1	
2	
3	2018 NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION MEETING
4	TRIBAL CONSULTATION SESSION
5	WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2018
6	1:00 p.m.
7	<u>-</u>
8	POTAWATOMI BINGO & CASINO
9	HARMONY ROOM
10	1611 WEST CANAL STREET
11	MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53233
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
) [

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	CHAIRMAN JONODEV CHAUDHURI
4	VICE CHAIR KATHRYN ISOM-CLAUSE
5	COMMISSIONER E. SEQUOYAH SIMERMEYER
6	
7	* * * *
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Okay. Good
3	afternoon. Before we begin our formal
4	consultation, we always open with a blessing. And
5	we're very honored to have a blessing for us today
6	from Forest County Gaming Commission Chairman,
7	Mr. Ken George, Jr. Mr. George, if you'd like to
8	lead us.
9	(Blessing.)
10	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Before we introduce
11	ourselves, are there any it's just truly an
12	honor to be here at Forest County. And always give
13	thanks to being allowed into the homelands. Any
14	tribal nation. We're also happy to be among so
15	many tribes from the area, whether it be Menominee,
16	Ho-Chunk, Stockbridge, Oneida or Ojibwa bands.
17	Are there any additional statements from
18	the host nation before we begin?
19	MR. GEORGE: No, sir.
20	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Again, thank you so
21	very much for that blessing. And look forward to
22	moving forward today with open hearts and open
23	minds.
24	My name's Jonodev Chaudhuri, Muscogee
25	Creek Bear Clan. I'm also East Indian. And very

1	nonored to serve as charrillan of the National Indian
2	Gaming Commission.
3	I'm going to turn it over to my fellow
4	commissioners to introduce ourselves before I get
5	into a little bit of housekeeping about today's
б	discussion. So I'll turn it over to our vice
7	chair.
8	VICE CHAIR ISOM-CLAUSE: Thank you. Good
9	afternoon. I'm Kathryn Isom-Clause. I'm vice
10	chair of the Commission. Can you hear me all
11	right? I'm Taos Pueblo, but actually grew up in
L2	Michigan. So it's kind of nice being back here.
13	Feels like home. Appreciate being by the Great
14	Lakes.
15	So I'd like to echo the thanks. Thank
16	you for hosting us here. This is an incredible
17	eagle feather. It's an incredible honor. Just,
18	you know, a little bit speechless about it. Thank
19	you so much for the honor.
20	The tribal consultation for us is a means
21	for us to listen to tribes, to the regulators who
22	are primary regulators, and also to honor the
23	government-to-government relationship that we have

between the federal government and tribes. It's a

time for us to present our ideas for ways that we

24

1	think we can make the Agency and industry more
2	efficient, but it's also a time for us to listen to
3	you. And so we hope that we'll have some really
4	good dialogue later on.

This round of our consultation sessions is following up on some previous sessions that we've had as this current commission. We're hoping that these are some kind of topics that will reflect our initiatives and kind of bring us full circle as a commission.

While we are limited with our current commission, it's not to say we plan on any policy changes or any other change in our direction. In fact, we plan on being very stable moving forward.

We just released our strategic plan.

That's on our website right now. And you'll see it has our current initiatives that we've been working on this entire time as a commission. Those are protecting against gamesmanships on the backs of tribes, developing outreach to small and rural gaming establishments, staying ahead of the technology curve, we're putting a strong workforce, as well as internal operational excellence. And so you'll see that's for the years 2018 through 2022.

So I do encourage you all to take a look at that.

Τ	Besides that, we're just really looking
2	forward to hearing your feedback. And thank you,
3	again, for all being here.
4	COMMISSIONER SIMERMEYER: Good afternoon.
5	I'm Sequoyah Simermeyer. I'm Coharie. My tribe's
6	community is in eastern North Carolina. And I'm
7	the third member of the Commission.
8	I want to join my colleagues in saying,
9	Thank you, Chairman George. It was that's quite
10	an honor to receive. And we're very I join my
11	colleagues in saying we're very thankful for that.
12	And thanks to the Potawatomi community for hosting
13	this meeting in this facility today.
14	I also want to just briefly share a bit
15	about some of my perspectives in terms of my role
16	in the Commission, how I approach the work along
17	with my colleagues.
18	One of the kind of important
19	considerations for me is in looking at the intent
20	and meaning behind the Indian Gaming Regulatory
21	Act. It not only provided the federal framework
22	for regulation, but its policy objectives have been
23	important in growing what's become an over
24	\$31 billion industry that's benefitting Indian
25	people and making lives better in Indian Country.

1	Secondry, it's important for me in the
2	work that I'm doing on the Commission is just
3	really rely on the partnerships that are important,
4	both within the Agency we have institutional
5	knowledge that exists there with our dozens of
6	auditors, compliance officers, financial analysts,
7	attorneys, but also the over 6,000 members of the
8	Indian Country's regulatory community, too. It's
9	important to making informed decisions and more
10	defensible federal actions.
11	And lastly, it's important, I think, in
12	the work we do as a commission, and my colleagues
13	share in being diplomatic in our decision-making
14	processes in honoring intergovernmental
15	relationships that tribes establish in order to set
16	the path for and achieve the goals for their own
17	people. That's a vision only tribes can institute.
18	So it's an important thing that we, whether it's
19	through consultation or through other types of
20	decision-making, that we seek that feedback and
21	consideration and are diplomatic and have an
22	understanding of how our actions are impacting that
23	intergovernmental tool for tribes.
24	So thank you all for being here. And

thanks for the opportunity to engage in

1	consultation,	and	to	jump-start	the	process	at	the
2	federal level.	Т	hank	you.				

3 CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you fellow 4 commissioners.

So we have topics to discuss today, as Vice Chair mentioned. These topics flow out of consultations and discussions that we've had with Indian Country as a full commission for a number of years. We're all committed to ensure and continue stable operations at NIGC, and today's consultation is just a continuation of that.

while some of us may have terms that are ending soon, I think I can speak for us all in saying that we're proud to note that every step that we've taken as an agency has been done hand-in-hand with tribes with every effort to have our actions flow out of meaningful, active consultation. And so consultation for us means working with our partners before we ever put pen to paper. Although some of the topics we are going to be hearing about today have some draft language that we've already circulated for discussion, that draft language was developed through coordination and discussions with our partners.

I understand that people may have travel

1	arrangements. We're scheduled to go through
2	3 o'clock today. No shame in finishing a little
3	early or a little bit late. But if anybody needs
4	to make a statement on the record before getting on
5	the road, we're happy to be flexible
6	structure-wise. Just feel free to let us know and
7	we're happy to get you on the record.
8	Does anybody need to get a statement on
9	the record before getting on the road right now?
10	Okay.
11	MR. FUNMAKER: I'd like to make a
12	statement.
13	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Sure.
14	So that others may benefit from our
15	discussions, these our discussions today will be
16	transcribed. And so for the benefit of the
17	transcriptionist, if we could just say our name and
18	tribal affiliation.
19	MR. FUNMAKER: Yeah, my name is Gary
20	Funmaker. I'm from the Ho-Chunk Nation. I'm one
21	of the tribal elders. I hit that golden number of
22	70. I moved to Milwaukee back in 1952 when they
23	relocated Native American people to the city for
24	acculturation. So I used to crawl up on 27th
25	Street viaduct, and we used to get those baby

1	pigeons and take them down to Wisconsin Avenue and
2	sell them to the Chinese. So I've been in this
3	town when they won the World Series in '57.
4	One thing that I noticed, and I don't
5	know whether I tried to get ahold of the Indian
6	Health Service, but is the lack of senior care on a
7	lot of our reservations, including Ho-Chunk. You
8	know what I mean by senior care? Senior citizens.
9	We send our people downtown. And I know that NIGA
10	talks about the health education and welfare.
11	Now, I think that we should be encouraged
12	or encouraging the tribes to take a percentage of
13	their money, or if not the percentage of the money
14	that you get, and make sure that the seniors are
15	taken care of on the reservations, which is part of
16	our culture, correct? Can I get an amen to that?
17	Is that right?
18	Every time I hear a politician run, he's
19	talking about the elders and the veterans. I'm a
20	Vietnam veteran. I served '64 to '67. And this
21	fellow Ho-Chunk member over there's also a Vietnam
22	veteran.
23	But that's what I want to encourage that
24	we look at how can we get some funding to the
25	tribes. And of course, they'll come up with their

1	part, but for senior care. If somebody did an
2	analysis on that, you'd probably find a nominal
3	amount of tribes that have it. I know that
4	Michigan has got a very aggressive one down in
5	southern Michigan. And I think in Arizona, they
6	have a kind of like a little community for their
7	elders. So you're going to have people that can
8	take care of them for their medical needs,
9	preservation of the language. All those things
10	could be dovetailed by creating these communities
11	for our elders. And, you know, let them freely
12	speak in their own language. If they want to eat
13	some wongchick (phonetic), which means Indian food,
14	we'd have people come in and prepare that food.
15	But they'd feel at home.
16	And then if we would hook them up using
17	either Kindles or, you know, the Skype so that they
18	can speak to their relatives maybe that are in
19	hospitals or wherever in the United States. We
20	don't have to fly anymore. We can communicate
21	anywhere we wish.
22	So that's my heart, that's my prayer.
23	And I thank you for the gentleman for sharing

that song. It was from his heart. And it really

touched my heart. And I know that when we talk

24

1	about our elders, we talk about them with great
2	respect. You know, they created a lot of things
3	that we have right here. That's why we're sitting
4	here today because somebody paid the dues. You
5	know, including the people in the '70s that marched
6	for self-determination. That's why we're here.
7	So I back you up completely. And I'll be
8	holding you guys up in prayer, Chairman and the
9	board. And so thank you for your time. Gary
10	Funmaker, Ho-Chunk Nation.
11	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you so much,
12	Mr. Funmaker. Brief comment: That's one of the
13	things that I love about Indian Country and Indian
14	Gaming. You see efforts across the board to figure
15	out ways to take care of one another that you don't
16	see in other commercial in commercial
17	activities. So thank you so much for those
18	comments.
19	MR. FUNMAKER: Thank you, sir.
20	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: So the Commission's
21	introduced themselves. I'm going to go around the
22	table. The way we have our topics structured
23	today, we'll hear a brief presentation from one of
24	our subject matter experts. And then we'll open it
25	up for discussion.

1	Just so we know who all's in the room,
2	I'm just going have to our presenters introduce
3	themselves. But I do want to make the point that
4	we have a lot of folks from NIGC, including Mr. Ben
5	Buck, our compliance officer, and Ms. Linda Durbin,
6	our regional director, who helped put today's
7	consultation on, in conjunction with Forest County,
8	made all the arrangements. Many, many thanks for
9	our team on the ground.
10	But if we could introduce ourselves
11	briefly so that the tribal representatives and the
12	audience know who we are. So those of us at the
13	table.
14	MR. THOMAS: I'm Dustin Thomas. I'm the
15	director of compliance.
16	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: And your nation?
17	MR. THOMAS: I'm from the Mohawk Nation.
18	MR. KAQUATOSH: Gerald Kaquatosh,
19	governmental affairs. I'm Menominee.
20	MS. LAWSON: Jennifer Lawson. I'm from
21	the Office of General Counsel. I'm the regional
22	attorney with the Office of General Counsel.
23	MS. THOMAS: Good afternoon. Christina
24	Thomas. I'm the chief of staff for the National
25	Indian Gaming Commission. I'm also a member of the

T	Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwa from Minnesota, so I'm
2	happy to be back in the Midwest.
3	MS. LEE: Good afternoon. My name is
4	Yvonne Lee, director of finance for the NIGC.
5	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Sometimes, depending
6	on the size of the audience, we'll have everybody
7	in the room introduce themselves. I don't want to
8	put anybody on the spot. Anybody that wants to get
9	on the record about who you represent, feel free to
10	now. Otherwise, in the course of Q and A or
11	discussion, please feel free to mention your name,
12	tribal affiliation. And if you're here
13	representing a tribe other than your own, please
14	let us know that as well.
15	Anybody want to get on the record and
16	maybe we can just go around the room.
17	MR. YOUNG: Good afternoon, everybody.
18	My name is Mark Young, vice chair of the Forest
19	County Potawatomi Gaming Commission. Tribal member
20	also.
21	MR. GEORGE: Good afternoon. Kenneth
22	George, Jr., Forest County Potawatomi Gaming
23	Commission Chairman. Also, a tribal member of the
24	Forest County Potawatomi Tribe.

MR. NICHOLS: Good afternoon. Jason

1	Nichols. Executive director for the Forest County
2	Potawatomi Gaming Commission. And a proud member
3	of the Muscogee Creek Nation.
4	MS. TERRIO: Good afternoon. Terrie
5	Terrio. Stockbridge-Munsee Tribe. And I'm also
б	the tribal treasurer there.
7	MR. MATHERLY: Andrew Matherly. Spokane
8	tribal member. I chair the Spokane Tribal Gaming
9	Commission. And I appreciate the Commission. And
10	thank you to the Potawatomi for allowing me to be
11	here.
12	MR. MUDD: Good morning? Good afternoon
13	Robert Mudd. I'm the executive director of
14	business for the Ho-Chunk Nation and also a tribal
15	member. And I'm also a veteran, like Gary over
16	there, and pushing 70 here. It's a pleasure to be
17	here and I appreciate your time.
18	MS. GARRETT: Good afternoon. My name's
19	the Carolyn Garrett. Legislative attorney for the
20	Ho-Chunk Nation. Thank you.
21	MS. LIAZUK: Good afternoon, and welcome
22	to Wisconsin. I'm Angie Liazuk and I'm from
23	Ho-Chunk Nation. Also a tribal member and sit on
24	the Gaming Commission.

MS. BLASCHKE: Good afternoon. I'm

1	Corinna Blaschke. I'm a tribal member of the
2	Ho-Chunk Nation and also the chair for the Ho-Chunk
3	Nation Gaming Commission.
4	MS. SMITH: Rae Smith, director of
5	compliance, White Earth Reservation Business
6	Committee.
7	MS. MASON: (Native language) I'm Tara
8	Mason, secretary/treasurer for the White Earth
9	Reservation Business Committee.
10	MS. CHAPMAN-CHEOLEIER: My name's Crystal
11	Chapman-Cheoleier. I'm the secretary of the
12	Menominee Nation and I'm the chair with the
13	Menominee Gaming. I was hoping to sneak out. I
14	had it on my calendar it went to 5:00. I hear you
15	guys want to get out of here, too, so thanks for
16	the table.
17	MR. DINISHEK: I'm John Dinishek,
18	business associate for Mr. Funmaker. I represent
19	the Ho-Chunk Nation.
20	MR. TAYLOR: Jeff Taylor, St. Croix
21	chairman of the St. Croix Gaming Commission and a
22	tribal member from there.
23	MR. SCHREIBER: Good afternoon. James
24	Schreiber, staff member for Forest County
25	Potawatomi Gaming Commission.

1	MR. DAVID: Hello. I'm Billy David with
2	Bo-Co-Pa Associates out of Oregon Klamath tribal
3	member. And affiliated with the Forest County
4	Potawatomi Gaming Commission.
5	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Okay. Again, I want
6	to thank everybody for taking the time to join us
7	today. I know folks have driven in from all
8	directions. We're going to try and keep this on a
9	pretty steady pace and make every effort to get any
10	comments, concerns or questions on the record that
11	anybody may have.
12	Yes, Mr. Funmaker?
13	MR. FUNMAKER: I think my friend John
14	there felt a little uncomfortable and well,
15	maybe over here, because he was saying that he was
16	representing the Ho-Chunk Nation. And I think he
17	can speak for himself just real quick, if you may,
18	five minutes or whatever you want to say what you
19	want to do here, John.
20	MR. DINISHEK: Thank you, Mr. Funmaker.
21	I'm here to learn a little bit about
22	Gaming Commission's policy and an interest in
23	compliance. My background is in anti-money
24	laundering, anti-terrorist financing, and fraud.
25	And work with a lot of financial service companies,

1	banks, corporations, casinos, and other folks as
2	far as being able to identify, track, report on,
3	and protect themselves from that type of risk
4	compliance area.
5	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you.
6	So before turning it over to our chief of
7	staff for some additional housekeeping, I do want
8	to, again, thank everybody for being here today.
9	This is a nation-to-nation consultation. So at all
10	points, we will strive to defer to tribal leaders,
11	tribal regulators, and make sure that all comments
12	and concerns and questions from tribal leaders,
13	tribal regulators, are on the record. I understand
14	that there are other representatives in the room,
15	but I want us to make sure that tribal leadership,
16	tribal regulators, get every opportunity to say
17	whatever needs to be said today before we hear from
18	others.
19	So with that, I do want to say one thing
20	very briefly. I'm fighting a cold. So if I don't
21	hear something, and if either I or the
22	transcriptionist don't hear something, please bear
23	with us if we get out the old tin horn and put it
24	up to our ears.

I'll turn it over to our chief of staff.

1	MS. THOMAS: Thanks, Chairman. So just
2	some brief housekeeping stuff before we get started
3	on the topics that we're going to discuss today.

As the Chairman said, we're scheduled to go to 3:00 p.m. today. And as he always says, there's no crime in finishing early. But we'll stick around until we get all the comments on the record for each of the topics.

Just a reminder again: This is transcribed. So if you do have a comment for the record, please introduce yourself and your tribal affiliation before entering your comments so that the transcriptionist can get it.

We have three topics that we'll be discussing today. The first one is management contract revisions. We have draft discussion changes to the regulations that were part of the copies of the packets that we provided for today. Second topic is audit submissions. It's kind of an open-ended topic. We don't have anything drafted on this yet. We're just looking for feedback on. And the third one is the management and sole proprietary interest draft definitions that'll be discussed. In between each topic, we'll be stopping and getting comments for the record.

1	This is our final consultation. This is
2	the sixth consultation that we've done on these
3	three topics. Our comment period on the drafts is
4	February 28th, 2018.
5	And with that, I will hand it back over
6	to you, Chair.
7	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Okay. Thank you,
8	Ms. Thomas.
9	Before we turn it over to Yvonne Lee, any
10	other comments from the Commission? Okay. So I'll
11	turn it over to Director Lee now for our first
12	topic.
13	MS. LEE: Thank you, Chairman.
14	Under IGRA and current NIGC regulations,
15	tribes wanting to engage a third party to manage
16	their operations are required to enter into a
17	management agreement with the third party that must
18	be approved by the Chairman of the National Indian
19	Gaming Commission. Following the NIGC's 2017
20	consultation sessions, the Commission carefully
21	reviewed its regulations, and the Agency's internal
22	procedures for reviewing and approving management
23	contracts. As a result of that review, and based
24	on comments received during the consultations, the
25	Commission believes that changes to our management

contract regulations will improve the efficiency of
the contract review process and ensure consistency
with IGRA's requirements regarding term limits.

I will provide some background on this topic, including current regulations, Agency concerns, and the Commission's proposed amendments to the regulations.

The Chair of the NIGC may only approve a management contract if it does not exceed a term of five years, or in rare circumstances, seven years.

NIGC regulations reflect that stipulation and management contracts are not approved unless they comply with all requirements of IGRA, including term limits.

After management contracts have been approved, tribes and their management contractors may amend their contracts by following the streamlined procedures for review and approval of contract amendments found in NIGC Regulations 25 C.F.R. Part 535. Part 535 provides an expedited process within which background investigations are only required if the third-party individuals and/or entities responsible for the management contract have changed, and no new business plan or updated financial information is required. The expedited

Τ	process is designed to allow the parties to sustain
2	their relationship in a dynamic business
3	environment while maintaining the integrity of the
4	Chair's initial management contract review and
5	approval.
6	The safeguards found in NIGC's management
7	contract review process serve to assure IGRA's
8	primary policy goals are met, including protecting
9	Indian gaming and ensuring a tribe is the primary
10	beneficiary of its gaming operation.
11	A thorough review of past practice
12	revealed that parties, using Part 535's expedited
13	process, have submitted amendments to the initially
14	approved contracts that have extended the term of
15	the approved contract by an additional one to five
16	years, resulting in a contract that extends beyond
17	the explicit term limits of IGRA.
18	Thus, the Commission believes it is
19	important to update regulations to maintain IGRA's
20	mandate.
21	The proposed amendments clarify the
22	regulations by explicitly noting that amendments
23	that extend the approved management agreement
24	beyond the term limits permitted by IGRA, which is

five or seven years, will be reviewed under the

1	full	requi	rements	of	a	new	management	contract
2	under	r Part	531.					

So, for example, if an approved contract with a five-year term is nearing the end of its term, and the parties are happy with the relationship and simply wish to extend it for an additional five years, they may do so, but it may not be reviewed as an amendment. Because IGRA limits contract terms to five or seven years, the Chairman will review the agreement under Part 531, and the entire requisite information that 531 requires must be submitted.

For another example, though, if a management contract had a one-year term, and the parties wanted to amend the agreement to extend it for an additional year, for a total term of two years, the Chair would review the amendment under Part 535 because the term limit would still be within the statutory limit of five or seven years.

The Commission understands this change may affect the timing and expense of updating background investigations for making suitability determination of management contractors. And independent of the changes discussed above, the Commission received comments during the last round

of consultation that the background investigation
process was time-consuming and expensive. As a
result, the Commission has done a thorough review
of its background information process, and is
proposing changes to our internal procedures to
make the process more efficient, thereby reducing
the cost of investigations.

Under the new process, NIGC staff will review the background investigation applications and divide them into different investigative groups based on the level of risk. This process will allow the Agency staff to focus their investigative resources on the most vital individuals and entities. This replaces a one-size-fits-all model that scrutinizes all applicants the same.

For example, under the current process, the top direct financial interest goes through the same background investigation as the smallest indirect financial interest. But under the new process, entities and individuals with a direct financial interest, holding the highest level of risk to the tribe, will have a more in-depth background investigation completed versus those entities or individuals who have an indirect financial interest.

1	In addition, the Commission has proposed
2	changing the individuals and entities that are
3	required to submit background applications under
4	the regulations to those that have ten percent or
5	greater financial interest. This proposed change
6	should significantly reduce the costs to the
7	management contractors in submitting full
8	applications on smaller investors. Further, this
9	proposed change will also better align the Agency's
10	requirements with other regulatory agencies.
11	This change should not increase the risk
12	to tribal gaming as the Commission will retain
13	discretionary authority to conduct background
14	investigations on the owners with even the smallest
15	interests, who may pose a threat to the industry.
16	In addition, the Commission is proposing
17	a regulatory change to clarify the "reduced scope
18	of investigation" provision to reduce the burden of
19	background investigations for those who qualify.
20	To further reduce the time and cost of
21	background investigations, the Agency will no
22	longer use the Office of Personnel Management, or
23	OPM, to conduct part of the background
24	investigations. Instead, we will process
25	fingerprint checks through FBI and perform credit

1	checks through other, more efficient alternatives.
2	Lastly, to reduce the upfront financial
3	burden and timing concerns, the proposed amendments
4	to the regulation removes the requirement of a
5	deposit before the background investigations begin.
6	Instead, the Agency will bill the management
7	contractors regularly as the investigation
8	proceeds.
9	I would now like to turn it back over to
10	the Commission to lead a discussion. Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you, Ms. Lee.
12	So for additional background, I'll turn
13	it over to my fellow commissioners.
14	COMMISSIONER SIMERMEYER: Thanks, Chair.
15	I'll just make three brief points. One about the
16	motivations for efficiency. Secondly about the
17	importance for making a defensible interpretation
18	of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act. And last,
19	just the importance of understanding what the
20	impacts of this might have in how tribes work in
21	the regulatory setting or in their operational
22	setting.
23	The management contract review process,
24	it's conducted by the Chairman, not the full
25	Commission. And unless there's an appeal, it's

1	rare for the full Commission hears an appeal from
2	the Chair's denial of a management contract.
3	However, as a full Commission, we're hoping that
4	some of these proposed changes will provide more
5	efficiency to help avoid backlogs in the review
6	process, and improve our stewardship of the Agency
7	and how we handle our resources in looking at these

issues.

It's not intended to create unnecessary burdens on tribes that are seeking to develop and to maintain their partnerships. Specifically by not using OPM, removing the deposit requirements, creating tiers for the background work that the Chair uses to make this determination, it often leads to more efficient, less costly, less burdensome review process.

Finally, it's important to me that these changes don't exceed what the Indian Gaming

Regulatory Act requires for management contract terms in any changes in the action, how we've been doing things, is important to keep in mind how we approach this proposal.

So it'll be helpful to hear what works for tribes in how they approach partnerships from management and nonmanagement professional service

1	type agreements as well, and to hear more about
2	ideas about the impact this might have in the
3	process. So thanks.
4	VICE CHAIR ISOM-CLAUSE: This topic has
5	been particularly active, I think, of the three, so
6	I'm really looking forward to the discussion.
7	And so I won't repeat too much, but I
8	just did want to highlight that the tier approach
9	that Ms. Lee mentioned and Commissioner Simermeyer
10	had mentioned as well is one that we really think
11	could be incredible savings of time and resources
12	that would benefit both Agency and anyone coming
13	into contact with a management contract who is
14	waiting on us.
15	We don't believe it will cause any issues
16	with the integrity of the background investigation.
17	Our proposal is that it's just more of a risk-based
18	and kind of a smarter approach rather than just a
19	one-size-fits-all. So that's the kind of thing we
20	like to think about as an Agency. And we're really
21	happy with our team for coming up with this
22	proposal. With that, back over.
23	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you, Vice
24	Chair. Thank you, Commissioner.

Just want to echo everything my

colleagues said. I know there aren't a lot of management agreements represented in the room. I see Spokane here. And I think there may be one or two other management agreements. But this ties into a couple of other large themes that I think represent our direction as an agency. One, as has already been mentioned, good governance. So we're all about streamlining where we can, but still doing our job under IGRA. So the governance aspect of this is important.

But too, one of our key initiatives at the Agency is protecting against gamesmanship on the backs of tribes. IGRA requires that the status of tribes as the primary regulators of their operations be respected and protected at all points, as well as the role of tribes as the primary beneficiaries of their operations be respected and protected at all points.

One of the ways that we do our job at NIGC, you know, one of the hooks that we have is as we strive to protect against third-party threats to tribal assets or operations, we work through our management agreement approval process to uphold our fiduciary duty that's laid out for us in IGRA. So the approval of management agreements have kind of

1	two facets to them. One is looking at the contract
2	itself. But the second part is doing up-to-date
3	backgrounding of key officials.

So the idea that we're looking at ways to stay truer to IGRA's five to seven-year management agreement period helps us ensure that we're relying -- we're not relying on stale backgrounding. You know, the alternative is if you have repeated amendments or extensions of agreements, at some point the period of time between backgrounding, and you run the risk of having too much time passing.

So this is a way for us to think about ways to clarify the rules, hopefully bring clarity to the process, streamline and facilitate economic development on the ground, but at the same time, make sure that we're doing our job at NIGC.

So that's a little background -- a little bit more background from the Commission. I appreciate Director Lee's perspective. We're going to turn it over to the floor now for any comments, questions, discussions. And we'll really -- I don't want the process to get in the way of conversation or of discussion. So we'll also have a catchall at the end of today's consultation if we

1	miss anything. But I turn it over to the floor
2	now. Please feel free to raise your hand and our
3	regional director will get the microphone over to
4	you.

5 MR. MATHERLY: Andrew Matherly, Spokane 6 Tribe.

So the Spokane Tribe, you know, we do have a management contract. This is a second go-round with this specific company.

First off, you know, I think the Spokane Tribe, we agree that clarity is needed beyond the five or seven. And I'll just speak from experience. With this management company before, we did the five years and then it was extended one year beyond that. But the tribe itself wanted to go another year, and the Gaming Commission itself said, We're not going to approve it.

I guess part of some of the things I see personally is the declination as it applies to development projects. And I'll use our tribe as an example, is that when we're creating a new facility, you have a management company in the tribe that brings forward to my commission body they want a management contract. Well, you don't have a casino. You can't submit nothing to NIGC.

1	So I do appreciate NIGC, that fact,
2	because they assisted me in saying we're going to
3	hold off because once we start that, that five-year
4	time period starts. But the questions I have is
5	the development portion of the day-to-day say of,
6	you know, we because as we identify primary
7	management officials or key employees that have
8	those day-to-day operation decisions, you don't in
9	a development declination, so
10	The tribe, we do agree that if amendments

The tribe, we do agree that if amendments are considered for new contracts, then that we agree that increased efficiency in the review process are necessary.

The ten percent, as you know with our agreement, we have is a sole proprietor. What you run into a problem with that is is that that sole proprietor has people that work under him. So what we've done is if they're going to be on our property, we're going to license them. They're not going to the back of the house, they're not making any decisions. So we took the stance in our Gaming Commission that you're going to be licensed as a PMO. And it did slow the process down for a lot of their staff, but that's just how we're going to operate.

1	We support the concept of background
2	investigation tiers. And billing the management
3	contractors for background costs rather than
4	requiring deposits. NIGC's really familiar with
5	our management contract, and it was rigorous, you
6	know, but we do appreciate the process. It is our
7	job to, as you mentioned earlier, Chairman, is to
8	the tribe being be the sole beneficiary that
9	protect our interest. And so I guess as a
10	regulator, that's something that I've spent a lot
11	of my time educating our tribal government and
12	other entities because it's we're responsible
13	for it.
14	So thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: And thank you for
16	those comments. I'm going to look over at Yvonne's
17	way. I'm not sure if there's anything you want to
18	weigh in on the development side of things.
19	But, you know, there are two parts of
20	what we're proposing. One's the regulation change.

what we're proposing. One's the regulation change. The second part is the administrative kind of operational changes that's kind of internal to the Agency. So that's where the background and the backgrounding tweaks and the three-tiered process comes into play. That doesn't necessarily --

1	that's not necessarily in the regulation. That's
2	an internal administrative change. But both are
3	designed to really uphold our responsibilities,
4	while at the same time recognizing that time is
5	time is money when it comes to these agreements.
6	Trying to shave off what we can, where we can, but
7	at the same time, we would be we'd be ignoring
8	our responsibilities if we didn't do our part to
9	make sure that we were doing adequate backgrounding
10	as well.
11	So thank you so much for those comments.
12	Yes, sir.
13	MR. FUNMAKER: Gary Funmaker again.
14	Ho-Chunk Nation tribal member. I'm not necessarily
15	an official. But my background I was just
16	thinking here, my background is way back in the
17	early '80s, I was assigned to be a commissioner for
18	our Ho-Chunk housing. And then I was assigned the
19	designation of being secretary/treasurer. And then
20	while I was with the Bureau, I was the
21	secretary/treasurer of the Federal Indian Service
22	Employee Union. And but my thoughts here, I
23	want to lean on the Chairman a little bit here.
24	I notice that you are out there in
25	Washington. And I read the information on that,

1	where that tribe was having some trouble. I don't
2	know whether it was enrollment or whatever. But
3	then I noticed that the BIA jumped in behind you.
4	And I was saying, Well, jeez, my experience with
5	the Bureau is that they never jumped in to anything
6	political with the tribe.
7	At the same time, one of your
8	representatives from NIGA from St. Paul gave me a
9	call on an issue that I've had with Ho-Chunk Nation
10	concerning one of our officials that could be
11	deemed a felon. And she informed me that NIGA does
12	not interfere with tribal politics. But then,
13	again, I saw you out in the state of Washington
14	where the Bureau even backed you up, stating, you
15	know, some type of timeline, you know, backing up
16	what you are saying to that tribe out in
17	Washington.
18	I was just wondering, you know, if you
19	could clarify that for me as a tribal elder. Thank
20	you, sir.
21	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you so much,
22	Mr. Funmaker. Absolutely. We defer to tribal
23	decision-making whenever and wherever possible.
24	Not only is that good policy, that's the law. When

we talk about tribes being the primary regulators

1	of their operations, that that's just the law.
2	What happened in the case that I think
3	you're referring to, it's still kind of an open
4	case, so I don't want to get too much into it. But
5	I can talk about portions that are part of the
6	public record.
7	When we look to take action, and for this
8	one, I better say when I look to take action,
9	because any enforcement actions that the Chair
10	takes are appealable to the full commission. So
11	I've got to be a little careful about saying "we"
12	versus "I" on this one; although, I don't like
13	saying "I" too much.
14	When I took action there, did everything
15	I could to make it clear that we weren't weighing
16	in on the governance matter because I think it is
17	good policy to defer as much as possible to the
18	internal sensibilities, internal processes, and
19	internal policies of any sovereign nation. What we
20	were weighing in was a number of things, including
21	whether or not we could ensure that the sole
22	proprietary interest of the tribes' operations was
23	being protected.
24	And so that's that's an important

distinction. We're not kingmakers. As a matter of

Τ	policy, I don't think it makes sense for the
2	federal government to be kingmakers when it comes
3	to sovereign nations. But we do have a
4	responsibility you know, IGRA may be flawed in
5	many ways, but it says what it says. We have a
6	responsibility to make sure that tribes, and not
7	third parties, not not even individuals, but
8	tribes are the ones benefitting from their
9	operations.
10	So that was the distinction. But we do
11	steer a hand whenever and wherever possible from
12	getting involved in internal tribal politics when
13	we recognize that, as sovereign nations, sovereign
14	nations are free to govern themselves pursuant to
15	their constitutions or traditions in ways that are
16	appropriate for that nation.
17	So I don't know if that helps with a
18	little background, but that's and I, you know
19	that matter is still ongoing, but it wasn't a
20	matter of injecting ourselves into internal
21	politics. I can guarantee we take every effort not
22	to do that.
23	MR. FUNMAKER: Thank you for that answer.
24	The reason I'm here is, you know, the
25	Ho-Chunk Nation certified my company, First Nation

1	Supply, which is a broker wholesaler. So I've beer
2	in business since 2002. We've done business with
3	Forest County here and Ho-Chunk and different
4	tribes. The Oneidas. But what I bring today is
5	the gentleman that came with me. Like I said, a
6	broker wholesaler. So I'm finding those widgets,
7	I'm finding those things that even the DOT needs.
8	My company's certified as a disadvantaged business
9	with Wisconsin and the state of South Dakota.

So that's why I brought Mr. John with me today. And he mentioned some of the things that his company can do as far as backing up NIGA and making sure that everything is clean.

So maybe during the audit portion I think is on the agenda today, maybe you could give him a little bit of time. And he's going to be part of who I am as one of my companies being a broker wholesaler. So that's why I brought him. And I think the timing is unique. And from what I've read of some of the correspondence that they've given me, and talking with him on the way over here from Black River, this company is something that we really need. And not only us, but any tribe if they want to use this company, they're -- they're really cutting edge.

1	So with that, I'll say thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: And thank you,
3	Mr. Funmaker. We're happy to hear public comments
4	and comments from private organizations, which
5	is this is kind of an open-ended structure, with
6	the caveat, though, that this is a
7	government-to-government consultation first and
8	foremost out of deference and respect to tribal
9	nations, want to make sure that tribal leaders and
10	tribal regulators have the first opportunity to
11	weigh in.
12	So if there are public comments, we'll do
13	our best to allow those, if time allows. Thank
14	you.
15	MR. FUNMAKER: One more thing. We have
16	four parts of the Ho-Chunk government. Executive,
17	legislative, judicial. So two of them are
18	represented today. I think the judicial would be
19	represented, and also the executive with Mr. Mudd.
20	I have this general council, which is the
21	fourth part of our government. And that's who I
22	recognize right now as far as leadership in our
23	government. The general council are the people.
24	All right? Because too many people just look to
25	the tribe. If you talk to a senator, which I have,

1	I've talked to many of them, they'll mention "the
2	tribe." "We did this for your tribe." But when
3	you ask for something individually, they don't want
4	to answer that question. They'll say, Well, we've
5	done something already for your tribe. And this
6	was a guy named LaPoint that ran for one of the
7	senator positions in South Dakota. He mentioned
8	it. He was from Pine Ridge. He said, Ask them if
9	they do anything for the individuals. Okay?
10	So I just want to clarify that. You
11	know, if I get any static over here, I'm part of
12	the general council, which is part of the four
13	positions of the tribe of the Ho-Chunk Nation. So
14	I'm with 3,000 voting members. So clarify that.
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you.
17	MS. CHAPMAN-CHEOLEIER: Crystal
18	Chapman-Cheoleier, Menominee Nation.
19	My comments are in regard to the
20	extensions are the administrative policy behind
21	background checks. It's the definition piece. The
22	25 C.F.R., Part 502, the additional language to be
23	put into the management definition.
24	My concern is that the definition, which
25	includes things such as the maintenance of the

1	facilities and the supervision of construction
2	improvements, is that it doesn't correctly align
3	with 25 C.F.R., Subsection 510, which speaks to
4	gaming operations. I feel that it's kind of
5	extending into non-gaming operations. And then
6	there's the risk of that also extending the NIGC
7	management contract approval process to those
8	non-gaming functions of management in the casino.
9	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: So we have thank
10	you for that. We have a third topic, which is our
11	proposed tweaks to the or proposed language for
12	definitions of management and sole proprietary
13	interest. Maybe we could come back to some of
14	those comments after that third section because I
15	think we're going to get into those definition
16	discussions quite a bit. But it's well-taken. We
17	definitely this conference room's called the
18	Harmony Conference Room. We seek to harmonize
19	various provisions of our regulations as much as
20	possible. And so we do have some thoughts about
21	how the provisions work together. But if we could
22	defer that to the third topic.
23	MR. FUNMAKER: Before Bobby goes here.
24	Back in 1981, I was the guy that spoke up

against John Koberstein. And the case was

1	Wisconsin Winnebago versus John Koberstein. I
2	believe that maybe we might have been one of the
3	first tribes to oust a management agreement because
4	he didn't get it approved by the Bureau. Nobody
5	else was saying anything. He was sticking up there
6	and controlled the meetings. Finally, I grabbed
7	the mic from him, and I said, "Why do you have the
8	mic?" You know. And then I had a statement. And
9	people couldn't believe that I could write a
10	statement. And eventually we took that to federal
11	court, right? If you look that up, Wisconsin
12	Winnebago versus John Koberstein. So go ahead,
13	Bob.
14	MR. MUDD: Robert Mudd, Ho-Chunk Nation,
15	executive director of business.
16	Kind of like what the young lady said
17	there, and I was kind of like, We were going to go
18	there. And I got a young gentleman sitting next to
19	me who's actually going through some of this stuff
20	that we're talking about. So it's very
21	interesting.
22	And what I've seen so far, some of the
23	changes, I am not, you know, that sounds good to me
24	because I think there's something has to be done,
25	and I think this is a start. Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you, sir. I
2	mean, I don't want to put off the discussion if you
3	want to get into the definitions a little bit more
4	now. I mean, I don't want to take I think
5	Jennifer is doing the SPI section. She had a whole
6	written presentation. I don't want to steal
7	Ms. Turner's thunder. Yeah, maybe we could just
8	flip the order. We'll go into that next.
9	MS. LAWSON: Sure.
10	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Any other comments,
11	questions, concerns, on this topic before we move
12	on to our next topic? Okay.
13	And as I said, you know, I don't want
14	process to get in the way of discussion. So at the
15	end, we're going to have an open-ended portion
16	where if there are any additional comments folks
17	have.
18	Any other comments from the Commission
19	before we move on to the next topic? Okay. With
20	that, we are going to flip the order of our topics
21	because it's a nice segue from the last comment, or
22	the previous comment about definitions. We're
23	going to now turn it over to Ms. Jen Lawson did
24	I say Turner before? I'm thinking solicitors.
25	Anyway, Ms. Jen Lawson. I'm so sorry. I'm

1	fighting a cold. I'm fighting a cold. And we're
2	going to hear a little bit more about management
3	and sole proprietary interest definitions.
4	Jennifer.
5	MS. LAWSON: Hello. I'm fighting the
6	same cold. In fact, I think everybody at NIGC is
7	fighting exactly the same cold right now. So bear
8	with me and I will try my very best not to cough.
9	As we touched on a little bit earlier,
10	IGRA provides that tribally-owned gaming operations
11	may be managed either by the tribe or by management
12	contractor subject to an NIGC management
13	contract NIGC-approved management contract.
14	This applies to any arrangement in which a
15	contractor manages all or part of a tribally-owned
16	gaming operation. And to provide better clarity,
17	the Commission is considering developing
18	regulations that will clearly set out its standard
19	for what constitutes "management," as well as its
20	criteria for evaluating when a sole proprietary
21	interest violation has occurred.
22	In the past, to assist tribes in
23	determining whether an activity constitutes
24	"management," NIGC issued Bulletin 94-5. That was
25	issued in '94 1994, and the Commission still

relies on it pretty heavily today. In that
bulletin identifies various activities that are
management. And these actions include planning,
organizing, directing, coordinating, and
controlling all or part of a gaming operation.

The NIGC Office of General Counsel issued an opinion letter back in 2009 expanding on these terms by providing examples of management activities. To date, however, the Commission has not issued a formal regulation that would define management. The Commission believes that, in consultation with tribes, developing a regulatory definition consistent with past interpretations would help provide greater clarity to the tribal gaming industry regarding what constitutes management. The Commission recognizes that the Seventh Circuit has also recommended that the Commission provide more certain guidance.

So as far as sole proprietary interest goes, a stated purpose of IGRA is "to ensure that Indian tribe is the primary beneficiary of the gaming operation." To serve this purpose, IGRA requires that tribal gaming ordinances provide that tribes have the sole proprietary interest in and responsibility for the conduct of any gaming

1	activity,	unless	the	gaming	activity	is
2	individual	lly owne	ed.			

To determine whether a third party has received a proprietary interest in a tribal gaming operation in violation of the sole proprietary interest mandate -- we'll just call it SPI because that's a big mouthful -- the NIGC considers the term of the relationship, the amount of revenue paid to the third party, and the right of control over the gaming activity by the third party.

The Commission previously consulted on developing guidance or regulations concerning sole proprietary interest back in 2008 and 2010. Since then, and just prior to that, courts have considered and discussed this issue in the intervening years noting and upholding NIGC's criteria for evaluating such violations. The Commission believes that a regulation consistent with past NIGC enforcement actions, and litigation, would provide greater certainty to the tribal gaming activity -- excuse me, tribal gaming industry regarding what constitutes a violation of the sole proprietary interest mandate.

The draft regulations that you have here in your packet incorporate these interpretations

for consideration, as an addition to the

2	Commission's compliance regulations.
3	And now I'd like to turn it back over to
4	the Commission to lead the discussion, and respond
5	to any comments.
6	COMMISSIONER SIMERMEYER: Thank you,
7	Ms. Lawson.
8	As the presentation mentioned, Agency
9	guides and legal opinions, as well as federal case
10	law, help to inform these definitions. And I just
11	want to make three relatively brief points.
12	As a member of the full commission, I
13	will not make the determination about an
14	unauthorized management contract or about if
15	there's an unauthorized management or if there's
16	been a violation of the sole proprietary interest
17	requirement. That's a determination the Chairman
18	makes. But as a member of the full commission, we
19	do hear an appeal to review the Chair's decision.
20	So it's hoped that by promulgating the standard,
21	we'd be able to help support transparency and good
22	governance in the decision-making processes, both
23	at the Chair's level and with the full Commission.
24	Secondly, I hope that promulgating
25	definition or providing some other guidance in this

area could help to provide some certainty to the
partners that are working with Indian Country. In
fiscal year 2017, the Office of General Counsel at
our agency provided almost 60 declination letters,
mostly to financial institutions, to try to give
some assurances about what level of management was
or wasn't occurring in an agreement or partnership

And while it's important that that assistance continue, and there's no reason that that type of support should stop, those types of --looking for that certainty through declination letters does provide -- does add costs to --transactional costs and adds delays in time. And it can have an impact on how partnerships develop.

A third and last point is that by promulgating a definition, we can help to perhaps improve general awareness of the sole proprietary interest requirement or about the requirement for management contract approval process that's under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act.

I believe there's a small number of action between the Agency's history dealing with sole proprietary interests, and often fact-specific determinations about management. And that's a helpful way to provide some awareness. And of

1	course, nobody hopes for enforcement action, but if
2	there are other ways that we can help to bring
3	awareness to these requirements in IGRA, that's an
4	important thing for us to do as a Commission

VICE CHAIR ISOM-CLAUSE: I'll just add to that that, you know, as is mentioned, we have these definitions floating around in a lot of places.

They've been out there for years. Developed over the years, in fact. And this is our effort to put the definitions all in one spot, in one concise place, and to add greater clarity going forward.

And also as Commissioner Simermeyer mentioned, potentially, if there is an enforcement action, to give us a little more stable ground to stand upon when we do have any of those functions taking place.

The intention is not, to your point, to add any new requirements or, you know, not change things midstream, but that's why it's always important to talk with folks like you all experts that are working with this every day to see if things are reading differently than we intended them or, you know, any kind of comments like that would be very much appreciated.

25 CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: And thank you. I

don't have too much to add to that. But as I

2	mentioned earlier on, the management agreement
3	approval process is important to allowing us to do
4	our job. It's an important part of our
5	responsibility to ensure primary beneficiary status
6	of tribes.
7	Along the same lines, sole proprietary
8	interest is in IGRA, but it's not defined. And,
9	you know, there are all these pieces of definitions
10	that come from case law, come from Agency
11	enforcement actions, but it's doesn't make sense
12	not to compile those and put them in one readily
13	accessible place. And that's what we're trying to
14	do.
15	We're also trying to avoid or we're
16	trying to ensure some consistency in Agency
17	actions. One of the things I've noticed in my role
18	as Chairman is that, without a clear definition
19	under the regulations, a lot of times you're left
20	to make the best decision that you can. And I can
21	honestly say that with, you know, working
22	hand-in-hand with our team at the Agency, we can
23	stand by behind every decision that we've made.
24	But at the same time, it shouldn't be
25	left to just one Chair's no matter how good that

1	Chair may be, one Chair's decision-making process
2	as to what the definition of "sole proprietary
3	interest" is. And so that's that's our effort.
4	We're trying to bring clarity to the definitions,
5	trying to bring clarity to our actions.
6	So with that, I'm going to turn it over
7	to the floor now. And like before, we'll open it
8	up to questions, comments, concerns.
9	MR. FUNMAKER: Okay. Do I have to repeat
10	my name over there, Court Reporter?
11	THE REPORTER: No. I'm good with you.
12	MR. FUNMAKER: You know, one thing about
13	changes of life on the reservation, in watching
14	economic development progress, is that nowadays you
15	have men and women that were independently wealthy.
16	And then you have fee land and you have allotted
17	lands. So in the allotted land is, let's say, a
18	group of four people. Let's say four Funmakers.
19	So they own one quarter on that tract of land.
20	Could be 40 acres, let's say. All right.
21	So they own 40 acres. And four of the
22	Funmakers, they all have degrees. One has a degree
23	in law. One has a degree in economics. And they
24	have the capital behind them because of their
25	reputation that they carry, not the tribe, as

4	
1	individuals.
	THAT VIGATE.

You know, some of the things that we're

talking about really, when we marched in the '70s,

it was against oppressive behavior of the

non-Indian. So now I've seen, and to be honest

with you, I see oppression by tribes on their own

people. All right? It happens.

Now, in my make-believe casino, I would have training using the money that we have with various universities. And everybody's doing online training. And this is what I voiced when I was with the Bureau. And the regional directors actually would come against me because I wanted to get improvement in our employees.

Now, the same in this fictitious casino that the Funmakers own is that I would definitely have videoconferencing in improving each one of those people that are employed in that casino. So in my finance department, they would be talking about accounting degrees. Some of the latest software that could be used. I don't see that happening now.

So that's the difference between dealing with a tribe and dealing with a sole proprietorship. Unless I'm way off here. But like

1	I said, this is a different world. There are
2	people, responsible tribal members, that now have
3	capital, or access to capital. May it be some of
4	the money from overseas what do they call
5	that in fact, I think Ho-Chunk started the
6	Sovereign Nation Wealth Fund.
7	And one other thing is I wanted to
8	mention is that I think that the pool of money that
9	you have now, it would be interesting to have the
10	opportunity for tribes to chip in whatever they
11	want to chip in in that fund. I know I think the
12	percentage is 0.08 of the gross of each casino.
13	That's what NIGA is taking right now. All right?
14	Now, our brothers over there, the
15	Potawatomis, the Ho-Chunks, Mystic Lake, you know,
16	Mille Lacs, let's say they want to kick in an extra
17	ten. I don't know where the money's going now, but
18	if it's going into an investment vehicle, it would
19	be nice if we could be part of that. Do you follow
20	me what I'm saying there?
21	So, okay, we beef it up, we beef NIGA up,
22	but we also pool our money, which has always been
23	my dream and when I finally found out and I
24	looked at you know, because I got on the
25	website the mailing list for NIGA, come to find

1	out this is 2016, going back ten years about,
2	they've been in the billions. Holy mackerel, these
3	guys did it. It's pooled. All the money is
4	pooled.
5	Now what are we going to do with it? And
6	I see Washington, you know, talking \$18 million for
7	this and that. And Trump wants the wall. In fact,
8	I told Senator Johnson's office, I said, "Why don't
9	you get it from NIGA?" And I said it on David
10	Webb's National Patriot Radio. I said that to him.
11	So he got behind it a hundred percent.
12	And he goes, Yeah. He says, You guys create your
13	own you know, get your laborers over there.
14	There's so many things that we can do. When you
15	have capital like we have, you know, that's what
16	it's all about.
17	So what my recommendation here is sole
18	proprietorship, I'm just saying that the times have
19	changed. Okay. That a family's got an allotted
20	piece of land. The tribe does not own that land;
21	they own it. It's in trust. It meets all the
22	criteria. If you look at 25 C.F.R., it mentions
23	"tribe" and also "individuals" they put in there.
24	Did you ever notice that? It says "tribes" and
25	"individuals." Okay?

So we're not going to oppress our people

2	anymore because, you know, we're far beyond that
3	now. We're on our way. We are a major player.
4	Look at the Ho-Chunk Nation in this state here.
5	We're a major employer. Potawatomi, they got this
6	ramp coming right off the whatever you call it,
7	right into their parking area. I said, Man, that's
8	something. And then when they did business with
9	the Mohicans out there, they have a whole
10	interstate that came right out there, out to
11	Mohican, when I was doing business with those guys.
12	And then Fox Road's only 20 miles away.
13	You know, if you're out in the middle of
14	nowhere is one of those doughnut famous doughnut
15	places. There isn't even anything out there, and
16	they got out East, they really love these. What
17	are the doughnut places they call them? They're in
18	all over the place.
19	But anyway, that's kind of how I see it

But anyway, that's kind of how I see it as far as sole proprietorship. Everything you guys are talking about I've seen, I've experienced. But the main thing everybody is pray that we're not going to be oppressing our own people. Right? Do you understand what I'm saying? You guys are all young here. But pray that that doesn't happen

Τ.	because, you know, not only us, people, college
2	people, when we took over Alcatraz. But, I mean,
3	aim, they everybody did their part to get what
4	we have.
5	So we have to be able to think freely.
6	And how are we going to do these things? How are
7	we going to become a little bit more powerful than
8	we already are? There's a lot of land issues we
9	could get behind. Ho-Chunk Nation, we have
10	paperwork from the BIA stating that we own various
11	section, township and ranges. And one in Rockford,
12	Illinois, right in downtown in Section 26 and 27.
13	They told us in '79 to clear up those titles. To
14	clear title. When you buy a house, the title
15	company looks to make sure there's no encumbrances.
16	That's what they told us. And I gave that
17	paperwork to our president, and he lost it.
18	So, you know, hopefully we can you
19	know, what NIGA has is to be able to be
20	free-thinking. And hopefully, we get unity. And
21	it's going to be really fun. I think the State
22	should be entertaining doing joint ventures with
23	the tribes as far as economic development.
24	I've been talking with a company from
25	China called Puxin Technology. Their renewable

Τ	energy systems are so clean. Aesthetically
2	palatable. I asked them to come to Chicago, to our
3	Chicago property, and start, you know, doing what
4	they do over in China here. That's just me. I'm
5	just an entrepreneur.
6	But God has blessed me with, you know
7	I mean, when I used to tithe the church and give
8	that ten percent, I'm getting it back. If you guys
9	know what I'm talking about. It's true. It works.
10	So thank you for your time. And I think
11	I'm going to try to stay off the waves. But it's
12	good being here. I'm glad to meet you, young man.
13	You've got a tough job. And we got to be praying
14	for you that you're wise, that you're protected in
15	every way possible. That there's a mighty hedge
16	they say a mighty hedge built around you of
17	protection. And NIGA. That's what I pray for you
18	guys. And I'll continue to pray that. So thank
19	you very much. God bless you.
20	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you for those
21	comments. Thank you for calling us "young" twice.
22	It's very much appreciated.
23	And I should probably, just for the
24	benefit of some of the folks in the room, just to
25	take a I should probably take a moment just to

1	differentiate what we're talking about with this
2	topic from another portion of IGRA that allows for
3	individually-owned gaming.

So individually-owned gaming has some clear percentages that are allowed. There are rules and requirements that apply to individually-owned gaming. We don't see a lot of this in Indian Country. But bottom line, the tribe is -- at bare minimum, the tribe has to have 60 percent of revenues in individually-owned gaming. Rather, sole proprietary interest, it's kind of an undefined term. And tribes have to maintain a sole proprietary interest in their operation. So we are trying to bring clarity as to what that means.

But very much appreciate your comments.

Again, I really want to defer to tribal regulators, tribal leadership. I want to hear from all corners. But we have a lot of regulators in the room today. And I always have a special place in my heart for fellow regulators. We don't get too much love in any corner of the world. So I want to defer to regulator comments as much as possible, leadership comments as much as possible. Thank you so much for those comments.

1	Additional questions, comments, concerns?
2	Yes, sir.
3	MR. MUDD: This is Robert Mudd again. I
4	have to be excused. So far, the discussion and
5	everything that I've seen looks pretty decent to me
6	and acceptable from my personal view as executive
7	director of business for the Ho-Chunk Nation. And
8	thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you, sir.
10	Thank you for taking the time to be here today.
11	Additional questions, comments, concerns?
12	Yes, sir.
13	MR. MATHERLY: Thank you, Chairman,
14	fellow commissioners. I also want to thank my
15	elder over here for those comments. Andrew
16	Matherly, Spokane Tribe.
17	First, I'm just going to read off some of
18	my notes here. We're going to submit our comments
19	before the 28th.
20	So supporting open discussion on whether
21	NIGC should develop regulations to clarify the
22	management definition of "management." One goal
23	should be to reduce NIGC review on financing and
24	other complex transactions.

As you know, like -- I guess that's -- as

a regulator, that's my concern is that we enter

2	into a management contract, but we have a lending
3	company. And those lending companies tend to hide
4	behind federal banking institutes, so they're
5	exempt from all these other licensing requirements,
6	but then they put restrictions on how the money
7	could be used, when it can be done. As a
8	regulator, we don't license them. So, you know,
9	some of that's done with the tribal government
10	attorneys. And so we have to come in and we have
11	to be the ones that have to say, No, sorry, you
12	don't get that.
13	One goal actually, which not only I
14	mean, with that, that places a burden on the NIGC.
15	Also places a burden of costs to the tribes, you
16	know. As NIGC knows with our last project, you
17	know, we but I do appreciate the Gaming
18	Commission giving me that determination literally
19	at the last hour. We did a soft opening on
20	January 5th to the public. NIGC came in I know
21	you guys had extreme hurricane winter blizzard, and
22	got that approved for us. So I do appreciate that.
23	We note the need for clarity in the area
24	given increased vendor demand for control over

placement and operation of leased gaming machines.

1	1	Thatic	2 2	concern.
	L	THAL S	o a	Concern.

Second, we support consideration of regulations to clarify sole proprietary interest. Despite the NIGC and federal court's guidance that's been provided in this area, there continues to be fundamental misconceptions about the bedrock requirement of IGRA. And what I do want to state is this -- this NIGC body, and probably the last administration, I think we can all agree at the table, and other tribes, that we have a good understanding. We have a relationship. Former administrations before that, we didn't have that relationship. I mean, the old NIGC would walk in the door, Hey, how you doing, Andy? And walk out and give me a PNOB. Okay?

Third, we'd request that NIGC consider

Third, we'd request that NIGC consider clarifying the terms and conditions under which individually-owned gaming is allowed under IGRA.

And the application of those terms and conditions to the operation of state lotteries on Indian lands.

And I won't go into detail, but NIGC provided opinion back to us on state lotteries.

And I know I stressed that in my opinion before is that if you have a state that's going to conduct

1

23

24

Class III gaming, prize consideration and chance,

2	and the tribe should be able to also operate that
3	same gaming. And I've referenced that in state
4	lottery because the state lottery systems only want
5	to give five percent back to the tribes. So that
6	doesn't make a sole proprietor. We don't benefit
7	from it. And so that as a regulator, that's
8	something I'm always constantly fighting. It's
9	people that are in the government and they're sold
10	they're sold, I guess, false goods that, A, if
11	we put lottery on your lands, it's going to
12	increase revenue and bring a bunch of money to your
13	c-stores. But in essence, who really benefits, you
14	know, so so thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you so much
16	for those comments. And really, hats off to the
17	Office of General Counsel that issued that opinion
18	regarding Washington State sole proprietary
19	interest issues. And hats off to the General
20	Counsel's office.
21	You know, well taken, those points about
22	additional rules regarding individually-owned

25 to -- you know, for how to do things better.

gaming. Additional rules that help bring clarity

in other areas. We're constantly on the lookout

So those are some comments that we

1

20

21

22

23

24

2	haven't heard widely. But again, sometimes it's
3	these discussions that get us thinking about
4	additional topics of conversation or additional
5	regulatory improvements we can make. So those are
6	very well-taken.
7	Also well-taken, we want to avoid
8	unintended consequences as much as possible. And I
9	think a comment was made about keeping in mind the
10	impact on financing that the definitions may have.
11	And that's important that we've talked about
12	internally quite a bit. As my fellow commissioners
13	mentioned in their background discussions, we do
14	put a lot of work, and we see it as a service to
15	the industry, service to Indian Country, but we put
16	a lot of work into the declination letters that our
17	general counsel's office issues. But those
18	declination letters are just fancy ways of
19	saying they're attorney opinion letters on

weighs in on whether or not those contracts are management agreements. And the thought and the hope is that if there's a clearer definition of

contracts that are submitted to us where our office

"management," there can be more clarity on the

25 front end that the industry can rely on, even

1	before sending letters to us for declination for
2	a declination letter.
3	So the hope is you have a defined
4	definition that everybody knows what the rules are.
5	And the finance industry, tribal nations, they'll
6	have a clearer picture, in theory, even before
7	engaging in the declination process.
8	So I think that touches on some of the
9	comments that you may have brought up earlier. Not
10	really, but I don't want to I don't want to
11	forget about that. But again, thank you so much
12	for those comments, sir.
13	Additional comments? We are going to
14	come back. Additional comments, questions? I
15	don't know if you want to expand on your question
16	after Ms. Lawson's presentation.
17	MS. CHAPMAN-CHEOLEIER: I have another
18	piece a sole proprietary piece.
19	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Okay.
20	MS. CHAPMAN-CHEOLEIER: It seems almost
21	like you're trying to define it by potential
22	violations. And that may be an actual simplistic
23	definition would be better served with Section 502,
24	the definitions area. And that could be as simple
25	as speaking to the ownership control and right to

1	revenue. And then always leaving that little bit
2	of language in there that speaks to by
3	determination of the chairman.

The other piece I just wanted to touch on was that there doesn't seem to be a clear role for some tribes. It speaks to the tribe, but some tribes choose to -- like Menominee, for instance, choose to create a business entity by tribal law, appointed by the tribal government, but to provide that separation. And that maybe there could be some language somewhere in here that speaks to that.

 $\mbox{CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Well, that speaks to} \\$ that in terms of weighing in? I mean --

MS. CHAPMAN-CHEOLEIER: Well, just, like, kind of referencing it. Rather than referencing the tribe who's going to do casino where they're going to have somebody do the casino under a management contract. Sometimes the tribe will choose to do it by a separate business entity as a part of the tribal government.

CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Ms. Lawson, please feel free to correct me, but we -- we strive to have as much flexibility in our approach to the tribal nations, recognizing that, you know, tribes

1	set up corporate entities, subsidiary entities, and
2	in every which way possible. We don't want to
3	place any restrictions on that. We've always seen
4	that as an outgrowth of the tribe's ownership. Its
5	gaming.
6	And so there are so many I'd be a
7	little hesitant to weigh in on getting into too
8	many rules relating to that because I think the
9	baseline is tribes should be free to structure
10	their businesses however they see fit.
11	MS. CHAPMAN-CHEOLEIER: Maybe the
12	language like just including the language, like,
13	tribes are tribal gaming business. Just to be a
14	little more inclusive.
15	MS. LAWSON: So if I understand right,
16	you're saying when we're talking about management
17	and third-party management
18	MS. CHAPMAN-CHEOLEIER: Yes.
19	MS. LAWSON: you're saying just add a
20	note in there that when we say "third party," we're
21	not including tribal arms.
22	MS. CHAPMAN-CHEOLEIER: Exactly.
23	MS. LAWSON: That's a good comment.
24	We'll consider it.

CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: We'll definitely

1	consider that. And thank you for that. Because,
2	you know, last thing we want to do is make any new
3	rules for tribal entities. In our view, that's
4	part of the tribe. But making that clear, again,
5	the whole point is clarity.
6	MS. LAWSON: And that is the question
7	that we get asked on a fairly regular basis. Folks
8	asking us, Is this branch of the tribe that's
9	managing our operation, is that a management
10	contract? So it might not hurt.
11	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: And thank you.
12	That's exactly these types of comments that, you
13	know, even if we have a proposal that we think is
14	good, it can always be better. So appreciate it.
15	MS. BLASCHKE: Corinna Blaschke, Ho-Chunk
16	Nation Gaming Commission.
17	We've had some questions regarding
18	management contract, the definition, so I just
19	wanted to say that the Ho-Chunk Gaming Commission
20	does support these clarifications that were made.
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you for that.
23	Thank you.
24	Another thought is nobody should have to
25	pay I mean, with all respect to lawyers, and all

1	respect to consultants, you shouldn't have to have
2	a consultant figure out what these things are by
3	going to ten different sources. It should be
4	and a starting point can be one place that, you
5	know, everybody can look to to read.
6	Additional comments, questions, concerns?
7	All right. Anything else from the Commission
8	before we move onto our third topic?
9	Okay. I think we'll move onto our third
10	and final topic. We switched order. So the audit
11	submissions discussion, Chief of Staff Christina
12	Thomas.
13	MS. THOMAS: Thank you, Chairman.
14	So under IGRA and current NIGC
15	regulations, gaming operations, regardless of
16	income, are required to submit an annual audited
17	financial statement, completed by certified public
18	accountants, to the Commission within 120 days of
19	their fiscal year end. These audits may be
20	encompassed within existing independent tribal
21	audit systems.
22	Submission of the annual audit report is
23	critical to the NIGC's mission to protect the
24	integrity of Indian gaming and provides a certain
25	level of assurance as to the safekeeping of tribal

gaming revenues. The audit report prepared and
submitted on a timely basis is evidence of, among
other things, the integrity of the gaming operation
and, more specifically, of the adequacy of the
books and records, the functioning of the internal
financial controls, and the disclosure of
information having a bearing on the financial
statements.

The Commission, however, recognizes that small or charitable gaming operations often struggle with the cost of these requirements. With this in mind, the Commission is seeking feedback and recommendations on whether changes are needed to the audit submission regulations. Specifically, we want input on what level or levels of audit should be required for smaller gaming operations or charitable gaming operations.

A gaming operation earning less than
\$2 million in gross gaming revenue annually can
request from the Commission to submit a

CPA-reviewed financial statement, if it has
submitted an audited financial statement for
three -- the three consecutive years prior. A
reviewed financial statement must be completed by
an independent CPA and conform to statements on

1	standards	for	accounting	and	review	services	of	the
2	gaming ope	erat	ion.					

In fiscal year 2016, only 80 operations earned less than \$2 million in gross gaming revenue. Of those 80, only six submitted the lesser financial statement review. Small or charitable gaming operations often produce less than \$100,000 in gross gaming revenue annually, some less than \$10,000. Contracting a CPA firm to perform an annual audit can prove cost prohibitive, and as a result, may defer tribes from pursuing these gaming opportunities.

NIGC reviewed statutes and regulations from a number of jurisdictions and agencies concerned with financial entities, including the State of Nevada, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the Department of Interior. We found no consistency in the audit requirements.

For example, Nevada gaming regulations require audits of financial statements for operations grossing more \$5 million, but maintain the right to require audits, compile statements, or reviews the financial statements of those operations whose gross revenue is less than \$5 million. Interior exempts non-federal entities

1	from their audit requirement if the entity expends
2	less than \$750,000 per year.
3	As NIGC considers altering its own
4	regulations, it recognizes that there are currently
5	95 tribal operations that produce less than
6	\$3 million in gross gaming revenue. Further, the
7	Commission is aware that tribal gaming operations
8	deal with the most regulations from their own
9	governments, as well as state and federal entities.
10	Our hope through this consultation
11	session is to discuss opportunities to receive
12	feedback and recommendations on how to amend this
13	regulation, while still ensuring we are supporting
14	financial stability and maintaining a high level of
15	protection of tribal gaming operations.
16	With that, I will hand it back over to
17	the Commission to start the discussion.
18	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thanks.
19	COMMISSIONER SIMERMEYER: Thanks,
20	Ms. Thomas.
21	Like with our first management contract
22	review process discussion, what the Commission is
23	looking at with regard to audit I was saying
24	that like with the management contract review
25	discussion that we began with, what the Commission

is looking at here with regard to audits is to make
sure that the changes in the process comply with
Indian Gaming Regulatory Act's requirement that
tribes submit an annual independent audit to the
to our Agency.

So whatever changes that the -- that we're considering with this are ways to meet this obligation, a couple observations have jumped out to me in understanding this process.

First, that many tribes' regulatory bodies already have a very sophisticated capacity and ability to conduct audits and to ensure independence and integrity. It's also the case that the high cost of an audit can make a small gaming operation not profitable. Or worse, it can create a disincentive to comply with the annual submission requirement, or to allow even small -- for regulators to allow small gaming operations to operate at all because of the annual audit costs.

So it'll be helpful to hear about your experiences with NIGC's auditing or other aspects of your regulation or governance. It's also, I think, as Ms. Thomas pointed out, it's interesting to hear that of potentially -- 80 potentially eligible operations, only six sought the lesser

financial statement process.

2	What are of the other incentives that
3	might be there? Is raising the threshold from
4	\$2 million, would that be an adequate enough
5	incentive? What other types of things can we do to
6	look at minimizing the cost of this auditing
7	process and, at the same time, complying with
8	Indian Gaming Regulatory Act's expectation that
9	these audits are going to take place in order to
10	provide financial stability and help ensure
11	capacity at the regulatory level? So thank you.
12	VICE CHAIR ISOM-CLAUSE: I'd just like to
13	highlight that one of the reasons that we're
14	considering this is because of our rural outreach
15	initiative where we're considering different ways
16	we can be responsive to small and rural operations.
17	So, for example, one of the things that

we've done under that initiative is our regional training conferences now are live-streamed, so you can join through Adobe Connect. But helpful, I think, to tribes that are in remote areas, or just don't have the budget or time to travel.

So, you know, this is another way we're kind of trying to think outside the box within the limits of IGRA, which is a box, but ways that we

1	can consider our own regulations and our own
2	functionings as an Agency to be more responsive to
3	the needs of tribes with those concerns. Thank
4	you.
5	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: And thank you,
6	fellow commissioners. I really don't have much to
7	add to that. Actually, I don't have anything to
8	add to that. I couldn't have said any of that
9	better myself.
10	So at this point, I'll turn it over to
11	the floor for questions, comments, concerns?
12	MR. TAYLOR: Good afternoon. Jeff
13	Taylor, St. Croix Chairman of the St. Croix
14	Gaming Commission. Thank you for the opportunity
15	for the consultation today, NIGC.
16	Back home, we have a small community of
17	bingo. They don't make more than \$900 a year.
18	It's mainly for the community, for elders. We know
19	a lot of our couples like to play bingo. They get
20	out with the grandkids and go and play bingo in the
21	evenings every Wednesday night. And right now, big
22	firms, the big that do our outside audits for
23	our casinos, they want to charge us \$20,000 to do
24	an audit for a small operation like that, you know.
25	So we're hoping the Commission consider

1	additional limits to this so maybe something like
2	that we can maybe get away with the review maybe or
3	something. Because right now, somebody that spends
4	\$20 to play bingo every Wednesday night, elders
5	bring grandchildren to play to support the
6	community. Elders love to play bingo back home,
7	so
8	I was hoping we could change something on
9	this. Because you can have fun down there for less
10	than \$20, you know, to do a CP audit. Any
11	recommendations would help here from anybody in the
12	room here, too, so
13	MS. LAWSON: After the meeting, I can
14	give you my information. I'm Jennifer Lawson.
15	Also general counsel. And we can talk about some
16	ways that are available under our regulations now
17	that might be able to get you into the reviewed
18	financial statement category.
19	MR. TAYLOR: Sure. I mean, it's just
20	that the State, the bingo, the VFWs, they all
21	have they all have to be audited, they get out
22	of it because the State don't have enough auditors
23	to audit them. So some of them don't even have to
24	audit. They just have to have their paperwork
25	presentable if somebody does shows up, you know.

Τ	So, I mean, just we're competing, you know, with
2	local bingos around the area, too, so
3	MS. LAWSON: Whatever happens with the
4	regulations, let's try, at least for now in the
5	meantime, before they get changed, let's try to get
6	you into something that's going to be less cost
7	prohibitive for you under the current regs. And
8	then hopefully there'll be a change that is great
9	for you, even better. Okay. Chair.
10	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: And I really
11	appreciate your comments. Really appreciate
12	Ms. Lawson's comments as well.
13	Just, I should say one of the reasons
14	these consultations are transcribed is that so
15	that folks who aren't in the room can benefit from
16	the comments, the dialogue, that we have.
17	In addition to NIGC responses, if
18	there since we have so many regulators in the
19	room, if there are if there's any kind of back
20	and forth, I want us all to approach all of this as
21	a conversation.
22	Beyond today, in addition to following up
23	with Ms. Lawson or anybody at the general counsel's
24	office, I do want to remind folks that our
25	trainings are I don't want to say they're free,

1	as we always say they're prepaid because, you know,
2	our Agency runs on fees from tribal nations. But
3	our trainings can be tribe specific, too. So we
4	stand ready to be as responsive as possible if
5	anybody wants to come in and sit down with with
6	your commission or any commission or tribal
7	leadership, we'll try and schedule that and make
8	that happen. So we have an open phone line. And
9	open-door policy at NIGC. But in addition to that,
10	I did want to mention our trainings.
11	But thank you so much for those comments,
12	Chairman.
13	MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Chairman.
14	MS. MASON: Tara Mason,
15	secretary/treasurer for White Earth Reservation
16	Business Committee.
17	And so I think I really want to thank
18	you, first of all, for hosting this and for having
19	us here. I really enjoy your facility and for
20	having consultation. And I know we're wrapping it
21	all up.
22	But I think this is one of the things
23	that, when it comes to White Earth, we're in the
24	northern part of Minnesota. And we are rural. But
25	our gaming facility is large enough. But what

1	we've done in that area is we have our offsite
2	gaming. And so we've partnered with area
3	businesses and we have our non-tribally-operated
4	gaming entities.

And what is happening is that currently throughout the year, there are 17 partnerships that we have where we have our Class II. And during the summer months, those increase. So that number increases. But what we're looking at is a lot of these entities, whether they be local member-owned c-stores, we have some bar facilities that we've partnered with, some are tribal members, some are not. But we have over Class II in there.

And the cost -- a lot of us don't have audits. They're not being audited. And so now we have a number of audits. And that's one of the things because we're looking at, you know, what are some of the proposals, what are some of the things that we can do to help offset these costs because we don't -- we can't afford to assume the cost for their audits for their businesses, but we're still following underneath these regulations.

So I was wondering if there was, you know, going back to, you know, the position that White Earth is in, and then also looking at, like,

1	the community bingos and what our community
2	councils are doing once we issue out our gaming
3	licenses for those entities. And if there are
4	other solutions. And I know that there's some
5	things that are kind of, like, provisions. But if
6	there's any options or any kind of conversation we
7	can have with that.

And then I also brought Rae Smith, our compliance director, because we've looked at that. So she might be able to kind of clarify this and be part of this discussion. So is there anything that you'd like to add, Rae?

MS. SMITH: I just think that with a lot of the smaller sites, as Ms. Mason has said, we actually would not -- a majority of them would not be able to have a CPA come in. And we've looked at -- and actually this year, we had it kind of segregated out and rolled into ours. But we would prefer to have, like, a separate review process or something that's easier. Or allow our compliance division to be able to -- our, you know, internal auditors to be able to go out and actually do that, and then perhaps resubmit those audits to NIGC.

Some other kind of options, other than, you know, put the burden back on the facilities

1	because we don't want to lose them. If we, you
2	know, try to many of the sites fear that, you
3	know, you start talking audits, are they talking
4	just our you know, the bingo games that we're
5	holding, or because we're operating in their bars
6	or, you know, their restaurants, gas stations, are
7	they going to start looking at doing it to provide,
8	you know, our operations information.

A lot of them don't keep those records separate. They all -- you know, they're small mom-and-pop businesses, and they run everything through one checking account, you know. It would be very difficult to -- for them, it would be a burden to try to have -- put it all back to the sites.

CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you so much for those comments. And I imagine our team probably has a lot of things that they can speak about those issues. We covered a lot of ground in those comments. Let me talk about a couple different things that kind of caught my ear.

We talked about non-IGRA gaming in terms of working with some folks off the reservation.

And we also talked about charitable gaming, you know, whether it's VFW or any of these groups. Let

1	mΔ	i110+	027	2	couple	thinge	ahout	that
_	ше	Just	Say	а	Couple	unings	about	tiiat.

This may be one of those things that kind of we continue to work on long after I'm gone, or hopefully not too long after I'm gone, but we have internally talked about trying to bring some additional clarity in the world of charitable gaming. And so we recognize that, especially for a lot of rural and small -- a lot of rural communities, charitable gaming is an important part of what folks do. But the burden's to make sure -- the burdens of compliance fall on the tribe and not necessarily the charities that operate the gaming.

What can we do as an Agency to work with the tribe to allow -- I mean, because IGRA does allow for charitable gaming. But to make sure that things are as smooth as possible, but the tribes aren't necessarily bearing the costs of the audits and the regulation that really should be borne by these entities. Again, you can't make it so burdensome that it's not -- it's not even a viable option.

But that's something that we welcome further discussions with you about. That's something that we talked about as a potential topic for regulation. But we certainly want to hear

1	more, talk more, and kind of weigh weigh what is
2	the most sensible approach moving forward.
3	IGRA requires independent audits for
4	gaming. What "independent audits" truly means,
5	that could be potentially subject to some
6	interpretation. But we welcome that dialogue and
7	answer the question, Yes, this is something that we
8	thought about and talked about tackling, and maybe
9	that we do it after I'm gone. But not just
10	so well, I'm not going to get into that. But
11	Chief of Staff.
12	MS. THOMAS: So I wanted to kind of jump
13	on that. So yes, IGRA does require an independent
14	audit. And we have been having discussions on
15	exactly what that means, so
16	Historically, the Agency has defined what
17	the audit requirements are within their
18	Regulation 571.12. And out of those requirements,
19	it requires the CPA and it requires that it be done
20	annually. So the Agency has historically defined
21	that independence within that regulation.
22	So as part of the consultation, we're
23	looking for feedback from tribes such as White
24	Earth and I'm from Minnesota, so I'm very
25	familiar your guys' makeup in northern Minnesota

1	to get an understanding of where those burdens
2	exist and maybe defining or changing 571 to better
3	meet the needs of tribes in situations like what
4	you have, but still maintain the integrity of
5	tribal gaming.
6	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: It's the whole point
7	of these consultations. So in addition to the
8	topics that we're working on, maybe this tees up a
9	new round of dialogue. But that is something that
10	I think would be well-timed. Very timely. Thank
11	you so much.
12	Yes, sir.
13	MR. MATHERLY: Thank you, Chairman,
14	fellow commissioners. Andrew Matherly, Spokane
15	Tribe.
16	So to get back to audit submissions. We
17	had a few notes here for the additional amendments
18	to 571.12 to allow small operations to submit
19	unaudited or CPA-compiled rather than reviewed
20	financial statements. And to increase the maximum
21	GGR to allow submission of renewed reviewed
22	rather than audited financial statements to
23	\$3 million.
24	But I also am interested in the
25	charitable gaming, and how we can define that.

1

22

23

24

Obviously, our compacts and states are going to

2	define it as noncharitable organizations that they
3	look at in their register as 501(c)(3)'s, but how
4	tribal governments and how tribes can view what
5	they view as nonprofit charitable gaming. And get
6	into some of those regulations of having pull tabs
7	as a Class III intermixed with bingo as a Class II,
8	and how that's orchestrated. I'm interested in
9	that future topic.
10	And also just a side note. I know some
11	of the language in IGRA, you know, it's outdated.
12	As we're talking numbers, we're talking \$2 million,
13	\$3 million. And hopefully, we can get back to some
14	of the definitions, like I'll use an example of
15	"key employee." People are compensated over
16	\$50,000. Well, when that language was written in
17	1988, \$50,000 was probably a lot of money. But we
18	have people that work in our facility that work in,
19	like, say the facilities would be a non-gaming
20	function, but because of their threshold and their
21	compensation, we need to license them, fingerprint

So I do want to get into some of those topics later down the road. Thank you.

25 CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: And thank you for

them as a key employee.

Τ	that. I should say that even the topics that we're
2	discussing today grew out of conversations like
3	this on other topics. So it's the idea of
4	partnership is it's an ongoing conversation. And
5	so the issue's definitely been raised among all of
6	us. And we can be assured we'll continue our
7	discussion on charitable gaming, on small operation
8	audit requirements. Thank you so much.
9	It's 3:00 right now. There's no harm in
10	going a little bit past our scheduled time. I want
11	to make sure everybody has ample opportunity to
12	weigh in and provide comments, questions and
13	concerns. But I did want to let everybody know
14	where we are time-wise.
15	Additional comments, questions? Yes,
16	sir.
17	MR. GEORGE: Sorry, everybody. Kenneth
18	George, Jr., still. Forest County Potawatomi
19	Gaming Commission Chairman.
20	All the discussion this afternoon has
21	been very great discussion. I never heard Cory
22	talk so much in my life. But thank you, Cory, for
23	saying something. So I know we're part of the
24	Wisconsin Gaming Regulatory Association. Jeff and
25	Cory are commission chairmans, and also ourselves

1	for	the	Forest	County	Potawatomi.

We have an organization within Wisconsin that we all share information with each other. So we have a regulatory association that is a very strong organization, and we share information with each other as much as possible. And also the --Linda and her group are a big part of that organization as well, so -- I know we have a great working relationship as well with the Office of Indian Gaming Regulatory Compliance with the State of Wisconsin. And they're also part of our organization.

So with that said, you know, as we move forward into -- I call it the "new evolution of gaming," you know, everything is online. It's online gaming here, online gaming there. You know, I think for 28 -- 25 to 30 years, I think we as regulators and leaders in this room have learned a lot. I think we've learned how to do business. I know we've learned how to read the federal register. We know how to do all this. We know how to do all that. And I think it's time for us to take control of that. You know, as leaders, as regulators, as Indian people. You know, as ownership. Ownership of these properties. And

1	also	with	this	being	а	tribal	member	of	these
2	prope	erties	5.						

And also speaking from an appointed official role for the Forest County Potawatomi is that we definitely need to look at how do we move forward. You know, we do look at all of the regulations, you know. The regulations are old, you know. There are standards in there that have been there since the beginning.

But how do we get that done? You know, how do we move forward and how do we move into the 21st century with -- where everybody has an iPhone, a smartphone. They can look up Google. Anything you want to Google while you're sitting in a meeting or you're sitting here. You know, that's the future of gaming. No matter if we're ready for it or not. That's where we're moving towards.

You know, and if we can sit here and talk about management contracts, audit submission, sole proprietary, I think we can look at the future as well. How are we going to deal with those as the evolution of gaming starts to continue? I think with -- with the -- as we move forward, and with your guys' commission, when your leadership and how you guys are looking at it, I think that's a

1	recommendation from Forest County Potawatomi Gaming
2	Commission is to look at that, look into the
3	future, and maybe start looking at consultations to
4	where, let's be proactive on moving forward into
5	the next evolution of gaming

Because the -- the competitors in our market have already done it. You know, the corporate games, the nonnative vendors. You know, we look past all of our native -- there's a lot of native vendors that are out there that have paid their dues, have done -- have done whatever they have to do to get to where they're at. And I think that's one of the things we do is we owe them a chance to do good for Indian Country.

You know, I think that's one of the things that we miss sometimes when they sit here and talk in consultation is we sit here and we nitpick this, that or otherwise, or whatever word we were talking about earlier. I think that's the thing that we don't want to -- we don't want to waste any of our time, we don't want to waste your guys' time.

I think it's something that, as we move forward, let's be proactive. And I think as we do move forward, let's use our minds and let's sit

1	down together and say, Okay, where are we going?
2	Because we are going into a different a
3	different different way of gaming.

You know, Jeff was talking about, you know, his elders and the children playing bingo, you know. That's big for them up there in northern Wisconsin. You know, that's something that we need to be looking out, is looking out for each other in the state and then in the region. How do we help each other out on that? You know, because they're just doing that for fun. They're not doing it to make money.

I think that's one of the things that we have to look at is, Come on now, when's enough enough, you know. I think that's one of the things we need to look at is to make sure that there's common sense used. You know, sometimes we lose track of common sense.

So with that said, we need to definitely look at the future of gaming, which is all online. You know, we got to start thinking about what's the -- what's the next federal register going to look like for gaming -- for Indian gaming? What's the next IGRA going to look like iGaming, for online gaming? Because none of it -- nothing in

1	IGRA says anything about online gaming. So that's
2	one of the things that we need to look at and be
3	proactive on.
4	MR. FUNMAKER: I agree with you. I was
5	just loading my gaming slots here. And I got that
6	from Amazon. And then
7	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Playing slots during
8	the consultation, sir? Is that what you
9	MR. FUNMAKER: No. I need the Wi-Fi
10	password. So they won't let me in.
11	So, you know, this is really fun to goof
12	around with. And, of course, my mind starts
13	thinking, I said, Well, I looked up on Amazon and I
14	put in "gaming." And sure enough, they bought a
15	major software company that they're preparing to do
16	gaming. So I said, Well, how would they do it?
17	Would they pay out with money or could they pay out
18	with goods? Follow what I'm saying? Doesn't have
19	to be money. Amazon's got, you know, all those
20	goods. Well, instead of you taking that thousand
21	dollars, we'll give you a \$700 TV or whatever.
22	So I agree with my brother here from the
23	Forest County. We got to watch out.
24	And then there's that bill in New Jersey
25	about that sports betting. Has anybody looked at

Τ	that? And they're saying that's Trump's way of
2	getting in here and disrupting our game.
3	So there's a couple, you know, different
4	things on the horizon. And like my brother says,
5	we have to be prepared. How are we going to do it?
6	How do we retain the market that we now have?
7	So I'm glad you said that. Behind you
8	100 percent. So that's kind of how I'm seeing it.
9	Am I a visionary? Yeah, I am. When I played
10	basketball, I could always see two or three plays
11	ahead. So I always tell my athlete young people, I
12	said, That gift will come back to you some way in
13	another form. You won't lose it, but it'll come
14	back in another way.
15	So I like to think that a lot of us
16	really have that gift from the Creator. Thinking
17	ahead, especially those compliance people. I
18	shouldn't say this, but I lived out there amongst
19	the Lakota Dakota. And you live out there, you can
20	see a long ways. You know, they said a guy's dog
21	ran away, and you watched it for three days.
22	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Well, I so
23	appreciate those comments. I mean, we heard about
24	two of our four tent pole initiatives earlier. We
25	talked about protecting against gamesmanship on the

backs of tribes. We heard about our commitment to
rural outreach. Third and fourth ones are -- third
one is supporting a strong workforce, both within

NIGC and among our regulatory partners.

But our fourth one -- earlier today we were joined by our technology division director, Travis Waldo. The fourth one is a commitment to staying ahead of the technology curve. And part of that was the creation of the technology division, the hiring of Travis as our first director. And that's been very important to us. That's kind of helped shape our Agency functions both on policy level, it's helped to inform our regulatory discussions, but also internally our day-to-day operations. I mean, as I always joke about, a few years ago, when we all first started, we didn't have caller ID at NIGC. We've come a long way in the last few years.

But, you know, I feel comfortable with saying that, you know, hand-in-hand we're meeting the challenges of the day. But your point about meeting the challenges of tomorrow is very well taken. And the only way we can do that is by listening and learning and talking among our partners. So thank you so very much for those

Т	comments.
2	We're a little bit over time. But again,
3	we want to make sure that all voices and
4	perspectives are heard. Any other additional
5	comments?
6	Before the Commission wraps up, we do
7	want to we want to provide our host nation with
8	an additional opportunity for final comments. But
9	any other comments on this topic? Yes, sir.
10	MR. DINISHEK: Thank you. I'll try to
11	make this quick, given that my name is John
12	Dinishek. And I'm serving as a consultant with
13	Mr. Funmaker. And we've been in recent
14	conversations about him pursuing and looking at the
15	viability of a business opportunity that he's
16	looking at.
17	In the quick way of background. I spent
18	17 the last 17 years working in the intelligence
19	communities specifically focused on anti-money
20	laundering and anti-terrorist financing. I've done
21	a lot of work with the financial service
22	communities globally. All the biggest banks in the
23	US, Canada, as well as Europe and several in Asia
24	and Asia-Pacific. As well as a lot of the largest
25	casinos in Atlantic City and Vegas, Macaw,

1	Singapore, and some of those properties.
2	I'm a Minnesota native. I'm a patron of
3	both Mille Lacs properties in Hinckley and the
4	Grand Casino properties fortunately up in Tower,
5	Minnesota. And so I'm a customer as well.
6	Is money laundering, terrorist financing
7	an international problem, or is it a domestic issu
8	and a challenge? It's not a drug challenge for
9	Columbia. It's not a terrorist issue for the
10	Middle East. It's here in our backyard.
11	In the intelligence community, we were
12	tasked with identifying, tracking and profiling
13	those bad actors, and being able to work with
14	financial service companies to identify them and
15	cut off the money supply.
16	What I can tell you is we're adding
17	30,000 new profiles a month. It's a growing
18	challenge. If we were to map that across the
19	United States, here in this city, in Minneapolis,
20	in northern Minnesota, in all of the areas where
21	your tribal representation and states happen to be
22	it's a challenge there.
23	So I guess what I was here to help
24	Mr. Funmaker kind of understand, or maybe better
25	qualify is: Is that a focus today for the

1	Commission? Is it a focus today for the regulatory
2	bodies for the various tribes? And is that
3	something that is a viable opportunity for
4	Mr. Funmaker to look at pursuing as far as services
5	to help each of the casinos identify and protect
6	themselves for that type of a risk?
7	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you for that.
8	I got three things, just in the interest of time,
9	and we'll say it very quickly.
10	We're not in a position to provide any
11	business advice in this setting. This is a
12	government-to-government consultation. But our
13	doors are always open to chat with any members of
14	the Indian gaming community about, you know, kind
15	of some of our experiences. And so we can we
16	welcome further conversations down the road.
17	Second, we're not in a position to
18	endorse any any business or any, you know, any
19	private outfit. And I know that's not the crux of
20	your question, but the crux of your statement.
21	But I do want to put and this comes out comes
22	up a lot in terms we work with a lot of industry
23	stakeholders, you know, regarding testing. But no
24	matter how much we respect the work of various
25	testing labs, we've got to be careful about

1	endorsing any one lab's lab's offerings. So we
2	don't do that. And we always kind of hesitate
3	or we shy away from kind of weighing in on any
4	specific business product in detail.

But third, to kind of the general thrust of your question: Absolutely, this is something that the Commission is focused on. Our agency is mandated by law to implement IGRA to ensure the integrity of Indian gaming.

So as the lead federal agency empowered and mandated to implement the law, we have a responsibility to work with tribes, tribal regulators, but also federal partners to ensure the integrity of Indian gaming.

So we're mindful of other statutes that work hand-in-hand with IGRA, even though we don't implement those statutes. And we work with other agencies that do implement those statutes. So we work quite a bit with FinCEN. We work with IRS. We work with FBI. If there are issues that arise under Bank Secrecy Act, we make referrals as appropriate. That's part of what we do. But moreover, that's part of what every regulator in this room does. When Chairman George mentioned that tribes are the frontline regulators, we can

1	only do what we can do if we have a positive and
2	open dialogue with our regulatory partners.
3	So to answer your question, cyber
4	security, financial security, that is absolutely
5	what we're about as an agency. And we work with
6	other agencies, as well as tribal partners, to
7	ensure the integrity of Indian gaming.
8	Anything else that our team wants to
9	weigh in on that?
10	MR. DINISHEK: And thank you. I
11	appreciate that.
12	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Absolutely.
13	MR. DINISHEK: The gentleman talked about
14	online gaming as being the next kind of generation,
15	if you will. It's one of the biggest challenges
16	right now in the industry is how do you vet and
17	provide integrity and security around indirect
18	types of activities. And that's something that the
19	non-tribal gaming organizations are really
20	struggling with. And certainly something that, as
21	you guys look to kind of embrace that move in that
22	direction, will certainly be a risk area to look
23	at.
24	But thank you for the clarification. I
25	certainly appreciate that.

1	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: And I should add,
2	some of the biggest wins in financial in the
3	financial security world came from issues that were
4	flagged by tribal regulators. And so there are
5	some good examples to point to on that in terms of
6	concerns about money laundering that was raised by
7	tribal regulators.
8	So my hat's always off to our regulatory
9	partners because, as we always point out, who has a
10	greater interest in protecting tribal assets and
11	operations than the tribes themselves. And so
12	thank you for those comments.
13	So any other comments, questions,
14	concerns, before we wrap up?
15	Catchall, like I said, as promised, if
16	there's anything additional folks want to mention.
17	I do want to mention that our written submission
18	date deadline, as we mentioned before, is
19	February 28th. We are committed to try to move
20	forward with as much positive work this spring as
21	possible. And so we do want to take all comments
22	into account. But if on the drive home today you
23	wish you had said something more, please feel free
24	to email us. Go to NIGC.gov, submit additional
25	comments. We're always open to that.

Τ	And so before turning it back over to my
2	fellow commissioners for some closing statements, I
3	just wanted to see if our host nation had any final
4	wrap-up thoughts.
5	MR. GEORGE: Yes, Chairman.
6	I have a 28-year-old or 28-day-old
7	daughter right now, so I'm in no hurry to get home.
8	So I could sit here and talk all day or all
9	evening.
10	So one of the things I did in 2006
11	2005, when I became a gaming commissioner was, I
12	told my mom, "Hey, mom, I think I'm going to be a
13	regulator." And she goes, "Well, you know what? I
14	don't love you anymore. Because even I don't love
15	regulators." So when she said that to me, I'm
16	like, "Mom, really? You don't love regulators?"
17	But since 2005, she's beginning to like me again
18	because we're making progress. And she is a very
19	likeable woman. And she definitely found a piece
20	of her heart to let me back in. So thank you, mom.
21	That's one of the things I always wanted to say on
22	the record. If that's in Washington, D.C., on the
23	record somewhere, "Mom, I love you. Ken George,
24	Jr., Forest Potawatomi Gaming Commission,
25	Milwaukee." What's the date?

1	All joking aside, I would like to thank
2	everybody for being here. I'd like to thank the
3	Commission, the NIGC Commission, for being here,
4	along with supporting staff. And also Dustin
5	Thomas, our used to work with us here in
5	Milwaukee.

One of the things we did when we were here before was, as we did, and maybe this will work at the national level, if we do look at it, is we looked at having a new system of internal control, you know, as we looked at it.

And one of the things we looked at when we looked at it, because we inherited 858 internal controls here. You know, that was overregulation, beyond overregulation. And also our small property north of here in Carter, Wisconsin, had the same amount. And it's probably about as big as this room. Maybe just a little bit bigger than this room. So that was overregulated.

One of the things we asked at that time was -- the management team is, Why do you write internal controls? Because the Gaming Commission told us to. You know, I think that's the big thing that we have to realize here is that we need to change. You know, the thing we have to do is, How

1	do we work with our management teams? How do we
2	work to make Indian gaming better? Because we can
3	sit here and talk about the national, you know,
4	regs. We can sit here and talk about state regs.
5	Blah, blah, blah. But, you know, it comes down to
6	is that we got to watch our own house.

You know, as regulators, we need to figure out how can we make ourselves better and how can we make our management teams better and make them take ownership of -- how are they going to comply to the mix that are in place that the regulatory bodies and the jurisdictional are -- and the gaming commissions that have the jurisdictions of this jurisdiction, which we have the jurisdiction here in Milwaukee, is that we need to understand our standards and our internal controls better than they do.

You know, that's one of the things that we have to do is that here in Milwaukee, now there's -- and Dustin was a big part of it -- was now there's 158 internal controls here in this building. But now there's more policy and procedure in place to where the management team takes ownership of -- from a standpoint of the general manager saying, Okay, this is how I want to

manage this property as a general manager. An	d
there's nothing regulatory about it. It's all	
management decision.	

So over 700 internal controls, because there was such a misunderstanding of what an internal control was, was the management team just kept writing internal controls that were management decisions, you know.

And that's one of the things that we have done here in Milwaukee is the -- to take the onus away from the Gaming Commission of being the bad person. You know, because we've got that -- we've got that name because of that reason. You know, because we're the bad guys, so to speak. But we don't have to be the bad guy anymore. You know, we can use our minds of 28 years to 30 years of experience as regulators to say, Okay, we can do this better than the last 28 years.

And we have to make sure we look at understanding our jurisdiction and what is allowed in our jurisdiction because we reacted a lot back in the day, since 2005 when my mom didn't like me. Was when our slot director, or whoever the heck went to G2E or NIGA trade show or any of these trade shows, they went with a blank check and they

1	come back with new machines that were in our
2	loading dock four weeks later, two weeks later,
3	they're ready to go on the floor. And we have to
4	react to that with regulation.

And that's one of the things that we have to look at is, as we move forward, how do we look at that? How do we change? I know the gentleman here says that, you know, IGRA doesn't cover that, or at that time, there's standards in there that are very old. Well, how do we do something about it? Because there's a lot of "we can't." You know, I think there's got to be a lot of "how can we?"

You know, I think that's the problem that we have. And when we look at it is, if you give the management team a chance to blame you, they're going to blame you. You know, I think, as regulators, and the regulators in the room, and sorry for any management team members in here, is that, you know, we have to understand what our job is and what our roles are. You know, every person in here has a role from the NIGC all the way down to a surveillance operator. You know, and that's one of the things we have to understand is that with that role, you have to stay within that scope

1	of a	uthori	ty	that	is	give	en to	you	by	your		as
2	dele	gated	to	you	by	your	exec	utive	e C(ouncil	L.	

So that's one of the things that we've done. Ad we definitely will share that information with anybody who wants it, is that we came up with a new system of internal controls here in Milwaukee that has changed our direction from where we were to now where we have a great working relationship with our management team here in Milwaukee and also in Carter.

So that's one of the things we have to do is we have to evolve. We have to evolve from the years of experience that we've learned. And if we don't evolve from the experience we learned, shame on us. Because we have the information. There's 28 years of information somewhere. And each in one of our -- each in one of our jurisdictions somewhere, and also up in Washington, D.C.

So, you know, the information that we have, I think when we do consultations, I think we can become better instead of confrontational, you know, saying this, saying that. I think let -- we can spend our time wisely on looking for ways we can do it instead of ways we can't do it.

And I think that's one of the things that

1	we've learned as a gaming commission. And that
2	we've we've become very that's a very
3	important part of our organization is that we need
4	to make sure we understand what our jurisdiction
5	is.
6	So just a final comment again with the
7	online gaming is that, as we move forward into the
8	evolution of gaming, as we call it, is that there's
9	four levels of understanding what iGaming is or
10	online gaming is.
11	So there's two differences. Internet
12	gaming and there's internet gambling. So gaming is
13	what's happening today. And gambling is, What do
14	you need from a federal legislation level to
15	pass to allow internet gaming in the United States?
16	So that's what the NIGA principles are
17	that the NIGA has all four of the seven principles
18	that they like to see in federal legislation is
19	that they would've liked to allow internet gaming
20	or gambling.
21	Internet gaming has been exploited by the
22	corporate gaming. The corporate gaming entities.
23	They've found a way around us waiting for federal
24	legislation to pass. So right now there's seven
25	types of iGaming that's happening today already

1	that the corporate gaming is making millions and	d
2	millions of dollars off. Even billions. So al	1
3	you have to do is Google it to find out.	

So that's one of the things we need to make sure we do is -- now there's an eighth category that we're looking at, and the gentleman talked about it, was PASPA, the sports betting -- repeal of the sports betting law that's been in place. You know, that's one of the things that we'd be looking at. And that's very hot on NIGA's agenda here at the next trade show. And they support it. If you get a chance to read it, read it, because if it does get overturned, it's definitely going to be an eye-opening experience in how we're going to compete against that.

So the four levels of understanding.

Like I said, I got a 28-year-old -- 28-day-old

daughter at home, so I'm going to take this time,

as much as I can, to try to get the information

that we have that we're willing to share with you

guys.

So internet gaming, internet gambling, two different things. So if you hear "internet gaming," it's already happening today. Internet gambling, you have to have federal legislation to

4		1 6			-	
1	nass	before	747 <i>C</i>	can	do	1 F

So understanding. How do we understand

it? So from a tribal structure standpoint, you got

four different levels of understanding. You have

tribal leadership is one. Two, you have executive

management teams. Three, you have your regulators.

And four, you have outside agencies, including

NIGA. You know, all the alphabet soups that are

out there.

And all the vendors. Any vendor that's out there that trying to be become -- that wants to become part of doing business in Indian Country, and to scare Indian Country into saying, You got to be ready for internet gaming when it happens. How many people have heard that? You know, we've heard it millions and millions of times at every conference you go to in the last two years. You got to be ready when they're ready to turn the switch.

So tribal leadership. How do they understand internet gaming or internet gambling?

They don't. Because they understand it from a level of compacts, dealing with the State of Wisconsin, dealing with the NIGC. You know, they say, Well, I'm not going to pay that -- I'm not

1	going to pay our compact gaming if you if this
2	part of it says you can't allow any of this gaming
3	into the states. Okay, that's how they understand
4	it. They understand policy. They understand
5	grants. They understand that from a high level
6	high-level situation. I don't understand it. You
7	know, I can say in faith, like, I understand it,
8	but I don't. I'm a regulator.

So we have to make sure we understand together, how do we -- how do we understand? As an industry, how do we move forward as an industry?

Because we're all part of the Indian gaming industry, like it or not. And we have to understand, you know, as we move forward, the game is changing.

In executive management, if anybody in executive management says they understand internet gaming or any -- any internet gaming platforms, they're lying because none of them have done it before. Milwaukee hasn't done it. Carter hasn't done it. So none of our management teams have ever managed any kind of iGaming platform. So how do they understand it? If they're saying they understand it, tell them to explain it to you.

Because they don't and none of them did it. We're

1	ചിി	in	the	game	boat.
⊥	атт	T11	CIIC	Same	Duat.

As regulators, we have the intel, so we
have to background these people. All right? How
do we use that information to those first two
levels of understanding, tribal leadership,
executive management? Sometimes we got to save
themselves from themselves as regulators.

Outside agencies, you know, they're there just to make money. You know, how do we -- how do we tell the difference between who's our friends and who's just going to take advantage of us?

Because we know who's been taking advantage of us for the last 28 years as vendors, as regulators.

We have that information as regulators. We got background information on them.

So those are the four levels of understanding. We all understand differently from a tribal-structured standpoint. We all have to sit in a room, which we did here at Forest County, to say, Okay, how do we all understand what our threat is in the industry? And we sat down and we brainstormed it.

You know, there's a lot of egos that were hurt. There was a lot of egos that didn't want to say they understood it, and they sat there and they

1	talked	and	talked	and	talked	for	two	hours	s. And	it
2	sounded	lik	e they	unde	erstood	it,	but	they	didn't	

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, I think that's one of the things we have to make sure we understand is the future of Indian gaming is changing. And we have to make sure we use our collective heads and the leadership of NIGC as we move forward. I know we've made -- you guys have made a lot of progress and a lot of initiatives. You know, I applaud you for that, and I applaud you not to stop. You know, I encourage you to keep going because we're looking to you for assistance. You know, we're the frontline, the regulatory bodies, but we're looking to you for assistance. And we don't want to be wasting our time, you know, talking about other things to where we can become better and stronger to compete against our competitors. And to look out for everyone. 400-some tribes that are in the United States. We got to look out for 400 and whatever tribes that are there.

MR. FUNMAKER: 38.

MR. GEORGE: 438 tribes. We need to look out for those. Not just the people who can pay us \$25,000, \$30,000, \$50,000. We need to look out for them. That's our industry. That says "Indian,"

1	right?

MR. FUNMAKER: Well, I want to back you up. My company's going to do in conjunction with this young man here, and the rest of those people, is we're going to study that gambling portion you talked about. You said there's already people making money. They're not going to allow us to do anything unless we have our ducks in order, which is all the management, all the IT ideas, everything that matches theirs and beyond. Then you apply. Does that sound right? Somebody's already doing it, but we have to see how they're doing it. we have to do it better. And then we apply. that make sense?

That's what -- that's how I see it as an entrepreneur. That's what I'm going to do. I look for business. You may laugh there, young man, but that's what I mean, you know.

CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: I'll tell you what

I'm smiling about. I mean, the topic is absolutely

apropos in terms of knowing the future of -- at

least not being left behind. Indian Country not

being left behind. But what I'm laughing about is,

you know, absolute respect to my elders, you know,

I love the vision that my elders are showing. I

1	would love to see some younger folks saying the
2	same things. And no disrespect to elders, but I'm
3	like, you know, if we're talking about the future,
4	you know, we need to invest more in youth
5	leadership.
6	So that's what I'm kind of smiling about.
7	And just nothing but respect to the elders. But,
8	you know, when we talk about the future, you know,
9	each generation has an obligation to inspire and
10	motivate the younger folks. So it would be I
11	mean, not that you're I mean, what, you're in
12	early 40s, something sir? I'm going off topic.
13	MR. FUNMAKER: 71.
14	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: You carry it well.
15	But that's what I'm smiling about.
16	MR. FUNMAKER: Thank you. Thank you.
17	But I do back you up and that's how I see it as a
18	company, as an entrepreneur. I'm going to talk
19	with that man there, and I know he's with me,
20	unless he speared the company, the big company, and
21	to be able to analyze the gambling portion, what my
22	brother spoke about. And I don't know whether
23	Potawatomi because I know Ho-Chunk won't do it
24	with me. Somebody's got to be a prototype. And
25	then once we put everything together, then we'll be

1	ready to submit. And maybe from a legislative
2	standpoint, that's where you guys will come in and
3	submit, you know, all the technology that we have
4	and we're ready to go to that next step. Does that
5	make sense? It does to me.
6	Anyway, I mean, I had the common sense
7	that you're talking about. And that's my
8	background is management. I got my degree in
9	theology, but my background was in management and
10	finance.
11	And so that's how I'm going to approach
12	it. Then I'm going to give it to you, or I'll give
13	it to Potawatomi to consider what we have and to
14	what we're going to try to do on our dime to figure
15	it out and then to go forward. Instead of dealing
16	you know, you deal with tribal councils, you're
17	just going to deal with one individual, myself, and
18	then I'm going to bring it to the
19	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: But with all
20	respect, I want to make clear that we're we want
21	Forest County, as the host nation, to have the
22	opportunity to provide closing comments. So I
23	don't want to cut into that.
24	MR. FUNMAKER: Well, yeah, hold on now.
25	He knows darn well that we had a chance for this

1	property for Ho-Chunk before they did. They came
2	to us for this property. Do you remember that?
3	Did you know Joe Pilasnik?
4	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Okay.
5	MR. FUNMAKER: No, no, no.
6	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Change of subject.
7	MR. FUNMAKER: This is relevant. This is
8	relevant. Those folks didn't have a dime, just
9	like us. We didn't have a dime. I used to roll a
10	tire around the mission. A tire, for
11	entertainment. So I lived that other life. And I
12	respect the young people. And when I talk to
13	Ho-Chunk young people, our managers, I tell them
14	that I'm proud of what they're doing.
15	Academically, they have it together, just like you
16	folks. You're academic. And that's very cool.
17	You got a certain process.
18	But I'm just, you know, backing up
19	because if he doesn't know the history, they asked
20	Ho-Chunk first whether we wanted this land. And
21	our tribal chairman said, No, it'll never happen.
22	Well, they were lucky enough to be able to say,
23	Yeah, we'll do it. So they got this property here.
24	So there.

CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: And I appreciate

1	that. Let me just say, as I started, we're happy
2	to be in a region with so many strong nations. And
3	so, you know, we mentioned Menominee. We mentioned
4	Ho-Chunk. We mentioned Potawatomi. Obviously,
5	we've mentioned Oneida. We mentioned Ojibwa. A
6	lot of strong people in this neck of the woods.
7	Today we are being hosted by Forest
8	County Potawatomi. I appreciate those comments.
9	But I do want to make sure that, in terms of final
10	words, Mr. George, I don't want to cut you off.
11	Chairman.
12	MR. GEORGE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13	Just to finish up is that if there's any
14	information or anything that you may need from us
15	of the discussions that we do had or that we did
16	have here, we're definitely open to sharing that
17	information with anybody that's here today. And
18	we're looking for to moving it forward as well.
19	So with that said, I'll respectfully give
20	it back to you, Mr. Chairman and the Commission.
21	We appreciate you again for being here. And for
22	our jurisdiction, we're definitely taking charge of
23	our jurisdiction of what we're allowing in here.
24	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: Thank you so much,
25	Chairman. I'm going to turn it over to my fellow

1	Commissioners for final words. But again, with
2	deepest gratitude, we so very much appreciate the
3	blessing that you provided this morning, as well as
4	the honor song by Mr. David.
5	I'm going to turn it over to my fellow
6	Commissioners.
7	COMMISSIONER SIMERMEYER: Thanks,
8	Chairman. I just want to say, you know, thank you
9	to Forest County Potawatomi for this facility that
10	we have here. And also for sharing your story
11	about the regulatory reform that you went through
12	in that process. It's interesting to hear. It's
13	also really enlightening to see that you have that
14	process. And the integrity and culture that you
15	have here, it's just apparent. So as a fellow
16	regulator, thank you for sharing that story.
17	I also want to say thank you to the other
18	comments that were very concise and nuanced.
19	There's some really complicated topics that we've
20	been grappling with in our Agency. And I think
21	that they were really stated in a really helpful
22	way that's going to help inform our decision-making
23	process. So thank you so much for those.
24	And for some of the broader vision-type
25	statements that were made. I think it really

1	reflects a lot of the you know, there are
2	certain limitation in terms of our
3	government-to-government relationship. There are
4	certain sort of spiritual and cultural bearings
5	that some folks had mentioned today that I think
6	are really important to any discussion. And so
7	thank you for sharing those.

And I also wanted to say, since Chairman George brought it up earlier, to say, and I think I speak for a lot of folks in our Agency, thank you for mentioning Mr. Thomas who works with us here at the Agency. I know him and his family are

Ho Shoshone (phonetic), but he's a really important part of the leadership team at the National Indian Gaming Commission. And I know he has roots here.

And, you know, professionally, and with folks here, he's done an excellent job of just getting the respect and providing some real institutional knowledge at the federal level. So we want to say thank you for the influence you had on him and any leadership that he brings to our agency.

And a final thing because I want to give a really heartfelt gratitude to Mr. David and Chairman George for the honor song early on. That was a really -- a real blessing that you gave to

1	the commission, and something that, you know, is a
2	humbling part of this experience. So thank you
3	very much for that.

VICE CHAIR ISOM-CLAUSE: So I know I just keep repeating our thank you's, but, you know, I do think it bears repeating that we really, really appreciate your hosting us here and to all of you for being here as well. It's been an credibly productive session today. I think we've had some very insightful comments and as well as folks' perspective on things overall. And it's going to give us a lot to work with when we go and consider this.

We're also looking forward to written comments by February 28th. So we are at the end of our in-person consultation sessions. So we know it's not a whole lot longer for the written comments, but we are very much looking forward to reading those.

And it's really been a pleasure being here. We're ending on a high note. I think this has been one of our best sessions. So really appreciate you all for making us feel welcome here, for the honor song, for the blessings, for all the great comments. Thank you all.

1	CHAIRMAN CHAUDHURI: And thank you. Just
2	it's been a beautiful day. And it's an honor to be
3	here. I actually have it written in my notes. You
4	mention Dustin. Because we're very thankful for
5	the fact that you're able to hopefully I mean,
6	maybe it's just a loan. You might take him back, I
7	don't know. But we're not giving him up without a
8	fight. He's been incredible at the Agency.
9	But many thanks to everybody in this room
10	for taking the time out of your days to share your
11	thoughts and to hopefully learn and listen to one
12	another. Really appreciate it. Many thanks to our
13	team for putting together this consultation. I
14	agree, I think this is ending on such a high note.
15	This is our last consultation of this season.
16	And, you know, on a personal note, my
17	statutory term ends the end of April. And so
18	this I don't know if this will be my last
19	consultation. It likely will be, but it definitely
20	will be my last consultation in my statutory term.
21	I very much appreciate the ongoing work that we've
22	all done together. And I am very, very optimistic
23	and encouraged about the future.
24	All jokes aside about, you know, the

elders speaking about the future. It really is the

1	elders who got us to where we are. And it's the
2	elders who are paving the way. But every time I
3	see young people talk about the changing landscape
4	of economic development in Indian Country, it makes
5	my heart full that we're in good hands. And we see
6	that a lot when we're out on the road.
7	So many, many thanks for our collective
8	work together. And safe drive home. Thank you
9	again for hosting us. Mvto. And with that, the
10	session's closed. Mvto.
11	(Proceedings concluded at 3:45 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	I, MARGARET M. MITCHELL, a Certified
7	Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter, do hereby
8	certify that I reported all proceedings adduced in the
9	foregoing matter, and that the foregoing transcript
10	pages constitutes a full, true and accurate record of
11	said proceedings to the best of my ability.
12	
13	I further certify that I am neither
14	related to counsel for any party to the proceedings, nor
15	have any interest in the outcome of the proceedings.
16	
17	IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my
18	hand this 5th day of March, 2018.
19	
20	
21	
22	Peggy Mitchell, RMR, CRR
23	
24	
25	