

### NOV 5 2004

## VIA FACSIMILE & REGULAR MAIL

Mr. Gonnie Mendez Ely Shoshone Tribe Silver Sage Travel Center 963 Pioche Hwy Ely, NV 89381 Fax: (775) 289-3156

Robert P. Manz President of Gaming Miami Tribe of Oklahoma Business Development Authority 3410 P Northwest Miami, OK 74354 Fax: (918) 540-5290

> Re: Draft Agreement between Miami Tribe of Oklahoma Business Development Authority and the Ely Shoshone Tribe

Dear Mr. Mendez and Mr. Manz:

Via a facsimile sent on May 28, 2004, the National Indian Gaming Commission ("NIGC") received for review a draft Agreement Between Miami Tribe of Oklahoma Business Development Authority ("MTBDA") and the Ely Shoshone Tribe ("Tribe") (hereinafter the "Agreement"). The NIGC reviews this Agreement pursuant to the requirements of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act ("IGRA"). The purpose of our review is to determine whether the document constitutes a management contract or collateral agreement to a management contract and is therefore subject to our review and approval under the IGRA.

We conclude that the Agreement does not constitute a management contract subject to our review and approval. However, we are concerned that the Agreement evidences a proprietary interest by the MTBDA in the Tribe's gaming activity. Such a proprietary interest would be contrary to IGRA, NIGC regulations, and the Tribe's approved gaming ordinance. See 25 U.S.C. § 2710 (b)(2)(A); 25 C.F.R. § 522.4(b)(1); Ely Shoshone Tribal Gaming Ordinance No. 2004-EST-05 § 19.A.

NATIONAL HEADQUARTERS 1441 L St. NW, Suite 9100, Washington, DC 20005 Tel: 202.632.7003 Fax: 202.632.7066 www.NIGC.GOV

# Ely Shoshone Tribe Page 2 of 4

Consequently, because of our concern, we request that the parties provide us with a justification for the fee obtained by the MTBDA in this instance. Please provide such justification in writing and submit it to us as soon as possible.

### **Authority**

The authority of the NIGC to review and approve gaming related contracts is limited by the IGRA to management contracts and collateral agreements to management contracts. 25 U.S.C. § 2711. The authority of the Secretary of the U.S. Department of the Interior to approve such agreements under 25 U.S.C. § 81 was transferred to the NIGC pursuant to the IGRA. 25 U.S.C. § 2711(h).

#### **Management Contracts**

The NIGC has defined the term "management contract" to mean "any contract, subcontract, or collateral agreement between an Indian tribe and a contractor or between a contractor and a subcontractor if such contract or agreement provides for the management of all or part of a gaming operation." 25 C.F.R. § 502.15. The NIGC has defined "collateral agreement" to mean "any contract, whether or not in writing, that is related, either directly or indirectly, to a management contract, or to any rights, duties or obligations created between a tribe (or any of its members, entities, or organizations) and a management contractor or subcontractor)." 25 C.F.R. § 502.5.

Management encompasses activities such as planning, organizing, directing, coordinating, and controlling. See NIGC Bulletin No. 94-5. In the view of the NIGC, the performance of any one of these activities with respect to all or part of a gaming operation constitutes management for the purpose of determining whether an agreement for the performance of such activities is a management contract requiring NIGC approval.

The Agreement at issue here does not establish a management relationship and, consequently, does not require the Chairman's approval.

### **Proprietary Interest**

Among IGRA's requirements for approval of tribal gaming ordinances is that "the Indian tribe will have the sole proprietary interest and responsibility for the conduct of any gaming activity." 25 U.S.C. § 2710(b)(2)(A). Under this section, if any entity other than a tribe possesses a proprietary interest in the gaming activity, gaming may not take place.

As noted above, we are concerned that the Agreement bestows a proprietary interest in the gaming activity on MTBDA, in violation of IGRA, its implementing regulations and the Tribe's gaming ordinance, because of the excessive compensation provided to MTBDA in proportion to the services rendered.

2

## Ely Shoshone Tribe Page 3 of 4

Management contracts approved by the Chairman of the NIGC have a fee cap set at thirty percent (30%) of net revenues or forty percent (40%) of net revenues if the capital investment required and the gaming operation's income projections require the higher fee. See 25 U.S.C. §§ 2711(c)(1)-(2). The IGRA defines net revenues as: "gross revenues of an Indian gaming activity less amounts paid out as, or paid for, prizes and total operating expenses, excluding management fees." See 25 U.S.C. § 2703(9) (emphasis added).

Here, although MTBDA does not provide any management services, the Agreement gives MTBDA a fee equaling

MTBDA will also receive

MTBDA is

64

54

thus receiving more under the Agreement than would be allowed under a management contract.

In light of MTBDA's fee, we are concerned that the amount of the Tribe's actual profit paid to the MTBDA is contrary to IGRA. It is possible for

to equal a far higher amount of net revenue because operating costs, such as electricity, building maintenance, and employee salaries, have not been deducted. Therefore, we request that the parties provide us with a <u>written</u> justification for the fee as soon as possible.

#### Conclusion

Although we conclude that the Agreement does not constitute a management contract, we are concerned that it bestows a proprietary interest in gaming activity on MTBDA in violation of IGRA, its implementing regulations, and the Tribe's gaming ordinance. Due to this concern, we request that the parties provide a justification for the amount of MTBDA's fee to us in writing.

]

IF

2

3

Ely Shoshone Tribe Page 4 of 4

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Staff Attorney Andrea Lord at (202) 632-7003.

Sincerely,

Pony J. Colima

Penny J. Coleman Acting General Counsel