very much.

CHAIR STEVENS: First of all, thank you for your comments and your questions. I think part of the question around that revenue is the definition. I think technical assistance and training is a great idea, and it should be part of our catalog, but first I think we need -- the question has come up about the definition, and we should figure out what the definition is first in your comments here, because it is done differently in different places. You know, we should get that solidified first through our consultation, what's

2.

2.2

going to work best for tribes and what stays within the statutory boundaries that we are confined to, and then we certainly should have training on that.

But also in terms of Class II, there is a -- one of the previous advisory committees, before the Class III advisory committee there was a Class II advisory committee, and those were finalized in October of 2008. But the deadline to comply is not until October of 2011, because we were hearing back from tribes that they were having some -- they were facing some issues as they tried to comply with those. And so that brought to our attention the need to talk about those during these consultations on rate review. Do we need to revisit those Class II MICS?

So those aren't standard right now officially. Prior to that, there were other standing internal control standards that are in place that I think tribes are using, or they are trying to comply with the ones that are not -- the deadline to comply is not until this October. So I think that's what everyone is using.

There are also technical standards that were finalized during that same time period that apply to Class II machines, and I think that's what

2.2

tribes are using who operate Class II machines. Although I will say we have also been asked to revisit those, because there are some elements of those regulations that are not working. It's good to revisit these to find out what's actually working and what's not working. So, you know, there are standards out there right now that you can take a look at and use, and we know that other tribes are using them. But we are happy to revisit them if you want us to revisit them. Thank you.

Yes.

2.2

2.5

MS. MAXINE VELASQUEZ: Maxine Velasquez, in-house counsel for the Pueblo of Tesuque. I would just like to make a comment on a couple of the issues that the chairman from Santa Ana brought up on net revenue and the calculation of net revenue on Class II gaming in New Mexico. I think it's important for the New Mexico tribes and the compact tribes, the 2007 and '01 tribes to know that, of course, NIGC has responsibility for the definition of net revenue according to IGRA. And Class II MICS are coming up through the NIGC. But when it comes to net revenue calculations for the State of New Mexico, we have to look at the gaming compact, because that's where our definition is.

So the issue, Chairman, with the 1 2 calculation is, you are right, all of the different tribes have different calculations. So the New 3 Mexico Gaming Control Board sees that, and they are 4 5 coming in and they are trying to finalize a uniform calculation for all of us, but we all calculate the 6 7 net revenue a little differently. We have our different interpretations. So the only way I think 8 that's going to be handled in the future is if they 10 take us to arbitration, and they haven't done that 11 yet. So that's an issue here in the State of New 12 Mexico on net revenue in Section -- I think it's 13 section what, 11. Section 11 of the compact. 14 that's the issue we are going to have to deal with 15 internally. That's a New Mexico issue.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

The other issue on Class II gaming, the 2007 and '01 compact don't preclude the tribes from having Class II gaming in New Mexico. If that's something we want to do, we can do that. I sat in the compacts in 1999 when we were trying in 2001 and 2007, and those issues, the state at some point tried to put those preclusions in there, but we fought to keep them out. So the tribes do want to have Class II in the State of New Mexico. We can.

It was more of a gentlemen's agreement

with Governor Richardson at that time and some of the governors, that Class II would not be put in place because it was so political. But the tenure, the change has happened over the years, and we see more Class II coming in. So that's going to be an interesting fight in New Mexico. It's a political issue. It's a policy issue. Now with the new governor coming up, Susanna Martinez, we are all going to face that battle together. So I just wanted to make those distinctions in New Mexico as compared to what NICG is doing with the definition on net revenue pursuant to Class II and IGRA.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Can I ask you a follow-up question?

MS. VELASQUEZ: Yes.

2.

2.2

2.5

MS. ECHO-HAWK: When it comes to the differences in the definition of net revenue, in the compact or by ordinance or whatever, how does that affect say your audits that you have to submit to the NIGC? If you have a definition of net revenue and the state has a definition of net revenue and we have a definition of net revenue, do you see audit findings? Do you know, can you think of a way that we can maybe clarify that somehow?

MS. VELASQUEZ: Our definition of net

revenue is for purposes of paying revenue share to the state, so there is no audit findings from that perspective.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MS. ECHO-HAWK: So I guess I am wondering from like your audit or your auditor, your operations, regulatory standpoint, then when you do your audits, you have to make one calculation that you send to the state, you have to make another calculation in order to base your fees on?

MS. VELASQUEZ: Right.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: And is there a way that we can do this in a way that your auditors are not like pulling their hair out because they have three different definitions that they have to use?

MS. VELASQUEZ: I think they have worked that out. The net revenue for purposes of fees and all that gets paid to the NIGC, but the net revenue share to the state is totally different. So that's who -- New Mexico Gaming Control Board evaluates that. That's the issue right now that plagues some of the tribes. The auditors don't have an issue with that, just different calculations, but we are complying with both federal law and state compact. I just wanted to make that distinction. Thank you.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Okay.

1 CHAIR STEVENS: Yes, sir.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

MR. PETER GARCIA, JR.: Can I comment on that also? One of the things that we need to realize NIGC needs to understand is that in compact for wide area progressives in states that we use a certain calculation that the state wants us to use, but it's not according to the general accounting standards. That's where the problem is. Everything is calculated according to the general accounting standards, except for that section that deals with wide area progressives. That's why some tribes have the progressive and some tribes don't, and that's where the mixup is, and that's the problem that we are facing.

And I think that the state needs to realize also that if we are to do things according to law, according to standards, they should change that section in the compact also.

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you.

Yes, sir.

MR. PAUL CHINANA: Good afternoon,
Chairwoman Tracie Stevens, Vice Chair Ms. Cochran,
and also Commissioner Mr. Little. My name is Paul
Chinana. I am from the Pueblo of Jemez. I am the
councilman. I was sitting here waiting for my

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

Governor, Michael Toledo, Jr., to show up, but apparently he is on another mission now, so I don't think he will show up.

2.

2.2

2.5

But today I just wanted to make a couple of comments or points and, you know, the Pueblo of Jemez appreciates the opportunity to consult with NIGC, with the commissioners. I have a written statement that I would like to present to you this morning.

Back in July of 2010, we were encouraged by Secretary Salazar on the directive on calling for a review of the current guidance and the regulatory standards used to make decisions on or off the reservation, a two part determination, gaming under Section 20 of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act and its implementing regulations.

And this NIGC consultation and the Office of Indian Gaming Consultation have some overlap.

Our comments will focus on that overlap, which is the sole proprietary interest rule contained in the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act.

The Pueblo of Jemez is a community that has maintained its traditional practices and cultural values passed down by our ancestors for hundreds of years. We are a federally recognized

Indian tribe with over 3,400 members, pueblo members, with a language fluency of over 85 percent. And our reservation is located in a remote area of north central New Mexico, which is about 45 or 30 miles away from here.

Given our remote location and lack of natural resources, significant economic development on a reservation including gaming is simply not viable. Recognizing this, the pueblo has proposed an off reservation casino development project in the southern part of the state in Anthony, New Mexico, which has the support of the mayor and the majority of the City of Anthony trustees. And not only that, we have full support from the tribal council, the religious leaders from the Pueblo of Jemez also, and the unanimous support of the Doña Ana County commissioners. The mayor and the majority of the city councilors for the City of Las Cruces, we have major support from the southern part of the state.

The casino would bring critically needed economic development and employment opportunities to the Pueblo of Jemez, to Anthony and to the surrounding community in Anthony.

The Pueblo of Jemez filed its two part determination application in 2004. In '05, '06,

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

'07, the Pueblo of Jemez and its gaming enterprise board have met numerous times and worked with the NIGC to obtain a letter ruling that our application complied with the sole proprietary interest rule.

Obtaining this letter ruling took almost two and a half years and numerous trips to Washington, DC and tens of thousands of dollars in legal, lobbying, accountancy fees, and the NIGC issued a favorable letter ruling on our opinion application on June 8 of 2007.

We will provide the commission with a copy of that letter ruling along with our written comments at a later date. We recently spent close to \$100,000 updating our Draft Environmental Impact Statement, because the DOI solicitors office said that the data had become stale. No environmental issues changed, none of the conclusions changed. Only our bank account balance changed to pay for this redo and update and a lot of time.

The Department of Interior is considering a Section 20 rulemaking, and if so, we are afraid that our DEIS data will once again get stale because of the delay. We mentioned this experience because we want to urge the NIGC not to require a redo of our sole proprietary interest letter ruling. It is

800-227-8440

2.

2.2

our position that more effort should be placed on processing the application and less effort on developing new rules. Most importantly, the rules need to stay the same long enough for applications to be acted upon.

2.

2.2

2.5

Applications should be considered under the laws and rules that were in effect at the time the application was filed. We applaud this administration's commitment to follow the law and to bring much needed transparency to the process. And some rules could be improved, but it should be done in a manner that moves the applications through the pipeline.

The NIGC has many technical topics as I heard this morning. As part of this consultation, we will not comment on those issues. Our two comments are focused on the sole proprietary interest rule and fundamental fairness.

No. 1, letter rulings regarding the sole proprietary interest rule that have been issued should stand, and there should be no requirements to obtain another letter ruling even if there are new rules. Number 2, as a matter of fundamental fairness, the application and project should be considered under the rules in place at the time the

800-227-8440

applications were filed.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Changing the rules and applying those changes retroactively is not fair. In many lines of businesses and in many agencies, the government always provides for prospective effective dates for new requirements and provides for grandfathering pending applications and projects.

Gaming is a business, and business decisions and the underlying applications made under one set of rules should receive agency evaluations under those rules. This principle of prospective effective dates and grandfathering pending applications should be guiding principles at the Department of the Interior and the National Indian Gaming Commission.

Gaming applications take a long time to get through the process. Since we filed our applications, there have been numerous changes to the BIA checklist, new Section 20 regulations, and the guidance memo. The tribes that are most affected by ever changing rules are the tribes least capable of funding the legal and other experts needed to redo, supplement, and update.

It is becoming clearer that tribes opposing certain applications are using the call for

new rulemaking as a delay tactic. It costs them nothing to call for new rulemakings, but the delay involved is costing the tribes making the application millions and millions of dollars, and poor tribes like us, we can't afford that.

It wouldn't be tolerated in our society if a previously perfectly legal activity was ruled illegal one day and people were thrown into prison the next day for having engaged in that activity before it became illegal. Yet this is very similar to how tribes are treated by the Department of Interior under the January 3, '08 guidance memo when pending applications were thrown into the denied pile with no notice or review. No comments were made.

For those unfamiliar with the events surrounding the guidance memo, I would like to maybe briefly summarize on that. If I may, on January 3rd of 2008, the Department of the Interior adopted substantive new standards governing its consideration of off reservation gaming fee trust applications under the guise of a guidance document, and although characterized as nonbinding policy or quidance, the documenting fact imposed substantive new standards the department invoked that very next

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Page 90

day to deny 22 pending fee trust applications, including the pending application for the Pueblo of Jemez. As a substantive legislative rule, this new policy was adopted unlawfully without the notice and comment required by the administration procedure and it should be rescinded. We made that same comment to the Department of Interior consultation back in November.

Furthermore its procedural and legal deficiencies aside, the guidance should be withdrawn because it has no basis in logic or in law. The commutability standard imposed by the guidance presumes that tribal members residing on reservation will not obtain any benefits from the casino, because they will not be able to commute to the casino to work there, if they do, the fabric of the reservation community will be damaged due to their absence.

This erroneous presumption, unsupported by any evidence, wholly ignores the fact that the central benefit tribal casinos provide is income to tribal governments and their members. Off reservation casinos can provide this much needed income just as well as on reservation casinos.

And in closing, Congress declared the

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

2.2

purpose of this Indian gaming policy is to provide a statutory basis for the operation of gaming by Indian tribes as a means of promoting tribal economic development, self-sufficiency, and strong governments. Without a viable source of income, the tribal governments cannot provide their members, tribal members, with important governmental programs such as health care, eldercare, law enforcement, schools, fire departments, and the like.

2.

2.2

2.5

With our small convenience store in the Pueblo of Jemez and a small visitor center, one would be hard pressed to generate that kind of revenue and jobs to provide for a community of a tribe of 35 -- 3,400 plus people. IGRA recognizes that in certain circumstances under stringent restrictions, tribes should be given the right to conduct off reservation gaming because the off reservation locations are the only viable locations for gaming operations.

And I thank the NIGC, the governors, lieutenant governors, tribal councilmen, tribal representative, ladies and gentlemen for listening to our comments, and I thank you for that. Thank you.

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you, sir.

Page 92

MR. CHINANA: I just want to recognize our governor from the Pueblo of Jemez, Mr. Michael Trujillo, Jr. He just showed up.

MR. MICHAEL TRUJILLO, JR.: Good morning, everyone. My name is Governor Michael Trujillo, Jr. We were up there at Santa Fe, at the Governor of New Mexico's peer breakfast, so that's why we came in late.

I also want to express what the councilman here had read off. We are a small community, a small tribe up here in Jemez. We have no viable resources. Again, you know, we are doing this for two communities, the community of Anthony down in Doña Ana County and also the Pueblo of Jemez. are helping out the Doña Ana County community as far as, you know, their infrastructure, their home life, and also what we can bring up to Jemez, whatever generates down there, we can bring up to Jemez, up here and help our community, the surrounding community. Not only the tribe of Jemez, but everyone else in a 25-mile radius, you can say, because there are other pueblos that have gaming already which generates revenues for their tribes. And us, we don't have any resources to support our pueblo.

800-227-8440

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Other than that, I am pretty sure you have understood what Councilman Paul Chinana had said already or read out for our tribe, and that's where we stand as of today. And I want to thank you, everyone, for your audience and listening to what we have to say for our tribe. So thank you again. I wish everyone a good day. Thank you.

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you, Governor. I am glad that you could join us.

I am aware of your application only because I used to work at the Department of Interior and Indian Affairs, and we discussed that. I probably was sitting in a meeting with you in my former position to Mr. Echo-Hawk, but I'm not really familiar with the status of your application at this time. I am well aware of the consultation and the direction from the secretary to look at two part, the two part process.

And because the authorities are divided between the Secretary of Interior and the Chair, the NIGC doesn't have any place in the two part application, although I am happy to see what I can do to get inquiries over at the department about the status of your application.

What does interest me is the sole

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

2.

2.2

proprietary interest letter that was generated from the NIGC in June of 2007, and I don't want to appear to be rude. I was just barking at my attorney who is in DC to produce that for me so I can see what it is and see if there is anything that we can do to address your concern on that particular letter or take that into consideration if we do address sole proprietary interest in regulation. Although that's one of those big issues like MICS that we'll have a lot of comment on.

MR. TRUJILLO: Thank you very much, Madam Chair, and we will go ahead and send our remarks and also send the letter along with our comments.

CHAIR STEVENS: Great. Thank you.

Yes, sir.

MR. ROBERT GARCIA: Good morning,

Commissioners, Governors, Tribal Leaders, and fellow
regulators. My name is Robert Garcia from San
Felipe Pueblo.

First off, I would like to say that in New Mexico, on an annual basis the pueblo governors are elected on the first of the year. And my question is on your February 12th comment period, if that can be moved back, because this will give us time to present the comments to the tribal leadership and

2.2

tribal council before we forward them down to the NIGC for approval from our respective councils and leadership. So if that date is not locked in, I would like to request for us here in New Mexico, we can have that opportunity to have that date moved back maybe to March to give us time to take the comments to the tribal councils.

2.2

2.5

And another comment I would like to make, since you brought up -- well, the discussion on net revenue has been brought up here. And I know when you were here last year for Sandia Pueblo, we mentioned or we requested if you the current commissioners would be able to look at how the casinos are classified in terms of net revenue under tier C, because some of us, you know, we are at the bottom end of the tier C, and we are in the same league as those bigger casinos or high end casinos, like Foxwoods, and sometimes our revenue is not sufficient enough to meet the regulatory requirements, for example, surveillance equipment.

I know we have to have the equipment, you know, as required in Class III gaming, but with us, we are trying to keep our, you know, revenues intact, and also we need to put some of that money back, also back not only into surveillance and that

equipment, but also into our server with our particular casino. Now, it's hard for us to say, well, let's put the money in the server or our surveillance equipment. So in there, not to elaborate on the point, it gives us an opportunity, if NIGC would reclassify the tier C gaming, so it will help us better manage our revenues and our monies as we project our spending throughout the year. So that's something I would like to also bring back. I know this was brought up last year.

So thank you for your attention, and I would like to wish you well. And I think this is a testament of what you said last year about making that commitment to listen to the tribes. And this is good to know that this dialogue is going to continue to happen. So with that, thank you.

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you for attending this morning and thank you for your comment. think we will have to talk about whether we can push the deadline back or not, because we do have by executive order an agenda that we have to produce and these have to be submitted by April. But we can talk about it and maybe talk to you about what kind of timeline would be more suitable.

Because we did open it up in November, but

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

we also understand -- and we apologize, that there's many things going on here in this area for the pueblos at this time of year. And as I mentioned to someone, you know, we run into that with every time that we schedule wherever we go in the country, there's different cultural and traditional things going on, certain things going on every place at some point in the year. I apologize. I know that you all had busy schedules. You had something else with the governor today. You had ceremonies. You had elections. We try to do the best we can, so I apologize for that.

We can talk about it. You know, you said what, March?

MR. GARCIA: Yes. Sometime in March, maybe toward the end of the first quarter sometime, the end of March, if that's a possibility.

CHAIR STEVENS: Lael has to do the work.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Let me -- frankly, the end of March, it wouldn't give me any time to take your comments and review them, seriously. And one of the things, we have to have the agenda finalized at the beginning of April. And one of the things that we are looking at, one of the things we have committed to is taking all the comments that are received by

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

tribes, reviewing them thoroughly, and then coming up with an agenda, not only an agenda, but an explanation of how we reached the decisions that we made.

So I simply can't -- if all the comments were to come in in March even, you know, we have got a number of other events and things that we need to There simply would not be enough time for us to review those comments thoroughly and make a good decision, which is why we set -- February 12th is basically six weeks for us to put all that information together, start planning. So it's very difficult.

We will talk about maybe, you know, maybe we can try to move it a little bit around given the constraints here. But in order for us to make a good decision, we need all the information sooner than later. We just won't have the time otherwise.

MR. GARCIA: That was just a recommendation, but if you can just at least be flexible, and maybe if you can move it back, maybe not that further back, but to give us time. Because, as I mentioned, the governors are newly elected, and some are newly involved in gaming, so we have to give them time to digest the information,

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

too, and also to get feedback from the respective administrations and councils for us to go ahead to forward the comments to NIGC. So if you can at least, you know, look at that and give us that window of opportunity.

CHAIR STEVENS: We will talk about what we might be able to do. And just to put it in context, too, there is, you know, just something that might be helpful, what we are doing here is -- and for some we have been asked, you know, if this was like, give us all your comments on all the regulations, where really what we want are your priorities and how you would like to have things done.

There is a good example online that we have posted from San Manuel. Certainly you don't have to do it like they have done it, but it's a good reference of going through the regs and saying this is a priority of ours. This is not. This is a high, low, medium priority. This is not. We don't have anything to say about this regulation, or this is the top priority. But they do it by number on the regulations, and they tell us this is the issue we have with it. Therefore, it's medium, high, low priority, and this is how we suggest you handle it, you know, proposed rulemaking or an advisory

2.

2.2

committee or something else.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

I was talking to somebody outside, and tribes are getting together or a tribe is saying do I have a dog in this fight on this regulation, and if I don't, do I need to comment. So what we are asking for in this particular Notice of Inquiry hopefully is not too burdensome in terms of questions. They are focused on what are the regulations you need to have changed and which ones do you need us to attend to first, really is what we are asking. So hopefully that explains what we are asking and may ease the burden of commenting.

But going back to the deadline, we will talk about it, and then we will be in touch with you.

Yes, ma'am.

MS. HELEN PADILLA: Good morning. My name is Helen Padilla, and I am the vice chair of the Pueblo of Laguna Gaming Control Board, and I just wanted to -- we appreciate this opportunity to consult with you this morning or today and talk just very briefly about the regulatory operations there with the Pueblo of Laguna.

We have worked very hard within the last few years to streamline the operations and

Page 101

regulations, and we have a very good working relationship with the NIGC. I think that everything is moving along very well.

I just wanted to reiterate some of the items that the general counsel for the Pueblo of Tesuque talked about in terms of some of the issues that have been raised this morning. In terms of Class II and the operations of Class II gaming here in New Mexico, the definition of net win that's contained in the gaming compacts and also the issue of the wide area progressives, although these are areas that obviously IGRA and NIGC have something to do with, those are clearly state issues that are between the State of New Mexico and are contained in the gaming compacts and calculations in terms of net win, which is the definition that's in the gaming compact.

And I think the conversation and the dialogue that happened in terms of the difference in definitions, those are important, however all of those are state issues. And I just want to thank you very much for the opportunity to consult with you today.

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you.

Yes.

2.2

2.4

2.5

Page 102

MS. STEPHANIE CROSBY: Good morning. Good morning. My name is Stephanie Crosby. I am the secretary from the Pueblo of Pojoaque, and Governor Rivera sends regrets that he could not attend today. However, he did appoint our judge and attorney, Frank Demoli, and just to go ahead and give comment on behalf of the pueblo, so thank you.

MR. FRANK DEMOLI: Thank you,

Commissioners and Honorable Governors and all the honorable working people who are here today. I just wanted to make a few comments and also say the lieutenant governor sends his regrets. He was at the breakfast meeting this morning, and the food must have been good because he's still there. And so I will be speaking on behalf of the Pueblo of Pojoaque today. Governor Rivera made similar comments yesterday to the NIGC staff in Washington, DC.

The first thing I would like to say you have asked for specifics, and I would like to say that the specific thing that we need at the Pueblo of Pojoaque right now is a definition by NIGC of net revenue and gross gaming revenue. The reason that we need it is because we have taken on a fight with the Gaming Control Board of New Mexico over revenue

2.

2.2

2.4

sharing, and your definition is what I would do with them. Because you have so much power and authority, I don't think you even realize how much you affect decisions out in the field. I will take those comments. I will cut and paste those comments, and the theme that we are hitting constantly at the Pueblo of Pojoaque, and that we would like you to put in every document that you have, is that the tribe should be the primary beneficiaries of gaming.

This has been lost over the years, and we were very glad to see the Rincon case when it came down, where an objective judge saw what was happening in California and reversed what the state was trying to do. Because that's what is trying to be done by us or to us by the state agencies and by the state. We need to have more ammunition. You can give it to us.

Please put -- I have Google alert. As soon as you put anything on the internet, I know. Put those words in your proclamations that the tribes are the primary beneficiaries. This is the theme I am going to hit when we do go into litigation. We did get our notice of noncompliance back in May. We are in the fight, and we will not let them take us to arbitration. We are shooting

2.2

for an objective court. So that's where we are going with this issue.

2.2

2.4

2.5

Please give us something to work on.

Those two definitions would help us immensely. That is what the Pueblo of Pojoaque requests that you put on top of your list. Even though we may not be able to use them in ways that lay people would think that you could use them, I will use them in court. If you want me to quote each one of you as saying it, I will put your names under it.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Will you be putting in that written comment?

MR. DEMOLI: You bet.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Okay. Thank you.

MR. DEMOLI: The second issue that I would like to take up is that the Pueblo of Pojoaque really appreciates your thorough reviews of our restructuring agreements to determine whether or not they are management contracts, and I want to talk from a field perspective. When we are dealing with investors and people from the outside -- I have been the judge and general counsel for about 17 years -- when we are dealing with them, they do not realize the unintended consequences of their actions, and sometimes they are very conscious about wanting to

manage pueblo affairs.

2.

2.2

2.5

I don't want to go into specifics, but what we depend on you for is to protect the pueblo by really looking at these agreements. As sophisticated as attorneys get, we are dealing with Wall Street. We are dealing with very sophisticated economic players. We don't want to have a Pollyanna provision in those restructuring documents that is going to come back and bite the tribes.

And I will tell you and publish it publicly, whatever. When we say NIGC will never let this through, generally we are believed. You have very great powers, and you can help the tribes so much by looking out for their best interests. And so I really -- and I'm sorry I am so passionate -- but I really, really hope that you can put that in your writings and have that inform your decisions, that the tribes should be the primary beneficiaries of gaming. Because we are out here in the field, and we are fighting as hard as we can, and anything you can do can help us. Thank you for allowing us to work with you.

CHAIR STEVENS: Well, thank you very much, and I am glad to hear that our staff is working with you.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

We had met or I had met with the governor on several occasions, and also with you, about your restructure, and we are glad that we were able to help out and it had a positive net result from you. That came as a result of you including us. We are going to talk about financing and declination letters and management provisions. That came as a result of you coming to us early on and saying, hey, we need you to help us with this as we move forward, and we, this commission, sees tribes, one, as the primary regulators, but, two, also, we are very serious about tribes being the primary beneficiaries.

2.2

2.5

We see a lot of these financing deals coming through in lots of different creative forms, and all we ask, and we are thankful that the Pojoaques did, come to us early so that we can help you. And I am glad that we were able to. So thank you.

We take all your comments seriously, and we hope that you provide those to us in writing and suggestions on how we might do that. Thank you.

If there aren't any others, we are past our lunch time. I hate to pull people and be the reason I am standing in the way of peoples' food.

Page 107

1 I'm a big fan of food, and I certainly don't want to
2 stand in your way.

2.2

2.4

2.5

It is 12:15, so why don't we come back at 1:45. We will push it out 15 minutes. That gives us an hour and a half to take care of business.

Hope you have a good meal, and we will reconvene at

(A lunch recess was taken between 12:14 p.m. and 1:52 p.m.)

1:45. Thank you all.

CHAIR STEVENS: Good afternoon. I hope everybody was able to have a pleasant lunch and get a break, and if you're like me, you were eating lunch and responding to e-mail and phone calls and multitasking.

Okay. Let's open it back up for comments. I see we have had some people move from the back of the room to the table, which indicates to me that we are ready for comment, and I appreciate everyone waiting for tribal leaders to comment first. So I will turn the floor over to anyone who would like to speak. You have the floor.

MS. CARLEEN CHINO: Good afternoon,
Chairperson Ms. Stevens and Vice Chair Ms. Cochran,
Mr. Little. My name is Carleen Chino, and I am from
the Navajo Nation. I represent the Navajo Nation

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

Page 108

and the Navajo Gaming Regulatory Office. We have a couple of talking points here for Mr. Little. We also will put some in writing as well before the deadline.

2.

2.2

2.5

I have with me some attorneys that represent also the Navajo Nation and the Navajo Gaming Regulatory Office, Peter Larson to my right, and Karis Begaye representing the Department of Justice of the Navajo Nation to my left. So we have some comments here. Thank you for this opportunity as well.

MS. KARIS BEGAYE: Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is Karis Begaye. I am in-house counsel with the Navajo Nation.

We have a couple of issues that we would like to raise here. We are a new gaming tribe. We have only been doing this for about two years, so we are still trying to work through the process here. I don't know if we fully have experienced all of the issues that are being raised by the other tribes because we are so new. But we do have some procedural issues that we would like to raise.

One of the things that I think we appreciate hearing from the commission is wanting to be more transparent, because I think that is

probably the main issue that we run into. Because we are so new, we analyze everything we do, and we try to make sure we comply with everything. And any time we send something off, we all panic and hope and cross our fingers that everything is proper.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

But that sort of leads to our issues, which is when we do -- submissions, we just opened two new casinos, one Fire Rock and the Flowing Water Casino. And so one of the first steps that we have been utilizing in following the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act and all the regulations is doing the submission of the facility license and also sending out our 120 day notice that we are opening up the casino.

One of the issues that we see in that process is we don't know what happens once we make the submission. We make the submission and we get an e-mail back, because we do ours electronically and by mail. We will get an e-mail back that says it's been received. But then -- for the 120 day notice we do that, and I think we just recently did one. We are going to be looking at opening a few more.

Once we send it off, you know, the question becomes what happens to it, and exactly

what does that mean. For example, with the 120 day notice, what purpose does that serve? What is it that you guys do with the 120 day notice? For instance, our interpretation of reading it, it's just simply a notice that is telling you guys we are intending on issuing a facility license in 120 days.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

But the problem that we are running into is, to give you an example, we did one for one of our proposed sites, and we ended up getting a letter back from NIGC saying, questioning our 120 day notice and whether or not these were truly tribal trust land, did they qualify under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act. We also did another recent 120 day notice, and we got another response wanting further documentation on whether or not these were Indian lands and they qualify.

It raised a little bit of concern with us, because we had thought this was simply a notice that it was sent out. We didn't realize you always -- do they approve this, is this really an approval, or is it a notification. So we ran into that issue, wanting to know what it is, when we give you the 120 day notice, what do you guys do at that moment. Does someone review it? Is it taken under consideration? Is there, you know, a chance that

once we send this off, that you guys can disapprove it?

The reason I raise the issue is because we have financing, lenders, that, you know, do we need to wait the entire 120 days before we can really, you know, decide that this is where we are going to gain. So these, for us, at least, the transparency part of that is important, so at least we have somewhat of an idea of, once we make a submission, what exactly does NIGC do and what role. And, you know, we are sort of in a period of wondering what will happen once we do the submission. So that's one of the issues that we have.

Another issue that we ran into is, you know, with the facility license. We have an idea of what's all required in the facility license, and we create notebooks of all the requirements, and we do our submission of the facility license to NIGC. We do get an e-mail response back. But the question is, what do you guys do with that information?

Because when we issue it, when we send it off, we want to make sure that we are not going to get --you know, do you guys review it? Are you going to disapprove it or are you going to approve it? We are curious as to what role you play once we make

2.2

those submissions, because, well, our biggest concern is not following the procedures.

2.2

2.5

We are so new. We have only done two, and so we don't feel comfortable are we following the right process, because as we proceed with opening our other casinos, we want to make sure that the steps that we have in place within the Navajo Nation are what NIGC is looking for.

I guess that we are sort of in an area where we are just wondering and hoping and crossing our fingers that we are doing everything properly, but it would be helpful if we also kind of understood what NIGC does and what their role is. So those are probably the two issues that we have.

As far as one of the topics that I have heard probably discussed the most, the one that we are the most concerned with is the tribal advisory committee. Our position or our concern with establishing that committee is we don't want to lose the one on one, government to government consultation with this commission. Our concern would be, you know, we want to make sure our voice is heard, and if we have, you know, concerns or comments, that that is heard by this commission.

You know, we have thought about, well,

Page 113

maybe we can suggest, you know, one member of every 1 tribe sitting on this advisory committee. That way it ensures all the gaming tribes have a voice at the 3 table. Is that practical, we don't know. But at 4 5 least it guarantees and gives everyone the opportunity to sit at the table and have a say. 6 7 Because I'm sure you know, just attending these meetings, all the tribes are unique and they all 8 9 express various concerns. And so, you know, our 10 concern is making sure that whoever will represent 11 our area or will be speaking on behalf of the tribe 12 is someone who is going to advocate for our 13 interests.

So as far as the advisory committee, that's probably the biggest concern we have, is we want to ensure that if it's created, that the Navajo Nation will have an opportunity to have a voice on that committee.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

So as far as, that's basically our position on that issue, but as Carleen mentioned, we will be preparing written comments and submitting those to you. Thank you.

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you.

MR. PETER LARSON: Thank you, Carleen. My name is Peter Larson. I am an attorney from Lewis

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

and Roca here on behalf of the gaming regulatory office.

I will hit the two remaining issues really quickly. The first is the potential expansion of the definition of management contract. That's a concern. I'm sure you have heard this at other consultations, that that's generally a very burdensome process. If every time the slot lease agreement were entered into it had to be approved by the NIGC, everyone had to be backgrounded, yada, yada, yada, and eight months passed before the agreement is actually effective.

The other point to that is, to the extent that that definition is expanded, every time the NIGC approved or the chairwoman approved one of those contracts, there would have to be a NEPA analysis done. So there is a lot of cost and time done to ensure that NEPA was complied with just for the purpose of entering into a slot lease agreement. So I would encourage you maybe not to expand the definition of management agreement right now, or to the extent that there is some sort of expansion, make sure that you focus upon the definition of management as it is, and that always relates to control of the gaming operation.

2.2

Page 115

The other idea that kind of popped up in the NOI is the idea of interim remedies. Instead of going from zero to the death penalty, you have an idea of a ticketing system or at least, you know, notice of working through it. That's not a real big issue I don't think here in this region, because Ken is, you know, incredibly amenable to our working through everything. That's an informal process, though. It might do some good for the NIGC and tribes here to have a more formal process.

1

2.

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Do you have ideas on what that process would look like or some of the, or I don't know, some of the bigger issues for tribes unnamed that you kind of run into problems on?

CHAIR STEVENS: And will Navajo be submitting written comments?

MR. LARSON: We will be submitting written comments here before the close.

CHAIR STEVENS: Okay. And you said you had some suggestions on that last issue, so you will be including that in your comment?

MR. LARSON: Well, it will include the idea of interim remedies.

CHAIR STEVENS: Great.

MR. LARSON: But we are wanting to hear

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

973-410-4040

collective wisdom on what you have heard with regard to how interim remedies may work and may not work.

2.2

2.4

2.5

CHAIR STEVENS: I think Lael in particular has been -- this is about enforcement and also whether it's about fees, because there was an era of fees going from zero to NOV on the late fee. But I think we have heard from tribes, their attorneys in particular, about the absence of process or some alternatives before you get from zero to ten, but I will let Lael talk about how this came up.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Well, it did come up in the context of the NOVs that were issued last year. I can't even tell you how many were issued last year for the tribes, including the one that I was working for at the time. We got an NOV issued with no notice for, I think, cumulatively it was about 20 days the fees were late. And a notice of violation is a substantial -- for tribes you guys know, it's a big deal. It's not -- you know, I think the attitude at the agency at the time and the feedback that I got when we brought this up was, oh, you know, it's just a little NOV. It's no big deal. It is a big deal, so how can we sort of mitigate that.

Well, still we exist off the fees that are paid by tribes, so we need to make sure they are

actually paid so we can continue to function. But there has to be a different way of doing it. There has got to be a progressive way of how can we preempt an NOV. In some cases, that may be okay, you know, if it's really egregious, but so far all the comments we have heard from tribes is they like this idea. They think it's something that NIGC should be thinking about and working on.

I haven't heard anyone give sort of a solid explanation of what they think it would look like, except sort of some kind of sliding scale, percentage maybe of, like the fine, I guess, would be a percentage of the fee certain, a certain dollar amount, you know, over time, whatever. But we haven't heard any solid thoughts on that. So if you do have those, if you have ideas about that, then please let us know.

The other thing to remember is one thing that we have kicked around quite a bit, that those fines, like a settlement agreement, any fines or anything that we issue, that money goes into the treasury. So we have been thinking a lot about that as well how to address that issue. But so far, everyone, we have not heard a negative comment about that so --

2.2

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: If I could just add quickly. One thing about NOVs, they are labor intensive for the commission, and like Lael said, we are funded a hundred percent by the fees that drive pay. So these are your fees that we are going to be spending to issue NOVs. So if there is a mechanism where we can create a process where we are not going through all this extra leg work that the attorneys and the staff have to do, then it's a saving for the commission.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

MR. LARSON: And finally -- I should have said this before -- at the beginning of the meeting, you suggested that one of the primary initiatives of the NIGC right now -- I should say you said, not suggested -- was that training and technical assistance is a priority. I think that's a fantastic idea. In fact, we were talking about that last night, because, you know, Navajo is not very near Albuquerque, it's not very near Phoenix, and it's very expensive to travel all that distance for, you know, a one day seminar. Carleen can't send 44 employees down to Phoenix for a one or a two or a three dayer.

So, you know, to the extent that there is a possibility for more on-site training and in more

maybe more different places throughout the region, that would probably be welcome.

CHAIR STEVENS: And Vice Chairwoman

Cochran had just written this down, that it sounds

like there is an opportunity for some training and

technical assistance that we might be able to

provide. I am looking at Ken, because they go to

the regional director, and we do go out to tribes.

If there is enough topics and enough people that

it's more cost effective for the tribe to have a few

of our staff come out to you, as opposed to having a

whole bunch of you at your expense come out to like

a one topic, two topic thing, it works out better.

So if you have -- I mean, I encourage you to talk to

Ken about, you know, what your needs are and see if

there is some way that we can work out helping you

through technical assistance and training.

MS. CHINO: Mr. Billingsley and the region office has actually been more accommodating to us more recently, but I believe it's more just at the local level is where we need the training in our specific area, only because we are rural and right in an area where there is massive land around us. I believe Ken comes out -- actually he was there yesterday at our newest casino doing an inspection,

2.

2.2

so that came out actually really good.

2.

2.2

2.5

But Navajo Nation is actually unique. We have two compacts with Arizona and New Mexico, and then we have a Class II gaming activities going also. It's a variety of those issues, but it's just the staff that need that training for both Class II and Class III, and internal controls are various. And so it's just a matter of getting it local and trying to get the attention, including the tribes, the neighboring tribes, that again we all share budgetary concerns and issues regarding that.

I have one more issue. It was on fingerprint background investigations. And actually if we could just keep the option at the tribe's discretion for sending out any vendor fingerprints, and just coming from Navajo Nation on that particular issue, keeping it at the tribal level to use our discretion to send those vendor fingerprints in at our option.

VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: Thank you. I wanted to also offer up, because you are new at the NIGC processes, we can also assist with getting some technical assistance to the attorneys to help you through the process, explain what happens, because obviously the commissioners, as we sit up here, we

are not involved in every step of how OGC handles the notices that come in. So if that's helpful, please let us know, and we will put you in touch with the general counsel and see what we can do to get the attorneys to understand the process, so we can better guide your client and explain it to them.

2.

2.2

2.5

MS. CHINO: Thank you very much.

CHAIR STEVENS: And I do want to reiterate, with our new general counsel, you are free to call any time. This is really a new -- it's a new commission, and we have a new general counsel who is open to phone calls from tribes, to making the staff available to your questions. So if there is uncertainty about a process that you are going through, I have talked to a couple of the tribes, and I am trying not to be rude, but like I said, I am barking, where is this and where is that, because I am getting questions about the process, and availing our staff to you to answer your questions.

So always feel free to call our office and ask for Larry Roberts, who is our general counsel. He does make -- you know, they should be helping tribes to get through these processes, and make it clear, if you have a question, you know, where are we at with this facility license, I haven't heard

anything back. You know, you should feel free to contact them and find out what the process is.

In terms of facility licensing, we have heard so much about that, that when that -- you know, I wasn't working for the government at the time, but I was working for my tribe. And when the facility license rates came out, a lot of people were surprised about the information that was being requested, and was it really an approval or not, but we clearly do need to address those again.

Any comments you might have about the process you have been through, we welcome hearing them. But it's very likely we will have to -- one of the bigger issues that we are going to have to take a look at, we heard in Oklahoma, there really wasn't any consultation. Once they got it rolling, they went through it pretty quickly. So it's very likely we will have to readdress those.

Then management -- I am trying to think of why it came up about expanding into lease agreements. I know we have actually had tribes come to us and ask us to do that, and that might be a surprise to other tribes who wouldn't ask to do that, to expand the definition or at least our review into a lease agreement for management terms.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

So I think that's really the only reason, and the sole proprietary interest also. That's the other part of looking into some of those contracts.

But we welcome your comments on that.

These are things that have been brought to our attention, not necessarily positions that we have.

Yes, sir.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

MR. ALBERT APODACA: My name is Albert Apodaca. I am the executive director of the Mescalero Apache Tribal Gaming Commission. We are like the Navajo Nation in taking the position that we thought we would notify, didn't need approval on the 120 day, and I am glad you did that. But we have experienced the same inflexibility that we had in the past with the gaming commission that we are doing now. And one of the things is, I think, that the gaming commission should be a little more flexible and allowing for exceptions. Because we were not putting up a casino. We were trying -- we are putting 12 machines up, and all of a sudden it's this big old thing, like we are rolled into like with the Bay Mills situation in Michigan.

And I think that the NIGC should be a little more flexible and a little more understanding of, like in our situation, we are going to do a

trial run and we were trying to get this thing done before the skiing season is over. The way it looks like, we will have gaming after skiing is over, so that doesn't help us. I think that would have been how more -- we had a situation where we informed the NIGC, and I agree we were late and we should have done it, but if you are only putting up 12 machines, it's not a casino. It's just like another location. I think there should be some accommodations for that, and we didn't get to experience kind of some flexibility there.

The second issue I want to bring up is like vendor licensing. It would be helpful -- and now we have discussed this with the state and that didn't go anywhere -- but it would be nice to have a database of vendor licensing where, let's say if the NIGC approved of a vendor, then the local gaming commissions, the tribal gaming commissions, or the TGRA, wouldn't have to do the same kind of comprehensive background on the same vendor.

Let's say like in New Mexico, we have 14 gaming tribes. We all do a background on Aristocrat. Well, Aristocrat is an international company, and one of the challenges that a local gaming commission has is, you know, we are going to

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

duplicate. We are going to spend thousands of dollars, just like every other tribe is doing that background, and wouldn't it be more beneficial to the tribes if the NIGC had one where, let's say, they did a background on Aristocrat, and they passed and then, okay, you are licensed through the NIGC or something similar. And then we would have to do on our part maybe a cursory type of background. There is no need to spend thousands and thousands of dollars. If you take that times the number of gaming tribes, it's huge the amount of money on vendor licensing.

2.

2.2

2.5

And then it's hard to keep up. Aristocrat is a good example again, because they have so many changes in their executive group. You know, and so what do you do? You go to Australia and try to figure out who is who and what's what. It would be nice, the trip, but our tribe won't let us. It would be nice to have something like a database or some type of thing.

I know we kicked around the idea through our association some years back, that if they were licensed, like let's say in Nevada, New Jersey, and maybe one other jurisdiction, that that would suffice for the most part in us doing a background.

We never did fully accept that, but that would be -you know, because Nevada and New Jersey and those
jurisdictions are going to do a much better job than
a local tribal gaming commission can do. We don't
have the resources, both financial and personnel
wise.

2.

2.2

2.5

And then in the comments about allowing the tribes to establish regs and so forth, who is going to make the determination of does the tribal regulation exceed or meet what the NIGC considers minimum internal control standards or regulation that they would do. Would it be like Ken coming down and say, yes, we do agree, no, we don't agree. Who would do that? I mean, it sounds good on paper, but the reality is how do you put it into practice. Like if the Mescalero Apache Tribal Gaming Commission passes regulations -- and I go back to a pet peeve of my mine, plus or minus three percent, and you grant an exemption -- that was a Joe Smith thing.

You know, if we grant an exemption or we pass a regulation that says, no, you don't have to do that for the first, you know, 100,000 pulls or plays, something like that, because of the volatility, would that be sufficient or would the

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

NIGC say, no, you have to comply with a plus or minus three percent variance. You have to investigate that, that sort of thing.

2.2

2.5

And then I'm going to echo what some of the other people have said, because it's becoming a huge issue and it will grow more and more, is the definition -- I don't like to use net revenue, because I think net revenue sometimes means different things to different people. I think the definition of net revenue really needs to be addressed, because what's happening is that as states obviously look to tribes for additional funding and that sort of thing, they want to keep the definition or kind of there is no formal definition of net win anywhere. GAAP does not have it.

You know, it's kind of like something that was adopted from Nevada, and it was good 30 or 40 years ago, but now you have vendors that have gotten very creative in their placement of gaming machines on the floor. So then you go into the issue, like it's not really wide area progressives, but a big one is participation games. Participation games are where you split the gross revenue off that machine right off the top. And, you know, the AICPA has

come out with the opinion that those are leases, not things that you would find deductible.

2.2

2.5

But if you look at it, and if you look at the wording of the contract, really what you are doing is it's a lot like wide area progressives.

Wide area progressives under the AICPA guidelines have been established as deductible. And so I think participation games should fall along those same lines, because you are splitting the gross revenue right off the top, and it's a lot different than a lease or something that you normally have.

So I think that needs to be revisited, because obviously if you are the state and you are trying to maximize the amount of taxes that you are going to collect from the tribes, then you want to keep the old one and you want to disallow as many deductions as possible. If you are the tribes, you are trying to maximize your return, and you want to be able to take those in. But you are seeing more and more of that in terms of vendors putting participation games on the floor.

And then last, self regulation should be more attainable. I think the standard has been raised so high that it makes it almost impossible. You know, in the past, you know, we have approached

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

the NIGC just kind of for discussion purposes only, just what would it take, and you get like -- I know it's not your administration, but in the past, it was like, oh, no, you know, you would have to do this and that and that and that, and it was like almost impossible.

2.

2.2

2.5

So I think that the tribal gaming commissions, the tribal regulatory authorities, the tribes themselves, the gaming tribes, have matured enough now and have been in the business long enough so that they know how to regulate their, you know, their gaming operations properly. So I thank you for the time, and there is no letter. These are just notes.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Will you be writing a letter?

MR. APODACA: Probably because I think the attorney is here somewhere so --

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you. And we do welcome your comments in writing, and we also heard about incentivizing, promoting self regulation and creating regulation that, you know, encourages tribes to self regulate, rather than -- that's where the part of the PowerPoint says burden versus benefit, is the burden worth the benefit. And, you

Page 130

know, it should be beneficial for tribes, and I'm not sure that current regulations do that.

2.2

2.5

We only have two, right, two tribes, and one is by statute, so we really only have one. You know, we have heard a lot of talk about that, so thank you.

MR. FELIX CHAVEZ: Good afternoon,
Chairwoman Stevens, Vice Chair Cochran, and Mr.
Little. My name is Felix Chavez. I am the
chairperson at the Sandia Tribal Gaming Commission,
and I also serve as the current chairperson for the
New Mexico Indian Association of Gaming Commissions.

We will be submitting our written statements to the NIGC. I would like to first address your initiatives. The consultation and the transparency and the communication, I think that's really good. That's something that we haven't seen before. In the past, you have heard comments from the different gaming commissions and tribal leaders that it wasn't there. It wasn't present. And this is a fresh approach to this issue with the gaming tribes and the NIGC.

The second thing is technical and training. You are well aware that the economic conditions that we face today, many of the tribes'

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

Page 131

gaming commissions are under budgetary constraints.

It would be helpful to receive a lot of the

technical and training from NIGC itself. Mr. Ken

Billingsley has provided training for the different

gaming commissions, but I think it's needed more,

especially in the areas of newer technologies, those

that advance at a greater rate than what we have

seen before.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

We have the issue of internet gaming. That hasn't even been discussed at our gaming commission, but we are well aware of what's going on on the nationwide scenario. And I think we should voice our opinions with regard to that specific subject on internet gaming. I just don't like the idea that the states can come in and eliminate us from that process. That's an important process. Those are revenues that could be generated by the tribe and used for their support of tribal government and all their needs of their people. And, you know, those are just my comments on technical and training services.

Ken has done a great job, and I appreciate that, and I look forward to his continuing efforts to train us, and again, it's sorely needed.

The third thing is the regulatory review.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

I have been sitting in back and thinking about what I have heard from the different tribes, and I go back to when I was trained in the gaming industry. I grew up in one of the gaming operations, gaming at Sandia. I worked there as an assistant general manager for approximately six and a half years, and then I worked for various gaming commissions in the state here, but I also look back to what the tribe did for me. They sent me to Las Vegas to train to become knowledgeable about the casino industry.

2.2

2.4

2.5

Well, one of the professors there spoke about industry standards, and that's what we are talking about today. That's part of your initiatives that you have sent to us and that I would like to reciprocate. A lot of those industry standards are there already. You know, we rely on GLI for the slot machine standards that go into the Class III gaming devices. We look to AICPA for casino and audit information that comes our way, as well as their federal standards advisory board. So a lot of these technical standards come from different locations.

At Sandia, we have adopted a lot of those standards. Those industry standards are what keep the gaming operation safe. The gentleman from

Page 133

Arizona spoke about the risk based approach to the MICS. We implement that religiously at Sandia. That's how we keep control, and we enforce those minimum internal controls. It's a requirement apparently of NIGC that we have the external auditors come and audit the gaming operations financial statements, as well as conduct an audit review on the MICS.

2.2

2.4

2.5

So my issue with the MICS is that a lot of these things are grounded in industry standards. Industry standards are important to the gaming operators. There was a comment about the gaming operators. They know what those standards are. They have been entrusted with a fiduciary duty to make sure that profits are sent to the tribe and that it's conducted in a fair and equitable way, not only to the tribe, but to the gaming public.

I do agree with the notion that the MICS should be considered guidelines, because again, this lends the support to the tribes with regard to sovereignty. I'm not going to speak on behalf of our tribal council, but the tribal council has determined that they are in the driver's seat, they are the ones who instruct the gaming commissions to review those minimum internal controls to make sure

that they meet or exceed it.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

And in some regards, their complaints as well as the complaints that we receive from the gaming operations, that to some degree we are over regulated. Again, if you take a risk based approach to the MICS, it's pretty evident. I mean, we are overburdened with that. In some cases we have had to make adjustments to our own minimum internal controls, our regulations, so that the gaming operation could continue to make profits on behalf of the tribe.

So I think that, again, the tribes are in the driver's seat. The gaming commissions receive their direction from its counsel, and that's how we proceed with our business at Sandia.

With regard to the fees, part 14, 514, on the definition of GAAP, again, I referred earlier to the industry standards, those industry standards come through AICPA, FASB, those folks. Those folks are the ones that create those definitions. And I think it would be advantageous to the tribes if they had a say in what those definitions were. Again, these are Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. We don't make those up. We are not the creators.

determine that, but again, I think the tribes should have a voice in that.

2.2

2.4

2.5

We are acceptable to the late payment or some kind of a sliding scale that was mentioned earlier. The MICS, again, when I was reading the federal register, there was one thing that jumped straight out at me, and that was what would happen if the MICS were revised. We have already incorporated a lot of those regulations or those MICS into the tribal state gaming compact. They are incorporated by reference, and that's what we have to go by these days.

So the way I see it, if they were, if the MICS were created as guidelines, let the tribes and their gaming commissions establish those minimum internal controls, either to meet or exceed them so that they can continue on with their gaming operations.

Issues that we have with the state, again, are based on some of the concepts and those industry standards that are in the MICS. There are good things to the MICS that help the tribes support their revenue base, and there are other things that we have to continue and fight with the state on minimum internal controls.

One thing that I noticed in the past was in the State of California, their state gaming control board stepped in and replaced the NIGC MICS, and that created a controversy. And that's what I don't like to see in the State of New Mexico, because the State of New Mexico has not been very friendly to the gaming tribes. The gaming tribes all know that. The tribal leaders know that. But that's one of the ill effects, I would say, where if we can't rely on industry standards that come or have such a rich history from NIGC, I would hate to see the state step in and attempt to do the same thing like they did in California.

2.

2.2

2.5

It's important to me that I can turn back and say, wait a minute to the state. We have the foundation already. We had to go look for that foundation. That foundation was already established a long time ago. All we have got to do is build upon it and move forward. And again, the MICS is important, because it addresses the advances in technology. It's hard working out on the ground, because technology is moving so fast. It's just like your computer, it becomes obsolete the day that you buy it, and there's always new software that comes out, and trying to deal with that is pretty

Page 137

hard. But again, that comes through analyzing, training, and taking a look at what those industry standards are and what they mean and what they are all about.

2.2

2.5

I think that about covers it for my segment. Again, I would just like to thank you for having the opportunity to make my comments. Again, it's good to see the transparency and the communication. We have a good, a pretty good working relationship with Mr. Billingsley out of the Southwest region. We appreciate his efforts.

And again, communication is very important. It's important in the business operations. It's important to the commissions. It's important to the tribal leaders. The information that they receive, how they analyze it, how they can make things work better for themselves, I think is what IGRA is about, it's about economic development for the tribes.

And I think it's up to you to be there and support us, to take our comments and help the tribes make a better stance against a lot of the congressional issues that are taking place right now, and some of the legal issues that the tribes face in the supreme court.

Page 138

We recently just had a court case that went up to the docket, but it was declined. And again, it was on Class III gaming devices.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

It's so important that we follow those internal controls. That's what keeps us safe. And again, you know, that's the way I view it. That's the way I have learned it. That's the way I understand it, and I think it's not going to go away. We need to work and look at the future to see what is out there, to see how we can be better players. You know, hopefully those advancements will benefit the tribes in the end. Thank you.

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you. Will Sandia be submitting written comments do you think?

MR. APODACA: Yes.

CHAIR STEVENS: Wonderful. We want to hear all of them.

Any other comments? Yes, sir.

MR. TOM WILSON: Just take a few more minutes. Tom Wilson for the Pascua Yaqui Tribe. I would like to comment on four things, follow-up on a couple of issues of rebuttal.

With regard to the NIGC fee structure in the calendar year versus fiscal year, we certainly would prefer to go to fiscal year. That's where our

accounting records are based on a fiscal year, end of year. All of our effort, it would make it much easier from a calculation standpoint to just include that as part of our year end close process. Right now we have a separate process, because of the calendar year requirement, and it does create problems for us. Namely sometimes it gets lost in the weeds, that we have to pay this fee based on a calendar year.

We actually discovered that we overpaid for several years, because we were calculating incorrectly, misunderstanding about the time period, whether it was calendar year or fiscal year. So from our standpoint, the fiscal year would make the most sense and it just would align itself with our accounting period.

Our suggestion on implementing that would be that NIGC just go with an implementation date, and then the tribes be allowed to prorate up to their fiscal year period. So in some cases, the tribe may just have a couple months worth of payments to make to bring them to their current fiscal year, and then they would be due again the following fiscal year, but basically transition it that way as opposed to just an 18 month window of

2.

2.2

trying to determine when you are going to implement it. And it seems like that would be more definitive for everybody from that standpoint.

2.2

2.5

Regarding the notice of violation, we agree with what NIGC is suggesting here. Ourselves, as a regulator, we issue a notice of concern prior to a notice of violation. So what the notice of concern allows us to do is bring an issue to the attention of whomever management, in this case, or the tribe, and allow them an opportunity to correct it, knowing that if it doesn't get corrected, that it will lead to a notice of violation. This way there is that intermediary step.

A notice of concern is not a licensing action for us, so it doesn't have the punitive effect that a notice of violation would have, and we find that it works very well. We very seldom -- I don't know that we get to a point where we ever have to issue a notice of violation, because the notice of concern is dealt with appropriately. So I would agree with the other comments, that the notice of violation is kind of a death penalty thing, and maybe that's not the most appropriate way to handle every instance in that regard.

With regard to training, a comment on that

is perhaps using technology more, web-based training as a means to get training out there, I think that would be very appropriate. And the technology certainly is there and is very inexpensive now in order to make that available to tribes. So that the idea of traveling places, if we can do it via the internet, it would certainly be beneficial for us as well.

With regard to the fingerprinting issue, we, of course, rely on NIGC. We just started using NIGC this year for processing fingerprinting. Prior to that, we were going through the State Department of Public Safety. We use digital electronic fingerprints, so that works very well with NIGC, and we get our results back very timely. When we were relying on the state, it would take anywhere from three to six weeks to get any information back, of course, and that wasn't terribly effective for us in conducting background investigations.

The challenge we have, however, is that with your current Memorandum of Understanding, just dealing with key employees, we recognize that in our ordinance, we could designate key employees, and whoever we designate as key employees in the ordinance, then technically we are allowed to run

2.

2.2

those through NIGC. For purposes of efficiency, we would like to make everybody a key employee to be able to utilize that system.

The problem we have with that, though, that in our state compact, if we designate somebody as a key employee, then the state compact says they also have to be licensed by the state, which of course we don't want to do. So our best solution is that if we can utilize the NIGC system for all of our background requirements, that would be most efficient and effective for us.

Right now we have to utilize alternate sources to do backgrounds for non-key employees, so what happens is we delay a final licensing decision pending a criminal background check coming back. some cases we go ahead and issue a conditional license pending that. But nonetheless, it would be simple for us to be able to run everything through that system, and it seems like if we are talking about the integrity of gaming, that everyone would be in agreement that having the uniform system for conducting background checks would make sense.

And there aren't a lot of other alternatives out there. We have tried discussing with other agencies, both state agencies, local law

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

enforcement agencies, a means of trying to run the criminal history FBI fingerprints through them, but it's a very, very difficult process. There is a lot of infrastructure that has to be put in place between the tribe and the particular agency that you are running these fingerprints through, security issues, that the NIGC already having the process in place, it makes the most sense to us that if that could be expanded, it would be the least costly and the most beneficial from our standpoint.

2.2

2.4

2.5

We don't necessarily have any position on the tribal advisory committees other than what other tribes have expressed, which is that those committees be made up with fair representation so that everybody has a voice on those committees, either directly or indirectly through some kind of a representation process.

That's all I have got. Thank you.

CHAIR STEVENS: Thank you very much.

Yes, sir.

MR. RANDALL VICENTE: Thanks, Chairwoman Stevens. My name is Randall Vicente. I am the Pueblo of Acoma governor this year. As you all heard First Lieutenant Governor David Garcia's comments, greetings to the governors and the other

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

community commissioners. I want to thank you also for this time and opportunity that you have given us to speak with you in reference to issues and concerns that the pueblo gaming tribes may have, which certainly we do have.

Currently the Pueblo of Acoma has some paperwork in the outline with you, and as we are speaking, and I have heard other pueblos speak, I would like for you to hold off on making signing it for approval. At this point in time, I need to meet with my attorney back here, our attorney who represents us also, Joe Tennorio, and my tribal council as well as my commission, my gaming commission at the Sky City Casino. This is going to give me a much broader, better decision making to support what has already been submitted.

As I mentioned, what has been submitted, the comments that the pueblo Indian tribes have made here raise some issues and concerns for my lieutenant and I. So hold off on the letter that's on your desk or in your office, and as early as next week, Monday or Tuesday, we will look at whether we support the whole entire ordinance that's been proposed changes, as opposed to if we need to make some other inclusions or take out some of the

2.2

Page 145

- 1 | wording that may not apply. So that's some
- 2 information I need to pass on to you. Thank you.
- 3 | Thank you very much.
- 4 CHAIR STEVENS: Governor, I did check with
- 5 our general counsel's office while we were at lunch,
- 6 and that was actually one of the ordinances that he
- 7 has in the pipeline for me to sign.
- 8 MR. VICENTI: Right.
- 9 CHAIR STEVENS: And are you the new
- 10 | governor?
- MR. VICENTI: Yes, I am the new Pueblo of
- 12 Acoma governor, Randall Vicenti.
- 13 CHAIR STEVENS: So the ordinance that is
- 14 in front of me was passed by a previous.
- MR. VICENTI: Yes.
- 16 CHAIR STEVENS: So let's talk off line and
- 17 let me understand what you are asking so I am clear,
- 18 | because I don't want to stop something. I don't
- 19 want to misunderstand what you are saying about what
- 20 you would like to have done with that ordinance,
- 21 because it is ready to go. So if you want to
- 22 | withdraw it, that's fine. Just we can talk off
- 23 | line.
- MR. VICENTI: We will talk.
- 25 CHAIR STEVENS: Yes, I have it so --

Page 146

In the absence, do you have one more? You thought you were done but you have one more.

MR. WILSON: I'm sorry. I like to hear myself talk.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

One item that we need a point of clarification on is dealing with the net revenues, and the section that's talking about, should the commission consider language that tribes should first consider cash flow as, you know, in their decision-making process in terms of disseminating tribal funds, and I guess the question was there that clearly the IGRA already specifies what those funds can be used for. So in what context would a regulatory sense be that the tribes would be -- if they were considering cash flow, is this something that would just be in the regulation, as you should consider cash flow as part of your process of thinking before you distribute funds or -- we are just not fully understanding that question and the intent of what you are trying to get at.

I mean, just part of it just seems very logical that of course you would consider cash flow, so maybe you can give a little background on what this is referring to.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: When it was brought to our

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

attention -- I am not an accountant by any means -but the thought was that because net revenue, the definition that net revenue can be used to base a number things, like revenue sharing. Revenue sharing is based on a calculation of net revenue as it's defined, is there a way then for the tribe to sort of include in as net revenue, so excludable from say the percentage of net revenue that they would have to share, et cetera, could they include in their depreciation cap X monies, debt servicing. Is there a way that sort of we can be creative with the definition that allows that calculation to be the most beneficial for tribes, because we have seen in a number of instances where tribes, they have got a revenue sharing agreement of some kind, even when it comes to the management contracts, that ultimately it ends up with the management company gets their cut straight off the top, the state gets their cut straight off the top. It doesn't take into account the debt servicing, the cost of the operation.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

So is there a way that we can refine that so the tribes get the most -- they can meet all their obligations before they have to deal with the revenue sharing, that kind of thing. That was the

thought behind it. Did we phrase it correctly, it might be a little fuzzy, but that was the intent. If that's something that tribes want, then we would love to hear some options or some ways to do that.

CHAIR STEVENS: I know many of the leaders had to go today, and we appreciate the leaders that have been able to stay. But also understand, too, in talking to folks out in the hallway, that many are staff in the back of the room who are commissioners or regulators who may not necessarily be in a place or in a position to speak for their tribe, but are just here to listen today and bring back information to their tribe and to their commission so they can make recommendations.

I know many of you are here. It's a new format and are sitting and listening, which goes to the point of our being transparent so that you can go online, you can see what's been said and recorded over the past four consultations, and all eight consultations will be posted on the website for your viewing and maybe it will help inform your comments as you put together your comments for your tribe.

So I am inclined to make a last call. Any other burning desires to speak or last issues that maybe you haven't thought of?

2.2

2.5

Page 149

Well, let me turn it over to Lael, and then also to the commissioners if there is additional information that we want to provide.

2.

2.2

2.5

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Just reiterate, logistically, comments can be submitted however you need to submit them. Reg.review@nigc.gov, that's the e-mail address. You can hand them to me. You can mail them to us. Between Mark and I, we get them up as soon as we get them. The transcripts will be up as soon as we get them as well, in the next couple weeks, I imagine.

Then finally, I know that a number of tribes, someone mentioned here today, that you don't want to lose the one on one contact with the commission. There are a number of events where we are going to be setting aside time for the commission to meet with tribes individually out, you know, in various conferences, et cetera, so you still have that one on one interaction, that this does not preclude that. If you do have individual issues, you know, we try to accommodate them.

There is, on the contact us section of the website, there is a form that you can fill out.

Fill it out, send it to Rita, who is our administrative assistant, and as we begin planning,

whether you are in DC or we begin planning our kind of conference schedule, we will have time set aside for you to meet one on one with the commission to deal with your individual issues. That way you don't have to air all your business in this kind of format. So please utilize that, because you definitely do not lose that contact with the commission, and we look forward to speaking with you then.

CHAIR STEVENS: Steffani, do you have anything you want to wrap up with?

VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: I appreciate the opportunity that you have given us to listen today, and you had some very good insights, and you have some issues that we need to be mindful of as we go through this process that are unique to the pueblos and are unique to this area of the country. I appreciate, again, your time.

The other thing is, sometimes it is easier to get your comments to Ken or the survey that we sent out. If you will take two minutes to respond to the survey, it really will help us in looking at what you need for training and technical assistance, and it's very important to me. And again, if you want to hand it to Ken. You know, whatever. We are

2.2

2.5

Page 151

not concerned about the method inasmuch as we are concerned about getting your voice to us. So again, thank you.

2.

2.2

2.5

I have to get on a plane at 7:00 a.m. I don't think I'm going to be very happy about this, but thank you, and I really appreciate seeing so many familiar faces.

CHAIR STEVENS: It looks like we have one more comment.

MR. PETER GARCIA: Real quickly, this may be for Ken. I'm not sure. I'm not sure if you have training sessions that you have available. I can see the format, if you will. And I know Joe Smith only had a limited number of trainings, which is a good thing, but we need more material like for slot analysis. So if you can have that available so we can get them from the region office or help us in our travel expenses, so if you can provide that more to us.

VICE CHAIR COCHRAN: We do keep the current catalog online on our website. If it's helpful to you at all, it's got little descriptions in it. If you want to spend some time with Ken and go through and look at what we have currently, or talk to him about what else we might need to do, and

then Ken can certainly coordinate getting the right people to you. I am wondering whether or not we need to bring new commissions training out. So we can also work with other entities to try to get you what you need. So he is a wealth of information, and we need to make sure that you know what we offer.

MR. GARCIA: Okay.

CHAIR STEVENS: And your feedback on the survey is going to help us reshape our catalog and our curriculum, and that includes Joe's curriculum. And we understand that we need better coordination internally on providing training, which the survey will help us do. And that's part of our review of our program right now is better coordination, but also levels of training. Not one size fits all, and we know that you have turnover on your commissions, at your agency, and your council, and there is always somebody who is a beginner. There are long-term people who are very advanced.

So when you provide your survey, please be honest with us and let us know what you need, but it's all meant to inform our revamping our technical assistance and training to meet your needs. So it's on the website, so please do fill out the survey.

2.

2.2

2.5

Dan.

2.

2.2

2.5

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Yes. I just want to thank everyone for coming today and thanks for the hospitality. As I look out the window here, I hope you guys realize just what beauty you live around every day. It's just remarkable. When Lael opened the window, I was like, this is great.

So I just want to thank everybody again. Is Mark Van Norman here? Wow, we got through a whole meeting without Mark making a comment. But anyway, thank you again and thank you for the hospitality.

CHAIR STEVENS: Well, thank you all. I want to thank the Santa Ana Pueblo. They have been quite generous with their facility. It's beautiful. I want to remind everybody, this is just the beginning. We will not be perfect, but we will endeavor to keep the communication going, do follow-up, have responses to your comments. They may all be posted in the federal register, how we respond to everybody's comments, but we will continue this dialogue. This is just the beginning.

I recall, you know, working for a tribe and feeling like this was it. But this is just one of many more steps to come as we collaboratively

800-227-8440

[& - adopted] Page 1

		,	
&	2008 25:8 78:8	able 3:14 5:11 7:5	action 26:19 140:15
& 155:17	89:19	7:11 16:10 31:8	actionable 47:19
0	201 155:17	44:20 51:10 74:12	actions 104:24
	2010 4:14 84:10	90:15 95:13 99:7	activities 120:4
01 79:19 80:17	2011 1:11 78:9	104:6 106:3,18	activity 51:3,7,12
05 85:25	155:13	107:11 119:6	89:7,9
06 85:25	21 1:11	128:19 142:3,18	actual 25:22
07 86:1	22 90:1	148:7	ad 60:10 72:17,22
08 89:12	24 155:13	absence 90:18 116:8	add 118:1
1	25 92:21	146:1	adding 74:9
1 17:21 87:19	28th 4:14	absolutely 51:23	addition 27:21
100 52:4 53:13	3	accept 126:1	additional 51:14
100,000 86:14	3 18:14,14 30:13	acceptable 135:3	68:11 127:12 149:3
126:23	89:12	accepted 134:23	additionally 17:7,13
11 80:13,13	3,400 85:1 91:14	access 25:23 26:3	25:4
11:00 66:4,5,6	30 85:5 127:18	73:22	address 7:19 11:7,9
12 123:20 124:7	35 91:14	accessible 21:20	11:18 14:16 22:6
12,866 17:8	3:01 154:8	accommodate	34:18 35:24 37:6
12/31/11 155:16	3rd 20:20 89:18	149:21	42:23 43:9 45:6
120 109:13,20 110:1	4	accommodating	53:19 56:22 74:19
110:3,6,10,13,22	40 127:18	119:19	94:6,7 117:23
111:5 123:13	40 127:18 44 118:21	accommodations	122:10 130:15
12:15 107:3	44 118:21 45 6:18 85:4	124:9	149:7
12th 20:24 31:1		accompanied 31:19	addressed 19:18
94:23 98:10	5	accomplished 19:23	25:13,16 35:6,19
1300 1:14	514 134:16	32:11	62:18 65:7 127:11
14 124:21 134:16	57 1:21 155:5,16	account 86:18	addresses 15:7
1464j 155:25	7	147:20	136:20
15 6:18 66:3 107:4	7:00 151:4	accountable 21:17	adequate 27:10
17 104:22	8	accountancy 86:8	adieu 4:4
18 139:25		accountant 147:1 accounting 69:6	adjust 18:3
18th 20:23	8 86:9	83:7,9 134:23 139:1	adjustments 134:8 administration
1999 80:20	85 85:2	139:16	10:16 17:14 90:5
1:45 107:4,7	86 20:25	accustomed 6:16	129:3
1:52 107:9	87102 155:18	achieve 23:16	administration's
2	89th 44:4	acoma 67:11,20	10:18 65:16 87:9
2 30:13 70:17 87:23	9	71:5 72:10 143:23	administrations
20 54:12 84:15	90 43:24,25 62:8	144:6 145:12	99:2
86:21 88:19 116:16	90th 63:10	act 8:20 17:7,9	administrative
2001 80:20	9:15 1:12	28:25 45:8 63:12,15	17:10 149:25
2004 85:25	a	70:24 84:15,21	adopt 53:22
2007 44:14 79:19	a.m. 1:12 151:4	109:11 110:13	adopted 25:8 37:8
80:17,21 86:10 94:2	a.m. 1:12 131:4 abided 53:24	acted 87:5	49:23,25 52:11 53:5
	aviucu 33.24	acted 07.3	53:12 89:19 90:4
			33.12 03.13 30.4

127:18 132:23	ago 127:19 136:18	alternate 142:12	apart 60:11 73:15
advance 8:5 63:20	agree 11:19 51:5	alternative 30:17	apodaca 123:8,9
131:7	55:10,20 58:2,2,19	alternatives 18:23	129:17 138:15
advanced 152:20	58:21 59:12 124:6	116:9 142:24	apologize 44:7 97:1
advancements	126:13,13 133:18	amazing 17:23 57:3	97:8,12
138:11	140:5,21	ambiguous 51:13	apparently 84:2
advances 136:20	agreed 53:17	52:1	133:5
advantage 16:19	agreement 27:19	amenable 115:7	appeal 18:11 24:4
advantageous	72:1 74:14 80:25	amend 39:18	appear 94:2
134:21	114:9,12,19,21	amended 39:12,16	appendices 55:9,10
advisory 19:19 25:6	117:20 122:25	39:23 44:16 63:17	55:11
26:25 27:4,9 34:19	142:21 147:15	amendment 71:6	appending 53:13
36:11 46:3,9 57:16	agreements 27:19	amendments 44:14	applaud 87:8
57:18,24 60:9,10	104:18 105:4	ammunition 103:16	application 23:15
64:2,5 70:19 72:14	122:21	amount 13:23	85:25 86:3,9 87:2,8
72:17,22,23 73:8,10	aguino 34:14	117:14 125:11	87:24 89:4 90:2
78:5,6,7 99:25	ahead 3:2,14,16 4:3	128:14	93:10,15,22,24
112:17 113:2,14	4:5 94:12 99:2	ana 1:15 2:6 3:7,9	applications 87:4,6
132:20 143:12	102:6 142:16	4:16 14:7 16:5	87:12 88:1,7,9,13
advocate 53:1	ahold 21:22,22	74:25 75:1,5 76:21	88:16,18,25 89:13
113:12	aicpa 127:25 128:6	77:7 79:15 85:16	89:22 90:1
affairs 93:12 105:1	132:18 134:19	92:14,15 153:14	apply 50:3 78:25
affect 3:12 17:25	aiming 63:21	analysis 114:17	145:1
56:18 81:19 103:3	air 8:2 150:5	151:16	applying 88:2
afford 89:5	albert 123:8,8	analyze 109:2	appoint 66:13 102:5
afraid 13:10 86:21	albuquerque 118:19	137:16	appreciate 13:16
afternoon 83:21	155:18	analyzing 137:1	14:2 15:16 33:12
107:10,22 108:13	alcohol 37:20,23,24	ancestors 84:24	42:9,12,13,21,22
130:7	alert 103:18	announcement	46:2,15 47:4 56:3
agencies 17:10	align 139:15	20:22	56:24 61:7 65:9,25
18:16 19:2,5 30:14	allocation 45:11	annual 72:6 94:21	67:13 68:10 77:13
88:4 103:15 142:25	70:14	annually 17:10	100:20 107:18
142:25 143:1	allow 15:8 140:10	answer 34:3 64:19	108:24 131:22
agency 6:10 8:15	allowable 68:18	77:6,7 121:19	137:11 148:6
9:24 10:6,8 18:14	69:13,14	answered 61:24	150:12,18 151:6
21:11 27:18 29:5	allowed 7:18,18	answers 35:24	appreciated 61:12
42:19 43:10 49:11	50:15 76:24 139:19	anthony 85:11,13	appreciates 84:6
50:16 51:18 59:17	141:25	85:22,23 92:13	104:17
88:10 116:20 143:5	allowing 13:11	anticipated 68:15	appreciation 16:5
152:18 155:9	25:23 105:21	anybody 35:14 36:3	appreciative 7:12
agenda 5:22 11:4	123:18 126:7 154:5	38:2 46:11,16 49:5	approach 6:13 48:6
12:16 15:9 17:11	allows 6:22 7:15	anyway 4:11 37:2	50:21 57:15,16,21
22:9,12 31:17 32:2	8:17 140:8 147:12	72:12 76:15 153:11	58:10,10 61:9 64:25
60:3,4 64:10 96:21	alludes 70:20	apache 123:10	130:21 133:1 134:5
97:22 98:2,2		126:16	

approached 128:25	asks 9:15	authority 24:20	bad 3:22 36:5 49:3
appropriate 2:11	assist 38:6 120:22	25:2 26:8 103:2	balance 86:18
140:23 141:3	assistance 6:8 8:19	available 121:13	bank 86:18
appropriately	8:23 9:1 10:5 77:18	141:5 151:12,16	barking 94:3 121:17
140:20	118:16 119:6,17	availing 121:19	base 47:13 60:14
appropriations 3:24	120:23 150:23	avenue 50:12	82:9 135:23 147:3
approval 39:20	152:24	aware 72:24 73:3	based 38:23 48:6
50:16 62:7 63:6	assistant 132:5	93:10,16 130:24	58:9 60:14 61:8
95:2 110:20 122:9	149:25	131:11	64:1,20 70:11 133:1
123:12 144:10	associate 5:7	awful 58:3	134:5 135:20 139:1
approve 40:1 45:11	associates 155:17	b	139:8 141:1 147:5
63:22 110:20	association 35:4		baseline 60:18
111:24	125:22 130:12	back 2:24 4:1,5	basic 65:21
approved 39:13,22	assuming 65:20	10:17 12:10 13:2,8	basically 3:19 12:18
44:21 71:7 114:9,15	attainable 128:23	13:9 14:8 20:13,17	14:15 53:13 60:5
114:15 124:17	attempt 136:12	22:4 26:2 32:23,25	64:9,19 71:16,21,22
approves 62:19	attend 100:10 102:4	33:5 40:18 42:17,18	71:23,25 98:11
approximately	attending 4:25	44:13 57:18,25	113:19 139:24
132:6	15:13 96:17 113:7	59:14 62:9 66:3,6	basis 47:17 90:11
april 31:18 32:2,3	attention 37:4 42:21	67:1 68:7 76:10	91:2 94:21
96:22 97:23	72:13 78:12 96:11	77:7 78:9 84:10	battle 81:9
arbitration 80:10	120:9 123:6 140:9	90:7 94:24 95:6,25	bay 123:22
103:25	147:1	95:25 96:10,20	bean 155:17
area 63:20 75:14	attitude 116:20	98:21,22 100:13	beautiful 4:21 14:7
83:5,11 85:3 97:2	attorney 24:2 38:18	103:24 105:9 107:3	153:15
101:11 112:9	63:19 94:3 102:5	107:15,16 109:18	beauty 153:5
113:11 119:22,23	113:25 129:18	109:19 110:10	becoming 88:24
127:22 128:5,6	144:11,11	111:19 122:1	127:5
150:17	attorneys 13:4	125:22 126:17	bed 14:1
areas 6:1 22:1	37:18 38:3 105:5	132:1,3,8 136:14	began 18:20 54:9
101:12 131:6	108:5 116:7 118:8	141:15,17 142:15	begaye 108:8,12,13
argue 51:5,15 55:12	120:23 121:5	144:11 148:9,13	beginner 152:19
arguments 58:19	audience 9:12 43:1	154:7	beginning 6:13 32:3
aristocrat 124:23,23	46:1 93:5	background 3:24	59:9 97:23 118:12
125:5,13	audit 23:1,2 72:6	25:17 58:6 73:17,20	153:17,22
arizona 49:3 53:12	81:22 82:2,5 132:19	120:13 124:20,22	begins 32:4
56:8 120:3 133:1	133:6,7	125:3,5,8,25 141:19	behalf 26:9 47:4
aside 7:23 90:10	auditor 58:7 82:5	142:10,15,22	102:7,15 113:11
149:16 150:2	auditors 64:23	146:23	114:1 133:21
asked 19:13 42:4,4	82:12,21 133:6	backgrounded	134:10
64:18,20 74:20 75:3	audits 81:19 82:7	114:10	belief 48:5,17 52:10
79:2 99:10 102:20	australia 125:16	backgrounds	believe 29:7 47:10
asking 14:21 24:8	authorities 45:8	142:13	48:23 49:6,14 51:21
46:15 73:21,21	47:21 93:19 129:8	backs 63:25	52:7 57:23 58:24
100:6,11,12 145:17			119:20,24
			· · ·

[believed - certain] Page 4

believed 105:12	bite 105:9	bull 43:10,25	calling 84:11
ben 34:13	black 40:14	bulletin 69:24	calls 107:13 121:12
beneficial 55:15	blessed 13:6	bunch 119:12	candy 4:10
125:3 130:1 141:7	blindsided 45:3	burden 23:14	canoe 28:17
143:10 147:13	board 68:23 75:13	100:12 129:24,25	cap 147:10
beneficiaries 103:9	80:4 82:19 86:2	burdensome 54:16	capable 49:19 88:22
103:21 105:18	100:19 102:25	55:6 100:7 114:8	capacity 2:21
106:13	132:20 136:3	burning 148:24	car 38:8,8
beneficiary 27:23	body 57:4	bushy 2:3	care 91:8 107:5
27:23	bogged 29:23,24	busier 15:16	carleen 107:22,24
benefit 23:19,20	bogus 76:10	business 37:14 58:8	113:20,24 118:21
47:10 90:21 129:25	books 24:23	88:8,8 107:5 129:10	carry 64:22
129:25 138:12	bottom 95:16	134:15 137:13	case 51:21 65:20
benefits 23:15 90:14	bound 74:14	150:5	72:23 103:11 138:1
bernalillo 155:2	boundaries 72:21	businesses 88:4	140:9
best 13:22 19:25	78:2	busy 13:17 15:17	cases 48:10 117:4
20:8 28:23 49:14	break 66:3 107:12	30:1 33:18 97:9	134:7 139:20
68:7 78:1 97:11	breakfast 92:7	button 21:7,8	142:16
105:14 142:8	102:13	buy 28:25 29:2,3,5,5	cash 70:21 146:9,15
bet 104:13	brief 33:10	70:24 136:24	146:17,22
better 9:20,20 10:4	briefly 16:1 22:15	buying 29:7	casino 3:21 42:6
52:13 59:2 65:23,25	89:18 100:22	buys 29:5	50:9 51:8,9 53:3
66:22 71:13 72:18	bright 2:3	c	54:1,10,13,22,23
72:19 74:17 96:7	bring 27:10 57:25	c 18:14 30:13 95:15	57:23 59:2 85:10,20
119:13 121:6 126:3	76:13 85:20 87:10	95:16 96:6	90:14,16 96:2 109:9
137:17,22 138:10	92:17,18 96:10	calculate 80:6	109:14 119:25
144:15 152:12,15	124:12 139:22	calculated 83:9	123:19 124:8
beyond 52:19	140:8 148:12 152:3	calculating 139:11	132:10,19 144:14
bia 88:19	bringing 42:21 46:2	calculation 22:24	casinos 3:20 47:20
big 25:11,11 56:14	76:21	23:3 69:17 75:4	50:4 54:3 90:21,23
56:16 94:9 107:1	broad 6:19 50:3	79:16 80:2,6 82:7,9	90:24 95:14,17,17
115:5 116:19,22,23	broader 71:19	83:6 139:3 147:5,12	109:8 112:6
123:21 127:22	144:15	calculations 75:7	catalog 77:20
bigger 22:23 95:17	brought 18:12 27:21	79:23 80:3 82:22	151:21 152:10
115:13 122:14	34:22 49:10,11	101:15	caught 38:3
biggest 31:22 112:1	72:13 78:12 79:15	calendar 22:25 23:4	ccr 1:21 155:5,16
113:15	95:9,10 96:10	138:24 139:6,9,13	center 91:11
billingsley 35:25	116:21 123:5	california 56:7	central 85:4 90:21
67:7 119:18 131:4	146:25	103:13 136:2,13	ceremonies 97:10
137:10	budgetary 120:11	call 40:3 45:17	certain 3:13 37:6
bingo 76:25	131:1	88:25 89:2 121:10	39:11 41:21,22
bit 3:2,10 6:21 16:23	build 58:17 136:18	121:20 148:23	50:24 51:23 52:24
22:5 23:10 25:25	building 6:7 17:20	called 21:8 40:3	59:15,18 60:2 64:20
43:10 53:9 98:15	buildings 3:17	43:15	74:6 76:7,9 83:6
110:17 117:19		13.13	88:25 91:15 97:7

[certain - comment] Page 5

117 12 12	17 10 20 2 10 22 5	1. '6' 1 06 16	11 . 1 42 1
117:13,13	17:19 20:3,10 33:5	clarified 26:16	collaboratively
certainly 2:19 7:17	48:23 56:25 83:22	clarify 34:2 81:24	153:25
7:20 8:1,6 9:9,10	114:15 119:3 130:8	clarity 68:15 70:11	collect 128:15
11:19 45:16,18	143:21	70:25	collective 116:1
46:23 78:3 99:15	challenge 48:6	class 24:16,17,21,25	colorado 24:18
107:1 138:24 141:4	141:20	24:25 25:5 27:11	come 5:15 6:25 7:11
141:7 144:5 152:1	challenges 124:24	44:19,20 46:7,7	11:5 12:15 13:4,7
certificate 23:17,20	challenging 61:2	59:6 73:10 76:16,18	13:11 15:17 17:23
155:4	chance 3:16 35:12	76:20,21,24 77:3	25:24 26:20 30:9
certified 155:5	39:24 110:25	78:4,6,6,14,25 79:1	31:6 33:1 42:24
certify 155:7	change 11:10 12:2	79:17,21 80:16,18	43:4,14 49:5 56:21
cetera 18:21 147:9	15:7,8 22:21 35:9	80:24 81:2,5,12	59:19 62:20 66:3,17
149:18	36:21 39:14,18	95:22 101:8,8 120:4	67:4,7 74:20 75:21
chair 1:18,18 2:1	44:15 62:4 71:24	120:6,7 132:18	75:21 77:7,21 98:6
4:7 5:6 12:23 14:3	72:12 74:13 81:4	138:3	105:9 106:17 107:3
15:3 20:4 26:13	83:17	classes 75:22	116:11 119:11,12
33:7 42:10 43:9	changed 36:18,19	classified 95:14	121:2 122:21 128:1
44:22 46:20 55:17	36:21 39:16 86:17	clear 50:6 62:24	131:15 132:21
57:1,13 59:5,8	86:17,18 100:9	76:14 121:24	133:6 134:19
61:14 62:13,19	changes 11:13 19:11	145:17	136:10 153:25
65:11,18 66:8,18	30:19 36:16 53:14	clearer 55:14 68:25	154:5
67:9 73:6 77:15	68:4 70:20 71:9,10	88:24	comes 10:16 12:16
83:1,19,22 91:25	73:3,4 88:3,18	clearly 71:19 72:2,4	23:2 24:11 31:18
93:8,20 94:12,14	125:15 144:24	72:17,20 101:13	50:24 62:6 69:13
96:17 97:18 99:6	changing 19:9 22:24	122:10 146:12	72:6 73:19 79:22
100:18 101:24	23:7 88:2,21	click 21:7	81:16 119:24
105:23 107:10,23	characterized 89:23	client 121:6	132:19 136:25
113:23 115:15,19	chavez 130:7,9	close 86:13 115:18	137:1 147:16
115:24 116:3 119:3	check 142:15 145:4	139:4	comfortable 14:1
120:20 121:8	checklist 62:17	closely 36:12 39:9	112:4
129:19 130:8	88:19	45:15	coming 3:21 20:15
138:13,16 143:19	checks 3:24 142:22	closes 20:23 31:1	38:21 39:5 46:22
145:4,9,13,16,25	chestnut 38:19	closing 90:25	49:3 57:2,5 65:3
148:5 150:10,12	chinana 83:21,24	cochran 1:18 5:5	70:13 79:22 80:5
151:8,20 152:9	92:1 93:2	10:6 12:22,23 20:4	81:5,8 98:1 106:8
153:13	chino 107:22,24	56:25 57:1,13 83:22	106:15 120:16
chairman 45:9 47:4	119:18 121:7	107:23 119:4	126:12 142:15
67:22 74:25 79:15	chose 33:11	120:20 130:8	153:3
80:1	circumstances	150:12 151:20	comment 19:21
chairmen 2:17	91:15	coffee 66:5	20:23,25 23:14
chairperson 41:22	city 20:18 85:13,18	cohort 31:4	29:17 30:25 31:13
107:23 130:10,11	85:18 144:14	coincide 70:15	33:1 36:17 38:24
chairwoman 1:19	clarification 67:6	collaborate 59:2	40:12 46:15 54:2,21
3:4,5 4:5,13 5:5	146:6	collaborative 8:13	61:16 62:9,15 66:10
12:22 14:11 16:13		45:21	66:19 79:14 83:2

Page 6

87:16 90:5,6 94:10	21:15 24:1,5,11	59:6,12,13,15,19,21	compared 81:11
94:23 95:8 96:18	28:10 31:12 32:8	59:22 60:10,13 64:6	complaining 67:8
100:5 102:6 104:12	34:9,12,15,16 35:21	70:19 72:15,23,23	complaints 134:2,3
107:18,19 115:21	36:4,8,9 38:18 40:1	72:25 73:4,8,11	compliance 26:19
117:24 133:12	40:14 42:18 43:2	78:6,7 100:1 112:18	complied 86:4
138:21 140:25	44:9 57:15 61:18	112:19 113:2,14,18	114:18
151:9 153:10	62:5 64:3,3 65:6	committees 27:13	comply 69:11 78:8
commentary 63:25	67:17 71:12 73:10	34:20 35:2 42:16,24	78:11,20,21 109:3
commenting 12:9	75:1 77:11 86:11	43:3 46:3,9 57:17	127:1
49:12 100:12	88:15 106:10	57:18 60:6,24 64:2	complying 82:23
comments 12:11	108:24 112:21,24	72:16 78:5 143:12	comprehensive
15:24 16:2 17:2	118:3,10 121:11	143:14,15	25:15 124:20
21:1,10 22:11,18	123:10,15,17	communicate 28:2	computations 76:5
26:14 27:1 30:1	124:25 126:4,17	40:16 45:19	compute 75:7
31:10 34:1,4,22,24	130:10 131:11	communicating	computer 3:16
35:13,19,23 38:16	144:13,14 146:8	28:13	136:23
38:22 40:13 41:17	148:14 149:15,17	communication	concept 68:17
42:11 43:6 46:11,24	150:3,8	28:1,21,23 41:8	concepts 135:20
49:2 56:24 57:8	commissioner 1:19	43:4 130:16 137:9	concern 3:1 49:8
61:5,14 64:9 65:12	5:7 14:5 21:24	137:12 153:18	54:17 62:25 71:15
66:1 68:3,3,6,8,12	45:25 66:15 83:23	communities 92:13	94:6 110:17 112:2
68:19 69:4 70:24	118:1 153:2	community 3:13	112:18,21 113:10
71:10 73:11 77:16	commissioners	64:7 84:22 85:23	113:15 114:6 140:6
77:23 84:5,19 86:13	12:21 32:21 34:7	90:17 91:13 92:10	140:8,14,20
87:17 89:14 91:23	39:2 42:5 45:23	92:13,15,19,20	concerned 10:10
94:13,25 95:7 97:21	64:12,23 76:4 84:7	144:1	76:23 112:17 151:1
97:25 98:5,9 99:3	85:17 94:17 95:13	commutability	151:2
99:11 102:11,17	102:9 120:25 144:1	90:12	concerns 7:6,15,19
103:5,5 106:20	148:10 149:2	commute 90:15	13:13 16:20 29:22
107:15 108:10	commissions 35:5	compact 37:14	30:5 35:17 44:24
112:24 113:21	61:22 64:8 124:18	44:16 50:8 53:4,11	45:19 46:5 47:5
115:16,18 117:6	124:18 129:8	53:14,16,21 55:8	64:15 67:21,24
122:11 123:4 126:7	130:12,19 131:1,5	56:6,7 79:18,24	68:24 69:20,21,24
129:20 130:18	132:7 133:24	80:13,17 81:18	71:8 75:3 112:23
131:20 137:7,21	134:13 135:15	82:23 83:4,18	113:9 120:11 144:4
138:14,18 140:21	137:14 152:3,17	101:17 135:10	144:19
143:25 144:18	commitment 8:12	142:5,6	concluded 154:8
148:21,22 149:5	31:11,12 65:16 87:9	compacts 25:1	conclusion 49:6
150:20 153:19,21	96:14	37:12,13 44:15 45:8	conclusions 86:17
154:3	commitments 21:15	45:11,14,22 48:16	conclusive 77:2
commission 1:9,17	committed 10:15	49:23 68:21 76:23	conditional 142:16
4:14,18 5:6,20,23	20:15 32:8 97:24	80:20 101:10,15	conditions 130:25
5:23,24 6:3,14,15	committee 19:20	120:3	conduct 91:17 133:7
6:16,21 7:22 10:24	25:6 26:25 27:4,7,9	company 26:4	conducted 37:9
14:22 16:4 17:21	34:19,25 36:11 58:1	124:24 147:17	133:16

14140	00.16.110.01	120.7	7.10.10.5
conducting 141:19	93:16 112:21	138:5	country 7:10 12:6
142:22	122:16 130:15	controversial 22:20	17:22,24 19:3,25
conference 150:2	consultations 12:8	27:5	20:19 32:9 42:25
conferences 149:18	20:20 21:1 22:2,10	controversy 136:4	50:3 97:5 150:17
confidence 35:21	23:25 26:15 35:16	convenience 91:10	county 85:16 92:14
confined 78:2	78:13 114:7 148:19	conversation 8:11	92:15 155:2
confirmation 48:24	148:20	22:14 25:11 101:18	couple 7:2 22:23
conflict 62:10	contact 16:25 41:12	conversations 56:1	25:6 26:14 32:20,23
confusion 51:14	41:13,21,23,24,25	coordinate 152:1	36:24 42:12 55:8
congress 19:4 90:25	63:1 122:2 149:14	coordinated 74:17	59:10 61:16 66:16
congressional	149:22 150:7	coordination 152:12	67:18 71:8 79:14
137:23	contacted 37:16	152:15	84:4 108:2,15
conscious 104:25	contain 24:9	copy 86:11	121:15 138:22
consequences 74:3,5	contained 84:20	copyright 19:4	139:21 149:11
104:24	101:10,14	correct 62:11 76:17	course 13:19 16:13
consequently 49:16	contains 22:18	76:17 140:10	17:1,5 48:15 50:12
52:21 58:2 75:12	29:15	corrected 140:11	52:18 53:10,21,25
76:3	context 73:19 74:21	correctly 148:1	54:8,14 79:20
consider 27:22 39:7	99:7 116:12 146:13	correspondence	141:10,18 142:8
48:19 55:5 146:8,9	continue 17:17 27:6	43:16,16,18	146:22
146:17,22	39:10 96:16 117:1	cost 114:17 119:10	court 104:1,8
consideration 89:21	134:10 135:17,24	147:20	137:25 138:1 155:6
94:7 110:25	153:22	costing 89:3	covered 15:23
considered 31:14	continuing 131:23	costly 143:9	covers 137:5
87:6,25 133:19	contract 114:5	costs 68:18 89:1	create 47:13 50:12
considering 25:20	128:4	council 34:10 39:20	50:15,17,22 70:6
40:17 86:20 146:15	contracting 29:4	85:14 95:1 133:22	77:12 111:17 118:7
considers 126:10	contracts 22:20	133:22 144:13	134:20 139:6
constantly 49:13	23:22 70:18 104:19	152:18	created 53:2,9
50:7 55:11 103:6	114:16 123:3	councilman 68:1	113:16 135:14
constituents 8:15	147:16	83:25 92:9 93:2	136:4
19:6	contradictory 63:15	councilmen 2:17	creates 51:14 52:1
constitute 155:7	control 48:7 50:18	91:21	creating 47:14
constraints 20:2	50:20 51:4,20 52:15	councilors 85:18	129:22
98:16 131:1	53:18 56:9,12 75:13	councils 48:17 95:2	creative 106:15
consult 6:14 8:9	78:18 80:4 82:19	95:7 99:2	127:20 147:11
18:18 84:6 100:21	100:19 102:25	counsel 1:19 28:4	creators 134:24
101:22	114:25 126:11	44:2 74:16 79:13	crime 54:18
consultation 1:10	133:3 136:3	101:5 104:22	criminal 142:15
6:7,10 7:3 8:13	controlling 48:10,10	108:14 121:4,9,11	143:2
17:19,20 18:13	controls 48:8 52:18	121:21 134:14	crit 24:18 49:1
20:25 21:7 30:6,11	52:19,22,24 53:22	counsel's 44:1 62:16	critically 85:20
30:12 32:12 65:10	54:3 55:2 58:21	62:23 63:5,18 145:5	criticism 12:8
67:21 77:25 84:17	120:7 133:4,25	counselor 12:18	crosby 102:1,2
84:18 87:15 90:7	134:9 135:16,25	16:12	

[cross - different] Page 8

cross 109:5	135:12	defined 50:5 147:6	designate 141:23,24
crossing 112:10	dc 13:10 20:13	defines 71:19	142:5
cruces 85:18	27:17,17 40:3 64:12	defining 27:22	designates 33:23
cultural 84:24 97:6	86:6 94:4 102:18	70:22 72:4	designed 14:16
cumulatively 116:16	150:1	definitely 150:7	22:13 49:24 50:2
curious 111:25	deadline 78:8,21	definition 69:11,13	designees 66:13
current 25:4 50:14	96:20 100:13 108:4	72:18 77:18,21,22	desires 148:24
57:10,12 58:23 64:3	deadlines 44:6	79:20,25 81:11,17	desk 144:21
65:5 69:11 72:24	deaf 64:9	81:20,21,22,25	detailed 24:10 60:17
73:7 84:12 95:12	deal 25:3 30:8 35:5	101:9,16 102:22	60:25
130:2,11 139:22	36:8 68:23 80:14	103:1 114:5,14,21	determination
141:21 151:21	116:19,22,23	114:23 122:24	84:14 85:25 126:9
currently 24:23	136:25 147:24	127:7,10,14,15	determine 50:9
26:22 62:1,4 144:6	150:25 117:21	134:17 147:3,12	71:17 104:18 135:1
151:24	dealer 38:8	definitions 22:19,21	140:1
curriculum 152:11	dealing 29:23,24	23:9 44:19 50:6	determined 133:23
152:11	41:9 104:20,23	57:9 82:14 101:20	determining 18:17
cursory 125:8	105:5,6 141:22	104:4 134:20,22,25	48:7
cut 103:5 147:18,19	146:6	definitive 140:2	develop 41:20 52:8
d	deals 37:22 83:10	degree 134:4	65:7
	106:14	deis 86:22	developing 87:3
daily 60:1	dealt 48:15 140:20	delay 86:23 89:1,2	development 18:7
damaged 90:17	death 115:3 140:22	142:14	85:7,10,21 91:4
dan 5:7 10:7 14:4	debating 58:3	demoli 102:6,8	137:19
15:3 20:5 59:10	debt 147:10,20	104:13,15	device 28:21
61:12 66:24 153:1	decide 33:21 111:6	demonstrated 8:8	devices 132:18
daniel 1:19	decides 24:8	demonstration 4:24	138:3
data 86:16,22 database 124:16	decision 21:18 24:18	denied 89:13	dialogue 6:23 96:15
125:19	24:19 31:25 49:1	deny 90:1	101:19 153:22
date 20:19 62:15	98:10,17 142:14	department 20:14	diego 27:21
86:13 95:3,5 139:18	144:15 146:10	43:14 45:19 54:11	difference 7:10
dates 88:5,12	decisions 11:22,23	59:24 74:1 86:20	56:17 101:19
david 67:10,11	12:15 31:20 45:13	88:14 89:11,19,25	differences 56:19
143:24	84:13 88:9 98:3	90:7 93:11,23 108:8	60:12 81:17
day 20:25 31:6	103:4 105:17	141:12	different 14:12 19:5
35:18 40:3 44:4	declared 90:25	departments 23:1	19:23 23:7 31:24
62:8 63:10 89:8,9	declination 106:6	91:9	37:17 42:6 46:6,13
90:1 93:7 109:13,20	declined 138:2	depend 105:3	54:25 56:11 59:20
110:1,3,10,13,23	deductible 128:2,7	depending 60:24	64:5 69:15 75:11,18
118:21 123:13	deductions 128:17	depends 60:12	76:1,1,2,4 77:24
136:23 153:6	defer 30:14,22 33:22	depreciation 69:16	80:2,3,8 82:14,18
dayer 118:23	66:12	147:10	82:22 97:6 106:15
days 43:24,25 110:6	deficiencies 90:10	description 24:4	117:2 119:1 127:9,9
111:5 116:17	define 50:20 69:14	descriptions 151:22	128:10 130:19
	70:3,3		131:4 132:2,22

1.66 (1 22.00	110.00	1 1 4 1051	114 2 22 114 11
differently 77:23	distance 118:20	duplicate 125:1	eight 2:23 114:11
80:7	distinction 82:24	duty 133:14	148:19
difficult 98:13 143:3	distinctions 81:10	e	either 38:11,14 41:6
digest 98:25	distinguished 2:17	e 9:8 16:25 17:3	135:16 143:16
digital 141:13	distribute 146:18	31:1 41:10,16 43:19	elaborate 96:5
dilemma 24:22	district 155:10	107:13 109:18,19	eldercare 91:8
directed 17:14	districts 38:2	111:19 149:7	elders 33:24
direction 16:21 47:7	divided 45:8 93:19	earlier 134:17 135:5	elected 13:2 94:22
70:1 93:17 134:14	docket 138:2	early 106:8,17	98:24
directive 84:11	document 27:14	144:21	elections 97:11
directly 32:24	89:22 103:8	ears 64:9	electronic 141:13
143:16	documentation	ease 100:12	electronically
director 34:11 47:3	26:17 110:15	easier 11:12,14	109:18
49:6 61:17 66:16	documenting 89:24	58:20 139:3 150:19	element 49:25
119:8 123:9	documents 26:6	eating 107:12	elements 79:3
directors 42:5	105:8	echo 1:19 12:17	eliminate 51:12
disagree 31:23	dog 100:4	15:25 16:3,4,11	131:15
66:24	doi 86:15	40:10,12 41:1,15	eliminates 58:18
disagreed 31:24	doing 10:12,21,22	40:10,12 41:1,13	embarking 15:8
disagreement 58:18	18:13,24 19:12 20:1	69:3 81:13,16 82:4	emily 41:9
disagreements	28:12 32:10 35:15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	empathize 43:2
11:21	36:5,5 38:20 47:6	82:11,25 93:14	employee 142:2,6
disallow 128:16	49:20 59:3 75:17,24	97:19 104:11,14	employees 72:5 74:8
disapprove 111:1,24	76:2 81:11 92:12	116:11 127:4	118:22 141:22,23
disapproves 62:19	99:9 108:17 109:11	129:15 146:25	141:24 142:13
discovered 139:10	112:11 117:2	149:4	employment 85:21
discretion 26:13	119:25 123:16	economic 18:6 85:7	empty 66:16
120:15,18	125:2,25 128:5	85:21 91:4 105:7	encourage 114:20
discuss 3:23 46:18	dollar 117:13	130:24 137:18	119:14
discussed 3:25	dollars 86:7 89:4	effect 48:2 56:19	encouraged 52:8
49:18 51:2 93:12	125:2,10	87:7 140:16	84:10
112:16 124:14	doña 85:16 92:14,15	effective 88:5,12	encourages 129:22
131:10	draft 25:4,5 44:25	114:12 119:10	endeavor 4:18
discusses 26:22	59:6 86:14 134:25	141:18 142:11	153:18
discussing 48:1 49:1	drafting 11:3 19:8	effectively 28:20	ended 110:9
50:7 142:24	27:1	49:12	ends 69:17 147:17
discussion 8:10	drafts 18:20	effects 74:11 136:9	enforce 24:20 133:3
12:20 14:22 46:5	drive 118:4	efficiency 142:1	enforcement 25:2
58:18 70:13 95:9	driven 59:15	efficient 142:11	26:11,16,19 91:8
129:1	driven 33.13 driver's 133:23	effort 8:14 11:9 12:6	116:4 143:1
discussions 6:4	134:13	74:17 87:1,2 139:2	engaged 89:9
dispute 57:20	dropping 44:25	efforts 131:23	ensure 26:18 113:16
disseminating	due 71:7 90:17	137:11	114:18
146:10	139:23	egregious 117:5	ensures 113:3
170.10	137.43		choures 113.3

[entered - fell] Page 10

entered 114:9	exactly 75:23	explains 100:11	fan 107:1
entering 114:19	109:25 111:10	explanation 31:19	fantastic 118:17
enterprise 86:1	examining 8:22	33:11 69:1 98:3	far 3:8,8,10 7:12
entire 111:5 144:23	example 22:24 26:4	117:10	15:15 64:8 75:3,4
entirely 5:23	50:25 51:25 95:20	explanations 39:17	76:23 77:1,4,4
entities 152:4	99:14 110:1,8	express 16:4 28:16	92:15 112:15
entrusted 133:14	125:14	47:5 92:9 113:9	113:14,19 117:5,23
environmental	exceed 126:10 134:1	expressed 143:13	fasb 134:19
86:14,16 155:9	135:16	expressing 4:22	fashion 10:23 11:8
equal 53:22	exceptions 123:18	extend 4:15	fast 21:5 136:22
equipment 95:20,21	exchange 6:23 8:10	extensive 54:15	fault 37:17
96:1,4	excited 16:6,9	extent 114:13,22	favorable 86:8
equitable 133:16	exciting 13:18	118:24	fax 31:2
era 116:5	excludable 147:7	external 133:5	faxed 9:8
erroneous 90:19	excuse 4:9	extra 118:8	faxes 43:19
especially 47:10	executive 17:7 18:8	eyed 2:3	fbi 74:1,15 143:2
75:14 76:3 131:6	30:12 34:11 47:3	f	fcc 19:4
establish 18:17	61:17 66:15 96:21		fe 92:6
30:15 46:10 126:8	123:9 125:15	fabric 90:16	february 20:20,24
135:15	exemption 126:19	face 81:9 130:25 137:25	31:1 94:23 98:10
established 43:21	126:21	faces 13:5 151:7	federal 18:17,19
59:16 128:7 136:17	exist 116:24	facilitate 72:22	19:2 20:22 21:11
establishing 112:19	existing 58:15	facilities 4:20 17:24	22:17 29:4 49:20
establishment 37:22	expand 70:5 73:20	27:11 29:2,8 54:5	82:23 132:20 135:6
et 18:21 147:9	73:21 114:20	facility 4:21 14:7	153:20
149:18	122:24	16:9 25:25 26:6	federally 84:25
eugene 74:23	expanded 114:14	29:6 30:4 54:23,24	fee 22:22 23:9 68:13
evaluates 82:19	143:9	57:3 109:12 110:6	68:16 89:21 90:1
evaluations 88:10	expanding 122:20	111:15,16,18	116:6 117:13
events 15:14 89:16	expansion 71:2	121:25 122:3,7	138:23 139:8
98:7 149:15	114:4,22	153:15	feedback 19:10 99:1
eventually 62:20	expect 7:20 8:2 60:7	facing 78:11 83:14	116:20 152:9
everybody 2:8 3:7	expectation 63:4,5	fact 23:3 42:14	feel 12:23 27:13
14:24 41:9 43:13	expediency 52:11	48:21 49:18 50:2	37:12 49:16,24 53:8
59:3 75:10,11,18,23	expense 119:12	51:6 52:7 53:4,12	67:5 112:4 121:20
76:1 107:11 140:3	expenses 151:18	55:5 89:24 90:20	122:1
142:2 143:15 153:8	expensive 118:20	118:17	feeling 49:22 153:24
153:16	experience 86:23	fair 37:14 38:11,13	fees 3:24 22:24 69:2
everybody's 11:14	124:10	88:3 133:16 143:14	69:10 82:9,16 86:8
56:22 153:21	experienced 108:19	fairness 87:18,24	116:5,6,17,24 118:4
everyone's 27:12	123:14	fall 128:8	118:5 134:16
evidence 90:20	experts 88:22	familiar 13:5 27:3	felipe 61:18 94:19
evident 134:6	expires 155:16	67:16 93:15 151:7	felix 130:7,9
evolved 52:10	explain 31:24	07.10 /3.13 131.7	fell 30:3 64:9
	120:24 121:6		

[fellow - gaap] Page 11

fellow 94:17	fingerprints 73:18	following 24:18	four 5:21 6:1,5,10
felt 49:3,4 64:8	120:15,18 141:14	109:10 112:2,4	6:11 39:24 43:24
fiduciary 133:14	143:2,6	139:24	138:21 148:19
field 103:4 104:20	fingers 109:5 112:11	follows 6:6	foxwoods 95:18
105:19	fire 91:9 109:8	fond 50:25	framework 49:24
fifth 7:3	first 6:6 8:8 11:5	food 37:25 102:13	60:14 72:19
fight 81:6 100:4	33:24 40:12 44:11	106:25 107:1	frank 102:6,8
102:24 103:24	45:6 47:15 55:19	forefront 61:21	frankly 40:23 43:21
135:24	58:17 59:25,25	foregoing 155:7	50:4 97:19
fighting 55:11	61:19 65:8 67:10,12	forever 39:21	free 37:19,19,23,24
105:20	77:15,20,22,25	forgot 38:17	67:5 121:10,20
figure 27:9 28:14	94:20,22 97:16	form 25:5 26:24,24	122:1
77:22 125:17	100:10 102:19	27:25 29:9 56:13	fresh 130:21
file 62:20	107:19 109:9 114:4	149:23	freshen 66:5
filed 85:24 87:8 88:1	126:23 130:14	formal 115:10	friday 74:16
88:17	143:24 146:9	127:14	friendly 136:7
fill 9:13 149:23,24	fiscal 22:25 23:6	formalize 29:9	front 32:24 44:3
152:25	138:24,25 139:1,13	formalized 26:25	45:17 53:20 145:14
filled 2:21	139:14,20,23,24	formalizing 25:20	fruit 29:21
final 10:14 142:14	fits 152:16	format 6:15,22 7:4	fruits 11:13
finalize 80:5	five 5:22 55:9	7:13,14,21 32:12	frustrations 43:3
finalized 10:18 32:2	fix 31:7 66:24	67:4 148:16 150:6	full 5:24 85:14
78:7,24 97:22	flexibility 17:9	151:13	fully 5:24 46:8
finally 19:19 20:18	124:11	formed 70:20	108:19 126:1
118:11 149:12	flexible 98:21	former 13:1 93:14	146:19
finance 22:25	123:18,24	forms 106:15	function 117:1
financial 26:5 126:5	floor 34:4,4 46:20	formulate 18:15	functioned 59:22
133:7	107:20,21 127:21	formulating 47:17	fundamental 87:18
financing 106:6,14	128:21	forth 126:8	87:23
111:4	flow 43:4 70:21	forum 8:16 16:2	funded 118:4
find 21:21 40:25	146:9,15,17,22	67:4	funding 88:22
41:2 45:4 50:6	flowing 109:8	forward 11:20	127:13
57:19 79:5 122:2	fluency 85:2	13:17 14:18 15:1,21	funds 146:11,13,18
128:2 140:17	flying 71:21	30:9 49:11 53:20	funny 55:22
findings 23:2 81:23	focus 17:16 84:19	58:20 65:5,6 66:17	further 4:4,4 98:22
82:2	114:23	95:1 99:3 106:9	110:14
fine 53:3 117:12	focused 30:8 87:17	131:23 136:19	furthermore 90:9
145:22	100:8	150:8	future 4:18 80:9
fines 117:20,20	focusing 30:1	fought 80:23	138:9
fingerprint 72:8	folks 46:14,22 51:8	found 3:4 18:10	fuzzy 148:2
120:13	134:19,19 148:8	41:7 65:23	g
fingerprinting	follow 21:16 55:18	foundation 136:16	gaap 69:12 127:15
25:24 71:15,18	56:25 61:25 75:2	136:17,17	134:17
141:9,11	81:13 87:9 138:4,21	foundational 49:25	
	153:19		

[gain - governor] Page 12

			_
gain 111:7	gaps 6:24	85:6 91:16 98:15	106:6 109:22 111:6
gallery 59:9	garcia 34:6,10 40:11	144:2 150:13	111:22,23,24
games 127:23,23	40:24 41:5,20 43:8	gives 96:5 107:4	113:12 115:3 116:6
128:8,21	44:14 67:10,11 68:1	113:5	118:5,7 120:4
gaming 1:9 3:1,9	70:11 83:2 94:16,18	giving 13:12 37:24	121:14 122:14
4:13,24 13:20 27:11	97:15 98:19 151:10	52:12	123:25 124:25
28:10 29:2 32:21	152:8	glad 93:9 103:11	125:1 126:3,9 127:4
34:8,11,14,16 35:5	garcia's 143:24	105:24 106:3,18	128:15 131:11
36:3,9 37:9 38:18	gaston 21:3	123:13	133:21 138:8 140:1
42:5 47:3,20 48:13	gene 74:24	gli 132:17	141:12 144:14
48:14,16,18 49:4,7	general 3:8 44:1,2	go 3:14,16 4:3,4	149:16 151:5
49:9,11,17 50:8,16	50:1 62:16,22 63:4	5:14 6:5,19 9:21	152:10 153:18
51:9 52:10 54:4,5,7	63:18 74:16 83:7,9	11:2,14,20 13:9	good 2:1,1,2,7,7
54:8,11,14,19,23,25	101:5 104:22 121:4	15:10 16:7,8,21	3:20 4:23 8:14 9:2
55:3 61:18 62:2	121:9,11,21 132:5	20:1,13,17 21:6	10:2 12:23,25 13:2
64:7 66:15 67:15,17	145:5	28:15 37:21 38:7	13:3 14:5 16:3
67:24 68:23 69:22	generally 37:3 62:14	52:19 54:10,10,12	27:14 28:15 30:10
69:23,24 71:6 74:25	63:11 105:12 114:7	54:14 67:8 75:9	34:6 36:6 41:7 42:8
75:6 76:4,16,18,20	134:23	76:11,11 94:12 97:5	74:23 79:4 83:21
76:21,25 77:3,11	generate 91:12	99:2 102:6 103:22	92:4 93:7 94:16
79:17,24 80:4,16,18	generated 3:11 94:1	105:2 119:7,8	96:15 98:9,17 99:14
82:19 84:14,15,18	131:17	124:15 125:16	99:17 100:17 101:1
84:21 85:8 86:1	generates 92:18,23	126:17 127:21	102:1,1,14 107:6,10
88:8,15,16 89:21	generosity 4:16	132:2,17 135:12	107:22 108:12
91:1,2,17,19 92:22	generous 153:15	136:16 138:8,25	115:9 120:1 125:14
95:22 96:6 98:24	gentleman 75:2	139:18 142:16	126:14 127:18
100:19 101:8,10,15	132:25	145:21 148:6,18	130:7,17 135:21
101:16 102:23,25	gentlemen 91:22	150:15 151:24	137:8,9,9 150:14
103:9 105:19 108:1	gentlemen's 80:25	goes 3:9 17:16 40:20	151:15
108:7,16 109:10	getting 2:24 38:6	41:6 62:16 68:20	google 103:18
110:12 113:3 114:1	60:16 100:3 110:9	117:21 148:16	gotten 24:14 31:5
114:25 120:4	120:8,22 121:18	going 4:1,18 6:19	68:2 127:19
123:10,15,17 124:3	151:2 152:1	9:19 10:9 11:1,11	govern 16:16
124:17,18,22,25	give 9:18 13:23	11:15,17,19,20	governing 89:20
125:11 126:4,16	24:19 26:7 31:14	12:13 13:9 14:14	government 8:15
127:20 129:7,9,12	37:19,22 40:2 50:24	15:5,14 16:7 17:11	10:2 18:6 70:7 88:4
130:10,12,19,21	63:17 75:21 76:7,9	22:9 24:12,12 30:23	112:20,20 122:5
131:1,5,9,10,14	77:6 94:24 95:6	31:7,14 32:5,7	131:19
132:3,4,4,7,18,25	97:20 98:22,25 99:4	35:22 40:18 45:6	governmental 91:7
133:6,11,12,17,24	99:11 102:6 103:17	46:1 50:22 51:15	governments 90:22
134:4,9,13 135:10	104:3 110:8,22	56:14 65:23 73:14	91:5,6
135:15,17 136:2,7,7	117:9 144:15	77:12 78:1 80:9,14	governor 2:5,6,22
138:3 142:20 144:4	146:23	81:5,9 96:15 97:2,7	2:22 4:8,22 11:24
144:13	given 20:2 25:1 27:7	97:7 99:17 100:13	13:24 14:6 18:1
	54:17 65:9 69:21	103:22 104:2 105:9	38:22 67:11 81:1,8

84:1 92:2,5,6 93:8	guise 89:22	93:14 97:19 104:11	120:23 124:4
97:10 102:3,12,16	guys 21:3 28:18	104:14 116:11	135:22 137:21
106:1 143:23,24	65:1,4,7 67:7 110:3	129:15 146:25	148:21 150:22
145:4,10,12	110:5,23 111:1,20	149:4	151:17 152:10,14
governors 2:16	111:23 116:18	headed 10:6,7	helped 21:3
12:25 13:1,1 81:2	153:5	health 91:8	helpful 5:13 38:20
91:20,21 94:17,21		hear 2:8 7:5,6,11,15	40:15 42:17,18 99:9
98:23 102:9 143:25	h	7:15,16 13:12,15	112:12 121:2
grab 8:6 32:15	hair 82:13	15:19,23 16:20	124:13 131:2
grandfathering	half 6:13 20:3,5 23:5	23:23 27:6 29:12	151:22
88:6,12	28:4 43:12 65:21	30:20 44:13,24	helping 40:4 92:15
grant 126:19,21	86:6 107:5 132:6	66:22,23 67:1 69:18	119:16 121:22
great 14:8 16:9	hallway 148:8	105:24 115:25	helps 41:13
20:18 55:25 63:23	hand 21:8 31:2	138:17 146:3 148:4	hereunto 155:12
77:19 94:14 105:13	149:7 150:25	heard 5:21 12:8	hev 19:6 106:8
115:24 131:22	155:13	18:9 19:21,22 22:2	hi 47:1
153:7	handle 99:24 140:23	22:2,3,7 23:14	high 49:17 95:17
greater 53:22 131:7	handled 80:9	24:15 25:25 26:11	99:19,23 128:24
greetings 143:25	handles 121:1	26:14 29:25 30:4	highest 31:21
grew 132:4	handling 27:5	40:20,21 41:18	history 42:19 51:17
gross 102:23 127:24	handout 22:17	56:13 69:5,8,21	69:22 136:11 143:2
128:9	hanging 11:12 29:21	73:11 87:15 112:16	hit 21:9 65:4 103:22
ground 59:24	happen 8:17 74:7	112:23,24 114:6	114:3
136:21	96:16 111:12 135:7	116:1,7 117:6,9,15	hitting 103:6
grounded 133:10	happened 43:3,22	117:24 121:25	ho 20:12
group 20:16 60:9,10	43:23 64:7,13 81:4	122:4,15 129:20	hoc 60:10 72:17,22
61:10 73:12 125:15	101:19	130:5,18 132:2	hold 4:19 76:9 144:9
groups 64:4	happening 13:18	143:24 144:8	144:20
grow 127:6	37:2 103:13 127:11	hearing 7:1,2,4	hole 40:15
guarantees 113:5	happens 24:4	12:10 14:18 15:1	hollywood 20:19
guess 34:18 41:21	109:16,25 120:24	19:7 20:14 25:9	home 13:6,8 18:12
46:1 54:2 68:14	142:14	27:16 46:18 48:24	92:16 154:7
71:16,23 72:7,15	happy 15:23 34:3	48:25 56:10,15 60:8	homework 61:20
82:4 112:9 117:12	79:9 93:22 151:5	60:12 78:9 108:24	65:2
146:11	hard 13:9 36:8	122:12	honest 152:22
guests 2:18	64:18 91:12 96:2 100:24 105:20	hearings 21:13	honor 8:11
guidance 19:24		held 49:17 155:9	honorable 102:9,10
29:19 84:12 88:20	125:13 136:21	helen 100:17,18	hope 2:2 30:16
89:12,17,22,24	137:1 hate 106:24 136:11	hello 34:6 43:5	48:19 65:11 105:16
90:10,12	hawk 1:19 12:17	help 5:18 9:3,10,20	106:21 107:6,10
guide 121:6	15:25 16:3,11 40:10	13:21 20:8 37:2	109:4 153:4
guidelines 128:6	40:12 41:1,15 42:8	38:13 41:4 42:1,7	hoped 33:15
133:19 135:14	61:4,7 66:14 69:3	65:24 73:1 92:19	hopefully 100:7,11
guiding 88:13	81:13,16 82:4,11,25	96:7 104:4 105:13	138:11
	01.13,10 02.4,11,23	105:21 106:4,9,17	

[hoping - intact] Page 14

hoping 112:10	80:24 81:2,5,12	included 24:25 56:5	136:10 137:2 154:1
hospitality 13:25	101:8,8 120:4,6	includes 152:11	inexpensive 141:4
153:4,12	iii 24:17,21,25,25	including 18:14	inflexibility 123:14
hosting 4:17	46:7 59:6 73:10	85:8 90:2 106:5	inform 105:17
hot 61:20 65:4	78:6 95:22 120:7	115:21 116:14	148:21 152:23
hour 107:5	132:18 138:3	120:9	informal 115:8
house 28:3 79:13	illegal 89:8,10	inclusions 144:25	information 9:10
108:13	imagine 149:11	income 90:21,24	12:7 21:10,19 28:3
housed 26:4	immensely 104:4	91:5	28:7,9,11,18 36:16
howie 34:14	impact 53:16 56:11	incorporated 135:9	42:4 45:12 63:1,18
huge 125:11 127:6	86:14	135:11	63:20 98:12,17,25
human 76:8	impacts 71:10	incorporates 69:15	111:20 122:8
hundred 55:8 118:4	implement 17:6	incorrectly 139:12	132:19 137:16
hundreds 84:25	18:15 61:3 133:2	incredibly 115:7	141:17 145:2
hung 30:13	140:1	indian 1:9 4:13,19	148:13 149:3 152:5
hyatt 1:13 2:18	implementation	4:19 17:6,22,24,24	informed 124:5
hyped 18:10	30:3 139:18	19:3,25 24:19 28:25	infrastructure 3:15
i	implementing 19:16	29:2,7,8 30:15 32:9	92:16 143:4
icks 55:22,24,24	84:16 139:17	37:9 42:24 48:13	initial 9:22 47:8
idea 16:22 23:12	implications 18:16	49:4,17 52:10 54:4	initially 47:23 65:22
61:9 70:8 77:19	implies 52:15	54:5,14,19,23,25	initiated 45:1 71:23
111:9,15 115:1,2,4	important 5:16	67:17 70:24 84:15	initiating 10:25
115:23 117:7	29:21 46:4 47:19	84:18,21 85:1 88:14	initiative 6:6 10:2
118:17 125:21	54:21 55:4 79:18	91:1,3 93:12 109:10	10:13,14 29:2
131:15 141:6	91:7 101:20 111:8	110:12,15 130:12	initiatives 5:20 6:5
ideas 6:23 7:11	131:16 133:11	144:18	9:25 118:13 130:15
14:17,25 42:15,23	136:14,20 137:13	indicate 23:1 71:19	132:14
56:11 115:11	137:13,14,15 138:4	indicated 17:19 18:1	input 13:20 16:19
117:16	150:24	indicates 107:17	45:2 70:10 154:1
identified 6:1 8:25	importantly 42:22	indirectly 143:16	inquiries 93:23
identifies 6:24	87:3	individual 6:17,20	inquiry 12:19 15:6
identify 48:11 58:13	imposed 89:24	7:18,19 64:10 68:8	15:10,21 16:1,22
58:16 73:1	90:12	149:20 150:4	18:25 19:1,12 20:21
ignores 90:20	impossible 128:24	individually 149:17	22:1,16 29:14 33:11
igra 17:5 24:19	129:6	individuals 3:13	33:12 100:6
27:20 37:8 71:18	improve 9:23 20:9	64:23 72:19 74:3	inside 6:3
79:21 81:12 91:14	improved 4:3 87:11	industry 9:3 10:20	insights 150:14
101:12 137:18	improvement 58:8	16:16 18:1,4 20:9	inspection 26:3
146:12	inasmuch 151:1	23:8 46:7,14 48:22	119:25
ii 24:17 25:5 44:19	incentive 23:21	51:9 54:6,7,16,18	instance 110:4
44:20 46:7 76:16,18	incentivizing 129:21	54:20 55:1 58:7,11	140:24
76:20,21,24 77:3	inclined 148:23	67:15 69:12 132:3	instances 147:14
78:4,7,15,25 79:1	include 26:23	132:10,12,15,24	instruct 133:24
79:17,21 80:16,18	115:22 139:3 147:7	133:10,11 134:18	intact 95:24
, 21 00.10,10	147:9	134:18 135:20	

[integrity - know] Page 15

integrity 55:3	interpretations 76:2	114:3 115:13 120:5	128:16 132:24
142:20	76:5 80:8	120:11 122:14	133:3 151:20
intended 18:5	introduce 5:3	135:19 137:23,24	153.3 151.20
		/	
intending 110:6 intensive 118:3	introduction 65:8	138:22 143:7 144:3	keeping 120:17
	investigate 127:3	144:19 148:24	keeps 20:11 138:5
intent 68:5 69:1	investigation 73:18	149:21 150:4,15	ken 9:10 35:25 36:3
70:1,2 146:20 148:2	investigations 25:18	issuing 26:19 110:6	39:24 40:4 41:9
intention 33:16	74:6 120:13 141:19	item 146:5	115:6 119:7,15,24
interaction 149:19	investors 104:21	items 3:21 51:2	126:12 131:3,22
interest 27:16 84:20	invitation 67:13	101:5	150:20,25 151:11
86:4,25 87:18,20	invoked 89:25	j	151:23 152:1
93:25 94:1,8 123:2	involved 64:17 89:3	january 1:11 89:12	key 72:4 74:7,8
interested 32:22	98:24 121:1	89:18 155:13	141:22,23,24 142:2
46:18	issue 7:7,9,24,25 8:3	jemez 83:24 84:6,22	142:6,13
interesting 81:6	19:7 22:20 24:6,11	85:15,22,24 86:1	kicked 117:19
interests 27:20	24:14 25:2 27:18	90:3 91:11 92:2,11	125:21
46:13 68:7 105:14	31:22 37:7,25 46:2	92:14,17,18,20	kids 3:17,18 55:23
113:13	49:13 50:23 53:10	jersey 125:23 126:2	kind 9:15 14:12
intergenerational	53:19 69:8 70:17,21	job 1:25 126:3	16:6 18:10,10 22:5
3:17	71:1,3 75:5,6,14,15	131:22	30:2 55:22 59:11
interim 115:2,23	76:15,16 80:1,11,14	jobs 17:16 91:13	61:21,23 63:3,24
116:2	80:15,16 81:7,7	joe 38:19 64:12,17	69:16 70:16 71:12
interior 20:15 43:14	82:20,21 99:22	126:19 144:12	75:8 91:12 96:23
45:10,10 59:24	101:10 104:2,15	151:13	112:12 115:1,14
74:18 86:20 88:14	109:1 110:21 111:3	joe's 152:11	117:11 124:10,19
89:12,19 90:7 93:11	111:14,21 113:20	join 5:2 93:9	127:14,17 129:1
93:20	115:6,20 117:21,23	joking 28:16	135:4 140:22
intermediary	118:6 120:12,17	jr 34:6,10 83:2 84:1	143:16 147:15,25
140:13	124:12 127:6,21	92:3,4,5	150:1,5
internal 52:15 54:3	130:21 131:9 133:9	judge 102:5 103:12	knew 41:11,12
56:12 67:16 72:3	140:6,8,19 141:9	104:22	know 3:2 6:15 8:4
78:18 120:7 126:11	142:16	july 84:10	11:12 12:7 13:16
133:4,25 134:8	issued 9:7 86:8	jump 57:18	14:13 15:6,14 16:17
135:16,25 138:5	87:20 116:12,13,15	jumped 135:6	18:9 21:19 22:3,22
internally 69:5	issues 3:1 13:21	june 4:14 86:9 94:2	23:5,6 24:3,6,9,10
80:15 152:13	22:6,23 23:2 24:14	jurisdiction 125:24	25:12 28:2,4,12
international	30:9 34:17 35:17,19	jurisdictions 126:3	29:1,6 33:14,17
124:23	57:25 58:4 62:17	•	35:7,14,15 36:9,11
internet 40:20 41:3	68:17 70:15 71:5,13	justice 74:1,18	36:15,20 37:3,16
103:19 131:9,14	72:3 76:14 78:11	108:9	38:1 39:8 40:14,19
141:7	79:15 80:21 86:17	k	40:22,23 41:6,13
interpret 55:12	87:16 94:9 101:6,13	karis 108:8,12,13	42:1,13,17,17,24
75:19	101:21 108:15,20	keep 69:25 80:23	43:10,11 44:4 45:7
interpretation 50:10	108:22 109:6,15	95:23 120:14	46:8,21 49:5,18
55:13 110:4	111:13 112:14	125:13 127:13	50:14 52:18 54:4

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

[know - locked] Page 16

56:5,7 57:22,25	l	leads 109:6	140:14 142:14
59:20,23 60:1,2,3,5	labor 118:2	league 95:17	lieutenant 2:5,6,21
60:7,8,17 63:8,10	labs 3:16	learned 138:7	2:22 4:7,22 11:24
63:17,21 64:14 65:5	lack 85:6	lease 114:8,19	12:25 13:24 18:1
65:6,13,17,18,18	lacking 58:23	122:20,25 128:11	67:10 91:21 102:12
66:9 67:3,19,22	ladies 91:22	leases 128:1	143:24 144:20
69:15,18,20 70:3,6	lael 1:19 12:17	leave 65:23	life 92:16
70:12 71:14,18 72:2	14:11 15:5,25 16:11	leaving 56:22	limited 59:17
72:12 73:18 74:5,10	33:7 43:11 59:10	left 2:25 20:3,5,6	151:14
75:3,15,24,25 76:3	61:23 65:8 97:18	21:8 48:1 57:19	line 11:3,3 32:5,6
76:9,12,16 77:1,24	116:3,10 118:3	60:22 62:3 108:9	145:16,23
79:6,8,19 81:23	149:1 153:6	leg 118:8	lines 88:3 128:9
84:5 92:12,16 95:10	laguna 100:19,23	legal 41:23 86:7	list 29:13,15 41:21
95:15,21,22,23	land 4:19 45:12	88:22 89:7 90:9	41:22 74:9 104:6
96:10,15 97:4,8,13	110:12 119:23	137:24	listen 96:14 148:12
98:6,14 99:4,8,10	lands 110:16	legislation 134:25	150:13 154:6
99:25 103:19	language 52:3 85:2	legislative 90:3	listening 33:13,25
108:19 109:16,24	146:8	legislature 15:14	39:5 40:9 91:22
110:22,25 111:4,6	larry 44:2 121:21	legs 66:6	93:5 148:16
111:11,15,23	larson 108:7 113:24	lenders 111:4	listing 44:20
112:22,23,25 113:1	113:25 115:17,22	lends 133:20	literal 51:18
113:4,7,9 115:4,7	115:25 118:11	letter 38:16,21	litigation 103:23
115:12 116:18,19	las 85:18 132:9	40:18 41:16 43:22	little 1:19 5:7 10:7
116:22 117:5,14,17	lasted 65:19	86:3,5,9,12,25	14:5 16:23 20:5
118:18,21,24	late 23:9,9 92:8	87:19,22 94:1,6,13	21:25 22:5 23:10
119:15 121:3,22,24	116:6,17 124:6	110:9 129:13,16	28:16,17 45:25 62:2
122:1,5,21 124:25	135:3	144:20	64:11 66:4 70:17
125:15,21 126:2,21	law 38:19 82:23	letters 21:12 40:22	80:7 83:23 98:15
126:23 127:17,25	83:17 87:9 90:11	43:20 106:7	107:24 108:2
128:25,25 129:2,4	91:8 142:25	level 19:17 49:17	110:17 116:22
129:11,11,22 130:1	laws 87:7	54:19 55:2 119:21	118:1 123:17,24,24
130:5 131:20	lay 104:7	120:17	130:9 146:23 148:2
132:16 133:13	lead 140:12	levels 48:15 152:16	151:22 153:2
136:8,8 138:6,11	leader 21:12 33:23	lewis 113:25	live 38:2 153:5
140:18 146:9 148:5	66:15	libraries 3:19	lives 11:14
148:15 149:12,18	leaders 13:2 28:5	library 19:4	lobbying 86:7
149:21 150:25	32:21 33:18,19,22	license 72:8 109:12	local 119:21 120:8
151:13 152:6,17,22	34:8 37:18 42:5	110:6 111:15,16,18	124:17,24 126:4
153:23	46:21 85:15 94:17	121:25 122:7	142:25
knowing 7:7 68:22	107:19 130:19	142:17 155:16	located 85:3
71:11 140:11	136:8 137:15 148:5	licensed 125:6,23	location 85:6 124:8
knowledgeable	148:6	142:7	locations 91:18,18
132:10	leadership 28:8,9	licensing 25:18,25	132:22
	66:12 94:25 95:3	30:4 54:15 122:3	locked 95:3
		124:13,16 125:12	

[lodging - meetings] Page 17

lodging 37:19,22	lost 28:6 41:14	mails 43:19	155:10
logged 51:3,24	103:10 139:7	main 23:1 109:1	matters 6:20 52:24
	lot 2:25 7:12 10:4	maintained 84:23	matured 129:9
logic 90:11			
logical 146:22	11:16,16,16 13:5	major 6:1,5 10:13	mavis 31:4
logistically 16:24	23:14 26:12 27:17	85:19	maximize 128:14,18
30:25 149:5	28:6 35:5,6,13	majority 54:4 85:12	maxine 79:12,12
long 24:12 39:17	41:13 42:25 46:8	85:17	mayor 85:12,17
40:1 50:16 52:16	52:12 57:17 58:3,18	makeup 59:13,14,20	mccain 48:25
53:7,21 87:4 88:16	61:20,21,23,24 62:3	60:13	meal 107:6
129:10 136:18	63:25 64:4,5,6,7,13	making 5:13 11:22	meals 37:19
152:20	64:14,15,15,16,22	21:18 31:25 52:13	mean 27:24 51:5
look 10:17,18 13:17	64:22 65:3 76:14	63:6,9 89:3 96:13	62:8 64:13,16,21
14:25 17:11,14,15	77:5,13 86:19 94:10	113:10 121:12	65:2 71:20,24 110:1
19:15 24:8 25:15	106:14 114:17	144:9,15 146:10	119:14 126:14
29:19 30:16,21	117:22 122:7 128:5	153:10	134:6 137:3 146:21
36:12,25 37:11	128:10 130:5 131:2	man 21:4	meaning 46:7 47:13
42:17,18 46:9 47:12	132:15,21,23 133:9	manage 96:7 105:1	50:10 51:19 55:1,15
47:15,23 55:5 56:18	135:9 137:22	management 22:19	meaningful 8:13
62:18 65:6,25,25	142:23 143:3	23:22 26:4 50:9	18:12 47:19 58:16
71:13 79:8,24 93:17	lots 27:17 106:15	53:3,25 54:6,22	means 29:12 32:15
95:13 99:4 115:12	loud 59:12	59:1 68:13,16 69:2	51:19 91:3 127:8
117:10 122:15	love 30:20,20 148:4	69:10 70:18 71:11	141:2 143:1 147:1
127:12 128:3,3	low 11:12 29:21	71:20 72:4,9,9 74:7	meant 8:11 33:18
131:23 132:8,18	99:19,23	74:8 104:19 106:7	34:1 60:2 152:23
136:16 137:2 138:9	lujan 2:6,7,14 4:8	114:5,21,24 122:19	measures 47:18
144:22 150:8	13:24 34:13 74:23	122:25 140:9	mechanism 118:6
151:24 153:4	74:24	147:16,17	mechanisms 56:21
looked 36:23 37:14	lunch 106:24 107:8	manager 132:6	74:12
38:12 40:6	107:11,13 145:5	managers 42:6	medium 99:19,23
looking 9:4,25 14:18	m	mandate 8:20 21:16	meet 7:23 8:24 9:20
16:15 18:23 19:24		manner 87:12	15:17 23:15 65:15
29:18 32:5,6 42:14	ma'am 100:16	manuel 99:15	95:19 126:10 134:1
47:11 48:20 50:21	machine 76:25	marathon 6:21	135:16 144:10
57:20 58:15 62:1	127:24 132:17	march 95:6 97:14	147:23 149:17
63:11 65:4 68:12,16	machines 44:21	97:15,17,20 98:6	150:3 152:24
70:9,10,12 71:4	78:25 79:1 123:20	mark 21:2,20,22	meeting 2:5 4:17,23
72:14 73:14 97:24	124:7 127:20	31:3 49:7 149:8	33:15,16 44:6 52:16
105:4,14 109:22	madam 48:23 94:11	153:9,10	53:7 65:3 74:16
112:8 119:7 123:3	mail 16:25 17:3	martinez 81:8	93:13 102:13
150:22	28:17 31:1 41:10,16	massive 119:23	118:12 153:10
looks 9:12 124:2	43:19 107:13	material 151:15	154:8
151:8	109:18,19,19	materials 21:11	meetings 4:19 5:12
loop 2:25	111:19 149:7,8	matt 34:15	5:14 6:17 7:18 8:4
lose 112:19 149:14	mailed 9:8,8	matter 34:22,24	27:6 31:9 43:1
150:7		52:24 87:23 120:8	113:8
150.7		32.27 07.23 120.0	113.0

[member - needs] Page 18

			_
member 4:12 16:12	53:21,23 55:21	monday 20:14	native 29:3 76:8
34:10 113:1	57:10,10,12,18,24	144:22	natural 85:7
members 1:17 64:5	58:15 64:5,21 73:10	money 69:17 70:5,6	nature 50:2 68:21
73:4 85:1,2 90:13	73:14 76:19 77:1,5	95:24 96:3 117:21	76:8
90:22 91:6,7	78:15 79:21 94:9	125:11	navajo 76:18 107:25
memo 88:20 89:12	133:2,8,9,18 134:6	monies 96:8 147:10	107:25 108:1,6,6,9
89:17	135:5,8,10,14,21,22	month 139:25	108:14 112:7
memorandum	136:3,19	months 39:24 43:25	113:16 115:15
73:25 141:21	mike 2:8 32:24 33:1	114:11 139:21	118:18 120:2,16
mention 38:18	33:5	morning 2:1,2,2,3,7	123:11
55:18	mile 92:21	2:7 12:23,25 13:3,3	near 118:19,19
mentioned 86:23	miles 85:5	14:5,9 16:3 34:7	necessarily 55:21
95:12 97:3 98:23	millions 89:4,4	74:23 84:9 87:15	56:16 123:6 143:11
113:20 135:4	mills 123:22	92:4 94:16 96:18	148:10
144:17 149:13	mindful 150:15	100:17,21 101:7	necessary 28:11
mescalero 123:10	mine 126:18	102:1,2,13	34:3
126:16	minimum 52:15,17	move 12:17 15:21	need 4:2,2,10 9:16
messed 66:5	52:20,23 53:2,7,18	58:20 98:15,21	9:19 11:7,7,9 15:7
met 65:22 86:2	55:20 126:11 133:4	106:9 107:16	16:21 17:17 18:13
106:1,1	133:25 134:8	136:19	18:19,21 19:15,17
method 26:25 29:22	135:15,25	moved 94:24 95:5	25:3,13,16 26:16
33:12 151:1	minus 126:18 127:2	moves 87:12	27:8,9 28:18 32:10
mexico 1:15,21	minute 4:9 54:12	moving 47:7 53:20	33:20 34:2 36:1,12
13:19 35:4 37:13	66:3 136:15	69:25 101:3 136:22	36:15,25,25 37:4
68:22 79:17,18,24	minutes 6:18 107:4	multitasking 107:14	39:6,13,15 40:6,7
80:4,12,15,18,24	138:20 150:21	n	41:23,24 55:2 60:1
81:6,10 82:19 85:4	minutia 60:16	name 4:11 16:11,24	62:3 63:13 65:24
85:11 94:21 95:4	missed 47:23	32:16 33:2 34:10,13	67:6 77:20 78:13,14
101:9,14 102:25	missing 62:25	47:1 61:16 74:24	83:3 87:4 95:24
120:3 124:21	mission 84:2	83:23 92:5 94:18	98:7,17 100:5,9,10
130:12 136:5,6	misunderstand	100:17 102:2	102:21,24 103:16
155:1,6,10,18	145:19	100:17 102:2	106:9 111:4 116:25
mexico's 92:7	misunderstanding	113:25 123:8 130:9	119:21 120:6
michael 61:15,17	139:12	143:22	122:10 123:12
84:1 92:2,4,5	mitigate 47:16	names 104:10	125:9 138:9 144:10
michigan 123:22	48:12 51:7 55:7	nation 16:12 35:11	144:24 145:2 146:5
microphone 32:14	58:22 116:23	107:25,25 108:6,9	149:6 150:15,23
32:15 66:21,25	mitigated 47:25	107.23,23 108.0,9	151:15,25 152:3,5,6
microphones 66:20	mitigating 50:21	113:17 120:2,16	152:12,22
mics 24:16,17,25,25	mixup 83:13	123:11	needed 18:22 35:8
25:5 29:24,25 30:2	mlas 25:21	national 1:9 4:13	85:20 87:10 88:23
30:8 36:17 47:12,22	model 49:10		90:23 131:5,24
30.8 30.17 47.12,22	1110401 17110		
47:24 49:22,23 50:2	modeled 54:9	67:17 88:14	needs 7:24 8:24 9:15
· ·		nationwide 131:12	needs 7:24 8:24 9:15 9:21 10:20 12:1

[needs - ohkay] Page 19

		T	
32:6 38:12 39:16	newcomers 67:2	nomination 10:15	0
56:22 67:24 83:4,15	newer 22:5 131:6	non 142:13	obama 17:14
119:15 127:10	newest 119:25	nonbinding 89:23	obama's 21:16
128:12 131:19	newly 98:23,24	noncompliance	objective 103:12
152:24	nice 13:5 57:5	103:23	104:1
negative 117:24	124:15 125:18,19	normally 128:11	objectives 59:25
negotiated 19:22	nicg 81:11	norman 28:25 153:9	obligations 147:24
neighboring 120:10	niga 29:1 31:18	north 85:4	oblivion 44:12
nepa 114:16,18	nigc 16:13 17:5	northwest 155:17	obsolete 136:23
net 22:19 68:12,14	24:20 25:2,23 26:10	notebooks 111:17	obtain 86:3 87:22
68:25 69:2,9,22,23	26:18 34:7,8,22	notes 129:14	90:14
69:23 70:2,12 75:4	35:16 37:8,10,15	notice 12:19 15:6,10	obtaining 23:19
75:7 79:16,16,21,23	38:4,5 39:20,22	15:20 16:1,22 18:25	27:1 86:5
80:7,12 81:12,17,20	42:7 44:21 45:9	19:1,9,12,20 20:21	obviously 101:12
81:21,22,25 82:16	47:6,10 48:15,19	22:1,16,17 23:10	120:25 127:12
82:17 95:9,14 101:9	50:7,16 51:16 53:14	29:14 33:11,12	128:13
101:15 102:22	62:7 67:23 68:5,16	70:23 89:14 90:4	occasions 106:2
106:4 127:7,8,10,15	69:21,25 71:6 73:8	100:6 103:23	october 78:8,9,21
146:6 147:2,3,5,7,8	73:13 75:4,21 76:12	109:13,21 110:2,3,5	odd 54:18 70:17
nevada 54:8 125:23	76:19 79:20,22	110:11,14,18,23	offer 30:18 120:21
126:2 127:18	81:20 82:17 83:4	115:5 116:16,17	152:7
never 12:10 19:2	84:7,17 86:3,8,24	140:4,6,7,7,12,14,16	offered 2:13
39:1 68:2 105:11	87:14 91:20 93:21	140:19,19,21	offering 20:11 42:15
126:1	94:2 95:2 96:6 99:3	noticed 136:1	offers 6:24
new 1:15,21 3:3,4	101:2,12 102:17,22	notices 21:12 121:2	office 19:4 20:4
5:20,23,23 6:13,15	105:11 110:10	notification 110:21	35:25 39:9,25 41:8
6:22 8:9 10:16	111:10,18 112:8,13	notify 123:12	44:1 47:4 49:9 50:8
13:19 22:4 26:23	114:10,15 115:9	noting 56:17	62:16,23 63:9,18
35:4 37:13 42:18	117:7 118:14	notion 133:18	84:17 86:15 108:1,7
44:1 63:4 68:22	120:21 123:23	nov 26:14 116:6,15	114:2 119:19
79:17,18,23 80:3,11	124:6,17 125:4,6	116:22 117:4	121:20 144:21
80:15,18,24 81:6,7	126:10 127:1 129:1	november 12:19	145:5 151:17
81:10 82:19 85:4,11	130:14,22 131:3	20:23 90:8 96:25	offices 23:24 35:11
87:3,22 88:6,19	133:5 136:3,11	novs 116:12 118:2,6	38:19 41:25 48:17
89:1,2,20,25 90:3	138:23 139:18	number 17:1 18:8	69:6
92:6 94:20 95:4	140:5 141:10,11,14	30:5 87:23 98:7	officially 78:17
101:9,14 102:25	142:1,9 143:7	99:21 125:10 147:4	officials 18:18 72:9
108:16,21 109:2,8	nigc's 24:25 26:8	147:14 149:12,15	74:7,8,9
112:3 120:3,21	52:4 68:15 69:1,22	151:14	oftentimes 28:2 48:1
121:9,10,11,11	nigc.gov 21:6 149:6	numbers 42:3 76:10	48:8
124:21 125:23	nigc.gov. 21:2 31:11	numerous 30:1	ogc 121:1
126:2 130:12 136:5	night 14:1 118:18	64:16 86:2,6 88:18	oh 116:21 129:4
136:6,24 145:9,11	nj303774 1:25		ohkay 34:9,11 36:2
148:15 152:3 155:1	noi 26:22 115:2		39:4 42:11 68:1
155:6,10,18			

[okay - people] Page 20

alray: 42,20,44,22	anamatana 122,12 12	outcomes 74.5	124.16 120.4
okay 42:20 44:22	operators 133:12,13	outcomes 74:5	134:16 139:4
45:18 66:2 67:2	opinion 27:18 34:19	outdated 17:16 62:2	146:17,21 152:14
82:25 104:14	86:9 128:1	outline 144:7	participate 13:4
107:15 115:19	opinions 131:13	outright 52:12 53:5	participation
117:4 125:6 152:8	opportunities 85:21	outside 66:11 100:2	127:23,23 128:8,21
oklahoma 13:7	opportunity 6:25	104:21	particular 17:1 26:1
16:12 56:7 60:20	13:12 16:15 45:20	overburdened 134:7	27:6 37:5 48:3
122:15	47:5 68:11 84:6	overemphasize 58:5	51:17 94:6 96:2
old 123:21 128:16	95:5 96:5 99:5	58:9	100:6 116:3,8
once 15:1 21:24	100:20 101:22	overhead 3:10	120:17 143:5
29:10 30:11 39:1	108:10 113:6,17	overlap 84:18,19	particularly 37:11
66:14 69:3 86:22	119:5 137:7 140:10	overlapping 9:6	47:11 68:21
109:16,24 111:1,9	144:2 150:13	72:21	parts 12:5
111:12,25 122:16	opposed 49:10	overnight 5:2	party 43:6
ones 29:20,21 45:6	119:11 139:25	overpaid 139:10	pascua 47:2 138:20
78:20 100:9 133:24	144:24	overriding 53:23	pass 126:22 145:2
134:20	opposing 88:25	oversight 64:11,11	passed 84:24 114:11
online 9:7,13,13,14	opt 10:9	overview 33:10	125:5 145:14
99:14 148:18	option 120:14,19	owingeh 34:9,11	passes 126:17
151:21	options 7:6 148:4	39:4 42:11 68:1	passionate 105:15
open 6:23 7:12,21	order 5:13 11:2 17:7	owingeh's 36:2	paste 103:5
16:2 21:17,20 31:25	18:8 19:16 28:22	owned 29:8	pat 57:22,23
33:9 34:4,4 46:20	29:20 30:12,21	oxley 58:11	paul 83:21,23 93:2
33:9 34:4,4 46:20 56:1 66:20 67:4	29:20 30:12,21 32:11 82:9 96:21		paul 83:21,23 93:2 pawnee 16:12
· ·	· ·	р	_ _
56:1 66:20 67:4	32:11 82:9 96:21	p p.m. 107:9,9 154:8	pawnee 16:12
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5	p p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22 112:5	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18 141:23,25 144:23	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25 117:1	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22 peanut 59:9
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22 112:5 operate 54:24 58:25	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18 141:23,25 144:23 145:13,20	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25 117:1 panel 56:10	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22 peanut 59:9 peer 92:7
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22 112:5 operate 54:24 58:25 79:1	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18 141:23,25 144:23 145:13,20 ordinances 25:1	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25 117:1 panel 56:10 panels 57:24	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22 peanut 59:9 peer 92:7 peeve 126:18
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22 112:5 operate 54:24 58:25 79:1 operating 20:6	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18 141:23,25 144:23 145:13,20 ordinances 25:1 37:15 38:4 39:13	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25 117:1 panel 56:10 panels 57:24 panic 109:4	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22 peanut 59:9 peer 92:7 peeve 126:18 penalty 115:3
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22 112:5 operate 54:24 58:25 79:1 operating 20:6 operation 91:2	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18 141:23,25 144:23 145:13,20 ordinances 25:1 37:15 38:4 39:13 44:3 62:2,18 63:13	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25 117:1 panel 56:10 panels 57:24 panic 109:4 paper 6:18 28:5,6	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22 peanut 59:9 peer 92:7 peeve 126:18 penalty 115:3 140:22
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22 112:5 operate 54:24 58:25 79:1 operating 20:6 operation 91:2 114:25 132:25	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18 141:23,25 144:23 145:13,20 ordinances 25:1 37:15 38:4 39:13 44:3 62:2,18 63:13 145:6	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25 117:1 panel 56:10 panels 57:24 panic 109:4 paper 6:18 28:5,6 126:14	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22 peanut 59:9 peer 92:7 peeve 126:18 penalty 115:3 140:22 pending 88:7,12
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22 112:5 operate 54:24 58:25 79:1 operating 20:6 operation 91:2 114:25 132:25 134:10 147:21	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18 141:23,25 144:23 145:13,20 ordinances 25:1 37:15 38:4 39:13 44:3 62:2,18 63:13 145:6 organization 32:16	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25 117:1 panel 56:10 panels 57:24 panic 109:4 paper 6:18 28:5,6 126:14 paperwork 144:7	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22 peanut 59:9 peer 92:7 peeve 126:18 penalty 115:3 140:22 pending 88:7,12 89:13 90:1,2 142:15
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22 112:5 operate 54:24 58:25 79:1 operating 20:6 operation 91:2 114:25 132:25 134:10 147:21 operational 7:24	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18 141:23,25 144:23 145:13,20 ordinances 25:1 37:15 38:4 39:13 44:3 62:2,18 63:13 145:6 organization 32:16 33:3	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25 117:1 panel 56:10 panels 57:24 panic 109:4 paper 6:18 28:5,6 126:14 paperwork 144:7 part 8:14 37:2 39:21	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22 peanut 59:9 peer 92:7 peeve 126:18 penalty 115:3 140:22 pending 88:7,12 89:13 90:1,2 142:15 142:17
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22 112:5 operate 54:24 58:25 79:1 operating 20:6 operation 91:2 114:25 132:25 134:10 147:21 operational 7:24 operations 6:10	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18 141:23,25 144:23 145:13,20 ordinances 25:1 37:15 38:4 39:13 44:3 62:2,18 63:13 145:6 organization 32:16 33:3 organizations 58:8	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25 117:1 panel 56:10 panels 57:24 panic 109:4 paper 6:18 28:5,6 126:14 paperwork 144:7 part 8:14 37:2 39:21 41:5 58:4 63:24	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22 peanut 59:9 peer 92:7 peeve 126:18 penalty 115:3 140:22 pending 88:7,12 89:13 90:1,2 142:15 142:17 people 5:16 18:2
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22 112:5 operate 54:24 58:25 79:1 operating 20:6 operation 91:2 114:25 132:25 134:10 147:21 operational 7:24 operations 6:10 9:24 10:3,7,8,10	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18 141:23,25 144:23 145:13,20 ordinances 25:1 37:15 38:4 39:13 44:3 62:2,18 63:13 145:6 organization 32:16 33:3 organizations 58:8 organize 5:12	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25 117:1 panel 56:10 panels 57:24 panic 109:4 paper 6:18 28:5,6 126:14 paperwork 144:7 part 8:14 37:2 39:21 41:5 58:4 63:24 77:16,19 84:14	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22 peanut 59:9 peer 92:7 peeve 126:18 penalty 115:3 140:22 pending 88:7,12 89:13 90:1,2 142:15 142:17 people 5:16 18:2 19:10 23:11 34:9
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22 112:5 operate 54:24 58:25 79:1 operating 20:6 operation 91:2 114:25 132:25 134:10 147:21 operational 7:24 operations 6:10 9:24 10:3,7,8,10 50:4 51:8 82:6	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18 141:23,25 144:23 145:13,20 ordinances 25:1 37:15 38:4 39:13 44:3 62:2,18 63:13 145:6 organization 32:16 33:3 organizations 58:8 organize 5:12 organized 54:17	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25 117:1 panel 56:10 panels 57:24 panic 109:4 paper 6:18 28:5,6 126:14 paperwork 144:7 part 8:14 37:2 39:21 41:5 58:4 63:24 77:16,19 84:14 85:11,19,24 87:15	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22 peanut 59:9 peer 92:7 peeve 126:18 penalty 115:3 140:22 pending 88:7,12 89:13 90:1,2 142:15 142:17 people 5:16 18:2 19:10 23:11 34:9 37:17 39:3 42:6
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22 112:5 operate 54:24 58:25 79:1 operating 20:6 operation 91:2 114:25 132:25 134:10 147:21 operational 7:24 operations 6:10 9:24 10:3,7,8,10 50:4 51:8 82:6 91:19 100:22,25	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18 141:23,25 144:23 145:13,20 ordinances 25:1 37:15 38:4 39:13 44:3 62:2,18 63:13 145:6 organization 32:16 33:3 organizations 58:8 organize 5:12 organized 54:17 ortiz 61:15,17 63:23	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25 117:1 panel 56:10 panels 57:24 panic 109:4 paper 6:18 28:5,6 126:14 paperwork 144:7 part 8:14 37:2 39:21 41:5 58:4 63:24 77:16,19 84:14 85:11,19,24 87:15 93:17,18,21 111:8	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22 peanut 59:9 peer 92:7 peeve 126:18 penalty 115:3 140:22 pending 88:7,12 89:13 90:1,2 142:15 142:17 people 5:16 18:2 19:10 23:11 34:9 37:17 39:3 42:6 54:6 56:2 59:18
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22 112:5 operate 54:24 58:25 79:1 operating 20:6 operation 91:2 114:25 132:25 134:10 147:21 operational 7:24 operations 6:10 9:24 10:3,7,8,10 50:4 51:8 82:6 91:19 100:22,25 101:8 129:12 132:4	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18 141:23,25 144:23 145:13,20 ordinances 25:1 37:15 38:4 39:13 44:3 62:2,18 63:13 145:6 organization 32:16 33:3 organizations 58:8 organize 5:12 organized 54:17 ortiz 61:15,17 63:23 ought 75:20	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25 117:1 panel 56:10 panels 57:24 panic 109:4 paper 6:18 28:5,6 126:14 paperwork 144:7 part 8:14 37:2 39:21 41:5 58:4 63:24 77:16,19 84:14 85:11,19,24 87:15 93:17,18,21 111:8 123:3 125:8,25	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22 peanut 59:9 peer 92:7 peeve 126:18 penalty 115:3 140:22 pending 88:7,12 89:13 90:1,2 142:15 142:17 people 5:16 18:2 19:10 23:11 34:9 37:17 39:3 42:6 54:6 56:2 59:18 61:11 66:11 69:6
56:1 66:20 67:4 96:25 107:15 121:12 opened 26:2 109:7 153:6 opening 109:13,22 112:5 operate 54:24 58:25 79:1 operating 20:6 operation 91:2 114:25 132:25 134:10 147:21 operational 7:24 operations 6:10 9:24 10:3,7,8,10 50:4 51:8 82:6 91:19 100:22,25 101:8 129:12 132:4 133:6 134:4 135:18	32:11 82:9 96:21 98:16 141:5 ordinance 39:15,19 40:2,4 43:23 44:8 44:12,16 53:5 56:6 63:14,17 71:7 81:18 141:23,25 144:23 145:13,20 ordinances 25:1 37:15 38:4 39:13 44:3 62:2,18 63:13 145:6 organization 32:16 33:3 organizations 58:8 organize 5:12 organized 54:17 ortiz 61:15,17 63:23 ought 75:20 outcome 59:21 60:7	p.m. 107:9,9 154:8 packet 31:2 padilla 100:17,18 page 53:13 59:4 pages 55:8 155:7 paid 82:17 116:25 117:1 panel 56:10 panels 57:24 panic 109:4 paper 6:18 28:5,6 126:14 paperwork 144:7 part 8:14 37:2 39:21 41:5 58:4 63:24 77:16,19 84:14 85:11,19,24 87:15 93:17,18,21 111:8	pawnee 16:12 pay 38:1,7,9,10 86:18 118:5 139:8 paying 82:1 payment 23:9 135:3 payments 139:22 peanut 59:9 peer 92:7 peeve 126:18 penalty 115:3 140:22 pending 88:7,12 89:13 90:1,2 142:15 142:17 people 5:16 18:2 19:10 23:11 34:9 37:17 39:3 42:6 54:6 56:2 59:18 61:11 66:11 69:6 89:8 91:14 102:10

[people - priorities] Page 21

127.5.0.121.10	20.5.42.17.45.14	106 17	80.22
127:5,9 131:19	38:5 43:17 45:14	pojoaques 106:17	preclusions 80:22
152:2,20	48:4,8 49:20 52:22	policies 18:16	preempt 117:4
peoples 106:25	52:25 55:2 58:21	policy 6:19 19:8,9	prefer 49:9 138:25
percent 52:4 53:13	73:9 76:19 77:2	26:24 28:1,21 81:7	prepared 46:23
85:2 118:4 126:18	78:19 81:3 87:25	89:23 90:4 91:1	51:15
127:2	93:21 97:7 112:7	political 81:3,6	preparing 113:21
percentage 117:12	137:23 143:4,8	pollyanna 105:7	prerogative 10:17
117:13 147:8	148:11	pony 28:16	prescriptive 60:17
perception 27:8	placed 87:1	pool 70:5	61:1,1
percolating 22:5	placement 127:20	poor 89:5	prescriptively 70:4
perfect 153:17	places 77:24 119:1	popped 115:1	presence 13:15
perfectly 89:7	141:6	pops 21:9	present 4:1 84:8
period 19:21 20:23	plagues 82:20	popular 23:11	94:25 130:20
20:25,25 30:25	plains 20:18	position 2:24 22:14	presented 38:23
78:24 94:23 111:11	plan 70:14,14	87:1 93:14 112:18	president 17:13
139:12,16,20	plane 13:9 151:4	113:20 123:11	21:16
permit 54:11 69:25	planet 48:14	143:11 148:11	president's 8:12
person 35:23 38:17	planning 17:8 98:12	positions 14:23	10:2
41:12,13 44:11	149:25 150:1	123:6	press 9:9 24:15
55:19 63:19	plans 45:11	positive 30:24 106:4	pressed 46:21 91:12
personal 39:6 64:10	play 50:24 111:25	possibilities 26:23	presumes 90:13
personnel 126:5	players 105:7	possibility 97:17	presumption 90:19
perspective 48:21	138:11	118:25	pretty 2:25 3:22,25
50:20 56:19 59:20	plays 126:24	possible 5:15 28:24	93:1 122:17 134:6
71:20 82:3 104:20	pleasant 107:11	30:14 40:8 56:20	136:25 137:9
pertaining 37:5	please 2:12 16:8	128:17	preview 10:24
47:12	29:17 32:13,16 33:1	posted 12:19 15:6	previous 6:11 7:17
pet 126:18	67:5 103:18 104:3	21:2,13 99:15	12:8 43:1 44:9 73:9
peter 34:6,10 83:2	117:17 121:3 150:6	148:20 153:20	78:5 145:14
108:7 113:24,25	152:21,25 154:2	posting 41:3	previously 89:7
151:10	pleasure 2:15,19	potential 26:22	prices 37:20,24
peters 1:21 155:5,16	3:12	27:14 73:2 114:4	primary 6:6 19:13
philosophy 65:22	plus 25:19 91:14	power 103:2	27:22,23 71:20 72:4
phoenix 118:19,22	126:18 127:1	powerpoint 22:18	72:9 74:7,8 103:9
phone 17:1 42:3	point 18:12 22:12	129:24	103:21 105:18
63:1 107:13 121:12	72:25 73:2 76:20	powers 105:13	106:11,12 118:13
phrase 148:1	77:6 80:21 96:5	practical 47:18	principle 88:11
pick 57:19	97:8 114:13 140:18	113:4	principles 88:13
piggy 63:25	144:10 146:5	practice 126:15	134:23
pile 89:14	148:17	practiced 6:17	prior 31:9 64:1
pilot 25:17	points 55:12 67:18	practices 84:23	67:22 70:17 78:17
pino 34:15	84:5 108:2	prayer 2:10,13	140:6 141:11
pipeline 87:13 145:7	pojoaque 102:3,16	preclude 80:17	priorities 7:16 11:6
place 3:5 30:22	102:22 103:7 104:5	149:20	11:25 15:9 33:20
34:21 35:1 37:8,11	104:16		42:14 44:25 99:12

		1	1
prioritized 8:25	115:8,10,11 116:8	36:17,20 45:4 57:10	103:7 104:5,16
priority 8:8,18	118:7 120:24 121:5	71:9,10 72:12 85:9	105:1,3 143:23
17:21 19:17 29:20	121:14,18 122:2,12	99:25 110:9 144:24	144:4,6,18 145:11
31:21 99:18,19,21	131:16,16 139:4,5	proposing 36:21	153:14
99:24 118:16	143:3,7,17 146:10	proprietary 27:16	pueblos 92:22 97:3
prison 89:8	146:17 150:16	27:20 84:20 86:4,25	144:8 150:16
private 8:3 54:16,18	processes 43:13	87:17,20 94:1,8	pull 106:24
54:20 58:7,10	74:2 120:22 121:23	123:2	pulling 82:13
privately 4:22 7:20	processing 11:14	prorate 139:19	pulls 126:23
privilege 13:14	87:2 141:11	prosecute 38:2	punitive 140:15
proactive 64:25	proclamations	prosecuted 38:9,10	purpose 44:23 91:1
65:14	103:20	prosecutions 38:6	110:2 114:19
probably 14:10 31:6	procurement 29:4	prospective 88:5,11	purposes 82:1,16
41:1 43:8 48:13	70:23	protect 9:3 55:3	129:1 142:1
51:15 52:20 53:2,15	produce 27:14 94:4	105:3 154:1	pursuant 81:12
73:1 93:13 109:1	96:21	protection 155:9	pursuing 50:11
112:14,16 113:15	produces 74:4	provide 8:20 10:4	push 64:10 77:10
119:2 129:17	product 13:22	18:2 68:7 72:7	96:19 107:4
problem 50:19 53:9	products 29:3	86:11 90:21,23 91:1	pushed 64:14
55:7 56:14,16 60:24	professional 54:6	91:6,13 106:21	put 17:10 21:5
73:24 77:12 83:8,13	professors 132:11	119:7 149:3 151:18	27:25 29:13 37:8
110:7 142:4	profits 133:15	152:21 154:2	38:5,15 45:12 46:1
problems 6:24	134:10	provided 131:4	48:4,8 61:10 71:23
50:13 52:14 53:2	program 8:23 9:1,5	provides 88:5,6	80:22 81:2 95:24
77:13 115:14 139:7	9:6,23 25:17,18	providing 69:17	96:3 98:11 99:7
procedural 90:9	152:15	152:13	103:8,18,19,20
108:22	programs 70:7 91:7	provision 105:8	104:5,10 105:16
procedure 90:5	progress 75:16	provisions 27:20	108:3 121:3 126:15
procedures 112:2	progressive 83:12	106:7	143:4 148:22
proceed 112:5	117:3	public 133:17	puts 21:20
134:15	progressives 75:14	141:13	putting 17:5 21:4
proceedings 24:1	83:5,11 101:11	publicly 105:11	26:24 104:11
26:11,16 155:8	127:22 128:5,6	publish 105:10	123:19,20 124:7
process 10:15,25	project 85:10 87:24	published 20:21	128:20
24:6,10,12 30:3	96:8	33:10	puzzled 9:11
31:25 32:7 40:20	projects 88:7	pueblo 1:15 2:6 3:7	q
41:11 43:15,20 45:1	promote 18:5	3:9,11 4:16 14:7	qualifications 59:16
45:21 46:6,17 54:12	promoting 91:3	16:5 61:18 67:11,20	qualify 110:12,16
54:15 55:14 58:4,8	129:21	71:5,21 72:10 74:25	quarter 97:16
58:23 62:6,12,13	promulgate 24:20	79:13 83:24 84:5,22	quarter 77.10 question 7:25 40:10
67:21 70:21 71:16	proper 30:6 109:5	85:1,9,15,22,24	46:1 57:7 62:24
72:19,20 73:22	properly 37:10	86:1 90:2 91:11	63:4,24 74:19 75:2
76:11 87:10 88:17	112:11 129:12	92:2,14,25 94:19,21	77:4,17,21 81:14
93:18 108:18	proposed 18:21	95:11 100:19,23	94:22 109:25
109:16 112:5 114:8	19:20 35:6 36:14,15	101:5 102:3,7,15,21	77.22 107.23

111:19 121:24	ready 107:18 145:21	reclassify 96:6	regarding 68:2,4,8
146:11,19	real 115:5 151:10	recognize 5:9,16	68:14,16,18 70:24
questioning 110:10	reality 126:15	92:1 141:22	87:19 120:11 140:4
questions 9:11	realize 3:3,20 7:8	recognized 84:25	regards 134:2
14:21,24 17:2 19:14	15:13 56:1 83:4,16	recognizes 91:14	regency 1:13 2:18
34:2 41:18 45:16	103:3 104:23	recognizing 85:9	region 12:2 115:6
57:7 61:16,23 63:16	110:19 153:5	recommendation	119:1,18 137:11
64:16,18,20 65:13	realizes 14:24	52:6 53:15 98:20	151:17
67:5,19 70:2,3 72:7	really 3:9 11:23	recommendations	regional 5:10 35:11
75:12 77:16 100:8	14:18 15:19 16:9,15	36:6 148:14	35:25 39:9 41:8,25
121:13,18,19	16:19,19 17:16 18:9	recommended	46:12 119:8
quick 66:3	18:11,11,13 19:24	52:22 68:4	regionally 35:3
quicker 63:7	23:11 25:14 27:13	reconvene 107:6	regions 2:16 27:11
quickly 114:4 118:2	28:19 35:23 36:25	record 12:14 32:18	46:10
122:17 151:10	39:1 42:12 45:14	66:19	register 20:22 21:11
quite 2:20 3:2,10	47:24 48:2,7 49:25	recorded 12:4 32:13	22:17 135:6 153:20
25:25 32:7 56:13	52:12,23 53:8,19	51:24 148:18	regrets 102:4,12
117:19 153:15	58:5,12 60:3 68:5	records 26:3,5,5,9	regs 99:17 126:8
quo 71:17	70:25 93:14 99:12	74:4 139:1	regulate 49:12,15,15
quote 104:9	100:10 104:17	redefinition 70:22	49:19 76:19,20 77:3
r	105:4,15,16,16	redo 86:19,24 88:23	129:11,23
radius 92:21	110:20 111:5 114:3	reduce 9:5 10:3	regulated 18:4
raise 108:16,22	117:5 120:1 121:10	47:16	48:14,18 49:4 134:5
111:3 144:19	122:9,15 123:1	reduced 37:19,20,24	regulating 48:21
raised 46:5 101:7	127:10,22 128:4	redundancies 9:6	regulation 23:4,13
108:20 110:17	130:4,17 150:22	10:3	23:13,17 25:20,22
128:24	151:6	reecho 67:25	26:1,8,24 27:2,15
ran 110:21 111:14	realm 29:4	reference 99:17	27:25 29:9 30:19
randall 143:21,22	reason 71:4 102:23	135:11 144:3	36:18 43:24 48:3,20
145:12	106:25 111:3 123:1	referred 134:17	49:10,20,21 53:19
range 50:3	reasons 36:10 59:11	referring 146:24	54:19 55:6 56:12
ranks 11:11	rebuttal 138:22	refine 147:22	62:14 71:6,24 72:12
rapid 20:18	recall 44:8 153:23	reform 47:11 50:23	94:8 99:20 100:4
rate 78:14 131:7	receive 21:19 28:5	58:12	126:10,11,22
rates 122:7	31:15 88:10 131:2	reg.review 31:11	128:22 129:21,22
reach 17:3 19:6	134:3,13 137:16	149:6	146:16
reached 98:3	received 21:11	regard 16:25 45:7	regulations 10:18
reactive 65:1	22:11,16 31:13	45:21 49:22 52:9	11:1,3 15:21 16:16
read 47:23 92:10	35:18 40:4,17 41:17	54:3 68:25 69:1,9	17:6,11,15,25 18:3
93:3	97:25 109:20	70:22 71:18 72:3,8	19:15,17 24:9,21,21
readdress 122:18	receiving 28:11	72:11 73:4 116:1	24:23 25:15 26:21
reading 110:4 135:5	40:19	131:13 133:20	26:23 29:15,19 30:4
reads 35:14	recess 66:7 107:8	134:16 138:23	32:5 35:7 36:14
	reciprocate 132:15	140:24,25 141:9	39:12 47:14,14
			50:12,15,17 52:9,13

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

[regulations - right] Page 24

55:5 56:20 79:4	relying 141:16	require 11:16 17:9	revamping 152:23
84:16 88:19 99:11	remain 57:20	50:15 86:24	revenue 22:19 45:11
99:22 100:9 101:1	remaining 114:3	required 63:12	68:25 69:23,23,24
109:11 126:17	remarkable 153:6	68:18 90:5 95:22	70:2,13 75:4,7
130:2 134:9 135:9	remarks 94:12	111:16	77:17 79:16,16,21
regulator 53:6	remedies 115:2,23	requirement 8:21	79:23 80:7,12 81:12
140:6	116:2	48:3 133:4 139:6	81:17,20,21,22 82:1
regulators 94:18	remember 39:11,23	requirements 62:17	82:1,16,17 91:13
106:11 148:10	117:18	76:12 87:21 88:6	95:10,14,18 102:23
regulatory 6:8 7:25	remembered 44:18	95:20 111:17	102:23,25 127:7,8
10:14,22 11:10 12:1	remind 5:19 67:3	142:10	127:10,24 128:9
12:16 13:21 17:4,6	153:16	rescinded 90:6	135:23 147:2,3,4,4
17:8,9,17 21:8	remote 85:3,6	reservation 84:14	147:5,7,8,15,25
31:17 47:11,17,21	renovich 49:7	85:3,8,10 89:21	revenues 3:10 4:24
48:1 50:22 51:18	repeal 24:24	90:13,17,23,24	68:13,14 69:2,9
52:6 53:24 55:16	repealing 19:16	91:17,18	70:12 92:23 95:23
57:4 58:12,24 61:18	repeat 14:20 32:15	reshape 152:10	96:7 131:17 146:6
68:23 71:12 82:6	repeating 14:10	residing 90:13	reversed 103:13
84:12,15,21 95:19	replaced 136:3	resort 1:13 2:19	review 6:9,10 9:24
100:22 108:1,7	reply 68:2	resources 85:7	10:7,8,14,22 17:4,8
109:11 110:13	reported 1:21	92:12,24 126:5	17:12,18 21:9 31:17
114:1 129:8 131:25	reporter 155:6	respectful 65:15	35:15 62:6,7,9
146:14	reporter's 155:4	respective 95:2 99:1	78:14 84:12 89:14
reinforce 26:8	represent 32:17	respond 150:21	97:21 98:9 110:24
reiterate 21:24	33:2 56:17 74:24	153:21	111:23 122:25
25:12 33:8 51:10	107:25 108:6	responding 107:13	131:25 133:8,25
67:19 69:4 101:4	113:10	response 41:16	152:14
121:9 149:4	representation	43:16,18 68:7	reviewed 26:2 31:13
reiterates 71:22	27:10 143:14,17	110:14 111:19	38:4
relates 114:24	representative 24:2	responses 153:19	reviewing 62:1 98:1
relationship 6:7	61:11 91:22	responsibility 16:14	reviews 104:17
17:20 41:8 101:2	representatives	79:20	revised 22:21 32:6
137:10	155:8	responsive 17:3	71:24 135:8
relationships 72:16	represented 46:8,13	44:5	revising 19:15
relative 57:12 67:21	46:14	rest 29:15 30:9	revisions 25:10
67:24 68:12,17	representing 47:2	33:17	revisit 78:14 79:3,5
70:25 71:8,14,15	108:8	restrictions 91:16	79:9,10
72:3	represents 144:12	restructure 106:3	revisited 128:12
release 9:9	request 95:4	restructuring	rich 136:11
relevance 10:19	requested 95:12	104:18 105:8	richardson 81:1
religious 85:15	122:9	result 6:2 106:4,5,8	ridiculous 44:4
religiously 133:2	requesting 14:25	results 141:15	right 3:11 5:5,11
rely 132:16 136:10	70:24	retroactively 88:3	34:5,5,12 46:16
141:10	requests 27:17	return 128:18	76:19 77:2 78:16
	104:5		79:7 80:2 82:10,20

[right - serve] Page 25

91:16 102:22 108:7	87:7,11,23,25 88:2	scale 117:11 135:4	seeing 44:8 65:6
112:5 114:21	88:10,11,21	scan 31:3	128:19 151:6
118:14 119:22	ruling 34:23 86:3,5	scenario 131:12	seen 3:9 19:2 34:21
127:25 128:10	86:9,12,25 87:22	schedule 15:15 97:5	46:22 49:9 63:3
130:3 137:23 139:4	rulings 87:19	150:2	130:17 131:8
142:12 145:8 152:1	run 16:1 54:5 74:1	schedules 15:17	147:13
152:15	97:4 109:1 115:14	33:18 46:22 97:9	sees 80:4 106:10
rincon 103:11	124:1 141:25	scheme 74:4	segment 137:6
rise 2:12	142:18 143:1	schools 91:9	segments 46:6
risk 47:13,15,25	running 12:18 74:3	scope 59:18	seldom 140:17
48:5,11 50:21 51:22	110:7 143:6	screaming 43:5	select 16:6
58:9,13,16 61:8	rural 119:22	se 19:3	selection 27:5
133:1 134:5	S	season 124:2	self 18:5,6 23:13,17
risks 51:6,10 58:20	safe 73:23 132:25	seat 133:23 134:13	49:10 53:19 91:4
58:22	138:5 154:6	seated 2:14	128:22 129:21,23
rita 149:24	safety 141:13	seats 32:20 66:10	semi 17:10
river 24:18	sake 47:14 52:10	second 8:18 63:24	seminar 118:21
rivera 102:4,16	salazar 20:15 84:11	104:15 124:12	seminole 20:19
road 17:23 20:10,12	sally 1:21 155:5,16	130:23	senator 48:25
32:10 37:21 38:8	san 27:21 61:18	secretary 20:15 45:9	send 17:2 19:9
60:5,6	94:18 99:15	45:10 84:11 93:17	31:10 35:13 39:14
robert 94:16,18	sandia 95:11 130:10	93:20 102:3	39:22 40:7 42:1
roberts 44:2 121:21	132:5,23 133:2	section 18:14 22:22	82:8 94:12,13 109:4
roca 114:1	134:15 138:13	30:12,13 39:15	109:24 111:1,21
rock 109:8	santa 1:15 2:5 3:7,9	44:19 70:2 80:12,13	118:21 120:18
role 111:10,25	4:16 14:7 16:5	80:13 83:10,18	149:24
112:13	74:25 75:1,5 76:21	84:15 86:21 88:19	sending 18:20 41:12
rolled 123:21	77:7 79:15 92:6	146:7 149:22	109:12 120:15
rolling 122:16	153:14	sections 18:9,11	sends 102:4,12
room 29:1 107:17	sarbanes 58:11	security 143:6	seniors 3:18
148:9	sat 80:19	see 4:17 6:15 9:4	sense 47:18 48:8,9
rooms 37:19,23	saving 118:9	12:5,14 13:1,4 22:1	59:1,1 69:19 139:15
row 28:17	saw 9:11 28:25	22:14 31:8 32:14,19	142:22 143:8
rpr 1:21 155:5,16	103:12	36:7 37:10 44:3	146:14
rude 94:3 121:16	saying 10:1 12:5	45:19 52:14 57:5	sent 39:20 40:13,21
rule 45:1,4 48:3	35:22 36:16 99:17	60:23 61:24,25	110:19 132:9,14
84:20 86:4 87:18,20	100:3 104:9 106:8	62:10,22 81:4,22	133:15 150:21
90:3	110:10 145:19	93:22 94:4,5 103:11	separate 48:25 60:9
ruled 89:7	says 18:14 23:4	106:14 107:16	60:11 73:12,15
rulemaking 10:25	30:14,21 41:16	109:15 119:15	139:5
19:20,22 45:1 68:5	66:23 70:19 109:19	121:4 135:13 136:5	serious 106:12
86:21 89:1 99:25	126:22 129:24	136:12 137:8 138:9	seriously 97:21
rulemakings 89:2	142:6	138:10 148:18	106:20
rules 18:21 53:24		151:13	serve 65:15 110:2
59:24 60:4,5 87:3,3			130:11

[server - standards] Page 26

server 96:1,3	similar 89:10	93:25 94:7 123:2	144:3,8 148:11,24
service 10:4	102:16 125:7	solicit 16:20 68:6	speaking 3:8 20:16
services 18:2 131:21	simple 142:18	solicitors 86:15	62:15 64:1 102:15
servicing 147:10,20	simple 142.16 simply 51:14 85:8	solid 117:10,15	113:11 144:8 150:8
session 11:3 15:15	98:5,8 110:5,18	solidified 77:25	special 37:4
sessions 6:12 151:12	single 31:13	solution 142:8	specific 7:19,23 8:1
set 5:22 7:22 15:9	single 31.13 sir 34:5 46:25 55:17	solutions 6:25 7:7	12:3 63:12 102:21
22:9,12 29:11 35:3	61:14 67:9 73:6	7:17 15:22 56:20,21	119:22 131:13
35:10 36:13 44:7	83:1,20 91:25 94:15	somebody 38:7,8,9	specifically 56:6
50:13 53:6 59:15,24	123:7 138:18	38:10 66:24 100:2	specifics 102:20
59:25 64:4 76:10,18	143:20	142:5 152:19	105:2
88:10 98:10 150:2	sit 113:6 120:25	somewhat 111:9	specifies 146:12
155:12	site 26:4 118:25	soon 40:8 103:19	spells 63:12
	sites 110:9		-
setting 11:4 12:16 14:12 149:16		149:9,10	spend 125:1,9 151:23
	sitting 24:7 32:22	sooner 63:10 98:17	
settlement 117:20	33:13 42:25 60:25	sophisticated 105:5	spending 13:6 96:8 118:6
seven 2:23 35:16	67:22 83:25 93:13		
65:19	113:2 132:1 148:16	sorely 131:24	spent 42:25 54:7
sewer 3:15	situation 34:21	sorry 105:15 146:3	57:2 58:3 86:13
share 45:12 57:14	123:22,25 124:5	sort 10:24 16:21	split 127:24
76:6 82:1,18 120:10	six 23:5 28:4 35:16	23:15 24:6,21 26:7	splitting 128:9
147:9	43:11 67:14 98:11	27:8 29:21 30:13	spoke 132:11 133:1
sharing 12:7 70:14	132:6 141:17	32:24 33:13 47:8	spoken 39:2 66:23
103:1 147:4,5,15,25 sheet 6:18	size 27:11 152:16	53:6 60:18 63:2 69:22 109:6 111:11	spongebob 55:23,23
sheriff 34:12	skiing 124:2,3	112:9 114:22	stacks 28:5,5 staff 5:10 22:2 44:2
sheriff's 54:10	sky 144:14 sleep 14:1	116:23 117:9,11	63:19 69:5 102:17
shirts 20:11	sliding 117:11 135:4	127:3,13 147:7,11	105:24 118:9
shooting 103:25	slot 114:8,19 132:17	sound 62:11 66:25	119:11 120:6
short 12:24 20:6	151:15	sounds 55:22 119:4	121:13,19 148:9
short 12.24 20.0 shortly 14:12	small 91:10,11	126:14	staffed 5:25
shortly 14.12 show 17:23 20:10	92:10,11	source 91:5	stale 86:16,22
49:18 84:1,3	smith 64:12,17	sources 142:13	stance 137:22
showed 92:3	126:19 151:13	southern 85:11,19	stance 137.22 stand 2:15 12:24
side 8:4 21:8 34:23	smoke 28:16	southern 63.11,17	46:16 87:21 93:4
41:3 68:24 71:12	smoothly 5:15 11:15	137:11	107:2
sided 34:21	snail 9:8 28:17	sovereignty 49:14	standalone 54:24
sides 37:1	43:19	133:21	standard 52:16 53:6
sign 21:3 145:7	society 89:6	sox 58:11	60:19 71:17 75:17
signal 28:16	socks 40:24 41:2	spa 2:19	78:16 90:12 128:23
signed 6:17	43:6	space 29:5	standardized 75:9
significant 24:3	soft 66:23	space 25.5 spaces 66:16	standardizing 77:5
31:15 85:7	software 136:24	speak 5:4,21 13:12	standards 18:18,19
signing 144:9	sole 27:16,20 84:20	32:13,14 33:23 67:5	18:22,24 23:8 24:17
bigining 177.7	86:4,25 87:17,19	107:21 133:21	25:8 30:15,18,22
	00.7,23 07.17,13	107.21 133.21	23.0 30.13,10,22

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

[standards - sure] Page 27

72 10 74 12 40 14			2.0
53:18 56:12 60:14	states 52:11 56:5	stipulations 64:21	subpoena 26:9
60:21,21,22 64:14	83:5 127:12 131:15	stone 29:11	substantial 116:18
69:12 77:5 78:18,23	status 71:17 93:15	stop 20:13 32:15	substantive 32:4
79:7 83:8,10,17	93:24	145:18	89:20,24 90:3
84:13 89:20,25	statute 130:4	store 91:10	succeed 35:8
126:11 132:12,16	statutory 8:19 78:2	straight 135:7	sudden 123:20
132:17,20,21,24,24	91:2	147:18,19	suffice 125:25
133:10,11,13	stay 87:4 148:7	streamline 9:5 10:3	sufficiency 18:6
134:18,18 135:21	stayed 16:7	55:14 100:25	91:4
136:10 137:3	stays 78:1	street 105:6 155:17	sufficient 19:21
standing 2:20 32:24	steals 38:8	stretch 66:5	40:18 52:17 95:19
72:25 73:8 78:18	steffani 1:18 5:5	stricken 52:20	126:25
106:25	10:6 12:22 14:3	stricter 54:20	suggest 31:23 99:24
standpoint 36:2	15:4 20:4 150:10	strictly 57:11	113:1
51:22 52:6 53:16	step 4:3 59:14 66:20	strikes 70:16	suggested 68:4 71:9
55:16 58:25 82:6	75:10,10,10,22,22	stringent 91:15	118:13,15
139:3,14 140:3	121:1 136:12	striving 8:22 10:12	suggesting 60:15
143:10	140:13	44:10	140:5
start 5:19 10:25	stephanie 102:1,2	strong 57:4 91:4	suggestion 44:23
15:7 74:9 98:12	stepped 136:3	structure 138:23	76:13 139:17
started 66:8 141:10	steps 9:22 15:11	struggle 52:2	suggestions 7:16
state 4:12 33:1	109:9 112:7 153:25	stuff 21:4 31:4	9:18 12:11 14:19,20
37:12,13 44:15	stevens 1:18 2:1 4:5	76:25	21:25 22:8,13 29:10
48:16 49:6,11,21	4:7,11 14:3 15:3	sub 60:18	42:9,13,15,23 46:12
50:8,16 51:16,17	33:7 42:10 43:9	subject 6:9 131:14	64:6 106:22 115:20
52:18 53:4,11,12,25	44:22 46:20 55:17	submission 109:12	suitable 10:20 30:19
54:8 68:22,23 72:5	59:5,8 61:14 62:13	109:17,17 111:9,12	96:24
72:5 75:13 76:23	65:8,11 66:8,18	111:18	summaries 22:19
79:23 80:11,21,24	67:9 73:6 77:15	submissions 109:7	summarize 89:18
81:21 82:2,8,18,23	83:1,19,22 91:25	112:1	summer 22:3 57:3
83:6,15 85:11,19	93:8 94:14 96:17	submit 9:14 38:22	supplement 88:23
101:13,14,21	97:18 99:6 101:24	39:15,19 62:15 63:8	support 5:16 52:4
103:13,15,16	105:23 107:10,23	63:21 73:20 81:19	85:12,14,16,19
124:14 128:13	113:23 115:15,19	149:6	92:24 131:18
132:8 135:10,19,24	115:24 116:3 119:3	submittal 62:6 63:2	133:20 135:22
136:2,2,5,6,12,15	121:8 129:19 130:8	submittals 23:10	137:21 144:16,23
141:12,16 142:5,6,7	138:13,16 143:19	submitted 9:19	supports 52:7 72:10
142:25 147:18	143:22 145:4,9,13	35:17 37:15 64:6	supposed 23:16
155:1,6	145:16,25 148:5	71:5 96:22 144:16	75:19
stated 155:11	150:10 151:8 152:9	144:17 149:5	supreme 137:25
statement 47:9	153:13	submitting 38:21	sure 3:23,25 5:13
67:23,25 70:18	sticky 28:14	61:4 63:16 113:21	13:20 14:23 21:18
71:21 84:8 86:15	stimulate 14:21	115:16,17 130:13	25:14 27:12 28:7,22
statements 46:23	22:13 46:4	138:14	37:9 40:11 46:12
130:14 133:7			63:9,14 71:1 93:1
L	-	t	1

[sure - think] Page 28

109:3 111:22 112:6	138:19 141:16	99:22 105:10	130:6 137:6 138:12
112:22 113:7,10	144:25 147:19	116:13	138:13 143:18,19
114:6,23 116:25	150:21 154:5	telling 11:24 39:25	144:1 145:2,3 151:3
130:2 133:15,25	taken 12:12 14:22	110:5	151:6 153:3,8,11,11
151:11,11 152:6	58:12 66:7 102:24	tells 36:4 47:24	153:13,14 154:4,7
surname 43:15	107:8 110:24	ten 25:19 39:1 116:9	thankful 106:16
surprise 122:23	takes 39:21 63:7,22	tending 39:7	thanks 4:15 15:3,3
surprised 122:8	talk 6:19 8:6 11:1,17	tennorio 38:20	143:21 153:3 154:4
surrounding 85:23	13:11 14:11 16:23	144:12	theme 103:6,22
89:17 92:19	17:24 41:19 51:8	tens 86:7	thing 4:9 26:20
surveillance 51:1,2	78:13 96:19,23,23	tenure 81:3	30:24 37:10,20,23
51:7,12 95:20,25	97:13 98:14 99:6	term 52:15,20 53:1	37:25 39:11 41:7
96:4	100:14,21 104:19	65:19 70:23 152:20	44:18 55:25 56:2
survey 9:7,14,21	106:6 116:10	terms 12:1 72:18,19	72:13 102:19,21
150:20,22 152:10	119:14 130:5	72:21 73:17 78:4	117:18,18 118:2
152:13,21,25	145:16,22,24 146:4	95:14 100:7 101:6,7	119:13 123:21
susanna 81:8	151:25	101:15,19 122:3,25	124:1 125:20
sworn 4:14	talked 34:18 52:2	128:20 146:10	126:20 127:3,13
system 23:9 27:5	57:16 101:6 121:15	terribly 141:18	130:23 131:25
43:17 66:25 74:13	talking 14:14,15	testament 96:13	135:6 136:1,13
115:4 142:3,9,19,21	18:21 33:14 40:13	testimony 155:12	140:22 147:25
systems 56:23	51:1 57:8,9 64:12	tesuque 79:13 101:6	150:19 151:15
	741510001000	l	1
t	74:15 100:2 108:2	tewa 2:13	things 3:22 13:18
	118:17 132:13	tgra 124:19	24:15 25:13 29:5
t 20:11	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8		
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10	24:15 25:13 29:5
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12 tactic 89:1	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5 taxes 128:14 technical 6:8 8:18 8:23 9:1 10:5 11:13	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7 33:25 38:25 39:3,5	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12 47:9,12 48:19 49:20 51:11,23 54:9 55:2 57:19 58:9,19 60:23
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12 tactic 89:1 tailed 2:3	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5 taxes 128:14 technical 6:8 8:18 8:23 9:1 10:5 11:13 24:17 25:8 77:18	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7 33:25 38:25 39:3,5 40:4,9 41:16 42:8 42:10 44:22 46:19 55:16,17 57:1,1,5	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12 47:9,12 48:19 49:20 51:11,23 54:9 55:2 57:19 58:9,19 60:23 62:3,3 63:13 64:13
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12 tactic 89:1 tailed 2:3 tailor 9:20	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5 taxes 128:14 technical 6:8 8:18 8:23 9:1 10:5 11:13 24:17 25:8 77:18 78:23 87:14 118:15	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7 33:25 38:25 39:3,5 40:4,9 41:16 42:8 42:10 44:22 46:19 55:16,17 57:1,1,5 59:5 61:7 65:10,11	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12 47:9,12 48:19 49:20 51:11,23 54:9 55:2 57:19 58:9,19 60:23 62:3,3 63:13 64:13 69:16 74:6 76:2,7,9
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12 tactic 89:1 tailed 2:3 tailor 9:20 take 4:3 7:22 16:18	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5 taxes 128:14 technical 6:8 8:18 8:23 9:1 10:5 11:13 24:17 25:8 77:18 78:23 87:14 118:15 119:6,17 120:23	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7 33:25 38:25 39:3,5 40:4,9 41:16 42:8 42:10 44:22 46:19 55:16,17 57:1,1,5 59:5 61:7 65:10,11 67:12 73:5,6 74:24	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12 47:9,12 48:19 49:20 51:11,23 54:9 55:2 57:19 58:9,19 60:23 62:3,3 63:13 64:13 69:16 74:6 76:2,7,9 83:3,16 97:2,6,7,22
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12 tactic 89:1 tailed 2:3 tailor 9:20 take 4:3 7:22 16:18 16:18 17:15 24:13	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5 taxes 128:14 technical 6:8 8:18 8:23 9:1 10:5 11:13 24:17 25:8 77:18 78:23 87:14 118:15 119:6,17 120:23 130:23 131:3,21	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7 33:25 38:25 39:3,5 40:4,9 41:16 42:8 42:10 44:22 46:19 55:16,17 57:1,1,5 59:5 61:7 65:10,11 67:12 73:5,6 74:24 77:14,15 79:10	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12 47:9,12 48:19 49:20 51:11,23 54:9 55:2 57:19 58:9,19 60:23 62:3,3 63:13 64:13 69:16 74:6 76:2,7,9 83:3,16 97:2,6,7,22 97:23,24 98:7 99:13
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12 tactic 89:1 tailed 2:3 tailor 9:20 take 4:3 7:22 16:18 16:18 17:15 24:13 25:14 30:20 39:17	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5 taxes 128:14 technical 6:8 8:18 8:23 9:1 10:5 11:13 24:17 25:8 77:18 78:23 87:14 118:15 119:6,17 120:23 130:23 131:3,21 132:21 150:23	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7 33:25 38:25 39:3,5 40:4,9 41:16 42:8 42:10 44:22 46:19 55:16,17 57:1,1,5 59:5 61:7 65:10,11 67:12 73:5,6 74:24 77:14,15 79:10 82:24 83:19 91:20	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12 47:9,12 48:19 49:20 51:11,23 54:9 55:2 57:19 58:9,19 60:23 62:3,3 63:13 64:13 69:16 74:6 76:2,7,9 83:3,16 97:2,6,7,22 97:23,24 98:7 99:13 108:23 123:5,16
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12 tactic 89:1 tailed 2:3 tailor 9:20 take 4:3 7:22 16:18 16:18 17:15 24:13 25:14 30:20 39:17 40:1 48:5 50:22	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5 taxes 128:14 technical 6:8 8:18 8:23 9:1 10:5 11:13 24:17 25:8 77:18 78:23 87:14 118:15 119:6,17 120:23 130:23 131:3,21 132:21 150:23 152:23	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7 33:25 38:25 39:3,5 40:4,9 41:16 42:8 42:10 44:22 46:19 55:16,17 57:1,1,5 59:5 61:7 65:10,11 67:12 73:5,6 74:24 77:14,15 79:10 82:24 83:19 91:20 91:23,23,25 93:4,6	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12 47:9,12 48:19 49:20 51:11,23 54:9 55:2 57:19 58:9,19 60:23 62:3,3 63:13 64:13 69:16 74:6 76:2,7,9 83:3,16 97:2,6,7,22 97:23,24 98:7 99:13 108:23 123:5,16 127:9 128:2 133:10
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12 tactic 89:1 tailed 2:3 tailor 9:20 take 4:3 7:22 16:18 16:18 17:15 24:13 25:14 30:20 39:17 40:1 48:5 50:22 51:18 59:14 66:3,10	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5 taxes 128:14 technical 6:8 8:18 8:23 9:1 10:5 11:13 24:17 25:8 77:18 78:23 87:14 118:15 119:6,17 120:23 130:23 131:3,21 132:21 150:23 technically 141:25	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7 33:25 38:25 39:3,5 40:4,9 41:16 42:8 42:10 44:22 46:19 55:16,17 57:1,1,5 59:5 61:7 65:10,11 67:12 73:5,6 74:24 77:14,15 79:10 82:24 83:19 91:20 91:23,23,25 93:4,6 93:7,8 94:11,14	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12 47:9,12 48:19 49:20 51:11,23 54:9 55:2 57:19 58:9,19 60:23 62:3,3 63:13 64:13 69:16 74:6 76:2,7,9 83:3,16 97:2,6,7,22 97:23,24 98:7 99:13 108:23 123:5,16 127:9 128:2 133:10 135:22,23 137:17
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12 tactic 89:1 tailed 2:3 tailor 9:20 take 4:3 7:22 16:18 16:18 17:15 24:13 25:14 30:20 39:17 40:1 48:5 50:22 51:18 59:14 66:3,10 71:13 79:7 80:10	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5 taxes 128:14 technical 6:8 8:18 8:23 9:1 10:5 11:13 24:17 25:8 77:18 78:23 87:14 118:15 119:6,17 120:23 130:23 131:3,21 132:21 150:23 152:23 technically 141:25 technologies 131:6	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7 33:25 38:25 39:3,5 40:4,9 41:16 42:8 42:10 44:22 46:19 55:16,17 57:1,1,5 59:5 61:7 65:10,11 67:12 73:5,6 74:24 77:14,15 79:10 82:24 83:19 91:20 91:23,23,25 93:4,6 93:7,8 94:11,14 96:11,16,17,18	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12 47:9,12 48:19 49:20 51:11,23 54:9 55:2 57:19 58:9,19 60:23 62:3,3 63:13 64:13 69:16 74:6 76:2,7,9 83:3,16 97:2,6,7,22 97:23,24 98:7 99:13 108:23 123:5,16 127:9 128:2 133:10 135:22,23 137:17 138:21 147:4
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12 tactic 89:1 tailed 2:3 tailor 9:20 take 4:3 7:22 16:18 16:18 17:15 24:13 25:14 30:20 39:17 40:1 48:5 50:22 51:18 59:14 66:3,10 71:13 79:7 80:10 88:16 94:7 95:6	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5 taxes 128:14 technical 6:8 8:18 8:23 9:1 10:5 11:13 24:17 25:8 77:18 78:23 87:14 118:15 119:6,17 120:23 130:23 131:3,21 132:21 150:23 152:23 technically 141:25 technologies 131:6 technology 136:21	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7 33:25 38:25 39:3,5 40:4,9 41:16 42:8 42:10 44:22 46:19 55:16,17 57:1,1,5 59:5 61:7 65:10,11 67:12 73:5,6 74:24 77:14,15 79:10 82:24 83:19 91:20 91:23,23,25 93:4,6 93:7,8 94:11,14 96:11,16,17,18 101:21,24 102:7,8	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12 47:9,12 48:19 49:20 51:11,23 54:9 55:2 57:19 58:9,19 60:23 62:3,3 63:13 64:13 69:16 74:6 76:2,7,9 83:3,16 97:2,6,7,22 97:23,24 98:7 99:13 108:23 123:5,16 127:9 128:2 133:10 135:22,23 137:17 138:21 147:4 think 6:21 13:18
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12 tactic 89:1 tailed 2:3 tailor 9:20 take 4:3 7:22 16:18 16:18 17:15 24:13 25:14 30:20 39:17 40:1 48:5 50:22 51:18 59:14 66:3,10 71:13 79:7 80:10 88:16 94:7 95:6 97:20 103:4,25	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5 taxes 128:14 technical 6:8 8:18 8:23 9:1 10:5 11:13 24:17 25:8 77:18 78:23 87:14 118:15 119:6,17 120:23 130:23 131:3,21 132:21 150:23 152:23 technically 141:25 technologies 131:6 technology 136:21 136:22 141:1,3	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7 33:25 38:25 39:3,5 40:4,9 41:16 42:8 42:10 44:22 46:19 55:16,17 57:1,1,5 59:5 61:7 65:10,11 67:12 73:5,6 74:24 77:14,15 79:10 82:24 83:19 91:20 91:23,23,25 93:4,6 93:7,8 94:11,14 96:11,16,17,18 101:21,24 102:7,8 104:14 105:21,23	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12 47:9,12 48:19 49:20 51:11,23 54:9 55:2 57:19 58:9,19 60:23 62:3,3 63:13 64:13 69:16 74:6 76:2,7,9 83:3,16 97:2,6,7,22 97:23,24 98:7 99:13 108:23 123:5,16 127:9 128:2 133:10 135:22,23 137:17 138:21 147:4 think 6:21 13:18 16:14,18 19:8 29:24
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12 tactic 89:1 tailed 2:3 tailor 9:20 take 4:3 7:22 16:18 16:18 17:15 24:13 25:14 30:20 39:17 40:1 48:5 50:22 51:18 59:14 66:3,10 71:13 79:7 80:10 88:16 94:7 95:6 97:20 103:4,25 104:16 106:20	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5 taxes 128:14 technical 6:8 8:18 8:23 9:1 10:5 11:13 24:17 25:8 77:18 78:23 87:14 118:15 119:6,17 120:23 130:23 131:3,21 132:21 150:23 152:23 technically 141:25 technologies 131:6 technology 136:21 136:22 141:1,3 telcott 57:22,23	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7 33:25 38:25 39:3,5 40:4,9 41:16 42:8 42:10 44:22 46:19 55:16,17 57:1,1,5 59:5 61:7 65:10,11 67:12 73:5,6 74:24 77:14,15 79:10 82:24 83:19 91:20 91:23,23,25 93:4,6 93:7,8 94:11,14 96:11,16,17,18 101:21,24 102:7,8 104:14 105:21,23 106:18,22 107:7	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12 47:9,12 48:19 49:20 51:11,23 54:9 55:2 57:19 58:9,19 60:23 62:3,3 63:13 64:13 69:16 74:6 76:2,7,9 83:3,16 97:2,6,7,22 97:23,24 98:7 99:13 108:23 123:5,16 127:9 128:2 133:10 135:22,23 137:17 138:21 147:4 think 6:21 13:18 16:14,18 19:8 29:24 30:17,18,24 32:19
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12 tactic 89:1 tailed 2:3 tailor 9:20 take 4:3 7:22 16:18 16:18 17:15 24:13 25:14 30:20 39:17 40:1 48:5 50:22 51:18 59:14 66:3,10 71:13 79:7 80:10 88:16 94:7 95:6 97:20 103:4,25 104:16 106:20 107:5 122:15	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5 taxes 128:14 technical 6:8 8:18 8:23 9:1 10:5 11:13 24:17 25:8 77:18 78:23 87:14 118:15 119:6,17 120:23 130:23 131:3,21 132:21 150:23 152:23 technically 141:25 technologies 131:6 technology 136:21 136:22 141:1,3 telcott 57:22,23 tell 11:5,25 19:25	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7 33:25 38:25 39:3,5 40:4,9 41:16 42:8 42:10 44:22 46:19 55:16,17 57:1,1,5 59:5 61:7 65:10,11 67:12 73:5,6 74:24 77:14,15 79:10 82:24 83:19 91:20 91:23,23,25 93:4,6 93:7,8 94:11,14 96:11,16,17,18 101:21,24 102:7,8 104:14 105:21,23 106:18,22 107:7 108:10,12 113:22	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12 47:9,12 48:19 49:20 51:11,23 54:9 55:2 57:19 58:9,19 60:23 62:3,3 63:13 64:13 69:16 74:6 76:2,7,9 83:3,16 97:2,6,7,22 97:23,24 98:7 99:13 108:23 123:5,16 127:9 128:2 133:10 135:22,23 137:17 138:21 147:4 think 6:21 13:18 16:14,18 19:8 29:24 30:17,18,24 32:19 34:19,25 35:2,9
t 20:11 table 27:12 32:20,22 59:19 60:25 66:17 66:21 107:17 113:4 113:6 tackle 75:15 77:12 tactic 89:1 tailed 2:3 tailor 9:20 take 4:3 7:22 16:18 16:18 17:15 24:13 25:14 30:20 39:17 40:1 48:5 50:22 51:18 59:14 66:3,10 71:13 79:7 80:10 88:16 94:7 95:6 97:20 103:4,25 104:16 106:20	118:17 132:13 142:19 146:7 148:8 tamaya 1:13 2:19 targeted 59:18 tasked 16:14 17:5 taxes 128:14 technical 6:8 8:18 8:23 9:1 10:5 11:13 24:17 25:8 77:18 78:23 87:14 118:15 119:6,17 120:23 130:23 131:3,21 132:21 150:23 152:23 technically 141:25 technologies 131:6 technology 136:21 136:22 141:1,3 telcott 57:22,23	tgra 124:19 thank 2:8,14 4:6,7 4:15,25 5:1 13:10 13:11,24,25 14:2,3 14:6 15:2,12 33:7 33:25 38:25 39:3,5 40:4,9 41:16 42:8 42:10 44:22 46:19 55:16,17 57:1,1,5 59:5 61:7 65:10,11 67:12 73:5,6 74:24 77:14,15 79:10 82:24 83:19 91:20 91:23,23,25 93:4,6 93:7,8 94:11,14 96:11,16,17,18 101:21,24 102:7,8 104:14 105:21,23 106:18,22 107:7	24:15 25:13 29:5 30:2 35:3 36:25 37:1,6 38:15,20 39:6,8,14 40:6 41:22,24,24 42:1,12 47:9,12 48:19 49:20 51:11,23 54:9 55:2 57:19 58:9,19 60:23 62:3,3 63:13 64:13 69:16 74:6 76:2,7,9 83:3,16 97:2,6,7,22 97:23,24 98:7 99:13 108:23 123:5,16 127:9 128:2 133:10 135:22,23 137:17 138:21 147:4 think 6:21 13:18 16:14,18 19:8 29:24 30:17,18,24 32:19

[think - tribe] Page 29

45:25 46:3,4 47:22	three 6:8 19:13	149:13 150:13	transcripts 21:1,10
51:5 52:19 53:15	48:15 65:18 82:13	153:3	21:12 149:9
54:22 55:4 58:14,17	118:23 126:18	today's 6:9 12:20	transition 139:24
61:8 66:4,24 68:6	127:2 141:17	toledo 84:1	transparency 87:10
71:25 72:21 73:3	thrown 89:8,13	tolerated 89:6	111:7 130:16 137:8
74:15 75:17 76:17	thursday 9:7	tom 47:1,1 138:19	transparent 10:11
76:24 77:7,11,16,18	ticketing 115:4	138:20	11:21 12:6 21:17
77:20 78:19,22,25	tide 43:5	tomorrow 13:10	32:1 108:25 148:17
79:17 80:8,12 81:23	tier 95:15,16 96:6	tool 19:1,5	travel 118:20
82:15 83:15 84:3	time 2:21 6:2,3 7:22	top 22:6 26:20 44:1	151:18
96:12,19 101:2,18	7:23 11:16,25 13:7	55:9 99:21 104:6	traveled 5:1
103:3 104:7 108:23	13:16,23 15:15,17	127:25 128:10	traveling 5:1 141:6
108:25 109:21	16:7 20:2 33:17	147:18,19	travels 154:7
115:6 116:3,7,16,19	39:17,18,23 42:25	topic 12:20 17:1	treasury 74:18
117:7,10 118:16	57:2 58:3 63:7,22	22:1 25:11 119:13	117:22
122:19 123:1,16,23	65:9,18 66:4 72:25	119:13	treated 89:11
124:4,9 127:8,9	73:2 77:8 78:24	topics 61:20 65:4	tremendous 57:4
128:7,12,23 129:7	81:1 86:19 87:7,25	87:14 112:15 119:9	trial 124:1
129:17 130:16	88:16 93:16 94:24	totally 82:18	tribal 1:10 7:19
131:5,12 134:12,21	95:6 97:3,4,20 98:8	touch 100:14 121:3	16:19,20 18:16,18
135:1 137:5,18,20	98:18,22,25 106:24	touched 61:20	19:19 21:7,12 25:6
138:8,14 141:2	109:4 114:8,14,17	tour 20:12	26:5,24 27:4,9 28:5
151:5	116:15,20 117:14	tracie 1:18 4:11	28:8,8 32:21 33:17
thinking 28:22 52:5	121:10 122:6	83:22	33:19,22,23 34:8,12
55:25 56:2 59:11	129:13 136:18	traditional 84:23	37:12,18 39:19 42:5
77:8 117:8,22 132:1	139:12 144:2,10	97:6	44:15 46:21 47:3,20
146:18	149:16 150:2,18	trail 1:14	48:16,17 49:9,13
third 131:25 155:17	151:23 154:5	train 131:24 132:9	50:8 53:4,11 60:9
thorough 62:8	timeframe 20:7	trained 132:3	66:12,15 72:14,23
104:17	timeframes 24:5	training 6:8 8:19,23	73:8 85:14 90:13,21
thoroughly 98:1,9	timeline 96:24	9:1,15,16,16,20	90:22 91:3,6,7,21
thought 11:17 31:15	timely 11:7 141:15	10:5 64:17,18,24	91:21 94:17,25 95:1
31:21 52:13 69:10	times 5:22 28:6	75:8,9,20,22 77:19	95:7 107:19 110:11
69:14 70:21 75:8	35:13 43:17,18 46:9	78:3 118:15,25	112:17 120:17
76:21 110:18	64:4 86:2 125:10	119:5,17,21 120:6	123:10 124:18
112:25 123:12	today 4:17 5:2,19	130:24 131:3,4,21	126:4,9,16 129:7,8
146:2 147:2 148:1	6:11,20 7:14 8:9	137:2 140:25 141:1	130:10,19 131:18
148:25	10:21,25 11:2,18,23	141:2 150:23	133:22,22 135:10
thoughts 7:16 13:15	11:23,24,25 12:4	151:12 152:3,13,16	136:8 137:15
14:16,25 16:4,20	13:11 15:18 31:2	152:24	143:12 144:12
29:12,16 47:6 57:14	44:23 45:3 66:1	trainings 151:14	146:11
57:21 61:10,12	84:4 93:4 97:10	transcribed 32:13	tribe 4:12 7:8,9 8:1
117:15	100:21 101:23	transcript 31:5	12:3 23:6 24:3 26:7
thousands 86:7	102:4,10,16 130:25	155:8	26:9 28:3 32:17
125:1,9,9	132:13 148:6,12		33:24 42:4 47:2

[tribe - vendor] Page 30

50:11,23 52:2 56:16	124:22 125:4,11	149:1	unintended 104:24
57:2 58:24 60:23	124.22 123.4,11	turned 44:10	unique 113:8 120:2
62:5,9 63:6 69:17	128:15,17 129:9,9	turnover 152:17	150:16,17
85:1 91:14 92:11,20	129:23 130:1,3,22	tuyuna 1:14	universe 29:14 33:9
93:3,6 100:3 103:9	130:25 132:2	tweaked 4:2	unlawfully 90:4
108:16 113:2,11	133:20 134:12,21	two 6:7 20:3,4,5	unnamed 115:13
119:10 122:6 125:2	135:1,14,22 136:7,7	23:17 31:6 57:7	unsupported 90:19
125:18 131:18	137:19,21,24	60:23 65:20,20	update 86:19 88:23
132:8 133:15,17	138:12 139:19	84:14 85:24 86:5	updates 25:10
134:11 138:20	141:5 143:13 144:4	87:16 92:13 93:17	updating 86:14
139:21 140:10	144:18 146:8,14	93:18,21 104:4	upgrade 3:14
143:5 147:6 148:12	147:13,14,23 148:3	106:11 108:17	ups 55:18
148:13,22 153:23	149:13,17 154:4	109:8 112:3,14	urge 86:24
tribe's 120:14	tried 75:15 78:11	114:3 118:22	use 19:5 28:20 63:22
tribes 2:17 4:25 6:2	80:22 142:24	119:13 120:3 130:3	69:22,23 73:22
6:4,14 7:4,5,6,12,18	trip 125:18	130:3 150:21	74:12 77:3 79:8
7:23 8:12,14 12:5	trips 86:6	type 52:16 125:8,20	82:14 83:5,6 104:7
15:8 17:20 23:18,20	trouble 76:22 77:9	types 46:13	104:8,8 120:18
24:10,24 25:21	true 155:7	u	127:7 141:13
26:18 27:1,13,18	trujillo 92:3,4,5		uses 69:13,14
29:18 30:15,16	94:11	ultimately 62:19	usher 66:10
31:16,20,21,23	truly 110:11	147:17 unanimous 85:16	usually 62:10
34:22,24 36:22 37:4	trust 36:3 45:12		utilize 28:20 142:3,9
37:5 38:6,13,25	89:21 90:1 110:12	uncertainty 70:25 121:14	142:12 150:6
39:4 47:20 48:14	trustees 85:13	underlying 65:22	utilizing 109:10
49:14,16,23 52:7,11	try 46:9 77:10 97:11	88:9	v
55:7 56:4,13,18,18	98:15 109:3 125:16	understand 28:12	vague 52:3
59:17 60:3,22 64:15	149:21 152:4	36:15,18 37:1 43:2	validity 68:20
65:16 66:9 68:8	trying 16:18 20:7	58:6 73:14 75:25	values 84:24
69:6 70:4 73:19,21	28:14,19 38:7,25	83:4 97:1 121:5	van 28:25 153:9
74:12 75:6 76:8	39:4 47:16,25 48:12	138:8 145:17 148:7	variance 127:2
78:1,10,19 79:1,8	50:9 51:7,11 55:7	152:12	variety 19:5,22
79:18,19,19 80:3,17	55:12 65:14 71:17	understanding	120:5
80:23 82:21 83:11	74:17 78:20 80:5,20	12:11 36:19 48:2	various 23:8 51:10
83:12 88:20,21,24	95:23 103:14,14	68:13 71:11 73:25	68:8 69:6 113:9
89:3,5,11 91:3,16	108:18 120:9	123:24 141:21	120:7 132:7 149:18
92:23 96:14 98:1	121:16 122:19	146:19	vegas 132:9
100:3 103:21 105:9	123:19 124:1	understood 55:1	velasquez 79:12,12
105:13,18 106:10	128:14,18 136:25	93:2 112:13	81:15,25 82:10,15
106:12 108:20	140:1 143:1 146:20	undertaking 18:15	velcro 20:12
113:3,8 115:10,13	tuesday 144:22	unfamiliar 89:16	vendor 120:15,18
116:7,14,18,25	tulalip 4:12	unfortunately 49:2	124:13,16,17,20
117:6 119:8 120:9	turn 2:4 4:5 12:21	uniform 80:5	125:12
120:10 121:12,15	15:5,25 33:4,5 63:7	1	
121:23 122:21,23	107:20 136:14	142:21	

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

[vendors - work] Page 31

vendors 73:23	14:23 15:12,19,19	57:5 59:22 60:15	wholly 00.20
127:19 128:20	16:3 21:18 25:14	65:7 69:15 70:5,9	wholly 90:20 wide 75:14 83:5,11
		75:17,24 76:2 80:8	101:11 127:22
versus 72:22 129:24 138:24	28:7,19 29:6,12	1	128:5,6
	30:7,8 32:25 33:8	81:23 82:11,12	/
viable 85:9 91:5,18	34:17 37:5 38:24	106:25 107:2 113:2	willing 26:7
92:11	39:3 42:10 44:3,22	117:2,3 119:16	wilson 47:1,2 57:11
vice 1:18 3:4 5:4,6	54:2,9 55:18 60:13	124:2 132:19	57:22 59:7 61:6
12:22,23 20:4 56:24	60:15 61:19 67:3	133:16 135:13	138:19,20 146:3
57:1,13 83:22	69:18 73:7,19 76:6	138:6,7,7 139:25	win 101:9,16 127:15
100:18 107:23	76:7,8,22 77:9,11	140:12,23 147:6,11	window 62:8 99:5
119:3 120:20 130:8	79:10 80:19,23	147:22 150:4	139:25 153:4,7
150:12 151:20	86:24 92:1,9 93:4	ways 19:23 48:18	wisdom 116:1
vicente 143:21,22	94:2 99:12 101:21	104:7 148:4	wise 75:20 76:25
vicenti 145:8,11,12	104:9,19 105:2,7	weakening 56:23	77:1 126:6
145:15,24	107:1 111:22 112:6	wealth 152:5	wish 39:7 93:7
view 138:6	112:19,22 113:16	wear 20:11	96:12 154:6
viewing 148:21	121:8 124:12	web 141:1	withdraw 26:13
views 76:1	127:13 128:15,16	website 21:2,6,21	145:22
violate 27:19	128:18 138:16	28:20 31:8 148:20	withdrawn 90:10
violation 23:10	142:8 144:1 145:18	149:23 151:21	wonderful 13:25
116:17 140:4,7,12	145:19,21 148:3	152:25	138:16
140:16,19,22	149:3,14 150:11,25	wedded 69:7	wondering 43:22
virtually 54:11	151:23 153:2,8,14	weeds 60:16 139:8	82:4 111:11 112:10
visitor 91:11	153:16	week 6:12 13:6	152:2
vital 154:2	wanted 16:17 17:23	17:13 20:23,24 31:3	word 55:20
vocabulary 52:21	22:6 34:23 35:9	144:22	wording 50:19,24
vocally 56:13	75:1 81:10 82:24	weeks 7:2 71:8	51:13 52:1 128:4
voice 27:12 112:22	84:4 100:20 101:4	98:11 141:17	145:1
113:3,17 131:13	102:11 120:20	149:11	words 5:8 55:14,15
135:2 143:15 151:2	wanting 71:13	weigh 11:11 15:8	58:15 67:4 103:20
void 12:10 24:3,7	104:25 108:24	45:5 154:6	work 3:2,5 11:16
volatility 126:25	110:14,22 115:25	weight 64:22	12:3 13:21 21:23
volition 73:16	wants 46:17 83:6	welcome 2:10,13,16	26:18 27:7 32:4
volunteers 73:16	washington 4:12	2:18 3:6 14:8 15:2	35:2,10,12 36:13
W	58:1 86:6 102:17	46:23 119:2 122:12	38:25 39:4 42:16,20
wait 22:9 111:5	watch 51:12 55:23	123:4 129:20	43:13 44:5 45:15
136:15	water 3:15 109:8	welcoming 16:5	51:9 52:3 54:8,10
waiting 61:25 83:25	waving 32:14	went 30:2 31:22,24	54:12 57:17 59:21
107:19	way 8:9,11 9:19	40:15 44:12 122:17	60:1 63:19 70:8
walk 28:25	10:1 19:25 20:8	138:2	78:1 90:16 93:11
walking 22:15 36:1	23:18 27:10 28:15	whatsoever 54:17	97:18 104:3 105:22
walking 22.13 30.1 wall 105:6	28:23 30:10 31:22	whereof 155:12	108:18 116:2,2
want 4:15,25 5:3,19	31:25 33:14,20	whip 43:10,25	118:8 119:16
8:5 10:5 14:6,8,20	35:10 36:1,13 41:11	wholeheartedly	137:17 138:9 152:4
0.5 10.5 14.0,0,20	42:1 53:18 56:9	50:1	154:1

[worked - zero] Page 32

worked 23:5 27:7	116.10 12 120.04
	116:12,13 138:24
28:3 39:8 42:20	138:24,25 139:1,2,4
43:11 82:15 86:2	139:6,9,13,13,14,20
100:24 132:5,7	139:23,24 141:11
working 5:10 6:2	143:23
9:2,17,17 25:7	years 2:24 4:1 5:25
43:21 58:7 60:10,11	20:3,5,6 22:3 23:5
62:4 63:5 64:4 65:5	24:16 25:7,19 28:4
72:16 73:12,12 79:4	29:25 35:16 39:1
79:5,6 101:1 102:10	43:12 54:7 65:19,20
105:24 115:5,7	65:21 67:14,20,22
116:14 117:8 122:5	70:12 81:4 84:25
122:6 136:21	86:6 100:25 103:10
137:10 153:23	104:22 108:17
workings 67:16	125:22 127:19
works 12:2,3 36:22	132:6 139:11
38:19 41:10 119:13	yep 43:12
140:17 141:14	yesterday 102:17
worst 34:20	119:25
worth 14:10 129:25	Z
139:21	zero 115:3 116:6,9
wow 153:9	
wrap 150:11	
writing 106:21 108:3 129:15,20	
writings 105:17	
written 19:21 21:1	
50:5 61:5,8 65:12	
67:23 68:2,3,7 84:7	
86:12 104:12	
113:21 115:16,17	
119:4 130:13	
138:14	
wrong 76:17	
X	
x 147:10	
y	
yada 114:10,11,11	
yaqui 47:2 138:20	
year 3:3 22:25,25	
23:4,6 35:17 48:23	
50:11 65:19 75:16	
75:16 94:22 95:11	
96:9,10,13 97:3,8	
	İ